

Search for the Decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow \eta'\eta$

A. Abdesselam,¹⁰⁵ I. Adachi,^{22,18} K. Adamczyk,⁷⁸ J. K. Ahn,⁵¹ H. Aihara,¹¹³
 S. Al Said,^{105,48} K. Arinstein,^{5,82} Y. Arita,⁷⁰ D. M. Asner,⁴ H. Atmacan,⁹ V. Aulchenko,^{5,82}
 T. Aushev,²⁴ R. Ayad,¹⁰⁵ T. Aziz,¹⁰⁶ V. Babu,¹⁰ S. Bahinipati,³⁰ A. M. Bakich,¹⁰⁴
 Y. Ban,⁸⁷ E. Barberio,⁶⁶ M. Barrett,²² M. Bauer,⁴⁴ P. Behera,³³ C. Beleño,¹⁷ K. Belous,³⁷
 J. Bennett,⁶⁷ M. Berger,¹⁰² F. Bernlochner,³ M. Bessner,²¹ D. Besson,⁶⁹ V. Bhardwaj,²⁹
 B. Bhuyan,³¹ T. Bilka,⁶ J. Biswal,⁴² T. Bloomfield,⁶⁶ A. Bobrov,^{5,82} A. Bondar,^{5,82}
 G. Bonvicini,¹¹⁹ A. Bozek,⁷⁸ M. Bračko,^{64,42} N. Braun,⁴⁴ F. Breibeck,³⁶ T. E. Browder,²¹
 M. Campajola,^{39,72} L. Cao,³ G. Caria,⁶⁶ D. Červenkov,⁶ M.-C. Chang,¹³ P. Chang,⁷⁷
 Y. Chao,⁷⁷ V. Chekelian,⁶⁵ A. Chen,⁷⁵ K.-F. Chen,⁷⁷ Y. Chen,⁹⁶ Y.-T. Chen,⁷⁷
 B. G. Cheon,²⁰ K. Chilikin,⁵⁷ H. E. Cho,²⁰ K. Cho,⁵⁰ S.-J. Cho,¹²¹ V. Chobanova,⁶⁵
 S.-K. Choi,¹⁹ Y. Choi,¹⁰³ S. Choudhury,³² D. Cinabro,¹¹⁹ J. Crnkovic,²⁸ S. Cunliffe,¹⁰
 T. Czank,⁴⁵ S. Das,⁶² N. Dash,³³ G. De Nardo,^{39,72} R. Dhamija,³² F. Di Capua,^{39,72}
 J. Dingfelder,³ Z. Doležal,⁶ T. V. Dong,¹⁴ D. Dossett,⁶⁶ Z. Drásal,⁶ S. Dubey,²¹
 S. Eidelman,^{5,82} D. Epifanov,^{5,82} M. Feindt,⁴⁴ T. Ferber,¹⁰ A. Frey,¹⁷ O. Frost,¹⁰
 B. G. Fulsom,⁸⁵ R. Garg,⁸⁶ V. Gaur,¹⁰⁶ N. Gabyshev,^{5,82} A. Garmash,^{5,82} M. Gelb,⁴⁴
 J. Gemmler,⁴⁴ D. Getzkow,¹⁵ F. Giordano,²⁸ A. Giri,³² P. Goldenzweig,⁴⁴ B. Golob,^{59,42}
 D. Greenwald,¹⁰⁸ M. Grosse Perdekamp,^{28,94} J. Grygier,⁴⁴ O. Grzymkowska,⁷⁸ Y. Guan,⁹
 E. Guido,⁴⁰ H. Guo,⁹⁶ J. Haba,^{22,18} C. Hadjivasiliou,⁸⁵ P. Hamer,¹⁷ K. Hara,²² T. Hara,^{22,18}
 O. Hartbrich,²¹ J. Hasenbusch,³ K. Hayasaka,⁸⁰ H. Hayashii,⁷⁴ X. H. He,⁸⁷ M. Heck,⁴⁴
 M. T. Hedges,²¹ D. Heffernan,⁸⁴ M. Heider,⁴⁴ A. Heller,⁴⁴ M. Hernandez Villanueva,⁶⁷
 T. Higuchi,⁴⁵ S. Hirose,⁷⁰ K. Hoshina,¹¹⁶ W.-S. Hou,⁷⁷ Y. B. Hsiung,⁷⁷ C.-L. Hsu,¹⁰⁴
 K. Huang,⁷⁷ M. Huschle,⁴⁴ Y. Igarashi,²² T. Iijima,^{71,70} M. Imamura,⁷⁰ K. Inami,⁷⁰
 G. Inguglia,³⁶ A. Ishikawa,^{22,18} R. Itoh,^{22,18} M. Iwasaki,⁸³ Y. Iwasaki,²² S. Iwata,¹¹⁵
 W. W. Jacobs,³⁴ I. Jaegle,¹² E.-J. Jang,¹⁹ H. B. Jeon,⁵⁴ S. Jia,¹⁴ Y. Jin,¹¹³ D. Joffe,⁴⁶
 M. Jones,²¹ C. W. Joo,⁴⁵ K. K. Joo,⁸ T. Julius,⁶⁶ J. Kahn,⁴⁴ H. Kakuno,¹¹⁵
 A. B. Kaliyar,¹⁰⁶ J. H. Kang,¹²¹ K. H. Kang,⁵⁴ P. Kapusta,⁷⁸ G. Karyan,¹⁰ S. U. Kataoka,⁷³
 Y. Kato,⁷⁰ H. Kawai,⁷ T. Kawasaki,⁴⁹ T. Keck,⁴⁴ H. Kichimi,²² C. Kiesling,⁶⁵ B. H. Kim,⁹⁷
 C. H. Kim,²⁰ D. Y. Kim,¹⁰⁰ H. J. Kim,⁵⁴ H.-J. Kim,¹²¹ J. B. Kim,⁵¹ K.-H. Kim,¹²¹
 K. T. Kim,⁵¹ S. H. Kim,⁹⁷ S. K. Kim,⁹⁷ Y. J. Kim,⁵¹ Y.-K. Kim,¹²¹ T. Kimmel,¹¹⁸
 H. Kindo,^{22,18} K. Kinoshita,⁹ C. Kleinwort,¹⁰ J. Klucar,⁴² N. Kobayashi,¹¹⁴ P. Kodyš,⁶
 Y. Koga,⁷⁰ I. Komarov,¹⁰ T. Konno,⁴⁹ S. Korpar,^{64,42} D. Kotchetkov,²¹ P. Križan,^{59,42}
 R. Kroeger,⁶⁷ J.-F. Krohn,⁶⁶ P. Krokovny,^{5,82} B. Kronenbitter,⁴⁴ T. Kuhr,⁶⁰ R. Kulasiri,⁴⁶
 M. Kumar,⁶² R. Kumar,⁸⁹ K. Kumara,¹¹⁹ T. Kumita,¹¹⁵ E. Kurihara,⁷ Y. Kuroki,⁸⁴
 A. Kuzmin,^{5,82} P. Kvasnička,⁶ Y.-J. Kwon,¹²¹ Y.-T. Lai,²² K. Lalwani,⁶² J. S. Lange,¹⁵
 I. S. Lee,²⁰ J. K. Lee,⁹⁷ J. Y. Lee,⁹⁷ S. C. Lee,⁵⁴ M. Leitgab,^{28,94} R. Leitner,⁶ D. Levit,¹⁰⁸
 P. Lewis,³ C. H. Li,⁵⁸ H. Li,³⁴ J. Li,⁵⁴ L. K. Li,⁹ Y. Li,¹¹⁸ Y. B. Li,⁸⁷ L. Li Gioi,⁶⁵
 J. Libby,³³ K. Lieret,⁶⁰ A. Limosani,⁶⁶ Z. Liptak,²⁶ C. Liu,⁹⁶ Y. Liu,⁹ D. Liventsev,^{119,22}
 A. Loos,¹⁰¹ R. Louvot,⁵⁶ M. Lubej,⁴² T. Luo,¹⁴ J. MacNaughton,⁶⁸ M. Masuda,^{112,91}

T. Matsuda,⁶⁸ D. Matvienko,^{5,82} J. T. McNeil,¹² M. Merola,^{39,72} F. Metzner,⁴⁴
 K. Miyabayashi,⁷⁴ Y. Miyachi,¹²⁰ H. Miyake,^{22,18} H. Miyata,⁸⁰ Y. Miyazaki,⁷⁰ R. Mizuk,^{57,24}
 G. B. Mohanty,¹⁰⁶ S. Mohanty,^{106,117} H. K. Moon,⁵¹ T. J. Moon,⁹⁷ T. Mori,⁷⁰ T. Morii,⁴⁵
 H.-G. Moser,⁶⁵ M. Mrvar,³⁶ T. Müller,⁴⁴ N. Muramatsu,⁹⁰ R. Mussa,⁴⁰ Y. Nagasaka,²⁶
 Y. Nakahama,¹¹³ I. Nakamura,^{22,18} K. R. Nakamura,²² E. Nakano,⁸³ T. Nakano,⁹¹
 M. Nakao,^{22,18} H. Nakayama,^{22,18} H. Nakazawa,⁷⁷ T. Nanut,⁴² K. J. Nath,³¹ Z. Natkaniec,⁷⁸
 A. Natochii,²¹ L. Nayak,³² M. Nayak,¹⁰⁹ C. Ng,¹¹³ C. Niebuhr,¹⁰ M. Niiyama,⁵²
 N. K. Nisar,⁴ S. Nishida,^{22,18} K. Nishimura,²¹ O. Nitoh,¹¹⁶ A. Ogawa,⁹⁴ K. Ogawa,⁸⁰
 S. Ogawa,¹¹⁰ T. Ohshima,⁷⁰ S. Okuno,⁴³ S. L. Olsen,¹⁹ H. Ono,^{79,80} Y. Onuki,¹¹³ P. Oskin,⁵⁷
 W. Ostrowicz,⁷⁸ C. Oswald,³ H. Ozaki,^{22,18} P. Pakhlov,^{57,69} G. Pakhlova,^{24,57} B. Pal,⁴
 T. Pang,⁸⁸ E. Panzenböck,^{17,74} S. Pardi,³⁹ C.-S. Park,¹²¹ C. W. Park,¹⁰³ H. Park,⁵⁴
 K. S. Park,¹⁰³ S.-H. Park,¹²¹ S. Patra,²⁹ S. Paul,^{108,65} T. K. Pedlar,⁶¹ T. Peng,⁹⁶
 L. Pesántez,³ R. Pestotnik,⁴² M. Peters,²¹ L. E. Piilonen,¹¹⁸ T. Podobnik,^{59,42} V. Popov,²⁴
 K. Prasanth,¹⁰⁶ E. Prencipe,²⁵ M. T. Prim,⁴⁴ K. Prothmann,^{65,107} M. V. Purohit,¹⁰¹
 A. Rabusov,¹⁰⁸ J. Rauch,¹⁰⁸ B. Reiserer,⁶⁵ P. K. Resmi,³³ E. Ribežl,⁴² M. Ritter,⁶⁰
 M. Röhrken,¹⁰ J. Rorie,²¹ A. Rostomyan,¹⁰ N. Rout,³³ M. Rozanska,⁷⁸ G. Russo,⁷²
 D. Sahoo,¹⁰⁶ Y. Sakai,^{22,18} M. Salehi,^{63,60} S. Sandilya,⁹ D. Santel,⁹ L. Santelj,^{59,42}
 T. Sanuki,¹¹¹ J. Sasaki,¹¹³ N. Sasao,⁵³ Y. Sato,⁷⁰ V. Savinov,⁸⁸ T. Schlüter,⁶⁰
 O. Schneider,⁵⁶ G. Schnell,^{1,27} M. Schram,⁸⁵ J. Schueler,²¹ C. Schwanda,³⁶ A. J. Schwartz,⁹
 B. Schwenker,¹⁷ R. Seidl,⁹⁴ Y. Seino,⁸⁰ D. Semmler,¹⁵ K. Senyo,¹²⁰ O. Seon,⁷⁰
 I. S. Seong,²¹ M. E. Sevir,⁶⁶ L. Shang,³⁵ M. Shapkin,³⁷ C. Sharma,⁶² V. Shebalin,²¹
 C. P. Shen,¹⁴ T.-A. Shibata,¹¹⁴ H. Shibuya,¹¹⁰ S. Shinomiya,⁸⁴ J.-G. Shiu,⁷⁷
 B. Shwartz,^{5,82} A. Sibidanov,¹⁰⁴ F. Simon,⁶⁵ J. B. Singh,⁸⁶ R. Sinha,³⁸ K. Smith,⁶⁶
 A. Sokolov,³⁷ Y. Soloviev,¹⁰ E. Solovieva,⁵⁷ S. Stanič,⁸¹ M. Starič,⁴² M. Steder,¹⁰
 Z. Stottler,¹¹⁸ J. F. Strube,⁸⁵ J. Stypula,⁷⁸ S. Sugihara,¹¹³ A. Sugiyama,⁹⁵ M. Sumihama,¹⁶
 K. Sumisawa,^{22,18} T. Sumiyoshi,¹¹⁵ W. Sutcliffe,³ K. Suzuki,⁷⁰ K. Suzuki,¹⁰² S. Suzuki,⁹⁵
 S. Y. Suzuki,²² H. Takeichi,⁷⁰ M. Takizawa,^{98,23,92} U. Tamponi,⁴⁰ M. Tanaka,^{22,18}
 S. Tanaka,^{22,18} K. Tanida,⁴¹ N. Taniguchi,²² Y. Tao,¹² G. N. Taylor,⁶⁶ F. Tenchini,¹⁰
 Y. Teramoto,⁸³ A. Thampi,²⁵ K. Trabelsi,⁵⁵ T. Tsuboyama,^{22,18} M. Uchida,¹¹⁴ I. Ueda,²²
 S. Uehara,^{22,18} T. Uglov,^{57,24} Y. Unno,²⁰ S. Uno,^{22,18} P. Urquijo,⁶⁶ Y. Ushiroda,^{22,18}
 Y. Usov,^{5,82} S. E. Vahsen,²¹ C. Van Hulse,¹ R. Van Tonder,³ P. Vanhoefer,⁶⁵ G. Varner,²¹
 K. E. Varvell,¹⁰⁴ K. Vervink,⁵⁶ A. Vinokurova,^{5,82} V. Vorobyev,^{5,82} A. Vossen,¹¹
 M. N. Wagner,¹⁵ E. Waheed,²² B. Wang,⁶⁵ C. H. Wang,⁷⁶ E. Wang,⁸⁸ M.-Z. Wang,⁷⁷
 P. Wang,³⁵ X. L. Wang,¹⁴ M. Watanabe,⁸⁰ Y. Watanabe,⁴³ S. Watanuki,⁵⁵ R. Wedd,⁶⁶
 S. Wehle,¹⁰ E. Widmann,¹⁰² J. Wiechczynski,⁷⁸ K. M. Williams,¹¹⁸ E. Won,⁵¹ X. Xu,⁹⁹
 B. D. Yabsley,¹⁰⁴ S. Yamada,²² H. Yamamoto,¹¹¹ Y. Yamashita,⁷⁹ W. Yan,⁹⁶ S. B. Yang,⁵¹
 S. Yashchenko,¹⁰ H. Ye,¹⁰ J. Yelton,¹² J. H. Yin,⁵¹ Y. Yook,¹²¹ C. Z. Yuan,³⁵ Y. Yusa,⁸⁰
 C. C. Zhang,³⁵ J. Zhang,³⁵ L. M. Zhang,⁹⁶ Z. P. Zhang,⁹⁶ L. Zhao,⁹⁶ V. Zhilich,^{5,82}
 V. Zhukova,^{57,69} V. Zhulanov,^{5,82} T. Zivko,⁴² A. Zupanc,^{59,42} and N. Zwahlen⁵⁶

(The Belle Collaboration)

¹University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, 48080 Bilbao

²Beihang University, Beijing 100191

- ³University of Bonn, 53115 Bonn
- ⁴Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973
- ⁵Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics SB RAS, Novosibirsk 630090
- ⁶Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, 121 16 Prague
- ⁷Chiba University, Chiba 263-8522
- ⁸Chonnam National University, Gwangju 61186
- ⁹University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio 45221
- ¹⁰Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, 22607 Hamburg
- ¹¹Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708
- ¹²University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611
- ¹³Department of Physics, Fu Jen Catholic University, Taipei 24205
- ¹⁴Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE) and Institute of Modern Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200443
- ¹⁵Justus-Liebig-Universität Gießen, 35392 Gießen
- ¹⁶Gifu University, Gifu 501-1193
- ¹⁷II. Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, 37073 Göttingen
- ¹⁸SOKENDAI (The Graduate University for Advanced Studies), Hayama 240-0193
- ¹⁹Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828
- ²⁰Department of Physics and Institute of Natural Sciences, Hanyang University, Seoul 04763
- ²¹University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822
- ²²High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba 305-0801
- ²³J-PARC Branch, KEK Theory Center, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba 305-0801
- ²⁴Higher School of Economics (HSE), Moscow 101000
- ²⁵Forschungszentrum Jülich, 52425 Jülich
- ²⁶Hiroshima Institute of Technology, Hiroshima 731-5193
- ²⁷IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, 48013 Bilbao
- ²⁸University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801
- ²⁹Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Mohali, SAS Nagar, 140306
- ³⁰Indian Institute of Technology Bhubaneswar, Satya Nagar 751007
- ³¹Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Assam 781039
- ³²Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad, Telangana 502285
- ³³Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600036
- ³⁴Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47408
- ³⁵Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049
- ³⁶Institute of High Energy Physics, Vienna 1050
- ³⁷Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino 142281
- ³⁸Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai 600113
- ³⁹INFN - Sezione di Napoli, 80126 Napoli
- ⁴⁰INFN - Sezione di Torino, 10125 Torino
- ⁴¹Advanced Science Research Center, Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Naka 319-1195
- ⁴²J. Stefan Institute, 1000 Ljubljana
- ⁴³Kanagawa University, Yokohama 221-8686

- ⁴⁴*Institut für Experimentelle Teilchenphysik,
Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, 76131 Karlsruhe*
- ⁴⁵*Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI),
University of Tokyo, Kashiwa 277-8583*
- ⁴⁶*Kennesaw State University, Kennesaw, Georgia 30144*
- ⁴⁷*King Abdulaziz City for Science and Technology, Riyadh 11442*
- ⁴⁸*Department of Physics, Faculty of Science,
King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 21589*
- ⁴⁹*Kitasato University, Sagamihara 252-0373*
- ⁵⁰*Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information, Daejeon 34141*
- ⁵¹*Korea University, Seoul 02841*
- ⁵²*Kyoto Sangyo University, Kyoto 603-8555*
- ⁵³*Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502*
- ⁵⁴*Kyungpook National University, Daegu 41566*
- ⁵⁵*Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, 91405 Orsay*
- ⁵⁶*École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne 1015*
- ⁵⁷*P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow 119991*
- ⁵⁸*Liaoning Normal University, Dalian 116029*
- ⁵⁹*Faculty of Mathematics and Physics,
University of Ljubljana, 1000 Ljubljana*
- ⁶⁰*Ludwig Maximilians University, 80539 Munich*
- ⁶¹*Luther College, Decorah, Iowa 52101*
- ⁶²*Malaviya National Institute of Technology Jaipur, Jaipur 302017*
- ⁶³*University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur*
- ⁶⁴*University of Maribor, 2000 Maribor*
- ⁶⁵*Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, 80805 München*
- ⁶⁶*School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010*
- ⁶⁷*University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi 38677*
- ⁶⁸*University of Miyazaki, Miyazaki 889-2192*
- ⁶⁹*Moscow Physical Engineering Institute, Moscow 115409*
- ⁷⁰*Graduate School of Science, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602*
- ⁷¹*Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602*
- ⁷²*Università di Napoli Federico II, 80126 Napoli*
- ⁷³*Nara University of Education, Nara 630-8528*
- ⁷⁴*Nara Women's University, Nara 630-8506*
- ⁷⁵*National Central University, Chung-li 32054*
- ⁷⁶*National United University, Miao Li 36003*
- ⁷⁷*Department of Physics, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617*
- ⁷⁸*H. Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Krakow 31-342*
- ⁷⁹*Nippon Dental University, Niigata 951-8580*
- ⁸⁰*Niigata University, Niigata 950-2181*
- ⁸¹*University of Nova Gorica, 5000 Nova Gorica*
- ⁸²*Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk 630090*
- ⁸³*Osaka City University, Osaka 558-8585*
- ⁸⁴*Osaka University, Osaka 565-0871*
- ⁸⁵*Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington 99352*

- ⁸⁶*Panjab University, Chandigarh 160014*
- ⁸⁷*Peking University, Beijing 100871*
- ⁸⁸*University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260*
- ⁸⁹*Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana 141004*
- ⁹⁰*Research Center for Electron Photon Science,
Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578*
- ⁹¹*Research Center for Nuclear Physics, Osaka University, Osaka 567-0047*
- ⁹²*Meson Science Laboratory, Cluster for Pioneering Research, RIKEN, Saitama 351-0198*
- ⁹³*Theoretical Research Division, Nishina Center, RIKEN, Saitama 351-0198*
- ⁹⁴*RIKEN BNL Research Center, Upton, New York 11973*
- ⁹⁵*Saga University, Saga 840-8502*
- ⁹⁶*Department of Modern Physics and State Key
Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics,
University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026*
- ⁹⁷*Seoul National University, Seoul 08826*
- ⁹⁸*Showa Pharmaceutical University, Tokyo 194-8543*
- ⁹⁹*Soochow University, Suzhou 215006*
- ¹⁰⁰*Soongsil University, Seoul 06978*
- ¹⁰¹*University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina 29208*
- ¹⁰²*Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna 1090*
- ¹⁰³*Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419*
- ¹⁰⁴*School of Physics, University of Sydney, New South Wales 2006*
- ¹⁰⁵*Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Tabuk, Tabuk 71451*
- ¹⁰⁶*Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai 400005*
- ¹⁰⁷*Excellence Cluster Universe, Technische Universität München, 85748 Garching*
- ¹⁰⁸*Department of Physics, Technische Universität München, 85748 Garching*
- ¹⁰⁹*School of Physics and Astronomy,
Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978*
- ¹¹⁰*Toho University, Funabashi 274-8510*
- ¹¹¹*Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578*
- ¹¹²*Earthquake Research Institute, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0032*
- ¹¹³*Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033*
- ¹¹⁴*Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo 152-8550*
- ¹¹⁵*Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo 192-0397*
- ¹¹⁶*Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, Tokyo 184-8588*
- ¹¹⁷*Utkal University, Bhubaneswar 751004*
- ¹¹⁸*Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061*
- ¹¹⁹*Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48202*
- ¹²⁰*Yamagata University, Yamagata 990-8560*
- ¹²¹*Yonsei University, Seoul 03722*

Abstract

We report a search for the decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow \eta' \eta$ using 121.4 fb^{-1} of data collected at the $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance with the Belle detector at the KEKB asymmetric-energy electron-positron collider. This decay is suppressed in the Standard Model and proceeds through transitions sensitive to new physics. The expected branching fraction for $B_s^0 \rightarrow \eta' \eta$ in the Standard Model spans a wide range $[(2 - 4) \times 10^{-5}]$ with a large theoretical uncertainty due to non-perturbative hadronic physics. We apply a discovery-optimized background suppression method and report a 90% confidence-level upper limit of 7.1×10^{-5} on the branching fraction for this decay.

PACS numbers: XX.YY.ZZ, AA.BB.CC

INTRODUCTION AND PHYSICS MOTIVATION

In the Standard Model (SM) charmless hadronic decays $B_s^0 \rightarrow \eta' \eta$ proceed via tree-level $b \rightarrow u$ and penguin $b \rightarrow s$ transitions as shown in Fig. 1. Penguin transitions are sensitive to Beyond-the-Standard-Model (BSM) physics scenarios and could affect the branching fractions and CP asymmetries in such decays[1]. Once branching fractions for two-body decays $B_s \rightarrow \eta \eta, \eta \eta', \eta' \eta'$ are measured, and the theoretical uncertainties are reduced, it would be possible to extract CP violating parameters from the data using the formalism based on $SU(3)/U(3)$ symmetry [2]. To achieve this goal, at least four of these six branching fractions need to be measured. Only the branching fraction for $B_s^0 \rightarrow \eta' \eta'$ has been measured so far [3].




FIG. 1: Tree level, gluonic penguin, and η' gluon admixture Feynman diagrams for charmless two-body decays of B_s^0 to pairs of pseudoscalar mesons.

DATA SAMPLE AND BELLE DETECTOR

In this paper we report the results of the first search for the decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow \eta' \eta$ using the full Belle data sample of 121.4 fb^{-1} collected at the $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance. The Belle detector [4] was a large-solid-angle magnetic spectrometer that operated at the KEKB asymmetric-energy e^+e^- collider [5]. The detector components relevant to our study include a tracking system comprising a silicon vertex detector (SVD) and a central drift chamber (CDC), a particle identification (PID) system that consists of a barrel-like arrangement of time-of-flight scintillation counters (TOF) and an array of aerogel threshold Cherenkov counters (ACC), and a CsI(Tl) crystal-based electromagnetic calorimeter (ECL). All these components are located inside a superconducting solenoid coil that provides a 1.5 T magnetic field.

The $\Upsilon(5S)$ decays into $B_s^{*0} \bar{B}_s^{*0}$, $B_s^{*0} \bar{B}_s^0$ or $B_s^0 \bar{B}_s^{*0}$, and $B_s^0 \bar{B}_s^0$ pairs with relative fractions $f_{B_s^{*0} \bar{B}_s^{*0}} = (87.0 \pm 1.7)\%$ and $f_{B_s^{*0} \bar{B}_s^0} = (7.3 \pm 1.4)\%$ [6]. The data sample contains $(6.53 \pm 0.66) \times 10^6$ $B_s^{(*)0} \bar{B}_s^{(*)0}$ pairs [7]. The excited vector state B_s^{*0} decays to B_s^0 by emitting a photon. The daughter η' meson is reconstructed in the decay mode $\pi^+ \pi^- \eta$, each of the two η mesons is reconstructed via its two photon decay. The expected branching fraction for the B_s decay of interest spans a wide range: $(2 - 4) \times 10^{-5}$ [2, 8–11], where the main source of theoretical uncertainty is due to non-perturbative hadronic physics.

To maximize analysis discovery potential and to validate the signal extraction procedure we use a background Monte Carlo (MC) sample equivalent to six times the data statistics. We use a high-statistics signal MC sample to estimate the overall reconstruction efficiency. Both samples are used to develop a model implemented in the unbinned extended maximum

likelihood (ML) fit to data. The MC-based model is calibrated using a control data sample of 711 fb^{-1} collected at the $\Upsilon(4S)$.

RECONSTRUCTION AND SIGNAL CANDIDATE SELECTION

We reconstruct η candidates using pairs of electromagnetic showers not matched to the projections of charged tracks to the calorimeter. We require that the reconstructed energy of these showers exceed 50 (100) MeV in the barrel (end-cap) region of the ECL. The larger end-cap ECL energy threshold is due to the larger beam-related background in this region. The ECL energy thresholds have practically no impact on the analysis discussed in this paper. To reject hadronic showers mimicking photons, the ratio of the energy deposited by a photon candidate in the (3×3) and (5×5) ECL crystal array centered on the crystal with the largest reconstructed energy is required to exceed 0.75. The invariant mass of the η candidate is required to be in the range $515 \leq M(\gamma\gamma) \leq 580 \text{ MeV}/c^2$, which corresponds, approximately, to $\pm 3\sigma$ when approximated by a Gaussian resolution function. To suppress misreconstructed η candidates, the absolute value of cosine of helicity angle (defined as the angle between the photon momentum in presumed parent's rest frame and the momentum of the parent in the laboratory frame) is required to be less than 0.97.

Candidates for the decay $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$ are reconstructed using pairs of oppositely-charged pions and η candidates. We require the reconstructed η' invariant mass to be in the range $920 \leq M(\pi^+\pi^-\eta) \leq 980 \text{ MeV}/c^2$, which corresponds, approximately, to the range $[-10, +6]\sigma$ of the Gaussian approximation for the resolution function, after performing a kinematic fit constraining the reconstructed invariant mass of the daughter η candidate to the nominal η mass [12]. To identify charged pion candidates, the ratios of PID likelihoods, $R_{i/\pi} = L_\pi/(L_\pi + L_i)$, are used, where L_π is the likelihood for the track according to pion hypothesis, while L_i is the likelihood according to kaon ($i = K$) or electron ($i = e$) hypotheses. We require $R_{K/\pi} \leq 0.6$ and $R_{e/\pi} \leq 0.95$ for pion candidates. According to MC studies, these requirements reject 28% of background signal candidates (which are primarily due to charged kaons and electrons), while the resulting efficiency loss is below 3%. Charged pion tracks are required to originate from near the interaction point (IP) by restricting their distance of closest approach along and perpendicular to the beam collision axis to be less than 4.0 cm and 0.3 cm, respectively. These selection criteria suppress beam-related backgrounds and reject poorly-reconstructed tracks. To reduce systematic uncertainties associated with track reconstruction efficiency, the transverse momenta of charged pions are required to be greater than 100 MeV/c.

To identify $B_s^0 \rightarrow \eta'\eta$ candidates we use beam-energy constrained B_s^0 mass, $M_{bc} = \sqrt{E_{\text{beam}}^2 - p_{B_s}^2}$, the energy difference, $\Delta E = E_{B_s} - E_{\text{beam}}$, and the reconstructed invariant mass of the η' , where E_{beam} , p_{B_s} and E_{B_s} are the beam energy, the momentum magnitude and the reconstructed energy of B_s^0 candidate, respectively. All these quantities are evaluated in the e^+e^- center-of-mass frame. To improve the ΔE resolution (by approximately 10%), each η candidate is kinematically constrained to the nominal invariant mass of η , the η' candidates are further constrained to the nominal invariant mass of η' . Signal candidates are required to satisfy selection criteria $M_{bc} > 5.3 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ and $-0.4 \leq \Delta E \leq 0.3 \text{ GeV}$. In Gaussian approximation, the ΔE resolution is, approximately, 40 MeV. The beam-energy-constrained B_s^0 mass resolution is $4 \text{ MeV}/c^2$. To improve the significance of the signal in

case the data indicate its presence, we include the reconstructed invariant mass $M(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ in the 3D ML fit used to statistically separate the signal from background.

BACKGROUND SUPPRESSION AND OPTIMIZATION FOR DISCOVERY

Hadronic continuum, *i.e.* production of light quark pairs in the e^+e^- annihilation [$e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ ($q = u, d, c, s$)], is the primary source of background in studies of charmless hadronic decays. Because of large initial momenta of the light quarks, continuum events exhibit a “jet-like” event shape, while $B_s^{(*)0}\bar{B}_s^{(*)0}$ events are distributed isotropically. We use modified Fox-Wolfram moments [13], used to describe the topology of the event, to discriminate between signal events and continuum background. A likelihood ratio (\mathcal{LR}) is calculated using Fisher discriminant coefficients obtained in an optimization based on these moments. We suppress the background using a discovery-optimized cut on \mathcal{LR} obtained using Punzi’s figure-of-merit [14]:

$$\text{FOM} = \frac{\varepsilon(t)}{a/2 + \sqrt{B(t)}}, \quad (1)$$

where t is the cut on \mathcal{LR} , ε and B are the overall signal reconstruction efficiency and the number of background events expected in the signal region for a given value of the cut on \mathcal{LR} , respectively. The quantity a is the desired significance (which we varied between 3 and 5) in the Gaussian approximation of Poisson statistics. To predict $B(t)$ we use sideband data with the signal region blinded and the scaling factor obtained from the background MC sample. We require signal candidates to satisfy the requirement $\mathcal{LR} \geq 0.95$, which corresponds to $B(0.95) = 3$ and 52 background events in the signal and sideband regions of our fit variables, respectively.

The background contains real η' mesons. Such events exhibit a peak in the $M(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ distribution, however, they are distributed uniformly in M_{bc} and ΔE . The fraction of this peaking background is a free parameter in our ML fits.

CANDIDATE MULTIPLICITY AND BEST CANDIDATE SELECTION

About 14% of fully-reconstructed signal MC events contain multiple candidates which are primarily (in 75% of such events) due to misreconstructed η mesons. In such events we use only the best candidate with the smallest value of $\sum \chi_\eta^2 + \chi_{\pi^+\pi^-}^2$, where the values of χ_η^2 are from the mass-constrained fit for the η candidates and $\chi_{\pi^+\pi^-}^2$ is from a vertex fit for the charged pion pair. The overall reconstruction efficiency is estimated to be 10% including a 50% relative efficiency loss due to the discovery-optimized background suppression.

SIGNAL EXTRACTION PROCEDURE

To extract the signal yield, we perform an unbinned extended maximum likelihood fit to the three-dimensional (3D) distribution of M_{bc} , ΔE , and $M(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$. The likelihood function is




FIG. 2: Distributions of \mathcal{LR} for signal (red) and background (blue) MC samples. Normalization is arbitrary.

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{e^{\sum_j n_j}}{N!} \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_j n_j \mathcal{P}_j(M_{\text{bc}}^i, \Delta E^i, M^i(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)) \right), \quad (2)$$

where the index i is used for the events and n_j are the fit parameters describing the numbers of signal and background events. Due to negligible correlations among fit variables for background and well-reconstructed signal events, the probability densities are assumed to factorize as $\mathcal{P}_j[M_{\text{bc}}^i, \Delta E^i, M^i(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)] = \mathcal{P}_j(M_{\text{bc}}) \cdot \mathcal{P}_j(\Delta E) \cdot \mathcal{P}_j[M(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)]$. The signal PDF is represented by the weighted sum of the 3D PDFs representing possible $B_s^0 \rightarrow \eta'\eta$ signal contributions from $B_s^{(*)0}\bar{B}_s^{(*)0}$ pairs, where the weights are fixed according to previous measurements as described earlier:

$$\mathcal{P}_{sig} = f_{B_s^{*0}\bar{B}_s^{*0}} \cdot \mathcal{P}_{B_s^{*0}\bar{B}_s^{*0}} + f_{B_s^0\bar{B}_s^0} \cdot \mathcal{P}_{B_s^0\bar{B}_s^0} + (1 - f_{B_s^{*0}\bar{B}_s^{*0}} - f_{B_s^0\bar{B}_s^0}) \cdot \mathcal{P}_{B_s^0\bar{B}_s^0} \quad (3)$$

We use $B^0 \rightarrow \eta'K_S^0$ data recorded at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance to adjust the PDF shape parameters used to describe the signal. We reconstruct K_S^0 candidates via secondary vertices associated with pairs of oppositely-charged pions [15] using a neural network (NN) technique [16]. The following information is used in the NN: the momentum of K_S^0 candidate in the laboratory frame; the distance along the z axis between the two track helices at the point of their closest approach; the flight length in the $x-y$ plane; the angle between the K_S^0 momentum and the vector joining the K_S^0 decay vertex to the IP; the angle between the pion momentum and the laboratory-frame K_S^0 momentum in the K_S^0 rest frame; the distance-of-closest-approach in the $x-y$ plane between the IP and the two pion helices; and the pion hit information in the SVD and CDC. The selection efficiency is 87% over the momentum range of interest. We also require that the $\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass be within $12 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ (about 3.5σ in resolution) of the nominal K_S^0 mass [12]. We require $5.2 \leq M_{\text{bc}} \leq 5.3 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ for B^0

candidates. All other selection criteria applied to the B^0 candidates are the same as those used to select B_s^0 candidates.

The presence of four photons in our final state gives rise to a sizable misreconstruction probability for the signal. We study partially misreconstructed signal events, denoted Self Cross Feed (SCF) events, using signal MC sample. A large correlation between M_{bc} and ΔE for such signal MC events (the Pearson correlation coefficient of 27% for the region of largest same-sign correlations) is taken into account by describing the well-reconstructed part of the signal and SCF separately. SCF events comprise approximately 19% of the reconstructed signal MC sample and are excluded from signal fit model and the efficiency estimate. No sizable correlations among fit variables have been identified for well-reconstructed signal MC events nor for background events.

FITTING MODELS

A sum of a Gaussian and a Crystal Ball [17] function is used to model the signal in each of the three fit variables. For M_{bc} and $M(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ we use a sum with the same mean but different widths, while for ΔE both mean and width are different. A different approach for the ΔE parametrization is necessary to provide a better description of its PDF which has a long asymmetric tail due to the additional particles used to evaluate this variable. We use a Crystal Ball function to describe the tails arising from energy leakage expected for photons in the calorimeter. A Bukin function [18] and an asymmetric Gaussian are used to model the SCF contribution in M_{bc} and ΔE , respectively. For $M(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$, we use a sum of a Gaussian and a first order Chebyshev polynomial. The signal PDF shape parameters for M_{bc} and ΔE have been adjusted using the results obtained from the $\Upsilon(4S)$ data.

An ARGUS [19] function is used to describe the background distribution in M_{bc} , another first-order Chebyshev polynomial is used for ΔE . To model the peaking part in $M(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ we use the signal PDF, because the peak is due to real η' mesons, while an additional first-order Chebyshev polynomial is used for non-peaking contribution.

ENSEMBLE TESTS

To test and validate our fitting model, ensemble tests are performed by generating MC pseudoexperiments. In these experiments we use PDFs obtained from simulation and the $B^0 \rightarrow \eta' K_S^0$ data. The number of signal events is varied between 0 and 50 events, and 1000 pseudoexperiments are performed for each assumed number of signal events. An ML fit is performed for each sample generated in these experiments. For all values of assumed number of signal events the fit signal yield distribution peaks at the expected value, therefore exhibiting good linearity. We use the results of pseudoexperiments to construct classical confidence intervals (without ordering) using a procedure due to Neyman [21]. For each ensemble of pseudoexperiments the lower and upper ends of respective confidence interval represent the values of fit signal yields for which 10% of the results lie below and above these values, respectively. These confidence intervals are then used to prepare a classical 80% confidence belt [20] shown in Fig. 3. We use this confidence belt to make a statistical interpretation of the results obtained from ML fit to data.




FIG. 3: Classical 80% confidence belt (shown by a blue band) obtained from pseudoexperiments.

RESULTS

We fit the 3D fit model described above to the data and obtain 2.7 ± 2.5 signal and 57.3 ± 7.8 background events. We show the signal-region projections of the fit to data in Fig. 4. We observe no signal and estimate the 90% confidence-level (CL) upper limit on the branching fraction for the decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow \eta' \eta$ using the frequentist approach [21] and the following formula:

$$\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \eta' \eta) < \frac{N_{\text{UL}}^{90\%}}{2 \cdot N_{B_s^{(*)0} \bar{B}_s^{(*)0}} \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \mathcal{B}_{\text{dp}}}, \quad (4)$$

where $N_{B_s^{(*)0} \bar{B}_s^{(*)0}}$ is the number of $B_s^{(*)0} \bar{B}_s^{(*)0}$ pairs in the full Belle data sample, ε is the overall reconstruction efficiency for the signal B_s^0 decay, and \mathcal{B}_{dp} is the product of the secondary branching fractions for all daughter particles in our final state. Further, $N_{\text{UL}}^{90\%}$ is the expected signal yield at 90% CL which is the value representing the right side of the confidence belt at the central value for signal yield, which is approximately 6 events. Using Eq. (4) we obtain a 90% CL upper limit on the branching fraction of $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \eta' \eta) < 7.1 \times 10^{-5}$.

SYSTEMATICS

The relative systematic uncertainties for the quantities used in the upper limit estimate are summarized in Table I. The statistical uncertainty on the reconstruction efficiency can be estimated as $\sqrt{\varepsilon \times (1 - \varepsilon)/N}$, where N is the total number of generated signal MC events and ε is the reconstruction efficiency. This uncertainty is estimated to be 0.1%. We assign a 2.1% systematic uncertainty per η candidate [22]. Since we have two η candidates in our decay,




FIG. 4: Full and signal-region projections of the 3D fit to the full $\Upsilon(5S)$ data sample. Signal and background PDFs are described in the text.

we assign a 4.2% uncertainty for η reconstruction. The systematic uncertainty associated with track reconstruction is 0.35% per track [23]. We therefore assign an uncertainty of 0.7% for two tracks. We assign a 15.3% systematic uncertainty due to the discovery-optimized \mathcal{LR} cut. This uncertainty reflects the relative change in the efficiency when the cut is varied by 0.02 about nominal value of 0.95. Combining all the sources of uncertainties, the total relative systematic uncertainty is 19%.

TABLE I: Summary of systematic uncertainties in the $B_s^0 \rightarrow \eta'\eta$ analysis.

Source	Uncertainty (%)
Number of $B_s^{(*)0}\bar{B}_s^{(*)0}$ pairs	10.1
Branching fraction of η	0.5
Branching fraction of η'	1.2
MC statistics	0.1
η reconstruction	4.2
Tracking	0.7
\mathcal{LR} selection	15.3

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have used the full data sample recorded by the Belle experiment at the $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance to search for the rare decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow \eta'\eta$. We observe no statistically significant signal and set a 90% CL upper limit of 7.1×10^{-5} on its branching fraction. Our result is 2 times larger than the most optimistic SM-based and QCD-enhanced theoretical prediction and, to date, is the only experimental information on $B_s^0 \rightarrow \eta'\eta$. This decay will be probed further at the next-generation Belle II experiment [24] at the SuperKEKB collider in Japan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the KEKB group for the excellent operation of the accelerator, the KEK cryogenics group for the efficient operation of the solenoid, and the KEK computer group and the National Institute of Informatics for valuable computing and SINET3 network support. We acknowledge support from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan and the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science; the Australian Research Council and the Australian Department of Education, Science and Training; the National Natural Science Foundation of China under contract No. 10575109 and 10775142; the Department of Science and Technology of India; the BK21 program of the Ministry of Education of Korea, the CHEP SRC program and Basic Research program (grant No. R01-2005-000-10089-0) of the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation, and the Pure Basic Research Group program of the Korea Research Foundation; the Polish State Committee for Scientific Research; the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation and the Russian Federal Agency for Atomic Energy; the Slovenian Research Agency; the Swiss National Science Foundation; the National Science Council and the Ministry of Education of Taiwan; and the U.S. Department of Energy.

-
- [1] E. Kou, P. Urquijo, W. Altmannshofer *et al.* (Belle II Collaboration), *Prog Theor Exp Phys* (2019), arXiv:1808.10567 [hep-ex].
 - [2] Y.-K. Hsiao, C.-F. Chang, and X.-G. He, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 114002 (2016).
 - [3] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 051801 (2015).
 - [4] A. Abashian *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A* **479**, 117 (2002).
 - [5] S. Kurokawa and E. Kikutani, *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A* **499**, 1 (2003), and other papers included in this volume.
 - [6] S. Esen *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 031101(R) (2013).
 - [7] C. Oswald *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 072013 (2015).
 - [8] A. R. Williamson and J. Zupan, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 014003 (2006).
 - [9] A. Ali, G. Kramer, Y. Li, C.-D. Lü *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 074018 (2007).
 - [10] H.-Y. Cheng and C.-K. Chua, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 114026 (2009).
 - [11] H.-Y. Cheng, C.-W. Chiang, and A.-L. Kuo, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 014011 (2015).
 - [12] P.A. Zyla *et al.* (Particle Data Group), *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2020**, 083C01 (2020).

- [13] The Fox-Wolfram moments were introduced in G. C. Fox and S. Wolfram, Phys. Rev. Lett. **41**, 1581 (1978). The Fisher discriminant used by Belle, based on modified Fox-Wolfram moments, is described in K. Abe *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 101801 (2001) and K. Abe *et al.* (Belle Collaboration.), Phys. Lett. B **511**, 151 (2001).
- [14] G. Punzi, eConf C **030908** (2003), *Proceedings of PHYSTAT2003: Statistical Problems in Particle Physics, Astrophysics and Cosmology*, arXiv:physics/0308063 [physics.data-an].
- [15] K.-F. Chen *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **72**, 012004 (2005).
- [16] M. Feindt and U. Kerzel, *The NeuroBayes neural network package*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **559**, 190 (2006).
- [17] M. Oreglia, *A Study of the Reactions $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\psi$* . PhD thesis, SLAC, 1980.
T. Skwarnicki, *A study of the radiative CASCADE transitions between the Upsilon-Prime and Upsilon resonances*. PhD thesis, Cracow, INP, 1986.
- [18] A.D. Bukin, *Fitting function for asymmetric peaks*, arXiv:0711.4449 [physics.data-an] (2007).
- [19] H. Albrecht *et al.* (ARGUS Collaboration), Phys. Lett. **B** 241, 278 (1990).
- [20] A. Stuart and J.K. Ord, *Classical Inference and Relationship*, 5th ed., Kendall's Advanced Theory of Statistics, Vol. 2 (Oxford University Press, New York, 1991); see also earlier editions by Kendall and Stuart.
W.T. Eadie, D. Drijard, F.E. James, M. Roos, and B. Sadoulet, *Statistical Methods in Experimental Physics*, (NorthHolland, Amsterdam, 1971).
- [21] J. Neyman, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. **A236**, 767, 333 (1937); Reprinted in *A Selection of Early Statistical Papers of J. Neyman*, (University of California Press, Berkeley, 1967).
- [22] J. Schumann *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 061802 (2006).
- [23] S. Ryu *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. **D** 89, 072009 (2014).
- [24] T. Abe *et al.* (Belle II Collaboration), arXiv:**1011.0352** [physics.ins-det] (2010).