The effect of gluon condensate on the entanglement entropy in a holographic model

Xun Chen, 1, 2, * Bo Yu, 1 Peng-Cheng Chu, 3, 4, [†](#page-0-1) Xiao-Hua Li, 1, [‡](#page-0-2) and Mitsutoshi Fujita^{1, [§](#page-0-3)}

 1 School of Nuclear Science and Technology, University of South China, Hengyang 421001, China $2Key$ Laboratory of Quark and Lepton Physics (MOE), Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079,China.

³The Research Center for Theoretical Physics, Science School,

Qingdao University of Technology, Qingdao 266033, China

⁴The Research Center of Theoretical Physics,

Qingdao University of Technology, Qingdao 266033, China

Abstract

The effect of gluon condensate on the holographic entanglement entropy is investigated in an Einstein-Dilaton model at zero and finite temperature. There is a critical length for the difference of entanglement entropy between the connected and disconnected surfaces in this model, which is often regarded as a signal of phase transition. With the increase of gluon condensate, the critical length becomes small, which means the confinement becomes strong at zero temperature. Moreover, an entropic C-function suddenly jumps to zero at the critical length, where there are expected to be no entangled states. At finite temperatures, results show that the effect of gluon condensate on the critical length is qualitatively consistent with the case of zero temperature. We find that the entropic C-function increases as a function of l at finite temperature, while it has competitive behaviors with large gluon condensate.

[∗] chenxunhep@qq.com

[†] kyois@126.com

[‡] lixiaohuaphysics@126.com

[§] fujitamitsutoshi@usc.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum Chromodynamics(QCD) phase transition is an active research frontier of nuclear physics. Chiral symmetry of QCD is broken for finite quark mass and gradually restored with the increase of temperature. It is the so-called chiral phase transition. On the other hand, quarks are confined in hadrons at low temperature, while the quark-gluon plasma (QGP) forms with the deconfined quarks from the hadronic matter at high temperature. It is believed that the condensation of extended structures, such as gluon rings or vortices, is relevant to the understanding of the confinement process [\[1](#page-12-0)]. The relation between gluon condensate and deconfinement phase transition has been widely studied in various papers $|2-5|$.

Since the phase transition is believed to be a strongly coupled problem, the gauge/gravity duality(holographic QCD) has become one of the powerful tools. Lots of phase structures and strongly coupled problems have been discussed in holographic methods in recent decades [\[6](#page-12-3)[–38\]](#page-14-0). Dilaton field is essential to mimic the QCD properties in holographic models [\[39,](#page-14-1) [40\]](#page-14-2). In holography, the gluon condensate is dual to the dilaton field on the gravity side. The gluon condensate was proposed in [\[41](#page-14-3)] as a measure for non-perturbative physics in QCD at zero temperature and widely studied at finite temperature [\[4](#page-12-4), [42](#page-14-4)[–44\]](#page-14-5). Moreover, lattice results show that the gluon condensate is non-zero at high temperature and its value drastically changes near T_c (the critical temperature of the deconfinement transition) regardless of the number of quark flavors. gluon condensate and its dual geometry have been proposed in some early papers [\[45](#page-14-6)[–47](#page-14-7)]. In recent years, Refs. [\[48](#page-14-8)[–55\]](#page-14-9) have investigated the effect of gluon condensate on meson spectra, heavy quark potential, imaginary potential, entropic destruction, Schwinger effect, energy loss, etc.

More than a decade ago, Shinsei Ryu and Tadashi Takayanagi proposed a holographic formula for the entanglement entropy was proposed by Shinsei Ryu and Tadashi Takayanagi [\[56,](#page-15-0) [57\]](#page-15-1)(see Refs. [\[58](#page-15-2)[–60\]](#page-15-3) for a review). One of the applications of holographic entanglement entropy is to employ entanglement entropy to detect the confinement/deconfinement transition of gauge theories [\[61](#page-15-4)[–73](#page-15-5)]. More recently, holographic entanglement has been applied to investigate the property of critical endpoint (CEP) and QCD phase transition $[67, 74-83]$ $[67, 74-83]$ $[67, 74-83]$. In [\[74,](#page-15-7) [75\]](#page-15-8), the phase transition between 2 different connected surfaces was found to be in a confinement-like phase (small black hole phase) at finite temperature in the dual QCD model. The entropic C-function of this model decreases under the RG-flow and has a sharp drop. It is almost zero in large intervals, which is similar to the results of confining theories [\[61](#page-15-4), [84,](#page-16-1) [85\]](#page-16-2).

In this paper, we mainly investigate the relations among the deconfinement phase transition, gluon condensate, and entanglement entropy in holographic framework. Because the dilaton black hole solution, which has an analytic solution, describes the interaction between a hot quark-gluon plasma and a gluon condensate without a finite baryon density, we show how the gluon condensate and temperature affect the holographic entanglement entropy. We are interested in whether or not the gluon condensate decreases the degrees of freedom of the entangled state. The holographic entanglement entropy has the phase transition between connected and disconnected surfaces even at finite temperature, which is different from [\[74,](#page-15-7) [75\]](#page-15-8). An entropic C-function is a logarithmic derivative of entanglement entropy [\[61](#page-15-4)], which is useful to analyze physics at finite temperature. We argue that the thermal excitation is captured by the entropic C-function at finite temperature. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we give a review of the Einstein-Dilaton model. In Sec. 3, the effect of gluon condensate on holographic entanglement entropy and phase transition is discussed at vanishing temperature. We also introduce the generalized entropic C-function to discuss degrees of freedom. In Sec. 4, we investigate the effect of gluon condensate on holographic entanglement entropy, an entropic C-function, and phase transition at non-vanishing temperature. At last, we make a summary in Sec. 5.

II. A SHORT REVIEW OF THE MODEL

We start from an Einstein-Dilaton action [\[39,](#page-14-1) [48](#page-14-8)[–50](#page-14-10), [54](#page-14-11)]

$$
S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^5 x \sqrt{G} \left(-\mathcal{R} + 2\Lambda + \frac{1}{2} \partial_M \phi \partial^M \phi \right), \tag{1}
$$

where κ^2 is the five-dimensional Newton constant, Λ is a negative cosmological constant($\Lambda =$ $-\frac{6}{R^2}$) and R is the curvature radius. The metric is in the Einstein frame and the Lagrangian density includes the standard Hilbert form $\sqrt{G}\mathcal{R}/(2\kappa^2)$. Unlike the usual holographic model with a dilaton potential, we can investigate the toy model to obtain qualitative results of QCD in this paper since it contains the back reaction of gluon with the background metric. The Einstein equation and the equation of motion(EoM) for the scalar field are

$$
\mathcal{R}_{MN} - \frac{1}{2} G_{MN} \mathcal{R} + G_{MN} \Lambda = \frac{1}{2} \left[\partial_M \phi \partial_N \phi - \frac{1}{2} G_{MN} \partial_P \phi \partial^P \phi \right],
$$

$$
0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{G}} \partial_M \sqrt{G} G^{MN} \partial_N \phi.
$$
 (2)

There exist two solutions for the EoM. One is a dilaton wall solution, i.e. a deformation of AdS spacetime. It corresponds to the confining phase with gluon condensate at vanishing temperature. The other one is a dilaton black hole solution, i.e. a deformation of the Schwarzschild-type AdS black hole with dilaton background, which can describe the gluon condensation at high temperature. The metric of dilaton wall solution has this form:

$$
ds^{2} = \frac{R^{2}}{z^{2}} \left(\sqrt{1 - c^{2} z^{8}} \delta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} + dz^{2} \right),
$$

$$
\phi(z) = \phi_{0} + \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \log \left(\frac{1 + cz^{4}}{1 - cz^{4}} \right).
$$
 (3)

Here ϕ_0 and c are integration constants, and z is the radial direction. Near the UV boundary, the perturbative expansion of the dilaton field becomes

$$
\phi(z) = \phi_0 + \sqrt{6}cz^4 + \dots \tag{4}
$$

We can defined $c = \frac{1}{\gamma^4}$ $\frac{1}{z_c^2}$, where z_c behaves as an IR cutoff. c can be fixed by the lightest glueball mass or heavy quarkonium mass [\[49\]](#page-14-12). According to the AdS/CFT dictionary, the solution of classical equation of motion for a scalar field ϕ corresponding to an operator $\mathcal O$ with dimension Δ has the following form near the 4D boundary $z \to 0$,

$$
\phi(x, z) \to z^{4-\Delta} \left[\phi_0(x) + \mathcal{O}(z^2) \right] + z^{\Delta} \left[\frac{\langle \mathcal{O}(x) \rangle}{2\Delta - 4} + \mathcal{O}(z^2) \right], \tag{5}
$$

where $\phi_0(x)$ acts as a source for $\mathcal{O}(x)$ and $\langle \mathcal{O}(x)\rangle$ denotes the corresponding condensate [\[86](#page-16-3)– [88\]](#page-16-4). The constant term is a source for the gluon condensate operator $\text{Tr} G^2$ and the coefficient of the normalizable mode gives the gluon condensate which comes from Ref. [\[39\]](#page-14-1)

$$
\left\langle \text{Tr}\, G^2 \right\rangle = \frac{8\sqrt{3}\left(N_c^2 - 1\right)}{\pi} \frac{1}{z_c^4},\tag{6}
$$

where we used $\frac{1}{\kappa^2} = \frac{4(N_c^2-1)}{\pi^2 R^3}$ and N_c is the color number. In this work, we take $\phi_0 = 0$ for qualitative analysis. The next solution is the dilaton black hole background. We have

$$
ds^{2} = \frac{1}{z^{2}} \left(A d\vec{x}^{2} + B dt^{2} + dz^{2} \right),
$$
\n(7)

where

$$
A = (1 + fz^4)^{(f+a)/2f} (1 - fz^4)^{(f-a)/2f},
$$

\n
$$
B = (1 + fz^4)^{(f-3a)/2f} (1 - fz^4)^{(f+3a)/2f},
$$

\n
$$
f^2 = a^2 + c^2.
$$
\n(8)

We can observe that this dilaton black hole solution becomes the AdS black hole solution when $c = 0$, and it reduces to the dilaton-wall background with $a = 0$. Following Ref. [\[49\]](#page-14-12), $z_f = f^{-1/4}$ is position of the IR cutoff. The temperature is related to $a = (\pi T)^4/4$.

III. THE EFFECT OF GLUON CONDENSATE ON HOLOGRAPHIC ENTAN-GLEMENT ENTROPY AT VANISHING TEMPERATURE

In this section, we investigate the effect of gluon condensate on holographic entanglement entropy at vanishing temperature and show some numerical results. We consider a quantum mechanical system which is described by the density operator ρ_{tot} and divided into a subsystem $\mathcal A$ and its complement $\mathcal B$. The entanglement entropy of A is defined as the Von Neumann entropy

$$
S_{\rm EE} := -\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{A}} \rho_{\mathcal{A}} \ln \rho_{\mathcal{A}},\tag{9}
$$

where $\rho_A = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{B}} \rho_{tot}$ is the reduced density matrix and the density matrix of the pure ground state $|\Psi\rangle$ is $\rho_{\text{tot}} = |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$. According to original Refs. [\[56,](#page-15-0) [57\]](#page-15-1), the holographic dual of this quantity for a CFT_d on $\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1}$ is

$$
S_{\text{HEE}} = \frac{\text{Area} \left(\gamma_{\mathcal{A}} \right)}{4G_{(d+1)}}. \tag{10}
$$

Here γ_A is the static minimal surface in AdS_{d+1} with the boundary $\partial \gamma_A = \partial A$ and $G_{(d+1)}$ is the $d+1$ dimensional Newton constant. We assume a fixed strip shape on the boundary for the entanglement region

$$
\mathcal{A}: \quad x_1 \in [-l/2, l/2], \quad x_2, x_3 \in [-\infty, +\infty].
$$

Then the minimal area of γ_A , which is proportional to entanglement entropy of subsystem A, is obtained by minimizing the following area

$$
S_A^{(c)} = \frac{1}{4G_5} \int d^3x \sqrt{g_{in}},\tag{11}
$$

where g_{in} is induced metric on γ_A . A general form of the metric is assumed as

$$
ds^{2} = -f_{1}(z)dt^{2} + f_{2}(z)dz^{2} + f_{3}(z)d\vec{x}^{2}.
$$
 (12)

From (11) and (12) , we can derive that

$$
S_A^{(c)} = \frac{V_2}{4G_5} \int_{\frac{-l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}} dx_1 \sqrt{f_3^3(z) + f_3^2(z)f_2(z)z'^2},\tag{13}
$$

where V_2 is the area of the two-dimensional surface of x_2 and x_3 and $z' = \frac{dz}{dx}$ $\frac{dz}{dx_1}$. The above area is not explicitly dependent on x_1 , so the corresponding Hamiltonian is a constant of motion

$$
\frac{f_3^2(z)}{\sqrt{f_3(z) + f_2(z)z'^2}} = \text{const } = f_3^{\frac{3}{2}}(z_*),\tag{14}
$$

where z_* is the maximal value of z, namely $z(x = 0) = z_*$ and $z'(x_1 = 0) = 0$. Thus, from (14) , we can get

$$
z' = \sqrt{\frac{f_3(z)}{f_2(z)}} \sqrt{\frac{f_3^3(z)}{f_3^3(z_*)} - 1}.
$$
\n(15)

The relation between l and z_* can be obtained as

$$
l = 2 \int_0^{z_*} \sqrt{\frac{f_2(z)}{f_3(z)}} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{\frac{f_3^3(z)}{f_3^3(z_*)} - 1}}.
$$
 (16)

At last, from (14) and (15) , we can see that

$$
S_A^{(c)} = \frac{V_2}{2G_5} \int_0^{z_*} \frac{f_3^{\frac{5}{2}}(z) f_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(z)}{\sqrt{f_3^3(z) - f_3^3(z_*)}} dz.
$$
 (17)

Another configuration we will consider here is a disconnected solution. This configuration is described by two disconnected surfaces located at $x_1 = l/2$ and extended in all other spatial directions. We get the following expression for the disconnected solution

$$
S_A^{(d)} = \frac{V_2}{2G_5} \int_0^{z_c} f_3(z) f_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(z) dz.
$$
 (18)

The connected and disconnected configuration are shown in Fig. [1](#page-6-0) and define

$$
\Delta S(l) \equiv \frac{2G_5}{V_2} \left(S_A^{(c)} - S_A^{(d)} \right). \tag{19}
$$

Next, we show the numerical results in Fig. [2.](#page-7-0) The left panel of Fig. [2](#page-7-0) shows the strip length is increasing with z_* . There is a maximum value l_{max} , beyond which the connected

FIG. 1. A schematic diagram of connected configuration and disconnected configuration.

tangling surface doesn't exist and only the disconnected entangling surface remains. When increasing the gluon condensate, the maximum of strip length becomes small. We can also see that ΔS will change the sign beyond a critical length l_c , which is smaller than l_{max} . That means the disconnected surface dominates when $l > l_c$. Thus, there happens a phase transition at $l = l_c$, which is the confinement/deconfinement phase transition in dual gauge theory. With the increase of gluon condensate, the critical length $l = l_c$ becomes small. It indicates that the confined phase will dominate for a large gluon condensate at vanishing temperature. Thus, judging from the left shift of critical length $l = l_c$ at large gluon condensate, we can infer that large gluon condensate contributes the confinement.

Moreover, we analyze confinement from different aspects. Holographic entanglement entropy of disconnected configuration becomes constant and periodic as a function of l. It implies that there are no entangled states (e.g. product states). Thus, one does not need to subtract this part to see confinement in detail. Instead, the finite part of holographic entanglement entropy is defined as

$$
S_A = \frac{V_2}{4G_5\epsilon^2} + S_{fin}.\tag{20}
$$

Thus, the divergent part is subtracted. In Fig. [3](#page-7-1) (a), normalized S_{fin} is plotted as a function of l. One can see that this finite part of the connected surface decreases with increase of gluon condensate in a small way. Because degrees of freedom of entangled states decrease,

FIG. 2. (a) Strip length L as a function of z_* for $c = 0.01 \text{ GeV}^4$ (solid black line), 0.1 GeV⁴ (blue dashed line), 0.2 GeV⁴ (red dot-dashed line). (b) Difference in entanglement entropy between the connected and disconnected surface as a function of the length of the strip L for $c = 0.01 \text{ GeV}^4$ (solid black line), 0.1 GeV^4 (blue dashed line), 0.2 GeV^4 (red dot-dashed line). The units of L and z_* are GeV⁻¹.

FIG. 3. (a) The finite part of holographic entanglement entropy $S_{fin(2)} = 2G_5S_{fin}/V_2$ as a function of strip length L. Increase of condensate decreases S_{fin} of the connected surface a little. S_{fin} of the disconnected surface is a constant. (b) normalized entropic C-function $C_2(l) = 2G_5C(l)$ as a function of L. $C(l)$ suddenly jumps to zero at large l.

gluon condensate contributes confinement.

Generalized entropic C-function is more relevant for confinement. It is defined as

$$
C(l) = l^3 \frac{\partial S_A}{V_2 \partial l}.\tag{21}
$$

This is a generalization of 2d entropic C-function [\[89,](#page-16-5) [90\]](#page-16-6). Generalized entropic C-function represents degrees of freedom at the energy scale $E \sim 1/l$. Normalized entropic C-function

FIG. 4. (a) Strip length L as a function of z_* for $c = 0.01 \text{ GeV}^4$ (black solid line), 0.1 GeV^4 (blue dashed line), 0.2 GeV^4 (red dot-dashed line) at a fixed $T = 0.1 \text{ GeV}$. (b) The difference of entanglement entropy between the connected and disconnected surface as a function of strip length L for $c = 0.01 \text{ GeV}^4$ (black solid line), 0.1 GeV^4 (blue dashed line), 0.2 GeV^4 (red dot-dashed line) at a fixed $T = 0.1$ GeV. The units of L and z_* is GeV⁻¹.

is plotted as a function of l in Fig. [3](#page-7-1) (b). It decreases as l increases and suddenly jumps to zero. For large l, degrees of freedom of entangled states are not remained. Since the critical length l_c decreases with increase of gluon condensate, confinement is favored for large gluon condensate and even for large energy.

IV. THE EFFECT OF GLUON CONDENSATE ON HOLOGRAPHIC ENTAN-GLEMENT ENTROPY AT FINITE TEMPERATURE

In this section, we will turn to finite temperature and see the difference with previous case. The entanglement entropy of connected surface is the same as [\(17\)](#page-5-3) at finite temperature. But the disconnected surface is a little bit different. Considering

$$
x = -\frac{l}{2}, \quad z = z_f, \quad x = \frac{l}{2}, \tag{22}
$$

we can get $[65, 74]$ $[65, 74]$ $[65, 74]$

$$
\hat{S}_{A}^{(d)} = \frac{V_2}{4G_5} \left(2 \int_0^{z_f} dz f_3(z) \sqrt{f_2(z)} + l \sqrt{f_3^3(z_f)} \right). \tag{23}
$$

Similarly, the difference of entanglement entropy can be defined as

$$
\hat{\Delta}S \equiv \frac{2G_5}{V_2} \left(S_A^{(c)} - \hat{S}_A^{(d)} \right). \tag{24}
$$

FIG. 5. (a) Strip length L as a function of z_* for $T = 0.1$ GeV (black solid line), 0.2 GeV (blue dashed line), 0.3 GeV (red dot-dashed line) at a fixed $c = 0.2$ GeV⁴. (b) The difference of entanglement entropy between the connected and disconnected surface as a function of strip length L for $T = 0.1$ GeV(black solid line), 0.2 GeV(blue dashed line), 0.3 GeV(red dot-dashed line) for a fixed $c = 0.2 \text{ GeV}^4$. The units of L and z_* is GeV^{-1} .

FIG. 6. (a) The difference of entanglement entropy ΔS as a function of gluon condensate c for $T = 0.1$ GeV (black solid line), 0.2 GeV (blue dashed line), 0.3 GeV (red dot-dashed line). (b) The difference of entanglement entropy ΔS as a function of temperature T for $c = 0.01 \text{ GeV}^4$ (black solid line), 0.1 GeV^4 (blue dashed line), 0.2 GeV^4 (red dot-dashed line).

We show the numerical results in Fig. [4](#page-8-0) and Fig. [5](#page-9-0) for fixed temperature and gluon con-densate, respectively. The Fig. [4](#page-8-0) also shows strip length is increasing with z_* for a fixed temperature. Similar as previous case, the critical length l_c will shift to the left, which again implies the confined phase tends to dominate when we increase the gluon condensate. In Fig. [5,](#page-9-0) we fix the value of gluon condensate and change the temperature. The qualitative behavior of temperature in this model is consistent with the Refs. [\[65](#page-15-9), [74](#page-15-7)].

To be more clear, we show the the difference of entanglement entropy as a function of tem-

FIG. 7. (a) The normalized finite part of holographic entanglement entropy as a function of L for fixed temperature $T = 0.2$ GeV. The finite part of the connected surfce decreases with increase of c in a small way. The finite part of the disconnected surface is a constant. (b) the normalized $C_2(L)$ as a function of L for fixed temperature $T = 0.2$ GeV. Temperature increases degrees of freedom of thermally entangled states. As c increases, entropic C-function decreases.

perature and gluon condensate in Fig. [6.](#page-9-1) When we increase the value of gluon condensate, the difference of entanglement entropy will increase. It means the connected configuration will dominate with the increase of gluon condensate, which is in favor of the confined phase. The critical length will become smaller at the same time. When we increase the value of temperature, the difference of entanglement entropy will decrease, which means the critical length will become larger. Deconfined phase will dominate with the increase of the temperature.

Moreover, we compute the finite part of holographic entanglement entropy as a function of l in Fig. [7](#page-10-0) (a). This finite part can be considered as degrees of freedom of entangled states. The finite part of connected surfaces decreases with increase of temperature in a small way, while it increases with increase of temperature. In Fig. [7](#page-10-0) (b), the generalized entropic C-function is plotted as a function of L. For $T = 0.2$ GeV and $c = 0.1$ GeV⁴, the entropic C function increases. It captures degrees of freedom of thermal entangled state. When c increases, entropic C-function decreases because of the competitive behavior between confinement and deconfinement.

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We investigate the relation among gluon condensate, holographic entanglement entropy and phase transition in this paper. An Einstein-Dilaton model has been used and the dilaton field is related to the gluon condensate. We first calculate the vanishing temperature case. It is found that the difference of entanglement entropy will change sign and the phase transition from a connected to disconnected surface happens, which is dual to confinement/deconfinement phase transition.

For large gluon condensate, the critical length will shift to the left, which characterizes the system tends to be confined. We also analyzed the finite part of holographic entanglement entropy: $S_A = S_{A,div} + S_{A,fin}$, where $S_{A,div}$ depends on the cut-off scale and $S_{A,fin}$ is not. The gluon condensate decreases the finite part of the connected surface a little. It implies that the gluon condensate causes confinement and decreases degrees of freedom of entangled states because quarks can not be isolated. Actually, an entropic C-function decreases as a function of the length l and jumps to zero at the critical length where no entangled states are remained.

Even at finite temperature, the holographic entanglement entropy has the phase transition between connected and disconnected surfaces, which is different from [\[74,](#page-15-7) [75](#page-15-8)]. Computing disconnected surfaces, we don't have the entropy contribution from the black hole horizon, which vanishes in the dilaton black hole solution. At finite temperature, the effect of gluon condensate on the difference of entanglement entropy is the same as the case of vanishing temperature, while temperature oppositely affects entanglement entropy. Entropic C-function is useful to capture physics at finite temperature. Moreover, entropic C-function increases as a function of length l at finite temperature and captures thermal excitations. Thermal excitations have competitive bahaviors with gluon condensate as seen in Fig. [7.](#page-10-0) Thus, we may see that the holographic entanglement entropy can be regarded as an useful probe for the confinement/deconfinement phase. Further study in a realistic holographic model which captures more properties of QCD will be pursued in future research.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Zi-qiang Zhang for his useful discussions. This work is supported by the National Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under grant numbers 12405154, 12175100, and 11975132. It is also supported by the Open Fund for Key Laboratories of the Ministry of Education under grant number QLPL2024P01.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Houston and D. Pottinger, Z. Phys. C 3[, 83 \(1979\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01577402)
- [2] E.-k. Wang and J.-r. Li, HEPNP 13, 1003 (1989).
- [3] M. Baldo, P. Castorina, and D. Zappala, [Nucl. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2004.07.003) 743, 3 (2004), [arXiv:nucl-th/0311038.](http://arxiv.org/abs/nucl-th/0311038)
- [4] G. E. Brown, J. W. Holt, C.-H. Lee, and M. Rho, Phys. Rept. 439[, 161 \(2007\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.physrep.2006.12.002) [arXiv:nucl-th/0608023.](http://arxiv.org/abs/nucl-th/0608023)
- [5] P. Castorina and M. Mannarelli, Phys. Rev. C 75[, 054901 \(2007\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.75.054901) [arXiv:hep-ph/0701206.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0701206)
- [6] C. P. Herzog, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.091601) 98, 091601 (2007), [arXiv:hep-th/0608151.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0608151)
- [7] P. Colangelo, F. Giannuzzi, and S. Nicotri, Phys. Rev. D 83[, 035015 \(2011\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.035015) [arXiv:1008.3116 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1008.3116)
- [8] Y. Kim, T. Misumi, and I. J. Shin, (2009), [arXiv:0911.3205 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/0911.3205)
- [9] N. Evans, A. Gebauer, M. Magou, and K.-Y. Kim, J. Phys. G 39[, 054005 \(2012\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1088/0954-3899/39/5/054005) [arXiv:1109.2633 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.2633)
- [10] O. DeWolfe, S. S. Gubser, and C. Rosen, Phys. Rev. D 83[, 086005 \(2011\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.086005) [arXiv:1012.1864 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1012.1864)
- [11] D. Li, S. He, M. Huang, and Q.-S. Yan, JHEP 09[, 041 \(2011\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/JHEP09(2011)041) [arXiv:1103.5389 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1103.5389)
- [12] N. Evans, K.-Y. Kim, M. Magou, Y. Seo, and S.-J. Sin, JHEP 09[, 045 \(2012\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/JHEP09(2012)045) [arXiv:1204.5640 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.5640)
- [13] R.-G. Cai, S. He, and D. Li, JHEP 03[, 033 \(2012\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/JHEP03(2012)033) [arXiv:1201.0820 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1201.0820)
- [14] R.-G. Cai, S. Chakrabortty, S. He, and L. Li, JHEP 02[, 068 \(2013\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/JHEP02(2013)068) [arXiv:1209.4512 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1209.4512)
- [15] T. Alho, M. Järvinen, K. Kajantie, E. Kiritsis, and K. Tuominen, JHEP 01[, 093 \(2013\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/JHEP01(2013)093) [arXiv:1210.4516 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1210.4516)
- [16] Y. Yang and P.-H. Yuan, JHEP 12[, 161 \(2015\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2015)161) [arXiv:1506.05930 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.05930)
- [17] R. Critelli, R. Rougemont, S. I. Finazzo, and J. Noronha, Phys. Rev. D 94[, 125019 \(2016\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.125019) [arXiv:1606.09484 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1606.09484)
- [18] M.-W. Li, Y. Yang, and P.-H. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D 96[, 066013 \(2017\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.066013) [arXiv:1703.09184 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1703.09184)
- [19] K. Chelabi, Z. Fang, M. Huang, D. Li, and Y.-L. Wu, JHEP 04[, 036 \(2016\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/JHEP04(2016)036) [arXiv:1512.06493 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.06493)
- [20] D. Li, M. Huang, Y. Yang, and P.-H. Yuan, JHEP 02[, 030 \(2017\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/JHEP02(2017)030) [arXiv:1610.04618 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1610.04618)
- [21] D. Li and M. Huang, JHEP 02[, 042 \(2017\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2017)042) [arXiv:1610.09814 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1610.09814)
- [22] Z. Li, Y. Chen, D. Li, and M. Huang, Chin. Phys. C 42[, 013103 \(2018\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1088/1674-1137/42/1/013103) [arXiv:1706.02238 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1706.02238)
- [23] J. Knaute, R. Yaresko, and B. Kämpfer, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.01.053) 778 , 419 (2018), [arXiv:1702.06731 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1702.06731)
- [24] U. Gursoy, M. Jarvinen, and G. Nijs, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.242002) 120, 242002 (2018), [arXiv:1707.00872 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1707.00872)
- [25] U. Gürsoy, M. Järvinen, G. Nijs, and J. F. Pedraza, JHEP 04[, 071 \(2019\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2019)071) [Erratum: JHEP 09, 059 (2020)], [arXiv:1811.11724 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1811.11724)
- [26] X. Chen, D. Li, and M. Huang, Chin. Phys. C 43[, 023105 \(2019\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/43/2/023105) [arXiv:1810.02136 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1810.02136)
- [27] X. Chen, D. Li, D. Hou, and M. Huang, JHEP 03[, 073 \(2020\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/JHEP03(2020)073) [arXiv:1908.02000 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1908.02000)
- [28] X. Chen, S.-Q. Feng, Y.-F. Shi, and Y. Zhong, Phys. Rev. D 97[, 066015 \(2018\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.066015) [arXiv:1710.00465 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1710.00465)
- [29] X. Cao, H. Liu, D. Li, and G. Ou, Chin. Phys. C 44[, 083106 \(2020\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1088/1674-1137/44/8/083106) [arXiv:2001.02888 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2001.02888)
- [30] D. M. Rodrigues, D. Li, E. Folco Capossoli, and H. Boschi-Filho, Phys. Rev. D 103[, 066022 \(2021\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.066022) [arXiv:2010.06762 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2010.06762)
- [31] I. Y. Aref'eva, K. Rannu, and P. Slepov, JHEP 07[, 161 \(2021\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2021)161) [arXiv:2011.07023 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2011.07023)
- [32] X. Chen, L. Zhang, D. Li, D. Hou, and M. Huang, JHEP 07[, 132 \(2021\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/JHEP07(2021)132) [arXiv:2010.14478 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2010.14478)
- [33] Z.-R. Zhu, S.-Q. Feng, Y.-F. Shi, and Y. Zhong, Phys. Rev. D 99[, 126001 \(2019\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.126001) [arXiv:1901.09304 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1901.09304)
- [34] Z.-R. Zhu, D.-f. Hou, and X. Chen, [Eur. Phys. J. C](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8110-8) 80, 550 (2020), [arXiv:1912.05806 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1912.05806)
- [35] Z.-R. Zhu, Y.-K. Liu, and D. Hou, (2021), [arXiv:2108.05148 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2108.05148)
- [36] D. Giataganas, U. Gürsoy, and J. F. Pedraza, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.121601) 121, 121601 (2018), [arXiv:1708.05691 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1708.05691)
- [37] T. Nakas and K. S. Rigatos, JHEP 12[, 157 \(2020\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2020)157) [arXiv:2010.00025 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2010.00025)
- [38] R. Abt, J. Erdmenger, N. Evans, and K. S. Rigatos, JHEP 11[, 160 \(2019\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/JHEP11(2019)160) [arXiv:1907.09489 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1907.09489)
- [39] C. Csaki and M. Reece, JHEP 05[, 062 \(2007\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/05/062) [arXiv:hep-ph/0608266.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0608266)
- [40] S. S. Gubser and A. Nellore, Phys. Rev. D 78[, 086007 \(2008\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.086007) [arXiv:0804.0434 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/0804.0434)
- [41] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, [Nucl. Phys. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(79)90022-1) 147, 385 (1979).
- [42] S. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **40**[, 2484 \(1989\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.40.2484)
- [43] M. D'Elia, A. Di Giacomo, and E. Meggiolaro, Phys. Rev. D 67[, 114504 \(2003\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.114504) [arXiv:hep-lat/0205018.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-lat/0205018)
- [44] D. E. Miller, [Phys. Rept.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2007.02.012) 443, 55 (2007), [arXiv:hep-ph/0608234.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0608234)
- [45] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(99)00048-9) 449, 39 (1999), [arXiv:hep-th/9812017.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9812017)
- [46] S. S. Gubser, (1999), $arXiv:hep-th/9902155$.
- [47] A. Kehagias and K. Sfetsos, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(99)00393-7) 454, 270 (1999), [arXiv:hep-th/9902125.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9902125)
- [48] Y. Ko, B.-H. Lee, and C. Park, JHEP 04[, 037 \(2010\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/JHEP04(2010)037) [arXiv:0912.5274 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/0912.5274)
- [49] Y. Kim, B.-H. Lee, C. Park, and S.-J. Sin, Phys. Rev. D 80[, 105016 \(2009\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevD.80.105016) [arXiv:0808.1143 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/0808.1143)
- [50] Y.-Q. Zhao, Z.-R. Zhu, and X. Chen, [Eur. Phys. J. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-020-00072-5) 56, 57 (2020), [arXiv:1909.04994 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1909.04994)
- [51] Z.-q. Zhang and D.-f. Hou, Phys. Lett. B **803**[, 135301 \(2020\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135301)
- [52] Z.-Q. Zhang, X. Zhu, and D.-F. Hou, Phys. Rev. D 101[, 026017 \(2020\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.026017) [arXiv:2001.02321 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2001.02321)
- [53] Z.-q. Zhang, [Eur. Phys. J. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7503-z) **79**, 992 (2019).
- [54] Y. Kim, B.-H. Lee, C. Park, and S.-J. Sin, JHEP 09[, 105 \(2007\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1088/1126-6708/2007/09/105) [arXiv:hep-th/0702131.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0702131)
- [55] S. S. Afonin, Phys. Lett. B 809[, 135780 \(2020\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135780) [arXiv:2005.01550 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2005.01550)
- [56] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.181602) 96, 181602 (2006), [arXiv:hep-th/0603001.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0603001)
- [57] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, JHEP 08[, 045 \(2006\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/08/045) [arXiv:hep-th/0605073.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0605073)
- [58] T. Nishioka, S. Ryu, and T. Takayanagi, J. Phys. A 42[, 504008 \(2009\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/42/50/504008) [arXiv:0905.0932 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/0905.0932)
- [59] M. Rangamani and T. Takayanagi, [Holographic Entanglement Entropy](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-52573-0), Vol. 931 (Springer, 2017) [arXiv:1609.01287 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1609.01287)
- [60] B. Chen, [Commun. Theor. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0253-6102/71/7/837) **71**, 837 (2019).
- [61] T. Nishioka and T. Takayanagi, JHEP 01[, 090 \(2007\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/01/090) [arXiv:hep-th/0611035.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0611035)
- [62] I. R. Klebanov, D. Kutasov, and A. Murugan, [Nucl. Phys. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2007.12.017) 796, 274 (2008), [arXiv:0709.2140 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/0709.2140)
- [63] I. Bah, A. Faraggi, L. A. Pando Zayas, and C. A. Terrero-Escalante, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X0904542X) 24, 2703 (2009), [arXiv:0710.5483 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/0710.5483)
- [64] I. Bah, L. A. Pando Zayas, and C. A. Terrero-Escalante, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X12500480) 27, 1250048 (2012), [arXiv:0809.2912 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/0809.2912)
- [65] M. Ali-Akbari and M. Lezgi, Phys. Rev. D **96**[, 086014 \(2017\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.086014) [arXiv:1706.04335 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1706.04335)
- [66] M. M. Anber and B. J. Kolligs, JHEP 08[, 175 \(2018\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2018)175) [arXiv:1804.01956 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1804.01956)
- [67] P. Jain, S. S. Jena, and S. Mahapatra, Phys. Rev. D 107[, 086016 \(2023\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.107.086016) [arXiv:2209.15355 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2209.15355)
- [68] R. da Rocha, Phys. Rev. D 105[, 026014 \(2022\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.026014) [arXiv:2111.01244 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2111.01244)
- [69] Z.-q. Zhang and X. Zhu, [Eur. Phys. J. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2019-12687-4) 55, 18 (2019).
- [70] M. Rahimi, M. Ali-Akbari, and M. Lezgi, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2017.05.055) 771, 583 (2017), [arXiv:1610.01835 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1610.01835)
- [71] M. Baggioli, B. Padhi, P. W. Phillips, and C. Setty, JHEP 07[, 049 \(2018\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2018)049) [arXiv:1805.01470 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1805.01470)
- [72] M. Baggioli and D. Giataganas, Phys. Rev. D 103[, 026009 \(2021\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.026009) [arXiv:2007.07273 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2007.07273)
- [73] M. Baggioli, Y. Liu, and X.-M. Wu, JHEP 05[, 221 \(2023\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2023)221) [arXiv:2302.11096 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2302.11096)
- [74] D. Dudal and S. Mahapatra, JHEP 07[, 120 \(2018\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2018)120) [arXiv:1805.02938 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1805.02938)
- [75] S. Mahapatra, JHEP 04[, 137 \(2019\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2019)137) [arXiv:1903.05927 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1903.05927)
- [76] I. Y. Aref'eva, [Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1134/S1547477119050042) 16, 486 (2019).
- [77] J. Knaute and B. Kämpfer, Phys. Rev. D 96[, 106003 \(2017\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.106003) [arXiv:1706.02647 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1706.02647)
- [78] Z. Li, K. Xu, and M. Huang, Chin. Phys. C 45[, 013116 \(2021\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/abc539) [arXiv:2002.08650 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2002.08650)
- [79] I. Y. Aref'eva, A. Patrushev, and P. Slepov, JHEP 07[, 043 \(2020\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2020)043) [arXiv:2003.05847 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2003.05847)
- [80] M. Asadi, B. Amrahi, and H. Eshaghi-Kenari, [Eur. Phys. J. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-11214-6) 83, 69 (2023), [arXiv:2209.01586 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2209.01586)
- [81] N. Jokela and J. G. Subils, JHEP 02[, 147 \(2021\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2021)147) [arXiv:2010.09392 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2010.09392)
- [82] M. Fujita, S. He, and Y. Sun, Phys. Rev. D 102[, 126019 \(2020\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevD.102.126019) [arXiv:2005.01048 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2005.01048)
- [83] Z. Li, Phys. Rev. D 110[, 046012 \(2024\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.110.046012) [arXiv:2402.02944 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/2402.02944)
- [84] P. V. Buividovich and M. I. Polikarpov, [Nucl. Phys. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2008.04.024) **802**, 458 (2008), [arXiv:0802.4247 \[hep-lat\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/0802.4247)
- [85] E. Itou, K. Nagata, Y. Nakagawa, A. Nakamura, and V. I. Zakharov, PTEP 2016[, 061B01 \(2016\),](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1093/ptep/ptw050) [arXiv:1512.01334 \[hep-th\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.01334)
- [86] I. R. Klebanov and E. Witten, [Nucl. Phys. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(99)00387-9) 556, 89 (1999), [arXiv:hep-th/9905104.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9905104)
- [87] A. Cherman, T. D. Cohen, and E. S. Werbos, Phys. Rev. C 79[, 045203 \(2009\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.79.045203) [arXiv:0804.1096 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/0804.1096)
- [88] S. S. Afonin, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X11053997) 26, 3615 (2011), [arXiv:1012.5065 \[hep-ph\].](http://arxiv.org/abs/1012.5065)
- [89] H. Casini and M. Huerta, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.08.072) 600, 142 (2004), [arXiv:hep-th/0405111.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0405111)
- [90] H. Casini and M. Huerta, J. Phys. A 40[, 7031 \(2007\),](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/40/25/S57) [arXiv:cond-mat/0610375.](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0610375)