

Systematic Trends of 0_2^+ , 1_1^- , 3_1^- and 2_1^+ Excited States in Even-Even Nuclei

B. Pritychenko^{a,*}, B. Singh^b, M. Verpelli^c

^a*National Nuclear Data Center, Brookhaven National Laboratory,
Upton, NY 11973-5000, USA*

^b*Department of Physics & Astronomy, McMaster University,
Hamilton, Ontario, Canada L8S 4M1*

^c*Nuclear Data Section, International Atomic Energy Agency,
Vienna International Centre, P.O. Box 100, A-1400 Vienna, Austria*

Abstract

The spin and parity (J^π) assignments in even-even nuclei were reviewed across the nuclear chart using the Evaluated Nuclear Structure Data File (ENSDF). The prevalence of 2_1^+ first or lowest excited states is confirmed. The properties of 0_2^+ , 1_1^- , and 3_1^- lowest excited states were reexamined using the ENSDF data evaluation procedures. The J^π systematic trends and correlations between level quantum numbers and nuclear physics phenomena are discussed.

Keywords: Spin and parity assignments, first-excited states, ENSDF

1. Introduction

Comprehensive information on spin and parity assignments is essential for nuclear structure physics and model development. In the early 50s, Gertrude Scharff-Goldhaber at Brookhaven National Laboratory noticed the prevalence of 2_1^+ spin and parity assignments in even-even nuclei [1]. Later, Beliaev and Zelevinsky at Kurchatov Institute explored this problem theoretically and proposed the nature of low-lying nuclear collective modes is just waves of the pair distortion [2]. In well-deformed nuclei, these waves become rotational states with angular momentum 2, but with a significantly

*Corresponding author

Email address: pritychenko@bnl.gov (B. Pritychenko)

lower moment of inertia than it would be for a macroscopic solid-body rotation as in a normal Fermi system. The proposed formalism described such fluctuations and quantized them as phonons plus anharmonicity that should be more pronounced than in macroscopic systems. Similar phenomena were investigated in macroscopic superconductors, the so-called Bardasis-Schriffer modes [3, 4].

It is well-established that the ground state spin and parity values for even-even nuclei are 0^+ [5] while excited states assignments are less certain [6, 7, 8]. Historic compilations of the first excited states J^π show the dominance of angular momentum 2 and positive parity [1, 9, 10], and these systematic trends have not been revisited since the 70s [9, 11]. It is time to bridge the gap in the lowest excited state spin and parity trend assessments, update the compilations and study all presently-available data.

2. ENSDF Survey of Even-Even Nuclei

To evaluate spin and parity assignments across the nuclear chart, ENSDF relational database [6, 7] was surveyed using Structured Query Language (SQL) queries at the Nuclear Data Section (NDS), International Atomic Energy Agency. The survey findings are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: ENSDF library [6, 7] first excited states survey J^π values.

J^π	#	%
2_1^+	631	96.0
0_2^+	20	3
1_1^-	2	0.3
3_1^-	2	0.3
$(8_1^-)?,(9_1^-,10_1^-)?$	2	0.3

The table data show that the first excited state spins and parities are known for 657 even-even nuclei, where 2_1^+ states are observed in 631 or 96% of even-even nuclei. Other observed J^π values are 0_2^+ , 1_1^- and 3_1^- . Limited data for ^{254}Rf and ^{270}Ds suggest states with tentative spin and parity assignments of (8^-) and $(9^-,10^-)$, respectively. The level schemes in these two nuclei are fragmented and need further clarifications; they have to be reassessed in the future when more data will be available.

The 2^+ first-excited state assignment is natural for non-spherical rotational nuclei where the nuclear symmetry restricts the positive parity band to 0^+ , 2^+ , 4^+ , ... states

$$J = \begin{cases} 0, 2, 4, \dots \text{for } K^\pi = 0^+ \\ 1, 3, 5, \dots \text{for } K^\pi = 0^-, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where J is the total angular momentum, and K is the projection of J on the 3-axis in the intrinsic frame. In this case, 2^+ is the first excited positive parity band state, and its energy is defined by the minimum perturbation of the ground 0^+ state. The energies of rotational states are described as

$$E_J = \frac{\hbar^2}{2I} J(J+1) + E_K, \quad (2)$$

where I is moment of inertia, and E_K represents contributions from the intrinsic part of wave function. From formula 2, one can easily deduced a 10/3 ratio between 4_1^+ and 2_1^+ rotational states energies.

Spherical nuclei show a tendency for vibrational states: 2_1^+ , 0_2^+ , 2_2^+ , 4_2^+ , Other lowest excited state assignments 0^+ , 1^- and 3^- are found in closed-shell or subshell cases. Despite multiple efforts [1, 2, 9], we do not have a comprehensive nuclear theory that would describe first excited states in even-even nuclei quantitatively [12], and nuclear data re-analysis can help to fill the void.

3. Systematics of 0_2^+ , 1_1^- , 3_1^- , and 2_1^+ Excited States

In the present work, all available experimental data for non 2^+ low-lying states were critically reexamined using the standard ENSDF library procedures [13], and 2^+ data were adopted from the ENSDF library [6, 7] and the dedicated horizontal evaluation of B(E2 \uparrow) [14]. Numerical results for 0_2^+ and 1_1^- , 3_1^- nuclear levels are shown in Tables 2 and 3 while the complete list of 2_1^+ levels is given in Table 4. Analysis of the Tables 2, 3, and 4 data implies that non 2^+ (0^+ , 1^- and 3^-) lowest excited states occur near shell or subshell closure or in self-conjugate (N=Z) nuclei. Further examination of the non 2^+ E 4_1^+ /E 2_1^+ ratios produces numerical values from 1.058 to 2.072. These values lie below the vibrational nuclei range of 2-2.2 and are completely inconsistent with the 10/3 ratio in rotational nuclei [15], and the nuclear shell model is needed for the interpretation of J^π assignments in the previously mentioned nuclei. Supplementary discussion on level properties is given in the following subsections.

Table 2: List of 0_2^+ Lowest Excited States in Even-Even Nuclei. Tentative spin and parity assignments are shown in parentheses.
 \star - total width, \dagger - unconfirmed and \ast - single measurements.

Nuclide	Z	N	J^π	Energy, keV	$T_{1/2}$	Reaction/Decay	Remarks	$E4_1^+ / E2_1^+$
^4He	2	2	0+	20100 (50)	0.27(5) MeV \star	$^4\text{He}(e,e')$	[16]	
	2	2	0+	~ 20000		$^4\text{He}(e,e')$	[17]	
^{12}O	8	4	0+	1620 (110)	1.2(7) MeV \star	$^1\text{H}(^{14}\text{O}, t)$	[18]	
^{16}O	8	8	0+	6049.4 (10)		$^{16}\text{O}(e,e')$	[19]	1.497
	8	8	0+	6049.4 (10)	67(5) ps	$^{19}\text{F}(p,\alpha)$	[20]	
$^{44}\text{Ar}^\dagger$	18	26	(0+)?	750 (30)		$^{48}\text{Ca}(^3\text{He}, ^7\text{Be})$	J^π from shell model [21]	2.371
^{40}Ca	20	20	0+	~ 3350	2.15 (8) ns	$^{40}\text{Ca}(p,p')$	[22]	1.352
	20	20	0+	~ 3350	2.14 (10) ns	$^{40}\text{Ca}(n,n')$	[23]	
^{68}Ni	20	20	0+	3352.62 (9)		$^{40}\text{Ca}(p,p')$	L=0, [24]	
	28	40	0+	1604.0(4) keV		$^{68}\text{Co}(\beta^-)$	[25, 26]	1.548
^{72}Ge	28	40	0+		270 (5) ns	$^{58}\text{Ni}(^{70}\text{Zn}, X\gamma)$	[27]	
	32	40	0+	688 (3)		$^{70}\text{Ge}(t,p)$	L=0, [28]	2.072
$^{72}\text{Kr}^\ast$	32	40	0+		444.2 (8) ns	$^{74}\text{Ge}(p,t)$	L=0, [29, 30]	
	32	40	0+			$^{72}\text{Ge}(n, n'\gamma)$	[31]	
$^{72}\text{Kr}^\ast$	36	36	0+	671.0 (10)	26.3(21) ns	$^9\text{Be}(^{78}\text{Kr}, X)$	[32]	1.860
^{90}Zr	40	50	0+	1761		$^{92}\text{Zr}(p,t)$	L=0, [33]	1.407
	40	50	0+	1760	61.3 (25) ns	$^{90}\text{Zr}(p,p'\gamma)$	[34]	
^{96}Zr	40	50	0+	1760.72		$^{90}\text{Y}(\beta^-)$	Branching [35, 36]	
	40	56	0+	1581.4		$^{96}\text{Y}(\beta^-)$	Conv. data [37]	1.571
^{96}Zr	40	56	0+	1594 (8)		$^{94}\text{Zr}(t,p)$	L=0, [38]	
	40	56	0+	1590	38.0 (7) ns	$^{96}\text{Zr}(p,p'\gamma)$	[34]	
^{98}Zr	40	58	0+	854		$^{98}\text{Y}(\beta^-)$	Conv. data [39]	1.507

Continued on next page ...

Nuclide	Z	N	J ^π	Energy, keV	T _{1/2}	Reaction/Decay	Remarks	E4 ₁ ⁺ /E2 ₁ ⁺
⁹⁸ Mo	40	58	0+	853	63 (7) ns	⁹⁸ Y(β ⁻)	[40]	
	40	58	0+		65 (10) ns	²³⁵ U(n,Fγ)	[41]	
	42	56	0+	734		⁹⁶ Mo(t,p)	Conv. data [42]	1.919
	42	56	0+	735 (5)		⁹⁶ Mo(t,p)	L=0, [43]	
	42	56	0+	737		¹⁰⁰ Mo(p,t)	L=0, [44]	
¹⁸⁰ Hg	42	56	0+		21.8 (9) ns	⁹⁸ Mo(p,p'γ)	[34]	
	80	100	0+	419.6		¹⁸⁰ Tl(EC)	Conv. data [45]	1.627
¹⁸² Hg	80	100	0+	420		¹⁴⁷ Sm(³⁶ Ar,3nγ)	Conv. data [46]	
	80	102	0+	335 (1)		¹⁸² Tl(EC)	Conv. data [47]	1.741
¹⁸⁴ Pb	82	102	(0+)	572 (30)		¹⁸⁸ Po(α)	Low hindr. [48]	
	82	102	(0+)	577 (40)		¹⁸⁸ Po(α)	[49]	
¹⁸⁶ Pb	82	104	(0+)	532		¹⁹⁰ Po(α)	Low hindr. [50]	1.394
¹⁸⁸ Pb	82	106	0+			¹⁹² Po(α)	Low hindr. [48]	1.470
	82	106	0+	591 (2)		¹⁵⁶ Gd(³⁶ Ar,4nγ)	Conv. data [51]	
¹⁹⁰ Pb	82	108	0+	658 (4)		¹⁹⁴ Po(α)	Low hindr. [52, 53]	1.588
	82	108	0+	658	≤0.22 ns	¹⁹⁴ Po(α)	Conv. data [52]	
¹⁹² Pb	82	110	0+	768.5 (4)		¹⁹² Bi(EC)	Conv. data [54, 55]	1.587
	82	110	0+	768.5 (17)	0.75 (10) ns	¹⁹⁶ Po(α)	[52]	
¹⁹⁴ Pb	82	110	0+			¹⁹⁶ Po(α)	Low hindr. [56]	
	82	112	0+	930.6 (4)		¹⁹⁴ Bi(EC)	Conv. data [54, 55]	1.596
	82	112	0+	931		¹⁹⁸ Po(α)	Low hindr. [52, 53]	
	82	112	0+	930.6 (9)	1.1 (2) ns	¹⁹⁸ Po(α)	Conv. data [52]	

Table 3: List of 1_1^- , 3_1^- and Others Lowest Excited States in Even-Even Nuclei. Tentative spin and parity assignments are shown in parentheses. †- ^{254}Rf and ^{270}Ds tentatively-assigned levels (8-) and (9-,10-), respectively. The listed levels may not be the first excited states as not much is known about the level structures of these nuclei.


Nuclide	Z	N	J^π	Energy, keV	$T_{1/2}$	Reaction/Decay	Remarks	$E4_1^+/E2_1^+$
^{14}C	6	8	1-	6093.8(2)		$^{12}\text{B}(\beta^-)$	[57]	1.531
	6	8	1-			$^{14}\text{C}(\alpha, \alpha')$	L(α, α')=1, [58]	
	6	8	1-					
^{14}O	8	6	1-	5164 (2)	≤ 7 fs	$^9\text{Be}(^{13}\text{C}, ^8\text{Be})$	[59]	1.505
	8	6	1-			$^9\text{Be}(^{15}\text{O}, ^{14}\text{O})$	[60]	
	8	6	1-			$^{12}\text{C}(^3\text{He}, \text{n})$	L=1, [61]	
^{146}Gd	64	82	3-	1579.40 (5)	38.1 (18) keV	$^{14}\text{N}(^3\text{He}, \text{t})$	[62]	1.325
	64	82	3-			$^{144}\text{Sm}(\alpha, 2\text{n})$	GTOL [13]	
	64	82	3-			$^{144}\text{Sm}(\alpha, 2\text{n})$	J^π [63, 64]	
^{208}Pb	64	82	3-	2614.511 (10)	1.06 (12) ns	$^{146}\text{Tb}(\text{EC})$	Conv. data [65]	1.058
	82	126	3-			$^{144}\text{Sm}(\alpha, 2\text{n})$	[66]	
	82	126	3-			Evaluation [67]		
	82	126	3-			$^{208}\text{Pb}(^{16}\text{O}, ^{16}\text{O}')$	B(E3) [68]	
	82	126	3-			$^{208}\text{Pb}(\alpha, \alpha')$	L(α, α')=3, [69]	
$^{254}\text{Rf}^\dagger$	82	126	3-	>1350 keV	4.7 (11) μs	$^{208}\text{Pb}(\text{p}, \text{p}')$	L(p, p')=3, [70]	[72]
	82	126	3-			$^{208}\text{Pb}(\text{e}, \text{e}')$	L(e, e')=3, [71]	
	82	126	3-					
$^{270}\text{Ds}^\dagger$	110	160	(9-,10-)	1.13E+03	3.9_{-8}^{+15} ms		[73, 74]	

3.1. 0_2^+ Excited States


The low-lying 0^+ states in even-even nuclei serve as an indication of shape coexistence [75, 76]. Analysis of the Table 2 data demonstrates that 0^+ first excited states materialize near $Z, N=2,8,20, N=40,$ and $Z=82,$ often in self-conjugate nuclei. The two notable exceptions are ^{44}Ar [21, 77] and ^{72}Kr [32, 78]. Further examination of ^{44}Ar [79] raises serious doubts about the existence of a low-lying 0^+ level at 750 keV due to background spectra interpretations. The situation with the ^{72}Kr 0^+ state is more complex because spin and parity assignments are based on a single measurement [32, 78]. Likely, the 0_2^+ state in ^{44}Ar was erroneously reported in ENSDF while ^{72}Kr needs additional measurements.

Low-lying 0^+ states have been observed in many even-even nuclei. They alter the nuclear structure and decay properties and impact basic and fundamental science applications. ^{96}Zr is a long-lived radioactive isotope that can disintegrate via single and double-beta decay processes. It has many unique properties, including the lowest quadrupole deformation parameter (β_2) of 0.0615(33) [14] and the second-highest $2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$ transition energy among zirconium nuclei. Fig. 1 data reveal β_2 value in the $N = 56$ ^{96}Zr is surprisingly lower than in the $N = 50$ magic ^{90}Zr . On the surface, it looks like a new “magic” nucleus. At the same time, a recent attempt to calculate ^{96}Zr double-beta decay half-life assuming the present value of quadrupole deformation overestimated experimental half-life by a factor of 80 [80].

To investigate these magic-like properties, we would consider zirconium charge radii [81], two-neutron separation, and nucleon binding energies [82]. The Fig. 2 data on zirconium radii, 2n-separation, and binding energies indicate that $N = 50$ is a good magic number in zirconium, and we have to consider additional quantities for an understanding of the above-mentioned phenomena. Complementary analysis of the ENSDF library [6, 7] level schemes reveals intruder bands in $^{90,96,98}\text{Zr}$ as shown in Fig. 3. These bands are positioned below the 2_1^+ state energies, and the low-lying 0_2^+ state points to the shape coexistence phenomenon in zirconium nuclei. Further theoretical analysis [83] shows that both spherically symmetrical and deformed states are present in $^{90,96,98}\text{Zr}$ rendering β_2 values immaterial. This finding concurs with the Beliaev-Zelevinsky hypothesis [2] that the formally-calculated β_2 noticeably smaller than 0.1 is too low for actual (not fluctuational) static deformation. The zirconium nuclei demonstrate the limits of applicability for deformation parameters and the urgent need for comprehensive analyses




(a)




(b)


Figure 1: Quadrupole deformation (β_2) parameters (a), and the first 2^+ state energies (b) in Zr. Data were taken from Ref. [14].



(a)



(b)



(c)

Figure 2: Nuclear radii (a), 2n-separation energies (b), and nucleon binding energies (c) in Zr. Data were taken from Ref. [81, 82].




Figure 3: Ground state band and 0^+ intruder states in Zr. Results are based on the ENSDF library [6, 7].

based on multiple quantities for the interpretation of nuclear physics observables.

3.2. 1^- and 3^- and Other Excited States

Low-lying 1^- and 3^- states are found in closed-shell carbon, oxygen, gadolinium, and lead nuclei. The nuclear shell model shows that the low-lying excited states in doubly-closed shell nuclei originate from (a) 1p-1h excitations that can have a range of J^π related to the orbits near the Fermi surface and (b) 0^+ 2p-2h and 4p-4h "intruder" states. For ^{14}O and ^{14}C the lowest pair is $p_{1/2}$ - $s_{1/2}$ leading to 0^- and 1^- states. Next to this $p_{1/2}$ - $d_{5/2}$ configuration results in 2^- and 3^- states. All of these are low-lying excitations in ^{14}O and ^{14}C , and the lowest state is defined by the Hamiltonian. For ^{146}Gd and ^{208}Pb , most of the lowest 1p-1h states change parity and there are many ways to assemble a given J. Thus it is natural that the lowest of these configurations should be the octupole collective 3^- state. These octupole states are experimentally observed, and the 3^-_1 excited-state in the doubly magic nucleus ^{208}Pb is tied to a large octupole collectivity of 34 Weisskopf units (W.u.) [84, 85, 86].

Lastly, the low-lying 1_1^- states are found in ^{14}C and ^{14}O mirror nuclei with $Z, N=8$ shell closure. The $Z, N=82$ shell closures provide two cases of the 3_1^- states in ^{146}Gd and ^{208}Pb . Table 3 data provide the full list and show the rationale for 1_1^- and 3_1^- spin and parity in even-even nuclei.

In ^{254}Rf and ^{270}Ds tentatively-assigned levels (8-) and (9-,10-), respectively, were reported [72, 74, 73]. As available experimental data for excited states for superheavy ($Z>100$) nuclei are generally rare, the listed excited states may or may not be the first excited states.

3.3. 2_1^+ Excited States

Finally, ENSDF library numerical results for the lowest 2_1^+ excited states are shown in Table 4. The ENSDF library for individual nuclei is generally updated every 10 years or so, and it is educational to compare these data with a $B(E2\uparrow)$ horizontal evaluation [14] that was published six years ago. The comparison finds 10 first excited state half-lives that were introduced recently and absent in the horizontal evaluation. Supplemental analysis of the horizontal evaluation reveals 42 levels $T_{1/2}$ values that are missing in ENSDF. These latter values are included into Table 4 for completeness. This combination of ENSDF and horizontal $B(E2\uparrow)$ evaluations produce the comprehensive up-to-date table for the 2_1^+ states.

Table 4: List of 2_1^+ States in Even-Even Nuclei. Tentative spin and parity assignments are shown in parentheses, * or ** symbols for the nuclei where $T_{1/2}$ values are taken or updated, respectively, using Ref. [14]. \dagger symbol was used to mark nuclei where half-lives were recently updated in ENSDF, and missing in Ref. [14].

Nuclide	Z	N	J^π	Energy, keV	$T_{1/2}$
^6He	2	4	2+	1797(25)	113(20) keV
^8He	2	6	2+	3.10E+03(5)	0.6(2) MeV †
^{10}He	2	8	(2+)	3.24E+03(20)	1000(300) keV †
^6Be	4	2	(2+)	1670(50)	1.16(6) MeV †
^8Be	4	4	2+	3030(10)	1513(15) keV †
^{10}Be	4	6	2+	3368.03(3)	125(12) fs
^{12}Be	4	8	2+	2109(1)	0.957(19) ps
^{10}C	6	4	2+	3353.7(6)	107(17) fs
^{12}C	6	6	2+	4439.82(21)	1.08E-02(6) eV
^{16}C	6	10	2+	1766(10)	9.2 $^{+11}_{-9}$ ps*

Continued on next page ...

Nuclide	Z	N	J π	Energy, keV	T $_{1/2}$
¹⁸ C	6	12	2+	1588(8)	15.5(25) ps
²⁰ C	6	14	2+	1618(11)	6.8(20) ps
¹⁸ O	8	10	2+	1982.07(9)	1.94(5) ps
²⁰ O	8	12	2+	1673.68(15)	7.3(3) ps
²² O	8	14	2+	3199(8)	0.4(15) ps
²⁴ O	8	16	2+	4.79E+03(11)	0.05(16) MeV
²⁶ O	8	18	(2+)	1277(96)	
¹⁶ Ne	10	6	2+	1.77E+03(3)	<50 keV
¹⁸ Ne	10	8	2+	1887.3(2)	0.46(4) ps
²⁰ Ne	10	10	2+	1633.674(15)	0.73(4) ps
²² Ne	10	12	2+	1274.537(7)	3.6(5) ps
²⁴ Ne	10	14	2+	1981.6(4)	660(150) fs
²⁶ Ne	10	16	2+	2018(3)	0.6(8) ps
³⁰ Ne	10	20	(2+)	792(4)	20(28) ps
³² Ne	10	22	(2+)	722(9)	
²⁰ Mg	12	8	2+	1598(10)	1.36 $^{+30}_{-21}$ ps*
²² Mg	12	10	2+	1247.02(3)	2.0(8) ps
²⁴ Mg	12	12	2+	1368.672(5)	1.33(6) ps
²⁶ Mg	12	14	2+	1808.74(4)	476(21) fs
²⁸ Mg	12	16	2+	1473.54(10)	1.2(1) ps
³⁰ Mg	12	18	2+	1482.8(3)	1.5(2) ps
³² Mg	12	20	2+	885.3(1)	11.4(20) ps
³⁴ Mg	12	22	2+	660(7)	40(8) ps
³⁶ Mg	12	24	(2+)	660(6)	43.6 $^{+132}_{-90}$ ps*
³⁸ Mg	12	26	(2+)	656(6)	
²⁴ Si	14	10	2+	1879(11)	10(3) fs
²⁶ Si	14	12	2+	1797.3(10)	440(40) fs
²⁸ Si	14	14	2+	1779.03(11)	475(17) fs
³⁰ Si	14	16	2+	2235.322(18)	215(28) fs
³² Si	14	18	2+	1941.4(3)	0.78(22) ps
³⁴ Si	14	20	2+	3327.14(20)	82(32) fs
³⁶ Si	14	22	2+	1408(10)	2.7(4) ps
³⁸ Si	14	24	2+	1074(2)	10(3) ps
⁴⁰ Si	14	26	(2+)	986(5)	7.1 $^{+27}_{-15}$ ps*
⁴² Si	14	28	(2+)	742(8)	
²⁸ S	16	12	2+	1507(7)	2.0(3) ps

Continued on next page ...

Nuclide	Z	N	J π	Energy, keV	T $_{1/2}$
³⁰ S	16	14	2+	2210.6(5)	156(9) fs
³² S	16	16	2+	2230.57(15)	169(11) fs
³⁴ S	16	18	2+	2127.564(13)	318(8) fs
³⁶ S	16	20	2+	3290.9(3)	83(7) fs
³⁸ S	16	22	2+	1292.02(20)	3.3(1) ps
⁴⁰ S	16	24	2+	903.69(7)	14.1(3) ps
⁴² S	16	26	2+	903(5)	11.9(20) ps
⁴⁴ S	16	28	2+	1329(5)	2.4(7) ps
⁴⁶ S	16	30	(2+)	952(8)	
³⁰ Ar	18	12	(2+)	7.00E+02	
³² Ar	18	14	2+	1867(8)	0.46(12) ps
³⁴ Ar	18	16	2+	2091.1(3)	319(42) fs
³⁶ Ar	18	18	2+	1970.38(5)	328(20) fs
³⁸ Ar	18	20	2+	2167.472(12)	0.458(21) ps
⁴⁰ Ar	18	22	2+	1460.849(5)	1.15(5) ps
⁴² Ar	18	24	2+	1208.22(13)	2.6(1) ps
⁴⁶ Ar	18	28	2+	1577(1)	1.59(32) ps
⁴⁸ Ar	18	30	(2+)	1038(6)	2.1 $_{-3}^{+4}$ ps*
⁵⁰ Ar	18	32	(2+)	1178(18)	
³⁶ Ca	20	16	(2+)	3045(24)	
³⁸ Ca	20	18	2+	2213.2(10)	0.56(6) ps
⁴² Ca	20	22	2+	1524.71(3)	0.83(3) ps
⁴⁴ Ca	20	24	2+	1157.019(4)	2.71(15) ps
⁴⁶ Ca	20	26	2+	1346(3)	3.6(3) ps
⁴⁸ Ca	20	28	2+	3831.72(6)	38.7(19) fs
⁵⁰ Ca	20	30	2+	1026.72(10)	66.5(21) ps
⁵² Ca	20	32	2+	2563(1)	
⁵⁴ Ca	20	34	(2+)	2043(19)	
⁴² Ti	22	20	2+	1554.6(3)	0.44(11) ps
⁴⁴ Ti	22	22	2+	1083.06(9)	3.1(8) ps
⁴⁶ Ti	22	24	2+	889.286(3)	5.32(15) ps
⁴⁸ Ti	22	26	2+	983.539(24)	4.04(10) ps
⁵⁰ Ti	22	28	2+	1553.794(8)	1.047(35) ps
⁵² Ti	22	30	2+	1050.06(9)	3.6(14) ps
⁵⁴ Ti	22	32	(2+)	1494.8(8)	1.06(19) ps
⁵⁶ Ti	22	34	(2+)	1128.2(4)	2.6 $_{-6}^{+13}$ ps*

Continued on next page ...

Nuclide	Z	N	J π	Energy, keV	T $_{1/2}$
^{58}Ti	22	36	2+	1047(4)	5.4^{+65}_{-21} ps*
^{60}Ti	22	38	(2+)	850(5)	
^{46}Cr	24	22	2+	892.16(10)	5.4(12) ps
^{48}Cr	24	24	2+	752.19(11)	7.3(8) ps
^{50}Cr	24	26	2+	783.31(10)	9.08(28) ps
^{52}Cr	24	28	2+	1434.091(14)	0.783(21) ps
^{54}Cr	24	30	2+	834.855(3)	8(3) ps
^{56}Cr	24	32	2+	1006.61(20)	≥ 1.4 ps
^{58}Cr	24	34	2+	880.7(2)	5.4(9) ps
^{60}Cr	24	36	(2+)	643.9(20)	23(3) ps
^{62}Cr	24	38	(2+)	446(1)	91.5^{+76}_{-90} ps*
^{64}Cr	24	40	2+	430(2)	123(19) ps
^{66}Cr	24	42	(2+)	386(10)	
^{50}Fe	26	24	2+	764.9(3)	7.7(17) ps
^{52}Fe	26	26	2+	849.45(10)	7.8(10) ps
^{54}Fe	26	28	2+	1408.19(19)	0.76(2) ps
^{56}Fe	26	30	2+	846.7778(19)	6.07(23) ps
^{58}Fe	26	32	2+	810.7662(20)	6.54(19) ps
^{60}Fe	26	34	2+	823.83(9)	7.9(8) ps
^{62}Fe	26	36	2+	877.31(10)	5.3(6) ps
^{64}Fe	26	38	2+	746.4(10)	7.1^{+4}_{-8} ps*
^{66}Fe	26	40	(2+)	574.4(10)	29.7^{+21}_{-18} ps*
^{68}Fe	26	42	(2+)	522(10)	43.0^{+60}_{-46} ps*
^{70}Fe	26	44	(2+)	480(13)	
^{52}Ni	28	24	2+	1397(6)	
^{54}Ni	28	26	2+	1392.3(4)	0.89(17) ps
^{56}Ni	28	28	2+	2700.6(7)	53(17) fs
^{58}Ni	28	30	2+	1454.21(9)	0.652(21) ps
^{60}Ni	28	32	2+	1332.514(4)	0.735(21) ps
^{62}Ni	28	34	2+	1172.98(10)	1.45(4) ps
^{64}Ni	28	36	2+	1345.75(5)	1.088(35) ps
^{66}Ni	28	38	2+	1424.8(10)	0.8(2) ps
^{70}Ni	28	42	2+	1259.55(5)	1.04(17) ps
^{72}Ni	28	44	(2+)	1096(20)	
^{74}Ni	28	46	2+	1024(1)	3.9(11) ps
^{76}Ni	28	48	(2+)	992(2)	

Continued on next page ...

Nuclide	Z	N	J π	Energy, keV	T $_{1/2}$
^{58}Zn	30	28	(2+)	1356(3)	
^{60}Zn	30	30	2+	1003.9(20)	
^{62}Zn	30	32	2+	953.84(9)	2.93(14) ps
^{64}Zn	30	34	2+	991.56(5)	1.94(5) ps
^{66}Zn	30	36	2+	1039.2279(21)	1.68(3) ps
^{68}Zn	30	38	2+	1077.37(4)	1.61(2) ps
^{70}Zn	30	40	2+	884.92(8)	3.65(21) ps
^{72}Zn	30	42	2+	652.7(5)	14(3) ps
^{74}Zn	30	44	2+	605.9(8)	17.7(13) ps
^{76}Zn	30	46	(2+)	598.68(10)	25.4 $^{+31}_{-31}$ ps*
^{78}Zn	30	48	2+	730.2(4)	18(4) ps
^{80}Zn	30	50	2+	1492(1)	0.52(11) ps
^{82}Zn	30	52	(2+)	618(15)	
^{64}Ge	32	32	2+	901.7(3)	2.3 $^{+3}_{-3}$ ps*
^{66}Ge	32	34	2+	956.94(8)	3.7(7) ps
^{68}Ge	32	36	2+	1015.81(8)	2.08(11) ps
^{70}Ge	32	38	2+	1039.506(9)	1.31(2) ps
^{74}Ge	32	42	2+	595.85(6)	12.41(9) ps
^{76}Ge	32	44	2+	562.93(3)	18.2(2) ps
^{78}Ge	32	46	2+	619.36(12)	13.5(24) ps
^{80}Ge	32	48	2+	659.15(4)	16.4(32) ps
^{82}Ge	32	50	2+	1348.3(1)	0.5(8) ps
^{84}Ge	32	52	(2+)	624.3(7)	
^{86}Ge	32	54	(2+)	527	
^{66}Se	34	32	(2+)	929(7)	
^{68}Se	34	34	2+	853.75(21)	2.8(4) ps
^{70}Se	34	36	2+	944.52(5)	2.23(14) ps
^{72}Se	34	38	2+	862.07(8)	2.82(20) ps
^{74}Se	34	40	2+	634.74(6)	7.08(9) ps
^{76}Se	34	42	2+	559.102(5)	12.3(2) ps
^{78}Se	34	44	2+	613.727(3)	9.79(21) ps
^{80}Se	34	46	2+	666.27(7)	8.52(21) ps
^{82}Se	34	48	2+	654.71(16)	12.8(7) ps
^{84}Se	34	50	2+	1454.55(8)	0.42(7) ps
^{86}Se	34	52	2+	704.3(5)	7.5(22) ps
^{90}Se	34	56	(2+)	547(8)	

Continued on next page ...

Nuclide	Z	N	J π	Energy, keV	T $_{1/2}$
⁷⁴ Kr	36	38	2+	455.61(10)	23.4(4) ps
⁷⁶ Kr	36	40	2+	423.96(7)	24.9(7) ps
⁷⁸ Kr	36	42	2+	455.033(23)	21.6(7) ps
⁸⁰ Kr	36	44	2+	616.6(10)	8.3(5) ps
⁸² Kr	36	46	2+	776.526(8)	4.45(18) ps
⁸⁴ Kr	36	48	2+	881.615(3)	4.05(13) ps
⁸⁶ Kr	36	50	2+	1564.61(7)	0.286(4) ps
⁸⁸ Kr	36	52	2+	775.32(4)	11.1(12) ps
⁹⁰ Kr	36	54	2+	707.12(5)	10.7(16) ps
⁹² Kr	36	56	2+	769.1(5)	5.1 $^{+18}_{-16}$ ps**
⁹⁴ Kr	36	58	2+	665.5	8.7 $^{+11}_{-9}$ ps*
⁹⁶ Kr	36	60	(2+)	554.1(5)	12.4(8) ps
⁹⁸ Kr	36	62	(2+)	329(7)	
¹⁰⁰ Kr	36	64	(2+)	309(10)	
⁷⁴ Sr	38	36	(2+)	471(1)	
⁷⁶ Sr	38	38	(2+)	262.3(2)	204.4 $^{+256}_{-256}$ ps*
⁷⁸ Sr	38	40	2+	277.6(10)	155(19) ps
⁸⁰ Sr	38	42	2+	385.88(8)	34.2(12) ps
⁸² Sr	38	44	2+	573.54(8)	8.9(4) ps
⁸⁴ Sr	38	46	2+	793.22(6)	3.23(35) ps
⁸⁶ Sr	38	48	2+	1076.68(4)	1.46(1) ps
⁸⁸ Sr	38	50	2+	1836.09(8)	0.154(8) ps
⁹⁰ Sr	38	52	2+	831.68(4)	7(2) ps
⁹² Sr	38	54	2+	814.98(3)	8(3) ps
⁹⁴ Sr	38	56	2+	836.9(1)	6.9(28) ps
⁹⁶ Sr	38	58	2+	814.93(7)	4.8(28) ps
⁹⁸ Sr	38	60	2+	144.7(5)	2.78(8) ns
¹⁰⁰ Sr	38	62	(2+)	129.18(9)	3.91(16) ns
¹⁰² Sr	38	64	(2+)	126(2)	3.0(12) ns
⁸⁰ Zr	40	40	(2+)	288.9(2)	
⁸² Zr	40	42	2+	407(10)	22(9) ps
⁸⁴ Zr	40	44	2+	539.92(9)	14.1(8) ps
⁸⁶ Zr	40	46	2+	751.75(3)	7.5(14) ps
⁸⁸ Zr	40	48	2+	1057.03(4)	2.5(28) ps
⁹² Zr	40	52	2+	934.51(4)	5.0(4) ps
⁹⁴ Zr	40	54	2+	918.75(5)	6.9(15) ps

Continued on next page ...

Nuclide	Z	N	J π	Energy, keV	T $_{1/2}$
^{100}Zr	40	60	2+	212.61(4)	0.574(15) ns
^{102}Zr	40	62	2+	151.78(11)	1.8(4) ns
^{104}Zr	40	64	(2+)	139.3(3)	2.0(3) ns
^{106}Zr	40	66	(2+)	152.1(5)	1802 $^{+139}_{-104}$ ps*
^{108}Zr	40	68	(2+)	174.3(5)	
^{84}Mo	42	42	(2+)	443.9(2)	
^{86}Mo	42	44	(2+)	566.6(4)	
^{88}Mo	42	46	2+	740.54(4)	7.14(21) ps †
^{90}Mo	42	48	2+	948.02(9)	
^{92}Mo	42	50	2+	1509.51(3)	0.35(2) ps
^{94}Mo	42	52	2+	871.098(16)	2.77(6) ps
^{96}Mo	42	54	2+	778.237(10)	3.67(6) ps
^{100}Mo	42	58	2+	535.59(4)	12.4(3) ps
^{102}Mo	42	60	2+	296.61(4)	125(4) ps
^{104}Mo	42	62	2+	192.19(9)	0.97(8) ns
^{106}Mo	42	64	2+	171.549(8)	1.25(3) ns
^{108}Mo	42	66	2+	192.79(15)	0.5(3) ns
^{110}Mo	42	68	(2+)	213.77(10)	
^{88}Ru	44	44	(2+)	616.2(5)	
^{90}Ru	44	46	2+	738.1(10)	
^{92}Ru	44	48	(2+)	865.7(1)	
^{94}Ru	44	50	2+	1430.71(20)	
^{96}Ru	44	52	2+	832.56(5)	2.94(6) ps
^{98}Ru	44	54	2+	652.46(5)	5.96(20) ps
^{100}Ru	44	56	2+	539.5103(20)	12.54(10) ps
^{102}Ru	44	58	2+	475.0962(10)	18.4(3) ps
^{104}Ru	44	60	2+	358.02(7)	56.4(10) ps
^{106}Ru	44	62	2+	270.07(4)	0.20(3) ns
^{108}Ru	44	64	2+	242.23(4)	0.36(3) ns
^{110}Ru	44	66	2+	240.73(8)	0.32(2) ns
^{112}Ru	44	68	2+	236.69(16)	0.32(3) ns
^{114}Ru	44	70	2+	265.19(17)	
^{116}Ru	44	72	(2+)	292.43(21)	
^{118}Ru	44	74	(2+)	327.6(3)	
$^{92}\text{92Pd}$	46	46	(2+)	873.6(2)	
$^{94}\text{94Pd}$	46	48	2+	813.8(10)	

Continued on next page ...

Nuclide	Z	N	J π	Energy, keV	T _{1/2}
⁹⁶ Pd	46	50	2+	1415.31(10)	
⁹⁸ Pd	46	52	2+	862.69(11)	<11.3 ps
¹⁰⁰ Pd	46	54	2+	665.49(10)	6.24(28) ps
¹⁰² Pd	46	56	2+	556.44(5)	11.5(8) ps
¹⁰⁴ Pd	46	58	2+	555.81(4)	9.9(5) ps
¹⁰⁶ Pd	46	60	2+	511.85(23)	12.2(4) ps
¹⁰⁸ Pd	46	62	2+	433.938(4)	23.9(7) ps
¹¹⁰ Pd	46	64	2+	373.8(7)	44(7) ps
¹¹² Pd	46	66	2+	348.66(13)	84(14) ps
¹¹⁴ Pd	46	68	2+	332.61(10)	82(14) ps
¹¹⁶ Pd	46	70	2+	340.26(8)	0.11(3) ns
¹¹⁸ Pd	46	72	(2+)	378.6(1)	
¹²⁰ Pd	46	74	(2+)	438(10)	
¹²² Pd	46	76	(2+)	499(9)	
¹²⁴ Pd	46	78	(2+)	590(11)	
¹²⁶ Pd	46	80	(2+)	693.3(5)	
¹²⁸ Pd	46	82	(2+)	1311.4(5)	
⁹⁸ Cd	48	50	(2+)	1395.1(2)	
¹⁰⁰ Cd	48	52	2+	1004.11(10)	>1.5 ps
¹⁰² Cd	48	54	2+	776.55(14)	3.5(6) ps
¹⁰⁴ Cd	48	56	2+	658(20)	6.3(21) ps
¹⁰⁶ Cd	48	58	2+	632.64(4)	7.27(8) ps
¹⁰⁸ Cd	48	60	2+	632.988(15)	6.86(7) ps
¹¹⁰ Cd	48	62	2+	657.7623(11)	5.42(16) ps
¹¹² Cd	48	64	2+	617.518(3)	6.46(4) ps
¹¹⁴ Cd	48	66	2+	558.456(2)	10.2(6) ps
¹¹⁶ Cd	48	68	2+	513.49(15)	14.1(5) ps
¹¹⁸ Cd	48	70	2+	487.77(8)	17.9(15) ps
¹²⁰ Cd	48	72	2+	505.94(17)	18(21) ps
¹²² Cd	48	74	2+	569.45(8)	10(5) ps
¹²⁴ Cd	48	76	(2+)	612.8(4)	9.3 ⁺⁶ ₋₅ ps*
¹²⁶ Cd	48	78	(2+)	652(9)	9.1 ⁺²⁷ ₋₁₇ ps*
¹²⁸ Cd	48	80	(2+)	645.8(20)	
¹³⁰ Cd	48	82	(2+)	1325(1)	
¹³² Cd	48	84	(2+)	618(8)	
¹⁰⁴ Sn	50	54	2+	1260.1(3)	0.51 ⁺¹⁰ ₋₇ ps*

Continued on next page ...

Nuclide	Z	N	J π	Energy, keV	T $_{1/2}$
^{106}Sn	50	56	2+	1207.7(5)	0.53_{-8}^{+8} ps*
^{108}Sn	50	58	2+	1206.07(10)	0.48(12) ps
^{110}Sn	50	60	2+	1212.02(9)	0.48(4) ps
^{112}Sn	50	62	2+	1256.69(4)	0.376(5) ps
^{114}Sn	50	64	2+	1299.907(7)	0.42(3) ps
^{116}Sn	50	66	2+	1293.56(8)	0.374(10) ps
^{118}Sn	50	68	2+	1229.666(16)	0.485(19) ps
^{120}Sn	50	70	2+	1171.265(15)	0.64(12) ps
^{122}Sn	50	72	2+	1140.51(3)	0.776(16) ps
^{124}Sn	50	74	2+	1131.739(17)	0.92(3) ps
^{126}Sn	50	76	2+	1141.15(4)	1.15_{-7}^{+7} ps*
^{128}Sn	50	78	(2+)	1168.82(4)	1.63(10) ps
^{130}Sn	50	80	(2+)	1221.26(5)	4.50_{-97}^{+97} ps*
^{132}Sn	50	82	2+	4041.2(15)	2.4(4) fs
^{134}Sn	50	84	2+	725.6	48.4_{-71}^{+100} ps*
^{136}Sn	50	86	(2+)	688(1)	
^{138}Sn	50	88	(2+)	715(1)	
^{106}Te	52	54	(2+)	664.8(3)	
^{108}Te	52	56	2+	625.2(20)	7.6_{-9}^{+9} ps*
^{110}Te	52	58	2+	657.2(3)	
^{112}Te	52	60	2+	689(20)	3.95_{-35}^{+35} ps*
^{114}Te	52	62	2+	708.74(15)	2.83(23) ps
^{116}Te	52	64	2+	678.92(3)	
^{118}Te	52	66	2+	605.706(20)	6.1_{-10}^{+10} ps*
^{120}Te	52	68	2+	560.438(20)	9.3(19) ps
^{122}Te	52	70	2+	564.094(16)	7.46(5) ps
^{124}Te	52	72	2+	602.7271(21)	6.2(1) ps
^{126}Te	52	74	2+	666.352(10)	4.52(10) ps
^{128}Te	52	76	2+	743.216(17)	3.3(3) ps
^{130}Te	52	78	2+	839.494(17)	2.3(5) ps
^{132}Te	52	80	2+	974.22(9)	1.83(18) ps
^{134}Te	52	82	2+	1279.11(10)	0.64(20) ps
^{136}Te	52	84	2+	606.64(5)	21.6(41) ps
^{138}Te	52	86	(2+)	460.8(5)	
^{140}Te	52	88	(2+)	422.9(3)	
^{110}Xe	54	56	(2+)	469.7(20)	

Continued on next page ...

Nuclide	Z	N	J π	Energy, keV	T $_{1/2}$
^{112}Xe	54	58	2+	466(20)	
^{114}Xe	54	60	2+	450.08(19)	15.6(8) ps
^{116}Xe	54	62	2+	393.6(2)	24.3(9) ps
^{118}Xe	54	64	2+	337.32(13)	45(2) ps
^{120}Xe	54	66	2+	322.61(4)	45.7(20) ps
^{122}Xe	54	68	2+	331.28(7)	49.3(20) ps
^{124}Xe	54	70	2+	354.03(4)	46.8(12) ps
^{126}Xe	54	72	2+	388.631(9)	40.8(13) ps
^{128}Xe	54	74	2+	442.911(9)	18(4) ps
^{130}Xe	54	76	2+	536.068(6)	8.6(15) ps
^{132}Xe	54	78	2+	667.715(2)	4.63(30) ps
^{134}Xe	54	80	2+	847.041(23)	2.08(14) ps
^{136}Xe	54	82	2+	1313.06(7)	0.36(14) ps
^{138}Xe	54	84	2+	588.826(18)	10.5(16) ps
^{140}Xe	54	86	2+	376.658(15)	70.5(20) ps
^{142}Xe	54	88	2+	287.2(20)	0.20(3) ns
^{144}Xe	54	90	(2+)	252.6	351_{-67}^{+107} ps*
^{118}Ba	56	62	(2+)	194	
^{120}Ba	56	64	(2+)	186(10)	
^{122}Ba	56	66	2+	195.9(20)	297(27) ps
^{124}Ba	56	68	2+	229.91(10)	191(8) ps
^{126}Ba	56	70	2+	256.02(6)	137(7) ps
^{128}Ba	56	72	2+	284(8)	105(9) ps
^{130}Ba	56	74	2+	357.38(8)	41.8(12) ps
^{132}Ba	56	76	2+	464.508(12)	15.1(11) ps
^{134}Ba	56	78	2+	604.7223(19)	5.12(9) ps
^{136}Ba	56	80	2+	818.522(10)	1.89(3) ps
^{138}Ba	56	82	2+	1435.805(10)	0.199(6) ps
^{140}Ba	56	84	2+	602.37(3)	7.2(9) ps
^{142}Ba	56	86	2+	359.596(14)	65(2) ps
^{144}Ba	56	88	2+	199.326(6)	0.71(2) ns
^{146}Ba	56	90	2+	181.04(5)	0.859(26) ns
^{148}Ba	56	92	2+	141.8(1)	
^{122}Ce	58	64	(2+)	136.4(5)	
^{124}Ce	58	66	2+	141.9(20)	0.88(19) ns
^{126}Ce	58	68	2+	169.59(3)	0.59(10) ns

Continued on next page ...

Nuclide	Z	N	J π	Energy, keV	T $_{1/2}$
^{128}Ce	58	70	2+	207.09(18)	0.30(3) ns
^{130}Ce	58	72	2+	253.85(16)	143(6) ps
^{132}Ce	58	74	2+	325.34(8)	40(3) ps
^{134}Ce	58	76	2+	409.2(10)	23(2) ps
^{136}Ce	58	78	2+	552.05(13)	6.7(8) ps
^{138}Ce	58	80	2+	788.744(8)	1.98(4) ps
^{140}Ce	58	82	2+	1596.233(23)	0.091(4) ps
^{142}Ce	58	84	2+	641.282(9)	5.56(12) ps
^{144}Ce	58	86	2+	397.441(9)	35.4(20) ps
^{146}Ce	58	88	2+	258.45(4)	0.231(26) ns
^{148}Ce	58	90	2+	158.467(5)	1.01(6) ns
^{150}Ce	58	92	2+	97(10)	3.3(8) ns
^{152}Ce	58	94	2+	81.2(5)	2.5 ns
^{128}Nd	60	68	2+	133.66(7)	
^{130}Nd	60	70	2+	159.05(14)	0.6(25) ns
^{132}Nd	60	72	2+	213.16(12)	133(8) ps
^{134}Nd	60	74	2+	294.17(16)	64.4(18) ps
^{136}Nd	60	76	2+	373.75(16)	22.8 $^{+32}_{-32}$ ps*
^{138}Nd	60	78	2+	520.75(17)	
^{140}Nd	60	80	2+	773.65(6)	1.4(11) ps
^{142}Nd	60	82	2+	1575.78(10)	0.11(2) ps
^{144}Nd	60	84	2+	696.561(10)	2.97(5) ps
^{146}Nd	60	86	2+	453.84(3)	20.9(9) ps
^{148}Nd	60	88	2+	301.705(16)	80(3) ps
^{150}Nd	60	90	2+	130.21(7)	1.48(3) ns
^{152}Nd	60	92	2+	72.4(5)	4.18(23) ns
^{154}Nd	60	94	2+	70.8(1)	7.7(20) ns
^{156}Nd	60	96	2+	67.2(2)	
^{158}Nd	60	98	(2+)	65.9(10)	
^{160}Nd	60	100	(2+)	65.2(5)	
^{130}Sm	62	68	(2+)	122(3)	
^{132}Sm	62	70	(2+)	131(1)	
^{134}Sm	62	72	2+	163	0.42(4) ns
^{136}Sm	62	74	2+	254.92(16)	88(9) ps
^{138}Sm	62	76	2+	346.71(16)	40(6) ps
^{140}Sm	62	78	2+	530.68(10)	6.1(32) ps

Continued on next page ...

Nuclide	Z	N	J π	Energy, keV	T $_{1/2}$
¹⁴² Sm	62	80	2+	768.08(19)	1.50 ⁺²² ₋₁₇ ps*
¹⁴⁴ Sm	62	82	2+	1660.027(10)	84.4(25) fs
¹⁴⁶ Sm	62	84	2+	747.174(11)	≤7.2 ps
¹⁴⁸ Sm	62	86	2+	550.255(8)	7.72(32) ps
¹⁵⁰ Sm	62	88	2+	333.955(10)	48.4(11) ps
¹⁵² Sm	62	90	2+	121.7818(3)	1.403(11) ns
¹⁵⁴ Sm	62	92	2+	81.981(15)	3.02(4) ns
¹⁵⁶ Sm	62	94	2+	75.89(5)	>2 ns
¹⁵⁸ Sm	62	96	(2+)	72.8(10)	
¹⁶⁰ Sm	62	98	2+	70.9	
¹⁶⁴ Sm	62	102	(2+)	69(1)	
¹³⁴ Gd	64	70	2+	115	
¹³⁸ Gd	64	74	2+	220.86(18)	215(12) ps
¹⁴⁰ Gd	64	76	2+	328.6(3)	
¹⁴² Gd	64	78	2+	515.2(8)	
¹⁴⁴ Gd	64	80	2+	743(17)	
¹⁴⁸ Gd	64	84	2+	784.433(15)	4.2(12) ps
¹⁵⁰ Gd	64	86	2+	638.045(14)	
¹⁵² Gd	64	88	2+	344.279(12)	32(27) ps
¹⁵⁴ Gd	64	90	2+	123.0709(9)	1.184(5) ns
¹⁵⁶ Gd	64	92	2+	88.97(1)	2.21(2) ns
¹⁵⁸ Gd	64	94	2+	79.5143(15)	2.56(5) ns
¹⁶⁰ Gd	64	96	2+	75.26(1)	2.72(1) ns
¹⁶² Gd	64	98	2+	71.6	2758 ⁺⁵⁵ ₋₅₅ ps*
¹⁶⁴ Gd	64	100	(2+)	73.27(5)	2.77(14) ns
¹⁶⁶ Gd	64	102	(2+)	70(10)	
¹⁴⁰ Dy	66	74	(2+)	202.2(20)	
¹⁴² Dy	66	76	(2+)	315.9(4)	
¹⁴⁴ Dy	66	78	(2+)	492.5(3)	
¹⁴⁶ Dy	66	80	2+	682.62(18)	
¹⁴⁸ Dy	66	82	2+	1677.3	
¹⁵⁰ Dy	66	84	2+	803.64(9)	
¹⁵² Dy	66	86	2+	613.83(5)	10(5) ps
¹⁵⁴ Dy	66	88	2+	334.34(3)	27.5(20) ps
¹⁵⁶ Dy	66	90	2+	137.77(8)	0.823(7) ns
¹⁵⁸ Dy	66	92	2+	98.918(10)	1.66(3) ns

Continued on next page ...

Nuclide	Z	N	J π	Energy, keV	T $_{1/2}$
¹⁶⁰ Dy	66	94	2+	86.7878(3)	2.02(1) ns
¹⁶² Dy	66	96	2+	80.661(3)	2.19(2) ns
¹⁶⁴ Dy	66	98	2+	73.393(5)	2.393(29) ns
¹⁶⁶ Dy	66	100	2+	76.587(1)	
¹⁷⁰ Dy	66	104	(2+)	71.47(15)	
¹⁴⁴ Er	68	76	2+	330(10)	
¹⁴⁸ Er	68	80	2+	645.89(10)	
¹⁵⁰ Er	68	82	2+	1578.33(23)	
¹⁵² Er	68	84	2+	808.3(1)	
¹⁵⁴ Er	68	86	2+	560.8(1)	
¹⁵⁶ Er	68	88	2+	344.53(6)	34(9) ps
¹⁵⁸ Er	68	90	2+	192.15(3)	257(18) ps
¹⁶⁰ Er	68	92	2+	125.8(1)	919(31) ps
¹⁶² Er	68	94	2+	102.04(3)	1.29(6) ns
¹⁶⁴ Er	68	96	2+	91.38(22)	1.569(34) ns
¹⁶⁶ Er	68	98	2+	80.5776(20)	1.815(23) ns
¹⁶⁸ Er	68	100	2+	79.804(1)	1.853(25) ns
¹⁷⁰ Er	68	102	2+	78.59(22)	1.896(23) ns
¹⁷² Er	68	104	(2+)	77(2)	
¹⁵² Yb	70	82	2+	1531.4(5)	
¹⁵⁴ Yb	70	84	(2+)	821.3(2)	
¹⁵⁶ Yb	70	86	2+	536	
¹⁵⁸ Yb	70	88	(2+)	358.2(1)	25(3) ps
¹⁶⁰ Yb	70	90	2+	243.1(1)	121(7) ps
¹⁶² Yb	70	92	2+	166.72(4)	415(9) ps
¹⁶⁴ Yb	70	94	2+	123.31(23)	932(30) ps
¹⁶⁶ Yb	70	96	2+	102.37(3)	1.24(6) ns
¹⁶⁸ Yb	70	98	2+	87.73(1)	1.49(4) ns
¹⁷⁰ Yb	70	100	2+	84.25468(8)	1.61(2) ns
¹⁷² Yb	70	102	2+	78.7427(6)	1.65(5) ns
¹⁷⁴ Yb	70	104	2+	76.471(1)	1.79(4) ns
¹⁷⁶ Yb	70	106	2+	82.135(15)	1.76(5) ns
¹⁷⁸ Yb	70	108	2+	84(3)	
¹⁵⁴ Hf	72	82	(2+)	1513	
¹⁵⁶ Hf	72	84	2+	857.2	
¹⁵⁸ Hf	72	86	2+	476.36(11)	

Continued on next page ...

Nuclide	Z	N	J π	Energy, keV	T $_{1/2}$
^{160}Hf	72	88	2+	389.4(10)	
^{162}Hf	72	90	2+	285	103(8) ps
^{164}Hf	72	92	2+	210.7(3)	301(29) ps
^{166}Hf	72	94	2+	158.64(5)	497(23) ps
^{168}Hf	72	96	2+	124.1(5)	0.89(4) ns
^{170}Hf	72	98	2+	100.74(4)	1.21(4) ns
^{172}Hf	72	100	2+	95.22(4)	1.55(10) ns
^{174}Hf	72	102	2+	90.985(19)	1.66(7) ns
^{176}Hf	72	104	2+	88.349(24)	1.43(4) ns
^{178}Hf	72	106	2+	93.1803(10)	1.494(23) ns
^{180}Hf	72	108	2+	93.324(20)	1.519(10) ns
^{182}Hf	72	110	2+	97.79(9)	
^{184}Hf	72	112	(2+)	107.1(1)	
^{158}W	74	84	(2+)	913	
^{160}W	74	86	2+	609.9(2)	
^{162}W	74	88	(2+)	449.5(3)	
^{164}W	74	90	2+	331.9(5)	18(12) ps †
^{166}W	74	92	2+	252(3)	
^{168}W	74	94	2+	199.3(2)	213(10) ps
^{170}W	74	96	2+	156.72(13)	497(10) ps
^{172}W	74	98	2+	123.2(1)	0.74(6) ns
^{174}W	74	100	2+	113(1)	1.14(7) ns
^{176}W	74	102	2+	108.3(7)	992 $^{+62}_{-62}$ ps*
^{178}W	74	104	2+	105.9(9)	1138 $^{+15}_{-15}$ ps*
^{180}W	74	106	2+	103.561(16)	1.28(5) ns
^{182}W	74	108	2+	100.10598(7)	1.381(10) ns
^{184}W	74	110	2+	111.2174(4)	1.251(12) ns
^{186}W	74	112	2+	122.63(15)	1.036(10) ns
^{188}W	74	114	2+	143.16(8)	0.87(12) ns
^{190}W	74	116	(2+)	206.8(5)	
^{192}W	74	118	[2+]	219	
^{162}Os	76	86	(2+)	706.7(3)	
^{164}Os	76	88	(2+)	548(2)	
^{166}Os	76	90	2+	432(3)	
^{168}Os	76	92	2+	341.2(20)	
^{170}Os	76	94	2+	286.7(14)	

Continued on next page ...

Nuclide	Z	N	J π	Energy, keV	T $_{1/2}$
^{172}Os	76	96	2+	227.77(9)	116(7) ps
^{174}Os	76	98	2+	158.6(10)	0.35(4) ns
^{176}Os	76	100	2+	135.1(7)	839^{+125}_{-125} ps*
^{178}Os	76	102	2+	132.2(17)	0.69(5) ns
^{180}Os	76	104	2+	132.11(10)	0.67(7) ns
^{182}Os	76	106	2+	126.89(8)	813(11) ps
^{184}Os	76	108	2+	119.77(9)	1.184(13) ns
^{186}Os	76	110	2+	137.159(8)	875(15) ps
^{188}Os	76	112	2+	155.043(4)	0.704(7) ns
^{190}Os	76	114	2+	186.718(2)	371(8) ps
^{192}Os	76	116	2+	205.79442(9)	288(4) ps
^{194}Os	76	118	(2+)	218.509(6)	
^{196}Os	76	120	(2+)	324.4(10)	
^{198}Os	76	122	(2+)	465.4(5)	
^{168}Pt	78	90	(2+)	581.4(10)	
^{170}Pt	78	92	2+	509.2(20)	
^{172}Pt	78	94	2(+)	457.6(10)	
^{174}Pt	78	96	2+	394.2(10)	
^{176}Pt	78	98	2+	264(3)	76(7) ps
^{178}Pt	78	100	2+	170.3(10)	286^{+21}_{-21} ps*
^{180}Pt	78	102	2+	153.24(7)	374(35) ps
^{182}Pt	78	104	2+	154.97(9)	479(30) ps
^{184}Pt	78	106	2+	162.98(6)	360(12) ps
^{186}Pt	78	108	2+	191.53(4)	260(12) ps
^{188}Pt	78	110	2+	265.61(5)	66(3) ps
^{190}Pt	78	112	2+	295.78(3)	62.3(31) ps
^{192}Pt	78	114	2+	316.50645(15)	43.7(9) ps
^{194}Pt	78	116	2+	328.464(5)	41.9(6) ps
^{196}Pt	78	118	2+	355.6841(20)	34.15(15) ps
^{198}Pt	78	120	2+	407.22(5)	22.25(15) ps
^{200}Pt	78	122	2+	470.1(20)	
^{202}Pt	78	124	(2+)	534.9(20)	
^{204}Pt	78	126	(2+)	872(10)	
^{172}Hg	80	92	(2+)	672.8(4)	
^{176}Hg	80	96	2+	613.3(10)	
^{178}Hg	80	98	2+	558(20)	

Continued on next page ...

Nuclide	Z	N	J π	Energy, keV	T $_{1/2}$
^{184}Hg	80	104	2+	366.78(9)	21(5) ps
^{186}Hg	80	106	2+	405.33(14)	18(3) ps
^{188}Hg	80	108	2+	412.91(8)	13.1(20) ps
^{190}Hg	80	110	2+	416.32(14)	15(1) ps †
^{192}Hg	80	112	2+	422.79(10)	
^{194}Hg	80	114	2+	427.89(9)	
^{196}Hg	80	116	2+	425.98(10)	17.2(6) ps
^{198}Hg	80	118	2+	411.80251(17)	23.15(28) ps
^{200}Hg	80	120	2+	367.943(10)	46.4(4) ps
^{202}Hg	80	122	2+	439.512(8)	27.26(22) ps
^{204}Hg	80	124	2+	436.552(8)	40.3(3) ps
^{206}Hg	80	126	2+	1068.2(20)	<21 ns
^{208}Hg	80	128	(2+)	669(5)	
^{210}Hg	80	130	(2+)	643	
^{180}Pb	82	98	(2+)	1168(1)	
^{182}Pb	82	100	(2+)	888.3(3)	
^{196}Pb	82	114	2+	1049.2(9)	<100 ns †
^{198}Pb	82	116	2+	1063.5(20)	
^{200}Pb	82	118	2+	1026.61(14)	
^{202}Pb	82	120	2+	960.67(5)	≤ 0.1 ns
^{204}Pb	82	122	2+	899.165(25)	2.88(3) ps
^{206}Pb	82	124	2+	803.054(25)	8.3(25) ps
^{210}Pb	82	128	2+	799.7(1)	17(5) ps
^{212}Pb	82	130	(2+)	804.9(2)	
^{214}Pb	82	132	(2+)	835(1)	
^{216}Pb	82	134	(2+)	887(1)	
^{190}Po	84	106	(2+)	234.1(9)	
^{192}Po	84	108	(2+)	262(3)	
^{194}Po	84	110	2+	319.8(3)	25.6 $^{+49}_{-49}$ ps*
^{196}Po	84	112	2+	463.12(9)	8.0 $^{+10}_{-10}$ ps*
^{198}Po	84	114	2+	604.94(10)	2.60 $^{+60}_{-49}$ ps*
^{200}Po	84	116	2+	665.9(10)	2.00 $^{+12}_{-10}$ ps*
^{202}Po	84	118	2+	677.2(20)	1.74 $^{+52}_{-41}$ ps*
^{204}Po	84	120	2+	684.341(10)	
^{206}Po	84	122	2+	700.66(3)	
^{208}Po	84	124	2+	686.526(20)	

Continued on next page ...

Nuclide	Z	N	J π	Energy, keV	T $_{1/2}$
^{210}Po	84	126	2+	1181.398(10)	5.9(12) ps
^{212}Po	84	128	2+	727.33(9)	14.2(18) ps †
^{214}Po	84	130	2+	609.316(4)	<4 ps*
^{216}Po	84	132	2+	549.76(4)	
^{218}Po	84	134	2+	509.7(10)	
^{198}Rn	86	112	(2+)	339(2)	
^{200}Rn	86	114	2+	432.6(20)	
^{202}Rn	86	116	2+	504(10)	8.4 $^{+30}_{-21}$ ps*
^{204}Rn	86	118	2+	542.9(10)	3.9 $^{+17}_{-11}$ ps*
^{206}Rn	86	120	2+	575.3(10)	
^{208}Rn	86	122	2+	635.8(2)	
^{210}Rn	86	124	2+	643.9(10)	
^{212}Rn	86	126	2+	1273.7(10)	
^{214}Rn	86	128	2+	694.7	<1.4 ns
^{216}Rn	86	130	2+	461.4(2)	
^{218}Rn	86	132	2+	324.32(18)	<80 ps
^{220}Rn	86	134	2+	240.986(6)	0.146(5) ns
^{222}Rn	86	136	2+	186.211(13)	0.32(2) ns
^{206}Ra	88	118	(2+)	474.3(5)	
^{208}Ra	88	120	(2+)	520.2(2)	
^{210}Ra	88	122	2+	603.7(3)	
^{212}Ra	88	124	2+	629.3(10)	
^{214}Ra	88	126	2+	1382.4	
^{216}Ra	88	128	2+	688.2(20)	
^{218}Ra	88	130	2+	388.9(10)	29.8(28) ps
^{220}Ra	88	132	2+	178.47(12)	
^{222}Ra	88	134	2+	111.12(2)	0.52(4) ns
^{224}Ra	88	136	2+	84.372(3)	0.748(19) ns
^{226}Ra	88	138	2+	67.67(1)	0.63(2) ns
^{228}Ra	88	140	2+	63.823(20)	550(20) ps
^{230}Ra	88	142	2+	57.4(1)	
^{232}Ra	88	144	(2+)	54.5(10)	
^{214}Th	90	124	(2+)	623(10)	
^{216}Th	90	126	2+	1478.2(1)	
^{218}Th	90	128	2+	689.6(6)	
^{220}Th	90	130	2+	386.5(10)	

Continued on next page ...

Nuclide	Z	N	J π	Energy, keV	T $_{1/2}$
²²² Th	90	132	2+	183.3	240(20) ps
²²⁴ Th	90	134	2+	98.1(3)	0.59(40) ns
²²⁶ Th	90	136	2+	72.2(4)	0.395(20) ns
²²⁸ Th	90	138	2+	57.773(3)	0.406(7) ns
²³⁰ Th	90	140	2+	53.227(11)	0.354(9) ns
²³² Th	90	142	2+	49.369(9)	345(15) ps
²³⁴ Th	90	144	2+	49.55(6)	0.37(3) ns
²³⁶ Th	90	146	(2+)	48.4(3)	
²²⁶ U	92	134	(2+)	81.3(6)	
²²⁸ U	92	136	2+	59(14)	
²³⁰ U	92	138	2+	51.727(23)	0.26(3) ns
²³² U	92	140	2+	47.573(8)	245(20) ps
²³⁴ U	92	142	2+	43.4981(10)	0.252(7) ns
²³⁶ U	92	144	2+	45.244(20)	234(6) ps
²³⁸ U	92	146	2+	44.916(13)	206(3) ps
²⁴⁰ U	92	148	(2+)	45(1)	
²⁴² U	92	150	(2+)	47.8(3)	
²³⁶ Pu	94	142	2+	44.63(10)	
²³⁸ Pu	94	144	2+	44.065(15)	175(3) ps
²⁴⁰ Pu	94	146	2+	42.824(8)	167(6) ps
²⁴² Pu	94	148	2+	44.54(2)	158(3) ps
²⁴⁴ Pu	94	150	2+	44.2(4)	158(11) ps
²⁴⁶ Pu	94	152	2+	46.7(2)	
²³⁶ Cm	96	140	2+	45	
²³⁸ Cm	96	142	2+	35(7)	
²⁴⁰ Cm	96	144	(2+)	38(5)	132(9) ps
²⁴² Cm	96	146	2+	42.13(5)	
²⁴⁴ Cm	96	148	2+	42.957(9)	97(5) ps
²⁴⁶ Cm	96	150	2+	42.852(5)	123(2) ps
²⁴⁸ Cm	96	152	2+	43.4(3)	122.5(25) ps
²⁵⁰ Cm	96	154	2+	43(5)	
²⁴⁴ Cf	98	146	2+	37(22)	
²⁴⁶ Cf	98	148	(2+)	44	
²⁴⁸ Cf	98	150	2+	41.53(6)	
²⁵⁰ Cf	98	152	2+	42.721(5)	96(10) ps
²⁵² Cf	98	154	2+	45.72(5)	92(6) ps

Continued on next page ...

Nuclide	Z	N	J^π	Energy, keV	$T_{1/2}$
^{254}Cf	98	156	(2+)	50	
^{248}Fm	100	148	2+	46(1)	
^{252}Fm	100	152	2+	42.1(13)	
^{254}Fm	100	154	2+	44.992(10)	
^{256}Fm	100	156	2+	48.12(16)	
^{252}No	102	150	(2+)	46.4(10)	
^{254}No	102	152	2+	44.2(4)	
^{256}Rf	104	152	(2+)	44(1)	

4. Conclusions

Spin and parity assignments in even-even nuclei have been a fascinating topic in nuclear physics for the last 70 years. Many new measurements have been conducted in recent years [87], and the data update was a long time overdue. We surveyed first-excited state properties across the nuclear chart using the Evaluated Nuclear Structure Data File (ENSDF) and other available data. The prevalence of 2_1^+ states was confirmed, and properties of 0_2^+ , 1_1^- , and 3_1^- states were reevaluated.

In summary, we would reiterate that there is no comprehensive theoretical explanation for the 2^+ lowest excited state spin and parity dominance in even-even nuclei, and previous theoretical works [2, 9] imply that both neutron and protons should be considered as contributing factors. We hope the current nuclear properties update of 2_1^+ in conjunction with 0_2^+ , 1_1^- , and 3_1^- states would stimulate future theoretical and experimental studies that would help to clarify this phenomenon.

5. Acknowledgments

The authors are indebted to the International Network of Nuclear Structure and Decay Data (NSDD) Evaluators [8, 7] members for their tireless work on the ENSDF library evaluations, Vladimir Zelevinsky (Michigan State University) for useful discussions, and the referee for good comments and productive suggestions that helped to improve the manuscript. Work at Brookhaven was funded by the Office of Nuclear Physics, Office of Science

of the U.S. Department of Energy, under Contract No. DE-SC0012704 with Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC.

References

- [1] G. Scharff-Goldhaber, “Excited States of Even-Even Nuclei,” *PHYS. REV.* **90**, 587 (1953).
- [2] S.T. Beliaev, V.G. Zelevinsky, “Anharmonic Effects of Quadrupole Oscillations of Spherical Nuclei,” *NUCL. PHYS.* **39**, 582 (1962).
- [3] A. Bardasis, J. R. Schrieffer, “Excitons and Plasmons in Superconductors,” *PHYS. REV.* **121**, 1050 (1961).
- [4] Z. Sun, M.M. Fogler, D.N. Basov, A.J. Millis, “Collective Modes and Terahertz Near-field Response of Superconductors,” *PHYS. REV. RESEARCH* **2**, 023413 (2020).
- [5] D. Mulhall, A. Volya, V. Zelevinsky, “Geometric Chaoticity Leads to Ordered Spectra for Randomly Interacting Fermions,” *PHYS. REV. LETT.* **85**, 4016 (2000).
- [6] T.W. Burrows, “The evaluated nuclear structure data file: Philosophy, content, and uses,” *NUCL. INSTRUM. AND METH. PHYS. RES. A* **286**, 595 (1990). Data extracted by the IAEA Nuclear Data Section from the ENSDF, (<http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/ensdf>) on August 11, 2021.
- [7] P. Dimitriou, S. Basunia, L. Bernstein, J. Chen, Z. Elekes, X. Huang, A. Hurst, H. Iimura, A.K. Jain, J. Kelley, T. Kibedi, F. Kondev, S. Lalkovski, E. McCutchan, I. Mitropolsky, G. Mukherjee, A. Négret, C. Nesaraja, N. Nica, S. Pascu, A. Rodionov, B. Singh, S. Singh, M. Smith, A. Sonzogni, J. Timar, J. Tuli, M. Verpelli, D. Yang, V. Zerkin, “International network of nuclear structure and decay data evaluators,” *EPJ WEB CONF.* **239**, 15004 (2020).
- [8] International Network of Nuclear Structure and Decay Data (NSDD) Evaluators. Downloaded from (<https://www-nds.iaea.org/nsdd/>) on June 9, 2021.

- [9] G. Scharff-Goldhaber, C.B. Dover, A.L. Goodman, “The Variable Moment of Inertia (VMI) Model and Theories of Nuclear Collective Motion,” *ANN. REV. NUCL. SCI.* **26**, 239 (1976).
- [10] A. Bohr and B. R. Mottelson, “Nuclear structure,” vol. 1, pp.195-197 (Benjamin, New York, 1969).
- [11] A.S. Goldhaber, G. Scharff-Goldhaber, “Electric and dynamic quadrupole moments of even-even nuclei,” *PHYS. REV. C* **17**, 1171 (1978).
- [12] V.G. Zelevinsky, Private Communication. September 18, 2021.
- [13] J.K. Tuli, “The Evaluated Nuclear Structure Data File. A Manual for Preparation of Data Sets.” Brookhaven National Laboratory Report BNL-NCS-51655-01/02-Rev (2001).
- [14] B. Pritychenko, M. Birch, B. Singh, M. Horoi, “Tables of E2 transition probabilities from the first 2^+ states in even-even nuclei,” *AT. DATA NUCL .DATA TABLES* **107**, 1 (2016).
- [15] B. Pritychenko, M. Birch, B. Singh, “Revisiting Grodzins systematics of B(E2) values,” *NUCL. PHYS. A* **962**, 73 (2017).
- [16] T. Walcher, “Unelastische Elektronenstreuung am ^4He bei kleinem Impulsübertrag,” *Z. PHYS.* **237**, 368 (1970).
- [17] R.F. Frosch, R.E. Rand, H. Crannell, J.S. McCarthy, L.R. Suelzle, M.R. Yearian, “Inelastic Electron Scattering from ^4He ,” *NUCL. PHYS. A* **110**, 657(1968).
- [18] D. Suzuki, H. Iwasaki, D. Beaumel, M. Assie, H. Baba, Y. Blumenfeld, F. de Oliveira Santos, N. de Sereville, A. Drouart, S. Franchoo, J. Gibelin, A. Gillibert, S. Giron, S. Grevy, J. Guillot, M. Hackstein, F. Hammache, N. Keeley, V. Lapoux, F. Marechal, A. Matta, S. Michimasa, L. Nalpas, F. Naqvi, H. Okamura, H. Otsu, J. Pancin, D.Y. Pang, L. Perrot, C.M. Petrache, E. Pollacco, A. Ramus, W. Rother, P. Roussel-Chomaz, H. Sakurai, J.-A. Scarpaci, O. Sorlin, P.C. Srivastava, I. Stefan, C. Stodel, Y. Tanimura, S. Terashima, “Second 0^+ state of unbound ^{12}O : Scaling of mirror asymmetry,” *PHYS. REV. C* **93**, 024316 (2016).

- [19] H. Miska, H.D. Graf, A. Richter, R. Schneider, D. Schull, E. Spamer, H. Theissen, O. Titze, T. Walcher, “High Resolution Inelastic Electron Scattering and Radiation Widths of Levels in ^{16}O ,” *PHYS. LETT.* **58B**, 155 (1975).
- [20] M. Birk, J.S. Sokolowski, Y. Wolfson, “Direct Lifetime Measurement of the 6.05 MeV $0+$ Level in ^{16}O ,” *NUCL. PHYS.* **A216**, 217 (1973).
- [21] G.M. Crawley, W.F. Steele, J.N. Bishop, P.A. Smith, S. Maripuu, “Determination of the Mass and Some Energy Levels of the Nuclide ^{44}Ar ,” *PHYS. LETT.* **64B**, 143 (1976).
- [22] S. Gorodetzky, N. Schulz, J. Chevallier, A.C. Knipper, “Periodes de Niveaux Excites du Calcium 40 et de l’Iode 132,” *J. PHYS.(PARIS)* **27**, 521 (1966).
- [23] C.M. Bartle, P.A. Quin, “The Half-Life of ^{40}Ca (3.35),” *NUCL. PHYS.* **A216**, 90 (1973).
- [24] H. Seifert, J.J. Kelly, A.E. Feldman, B.S. Flanders, M.A. Khandaker, Q. Chen, A.D. Bacher, G.P.A. Berg, E.J. Stephenson, P. Karen, B.E. Norum, P. Welch, A. Scott, “Effective Interaction for $^{16}\text{O}(p, p')$ and $^{40}\text{Ca}(p, p')$ at $E(p) = 200$ MeV,” *PHYS. REV.* **C47**, 1615 (1993).
- [25] F. Flavigny, D. Pauwels, D. Radulov, I.J. Darby, H. De Witte, J. Diriken, D.V. Fedorov, V.N. Fedosseev, L.M. Fraile, M. Huyse, V.S. Ivanov, U. Koster, B.A. Marsh, T. Otsuka, L. Popescu, R. Raabe, M.D. Seliverstov, N. Shimizu, A.M. Sjodin, Y. Tsunoda, P. Van den Bergh, P. Van Duppen, J. Van de Walle, M. Venhart, W.B. Walters, K. Wimmer, “Characterization of the low-lying $0+$ and $2+$ states in ^{68}Ni via β decay of the low-spin ^{68}Co isomer,” *PHYS. REV.* **C 91**, 034310 (2015).
- [26] S. Suchyta, S.N. Liddick, Y. Tsunoda, T. Otsuka, M.B. Bennett, A. Chemey, M. Honma, N. Larson, C.J. Prokop, S.J. Quinn, N. Shimizu, A. Simon, A. Spyrou, V. Tripathi, Y. Utsuno, J.M. VonMoss, “Shape coexistence in ^{68}Ni ,” *PHYS. REV.* **C 89**, 021301 (2014).
- [27] O. Sorlin, S. Leenhardt, C. Donzaud, J. Duprat, F. Azaiez, F. Nowacki, H. Grawe, Zs. Dombradi, F. Amorini, A. Astier, D. Baiborodin, M. Bleguic, C. Borcea, C. Bourgeois, D.M. Cullen, Z. Dlouhy, E. Dragulescu, M. Gorska, S. Grevy, D. Guillemaud-Mueller, G. Hagemann,

- B. Herskind, J. Kiener, R. Lemmon, M. Lewitowicz, S.M. Lukyanov, P. Mayet, F. de Oliveira Santos, D. Pantalica, Yu.-E. Penionzhkevich, F. Pougheon, A. Poves, N. Redon, M.G. Saint-Laurent, J.A. Scarpaci, G. Sletten, M. Stanoiu, O. Tarasov, Ch. Thelsen, “ $^{68}\text{Ni}_{40}$: Magicity versus superfluidity,” *PHYS. REV. LETT.* **88**, 092501 (2002).
- [28] S. Mordechai, H.T. Fortune, R. Middleton, G. Stephans, “ ^{72}Ge from the $^{70}\text{Ge}(t,p)$ Reaction,” *PHYS. REV.* **C19**, 1733 (1979).
- [29] A. Becker, E.A. Bakkum, R. Kamermans, “A Microscopic Description of the (p, t) Reaction to Low-Lying 0^+ States in the Even Ge Isotopes,” *PHYS. LETT.* **110B**, 199 (1982).
- [30] S.J. Freeman, J.P. Schiffer, A.C.C. Villari, J.A. Clark, C. Deibel, S. Gros, A. Heinz, D. Hirata, C.L. Jiang, B.P. Kay, A. Parikh, P.D. Parker, J. Qian, K.E. Rehm, X.D. Tang, V. Werner, C. Wrede, “Pair correlations in nuclei involved in neutrinoless double β decay: ^{76}Ge and ^{76}Se ,” *PHYS. REV. C* **75**, 051301 (2007).
- [31] G. Braun, A. Bockisch, W. Neuwirth, “Lifetime of the 0_2^+ State of ^{72}Ge Determined by Delayed Auto-Coincidence of a Ge(Li) Detector,” *NUCL. INSTRUM. METHODS* **224**, 112 (1984).
- [32] E. Bouchez, I. Matea, W. Korten, F. Becker, B. Blank, C. Borcea, A. Buta, A. Emsallem, G. de France, J. Genevey, F. Hannachi, K. Hauschild, A. Hurstel, Y. Le Coz, M. Lewitowicz, R. Lucas, F. Negoita, F. de Oliveira Santos, D. Pantalica, J. Pinston, P. Rahkila, M. Rejmund, M. Stanoiu, Ch. Theisen, “New Shape Isomer in the Self-Conjugate Nucleus ^{72}Kr ,” *PHYS. REV. LETT.* **90**, 082502 (2003).
- [33] J.B. Ball, R.L. Auble, P.G. Roos, “Study of the Zirconium Isotopes with the (p,t) Reaction,” *PHYS. REV.* **C4**, 196 (1971).
- [34] D. Burch, P. Russo, H. Swanson, E.G. Adelberger, “Lifetime of the First Excited State in ^{96}Zr ,” *PHYS. LETT.* **40B**, 357 (1972).
- [35] L. Pibida, B.E. Zimmerman, L. King, R. Fitzgerald, D.E. Bergeron, E. Napoli, J.T. Cessna, “Determination of the internal pair production branching ratio of ^{90}Y ,” *APPL. RADIAT. ISOT.* **156**, 108943 (2020).

- [36] P. Dryak, J. Solc, “Measurement of the branching ratio related to the internal pair production of Y-90,” *APPL. RADIAT. ISOT.* **156**, 108942 (2020).
- [37] H. Mach, E.K. Warburton, R.L. Gill, R.F. Casten, J.A. Becker, B.A. Brown, J.A. Winger, “Meson-Exchange Enhancement of the First-Forbidden $^{96g}\text{Y}(0^-) \rightarrow ^{96g}\text{Zr}(0^+)$ β Transition: β decay of the low-spin isomer of ^{96}Y ,” *PHYS. REV.* **C41**, 226 (1990).
- [38] E.R. Flynn, J.G. Beery, A.G. Blair, “The (t,p) Reaction to the Low-Lying Levels of the Zirconium Isotopes,” *NUCL. PHYS.* **A218**, 285 (1974).
- [39] G. Lhersonneau, B. Pfeiffer, K.-L. Kratz, T. Enqvist, P.P. Jauho, A. Jokinen, J. Kantele, M. Leino, J.M. Parmonen, H. Penttila, J. Aysto, and the ISOLDE Collaboration, “Evolution of Deformation in the Neutron-Rich Zr Region from Excited Intruder State to the Ground State,” *PHYS. REV.* **C49**, 1379 (1994).
- [40] K. Sistemich, G. Sadler, T.A. Khan, H. Lawin, W.D. Lauppe, H.A. Selic, F. Schussler, J. Blachot, E. Monnard, J.P. Bocquet, B. Pfeiffer, “The β -Decay of ^{98}Y and the Level Scheme of ^{98}Zr ,” *Z. PHYS.* **A281**, 169 (1977).
- [41] L.A. Popeko, G.A. Petrov, Y.P. Rudnev, E.F. Kochubey, “Investigation of Conversion Electrons in Fission of ^{235}U by Thermal Neutrons,” *YAD. FIZ.* **24**, 1081 (1976); *SOV. J. NUCL. PHYS.* **24**, 567 (1976).
- [42] D.J. Decman, E.A. Henry, J. Kantele, L.G. Mann, W. Stoffl, R.J. Estep, L.E. Ussery, “Electron Spectroscopy in Coincidence with Protons from the (t,p) Reaction,” *NUCL. INSTRUM. METHODS* **219**, 523 (1984).
- [43] E.R. Flynn, F. Ajzenberg-Selove, R.E. Brown, J.A. Cizewski, J.W. Sunier, “ $^{92,94,97,98}\text{Mo}(t,p)$ Reactions at $E_t = 17$ MeV,” *PHYS. REV.* **C24**, 2475 (1981); *ERRATUM PHYS. REV.* **C25**, 2850 (1982).
- [44] H.L. Sharma, R. Seltz, N.M. Hintz, “Search for an Excited Rotational Band in ^{98}Mo with the (p,t) Reaction,” *PHYS. REV.* **C7**, 2567 (1973).

- [45] J. Elseviers, A.N. Andreyev, S. Antalic, A. Barzakh, N. Bree, T.E. Cocolios, V.F. Comas, J. Diriken, D. Fedorov, V.N. Fedosseyev, S. Franchoo, J.A. Heredia, M. Huyse, O. Ivanov, U. Koster, B.A. Marsh, R.D. Page, N. Patronis, M. Seliverstov, I. Tsekhanovich, P. Van den Bergh, J. Van De Walle, P. Van Duppen, M. Venhart, S. Vermote, M. Veselsky, C. Wagemans, “Shape coexistence in ^{180}Hg studied through the β decay of ^{180}Tl ,” *PHYS. REV. C* **84**, 034307 (2011).
- [46] R.D. Page, A.N. Andreyev, D.R. Wiseman, P.A. Butler, T. Grahn, P.T. Greenlees, R.-D. Herzberg, M. Huyse, G.D. Jones, P.M. Jones, D.T. Joss, R. Julin, S. Juutinen, H. Kankaanpaa, A. Keenan, H. Kettunen, P. Kuusiniemi, M. Leino, M. Muikku, P. Nieminen, P. Rahkila, G.I. Rainovski, C. Scholey, J. Uusitalo, K. Van de Vel, P. Van Duppen, “In-beam conversion-electron spectroscopy of ^{180}Hg ,” *PHYS. REV. C* **84**, 034308 (2011).
- [47] E. Rapisarda, A.N. Andreyev, S. Antalic, A. Barzakh, T.E. Cocolios, I.G. Darby, R. De Groote, H. De Witte, J. Diriken, J. Elseviers, D. Fedorov, V.N. Fedosseev, R. Ferrer, M. Huyse, Z. Kalaninova, U. Koster, J. Lane, V. Liberati, K.M. Lynch, B.A. Marsh, P.L. Molkanov, D. Pauwels, T.J. Procter, D. Radulov, K. Sandhu, M.D. Seliverstov, C. Van Beveren, P. Van den Bergh, P. Van Duppen, M. Venhart, M. Veselsky, K. Wrzosek-Lipska, “Shape coexistence studied in $^{182,184}\text{Hg}$ via the β decay of $^{182,184}\text{Tl}$,” *J. PHYS.(LONDON) G44*, 074001 (2017).
- [48] K. Van de Vel, A.N. Andreyev, D. Ackermann, H.J. Boardman, P. Cagarda, J. Gerl, F.P. Hessberger, S. Hofmann, M. Huyse, D. Karlgren, I. Kojouharov, M. Leino, B. Lommel, G. Munzenberg, C. Moore, R.D. Page, S. Saro, P. van Duppen, R. Wyss, “Fine structure in a α decay of $^{188,192}\text{Po}$,” *PHYS. REV. C* **68**, 054311 (2003).
- [49] A.N. Andreyev, D. Ackermann, P. Cagarda, J. Gerl, F. Hessberger, S. Hofmann, M. Huyse, A. Keenan, H. Kettunen, A. Kleinbohl, A. Lavrentiev, M. Leino, B. Lommel, M. Matos, G. Munzenberg, C. Moore, C.D. O’Leary, R.D. Page, S. Reshitko, S. Saro, C. Schlegel, H. Schaffner, M. Taylor, P. Van Duppen, L. Weissman, R. Wyss, “Alpha Decay of the New Isotopes $^{188,189}\text{Po}$,” *EUR. PHYS. J. A* **6**, 381 (1999).
- [50] A.N. Andreyev, M. Huyse, P. Van Duppen, L. Weissman, D. Ackermann, J. Gerl, F.P. Hessberger, S. Hofmann, A. Kleinbohl, G. Mun-

- zenberg, S. Reshitko, C. Schlegel, H. Schaffner, P. Cagarda, M. Matos, S. Saro, A. Keenan, C. Moore, C.D. O’Leary, R.D. Page, M. Taylor, H. Kettunen, M. Leino, A. Lavrentiev, R. Wyss, K. Heyde, “A Triplet of Differently Shaped Spin-Zero States in the Atomic Nucleus ^{186}Pb ,” *NATURE(LONDON)* **405**, 430 (2000).
- [51] Y. Le Coz, F. Becker, H. Kankaanpaa, W. Korten, E. Mergel, P.A. Butler, J.F.C. Cocks, O. Dorvaux, D. Hawcroft, K. Helariutta, R.D. Herzberg, M. Houry, H. Hubel, P. Jones, R. Julin, S. Juutinen, H. Kettunen, P. Kuusiniemi, M. Leino, R. Lucas, M. Muikku, P. Nieminen, P. Rahkila, D. Rossbach, A. Savelius, Ch. Theisen, “Evidence of Multiple Shape-Coexistence in ^{188}Pb ,” *EPJDIRECT* **1**, **A3**, 1-6 (1999).
- [52] P. Dendooven, P. Decrock, M. Huyse, G. Reusen, P. Van Duppen, J. Wauters, “Life Time Measurements of $0+$ Intruder States in $^{190,192,194}\text{Pb}$,” *PHYS. LETT.* **226B**, 27 (1989).
- [53] J. Wauters, N. Bijnens, P. Dendooven, M. Huyse, H.Y. Hwang, G. Reusen, J. von Schwarzenberg, P. Van Duppen, R. Kirchner, E. Roeckl, and the ISOLDE Collaboration, “Fine Structure in the Alpha Decay of Even-Even Nuclei as an Experimental Proof for the Stability of the $Z = 82$ Magic Shell at the Very Neutron-Deficient Side,” *PHYS. REV. LETT.* **72**, 1329 (1994).
- [54] P. Van Duppen, E. Coenen, K. Deneffe, M. Huyse, J.L. Wood, “ β^+ /Electron-Capture Decay of $^{192,194,196,198,200}\text{Bi}$: Experimental evidence for low lying $0+$ states,” *PHYS. REV.* **C35**, 1861 (1987).
- [55] W.H. Trzaska, J. Kantele, R. Julin, J. Kumpulainen, P. Van Duppen, M. Huyse, J. Wauters, “Comparison of Experimental and Calculated K/L Ratios of E0 Transitions in Some Heavy Nuclei,” *Z. PHYS.* **A335**, 475 (1990).
- [56] P. Van Duppen, E. Coenen, K. Deneffe, M. Huyse, J.L. Wood, “Low-Lying $J(\pi) = 0+$ States in $^{190,192}\text{Pb}$ Populated in the α -Decay of $^{194,196}\text{Po}$,” *PHYS. LETT.* **154B**, 354 (1985).
- [57] R.B. Firestone, Zs. Revay, “Thermal neutron radiative cross sections for $^{6,7}\text{Li}$, ^9Be , $^{10,11}\text{B}$, $^{12,13}\text{C}$, and $^{14,15}\text{N}$,” *PHYS. REV. C* **93**, 054306 (2016).

- [58] R.J. Peterson, H.C. Bhang, J.J. Hamill, T.G. Masterson, “The $^{14}\text{C}(\alpha, \alpha')^{14}\text{C}$ and $^{13}\text{C}(d, p)^{14}\text{C}$ Reactions,” *NUCL. PHYS.* **A425**, 469 (1984).
- [59] H.P. Seiler, R. Kulesa, P.M. Cockburn, P. Marmier, P.H. Barker, “Heavy-Ion One-Neutron Transfer Reactions Involving ^{13}C (II). Electromagnetic Lifetimes,” *NUCL. PHYS.* **A241**, 159 (1975).
- [60] R.J. Charity, K.W. Brown, J. Okolowicz, M. Ploszajczak, J.M. Elson, W. Reviol, L.G. Sobotka, W.W. Buhro, Z. Chajecki, W.G. Lynch, J. Manfredi, R. Shane, R.H. Showalter, M.B. Tsang, D. Weisshaar, J.R. Winkelbauer, S. Bedoor, A.H. Wuosmaa, “Invariant-mass spectroscopy of ^{14}O excited states,” *PHYS. REV. C* **100**, 064305 (2019).
- [61] K. Abe, K. Maeda, T. Ishimatsu, T. Kawamura, T. Furukawa, H. Orihara, H. Ohnuma, “The Reaction $^{12}\text{C}(^3\text{He}, n)^{14}\text{O}$ at 45.5 MeV,” *NUCL. PHYS.* **A466**, 109 (1987).
- [62] T.E. Chupp, R.T. Kouzes, A.B. McDonald, P.D. Parker, T.F. Wang, A. Howard, “Total Width of the 5.17 MeV 1- State in ^{14}O and the Hot-CNO Cycle,” *PHYS. REV. C* **31**, 1023 (1985).
- [63] L. Caballero, B. Rubio, P. Kleinheinz, S.W. Yates, A. Algora, A. Dewald, A. Fitzler, A. Gadea, J. Jolie, R. Julin, A. Linnemann, S. Lunardi, R. Menegazzo, O. Moller, E. Nacher, M. Piiparinen, J. Blomqvist, “Two-phonon octupole excitation in ^{146}Gd ,” *PHYS. REV. C* **81**, 031301 (2010).
- [64] S.W. Yates, R. Julin, P. Kleinheinz, B. Rubio, L.G. Mann, E.A. Henry, W. Stoffl, D.J. Decman, J. Blomqvist, “Particle-Hole Multiplets in ^{146}Gd from In-Beam Studies of Non-Yrast Levels,” *Z. PHYS.* **A324**, 417 (1986).
- [65] V.M. Gorozhankin, K.Ya. Gromov, V.G. Kalinnikov, Sh.R. Malikov, T.M. Muminov, M.B. Yuldashev, “Spin and Parity of 1579 keV and 2165 keV Levels in $^{146}_{64}\text{Gd}_{82}$ at the β -Decay of ^{146}Tb Isomeric Nuclei,” *JINR P6-95-415* (1995).
- [66] P. Kleinheinz, M. Ogawa, R. Broda, P.J. Daly, D. Haenni, H. Beuscher, A. Kleinrahm, “Properties of the 3- First Excited State in the Doubly Closed Shell Nucleus ^{146}Gd ,” *Z. PHYS.* **A286**, 27 (1978).

- [67] R.G. Helmer, C. van der Leun, “Recommended Standards for γ -Ray Energy Calibration (1999),” *NUCL. INSTRUM. METHODS PHYS.RES.* **A450**, 35 (2000).
- [68] R.H. Spear, W.J. Vermeer, M.T. Esat, J.A. Kuehner, A.M. Baxter, S. Hinds, “An Improved Determination of the Quadrupole Moment of the First Excited State of ^{208}Pb ,” *PHYS. LETT.* **128B**, 29 (1983).
- [69] Y. Fujita, T. Shimoda, H. Miyatake, N. Takahashi, M. Fujiwara, S. Morinobu, T. Yamagata, J. Takamatsu, A. Terakawa, H. Folger, “Observation of the Low-Energy Octupole Resonance in ^{208}Pb by Inelastic α Scattering,” *PHYS. REV.* **C45**, 993 (1992).
- [70] G.S. Adams, A.D. Bacher, G.T. Emery, W.P. Jones, D.W. Miller, W.G. Love, F. Petrovich, “Inelastic Excitation of Normal Parity Levels in ^{208}Pb by 135 MeV Protons,” *PHYS. LETT.* **91B**, 23 (1980).
- [71] J.F. Ziegler, G.A. Peterson, “Excitation of Pb^{206} , Pb^{207} , Pb^{208} , and Bi^{209} by Inelastic Electron Scattering,” *PHYS. REV.* **165**, 1337 (1968).
- [72] H.M. David, J. Chen, D. Seweryniak, F.G. Kondev, J.M. Gates, K.E. Gregorich, I. Ahmad, M. Albers, M. Alcorta, B.B. Back, B. Baartman, P.F. Bertone, L.A. Bernstein, C.M. Campbell, M.P. Carpenter, C.J. Chiara, R.M. Clark, M. Cromaz, D.T. Doherty, G.D. Dracoulis, N.E. Esker, P. Fallon, O.R. Gothe, J.P. Greene, P.T. Greenlees, D.J. Hartley, K. Hauschild, C.R. Hoffman, S.S. Hota, R.V.F. Janssens, T.L. Khoo, J. Konki, J.T. Kwarsick, T. Lauritsen, A.O. Macchiavelli, P.R. Mudder, C. Nair, Y. Qiu, J. Rissanen, A.M. Rogers, P. Ruotsalainen, G. Savard, S. Stolze, A. Wiens, S. Zhu, “Decay and Fission Hindrance of Two- and Four-Quasiparticle K Isomers in ^{254}Rf ,” *PHYS. REV. LETT.* **115**, 132502 (2015).
- [73] D. Ackermann, C. Theisen, “Nuclear structure features of very heavy and superheavy nuclei-tracing quantum mechanics towards the ‘island of stability’,” *PHYS. SCR.* **92**, 083002 (2017).
- [74] D. Ackermann, “Nuclear spectroscopy in nuclei with $Z \geq 110$,” *NUCL. PHYS.* **A944**, 376 (2015).
- [75] H. Morinaga, “Interpretation of Some of the Excited States of $4n$ Self-Conjugate Nuclei,” *PHYS. REV.* **101**, 254 (1956).

- [76] K. Heyde, J. Wood, “Shape coexistence in atomic nuclei,” *REV. MOD. PHYS.* **83**, 1467 (2011).
- [77] J. Chen, B. Singh, J.A. Cameron, “Nuclear Data Sheets for $A = 44$,” *NUCL. DATA SHEETS* **112**, 2357 (2011).
- [78] D. Abriola, A.A. Sonzogni, “Nuclear Data Sheets for $A = 72$,” *NUCL. DATA SHEETS* **111**, 1 (2010).
- [79] H. Scheit, T. Glasmacher, B.A. Brown, J.A. Brown, P.D. Cottle, P.G. Hansen, R. Harkewicz, M. Hellstrom, R.W. Ibbotson, J.K. Jewell, K.W. Kemper, D.J. Morrissey, M. Steiner, P. Thierolf, M. Thoennessen, “New Region of Deformation: The neutron-rich sulfur isotopes,” *PHYS. REV. LETT.* **77**, 3967 (1996).
- [80] B. Pritychenko, “Systematics of Double-Beta Decay Half-Lives,” To be published in Nuclear Data Conference Proceedings (2022).
- [81] I. Angeli, K.P. Marinova, “Table of experimental nuclear ground state charge radii: An update,” *AT. DATA NUCL. DATA TABLES* **99**, 69 (2013).
- [82] M. Wang, W.J. Huang, F.G. Kondev, G. Audi, S. Naimi, “The AME 2020 atomic mass evaluation (II). Tables, graphs and references*,” *CHINESE PHYSICS C* **45**, 030003 (2021).
- [83] J.E. Garcia-Ramos, K. Heyde, “Quest of shape coexistence in Zr isotopes,” *PHYS. REV. C* **100**, 044315 (2019).
- [84] H.J. Wollersheim, “Octupole Vibrational States in the Lead Region,” *NUOVO CIM.* **111A**, 691 (1998).
- [85] L.M. Robledo, “Enhancement of octupole strength in near spherical nuclei,” *EUR. PHYS. J. A* **52**, 300 (2016).
- [86] L.P. Gaffney, “Octupole collectivity in ^{220}Rn and ^{224}Ra ,” Thesis, University of Liverpool, September 2012.
- [87] National Research Council 2013, “Nuclear Physics: Exploring the Heart of Matter,” **2**, 32 (2013); Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. Downloaded from <https://doi.org/10.17226/13438> on September 10, 2021.