

Low energy Eta-baryon interaction

M. G. L. Nogueira-Santos* and C. C. Barros, Jr†

*Departamento de Física, CFM,
Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina
Florianópolis SC, CEP 88010-900, Brazil*

(Dated: April 28, 2023)

The η -Baryon interactions at low energies are studied in a model based in effective chiral Lagrangians that take into account baryons of spin 1/2 and spin 3/2 in the intermediate states. The interacting baryons to be considered in this work are $B = N, \Lambda, \Sigma, \Xi$. We calculate the expected total and differential cross sections, phase-shifts and polarizations in the center-of-mass frame of reference for each reaction.

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of the meson-baryon interactions at low energies is a key element in order to understand the strong interactions. Even if we only think in terms of the basic interactions that determine the phenomenology of particle physics, this kind of interaction may be considered of fundamental importance. Besides this point of view, these interactions are the ones responsible for the structure and behavior of a countless number of physical systems.

In previous works we have studied pion-hyperon and other kinds of meson-hyperon interactions [5]-[11] and determined several elements of these processes (such as coupling constants and cross-sections). In this work we will continue these studies, now considering the η -baryon (ηB) interactions.

When studying nuclear Physics, this kind of interaction is important, and is an element that must be considered in order to obtain an accurate description of the properties of the studied nuclei. The formation of η bound states with nuclei [12, 13] and the η production in interactions of nuclei with other particles [14, 15] are interesting examples. An extension of these ideas is the inclusion of hypernuclei inside this framework, and then, the knowledge of η -hyperon physics will be necessary.

In the study of high energy collisions this kind of process also may be considered in the description of the final-state interactions, when these systems reach a state composed of interacting hadronic matter, in a way similar to the one shown in [16, 17]. Despite the fact that the produced particles reach high momenta values in the laboratory frame, inside the medium the relative energy is small, so, the low energy interactions are the most important ones to be considered to explain the observable effects.

These are just few examples of systems where the effects of ηB interactions should be studied, but obviously, a much greater number of systems have important contributions of these interactions. So, in this work, we will study the low energy ηB interactions in a way that

may be considered a continuation of the works presented in [5]-[11], with a model based on chiral effective Lagrangians that consider baryons with spin 1/2 and 3/2 in the intermediate states of the processes.

This work will show the following content: in Sec. II we will present the basic formalism of the model and evaluate the analytical expressions for the considered amplitudes. In Sec. III we will determine the coupling constants that appears in our expressions and show the numerical results for some typical observables. In Sec. IV we will present the conclusions of this work, and an Appendix will show some expressions that appear in the paper.

II. ISOSINGLET INTERACTION

In this section we present the basic formalism that will be used in order to calculate the Feynman diagrams for the η -baryon interactions in processes with baryons of spin 1/2 or spin 3/2 in the intermediate states. We will also consider a parametrization for the σ -meson exchange, as it has been done previously [5]-[9].

In this work we will study four kinds of ηB interactions, ηN , $\eta \Lambda$, $\eta \Sigma$ and $\eta \Xi$. For this purpose, we will take into account effective chiral Lagrangians, already well studied in many works, as for example for the πN [1]-[4], πY [5]-[7], KY [8, 9, 11] and for the $\pi \Lambda_b$ [10] interactions. As the η -meson has isospin zero, all kinds of ηB interactions to be considered to construct the scattering amplitudes are isosinglet ones, so the use of the formalism for isospin states projection operators will not be needed.

As the spin determines the form of the Lagrangian [1]-[4] to be used in order to formulate the model, we will consider two types of chiral Lagrangians, that will represent spin-1/2 and spin-3/2 particles in the intermediate state, given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\eta BB_r} = \frac{g_{\eta BB_r}}{2m} (\bar{B}_r \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 B) \partial^\mu \eta, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\eta BB^*} = g_{\eta BB^*} \bar{B}^{*\mu} \left(g_{\mu\nu} + (Z + 1/2) \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu \right) B \partial^\nu \eta, \quad (2)$$

where B_r , B^* and η are the baryon with spin 1/2, the baryon with spin 3/2, and the η -meson fields with masses

* magwwo@gmail.com

† barros.celso@ufsc.br

m_r , m_* and m_η , respectively. B is the incoming baryon with spin 1/2 and mass m . The parameter Z represents the possibility of the off-shell- B^* having spin 1/2, and $g_{\eta BB_r}$ and $g_{\eta BB^*}$ are the coupling constants.

The Lagrangian formalism permits us to calculate the diagrams and then to find the scattering amplitude $T_{\eta B}$, that may be decomposed in terms of the $A_{B'}$ and $B_{B'}$ amplitudes that for an interaction with intermediate state B' is given by

$$T_{\eta B} = \bar{u}(\vec{p}') \left[A_{B'} + \frac{1}{2}(\not{k} + \not{k}') B_{B'} \right] u(\vec{p}), \quad (3)$$

where ηB represents the initial baryon with spin 1/2 ($B = N, \Lambda, \Sigma, \Xi$) and the η -meson with spin 0. $u(\vec{p})$ and $\bar{u}(\vec{p}')$ are the spinors that represent the initial and final baryons with momenta \vec{p} and \vec{p}' . \vec{k} and \vec{k}' are the initial and final momenta of the meson.

We also may decompose the scattering amplitude into spin-non-flip and spin-flip amplitudes $f(k, x)$ and $g(k, x)$, that are useful in order to calculate the observables, then we write

$$T_{\eta B} = 8\pi\sqrt{s} [f(k, x) + ig(k, x) \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}], \quad (4)$$

where $\vec{\sigma}$ represents the Pauli matrices, \hat{n} is an unitary vector in the direction perpendicular to the scattering plane, $k = |\vec{k}|$, $x = \cos\theta$, θ is the scattering angle in the center-of-mass frame and \sqrt{s} is the total energy as defined in the Appendix.

These amplitudes may be expanded in terms of partial-wave amplitudes,

$$f(k, x) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left[(l+1) a_{l+}^U(k) + l a_{l-}^U(k) \right] P_l(x), \quad (5)$$

$$g(k, x) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left[a_{l-}^U(k) - a_{l+}^U(k) \right] P_l^{(1)}(x). \quad (6)$$

where $P_l(x)$ and $P_l^{(1)}(x)$ are Legendre's functions. The partial-wave amplitudes, $a_{l\pm}^U(k)$, are unitarized by the K-matrix method [5]-[7],

$$a_{l\pm}^U = \frac{a_{l\pm}}{1 - ika_{l\pm}}. \quad (7)$$

This process is needed because the resulting amplitudes are real when calculated at the tree level, consequently they violate the unitarity of the S matrix. The amplitudes $a_{l\pm}(k)$ may be determined by using the orthogonality relations for the Legendre functions

$$a_{l\pm}(k) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \left[P_l(x) f_1(k) + P_{l\pm 1}(x) f_2(k) \right] dx, \quad (8)$$

where $f_1(k)$ and $f_2(k)$ are functions defined in terms of the $A_{B'}$ and $B_{B'}$ amplitudes that are calculated from the diagrams to be considered

$$f_1(k) = \frac{(E+m)}{8\pi\sqrt{s}} [A_{B'} + (\sqrt{s}-m)B_{B'}], \quad (9)$$

$$f_2(k) = \frac{(E-m)}{8\pi\sqrt{s}} [-A_{B'} + (\sqrt{s}+m)B_{B'}], \quad (10)$$

where E is the baryon (B) energy in the center-of-mass frame. The processes that will be considered in this work are shown in Fig. 1, and as we are interested in the low energy behavior of the reactions, the S and P waves will dominate the scattering amplitudes and the amplitudes relative to the larger values of the angular momentum will be just small corrections.




FIG. 1: Diagrams for the ηB interaction

As we can notice from the previous expressions, the basic problem is to determine the $f(k, x)$ and $g(k, x)$ amplitudes, that will be used to obtain the observables. For this purpose we calculate the $A_{B'}$ and $B_{B'}$ amplitudes from the considered diagrams as the resulting scattering amplitude has the form of eq. (3). For the diagrams **a)** and **b)** shown in FIG.1, that take into account a baryon spin 1/2 ($B' = B_r$) in the intermediate state, considering the Lagrangian (1), we find

$$A_{B_r} = \frac{g_{\eta BB_r}^2}{4m^2} (m_r + m) \left(\frac{s - m^2}{s - m_r^2} + \frac{u - m^2}{u - m_r^2} \right), \quad (11)$$

$$B_{B_r} = \frac{g_{\eta BB_r}^2}{4m^2} \left[\frac{2m(m + m_r) + u - m^2}{u - m_r^2} - \frac{2m(m + m_r) + s - m^2}{s - m_r^2} \right], \quad (12)$$

where s , t and u are Mandelstam variables (the definition may be found in the Appendix).

Making the same procedure for the diagrams **c)** and **d)** representing a spin 3/2 particle in the intermediate state ($B' = B^*$) and using the Lagrangian (2), we have

$$A_{B^*} = \frac{g_{\eta BB^*}^2}{3m} \left[\frac{\nu_*}{\nu_*^2 - \nu^2} \hat{A} + m(a_0 + a_z k \cdot k') \right], \quad (13)$$

$$B_{B^*} = \frac{g_{\eta BB^*}^2}{3m} \left[\frac{\nu}{\nu_*^2 - \nu^2} \hat{B} + 2m^2 b_0 \nu \right], \quad (14)$$

where ν_* , ν , k , k' are defined in the Appendix and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A} = & \frac{(m_* + m)^2 - m_\eta^2}{2m_*^2} \left[2m_*^3 - 2m^3 \right. \\ & - 2mm_*^2 - 2m^3 - 2mm_*^2 \\ & \left. - 2m^2 m_* + (2m - m_*)m_\eta^2 \right] \\ & + \frac{3}{2}(m + m_*)t, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{B} = & \frac{1}{2m_*^2} \left[(m_*^2 - m^2)^2 - 2mm_*(m + m_*)^2 \right. \\ & \left. - 2m_\eta^2(m + m_*)^2 + 6m_\eta^2 m_*(m + m_*) + m_\eta^4 \right] \\ & + \frac{3}{2}t, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$a_0 = -\frac{(m + m_*)}{m_*^2} \left[2m_*^2 + mm_* - m^2 + 2m_\eta^2 \right], \quad (17)$$

$$a_z = \frac{4}{m_*^2} \left[(m_* + m)Z + (2m_* + m)Z^2 \right], \quad (18)$$

$$b_0 = -\frac{4Z^2}{m_*^2}, \quad (19)$$

where m_η is the η -meson mass.

The last diagram **e**), is an exchange of a scalar σ meson calculated by the parametrization [5]-[7], [18]-[21]

$$A_\sigma = a + bt, \quad (20)$$

$$B_\sigma = 0, \quad (21)$$

where $a = 1,05m_\pi^{-1}$ and $b = -0,8m_\pi^{-3}$ are constants, determined in terms of the pion mass $m_\pi = 140MeV$ [26].

	N	Λ	Σ	Ξ
$a(m_\pi^{-1})$	1.05	0.54	0.47	0.19
$b(m_\pi^{-3})$	-0.8	0.036	0.032	0.0074

TABLE I: Constants of scalar σ meson parametrization

III. RESULTS OF THE ηB INTERACTIONS

In order to obtain numerical results for our calculations the coupling constants that are considered in the expressions must be determined. So, in this section we will use the $SU(3)$ symmetry and the Breit-Wigner expression for each intermediate particle that appear in the diagrams for the ηB interaction to obtain their values. Then we will present some results for the observables of interest,

<i>Interaction</i>	<i>Intermediate</i>	J^π	I	<i>Mass (MeV)</i>
ηN	N	$1/2^+$	$1/2$	938
ηN	$N(1535)$	$1/2^-$	$1/2$	1535
ηN	$N(1650)$	$1/2^-$	$1/2$	1650
ηN	$N^*(1700)$	$3/2^-$	$1/2$	1700
ηN	$N(1710)$	$1/2^+$	$1/2$	1710
$\eta \Lambda$	Λ	$1/2^+$	0	1116
$\eta \Lambda$	$\Lambda(1670)$	$1/2^-$	0	1670
$\eta \Lambda$	$\Lambda(1800)$	$1/2^-$	0	1800
$\eta \Sigma$	Σ	$1/2^+$	1	1116
$\eta \Sigma$	$\Sigma(1750)$	$1/2^-$	1	1750
$\eta \Xi$	Ξ	$1/2^+$	$1/2$	1320

TABLE II: Intermediate baryons considered in the ηB interactions

the total cross sections, differential cross sections, phase-shifts and polarizations.

The ηB interactions to be studied in this work are ηN , $\eta \Lambda$, $\eta \Sigma$ and $\eta \Xi$. The particles considered in the intermediate states for each ηB interaction are shown in Tab. II [26], that presents their spin and parity J^π , isospin I and mass. These reactions may be understood in terms of the results obtained in the previous section. For intermediate states with spin $1/2$ and spin $3/2$ we used the expressions (11), (12) and (13), (14) respectively.

For the lowest energy baryons in the ηB interaction we used the $SU(3)$ symmetry to determine the coupling constants, that provides the general expression given by [22, 23]

$$g_{\eta BB} = g_{\eta_8 BB} \cos \theta_{ps} - g_{\eta_0 BB} \sin \theta_{ps} \quad (22)$$

where η_0 represents the singlet state and η_8 the octet state and θ_{ps} , the pseudoscalar meson mixing angle. Then we have

$$g_{\eta_8 NN} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(4\alpha - 1)f', \quad (23)$$

$$g_{\eta_8 \Lambda \Lambda} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(\alpha - 1)f', \quad (24)$$

$$g_{\eta_8 \Sigma \Sigma} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(\alpha - 1)f', \quad (25)$$

$$g_{\eta_8 \Xi \Xi} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(2\alpha + 1)f', \quad (26)$$

and for the singlet states for all the considered ηB interactions the coupling constant is given by

$$g_{\eta_0 BB} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(4\alpha - 1)f', \quad (27)$$

where we considered the values $f' = g_{NN\pi} = 13.4$ [24], $\alpha = 0.244$ and $\theta_{ps} = -23^\circ$.

To the calculate the coupling constants for intermediates state with baryons of higher masses we use the relativistic Breit-Wigner expression for each of them. In this method we compar the δ_{p1} or δ_{p3} phase shifts of the




FIG. 2: Coupling constants adjustments for Breit-Wigner phase shifts for the ηB resonances

resonant state with the Breit-Wigner expression

$$\delta_{l\pm} = \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{\Gamma \left(\frac{|\vec{k}|}{|k_0|} \right)^{2J+1}}{2(m' - \sqrt{s})} \right], \quad (28)$$

where Γ is the Breit-Wigner width (experimental value that may be found in [26]) and $|\vec{k}_0|$ is the center-of-mass momentum at the peak of the resonance and m' its mass. With this procedure we obtained the results shown in

$g_{\eta NN}$	-0.27
$g_{\eta NN(1535)}$	12.80
$g_{\eta NN(1650)}$	3.60
$g_{\eta NN^*(1700)}$	5.50 GeV^{-1}
$g_{\eta NN(1710)}$	3.30
$g_{\eta \Lambda \Lambda}$	-10.86
$g_{\eta \Lambda \Lambda(1670)}$	0.12
$g_{\eta \Lambda \Lambda(1800)}$	3.00
$g_{\eta \Sigma \Sigma}$	10.66
$g_{\eta \Sigma \Sigma(1750)}$	1.32
$g_{\eta \Xi \Xi}$	-10.70

TABLE III: Coumpling constants of the ηB interactions

FIG.2, where the phase shifts calculated from the diagrams are compared with the ones determined by the

Breit-Wigner expression. The resulting coupling constants, for which the best fits are achieved, are shown in the Tab. III.




FIG. 3: Total cross sections for the ηB interactions

The last step is to calculate the observables of each ηB interaction. By using the unitarized amplitudes (5) and (6) in the center-of-mass frame, we construct the




FIG. 4: Phase shifts for the ηB interactions




FIG. 5: Differential cross sections for the ηB interactions

differential cross section

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = |f|^2 + |g|^2, \quad (29)$$

that integrated in the solid angle provides the total cross




FIG. 6: Polarizations for the ηB interactions

section

$$\sigma_T = 4\pi \sum_l \left[(l+1) |a_{l+}^U|^2 + l |a_{l-}^U|^2 \right]. \quad (30)$$

Also using the $f(k, x)$ and $g(k, x)$ amplitudes we have the polarization expression

$$\vec{P} = -2 \frac{Im(f * g)}{|f|^2 + |g|^2} \hat{n}. \quad (31)$$

The phase shifts are given in terms of the partial wave amplitudes, $a_{l\pm}(8)$ with the expression

$$\delta_{l\pm} = \tan^{-1}(ka_{l\pm}). \quad (32)$$

The results, considering $m_\eta = 548 MeV$ [26] and $Z = -0.5$, of the observables of the ηN , $\eta\Lambda$, $\eta\Sigma$ and $\eta\Xi$ interactions are show in FIG.3, for the the total cross sections, FIG.4, for the phase shifts, FIG.5, for the differential cross sections and in FIG.6 for the polarizations.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have studied the ηB interactions in a model based in chiral effective lagrangians. The amplitudes of interest have been calculated, and then, we obtained all the coupling constants that were needed. With these results it was possible to calculate the phase shifts, total and differential cross sections and polarizations for the low energy ηN , $\eta\Lambda$, $\eta\Sigma$ and $\eta\Xi$ reactions. As it has been pointed before, these results may be relevant when investigating a large amount of physical systems.

As we can see in the figures, the cross sections follow the same pattern that may be found in other meson-baryon interactions and are dominated by resonances at low energies. If we imagine that in high energy collisions the observed baryons may be polarized by a final-state interaction mechanism in a way similar to the one that has been shown in [16, 17], we may conclude that is possible to obtain baryons produced with significant polarization, as far as, in the low energy processes, such as the ones shown in FIG. 6, under certain conditions (of the momentum and of the scattering angle) the polarization may be large.

For the reasons exposed in this work, it is very important that this type of reaction continues to be investigated and used in order to understand more complex systems.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study has been partially supported by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) – Finance Code 001 and by CNPq.

VI. APPENDIX

The Mandelstam variables are defined in terms of p and p' , the initial and final baryon four-momenta, k and k' the initial and final meson four-momenta

$$s = (p+k)^2 = (p'+k')^2 = m^2 + m_\eta^2 + 2Ek_0 - 2\vec{k} \cdot \vec{p}, \quad (33)$$

$$u = (p' - k)^2 = (p - k')^2 = m^2 + m_\eta^2 - 2Ek_0 - 2\vec{k}' \cdot \vec{p}, \quad (34)$$

$$t = (p - p')^2 = (k - k')^2 = 2(\vec{k}')^2 x - 2(\vec{k})^2, \quad (35)$$

where, in the center-of-mass frame, the energies are

$$k_0 = k'_0 = \sqrt{(\vec{k})^2 + m_\eta^2}, \quad (36)$$

$$E = E' = \sqrt{(\vec{k})^2 + m^2}, \quad (37)$$

and the total momentum is null

$$\vec{p} + \vec{k} = \vec{p}' + \vec{k}' = 0. \quad (38)$$

We also define, as usual

$$x = \cos \theta, \quad (39)$$

where θ is the scattering angle in center-of-mass frame.

Other variables used in this work are

$$\nu_* = \frac{m_*^2 - m^2 - k \cdot k'}{2m}, \quad (40)$$

$$\nu = \frac{s - u}{4m} = \frac{2Ek_0 + (\vec{k}')^2 + (\vec{k})^2 x}{2m}, \quad (41)$$

$$k \cdot k' = m_\eta^2 + (\vec{k}')^2 - (\vec{k})^2 x = k_0^2 - (\vec{k})^2 x, \quad (42)$$

where m , m_* and m_η are the baryon mass, the resonance mass and the eta mass, respectively.

-
- [1] H. T. Coelho, T. K. Das and M. R. Robilotta, Phys. Rev. C **28**, 1812 (1983).
[2] H. Pilkuhn, *The Interaction of Hadrons*, (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1967).
[3] E. T. Osypowski, Nucl. Phys. B **21**, 615 (1970).
[4] M. G. Olsson and E. T. Osypowski, Nucl. Phys. B **101**, 136 (1975).
[5] C. C. Barros and Y. Hama, Phys. Rev. C **63**, 065203 (2001).
[6] C. C. Barros Jr., Phys. Rev. D **68**, 034006 (2003).
[7] C. C. Barros Jr. and M. R. Robilotta, Eur. Phys. J. C **45**, 445 (2006).
[8] M. G. L. N. Santos, C. C. Barros Jr., Phys. Rev. C **99**, 025206 (2019).
[9] M. G. L. Nogueira-Santos, C. C. Barros Jr., Int. J. Mod. Phys. E, **29**, 2050013 (2020).
[10] M. G. L. Nogueira-Santos, C. C. Barros Jr., Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, 578 (2020).
[11] M. G. L. Nogueira-Santos, C. C. Barros Jr., Phys. Rev. C **102**, 055205 (2020).
[12] R. S. Hayano, S. Hirenzaki and A. Gillitzer, Eur. Phys. J. A **6**, 99.
[13] S. D. Bass and A. W. Thomas, Phys. Lett. B **634** (2006) 368.
[14] N. G. Kelkar, et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. **76** (2013) 066301.
[15] A. A. Turling, V. G. Nedorezov and N. V. Rudnev, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **85** (2022), 185.
[16] C. C. Barros Jr and Y. Hama, Phys. Lett. B **699** (2011) 74.
[17] C. C. Barros Jr and Y. Hama, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **17** (2008) 371.
[18] J. Gasser, M. E. Sainio and A. Švarc, Nucl. Phys. B **307**, 779 (1988); T. Becher and H. Leutwyler, Eur. Phys. Journal C **9**, 643 (1999); JHEP **106**, 17 (2001).
[19] J. Gasser, H. Leutwyler and M. E. Sainio, Phys. Lett. B **253**, 252 (1991); **253**, 260 (1991).
[20] A. I. L'vov, S. Scherer, B. Pasquini, C. Unkmeir and D. Drechsel, Phys. Rev. C **64**, 015203 (2001).
[21] M. R. Robilotta, Phys. Rev. C **63**, 044004 (2001).
[22] V. Stoks, T. A. Rijken, Nuclear Physics A, Elsevier, v. **613**, n. 4, p. 311-341, (1997).
[23] J. J. Swart, Reviews of Modern Physics, APS, v. **35**, n. 4, p. 916, (1963).
[24] T. Ericson, W. Weise, *Pions and Nuclei*, (Oxford University Press, 1988).
[25] H. Pilkuhn, et al., Nuclear Physics B, Elsevier, **65**, 460-517, (1973).
[26] P.A. Zyla, et al. (Particle Data Group), Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2020**, 083C01 (2020).
[27] G.S. Yang, H.-Ch. Kim, Phys. Rev. C **92** 035206, (2015).
[28] G.S. Yang, H.-Ch. Kim, Phys. Lett. B **785** 434-440, (2018).