TESTING NORMALITY IN ANY DIMENSION BY FOURIER METHODS IN A MULTIVARIATE STEIN EQUATION

A PREPRINT

Bruno Ebner Institute of Stochastics, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Englerstr. 2, D-76133 Karlsruhe. Bruno.Ebner@kit.edu Norbert Henze Institute of Stochastics, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Englerstr. 2, D-76133 Karlsruhe. Norbert.Henze@kit.edu

David Strieder

Karlsruher Str. 62a, D-69126 Heidelberg, david.strieder@student.kit.edu

July 7, 2020

ABSTRACT

We study a novel class of affine invariant and consistent tests for multivariate normality. The tests are based on a characterization of the standard *d*-variate normal distribution by means of the unique solution of an initial value problem connected to a partial differential equation, which is motivated by a multivariate Stein equation. The test criterion is a suitably weighted L^2 -statistic. We derive the limit distribution of the test statistic under the null hypothesis as well as under contiguous and fixed alternatives to normality. A consistent estimator of the limiting variance under fixed alternatives as well as an asymptotic confidence interval of the distance of an underlying alternative with respect to the multivariate normal law is derived. In simulation studies, we show that the tests are strong in comparison with prominent competitors, and that the empirical coverage rate of the asymptotic confidence interval converges to the nominal level. We present a real data example, and we outline topics for further research.

1 Introduction.

Statistical inference for a data set starts with assumptions on the underlying stochastic mechanism which determines the generation of the data. In most classical models for multidimensional data, such as multivariate linear regression models or multivariate analysis of variance, the assumption of multivariate normality of the underlying random vectors is inherent. Hence, prior to any serious statistical inference, one should check this assumption. To be specific, let X, X_1, X_2, \ldots be a sequence of independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) *d*-dimensional (column) vectors that are defined on a common probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. We make the basic standing assumptions that the distribution \mathbb{P}^X of X is absolutely continuous with respect to *d*-dimensional Lebesgue measure. In what follows, we denote by $N_d(\mu, \Sigma)$ the *d*-variate normal distribution with expectation vector μ and covariance matrix Σ , and we write

 $\mathcal{N}_d := \{ \mathbf{N}_d(\mu, \Sigma) : \mu \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ \Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \text{ positive definite} \}$

for the class of all non-degenerate *d*-variate normal distributions. The unit matrix of order *d* will be denoted by I_d . The problem of matter is testing the hypothesis

$$H_0: \mathbb{P}^X \in \mathcal{N}_d$$

MSC 2010 subject classifications. Primary 62H15 Secondary 62G20

Key words and phrases Test for multivariate normality; affine invariance; consistency; characteristic function; weighted L^2 -statistic; multivariate Stein equation

based on X_1, \ldots, X_n , against general alternatives. The purpose of this paper is to introduce and study a novel class of affine invariant and consistent tests based on a partial differential equation (PDE) that determines the characteristic function of the multivariate standard normal law. We write ∇ for the gradient operator and consider for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the initial value problem of the PDE

$$\begin{cases} (t+\nabla)f(t) &= 0, \ t \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ f(0) &= 1. \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{1}$$

Note that the operator $Af(x) = (x + \nabla)f(x)$ is a multivariate Stein operator in the following sense: For a centred random vector X with $\mathbb{E}[XX^{\intercal}] = I_d$, which has a differentiable density with full support \mathbb{R}^d , we have $\mathbb{E}[Af(X)] =$ $\mathbb{E}[Xf(X) + \nabla f(X)] = 0$ for each function f with existing derivatives in every direction and for which all occurring expectations exist, if and only if X has the normal distribution $N_d(0, I_d)$, see Theorem 3.5 in [43] as well as [37, 40, 52] for more information on the multivariate Stein lemma. Here and in the following the symbol \intercal means transposition of column vectors and matrices. In the spirit of the Stein-Tikhomirov method, see [1, 19], and hence using the characteristic functions {exp(it⁺x), t $\in \mathbb{R}^d$ } as test functions, a simple calculation shows the equivalence of the Stein equation to the initial value problem in (1). In the case d = 1 the same initial value problem was motivated by a fixed point of the zero bias transform in [17]. For more information on the zero bias transform, see [21, 50].

Theorem 1.1. The characteristic function

$$\psi(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|t\|^2}{2}\right), \ t \in \mathbb{R}^d,\tag{2}$$

of the *d*-variate standard normal distribution $N_d(0,I_d)$ is the only solution of (1).

Proof. If $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is an arbitrary solution of (1), the product rule yields

$$\nabla \left(\exp\left(\frac{\|t\|^2}{2}\right) f(t) \right) = \exp\left(\frac{\|t\|^2}{2}\right) \left(tf(t) + \nabla f(t) \right) = 0.$$

In view of f(0) = 1, we have $\exp(||t||^2/2)f(t) = 1$, and the assertion follows.

According to Theorem 1.1, the characteristic function (CF) of the *d*-variate standard normal distribution is the only CF satisfying $\nabla \psi(t) = -t\psi(t)$. Our test statistic will be based on this equation. To achieve affine invariance of the test statistic with respect to full rank affine transformations of X_1, \ldots, X_n , let

$$Y_{n,j} := S_n^{-1/2} (X_j - \overline{X}_n), \ j = 1, ..., n,$$

denote the so-called scaled residuals, where $\overline{X} = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_j$ and $S_n := n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (X_j - \overline{X}_n)(X_j - \overline{X}_n)^{\mathsf{T}}$ stand for the sample mean and the sample covariance matrix of X_1, \ldots, X_n , respectively. The matrix $S_n^{-1/2}$ is the unique symmetric positive definite square root of S_n^{-1} . To ensure almost sure invertibility of S_n , we tacitly assume $n \ge d+1$ in what follows, see [15]. Writing

$$\psi_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \exp(\mathrm{i} t^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j}), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$
(3)

for the empirical CF of $Y_{n,1}, \ldots, Y_{n,n}$, our test statistic is

$$T_{n,a} = n \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\nabla \psi_n(t) + t\psi(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}}^2 w_a(t) \,\mathrm{d}t.$$

$$\tag{4}$$

Here, $w_a(t) = \exp(-a||t||^2)$, a > 0, is a suitable weight function that depends on a positive parameter a, and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{C}}$ denotes the complex Euclidean vector norm. Rejection of H_0 is for large values of $T_{n,a}$. With this approach, we obtain a flexible class of genuine tests for multivariate normality, all of which are motivated by the result of Theorem 1.1.

Clearly, we propose a new approach to a well-known and widely studied problem, for a survey of affine invariant tests of multivariate normality, see [28], and for recent developments with an emphasis on L^2 type statistics, see [18]. We list a short overview of different approaches: [13, 14, 32, 46, 55] consider tests connected to the empirical characteristic function, while [29, 30, 31] are based on the empirical moment generating function. The most classical approach is to consider measures of multivariate skewness and kurtosis, see, e.g., [12, 34, 42, 44], although inconsistency of those measures with regard to elliptically symmetric alternatives are known, see [4, 5, 25, 26]. Generalizations of tests for univariate normality, as in [35, 53, 57], the examination of nonlinearity of dependence, see [11, 16], canonical

correlations, see [56], and the notion of energy, see [54], are other approaches to this testing problem. Empirical competitive Monte Carlo studies can be found in [18, 58].

The rest of this paper unfolds as follows: In Section 2, we give a representation of $T_{n,a}$ that is amenable for computational purposes. Moreover, we derive limits of $T_{n,a}$, after suitable affine transformations, as $a \to \infty$ and $a \to 0$, that hold elementwise on the underlying probability space. Section 3 deals with the limit distribution of $T_{n,a}$ under the null hypothesis, and Section 4 considers the limit behavior of $T_{n,a}$ both under contiguous and fixed alternatives to H_0 . Section 5 presents the results of a simulation study, and Section 6 exhibits a real data example. Section 7 contains a brief summary, and it indicates topics for further research. For the sake of readability, some of the proofs have been deferred to Appendix A.

Throughout the paper, we use the following notation: The symbol $\stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=}$ means equality in distribution, and $\stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\longrightarrow}$ and $\stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{\longrightarrow}$ stand for convergence in probability and almost sure convergence, respectively. Moreover, $\stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\longrightarrow}$ is shorthand for convergence in distribution for random elements in whatever space (which will be clear from the context). If not stated otherwise, each limit refers to $n \to \infty$, and each unspecified integral is over \mathbb{R}^d . The stochastic Landau symbols $o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ and $O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ refer to convergence to zero in probability and stochastic boundedness, respectively.

2 Basic properties of the test statistic

In this section, we provide some information on the test statistic $T_{n,a}$ defined in (4). The first result shows that $T_{n,a}$ allows for a simple representation that is amenable to computational purposes. Moreover, since this representation shows that $T_{n,a}$ depends on X_1, \ldots, X_n only via $Y_{n,i}^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j}$, $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, the statistic $T_{n,a}$ is affine invariant.

Theorem 2.1. We have

$$T_{n,a} = n \left(\frac{\pi}{a+1}\right)^{\frac{a}{2}} \frac{d}{2(a+1)} - 2 \left(\frac{2\pi}{2a+1}\right)^{\frac{a}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\|Y_{n,j}\|^{2}}{2a+1} \exp\left(-\frac{\|Y_{n,j}\|^{2}}{4a+2}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} Y_{n,i}^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j} \exp\left(-\frac{\|Y_{n,i} - Y_{n,j}\|^{2}}{4a}\right).$$
(5)

Note that this representation is implemented in the R package mnt, see [9]. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is given in Appendix A.

We now consider the elementwise limits (on the underlying probability space) of $T_{n,a}$ for fixed n as $a \to \infty$ and $a \to 0$. It will be seen that the class of tests based on $T_{n,a}$ is 'closed at the boundaries' $a \to \infty$ and $a \to 0$ in the sense that, after suitable affine transformations, there are well-defined 'limit statistics'. Our first result refers to the limit $a \to \infty$.

Theorem 2.2. *Elementwise on the underlying probability space* $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ *, we have*

$$\lim_{a \to \infty} \frac{a^{\frac{d}{2}+2}}{n\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}} 16T_{n,a} = \tilde{b}_{1,d} + 2b_{1,d}.$$
 (6)

Here, $b_{1,d} = n^{-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (Y_{n,i}^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j})^3$ is Mardia's celebrated measure of multivariate skewness, see [42], and $\tilde{b}_{1,d} = n^{-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} Y_{n,i}^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j} ||Y_{n,i}||^2 ||Y_{n,j}||^2$ is a measure of multivariate skewness introduced by Móri, Rohatgi, and Székely, see [44].

Proof. Invoking (5), it follows that

$$\frac{a^{\frac{d}{2}+2}}{n\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}}T_{n,a} = \left(\frac{a}{a+1}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}+1}\frac{ad}{2} - \frac{a}{n}\left(\frac{a}{a+\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}+1}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\|Y_{n,j}\|^{2}\exp\left(-\frac{\|Y_{n,j}\|^{2}}{4a+2}\right)$$
$$+ \frac{a^{2}}{n^{2}}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}Y_{n,i}^{\mathsf{T}}Y_{n,j}\exp\left(-\frac{\|Y_{n,i}-Y_{n,j}\|^{2}}{4a}\right)$$
$$=: A_{n} - B_{n} + C_{n}$$

(say). We now use

$$\left(\frac{a}{a+1}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}+1} = \left(1+\frac{1}{a}\right)^{-\frac{d}{2}-1} = 1 - \left(\frac{d}{2}+1\right)\frac{1}{a} + O(a^{-2}) \tag{7}$$

as $a \to \infty$ and

$$\exp(-x) = 1 - x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + O(x^3)$$
(8)

as $x \to 0$, and we employ the identities $\sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{n,j} = 0$, $\sum_{j=1}^{n} ||Y_{n,j}||^2 = nd$ as well as

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} Y_{n,i}^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j} \| Y_{n,i} - Y_{n,j} \|^2 = -2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (Y_{n,i}^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j})^2 = -2n^2 d,$$

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} Y_{n,i}^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j} \| Y_{n,i} - Y_{n,j} \|^4 = 2n^2 \widetilde{b}_{1,d} + 4n^2 b_{1,d} - 8 \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (Y_{n,i}^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j})^2 \| Y_{n,j} \|^2,$$

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (Y_{n,i}^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j})^2 \| Y_{n,j} \|^2 = n \sum_{j=1}^{n} \| Y_{n,j} \|^4.$$

to obtain $A_n = ad/2 - d^2/4 - d/2 + o(1)$ as $a \to \infty$. Likewise,

$$B_{n} = \frac{1}{n} \left(a - \left(\frac{d}{2} + 1 \right) \frac{1}{2} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|Y_{n,j}\|^{2} \left(1 - \frac{\|Y_{n,j}\|^{2}}{4a+2} \right) + o(1)$$

$$= \left(da - \frac{d^{2}}{4} - \frac{d}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|Y_{n,j}\|^{4} + o(1),$$

$$C_{n} = \frac{a^{2}}{n^{2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} Y_{n,i}^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j} \left(1 - \frac{\|Y_{n,i} - Y_{n,j}\|^{2}}{4a} + \frac{\|Y_{n,i} - Y_{n,j}\|^{4}}{32a^{2}} \right) + o(1)$$

$$= \frac{da}{2} + \frac{1}{16} \left(\tilde{b}_{1,d} + 2b_{1,d} - \frac{4}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|Y_{n,j}\|^{4} \right) + o(1).$$

Upon combining, the assertion follows.

Notice that the right hand side of (6) is a linear combination of two time-honored measures of multivariate skewness. Notably, the same linear combination showed up not only for the class of BHEP tests (see Theorem 2.1 of [27]), but also as a limit of a related test statistic in connection with a test for multivariate normality based on a partial differential equation for the *moment generating function* of the normal distribution, see [31].

Regarding the limit of $T_{n,a}$ as $a \to 0$, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.3. Elementwise on the underlying probability space, we have

$$\lim_{a \to 0} \frac{1}{na^{\frac{d}{2}}} \left(\left(\frac{a}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} T_{n,a} - d \right) = \frac{d}{2} - 2^{\frac{d}{2}+1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|Y_{n,j}\|^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\|Y_{n,j}\|^2}{2}\right).$$

Proof. From the representation (5), it follows that

$$\frac{T_{n,a}}{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}} = \frac{nd}{2(a+1)^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} - \left(\frac{2}{2a+1}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|Y_{n,j}\|^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\|Y_{n,j}\|^2}{4a+2}\right) \\
+ \frac{1}{na^{\frac{d}{2}}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} Y_{n,i}^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j} \exp\left(-\frac{\|Y_{n,i} - Y_{n,j}\|^2}{4a}\right) \\
= A_{n,a} - B_{n,a} + C_{n,a}$$

(say). Now, $\lim_{a\to 0} A_{n,a} = nd/2$ and $\lim_{a\to 0} B_{n,a} = 2^{\frac{d}{2}+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} ||Y_{n,j}||^2 \exp\left(-||Y_{n,j}||^2/2\right)$, elementwise on the underlying probability space. To tackle $C_{n,a}$, the relation $\sum_{j=1}^{n} ||Y_{n,j}||^2 = nd$ yields

$$C_{n,a} = \frac{1}{na^{\frac{d}{2}}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|Y_{n,j}\|^2 + \frac{1}{na^{\frac{d}{2}}} \sum_{i \neq j}^{n} Y_{n,i}^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j} \exp\left(-\frac{\|Y_{n,i} - Y_{n,j}\|^2}{4a}\right)$$
$$= \frac{d}{a^{\frac{d}{2}}} + \frac{1}{na^{\frac{d}{2}}} \sum_{i \neq j}^{n} Y_{n,i}^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j} \exp\left(-\frac{\|Y_{n,i} - Y_{n,j}\|^2}{4a}\right),$$

and the assertion follows.

Interestingly, Theorem 2.3 means that for (very) small values of a, rejection of H_0 for large values of $T_{n,a}$ is essentially equivalent to the rejection of H_0 for small values of

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\|Y_{n,j}\|^2 \mathrm{e}^{-\|Y_{n,j}\|^2/2}.$$

This statistic, upon expanding the exponential function, comprises even powers of $||Y_{n,j}||$ and is thus related to Mardia's measure of multivariate kurtosis, which is defined by $b_{2,d} = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} ||Y_{n,j}||^4$, see [42].

3 The limit null distribution of $T_{n,a}$

In this section we derive the limit distribution of $T_{n,a}$ under the hypothesis H_0 . In view of affine invariance, we assume without loss of generality that X has the standard normal distribution $N_d(0, I_d)$ in what follows. The starting point is an alternative representation of $T_{n,a}$, namely

$$T_{n,a} = \int \|Z_n(t)\|^2 w_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}t,$$
(9)

where

$$Z_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(Y_{n,j} \left(\cos(t^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j}) + \sin(t^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j}) \right) - t \psi(t) \right).$$
(10)

This assertion follows from straightforward calculations using

$$\int \cos(t^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j}) \sin(t^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,i}) w_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = 0, \quad \int \cos(t^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j}) t^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j} w_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = 0.$$
(11)

Writing $L^2 := L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}^d, w_a(t)dt)$ for the separable Hilbert space of (equivalence classes of) functions $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ that are square integrable with respect to $w_a(t)dt$, we regard Z_n as a random element of the Hilbert space $\mathbb{H} = L^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes L^2$. Putting $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_d), g = (g_1, \ldots, g_d)$, the space \mathbb{H} is equipped with the inner product $\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} := \langle f_1, g_1 \rangle_{L^2} + \ldots + \langle f_d, g_d \rangle_{L^2}$ and the norm $||f||_{\mathbb{H}} = \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}^{1/2}$. Notice that we have

$$T_{n,a} = \int \|Z_n(t)\|^2 w_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = \|Z_n\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2.$$

The main theorem of this section is as follows:

Theorem 3.1. Under H_0 , there is a centred Gaussian random element Z of \mathbb{H} having covariance matrix kernel

$$K(s,t) = \left(\mathbf{I}_d - (s-t)(s-t)^{\mathsf{T}}\right)\psi(s-t)$$

$$+ \left(ss^{\mathsf{T}} + tt^{\mathsf{T}} - ts^{\mathsf{T}} - st^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{I}_d + s^{\mathsf{T}}t(ss^{\mathsf{T}} + tt^{\mathsf{T}} - st^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{I}_d) - \frac{s^{\mathsf{T}}ts^{\mathsf{T}}t}{2}st^{\mathsf{T}}\right)\psi(s)\psi(t), \quad s,t \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

$$(12)$$

such that $Z_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Z$ in \mathbb{H} , where Z_n is the random element defined in (10).

Since the proof of Theorem 3.1 is long and tedious, it is deferred to Appendix A. From Theorem 3.1 and the continuous mapping theorem, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.2. Under H_0 , we have

$$T_{n,a} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \|Z\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 = \int \|Z(t)\|^2 w_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}t.$$

It is well-known that the distribution of $T_{\infty,a} := ||Z||_{\mathbb{H}}^2$ is that of $T_{\infty,a} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j(a) N_j^2$, where N_1, N_2, \ldots is a sequence of i.i.d. standard normal random variables, and $\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2(a), \ldots$ are the positive eigenvalues associated with the integral operator

$$\mathbb{K}f(s) := \int K(s,t)f(t)w_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}t, \ s \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$
(13)

 $f \in \mathbb{H}$. In view of the complexity of K(s,t), we did not succeed in obtaining closed-form expressions for these eigenvalues. In our simulation study presented in Section 5, we use approximate critical values for $T_{n,a}$ that have been obtained by means of simulations. Some information on the limit null distribution, however, is given by the following result.

Theorem 3.3. We have

$$\mathbb{E}[T_{\infty,a}] = \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}}d - \left(\frac{\pi}{a+1}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}}\frac{\left(16a^3 + (8d+48)a^2 + (12d+40)a + d^2 + 10d+16\right)d}{16(a+1)^3}.$$

Proof. From Fubini's theorem, it follows that $\mathbb{E}[T_{\infty,a}] = \int \mathbb{E} ||Z(t)||^2 w_a(t) dt$. Moreover, writing tr for trace, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\|Z(t)\|^{2} = \mathbb{E}[Z(t)^{\mathsf{T}}Z(t)] = \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbb{E}[Z(t)Z(t)^{\mathsf{T}}]\right) = \operatorname{tr}\left(K(t,t)\right) = d - \left(d + d\|t\|^{2} - \|t\|^{4} + \frac{\|t\|^{6}}{2}\right)\exp\left(-\|t\|^{2}\right).$$

Since

$$\int \|t\|^4 e^{-a\|t\|^2} dt = \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{d}{4a^2} (d+2) \quad \text{and} \quad \int \|t\|^6 e^{-a\|t\|^2} dt = \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{d}{8a^3} (d^2 + 6d + 8),$$

the assertion follows by straightforward computations.

In the univariate case, which is deliberately not excluded from our study, we have been able to calculate the first four cumulants of $T_{\infty,a}$. By the methods presented in Chapter 5 of [51] the *m*th cumulant of $T_{\infty,a}$ is derived by

$$\kappa_m(a) = 2^{m-1}(m-1)! \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_m(t,t) w_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}t.$$

Here, $h_1(s,t) = K(s,t)$, and $h_m(s,t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_{m-1}(s,u) K(u,t) w_a(u) du$ if $m \ge 2$. In order to calculate $\kappa_m(a)$, $m \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, we used the computer algebra system Maple, see [41]. The formulae for $\kappa_3(a)$ and $\kappa_4(a)$ are given in the appendix.

For $\kappa_1(a)$ and $\kappa_2(a)$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \kappa_1(a) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(1 - \left(1 + t^2 - t^4 + \frac{t^6}{2} \right) \exp(-t^2) \right) \exp(-at^2) \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} - \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a+1}} - \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a+1}} \frac{1}{2(a+1)} + \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a+1}} \frac{3}{4(a+1)^2} - \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a+1}} \frac{15}{16(a+1)^3} \\ &= \frac{(-16a^3 - 56a^2 - 52a - 27)\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a+1}} + 16\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}}(a+1)^3}{16(a+1)^3} \end{split}$$

a	0.1	0.5	1	2	5	10
$\mathbb{E}[T_{\infty,a}]$	3.0040	0.6574	0.2939	0.1092	0.0207	0.0047
$\operatorname{Var}[T_{\infty,a}]$	2.8028	0.2686	0.0742	0.0133	0.0006	0.0000
$\beta_1(a)$	1.3737	1.9098	2.1996	2.4619	2.7090	2.7938
$\beta_2(a)$	6.0366	8.8662	10.7047	12.5510	14.3071	19.4464

Table 1: Expectation, variance, skewness and kurtosis of $T_{\infty,a}$, d = 1

$q \setminus a$	0.1	0.5	1	2	5	10
0.01	0.6857	0.0903	0.0331	0.0110	0.0018	-0.0013
0.05	0.9970	0.1299	0.0435	0.0130	0.0020	-0.0009
0.1	1.2382	0.1712	0.0573	0.0165	0.0023	-0.0005
0.5	2.6510	0.5137	0.2091	0.0700	0.0115	0.0030
0.9	5.2211	1.3283	0.6405	0.2529	0.0511	0.0119
0.95	6.2138	1.6743	0.8329	0.3384	0.0705	0.0162
0.99	8.4485	2.4904	1.2956	0.5470	0.1182	0.0275

Table 2: Approximate quantiles of $T_{\infty,a}$ in the case d = 1

and

$$\begin{split} \kappa_2(a) = & \frac{7260811\pi}{8\left(a+2\right)^{5/2}\left(4a^2+8a+3\right)^{5/2}\sqrt{a}\left(2a+3\right)^2\left(a+1\right)^7} \left(\left(\left(\frac{1024}{7260811}a^{\frac{29}{2}} + \frac{15360}{7260811}a^{\frac{27}{2}} + \frac{108032}{7260811}a^{\frac{25}{2}} + \frac{473856}{7260811}a^{\frac{23}{2}} + \frac{1449216}{7260811}a^{\frac{21}{2}} + \frac{3263232}{7260811}a^{\frac{19}{2}} + \frac{5559908}{7260811}a^{\frac{17}{2}} + \frac{7254348}{7260811}a^{\frac{15}{2}} + a^{\frac{13}{2}} + \frac{5535906}{7260811}a^{\frac{11}{2}} + \frac{160113}{367636}a^{\frac{9}{2}} \\ &+ \frac{5253759}{29043244}a^{\frac{7}{2}} + \frac{6017409}{116172976}a^{\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{266733}{29043244}a^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{22113}{29043244}\sqrt{a} \right)\sqrt{a+2} \\ &+ \frac{1024\left(a+3/2\right)^4\left(a+1\right)^7\left(a+1/2\right)^2\left(a^2+2a+3\right)}{7260811} \right)\sqrt{4a^2+8a+3} \\ &- \frac{51420992\sqrt{a+2}}{7260811} \left(\frac{64}{803453}a^{\frac{31}{2}} + \frac{1024}{803453}a^{\frac{29}{2}} + \frac{1104}{114779}a^{\frac{27}{2}} + \frac{36544}{803453}a^{\frac{25}{2}} \\ &+ \frac{121054}{803453}a^{\frac{23}{2}} + \frac{297018}{803453}a^{\frac{21}{2}} + \frac{556163}{803453}a^{\frac{19}{2}} + \frac{807017}{803453}a^{\frac{17}{2}} + \frac{912747}{803453}a^{\frac{15}{2}} + a^{\frac{13}{2}} \\ &+ \frac{545801}{803453}a^{\frac{11}{2}} + \frac{281319}{803453}a^{\frac{9}{2}} + \frac{106779}{803453}a^{\frac{7}{2}} + \frac{28293}{803453}a^{\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{96}{16397}a^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{372}{803453}\sqrt{a} \end{split}$$

From these cumulants, we obtain the expectation, the variance as well as the skewness β_1 and the kurtosis β_2 of $T_{\infty,a}$ for the case d = 1 (see Table 1), since

$$\mathbb{E}[T_{\infty,a}] = \kappa_1(a), \quad \text{Var}[T_{\infty,a}] = \kappa_2(a), \quad \beta_1(a) = \frac{\kappa_3(a)}{\kappa_2(a)^{3/2}}, \quad \beta_2(a) = 3 + \frac{\kappa_4(a)}{\kappa_2(a)^2},$$

By complete analogy with [17, 24], we can now approximate the distribution of $T_{\infty,a}$ by that of a member of the system of Pearson distributions which has the same first four moments as $T_{\infty,a}$. To this end, we used the statistic software R, see [47], and the package PearsonDS, see [7]. Table 2 shows the quantiles of the fitted Pearson distribution, which serve as approximations of the corresponding quantiles of the distribution of $T_{\infty,a}$.

4 Limit behavior of $T_{n,a}$ under alternatives

In this section, we assume that H_0 does not hold, and we will derive limit distributions for $T_{n,a}$ both under contiguous and fixed alternatives to H_0 . To define the setting for a triangular array of contiguous alternatives, we assume that, for

each $n \ge d+1, X_{n,1}, ..., X_{n,n}$ are i.i.d. d-variate random vectors having Lebesgue density

$$f_n(x) = \varphi(x) \left(1 + \frac{g(x)}{\sqrt{n}} \right), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Here, $\varphi(x) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \exp(-||x||^2/2)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, is the density of the distribution $N_d(0, I_d)$, and g is a bounded measurable function satisfying $\int g(x)\varphi(x) dx = 0$. Notice that f_n is nonnegative for sufficiently large n due to the boundedness of g. To derive the limit distribution of $T_{n,a}$ under this sequence of alternatives, we employ the representation (9), which comprises the random element Z_n as defined in (10). For repeated later use, we put

$$\mathbf{CS}^+(s,t) = \cos(s^{\mathsf{T}}t) + \sin(s^{\mathsf{T}}t), \quad \mathbf{CS}^-(s,t) = \cos(s^{\mathsf{T}}t) - \sin(s^{\mathsf{T}}t), \quad s,t \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$
(14)

Theorem 4.1. Under the sequence of alternatives $(X_{n,1}, ..., X_{n,n})_{n \ge d+1}$, we have

$$Z_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Z + c \text{ in } \mathbb{H}.$$

Here, Z_n is defined in (10), Z is the centred Gaussian random element of \mathbb{H} figuring in Theorem 3.1, and the shift function $c(\cdot)$ is given by

$$c(t) = \int Z^{**}(x,t)g(x)\varphi(x)\,\mathrm{d}x, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$
(15)

where

$$Z^{**}(x,t) = x \mathrm{CS}^+(t,x) - (t+x+(2\mathrm{I}_d - tt^{\mathsf{T}})\frac{1}{2}(xx^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathrm{I}_d)t - t^{\mathsf{T}}xt)\psi(t), \ x,t \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Proof. We write λ^d for d-dimensional Lebesgue measure, and we put $\mathbb{P}^{(n)} := \otimes(\varphi\lambda^d)$, $Q^{(n)} := \otimes(f_n\lambda^d)$. Furthermore, let $L_n := dQ^{(n)}/d\mathbb{P}^{(n)}$. The boundedness of g and a Taylor expansion then give

$$\log(L_n(X_{n,1},...,X_{n,n})) = \sum_{j=1}^n \log\left(1 + \frac{g(X_{n,j})}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{g(X_{n,j})}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{g(X_{n,j})^2}{2n}\right) + o_{\mathbb{P}^{(n)}}(1).$$
(16)

In the following we write $\sigma^2 = \int g(x)^2 \varphi(x) \, dx < \infty$. Since, under $\mathbb{P}^{(n)}$, expectation and variance of the sum figuring in (16) converge to $-\sigma^2/2$ and σ^2 , respectively, the Lindeberg–Feller central limit theorem and Slutsky's lemma yield

$$\log(L_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}\left(-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}, \sigma^2\right)$$
 under $\mathbb{P}^{(n)}$. (17)

Notice that the boundedness of g ensures the validity of the Lindeberg condition. In view of Le Cam's first lemma (see, e.g., [39], p. 297), the probability measures $Q^{(n)}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{(n)}$ are mutually contiguous. According to Theorem 3.1, the auxiliary process Z_n^* introduced in (38) is tight under $\mathbb{P}^{(n)}$ and thus, in view of contiguity, also under $Q^{(n)}$. Let $\{e_k, k \ge 1\}$ be an arbitrary complete orthonormal system of \mathbb{H} . It remains to show that, for each $\ell \ge 1$, we have $\Pi_{\ell}(Z_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \Pi_{\ell}(Z+c)$ under $Q^{(n)}$, where Π_{ℓ} denotes the orthogonal projection onto the linear subspace of \mathbb{H} spanned by e_1, \ldots, e_{ℓ} . We first consider

$$\Pi_{\ell}(Z_n^*) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \langle Z_n^*, e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} e_j,$$

where Z_n^* is given in (38), with the only difference that X_j is throughout replaced with $X_{n,j}$. In view of Theorem 3.1, the asymptotic distribution of Z_n^* under $\mathbb{P}^{(n)}$ is centred Gaussian with a covariance operator \mathbb{K} given by the covariance matrix kernel K(s,t), whence $\langle Z_n^*, e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, \langle \mathbb{K}e_j, e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{H}})$ under $\mathbb{P}^{(n)}$. In view of (17) we have

$$\left(\langle Z_n^*, e_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}, ..., \langle Z_n^*, e_\ell \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}, \log(L_n)\right)^{\mathsf{T}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathsf{N}_{\ell+1} \left((0, \ldots, 0, -\sigma^2/2)^{\mathsf{T}}, \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma & \widetilde{c} \\ \widetilde{c}^{\mathsf{T}} & \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

under $\mathbb{P}^{(n)}$ for each $\ell \geq 1$. Here, $\Sigma := (\langle \mathbb{K}e_i, e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{H}})_{1 \leq i,j \leq \ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times \ell}$ and $\tilde{c} = (\tilde{c}_1, ..., \tilde{c}_\ell)^{\mathsf{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$, where, by Fubini's theorem, $\tilde{c}_j := \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[\langle Z_n^*, e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}, \log(L_n)] = \langle c, e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}$, and c is given in (15). According to Le Cam's third Lemma (see, e.g., [39], p. 300), it follows that $(\langle Z_n^*, e_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}, ..., \langle Z_n^*, e_\ell \rangle_{\mathbb{H}})^{\mathsf{T}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N_\ell(\tilde{c}, \Sigma)$ under $Q^{(n)}$. Since, for the centred Gaussian random element figuring in Theorem 3.1, we have

$$(\langle Z+c, e_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}, ..., \langle Z+c, e_\ell \rangle_{\mathbb{H}})^{\mathsf{T}} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} N_\ell(\widetilde{c}, \Sigma),$$

it follows that

$$\left(\langle Z_n^*, e_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}, ..., \langle Z_n^*, e_\ell \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}\right)^{\mathsf{T}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \left(\langle Z + c, e_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}, ..., \langle Z + c, e_\ell \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}$$
(18)

under $\mathbb{Q}^{(n)}$. Now, let $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^{\ell} \to \mathbb{H}$ be defined by $\Psi(x) := \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} x_j e_j, x = (x_1, ..., x_{\ell})^{\intercal}$. The continuous mapping theorem and (18) then yield

$$\Pi_{\ell}(Z_n^*) = \Psi\Big(\big(\langle Z_n^*, e_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}, ..., \langle Z_n^*, e_\ell \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}\big)^{\mathsf{T}}\Big) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \Psi\Big(\big(\langle Z + c, e_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}, ..., \langle Z + c, e_\ell \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}\big)^{\mathsf{T}}\Big) = \Pi_{\ell}(Z + c)$$

under $\mathbb{Q}^{(n)}$. In view of the tightness of Z_n^* unter $Q^{(n)}$ we conclude $Z_n^* \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Z + c$ under $Q^{(n)}$. The assertion now follows from Slutsky's lemma since, in view of 41 and 42, $||Z_n - Z_n^*||_{\mathbb{H}}$ is asymptotically negligible under $\mathbb{P}^{(n)}$ and thus, because of contiguity, also under $Q^{(n)}$.

As a corollary, we have the following result.

Corollary 4.2. Under the conditions of Theorem 4.1, we have

$$T_{n,a} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \|Z + c\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 = \int \|Z(t) + c(t)\|^2 w_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}t.$$

We now consider fixed alternatives to H_0 , and we suppose that the underlying distribution, in addition to being absolutely continuous, satisfies $\mathbb{E}||X||^4 < \infty$. In view of affine invariance, we assume $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[XX^{\intercal}] = I_d$. Our first result is a strong limit of $T_{n,a}/n$ as $n \to \infty$.

 $\frac{T_{n,a}}{n} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \Delta_a,$

Theorem 4.3. If $\mathbb{E} ||X||^4 < \infty$, we have

where

$$\Delta_a := \int \|\mu(t) - t\psi(t)\|^2 w_a(t) \,\mathrm{d}t$$
(19)

and $\mu(t) = \mathbb{E}[X \mathrm{CS}^+(t, X)].$

Proof. Invoking (9), we have $n^{-1}T_{n,a} = ||n^{-1/2}Z_n||_{\mathbb{H}}^2$, where Z_n is given in (10). Putting $Z_n^0(t) = n^{-1/2}\sum_{j=1}^n \left(X_j \mathbf{CS}^+(t, X_j) - t\psi(t)\right)$, the strong law of large numbers in Hilbert spaces yields $||n^{-1/2}Z_n^0||_{\mathbb{H}}^2 \xrightarrow{\mathrm{a.s.}} 0$, and thus it remains to prove $||n^{-1/2}(Z_n - Z_n^0)||_{\mathbb{H}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{a.s.}} 0$. To this end, notice that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} (Z_n(t) - Z_n^0(t)) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j (\mathbf{CS}^+(t, Y_{n,j}) - \mathbf{CS}^+(t, X_j)) + \Delta_{n,j} \mathbf{CS}^+(t, Y_{n,j})).$$

Since $CS^+(t, Y_{n,j}) = CS^+(t, X_j) + \varepsilon_{n,j}(t) + \eta_{n,j}(t)$, where $\max(|\varepsilon_{n,j}(t)|, |\eta_{n,j}(t)|) \le ||t|| ||\Delta_{n,j}||$, it follows that

$$\left\|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{j}\left(\mathbf{CS}^{+}(t,Y_{n,j})-\mathbf{CS}^{+}(t,X_{j})\right)\right\| \leq \frac{2}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\|X_{j}\|\|t\|\|\Delta_{n,j}\|$$
$$\leq 2\|t\|n^{-1/4}\max_{j=1,\dots,n}\|X_{j}\|n^{1/4}\max_{j=1,\dots,n}\|\Delta_{n,j}\|.$$

Since $\mathbb{E}||X||^4 < \infty$, Theorem 5.2 of [6] yields $n^{-1/4} \max_{j=1,...,n} ||X_j|| \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$, and from Proposition A.1 of [14], we have $n^{1/4} \max_{j=1,...,n} ||\Delta_{n,j}|| \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$. Consequently, $||n^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^n X_j(\mathsf{CS}^+(t,Y_{n,j}) - \mathsf{CS}^+(t,X_j))||_{\mathbb{H}} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$. Furthermore, $||n^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^n \Delta_{n,j}\mathsf{CS}^+(t,Y_{n,j})|| \le 2n^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^n ||\Delta_{n,j}||$. Since the right hand side converges to 0 \mathbb{P} almost surely according to Proposition A.1 of [14], it follows that $||n^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^n \Delta_{n,j}\mathsf{CS}^+(t,Y_{n,j})||_{\mathbb{H}} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$. The remaining assertion $||n^{-1/2}(Z_n - Z_n^0)||_{\mathbb{H}} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$ now follows from the triangle inequality.

As a corollary, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.4. The test for multivariate normality based on $T_{n,a}$ is consistent against each alternative distribution satisfying $\mathbb{E}||X||^4 < \infty$.

Proof. Let $\psi_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(it^{\intercal}X)]$ be the CF of X. By straightforward calculations, we have

$$\Delta_a = \int \|\nabla \psi_X(t) - \nabla \psi(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}}^2 w_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}t,$$

where Δ_a is given in (19). Since $\Delta_a = 0$ if and only if $X \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} N_d(0, I_d)$ (recall the standing assumption $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[XX^{\intercal}] = I_d$), the assertion follows.

Notice that, for each a > 0, Δ_a may be regarded as a measure of deviation from normality. The following result sheds some more light on Δ_a .

Theorem 4.5. If $E ||X||^6 < \infty$ then, under the standing assumptions $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[XX^{\intercal}] = I_d$, we have

$$\lim_{a \to \infty} 16a^2 \left(\frac{a}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \Delta_a = \mathbb{E}[X_1^{\mathsf{T}} X_2 \| X_1 \|^2 \| X_2 \|^2] + 2\mathbb{E}[(X_1^{\mathsf{T}} X_2)^3],$$
(20)

as well as

$$\lim_{a \to 0} \pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} \Delta_a = \frac{d}{2} - 2^{\frac{d}{2}+1} \mathbb{E}\left[\|X_1\|^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\|X_1\|^2}{2}\right) \right].$$

Proof. Straightforward calculations give $\Delta_a = I_{a,1} - I_{a,2} + I_{a,3}$, where

$$I_{a,1} = \int \mathbb{E}[X_1 \mathbf{CS}^+(t, X_1)]^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbb{E}[X_2 \mathbf{CS}^+(t, X_2)] w_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}t$$

$$I_{a,2} = 2 \int \mathbb{E}[X_1 \mathbf{CS}^+(t, X_1)]^{\mathsf{T}} t \psi(t) w_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}t, \qquad I_{a,3} = \int t^{\mathsf{T}} t \psi(t)^2 w_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}t.$$

Using addition theorems for the sine and the cosine function as well as (11) and (35),(36) und (37), it follows that

$$I_{a,1} = \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{\frac{a}{2}} \mathbb{E}\left[X_1^{\mathsf{T}} X_2 \exp\left(-\frac{\|X_1 - X_2\|^2}{4a}\right)\right],$$

$$I_{a,2} = 2\left(\frac{2\pi}{2a+1}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\|X_1\|^2}{2a+1} \exp\left(-\frac{\|X_1\|^2}{4a+2}\right)\right], \qquad I_{a,3}\left(\frac{\pi}{a+1}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{d}{2a+2}.$$

The Taylor expansions (7) und (8), together with $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$, $\mathbb{E}[XX^{\intercal}] = I_d$ and $\mathbb{E}||X||^6 < \infty$ then yield

$$\begin{aligned} a^{2} \left(\frac{a}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} I_{a,1} &= a^{2} \mathbb{E}[X_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} X_{2}] - a \mathbb{E}\left[X_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} X_{2} \frac{\|X_{1} - X_{2}\|^{2}}{4}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[X_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} X_{2} \frac{\|X_{1} - X_{2}\|^{4}}{32}\right] + O(a^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{ad}{2} + \frac{1}{16} \mathbb{E}[X_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} X_{2} \|X_{1}\|^{2} \|X_{2}\|^{2}] + \frac{2}{16} \mathbb{E}[(X_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} X_{2})^{3}] - \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E}\|X_{1}\|^{4} + O(a^{-1}), \\ a^{2} \left(\frac{a}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} I_{a,2} &= a \left(\frac{a}{a + \frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{d}{2} + 1} \mathbb{E}\left[\|X_{1}\|^{2} \exp\left(-\frac{\|X_{1}\|^{2}}{4a + 2}\right)\right] = \left(ad - \frac{d^{2}}{4} - \frac{d}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E}\|X_{1}\|^{4} + O(a^{-1}), \\ a^{2} \left(\frac{a}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} I_{a,3} &= a \left(\frac{a}{a + 1}\right)^{\frac{d}{2} + 1} \frac{d}{2} = \frac{ad}{2} - \frac{d^{2}}{4} - \frac{d}{2} + O(a^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Upon summarizing, the assertion follows. The second statement is proved following similar arguments.

We remark in passing that the first term on the right hand side of (20) is the population measure of multivariate skewness in the sense of Móri, Rohatgi, and Székely [44], and $\mathbb{E}[(X_1^{\mathsf{T}}X_2)^3]$ is population skewness in the sense of Mardia [42]. Thus, Theorem 4.5 can be regarded as the 'population counterpart' of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3.

[3] observed that, in the context of goodness-of-fit testing of a general parametric hypothesis \tilde{H}_0 (say), weighted L^2 statistics have a normal limit under fixed alternatives to \tilde{H}_0 . To state such a theorem in our case, we first introduce
some notation. Again, we write $\psi_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(it^\intercal X)]$ for the CF of X and put $\psi_X^{\pm}(t) := \operatorname{Re} \psi_X(t) \pm \operatorname{Im} \psi_X(t)$,

$$w(t,X) = X\mathbf{CS}^+(t,X) - X\psi_X^+(t) - t^{\mathsf{T}}X\nabla\psi_X^+(t) + \frac{1}{2}\left((XX^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{I}_d)\nabla\psi_X^-(t) - \mathbb{E}[XX^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{CS}^-(t,X)](XX^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{I}_d)t\right).$$
(21)

Moreover, let

$$L(s,t) := \mathbb{E}\big[w(s,X)w(t,X)^{\mathsf{T}}\big], \quad s,t \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$
(22)

We then have the following result.

Theorem 4.6. If $\mathbb{E}||X||^4 < \infty$, we have

where

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{T_{n,a}}{n} - \Delta_a\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma_a^2),$$

$$\sigma_a^2 := 4 \iint z(s)^{\mathsf{T}} L(s,t) z(t) w_a(s) w_a(t) \,\mathrm{d}s \,\mathrm{d}t.$$
(23)

Here,

$$z(t) := \mu(t) - t\psi(t),$$
 (24)

and L(s,t) is defined in (22).

Proof. The basic observation is that, with Z_n defined in (10) and $z(t) := \mu(t) - t\psi(t)$, we have

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{T_{n,a}}{n} - \Delta_a \right) = \sqrt{n} \left(\| n^{-1/2} Z_n \|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 - \| z \|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 \right) = \sqrt{n} \langle n^{-1/2} Z_n - z, 2z + n^{-1/2} Z_n - z \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}$$

$$= 2 \langle Z_n - \sqrt{n} z, z \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} + n^{-1/2} \| Z_n - \sqrt{n} z \|_{\mathbb{H}}^2.$$
(25)

Letting $V_n(t) := Z_n(t) - \sqrt{n}z(t) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^n (Y_{n,j} CS^+(t, Y_{n,j}) - \mu(t))$, the next step is to show that

$$V_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} V \text{ in } \mathbb{H}$$
 (26)

for some centred Gaussian random element V of \mathbb{H} having covariance matrix kernel L(s,t) given in (22). The proof of (26) is completely analogous to that of Theorem 3.1 and is therefore omitted. In view of (26), the second summand in (25) is $o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$, and the first converges in distribution to $2\langle V, z \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}$ by the continuous mapping theorem. The distribution of $2\langle V, z \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}$ is the normal distribution $N(0, \sigma_a^2)$.

Using Slutsky's lemma, Theorem 4.6 yields the following asymptotic confidence interval for Δ_a .

Corollary 4.7. For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, let $z_{1-\alpha/2}$ denote the $(1 - \alpha/2)$ -quantile of the standard normal distribution. If $\hat{\sigma}_{n,a}^2$ is a consistent sequence of estimators for σ_a^2 , and if $\sigma_a^2 > 0$, then

$$I_{n,a,\alpha} := \left[\frac{T_{n,a}}{n} - \frac{\widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}}{\sqrt{n}} z_{1-\alpha/2}, \frac{T_{n,a}}{n} + \frac{\widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}}{\sqrt{n}} z_{1-\alpha/2}\right]$$

is an asymptotic confidence interval with level $1 - \alpha$ for Δ_a .

A necessary and sufficient condition for $\sigma_a^2 > 0$ is that the function $\mathbb{R}^d \ni s \mapsto \int L(s,t)z(t)w_a(t) dt$ does not vanish λ^d -almost everywhere, see Remark 1 of [3].

To construct a consistent sequence of estimators for σ_a^2 , we replace z(s), z(t) and L(s,t) figuring in (23) with suitable empirical counterparts. In view of (22) and (21) and the fact that $\nabla \psi_X^+(t) = \mathbb{E}[X CS^-(t, X)], \nabla \psi_X^-(t) = -\mathbb{E}[X CS^+(t, X)]$, let

$$L_n(s,t) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n W_{n,j}(s) W_{n,j}(t)^{\mathsf{T}},$$
(27)

where

$$W_{n,j}(t) := Y_{n,j} \mathbf{CS}^+(t, Y_{n,j}) - Y_{n,j} \Psi_{1,n}(t) - t^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j} \Psi_{2,n}(t) - \frac{1}{2} (Y_{n,j} Y_{n,j}^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{I}_d) \Psi_{3,n}(t) - \frac{1}{2} \Psi_{4,n}(t) (Y_{n,j} Y_{n,j}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{I}_d) t,$$
(28)

and

$$\Psi_{1,n}(t) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{CS}^{+}(t, Y_{n,j}), \quad \Psi_{2,n}(t) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{n,j} \mathbf{CS}^{-}(t, Y_{n,j}), \tag{29}$$

$$\Psi_{3,n}(t) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{n,j} \mathbf{CS}^+(t, Y_{n,j}), \quad \Psi_{4,n}(t) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{n,j} Y_{n,j}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{CS}^-(t, Y_{n,j}).$$
(30)

Furthermore, let

$$z_n(t) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n Y_{n,j} \mathbf{CS}^+(t, Y_{n,j}) - t\psi(t).$$
(31)

We then have the following result.

	$d \setminus a$	0.5	1	2	5
$\mathbf{U}(-\sqrt{2},\sqrt{2})d$	1	0.029273	0.011432	0.002911	0.000259
$U(-\sqrt{3},\sqrt{3})^{n}$	2	0.090821	0.027841	0.005709	0.000365
Laplace $(0, 1/\sqrt{2})d$	1	0.026076	0.013968	0.005230	0.000778
Laplace $(0, 1/\sqrt{2})^n$	2	0.071014	0.032525	0.010141	0.001097
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	1	0.005014	0.002688	0.001005	0.000144
$Logistic(0, \sqrt{5/\pi})^{*}$	2	0.013664	0.006226	0.001942	0.000202

Table 3: Values of Δ_a

Theorem 4.8. Let

$$\widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}^2 := 4 \iint z_n(s)^{\mathsf{T}} L_n(s,t) z_n(t) w_a(s) w_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}t,$$

where $L_n(s,t)$ and $z_n(t)$ are defined in (27) and (31), respectively. If $\mathbb{E}||X||^4 < \infty$, then $(\widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}^2)$ is a consistent sequence of estimators for σ_a^2 , i.e., we have $\widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \sigma_a^2$. Moreover,

$$\widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^5 \widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}^{i,j},\tag{32}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_{n,a}^{i,j}$ is given in (47).

Since the proof of Theorem 4.8 is long and tedious, it is deferred to Appendix A. We stress that the representation (32) does not comprise any integral, which means that $\hat{\sigma}_{n,a}^2$ is a feasible estimator.

We close this section with an example that illustrates the feasibility of the asymptotic confidence interval. To this end, we consider the following standardized symmetric alternatives to normality. Firstly, let $X \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} U(-\sqrt{3},\sqrt{3})^d$ have the uniform distribution on the cube $(-\sqrt{3},\sqrt{3})^d$. In this case, we have

$$\varphi_X(t) = \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{\sin(\sqrt{3}t_i)}{\sqrt{3}t_i}, \qquad \nabla \varphi_X(t)^{(j)} = \frac{3\cos(\sqrt{3}t_j)t_j - \sqrt{3}\sin(\sqrt{3}t_j)}{3t_j^2} \prod_{i \neq j}^d \frac{\sin(\sqrt{3}t_i)}{\sqrt{3}t_i},$$

where $\nabla \varphi(t)^{(j)}$ is the *j*th component of $\nabla \varphi(t)$. Secondly, we consider a Laplace distribution with i.i.d. marginals, denoted by Laplace $(0, 1/\sqrt{2})^d$, for which

$$\varphi_X(t) = \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{2}{2+t_i^2}, \qquad \nabla \varphi_X(t)^{(j)} = -\frac{4t_j}{(2+t_j^2)^2} \prod_{i\neq j}^d \frac{2}{2+t_i^2}$$

Finally, let X have a logistic distribution with i.i.d. marginals, denoted by $\text{Logistic}(0, 3/\pi)^d$. In this case, we obtain

$$\varphi_X(t) = \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{\sqrt{3}t_i}{\sinh(\sqrt{3}t_i)}, \qquad \nabla \varphi_X(t)^{(j)} = \frac{\sqrt{3}\sinh(\sqrt{3}t_j) - 3t_j\cosh(\sqrt{3}t_j)}{\sinh(\sqrt{3}t_j)^2} \prod_{i \neq j}^d \frac{\sqrt{3}t_i}{\sinh(\sqrt{3}t_i)}.$$

In each case, Δ_a has been computed by numerical integration. The resulting values are displayed in Table 3.

By means of a Monte Carlo study, we estimated the probability of coverage of the confidence interval $I_{n,a,\alpha}$ figuring in corollary 4.7 for $a \in \{0.5, 1, 2, 5\}$, $d \in \{1, 2\}$, and the sample sizes $n \in \{10, 20, 30, 50, 100, 200\}$. The nominal level is 0.95, and the number of replications is 10000. Simulations have been carried out with the statistic software R, see [47]. In particular, we used the package extraDistr, see [59], to generate variates from the Laplace distribution. The results are displayed in Table 4. As one can see the empirical coverage is converging to the nominal level, while it is obviously slower in higher dimensions. For larger values of the tuning parameter a the confidence interval tends to be too wide, so we conjecture that an improvement of the asymptotic interval can be found.

5 Simulations

This section presents the results of a Monte Carlo study, with the aim to compare the power of the proposed test with respect to that of prominent competitors against selected alternatives. We used the statistic software R, see [47], and we employed the package MonteCarlo, see [38], which allows for parallel computing. In addition, we used the package expm, see [22], for the standardization of the data. Critical values for the test statistic have been estimated by means of extensive simulations (100000 replications), and they are displayed in Table 5 for the weight parameters $a \in \{0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, \infty\}$ and the sample sizes $n \in \{20, 50, 100\}$. Throughout, the level of significance is $\alpha = 0.05$. For the sake of comparison, Table 5 displays the approximate critical values of $T_{\infty,a}$ in the special case d = 1, which have been obtained in Section 3 by choosing a distribution of the Pearson family by equating the first four moments. As already mentioned in Section 2, the test statistic $T_{n,\infty}$ is a linear combination of skewness in the sense of Mardia [42] and skewness in the sense of Móri, Rohatgi und Székely [44], and it equals the statistic HV_{∞} of Henze–Visagie, see [31].

5.1 Univariate normal distribution

In the univariate case d = 1, we compared the power of our novel test statistics with several competitors, which are

- the Cramér–von Mises test (CvM),
- the Anderson–Darling test (AD),
- the Shapiro–Wilk test (SW),
- the Baringhaus-Henze-Epps-Pulley test (BHEP),
- the Henze–Visagie test (HV).

The first three of these tests are well-known. The CvM-test and the AD-test have been implemented with the R-package nortest, see [23], which contains the functions cvm.test and ad.test, and for the SW-test we used the function shapiro.test of the stats-package. The test statistics BHEP and HV will be explained in (33) and (34), respectively.

For the BHEP-test and the HV-test, critical values have been simulated with 100000 replications. These values and those of Table 5 for the novel test statistics have been employed to assess the power of the various tests against several alternatives. Table 6 exhibits percentages of rejection based on 100000 replications. An asterisk denotes power of 100% and the best performing test for each alternative is marked in boldface. The choice of alternatives orients itself towards those used in [31]. The acronym NMix1 denotes a mixture of the normal distributions N(0, 1) and N(3, 1) with weights 0.9 and 0.1, respectively.

The novel tests outperform the selected competitors for the t_3 -distribution, the $\chi^2(15)$ -distribution and the distribution NMix1, and they keep up with the other procedures against the remaining alternatives. For most of the alternatives, power does not change much with varying the weight parameter a. A notable exception is the uniform distribution $U(-\sqrt{3},\sqrt{3})$, against which power breaks down for larger tuning parameters, a feature shared by the HV-test.

5.2 Multivariate normal distribution

For the dimensions d = 2, d = 3 and d = 5, we compared the novel test statistic with the following procedures:

- the test of Baringhaus-Henze-Epps-Pulley (BHEP),
- the test of Henze–Zirkler (HZ),
- the test of Henze–Visagie (HV),
- the energy test (EN).

A recent synopsis of tests for multivariate normality is given in [18]. Just as the novel procedure, the BHEP-test (see [32]) is based on the empirical characteristic function (ECF). More precisely, it employs the test statistic

$$BHEP_a = \int |\psi_n(t) - \psi(t)|^2 \varphi_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}t, \tag{33}$$

where $\varphi_a(t) = (2\pi a^2)^{-d/2} \exp(-||t||^2/(2a^2))$, and $\psi_n(t)$ and $\psi(t)$ are given in (3) and (2), respectively. An alternative representation for BHEP_a is

$$BHEP_{a} = \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i,j=1} \exp\left(-\frac{a^{2}}{2} \left\|Y_{n,i} - Y_{n,j}\right\|^{2}\right) - 2(1+a^{2})^{-\frac{d}{2}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \exp\left(-\frac{a^{2} \left\|Y_{n,j}\right\|^{2}}{2(1+a^{2})}\right) + (1+2a^{2})^{-\frac{d}{2}}.$$

In our study, we used the special value a = 1.

The test HZ of Henze–Zirkler (cf. [33]) originates if we choose $a = 1/\sqrt{2} \left((2d+1)n/4 \right)^{\frac{1}{d+4}}$ in the BHEP test. The R-package HZ, see [36], contains the function mvn, which calculates the statistic of the HZ-test.

The recent test of Henze–Visagie, see [31], is the 'moment generating function analog' of our novel test statistic. It employs the test statistic

$$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{V}_a = n \int \|\nabla M_n(t) - tM_n(t)\|^2 w_a(t) \,\mathrm{d}t,$$

where $M_n(t) = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \exp(t^{\intercal} Y_{n,j})$ is the empirical moment generating function of the scaled residuals. An alternative representation of HV_a is

$$HV_{a} = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \exp\left(\frac{\|Y_{n,i} + Y_{n,j}\|^{2}}{4a}\right) \left(Y_{n,i}^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j} + \|Y_{n,i} + Y_{n,j}\|^{2} \left(\frac{1}{4a^{2}} - \frac{1}{2a}\right) + \frac{d}{2a}\right).$$
(34)

In our comparative study, we put a = 5, as recommended in [31].

The rationale of the energy test of Székely and Rizzo, see [54], is based on the fact that, if X and Y are independent integrable d-dimensional random vectors and X', Y' denote independent copies of X and Y, respectively, then

$$2\mathbb{E}||X - Y|| - \mathbb{E}||X - X'|| - \mathbb{E}||Y - Y'|| \ge 0.$$

Here, equality holds if and only if $X \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} Y$. The statistic of the energy test for multivariate normality is

$$EN = n \left(\frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} \| \widetilde{Y}_{n,j} - Z_1 \| - \mathbb{E} \| Z_1 - Z_2 \| - \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} \| \widetilde{Y}_{n,i} - \widetilde{Y}_{n,j} \| \right)$$

Here, $\tilde{Y}_{n,j} = \sqrt{n/(n-1)}Y_{n,j}$, and Z_1, Z_2 are i.i.d. with the normal distribution $N_d(0, I_d)$, which are also independent of $Y_{n,1}, \ldots, Y_{n,n}$. To calculate EN, notice that $\mathbb{E}||Z_1 - Z_2|| = 2\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})/\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})$ and

$$\mathbb{E}\|a-Z\| = \sqrt{2}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k! 2^k} \frac{\|a\|^{2k+2}}{(2k+1)(2k+2)} \frac{2\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})\Gamma(k+\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(k+\frac{d}{2}+1)}$$

The R-package energy [48] contains the function mvnorm.etest to calculate EN. Note that all of the mentioned procedures are also implemented in the R-package mnt, see [9].

Just as done in the case d = 1, we first simulated critical values with 100000 replications. With the same number of replications, we then simulated the power of the tests under discussion against selected alternatives. Again, the choice of alternatives orients itself towards those used in [31]. Tables 7, 8 and 9 display percentages of rejection of H_0 for dimensions d = 2, d = 3 and d = 5, respectively, and an asterisk again denotes power 100%. To generate pseudo random numbers, we used the R-packages mvtnorm, see [20], and PearsonDS, see [7]. Suppressing the dimension d, the distribution NMix1 is a mixture of the normal distributions $N_d(0, I_d)$ and $N_d(3, I_d)$ with mixing proportions 0.9 and 0.1, respectively. Here, 3 stands for the d-dimensional vector that contains 3 in each component. Likewise, NMix2 denotes a mixture of the normal distributions $N_d(0, I_d)$ and $N_d(0, B_d)$ with mixing proportions 0.1 and 0.9, respectively. Here, B_d is a $d \times d$ -matrix with 1 for each diagonal entry and 0.9 for each off-diagonal entry.

The novel tests outperform their competitors for some alternatives, notably for the χ^2 -, the Γ -, and the NMixdistribution, but they can also keep up for the other alternatives. However, just as in the univariate case, power is extremely low against the uniform distribution $U(-\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{3})$, a feature shared by the HV-test. Based on the results of this simulation study, we recommend the choice a = 5 for the tuning parameter, since it leads to competitive power against nearly each of the alternatives considered.

6 A real data example

The Black-Scholes-Merton model is a stochastic model for the dynamics of a financial market that contains derivative investment instruments. One of the basic assumptions of this model is the normality of the log returns of stocks and indexes. To test the hypothesis joint normality of log returns of several indexes, we consider the five stock indexes Standard & Poor 500 (^GSPC), Dow Jones Industrial Average (^DJI), NASDAQ Composite (^IXIC), DAX Perfomance Index (^GDAXI), and EURO STOXX 50 (^STOXX50E), over a period of 50 trading days, starting July 1st, 2017. The data (daily closing prices of the stocks) were obtained by means of the R-package quantmod, see [49].



Figure 1: 2D projections of the log returns of the indexes.

To model the independence assumption between the realisations, we ignored a time span of 10 trading days between each of the five dimensional observations. Figure 1 shows a plot of the two-dimensional projections of the log returns. For each value $a \in \{0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10\}$ of the weight parameter a, we performed a Monte Carlo simulation based on 100000 replications, in order to estimate the p-value of the observations. The empirical p-values are displayed in Table 10. As can be seen, the hypothesis of a multivariate normality of the log returns of the selected stock prices is rejected at the 1%-level, for each of the choices of the weight parameter a.

7 Summary and outlook

We propose a novel class of tests of normality based on an initial value problem connected to a multivariate Stein equation, which characterises the multivariate standard normal law. We derived asymptotic theory under the null hypothesis as well as under contiguous and fixed alternatives. Moreover, we proved consistency against each alternative distribution that satisfies a weak moment condition, and we provided insights into the structure of the behaviour of the test statistic under fixed alternatives by calculating asymptotic confidence intervals for Δ_a , and by providing a consistent estimator for the limiting variance σ_a^2 . Monte Carlo simulations show that the methods operate as expected, and that the new family of tests is a strong class of competitors to established procedures.

A first open question for further research is to find explicit formulae or numerical stable approximations for the eigenvalues $\lambda_j(a)$, j = 1, 2, ... connected to the integral operator \mathbb{K} in (13). We also leave as an open problem the calculation of higher cumulants of $T_{\infty,a}$ for dimensions d > 1. Results of this kind would open ground to efficient approximation methods for the computation of critical values that avoid Monte Carlo simulations and efficiency statements, since the largest eigenvalue has a crucial influence on the approximate Bahadur efficiency, see [2, 45]. An

promising new field of interest in connection with tests of multivariate normality is to consider their behaviour in high-dimensional settings, i.e., to answer the question whether one can find a suitable rescaling and shifting of the test statistic to obtain a non trivial limit distribution under a suitable limiting regime, under which, e.g., $n, d \to \infty$ such that $d/n \to \tau \in [0, \infty]$. For first results, see [10]. As a starting point, we conjecture that for a sequence $(n_d)_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $n_d \ge d+1$ and $n_d = o\left(\left(\frac{2a}{2a+1}\right)^{-\frac{d}{2}}\right)$, we have under H_0 as $d \to \infty$

$$\left(\frac{a}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{T_{n_d,a}}{d} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 1$$

Finally, it would be of interest to consider a related family of test statistics, which is given by

$$S_{n,a} = n \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\nabla \psi_n(t) + t \psi_n(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}}^2 w_a(t) \,\mathrm{d}t.$$

Thus, the theoretical CF in $T_{n,a}$ has been replaced by the empirical counterpart. Note that in the univariate case, this family is extensively studied in [17], but the generalisation to higher dimensions is still open. We conjecture that similar results as derived in Sections 2 to 4 hold for $S_{n,a}$.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank Yvik Swan for sharing his knowledge of multivariate Stein operators and Stein characterisations.

A Proofs

A.1 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Proof. Putting $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_d)^{\mathsf{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $Y_{n,j} = (Y_{n,j}^{(1)}, \ldots, Y_{n,j}^{(d)})^{\mathsf{T}}$, some algebra (using symmetry and the addition theorem for the cosine function) yields

$$\begin{split} T_{n,a} &= n \int \left\| \nabla \psi_n(t) + t \psi(t) \right\|_{\mathbb{C}}^2 w_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= n \int \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathrm{i} Y_{n,j} \exp\left(\mathrm{i} t^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j}\right) + t \psi(t) \right\|_{\mathbb{C}}^2 w_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= n \int \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ t \psi(t) - Y_{n,j} \sin(t^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j}) + \mathrm{i} Y_{n,j} \cos(t^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j}) \right\} \right\|_{\mathbb{C}}^2 w_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= n \int \sum_{k=1}^d \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n t^{(k)} \psi(t) - Y_{n,j}^{(k)} \sin(t^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j}) \right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n Y_{n,j}^{(k)} \cos(t^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j}) \right)^2 \right\} w_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= n \int \sum_{k=1}^d \left\{ t^{(k)} t^{(k)} \exp\left(- \|t\|^2 \right) - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n t^{(k)} \psi(t) Y_{n,j}^{(k)} \sin(t^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n Y_{n,j}^{(k)} Y_{n,i}^{(k)} \cos(t^{\mathsf{T}} (Y_{n,i} - Y_{n,j})) \right\} w_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}t. \end{split}$$

We thus have

$$T_{n,a} = n \int \left\{ \|t\|^2 \exp\left(-(a+1)\|t\|^2\right) - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n t^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j} \sin(t^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j}) \exp\left(-\left(a+\frac{1}{2}\right)\|t\|^2\right) + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n Y_{n,i}^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j} \cos\left(t^{\mathsf{T}} (Y_{n,i} - Y_{n,j})\right) \exp\left(-a\|t\|^2\right) \right\} \mathrm{d}t.$$

Using

$$\int ||t||^2 \exp\left(-a||t||^2\right) dt = \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{\frac{a}{2}} \frac{d}{2a},$$
(35)

$$\int \cos(t^{\mathsf{T}}c) \exp\left(-a\|t\|^2\right) \mathsf{d}t = \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{\frac{a}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\|c\|^2}{4a}\right),\tag{36}$$

$$\int t^{\mathsf{T}} c \sin(t^{\mathsf{T}} c) \exp\left(-a \|t\|^{2}\right) dt = \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\|c\|^{2}}{2a} \exp\left(-\frac{\|c\|^{2}}{4a}\right),\tag{37}$$

the assertion follows readily.

A.2 Proof of Theorem 3.1

Proof. Recall that, in view of invariance, there is no loss of generality if we assume $X \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} N_d(0, I_d)$. With the notation in (14), Z_n defined in (10) takes the form

$$Z_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(Y_{n,j} \mathbf{CS}^+(t, Y_{n,j}) - t\psi(t) \right).$$

To prove Theorem 3.1, we use a central limit theorem for Hilbert space valued random elements, see, e.g., Theorem 2.7 of [8]. Since Z_n does not comprise independent summands, we approximate Z_n by a sum of i.i.d. random elements of \mathbb{H} . To this end, we introduce the auxiliary random elements

$$\widetilde{Z}_{n}(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left((X_{j} + \Delta_{n,j}) \mathbf{C} \mathbf{S}^{+}(t, X_{j}) - t\psi(t) + X_{j} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{S}^{-}(t, X_{j}) t^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta_{n,j} \right),$$

$$Z_{n}^{*}(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(X_{j} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{S}^{+}(t, X_{j}) - \left(t + X_{j} + (2\mathbf{I}_{d} - tt^{\mathsf{T}}) \frac{1}{2} (X_{j} X_{j}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{I}_{d}) t - t^{\mathsf{T}} X_{j} t \right) \psi(t) \right) \qquad (38)$$

$$=: \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} Z_{j}^{**}(t)$$

(say), where

$$\Delta_{n,j} = Y_{n,j} - X_j = (S_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} - I_d)X_j - S_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\overline{X}_n.$$
(39)

The proof of Theorem 3.1 comprises 3 steps. We show

$$Z_n^* \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Z \text{ in } \mathbb{H}, \tag{40}$$

$$\|Z_n - \widetilde{Z}_n\|_{\mathbb{H}} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \tag{41}$$

$$\|\widetilde{Z}_n - Z_n^*\|_{\mathbb{H}} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0.$$
(42)

The assertion then follows from Slutsky's lemma. To prove (40), notice that $Z_1^{**}, Z_2^{**}, \ldots$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random elements of \mathbb{H} . These elements are centred, since

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_1^{**}(t)] = \mathbb{E}\Big[X\mathbf{CS}^+(t,X) - (t+X+(2\mathbf{I}_d - tt^{\mathsf{T}})\frac{1}{2}(XX^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{I}_d)t - t^{\mathsf{T}}Xt\big)\psi(t)\Big]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\Big[X\mathbf{CS}^+(t,X) - t\psi(t)\Big] = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The covariance matrix kernel $\mathbb{E}\left[Z_n^*(s)Z_n^*(t)^{\intercal}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[Z_1^{**}(s)Z_1^{**}(t)^{\intercal}\right] = K(s,t)$ (say), where $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, is given by

$$K(s,t) = \mathbb{E}\Big[\Big(X\mathbf{C}\mathbf{S}^{+}(s,X) - \big(s + X + (2\mathbf{I}_{d} - ss^{\mathsf{T}})\frac{1}{2}(XX^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{I}_{d})s - s^{\mathsf{T}}Xs\big)\psi(s)\Big) \\ \Big(X\mathbf{C}\mathbf{S}^{+}(t,X) - \big(t + X + (2\mathbf{I}_{d} - tt^{\mathsf{T}})\frac{1}{2}(XX^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{I}_{d})t - t^{\mathsf{T}}Xt\big)\psi(t)\Big)^{\mathsf{T}}\Big].$$

In view of $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[XX^{\intercal}] = I_d$, tedious but straightforward calculations yield

$$\begin{split} K(s,t) &= \mathbb{E} \left[XX^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{CS}^{+}(s,X)\mathbf{CS}^{+}(t,X) \right] - s\psi(s)\mathbb{E} \left[X^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{CS}^{+}(t,X) \right] - \psi(s)\mathbb{E} \left[XX^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{CS}^{+}(t,X) \right] \\ &- \psi(s)\mathbb{E} \left[\left((2\mathbf{I}_{d} - ss^{\mathsf{T}}) \frac{1}{2} (XX^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{I}_{d}) - s^{\mathsf{T}}X \right) sX^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{CS}^{+}(t,X) \right] \\ &- \mathbb{E} \left[X\mathbf{CS}^{+}(s,X) \right] t^{\mathsf{T}}\psi(t) + st^{\mathsf{T}}\psi(s)\psi(t) - \mathbb{E} \left[XX^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{CS}^{+}(s,X) \right] \psi(t) + \mathbf{I}_{d}\psi(s)\psi(t) \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \left[\left((2\mathbf{I}_{d} - ss^{\mathsf{T}}) \frac{1}{2} (XX^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{I}_{d}) - s^{\mathsf{T}}X \right) sX^{\mathsf{T}} \right] \psi(s)\psi(t) \\ &- \mathbb{E} \left[X\mathbf{CS}^{+}(s,X)t^{\mathsf{T}} \left((2\mathbf{I}_{d} - tt^{\mathsf{T}}) \frac{1}{2} (XX^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{I}_{d}) - t^{\mathsf{T}}X \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \right] \psi(t) \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \left[Xt^{\mathsf{T}} \left((2\mathbf{I}_{d} - tt^{\mathsf{T}}) \frac{1}{2} (XX^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{I}_{d}) - t^{\mathsf{T}}X \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \right] \psi(s)\psi(t) \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \left[\left((2\mathbf{I}_{d} - ss^{\mathsf{T}}) \frac{1}{2} (XX^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{I}_{d}) - s^{\mathsf{T}}X \right) st^{\mathsf{T}} \left((2\mathbf{I}_{d} - tt^{\mathsf{T}}) \frac{1}{2} (XX^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{I}_{d}) - t^{\mathsf{T}}X \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \right] \psi(s)\psi(t). \end{split}$$

Since the occurring expectations are given by

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{CS}^+(t,X)] = \psi(t),$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X\mathbf{CS}^+(t,X)] = t\psi(t),$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X\mathbf{CS}^-(t,X)] = -t\psi(t),$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X\mathbf{X}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{CS}^+(t,X)] = (\mathbf{I}_d - tt^\mathsf{T})\psi(t),$$

$$\mathbb{E}[XX^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{CS}^-(t,X)] = (\mathbf{I}_d - tt^\mathsf{T})\psi(t),$$

$$\mathbb{E}[s^\mathsf{T}XXX^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{CS}^+(t,X)] = (s^\mathsf{T}t(\mathbf{I}_d - tt^\mathsf{T}) + st^\mathsf{T} + ts^\mathsf{T})\psi(t),$$

$$\mathbb{E}[XX^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{CS}^+(s,X)\mathbf{CS}^+(t,X)] = \mathbb{E}[XX^\mathsf{T}(\sin(s+t) + \cos(s-t))]$$

$$= (\mathbf{I}_d - (s-t)(s-t)^\mathsf{T})\psi(s-t),$$

$$\mathbb{E}[s^\mathsf{T}XXX^\mathsf{T}] = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d},$$

$$\mathbb{E}[(XX^\mathsf{T} - \mathbf{I}_d)st^\mathsf{T}(XX^\mathsf{T} - \mathbf{I}_d)] = ts^\mathsf{T} + s^\mathsf{T}t\mathbf{I}_d,$$

some algebra shows that K(s,t) takes the form given in (12). Thus, by the central limit theorem in Hilbert spaces, (40) follows. To prove (41), notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \cos(t^{\mathsf{T}}Y_{n,j}) &= \cos(t^{\mathsf{T}}X_j) - \sin(t^{\mathsf{T}}X_j)t^{\mathsf{T}}\Delta_{n,j} + \varepsilon_{n,j}(t),\\ \sin(t^{\mathsf{T}}Y_{n,j}) &= \sin(t^{\mathsf{T}}X_j) + \cos(t^{\mathsf{T}}X_j)t^{\mathsf{T}}\Delta_{n,j} + \eta_{n,j}(t), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\max(|\varepsilon_{n,j}(t)|, |\eta_{n,j}(t)|) \le ||t||^2 ||\Delta_{n,j}||^2.$$
(43)

Hence

$$\mathbf{CS}^+(t, Y_{n,j}) = \mathbf{CS}^+(t, X_j) + \mathbf{CS}^-(t, X_j)t^{\mathsf{T}}\Delta_{n,j} + \varepsilon_{n,j}(t) + \eta_{n,j}(t)$$

and some algebra gives

$$Z_n(t) - \widetilde{Z}_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n \left((X_j + \Delta_{n,j}) (\varepsilon_{n,j}(t) + \eta_{n,j}(t)) + \Delta_{n,j} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{S}^-(t, X_j) t^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta_{n,j} \right)$$

Putting

$$A_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n 2\|X_j\| \|\Delta_{n,j}\|^2, \quad B_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n 2\|\Delta_{n,j}\|^2, \quad C_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n 2\|\Delta_{n,j}\|^3,$$

(43) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yield

$$||Z_n(t) - \widetilde{Z}_n(t)|| \le A_n ||t||^2 + B_n ||t|| + C_n ||t||^2.$$

By Theorem 5.2 of [6], we have $n^{-1/4} \max_{j=1,...,n} \|X_j\| \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$. Invoking Proposition A.1 of [14], according to which $n^{1/4} \max_{j=1,...,n} \|\Delta_{n,j}\| \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \|\Delta_{n,j}\|^2 = O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$, it is readily seen that each of the expressions A_n , B_n and C_n converges to zero in probability as $n \to \infty$. In view of

$$\|Z_n - \widetilde{Z}_n\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 \le \int (A_n \|t\|^2 + B_n \|t\| + C_n \|t\|^2)^2 w_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}t$$

the proof of (41) is finished. To prove (42), we put

$$A_{n}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\Delta_{n,j} \mathbf{CS}^{+}(t, X_{j}) + \left(X_{j} + \frac{1}{2} (X_{j} X_{j}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{I}_{d}) t \right) \psi(t) \right),$$

$$B_{n}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(X_{j} \mathbf{CS}^{-}(t, X_{j}) t^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta_{n,j} + \left((\mathbf{I}_{d} - tt^{\mathsf{T}}) \frac{1}{2} (X_{j} X_{j}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{I}_{d}) t - t^{\mathsf{T}} X_{j} t \right) \psi(t) \right).$$

Using the triangle inequality, some calculations give $\|\widetilde{Z}_n - Z_n^*\|_{\mathbb{H}} \leq \|A_n\|_{\mathbb{H}} + \|B_n\|_{\mathbb{H}}$, and thus (42) follows if we can show that $\|A_n\|_{\mathbb{H}} = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ and $\|B_n\|_{\mathbb{H}} = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$. We only prove $\|A_n\|_{\mathbb{H}} = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$, since the reasoning for $\|B_n\|_{\mathbb{H}} = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ is completely similar. From the definition of $\Delta_{n,j}$ in (39), we have

$$A_{n}(t) = (S_{n}^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{I}_{d}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(X_{j} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{S}^{+}(t, X_{j}) - t\psi(t) \right) - S_{n}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \overline{X}_{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\mathbf{C} \mathbf{S}^{+}(t, X_{j}) - \psi(t) \right) - \psi(t) \left(S_{n}^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{I}_{d} \right) \sqrt{n} \overline{X}_{n} + \left(\sqrt{n} (S_{n}^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{I}_{d}) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(X_{j} X_{j}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{I}_{d} \right) \right) t\psi(t) = A_{n,1}(t) - A_{n,2}(t) - A_{n,3}(t) + A_{n,4}(t),$$

say, and thus it remains to prove that each of $||A_{n,k}||_{\mathbb{H}}$, $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, is $o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$. Letting $|| \cdot ||_2$ denote the spectral norm, it follows that

$$\|A_{n,1}\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \leq \|\sqrt{n}(S_{n}^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{I}_{d})\|_{2}^{2} \left\|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(X_{j}\mathbf{CS}^{+}(t, X_{j}) - t\psi(t)\right)\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2}.$$

Here, the first factor on the right hand side is $O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$, and the second converges to zero almost surely because of the strong law of large numbers in \mathbb{H} . As for $||A_{n,2}||_{\mathbb{H}}^2$, it holds that

$$\|A_{n,2}\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{2} \leq \|S_{n}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{2}^{2} \|\sqrt{nX_{n}}\|^{2} \left\|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\mathbf{CS}^{+}(t,X_{j}) - \psi(t)\right)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}.$$

Here, each of the first two factors on the right hand side are $O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$, and the last one converges to zero almost surely because of the strong law of large numbers in L^2 . The term $||A_{n,3}||_{\mathbb{H}}^2$ is bounded from above by

$$\|A_{n,3}\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 \le \|\sqrt{n}(S_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{I}_d)\|_2^2 \|\overline{X}_n\|^2 \int \exp(-\|t\|^2) w_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}t.$$

Hence $||A_{n,3}||_{\mathbb{H}}^2 = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ since $||\overline{X}_n||^2 = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$. Finally, we have

$$\|A_{n,4}\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 \le \left\|\sqrt{n}(S_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{I}_d) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}}\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j X_j^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{I}_d)\right\|_2^2 \int \|t\|^2 \exp(-\|t\|) w_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}t.$$

From display (2.13) of [32], the factor preceding the integral is $o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$, and thus $||A_{n,4}||_{\mathbb{H}}^2 = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed.

A.3 Proof of Theorem 4.8

Proof. Since the proof is analogous to that given in [14], it will only be sketched. The first observation is that the quantities $\Psi_{\ell,n}(t), \ell \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, defined in (29), (30) have the following almost sure limits:

$$\Psi_{1,n}(t) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \psi_X^+(t), \ \Psi_{2,n}(t) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \nabla \psi_X^+(t), \ \Psi_{3,n}(t) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} -\nabla \psi_X^-(t), \ \Psi_{4,n}(t) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mathbb{E}[XX^{\intercal} \mathbf{CS}^-(t,X)].$$

Here, the convergence of $\Psi_{3,n}(t)$ is assertion a) of Lemma 6.6 of [14], and the remaining claims follow mutatis mutandis the reasoning given in the proof of Lemma 6.6. of [14]. From (27) and (28), we have

$$L_n(s,t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{5} L_n^{i,j}(s,t),$$
(44)

where $L_n^{i,j}(s,t) = L_n^{j,i}(t,s)^\intercal$ and – putting $\mathbf{I}_{n,j}^\pm := Y_{n,j}Y_{n,j}^\intercal \pm \mathbf{I}_d$ –

$$\begin{split} L_n^{1,1}(s,t) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n Y_{n,j} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{S}^+(s,Y_{n,j}) Y_{n,j}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{S}^+(t,Y_{n,j}), \quad L_n^{1,2}(s,t) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n Y_{n,j} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{S}^+(s,Y_{n,j}) Y_{n,j}^{\mathsf{T}} \Psi_{1,n}(t), \\ L_n^{1,3}(s,t) &= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n Y_{n,j} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{S}^+(s,Y_{n,j}) t^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j} \Psi_{2,n}(t)^{\mathsf{T}}, \quad L_n^{1,4}(s,t) = -\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n Y_{n,j} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{S}^+(s,Y_{n,j}) \Psi_{3,n}(t)^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{I}_{n,j}^+, \\ L_n^{1,5}(s,t) &= -\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n Y_{n,j} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{S}^+(s,Y_{n,j}) t^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{I}_{n,j}^- \Psi_{4,n}(t), \quad L_n^{2,2}(s,t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n Y_{n,j} \Psi_{1,n}(s) Y_{n,j}^{\mathsf{T}} \Psi_{1,n}(t), \\ L_n^{2,3}(s,t) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n Y_{n,j} \Psi_{1,n}(s) t^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j} \Psi_{2,n}(t)^{\mathsf{T}}, \quad L_n^{2,4}(s,t) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n Y_{n,j} \Psi_{1,n}(s) \Psi_{3,n}(t)^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{I}_{n,j}^+, \\ L_n^{2,5}(s,t) &= \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n Y_{n,j} \Psi_{1,n}(s) t^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{I}_{n,j}^- \Psi_{4,n}(t), \quad L_n^{3,3}(s,t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n S^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j} \Psi_{2,n}(s) t^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j} \Psi_{2,n}(t)^{\mathsf{T}}, \\ L_n^{3,4}(s,t) &= \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n S^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j} \Psi_{2,n}(s) \Psi_{3,n}(t)^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{I}_{n,j}^+, \quad L_n^{3,5}(s,t) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n S^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j} \Psi_{2,n}(s) t^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{I}_{n,j}^- \Psi_{4,n}(t), \\ L_n^{4,4}(s,t) &= \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{I}_{n,j}^+ \Psi_{3,n}(s) \Psi_{3,n}(t)^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{I}_{n,j}^+, \quad L_n^{4,5}(s,t) = \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{I}_{n,j}^+ \Psi_{3,n}(s) t^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{I}_{n,j}^- \Psi_{4,n}(t), \\ L_n^{5,5}(s,t) &= \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{j=1}^n \Psi_{4,n}(s) \mathbf{I}_{n,j}^- st^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{I}_{n,j}^- \Psi_{4,n}(t). \end{split}$$

From (44), it follows that $\hat{\sigma}_{n,a}^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^5 \hat{\sigma}_{n,a}^{i,j}$, where

$$\widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}^{i,j} = 4 \iint z_n(s)^{\mathsf{T}} L_n^{i,j}(s,t) z_n(t) w_a(s) w_a(t) \,\mathrm{d}s \,\mathrm{d}t.$$
(45)

Notice that $\hat{\sigma}_{n,a}^{i,j} = \hat{\sigma}_{n,a}^{j,i}$. In view of (22) and (21), we have $L(s,t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{5} L^{i,j}(s,t)$, where $L^{i,j}(s,t) = \mathbb{E}[w_i(s,X)w_j(t,X)^{\mathsf{T}}]$, and

$$w_{1}(t,X) = X\mathbf{CS}^{+}(t,X), \quad w_{2}(t,X) = -X\psi_{X}^{+}(t), \quad w_{3}(t,X) = -t^{\mathsf{T}}X\nabla\psi_{X}^{+}(t),$$

$$w_{4}(t,X) = \frac{1}{2}(XX^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{I}_{d})\nabla\psi_{X}^{-}(t), \quad w_{5}(t,X) = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[XX^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{CS}^{-}(t,X)](XX^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{I}_{d})t.$$

Therefore, $\sigma_a^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^5 \sigma_a^{i,j}$, where

$$\sigma_a^{i,j} = 4 \iint z(s)^{\mathsf{T}} L^{i,j}(s,t) z(t) w_a(s) w_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}t$$

and, by symmetry, $L^{i,j}(s,t) = L^{j,i}(t,s)^{\mathsf{T}}$ and hence $\sigma_a^{i,j} = \sigma_a^{j,i}$. We thus have to prove $\widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}^{i,j} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \sigma_a^{i,j}$ for each choice of $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, 5\}$. To this end, we proceed in two steps. The first one is to replace $L_n^{i,j}(s,t)$ in (45) with $L_{n,0}^{i,j}(s,t)$. Here, $L_{n,0}^{i,j}(s,t)$ originates from $L_n^{i,j}(s,t)$ by throughout replacing $Y_{n,j}$ with X_j , and this replacement also affects the quantities $\Psi_{\ell,n}(t), \ell \in \{1, \ldots, 4\}$. Moreover, we replace $z_n(t)$ with $z_{n,0}(t) = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j CS^+(t, X_j) - t\psi(t)$. Putting

$$\hat{\sigma}_{n,0,a}^{i,j} = 4 \iint z_{n,0}(s)^{\mathsf{T}} L_{n,0}^{i,j}(s,t) z_{n,0}(t) w_a(s) w_a(t) \,\mathrm{d}s \,\mathrm{d}t$$

it follows from Fubini's theorem that $\widehat{\sigma}_{n,0,a}^{i,j} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \sigma_a^{i,j}$. The second, much more technical step is to prove $\widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}^{i,j} - \widehat{\sigma}_{n,0,a}^{i,j} = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$. To this end, notice that

$$z_{n}(s)^{\mathsf{T}}L_{n}^{i,j}(s,t)z_{n}(t) - z_{n,0}(s)^{\mathsf{T}}L_{n,0}^{i,j}(s,t)z_{n,0}(t) = z_{n}(s)^{\mathsf{T}} \left(L_{n}^{i,j}(s,t) - L_{n,0}^{i,j}(s,t)\right)z_{n}(t) + \left(z_{n}(s) - z_{n,0}(s)\right)^{\mathsf{T}}L_{n,0}^{i,j}(s,t)z_{n}(t) + z_{n,0}(s)^{\mathsf{T}}L_{n,0}^{i,j}(s,t)\left(z_{n}(t) - z_{n,0}(t)\right),$$
(46)

where

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(z_n(s) - z_{n,0}(s) \right)^{\mathsf{T}} L_{n,0}^{i,j}(s,t) z_n(t) \right| &\leq \left\| z_n(s) - z_{n,0}(s) \right\| \left\| L_{n,0}^{i,j}(s,t) \right\|_2 \left\| z_n(t) \right\|, \\ z_{n,0}(s)^{\mathsf{T}} L_{n,0}^{i,j}(s,t) \left(z_n(t) - z_{n,0}(t) \right) \right| &\leq \left\| z_{n,0}(s) \right\| \left\| L_{n,0}^{i,j}(s,t) \right\|_2 \left\| z_n(t) - z_{n,0}(t) \right\| \end{aligned}$$

We have $||z_{n,0}(t)|| \le 2n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} ||X_j|| + ||t|| \psi(t)$, and a Taylor expansion yields

$$||z_n(t)|| \leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(||X_j|| + ||X_j|| ||t|| ||\Delta_{n,j}|| + ||\Delta_{n,j}|| + ||t|| ||\Delta_{n,j}||^2 \right) + ||t|| \psi(t),$$

$$||z_n(t) - z_{n,0}(t)|| \leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n ||\Delta_{n,j}|| + \frac{2||t||}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n ||\Delta_{n,j}|| ||X_j||.$$

Notice that each of the terms $\|L_{n,0}^{i,j}(s,t)\|_2$ are bounded from above by terms of the type $2^k \|s\|^{\ell} \|t\|^m$, multiplied with finitely many products of the type $n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \|X_j\|^{\beta}$, with $k \leq 2, \ell, m \in \{0, 1\}$, and $\beta \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. In view of the condition $\mathbb{E}\|X\|^4 < \infty$ and the fact that $n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \|\Delta_{n,j}\|^k \|X_k\|^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$ (see Proposition A.2 of [14]), it follows that

$$\iint \left| \left(z_n(s) - z_{n,0}(s) \right)^{\mathsf{T}} L_{n,0}^{i,j}(s,t) z_n(t) \middle| w_a(s) w_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}t \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\longrightarrow} 0, \\ \iint \left| z_{n,0}(s)^{\mathsf{T}} L_{n,0}^{i,j}(s,t) \left(z_n(t) - z_{n,0}(t) \right) \middle| w_a(s) w_a(t) \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}t \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\longrightarrow} 0. \right.$$

As a consequence, we only have to consider the first term on the right hand side of (46). To this end, notice that

$$\left|z_{n}(s)^{\mathsf{T}}\left(L_{n}^{i,j}(s,t)-L_{n,0}^{i,j}(s,t)\right)z_{n}(t)\right| \leq \left\|z_{n}(s)\right\|\left\|L_{n}^{i,j}(s,t)-L_{n,0}^{i,j}(s,t)\right\|_{2}\left\|z_{n}(t)\right\|$$

To find an upper bound for $||L_n^{i,j}(s,t) - L_{n,0}^{i,j}(s,t)||_2$, we have to consider each case $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$ such that $i \leq j$ separately. We will elaborate on the case i = j = 1; the other cases are treated similarly. We have

$$\left\|L_{n}^{1,1}(s,t) - L_{n,0}^{1,1}(s,t)\right\|_{2} = \left\|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(Y_{n,j}Y_{n,j}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathsf{CS}^{+}(s,Y_{n,j})\mathsf{CS}^{+}(t,Y_{n,j}) - X_{j}X_{j}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathsf{CS}^{+}(s,X_{j})\mathsf{CS}^{+}(t,X_{j})\right)\right\|_{2},$$

and a Taylor expansion yields

$$\begin{split} \|L_n^{1,1}(s,t) - L_{n,0}^{1,1}(s,t)\|_2 &\leq \frac{4}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \|X_j\|^2 \big(\|t\| \|\Delta_{n,j}\| + \|s\| \|\Delta_{n,j}\| \big) + \frac{4}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \|X_j\|^2 \big(\|s\| \|t\| \|\Delta_{n,j}\|^2 \big) \\ &+ \frac{8}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \|X_j\| \|\Delta_{n,j}\| \big(1 + \|s\| \|\Delta_{n,j}\| \big) \big(1 + \|t\| \|\Delta_{n,j}\| \big) \\ &+ \frac{4}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \|\Delta_{n,j}\|^2 \big(1 + \|s\| \|\Delta_{n,j}\| \big) \big(1 + \|t\| \|\Delta_{n,j}\| \big). \end{split}$$

From Proposition A.2 of [14], it follows that $||L_n^{1,1}(s,t) - L_{n,0}^{1,1}(s,t)||_2 \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$. To prove (32), we need the integrals

$$\begin{split} L_{1,a}(x) &:= \int t\psi(t)\mathbf{CS}^+(t,x)w_a(t)\,\mathrm{d}t = \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(2a+1)^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}x\exp\Big(-\frac{\|x\|^2}{4a+2}\Big),\\ L_{2,a}(x) &:= \int tt^\intercal x\psi(t)\mathbf{CS}^-(t,x)w_a(t)\,\mathrm{d}t\\ &= \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(2a+1)^{\frac{d}{2}+2}}\big((2a+1)x - \|x\|^2x\big)\exp\Big(-\frac{\|x\|^2}{4a+2}\Big),\\ I_{1,a}(x,y) &:= \int \mathbf{CS}^+(t,x)\mathbf{CS}^+(t,y)w_a(t)\,\mathrm{d}t = \Big(\frac{\pi}{a}\Big)^{\frac{d}{2}}\exp\Big(-\frac{\|x-y\|^2}{4a}\Big),\\ I_{2,a}(x,y) &:= \int t\mathbf{CS}^+(t,x)\mathbf{CS}^-(t,y)w_a(t)\,\mathrm{d}t = \Big(\frac{\pi}{a}\Big)^{\frac{d}{2}}\frac{(x-y)}{2a}\exp\Big(-\frac{\|x-y\|^2}{4a}\Big).\end{split}$$

Putting

$$\begin{split} P_{1,a}^{i,j} &:= Y_{n,i}^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j} I_{1,a}(Y_{n,i},Y_{n,j}) - L_{1,a}(Y_{n,j})^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j}, \\ P_{2,a}^{i,j,k} &:= Y_{n,i}^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j} I_{1,a}(Y_{n,i},Y_{n,k}) - L_{1,a}(Y_{n,k})^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,j}, \\ P_{3,a}^{i,j,k} &:= Y_{n,i}^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,k} Y_{n,j}^{\mathsf{T}} I_{2,a}(Y_{n,i},Y_{n,k}) - Y_{n,j}^{\mathsf{T}} L_{2,a}(Y_{n,k}), \\ P_{4,a}^{i,j,k} &:= Y_{n,i}^{\mathsf{T}} (Y_{n,j} Y_{n,j}^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{I}_d) Y_{n,k} I_{1,a}(Y_{n,i},Y_{n,k}) - Y_{n,k}^{\mathsf{T}} (Y_{n,j} Y_{n,j}^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{I}_d) L_{1,a}(Y_{n,k}), \\ P_{5,a}^{i,j,k} &:= Y_{n,i}^{\mathsf{T}} Y_{n,k} Y_{n,k}^{\mathsf{T}} (Y_{n,j} Y_{n,j}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{I}_d) I_{2,a}(Y_{n,i},Y_{n,k}) - Y_{n,k}^{\mathsf{T}} (Y_{n,j} Y_{n,j}^{\mathsf{T}} - \mathbf{I}_d) L_{2,a}(Y_{n,k}), \end{split}$$

straightforward calculations give

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}^{1,1} &= \frac{4}{n^3} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n P_{1,a}^{i,j} P_{1,a}^{k,j}, \quad \widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}^{1,2} &= -\frac{4}{n^4} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell=1}^n P_{1,a}^{i,j} P_{1,a}^{\ell,j,k}, \end{aligned} \tag{47} \\ \widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}^{1,3} &= -\frac{4}{n^4} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell=1}^n P_{1,a}^{i,j} P_{3,a}^{\ell,j,k}, \quad \widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}^{1,4} &= -\frac{2}{n^4} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell=1}^n P_{1,a}^{i,j} P_{4,a}^{\ell,j,k}, \\ \widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}^{1,5} &= -\frac{2}{n^4} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell=1}^n P_{1,a}^{i,j} P_{5,a}^{\ell,j,k}, \quad \widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}^{2,2} &= \frac{4}{n^5} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell,m=1}^n P_{2,a}^{i,j,k} P_{2,a}^{m,j,\ell}, \\ \widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}^{2,3} &= \frac{4}{n^5} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell,m=1}^n P_{2,a}^{i,j,k} P_{3,a}^{m,j,\ell}, \quad \widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}^{2,4} &= \frac{2}{n^5} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell,m=1}^n P_{2,a}^{i,j,k} P_{4,a}^{m,j,\ell}, \\ \widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}^{2,5} &= \frac{2}{n^5} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell,m=1}^n P_{2,a}^{i,j,k} P_{5,a}^{m,j,\ell}, \quad \widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}^{3,3} &= \frac{4}{n^5} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell,m=1}^n P_{3,a}^{i,j,k} P_{3,a}^{m,j,\ell}, \\ \widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}^{3,4} &= \frac{2}{n^5} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell,m=1}^n P_{3,a}^{i,j,k} P_{4,a}^{m,j,\ell}, \quad \widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}^{3,5} &= \frac{2}{n^5} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell,m=1}^n P_{3,a}^{i,j,k} P_{5,a}^{m,j,\ell}, \\ \widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}^{4,4} &= \frac{1}{n^5} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell,m=1}^n P_{4,a}^{i,j,k} P_{4,a}^{m,j,\ell}, \quad \widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}^{4,5} &= \frac{1}{n^5} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell,m=1}^n P_{4,a}^{i,j,k} P_{5,a}^{m,j,\ell}, \\ \widehat{\sigma}_{n,a}^{5,5} &= \frac{1}{n^5} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell,m=1}^n P_{5,a}^{i,j,k} P_{5,a}^{m,j,\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

•		
L		

	d	$n \setminus a$	0.5	1	2	5
		10	93.63	96.85	97.95	99.19
		20	94.63	96.45	97.76	99.21
	1	30	94.75	95.99	98.30	99.20
		50	94.56	95.99	97.67	99.31
		100	94.53	95.35	97.38	98.87
$U(\sqrt{2},\sqrt{2})d$		200	94.52	95.00	96.67	98.52
$U(-\sqrt{3},\sqrt{3})^{\alpha}$		10	34.60	61.41	76.38	89.37
		20	65.42	79.95	90.07	95.36
	2	30	75.86	84.20	92.62	96.86
		50	82.40	87.88	93.78	98.13
		100	87.23	89.54	93.42	98.47
		200	90.82	90.91	92.30	97.74
		10	90.19	90.22	92.60	92.19
		20	94.57	90.10	86.57	85.00
	1	30	93.46	89.83	85.96	85.94
		50	93.42	89.81	87.65	87.05
		100	94.38	91.21	88.89	88.61
Laplace $(0, 1/\sqrt{2})^d$		200	94.54	92.62	90.75	89.29
Laplace $(0, 1/\sqrt{2})$		10	18.61	46.33	64.25	86.19
		20	60.43	78.48	81.34	80.55
	2	30	75.27	89.04	91.34	88.04
		50	85.17	94.23	96.03	95.15
		100	90.56	96.70	97.16	97.54
		200	93.20	97.25	97.58	97.63
		10	91.92	94.40	96.85	99.29
		20	97.82	98.24	98.01	97.27
	1	30	98.91	98.66	97.84	97.20
	1	50	99.13	98.22	96.78	96.80
		100	98.26	95.90	94.82	95.04
Logistic $(0 \sqrt{3}/\pi)^d$		200	96.49	94.53	93.20	94.42
$Logistic(0, \sqrt{5}/\pi)$		10	12.13	37.85	56.30	77.48
		20	43.14	69.10	79.29	81.10
	2	30	56.73	81.06	89.30	90.50
	-	50	69.40	89.60	94.57	95.62
		100	80.17	94.59	98.13	98.63
		200	85.47	96.55	98.85	99.39

Table 4: Empirical coverage probability of $I_{n,a,0.95}$ for Δ_a (10000 replications, nominal level 0.95)

d	n/a	0.5	1	2	5	10	∞
	20	2.57	7.12	15.90	30.72	39.98	53.38
1	50	2.64	7.42	16.82	34.00	45.48	62.93
1	100	2.65	7.46	17.08	34.88	47.28	65.19
	∞	2.67	7.52	17.28	35.56	46.23	-
	20	5.77	15.94	35.47	70.27	93.10	125.90
2	50	5.83	16.27	37.16	76.41	102.65	145.38
	100	5.87	16.19	37.35	77.40	106.51	151.15
	20	9.43	27.03	61.74	125.52	167.47	230.75
3	50	9.57	27.37	64.02	135.16	186.80	267.89
	100	9.58	27.47	64.38	137.79	190.30	276.76
	20	17.89	55.55	137.20	296.36	407.65	581.08
5	50	18.03	56.21	141.10	319.59	452.61	681.00
	100	18.05	56.32	141.21	323.19	462.59	704.12

Table 5: Empirical 0.95-quantiles for $a^{d/2+2}\pi^{-d/2}16T_{n,a}$ under H_0 (100000 replications)

	n	CvM	AD	SW	$BHEP_1$	HV_5	$T_{0.5}$	T_1	T_2	T_5	T_{10}	T_{∞}
	20	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
N(0, 1)	50	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
	100	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
	20	20	23	25	26	25	27	28	28	28	27	27
NMix1	50	45	50	56	55	52	58	60	61	61	60	59
	100	75	81	85	84	82	87	88	89	89	88	88
	20	30	33	34	33	36	36	36	35	35	34	35
$t_3(0,1)$	50	57	61	64	61	63	66	65	63	59	56	52
	100	83	85	88	86	84	88	88	86	80	76	64
	20	15	17	19	18	22	20	20	20	20	20	20
$t_5(0,1)$	50	27	30	35	31	39	36	36	35	34	33	32
	100	43	48	57	50	56	55	56	53	49	45	40
	20	8	9	10	9	12	11	11	11	11	11	11
$t_{10}(0,1)$	50	11	12	15	13	19	15	16	16	16	16	16
	100	14	16	23	17	27	21	22	22	21	20	20
	20	34	38	44	42	35	42	43	43	42	41	40
$\chi^2(5)$	50	73	80	89	83	74	86	86	87	86	85	83
	100	97	99	*	99	97	99	99	*	99	99	99
	20	14	15	17	17	16	18	19	19	19	19	18
$\chi^{2}(15)$	50	30	33	42	39	37	40	43	45	45	45	44
	100	54	61	75	68	65	71	74	76	77	77	76
	20	10	11	11	11	14	13	13	13	13	13	13
Logistic(0, 1)	50	14	16	20	17	23	20	20	20	19	19	19
-	100	21	24	31	25	32	30	30	28	26	24	23
	20	14	17	20	12	0	10	4	2	1	1	1
$U(-\sqrt{3},\sqrt{3})$	50	44	58	75	55	0	55	33	5	1	0	0
	100	84	95	*	94	0	96	90	48	2	1	0
	20	15	17	19	18	22	20	20	20	20	20	21
$\mathbf{P}_{VII}(5)$	50	27	30	35	31	39	36	36	35	34	33	32
()	100	43	48	57	50	56	55	56	53	49	45	41
	20	8	9	10	9	12	11	11	11	11	11	11
$P_{VII}(10)$	50	11	12	16	12	19	15	16	16	16	16	16
/	100	14	16	23	17	27	21	22	22	20	20	20

Table 6: Empirical power ($d = 1, \alpha = 0.05, 100000$ replications)

	n	BHEP ₁	ΗZ	HV_5	EN	$T_{0.5}$	T_1	T_2	T_5	T_{10}	T_{∞}
	20	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
$N_2(0,I_2)$	50	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
	100	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
	20	39	34	32	37	38	41	41	40	39	38
NMix1	50	83	74	68	82	85	88	89	88	88	86
	100	99	96	97	99	99	99	*	*	*	*
	20	20	17	27	20	23	24	25	25	25	25
NMix2	50	38	30	53	39	45	48	49	48	47	44
	100	60	47	77	61	68	72	72	70	66	55
	20	47	45	54	49	49	51	53	53	53	52
$t_3(0, I_2)$	50	83	80	85	84	82	84	83	83	81	78
	100	98	97	97	97	97	98	98	97	95	90
	20	25	22	32	26	27	29	30	31	31	31
$t_5(0, I_2)$	50	49	42	59	50	49	53	55	54	54	52
	100	75	67	81	76	71	76	77	75	72	66
	20	11	10	16	12	12	14	14	15	15	16
$t_{10}(0, I_2)$	50	17	14	29	18	19	22	24	25	25	25
	100	27	20	43	28	26	31	33	34	33	33
	20	48	44	38	46	46	48	50	48	47	46
$(\chi^{2}(5))^{2}$	50	93	87	80	92	93	94	95	95	94	93
	100	*	*	99	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	20	18	16	17	17	17	19	20	20	20	19
$(\chi^2(15))^2$	50	45	35	39	42	43	49	53	55	54	52
	100	78	62	71	77	78	84	88	89	88	88
	20	15	13	14	14	14	15	16	16	16	16
$(\chi^2(20))^2$	50	34	27	31	33	33	38	41	43	43	42
	100	64	47	58	63	64	71	76	78	77	77
	20	26	23	23	24	24	27	28	27	27	26
$\Gamma(5,1)^2$	50	64	53	53	61	62	68	71	72	71	69
	100	93	84	87	93	94	96	97	98	97	97
$\mathbf{E}(t, \alpha)^2$	20	32	28	27	30	30	33	34	33	33	32
$\Gamma(4,2)^2$	50	75	64	61	73	73	/9	81	81	80	79
	100	98	92	93	97	98	<u>99</u>	<u>99</u>	99	99	99
$1 - \frac{1}{2}$	20	10	10	10	12	13	14	15	10	15	10
$\text{Logistic}(0, 1)^2$	100	18	15	42	20	20	23	24 25	23	23	23
	100	12	23	42	<u> </u>	29	- 34	33	34		- 31
\mathbf{U} $\sqrt{\mathbf{a}}$ $\sqrt{\mathbf{a}}$ $\sqrt{\mathbf{a}}$	20		18	0	52	20	12	1	1	0	0
$U(-\sqrt{3},\sqrt{3})^2$	100	00	0/	0	32 06	32	13	24 24	1	0	0
	20	98	90		90	92	24	24	26	$\frac{0}{26}$	$\frac{0}{27}$
\mathbf{D} $(\mathbf{r})^2$	20	20	10	20 51	21 40	41	24 45	20	20 46	20 46	27 45
$\mathbf{r}_{VII}(\mathbf{a})^{-}$	100	59 62	52 52	51 73	40 64	41 62	4J 67	41 69	40 66	40 62	4) 50
	20	10	23	13	10	02	11	12	12	12	J0 12
\mathbf{p}_{-1} (10) ²	20 50	10	0 11	13	10	11	11 19	12	13 20	13 20	13 20
$\Gamma_{VII}(10)^{-1}$	100	10	11	23 25	14 01	20	10 24	19 76	20 27	20 27	20 26
	20	19	14 6	<u> </u>	- 21	20	-24	- 20	<u></u>	21 Q	20
$\mathbf{p}_{}(20)^2$	20		07	0 12	/ Q		0	10	0 11	0 11	0 11
$\Gamma_{VII}(20)^2$	100		י ר	14	0 0	0	9 11	10	11 12	11	11
	100	ð	/	1/	9	9	11	11	13	12	15

Table 7: Empirical power ($d = 2, \alpha = 0.05, 100000$ replications)

	n	BHEP ₁	ΗZ	HV_5	EN	$T_{0.5}$	T_1	T_2	T_5	T_{10}	T_{∞}
	20	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
$N_3(0,I_3)$	50	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
	100	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
	20	39	35	33	41	40	43	44	43	41	40
NMix1	50	89	81	66	91	91	94	95	95	93	92
	100	*	98	95	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	20	28	24	43	33	34	38	40	41	41	41
NMix2	50	59	49	80	66	65	72	75	75	75	73
	100	85	74	96	88	87	92	93	94	92	87
	20	56	53	65	62	58	63	65	66	65	65
$t_3(0, I_3)$	50	93	90	94	94	89	93	93	93	92	91
	100	*	*	*	98	99	*	*	99	99	98
	20	29	26	41	35	32	37	39	41	40	41
$t_5(0, I_3)$	50	62	54	73	67	57	67	70	70	70	69
	100	90	83	92	91	80	88	90	89	88	84
$(0, \mathbf{I})$	20	12	11	20	15	14	1/	18	19	19	20
$t_{10}(0, I_3)$	50	22	1/	38	26	22	28	32	34 49	35	35
	100	3/	28	5/	42	30	40	40	48	48	4/
(-2(r))3	20	48	43	38	49	40	50	51	50	49	48
$(\chi^{2}(5))^{6}$	50	95	89	82	96	94	9/ *	9/ *	9/ *	9/	96
	100	17	15	99	10	16	10	10	10	10	10
(2(1r))3	20	1/	15	1/	18	10	18	19	19	19	19
$(\chi^{2}(15))^{\circ}$	100	45	54 64	38 60	48	44 01	31 00	20	20 02	57 03	20
	20	02 12	12	14	04	01	00	92	<u>95</u>	<u>95</u>	92
$(2^{2}(20))^{3}$	20	15	12	14	14	15	14 20	10	15	13	13
$(\chi^{-}(20))^{*}$	100	67	23 18	50	30 70	65	39 75	45 91	43	44 83	44 87
	$\frac{100}{20}$	25	40	$\frac{30}{22}$	25	22	75	28	27	27	<u> </u>
$\Gamma(5, 1)^3$	20 50	65	22 53	23 53	23 68	64	20	40 76	27 76	27 75	20
1(0,1)	100	05	33 86	55 87	08	04	08	00	00	00	00
	$\frac{100}{20}$	30	27	27	32	20	32	3/	33	33	32
$\Gamma(4 \ 2)^3$	20 50	50 77	65	62	79	76	82	85	86	85	83
1 (4, 2)	100	99	94	93	99	99	*	*	*	*	*
	$\frac{100}{20}$	11	10	17	13	13	15	16	17	17	17
Logistic $(0, 1)^3$	50	18	14	32	22	19	24	27	29	29	29
	100	31	23	48	36	27	35	39	39	39	38
	20	11	15	0	6	5	2	1	0	0	0
$U(-\sqrt{3} \sqrt{3})^3$	50	58	65	Ő	39	20	8	2	Ő	ŏ	ŏ
$\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{v}0,\mathbf{v}0)$	100	98	98	Ő	94	79	51	12	1	ŏ	ŏ
	$\frac{100}{20}$	20	17	30	24	23	27	29	30	30	30
$P_{VII}(5)^3$	50	41	34	58	47	42	50	54	55	54	53
• (11(0)	100	69	57	81	73	63	72	76	75	73	69
	20	9	8	14	11	11	12	13	14	14	14
$P_{VII}(10)^3$	50	13	10	26	16	14	18	21	23	23	23
- / 11 (-0)	100	20	14	39	24	18	24	29	31	31	31
	20	6	6	9	7	7	7	7	8	8	8
$P_{VII}(20)^3$	50	7	6	13	8	8	9	10	11	12	12
- v 11 (-v)	100	8	7	17	10	8	10	12	13	14	14
		L						_	-		

Table 8: Empirical power (d = 3, $\alpha = 0.05$, 100000 replications)

	\overline{n}	BHEP ₁	HZ	HV	EN	Tos	T_1	T_2	$T_{\rm f}$	T_{10}	$\overline{T_{ac}}$
	20	5	5	5	5	5	5	-2	5	5	$\frac{-\infty}{5}$
$N_{5}(0, I_{5})$	50	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
1,3(0,13)	100	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
	20	25	22	31	32	27	33	36	34	34	33
NMix1	50	85	74	50	94	87	94	95	92	90	86
111111111	100	*	98	77	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	$\frac{100}{20}$	32	27	62	48	40	51	56	58	59	59
NMix2	50	76	67	96	89	79	89	93	94	94	94
11111112	100	96	92	*	99	96	99	99	*	*	*
	20	62	59	79	76	67	76	79	81	81	80
$t_2(0, I_5)$	50	98	97	99	99	99	*	*	99	99	99
•3(0, ±3)	100	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	$\frac{100}{20}$	31	28	54	47	37	48	52	54	54	55
$t_r(0, I_r)$	50	77	71	89	88	68	82	88	89	89	89
$c_{0}(0, r_{0})$	100	98	96	99	99	88	96	98	99	98	98
	$\frac{100}{20}$	12	11	26	20	15	21	$\frac{70}{24}$	25	26	26
$t_{10}(0 \ I_{r})$	50	28	23	55	20 44	26	30	<u>48</u>	52	20 54	53
$t_{10}(0, t_5)$	100	54	23 44	78	69	36	54	67	72	73	72
	$\frac{100}{20}$	30	35	36	48	30	46	48	48	47	45
$(\chi^2(5))^5$	50	94	87	80	98	94	97	98	98	98	97
$(\chi^{-}(0))$	100	94 *	*	00	90 *	9 4	97 *	90 *	90 *	90 *	97 *
	$\frac{100}{20}$	13	12	15	16	13	15	17	17	17	17
$(\sqrt{2}(15))^5$	20 50	13	20	25	52	13	10	56	58	58	56
$(\chi (13))$	100	78	29 60	55 64	92 00		49 80	04			04
	$\frac{100}{20}$	11	00	12	12	11	12	12	<u> </u>	13	13
$(\sqrt{2}(20))^{5}$	20 50	28	22	28	30	27	36	13	14	13	13
$(\chi (20))$	100	61	43	20 51	39 77	60	74	83	ч <i>э</i> 86	86	4J 85
	$\frac{100}{20}$	18	16	21	24	18	22	24	25	24	$\frac{-0.5}{-2.4}$
$\Gamma(5, 1)^5$	20 50	50	10	20	24 74	58	71	24 78	23 70	24 78	2 4 76
1(0,1)	100	95	85	83	00	95	00	90	*	*	90
	$\frac{100}{20}$	23	20	25	20	23	28	30	30	30	$\frac{77}{20}$
$\Gamma(4, 2)^5$	20 50	23	20 60	50	29	71	20	30 87	30 88	30 87	29 85
1(4,2)	100	00	00	01	*	00	*	*	*	*	*
	$\frac{100}{20}$	99	<u>94</u> 8	<u> </u>	13	11	1/	16	17	17	17
Logistic $(0, 1)^5$	20 50	15	13	3/	26	17	$\frac{14}{24}$	30	33	3/	3/
Logistic(0, 1)	100	20	22	53	42	23	24	13	33 17	34 47	34 47
	$\frac{100}{20}$	29	11	0	-+2	23		+5	+/	47	
$U(\sqrt{2},\sqrt{2})5$	20 50	50	51	0	12^{-2}	12	2 1	1	0	0	0
$U(-\sqrt{3},\sqrt{3})^{\circ}$	100	06	05	0	75	12	20	5	0	0	0
	$\frac{100}{20}$	16	<u>95</u>	22	25	- 49	20	20	22	22	$\frac{0}{22}$
D $(5)5$	20	20	22	55 67	25 56	20	27 54	50 62	33 65	52 65	52
$\Gamma_{VII}(0)$	100		52 60	07 80	20 92	59	54 77	02 04	05 86	05 85	03 92
	20	/1	- 00	09	05	59	11	12	14	0.5	<u> </u>
D $(10)5$	20 50		/	14 70	11 10	12	11 19	13	14 26	14 07	14
$\mathbf{r}_{VII}(10)^{\circ}$	100	10	9 12	20 11	19	12	10	23 20	20 27	21 20	20
	200	10	15	44 Q	20	10	-24	<u>32</u>	<u> </u>	20	<u> </u>
\mathbf{p} (an)5	20 50) б	0	/		0	0 11	12	0 10	10
$\mathbf{r}_{VII}(20)^{\circ}$	100		7	13	דע 11	0	9 10	12	12	14	12
	100	1 /	/	17	11	0	10	1.3	1.0	10	10

Table 9: Empirical power ($d = 5, \alpha = 0.05, 100000$ replications)

-					
a	0.5	1	2	5	10
p-value	0.0002	0.0001	0.0002	0.0003	0.0003

Table 10: Empirical p-value (100000 replications)

$\kappa_3(a) =$	$=\frac{65536\pi^{3/2}}{\left(4a^{2}+8a+3\right)^{2}\left(2a^{2}+4a+1\right)^{11/2}\sqrt{a}\left(2a+3\right)^{7/2}\left(2a+1\right)^{3/2}\left(a+1\right)^{21/2}}\left(\left(\left(\frac{117321111\sqrt{2a+3}\sqrt{2a+1}}{65536}\left(\frac{1362117632}{39107037}a^{\frac{43}{2}}+\frac{32768}{39107037}a^{\frac{53}{2}}\right)\right)^{1/2}}\right)^{1/2}$
	$+\frac{9003008}{39107037}a^{\frac{49}{2}}+\frac{262144}{13035679}a^{\frac{51}{2}}+\frac{43947161792}{39107037}a^{\frac{35}{2}}+\frac{8042308544}{13035679}a^{\frac{37}{2}}+\frac{68156023424}{39107037}a^{\frac{33}{2}}+\frac{103075274992}{39107037}a^{\frac{29}{2}}+\frac{100834016080}{39107037}a^{\frac{27}{2}}+\frac{84594302576}{39107037}a^{\frac{25}{2}}$
	$+\frac{60691508044}{39107037}a^{\frac{23}{2}} + \frac{37074189596}{39107037}a^{\frac{21}{2}} + \frac{6389004348}{13035679}a^{\frac{19}{2}} + \frac{2773185824}{13035679}a^{\frac{17}{2}} + \frac{3000075335}{39107037}a^{\frac{15}{2}} + \frac{886275569}{39107037}a^{\frac{13}{2}} + \frac{70150049}{13035679}a^{\frac{11}{2}} + a^{\frac{9}{2}}$
	$+\frac{1822035}{13035679}a^{\frac{7}{2}}+\frac{119137}{13035679}a^{\frac{5}{2}}+\frac{85}{99509}a^{\frac{3}{2}}+\frac{325}{13035679}\sqrt{a}+\frac{90414973952}{39107037}a^{\frac{31}{2}}+\frac{341934500}{39107037}a^{\frac{45}{2}}+\frac{05372804}{39107037}a^{\frac{47}{2}}+\frac{4310055040}{39107037}a^{\frac{41}{2}}+\frac{11180055040}{39$
	$+\frac{\left(16a^{4}+48a^{3}+72a^{2}+84a+45\right)\left(2a+1\right)^{3}\left(2a^{2}+4a+1\right)^{5}\left(a+1\right)^{21/2}}{4006}\right)\sqrt{4a^{2}+8a+3}-\frac{4557280077\sqrt{2a+3}\sqrt{2a+1}}{4104304}\left(\frac{237774045184}{1510003350}a^{\frac{43}{2}}-23774045184\right)^{\frac{43}{2}}}{4104304}$
	$+\frac{104857600}{a^{\frac{53}{2}}} + \frac{4194304}{a^{\frac{55}{2}}} + \frac{9500622848}{a^{\frac{49}{2}}} + \frac{1250951168}{a^{\frac{51}{2}}} + \frac{13772677078016}{a^{\frac{35}{2}}} + \frac{8413599202304}{a^{\frac{37}{2}}} + \frac{19360446462976}{a^{\frac{33}{2}}} + \frac{193604464642976}{a^{\frac{33}{2}}} + \frac{193604646462976}{a^{\frac{33}{2}}} + 19$
	$\frac{4557280077}{24491194230784} = \frac{4557280077}{249} = \frac{4557280077}{3150868184512} = \frac{27}{27} = \frac{17092449262400}{25} = \frac{25}{3787061754352} = \frac{4557280077}{249} = \frac{4557280077}{3103648635392} = \frac{19}{29}$
	$+ \frac{4557280077}{4557280077}a^{2} + \frac{651040011}{4557280077}a^{2} + \frac{4557280077}{1519093359}a^{2} + \frac{4557280077}{4557280077}a^{2} + \frac{455728077}{455728077}a^{2} + \frac{455728077}{455778}a^{2} + 45572$
	$+ \frac{-4557280077}{4557280077}a^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{-1519093359}{1519093359}a^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{-506364453}{506364453}a^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{-56262717}{56262717}a^{\frac{3}{2}} + a^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{-3893059}{3572236}a^{\frac{3}{2}} + -3$
	$+\frac{361}{50011304}\sqrt{a}+\frac{2605154412544}{506364453}a^{\frac{31}{2}}+\frac{11125255570}{1519093359}a^{\frac{45}{2}}+\frac{1500451405}{651040011}a^{\frac{47}{2}}+\frac{045444055024}{1519093359}a^{\frac{41}{2}}+\frac{1402151540056}{1519093359}a^{\frac{39}{2}}))\sqrt{2}a^{2}+4a+1$
	$-\frac{63481963221\sqrt{2a+3}\sqrt{2a+1}\sqrt{2}}{16777216}\left(\frac{397764591616}{783727941}a^{\frac{43}{2}}+\frac{5431623680}{21160654407}a^{\frac{53}{2}}+\frac{436207616}{21160654407}a^{\frac{55}{2}}+\frac{246551674880}{21160654407}a^{\frac{49}{2}}+\frac{16777216}{21160654407}a^{\frac{57}{2}}\right)$
	$+\frac{43182456832}{21160654407}a^{\frac{51}{2}}+\frac{133654614470656}{21160654407}a^{\frac{35}{2}}+\frac{89697147781120}{21160654407}a^{\frac{37}{2}}+\frac{172252205867008}{21160654407}a^{\frac{33}{2}}+\frac{186440146500608}{21160654407}a^{\frac{29}{2}}+\frac{17390571188992}{2251182822}a^{\frac{27}{2}}$
	$+\frac{12621837363200}{121100034407}a^{\frac{25}{2}} + \frac{876956342720}{121100034407}a^{\frac{23}{2}} + \frac{38087012979520}{121100034407}a^{\frac{21}{2}} + \frac{1740120190462}{121100034407}a^{\frac{29}{2}} + \frac{6719460948704}{121100034407}a^{\frac{17}{2}}$
	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	$+ \frac{7053551469}{4995} - \frac{10127956063232}{10127956063232} \xrightarrow{31} 139213668352 \xrightarrow{45} 1078067462144 \xrightarrow{47} 2848598917120 \xrightarrow{41} 51845834817536 \xrightarrow{39})$
	$+\frac{1}{261242647}\sqrt{a} + \frac{1}{1113718653}a^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{783727941}a^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{21160654407}a^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2351183823}a^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{21160654407}a^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{21160$

r(a) =	$4238729565\pi^2$
$\kappa_4(a)$ -	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}(4a^2+8a+3)^{11/2}\sqrt{a^2+3a+2}(a+3/2)^4(a^2+2a+1/2)^6(a^2+5/2a+5/4)^7(a+2)^4(a+1)^{14}(a^2+3/2a+1/4)^5}{a^2+3(a+3/2)^4(a^2+2a+1/2)^6(a^2+5/2a+5/4)^7(a+2)^4(a+1)^{14}(a^2+3/2a+1/4)^5}$
	$\left(\begin{array}{c}185906111765413888\sqrt{4 a^2+8 a+3}\sqrt{16 a^4+64 a^3+84 a^2+40 a+5}\sqrt{a^2+3 a+2} \right) (19802228366444765118464_{a^{\frac{111}{2}}}) (198022886444765118464_{a^{\frac{111}{2}}}) (198022886444765118464_{a^{\frac{111}{2}}}) (198022886444765118464_{a^{\frac{111}{2}}}) (1980286444765118464_{a^{\frac{111}{2}}}) (198028644476518464_{a^{\frac{111}{2}}}) (19802864447651864_{a^{\frac{111}{2}}}) (1980286444765118464_{a^{\frac{111}{2}}}) (1980286444765118464_{a^{\frac{111}{2}}}) (1980286444765118464_{a^{\frac{111}{2}}}) (1980286444765118464_{a^{\frac{111}{2}}}) (1980286444765118464_{a^{\frac{111}{2}}}) (1980286444765118464_{a^{\frac{111}{2}}}) (19802864447651864464_{a^{\frac{111}{2}}}) (19802864446464646464646464646464646666666666$
	$ (- \frac{189423662804146875}{46529006931033345}) (- \frac{1}{46529006931033345}) (- \frac{1}{4652900693103345}) (- \frac{1}{465290069310345}) (- \frac{1}{46529006}) (- \frac{1}{46529006}) (- \frac{1}{46529006}) (- \frac{1}{46529006}) (- 1$
	$+\frac{142124794512189343251826622464}{1258096727350689610082}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{5826979313322568108292964352}{1258096727350689610082}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{5826979313322568108292964352}{1258096727350689610082}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{5826979313322568108292964352}{1258096727350689610082}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{5826979313322568108292964352}{1258096727350689610082}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{5826979313322568108292964352}{1258096727350689610082}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{5826979313322568108292964352}{1258096727350689610082}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{5826979313322568108292964352}{125809672735068961082}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{5826979313322568108292964352}{125809672735068961082}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{5826979313322568108292964352}{125809672735068961082}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{5826979313322568108292964352}{125809672735068961082}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{5826979313322568108292964352}{125809672735068961082}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{5826979313322568108292964352}{125809672735068961082}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{5826979313322568108292964352}{125809672735068961082}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{5826979313322568108292964352}{125809672735068961082}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{5826979313322568108292964352}{125809672735068961082}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{5826979313322568108292964352}{125809672735068961082}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{5826979313322568108292964352}{125809672735068961082}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{5826979313322568108292964352}{125809672735068961082}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{5826979313322568108292964352}{12580967273506896108}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{5869697973506896108}{12580967273506896108}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{58696979776}{12580967273506896108}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{586979776}{12580967273506896108}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{586979776}{12580967273506896108}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{586979776}{12580967273506896108}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{586979776}{12580967273506896108}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{586979776}{12580967273506896108}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{586979776}{12580967273506896108}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{586979776}{12580967273506896108}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{586979776}{125809672735068}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{586979776}{125809672735068}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{586979776}{125809672735068}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{586979776}{1258096727}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{586979776}{1258096727}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{586979776}{1258096727}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{586979776}{1258096727$
	$\frac{46529006931033345}{15750308417458890175577472592} \underbrace{3101933795402223}_{49} + 138611297001425344382631876536} \underbrace{46529006931033345}_{53} + \underbrace{27942998903860339337720467216}_{51} \underbrace{51}_{51} + \underbrace{51}_{5$
	$+ \underbrace{\frac{15509668977011115}{4529006931033345}}_{46529006931033345} a^2 + a^2 + \underbrace{\frac{15509668977011115}{45290668977011115}}_{455290668977011115} a^2 + \underbrace{\frac{15509668977011115}{45290668977011115}}_{45529066931033345} a^2 + \underbrace{\frac{15509668977011115}{45290668977011115}}_{4552906931033345} a^2 + \underbrace{\frac{15509668977011115}{45290668977011115}}_{4552906931033345} a^2 + \underbrace{\frac{15509668977011115}{45290668977011115}}$
	$+\frac{704490872277734804863483698176}{2}a^{\frac{67}{2}}+\frac{4463906604}{463906604}a^{\frac{7}{2}}+\frac{5679222185915318272}{2}a^{\frac{117}{2}}+\frac{161688996}{2}a^{\frac{5}{2}}+\frac{14538236644323150732396593152}{2}a^{\frac{79}{2}}a^{\frac{79}{2}}+\frac{161688996}{2}a^{\frac{5}{2}}+\frac{14538236644323150732396593152}{2}a^{\frac{79}{2}}a^{\frac{79}{2}}+\frac{161688996}{2}a^{\frac{5}{2}}+\frac{14538236644323150732396593152}{2}a^{\frac{79}{2}}a^{\frac{79}{2}}+\frac{161688996}{2}a^{\frac{5}{2}}+\frac{14538236644323150732396593152}{2}a^{\frac{79}{2}}a^{\frac{79}{2}}+\frac{161688996}{2}a^{\frac{5}{2}}+\frac{14538236644323150732396593152}{2}a^{\frac{79}{2}}a^{\frac{79}{2}}+\frac{16168896}{2}a^{\frac{79}{2}}+\frac{1616886}{2}a^{\frac{79}{2}}+\frac{1616886}{2}a^{\frac{79}{2}}+\frac{161686}{2}a^{\frac{79}{2}}+1$
	$\frac{46529006931033345}{6847822034336568046765835552} \frac{1418351072429}{542999500682333909942272} \frac{5169889659003705}{1072} \frac{1418351072429}{266964236560762308395008} \frac{105}{2} \frac{36658643371530037231616}{2} \frac{109}{2}$
	$ \begin{array}{c} + & 1033977931800741 \\ + & 86496238983532270324100694016 \\ \underline{s_2} + & 68164570063096914777497 \\ - & 86496238983532270324100694016 \\ \underline{s_2} + & 68164570063096914777497 \\ \underline{s_5} + & 3139144012127128256512 \\ \underline{s_5} + & 313914401282728256512 \\ \underline{s_5} + & 31391440128272828256512 \\ \underline{s_5} + & 3139144012827282828282$
	$+ \frac{46529006931033345}{46529006931033345} a^{2} + \frac{31019337954022230}{31019337954022230} a^{2} + \frac{46529006931033345}{46529006931033345} a^{2} + \frac{1418351072429}{1418351072429} \sqrt{a} + \frac{206569108354665664014319566848}{12765734064419898295898341376} a^{2} + \frac{2069793471986052845657}{2069793471986052845657} a^{2} + \frac{21}{205} a^{2} + \frac{12765734064419898295898341376}{12765734064419898295898341376} a^{2} + \frac{12}{10} a^{2} +$
	$+ \frac{15509668977011115}{15509668977011115} a^{2} + \frac{46529006931033345}{46529006931033345} a^{2} + \frac{31019337954022230}{31019337954022230} a^{2} + \frac{326117721828947}{326117721828947} a^{2} + \frac{326117721828947}{12} + \frac{3261177218}{12} +$
	$+ \underbrace{5169889659003705}_{549755813888} \underbrace{a^2}_{122} + \underbrace{432833812682392993792}_{115} \underbrace{616767662358185891720952447616}_{632} \underbrace{136218522380459322233433523840}_{652} \underbrace{a^2}_{655} \underbrace{a^2}_{655} + \underbrace{136218522380459322233433523840}_{655} \underbrace{a^2}_{655} + \underbrace{13621852380459322233433523840}_{655} + \underbrace{1362185238045932223343352}_{655} + \underbrace{1362185238045932223343352}_{655} + \underbrace{1362185238045932223343352}_{655} + \underbrace{1362185238045932223343352}_{655} + \underbrace{136218523804593222334352}_{655} + \underbrace{136218523804593222334352}_{655} + \underbrace{136218523804593222334352}_{655} + \underbrace{136218523804593222334352}_{655} + \underbrace{136218523804593223840}_{655} + \underbrace{136218528}_{655} + \underbrace{1362185283842}_{655} + \underbrace{136218528442}_{655} + \underbrace{136218528442}_{655} + \underbrace{136218528442}_{655} + \underbrace{1362185284442}_{655} + \underbrace{13621852844442}_{655} + 1362185284444444444444444444444444444444444$
	$+\frac{1}{46529006931033345}a^{2} + \frac{1}{46529006931033345}a^{2} + \frac{1}{46529006931033345}a^{2} + \frac{1}{46529006931033345}a^{2} + \frac{1}{9305801386206669}a^{2}$
	$+\frac{34738436701499146652090368}{4}a^{\frac{101}{2}}+\frac{174328760212840680730477047008}{174328760212840680730477047008}a^{\frac{61}{2}}+\frac{1688466955339563008}{1688466955339563008}a^{\frac{119}{2}}+\frac{497158290836194024223147}{174328760212840680730477047008}a^{\frac{61}{2}}+\frac{1688466955339563008}{119}a^{\frac{119}{2}}+\frac{1688466955396}{119}a^{\frac{119}{2}}+\frac{1688466956308}{119}a^{\frac{119}{2}}+\frac{1688466955396}{119}a^{\frac{119}{2}}+\frac{1688466955396}{119}a^{\frac{119}{2}}+\frac{1688466955396}{119}a^{\frac{119}{2}}+\frac{1688466955396}{119}a^{\frac{119}{2}}+\frac{1688466955396}{119}a^{\frac{119}{2}}+\frac{1688466955396}{119}a^{\frac{119}{2}}+\frac{1688466955396}{119}a^{\frac{119}{2}}+\frac{16884669556}{119}a^{\frac{119}{2}}+\frac{16884669556}{119}a^{\frac{119}{2}}+\frac{16884669556}{119}a^{\frac{119}{2}}+\frac{16884669556}{119}a^{\frac{119}{2}}+\frac{16884669556}{119}a^{\frac{119}{2}$
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	$+\frac{312704398000097372000140730508}{46520006021022247}a^{\frac{77}{2}}+\frac{1209875107414010}{46520006021022247}a^{\frac{125}{2}}+\frac{71283905020400484013570759450}{15500602027011115}a^{\frac{55}{2}}+\frac{49099913781052240700705831108}{46520006021022245}a^{\frac{85}{2}}$
	40529000951055545 40529000951055545 40529000951055545 $38233385349739640837373952$ $_{99}$ 10035973055783223923 $_{19}$ $3197145137334020848222208$ $_{103}$ 3809952 $_{3}$ 25969914892255232 $_{123}$
	$+ \underbrace{-15509668977011115}_{15509668977011115} a^{\frac{1}{2}} + \underbrace{-1033977931800741}_{1033977931800741} a^{\frac{1}{2}} + \underbrace{-15509668977011115}_{15509668977011115} a^{\frac{1}{2}} + \underbrace{-1418351072429}_{1418351072429} a^{\frac{1}{2}} + \underbrace{-16529006931033345}_{1418351072429} a^{\frac{1}{2}} + \underbrace{-16529006931033345}_{1418} a^{\frac{1}{2}} + \underbrace{-16529006931033345}_{1418} a^{\frac{1}{2}} + \underbrace{-16529006931033345}_{1418} a^{\frac{1}{2}} + \underbrace{-1652900693103345}_{1418} a^{\frac{1}{2}} + -165290$
	$+\frac{419618082332069948495257899008}{52}a^{\frac{75}{2}}+\frac{180243105937}{180243105937}a^{\frac{9}{2}}+\frac{526962212776409628068072324096}{526962212776409628068072324096}a^{\frac{73}{2}}+\frac{36833639530496}{36833639530496}a^{\frac{127}{2}}$
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	$+\frac{94677809938011743}{17}a^{\frac{17}{2}}+\frac{5214542156552656}{20205552656}a^{\frac{15}{2}}+\frac{2463825296142995483854372864}{12020202000000000000000000000000000000$
	$6590957911100 = 38295478955583 = 46529006931033345 = 31019337954022230 = 7704249583566767268036608 {}_{97} = 962732137091860887777574912 {}_{95} = 24790840891247406265319616152 {}_{47} = 8685759319100928242854972 {}_{31} = 3101933791660887777574912 {}_{32} = 3101933791660887777574912 {}_{33} = 3101933791660887777574912 {}_{34} = 3101933791660887777574912 {}_{34} = 3101933791660887777574912 {}_{34} = 3101933791660887777574912 {}_{34} = 3101933791660887777574912 {}_{34} = 3101933791660887777574912 {}_{34} = 3101933791660887777574912 {}_{34} = 3101933791660887777574912 {}_{34} = 3101933791660887777574912 {}_{34} = 3101933791660887777574912 {}_{34} = 3101937767460265319616152 {}_{47} = 310193767460265759319100928242854972 {}_{34} = 31019376767676766667676676766767667676767676$
	$+\frac{1033977931800741}{1033977931800741}a^{\frac{1}{2}}+10210101001001001001001001000000000000$





	$30065865844097610871713917733775081472 _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _$
_	$\frac{17597296896929307140625}{17597296896929307140625} a^{2} - \frac{17597296896929307140625}{17597296896929307140625} a^{2} - \frac{17597296896929307140625}{1000000000000000000000000000000000000$
	$-\frac{9205718471251038375510016}{a^{\frac{119}{2}}} - \frac{739198567122030975083750008832}{a^{\frac{27}{2}}} - \frac{3864969250656507885563951104063963136}{a^{\frac{77}{2}}} a^{\frac{77}{2}}$
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
_	$-\frac{17731900403807310241154048}{125}a^{\frac{125}{2}}-\frac{379259119929318400253345920070742050}{1152152120120441052000255}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{202940330404053410499510943210072708}{25}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{37925911992931840025345920070742050}{25}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{202940330404053410499510943210072708}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{37925911992931840025345920070742050}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{37925911992931840025345920070742050}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{37925911992931840025345920070742050}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{37925911992931840025345920070742050}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{37925911992931840025345920070742050}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{37925911992931840025345920070742050}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{37925911992931840025345920070742050}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{37925911992931840025345920070742050}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{37925911992931840025345920070742050}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{37925911992931840025345920070742050}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{37925911992931840025345920070742050}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{3792591199293184002534590070742050}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{37925911992931840025345920070742050}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{37925911992931840025345920070742050}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{37925911992931840025345920070742050}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{37925911992931840025345920070742050}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{37925911992931840025345920070742050}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{37925911992931840025345920070742050}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{37925911992931840025345920070742050}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{379259119929318400253459}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{379259119929318400253459}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{3792591199293184002554}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{3792591199293184002554}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{3792591199293184002554}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{37925911929293184002554}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{3792591192929}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{3792591192929}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{3792591192}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{3792591192929}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{3792591192}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{3792591192}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{3792591192}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{3792591192}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{3792591192}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{3792591192}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{3792591192}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{3792591192}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{3792591192}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{3792591192}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{3792591192}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-\frac{379259}{2}a^{\frac{55}{2}}-379$
	5805705052309709040875 1173153120401953809375 051751730923307071875 56780008562013271527732200572060888 co 181320623106404840865728 co 426677876684484831021405750142012 co 28104704000 c
_	$-\frac{5078000302313211321132173220312003008}{1055255210760023015625}a^{\frac{99}{2}}-\frac{1013200231004049493003128}{113200231004049493003128}a^{\frac{19}{2}}-\frac{420011810064494051021433139142312}{1172152126461052800275}a^{\frac{10}{2}}-\frac{20104104000}{1544803006040429}a^{\frac{3}{2}}$
	$562528119726989076368195584 \underline{123} 32229567063231094902620002797217644544 \underline{75} 119092461775287296 \underline{9}$
_	$\frac{17597296896929307140625}{208560555815458455}a^2$
	$288391572542969111223779762471174144_{\ \ 73} \\ 4108474116306527376637952_{\ \ 127} \\ 148287862423081099752448_{\ \ 17} \\ 998515100517383793664_{\ \ 15} \\ 15647416306527376637952_{\ \ 127} \\ 148287862423081099752448_{\ \ 17} \\ 14828786242308109$
	140778375175434457125
_	$-\frac{647564356745536265492616008972632064}{2}a^{\frac{93}{2}}-\frac{20263121451488386626313810960384}{2}a^{\frac{33}{2}}-\frac{18335619345818081625335306829955072}{2}a^{\frac{97}{2}}$
	17597296896929307140625 1173153126461953809375 2513899556704186734375
	$-\frac{33224321155528120873947010546270208}{10550528120873947010546270208}a^{\frac{95}{2}} - \frac{50012953532118990589388345061343232}{1055052815349836894208}a^{\frac{47}{2}} - \frac{76801286494265762815349836894208}{1055052815349836894208}a^{\frac{31}{2}}$
	$\frac{1955255210769923015625}{17597296896929307140625} = \frac{1665271060166037001405186048}{17597084740550244804070250304103036} = \frac{1665271060166037001405186048}{1759708474055028060662660306085248} = \frac{1665271060166037001405186048}{175970847405502806066260306085248} = \frac{1665271060166037001405186048}{175970847405502806066260306085248} = \frac{1665271060166037001405186048}{175970847405502806066260306085248} = \frac{1665271060166037001405186048}{175970847405502806066260306085248} = \frac{1665271060166037001405186048}{175970847405028060606060606060606085048} = \frac{1665271060166037001405186048}{17597084740500806060606060606070000000000000000000$
_	$-\frac{25371054740505244604070259504105950}{2513800556704186734275}a^{\frac{45}{2}} - \frac{10052719001009571001405180046}{5865765632300760046875}a^{\frac{121}{2}} - \frac{170805904505289000220059008246}{175072068060200020005246}a^{\frac{29}{2}} - \frac{10052719001009571001405180046}{175072068060200020005246}a^{\frac{29}{2}} - \frac{10052719001009571001405180046}{175072068060200020005246}a^{\frac{29}{2}} - \frac{10052719001009571001405180046}{175072068060200020005246}a^{\frac{29}{2}} - \frac{10052719001009571001405180046}{17507206806020002000590005246}a^{\frac{29}{2}} - \frac{10052719001009571001405180046}{1750720680602000200059000020005246}a^{\frac{29}{2}} - \frac{10052719001009571001405180046}{175072068060200002000590000020005246}a^{\frac{29}{2}} - \frac{10052719001009571001405180046}{1750720680602000000000000000000000000000000$
	$157210824206060190420577527455744_{35} \qquad 3657352634887339818533993208233984_{37} \qquad 11190188928765772871374521626509312_{39}$
_	$\frac{2513899556704186734375}{17597296896929307140625} a^{2} - \frac{17597296896929307140625}{17597296896929307140625} a^{2} - \frac{1759729689692930714062}{17597296896929307140625} a^{2} - \frac{17597296896929307140625}{17597296896929307140625} a^{2} - \frac{17597296896929307140625}{17597296896929307140625} a^{2} - \frac{17597296896929307140625}{17597296896929} a^{2} - \frac{17597296896929}{175972968} a^{2} - \frac{17597296896929}{175972968} a^{2} - \frac{1759729689}{1759729} a^{2} - \frac{175972968}{1759729} a^{2} - \frac{175972968}{175972} a^{2} - \frac{17597296}{175972} a^{2} - \frac{1759729}{175972} a^{2} - \frac{1759729}{175972} a^{2} - 1759729$
_	$=\frac{31603041308845672256216177548427264}{2}a^{\frac{41}{2}}=\frac{27525320467362351253352630498000896}{2}a^{\frac{43}{2}}+\left(\frac{3856853439572223595676434432}{2}a^{\frac{111}{2}}\right)$
	$17597296896929307140625 \qquad 5865765632309769046875 \qquad 28155675035086891425 \qquad a^{-1} \\ (28155675035086891425) \qquad a^{-1} \\ (28155675086891425) \qquad a^{-1} \\ (2815676986891425) \qquad a^{-1} \\ (2815676989891425) \qquad a^{-1} \\ (281567698989891425) \qquad a^{-1} \\ (281567698989891425) \qquad a^{-1} \\ (28156769898989891425) \qquad a^{-1} \\ (281567698989898989898989891425) \qquad a^{-1} \\ (28156769898989898989898989898989898989898989$
+	$-\frac{505644835314940565206859873710505984}{2}a^{\frac{81}{2}}+\frac{254236170116778534972492896}{2}a^{\frac{23}{2}}+\frac{168057667698027950846882856801665024}{2}a^{\frac{91}{2}}$
	3519459379385861428125 100555982268167469375 17597296896929307140625
+	$-\frac{250050524501179185492498170722705770}{1750720000000000000000000000000000000000$
	$\frac{17597296896929307140625}{486704443185031035629619092605468672} = 17597296896929307140625 = 125136333489275073 = 486704443185031035629619092605468672 = 51 = 7157867597894452412314131513745604608 = 67 = 1430979518210668 = 7$
+	$-\frac{17597296896929307140625}{17597296896929307140625}a^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{17597296896929307140625}{17597296896929307140625}a^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{125136333489275073}a^{\frac{1}{2}}$
	$12616167506084273359918465024 \underbrace{117}_{2} + 48054333036244 \underbrace{5}_{2} + 3557590633420414924309834165808791552 \underbrace{79}_{2}$
+	$\frac{17597296896929307140625}{17597296896929307140625}a^{2} + \frac{125136333489275073}{125136333489275073}a^{2} + \frac{17597296896929307140625}{17597296896929307140625}a^{2}$
+	$-\frac{2193113496346095341596709288106917888}{2}a^{\frac{57}{2}} + \frac{45638077284505899429815902011392}{4}a^{\frac{107}{2}} + \frac{576460752303423488}{4}a^{\frac{133}{2}}$
1	$17597296896929307140625 \\ 17597296896929307140625 \\ 5865765632309769046875 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $
+	$-\frac{13258597302978740224}{100}a^{\frac{131}{2}} + \frac{171302523770744917562173941612544}{100}a^{\frac{105}{2}} + \frac{63080186320646332979383107584}{100}a^{\frac{109}{2}}a^{\frac{109}{2}}$
	1955255210769923015625 17597296896929307140625 100555982268167469375





References

- [1] B. Arras, G. Mijoule, G. Poly, and Y. Swan. A new approach to the Stein-Tikhomirov method: with applications to the second wiener chaos and dickman convergence. arXiv:1605.06819:1–45, 2016.
- [2] R. R. Bahadur. Stochastic comparison of tests. The Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 31(2):276–295, 1960.
- [3] L. Baringhaus, B. Ebner, and N. Henze. The limit distribution of weighted L²-goodness-of-fit statistics under fixed alternatives, with applications. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*, 69(5):969–995, 2017.
- [4] L. Baringhaus and N. Henze. Limit distributions for measures of multivariate skewness and kurtosis based on projections. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 38(1):51–69, 1991.
- [5] L. Baringhaus and N. Henze. Limit distributions for Mardia's measure of multivariate skewness. *The Annals of Statistics*, 20(4):1889–1902, 1992.
- [6] O. Barndorff-Nielsen. On the limit behaviour of extreme order statistics. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 34(3):992–1002, 1963.
- [7] M. Becker and S. Klößner. PearsonDS: Pearson Distribution System, 2017. R package version 1.1.
- [8] D. Bosq. *Linear processes in function spaces : theory and applications*. Lecture notes in statistics; 149. Springer, New York, 2000.
- [9] L. Butsch and B. Ebner. mnt: Affine Invariant Tests of Multivariate Normality, 2020. R package version 1.3.
- [10] H. Chen and Y. Xia. A nonparametric normality test for high-dimensional data. arXiv:1904.05289:1–23, 2019.
- [11] D. Cox and N. Small. Testing multivariate normality. *Biometrika*, 65:263–272, 1978.
- [12] J. A. Doornik and H. Hansen. An omnibus test for univariate and multivariate normality. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, 70:927–939, 2008.
- [13] P. Dörr, B. Ebner, and N. Henze. A new test of multivariate normality by a double estimation in a characterizing pde. arXiv:1911.10955:1–16, 2019.
- [14] P. Dörr, B. Ebner, and N. Henze. Testing multivariate normality by zeros of the harmonic oscillator in characteristic function spaces. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/sjos.12477, 2020.
- [15] M. L. Eaton and M. D. Perlman. The non-singularity of generalized sample covariance matrices. Annals of Statistics, 1(4):710–717, 07 1973.
- [16] B. Ebner. Asymptotic theory for the test for multivariate normality by Cox and Small. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 111:368–379, 2012.
- [17] B. Ebner. On combining the zero bias transform and the empirical characteristic function to test normality. arXiv:2002.12085:1–16, 2020.
- [18] B. Ebner and N. Henze. Tests for multivariate normality a critical review with emphasis on weighted L^2 -statistics. arXiv:2004.07332:1–24, 2020.
- [19] S. K. Formanov and T. A. Formanova. The Stein-Tikhomirov method and Berry-Esseen inequality for sampling sums from a finite population of independent random variables. In A. N. Shiryaev, S. R. S. Varadhan, and E. L. Presman, editors, *Prokhorov and Contemporary Probability Theory*, pages 261–273, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [20] A. Genz, F. Bretz, T. Miwa, X. Mi, F. Leisch, F. Scheipl, and T. Hothorn. *mvtnorm: Multivariate Normal and t Distributions*, 2019. R package version 1.0-11.
- [21] L. Goldstein and G. Reinert. Stein's method and the zero bias transformation with application to simple random sampling. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 7(4):935–952, 1997.
- [22] V. Goulet, C. Dutang, M. Maechler, D. Firth, M. Shapira, and M. Stadelmann. *expm: Matrix Exponential, Log, 'etc'*, 2019. R package version 0.999-4.
- [23] J. Gross and U. Ligges. nortest: Tests for Normality, 2015. R package version 1.0-4.
- [24] N. Henze. An approximation to the limit distribution of the Epps–Pulley test statistic for normality. *Metrika*, 37(1):7–18, 1990.
- [25] N. Henze. The asymptotic behaviour of a variant of multivariate kurtosis. Communications in Statistics Theory and Methods, 23(4):1047–1061, 1994.
- [26] N. Henze. On Mardia's kurtosis test for multivariate normality. Communications in Statistics Theory and Methods, 23(4):1031–1045, 1994.

- [27] N. Henze. Extreme smoothing and testing for multivariate normality. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 35(3):203 213, 1997.
- [28] N. Henze. Invariant tests for multivariate normality: a critical review. Statistical Papers, 43(4):467–506, 2002.
- [29] N. Henze and M. D. Jiménez-Gamero. A class of tests for multinormality with i.i.d. and GARCH data based on the empirical moment generating function. *TEST*, 28(2):499–521, 2019.
- [30] N. Henze, M. D. Jiménez-Gamero, and S. G. Meintanis. Characterizations of multinormality and corresponding tests of fit, including for GARCH models. *Econometric Theory*, 35(3):510–546, 2019.
- [31] N. Henze and J. Visagie. Testing for normality in any dimension based on a partial differential equation involving the moment generating function. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10463-019-00720-8, 2019.
- [32] N. Henze and T. Wagner. A new approach to the BHEP tests for multivariate normality. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 62(1):1 23, 1997.
- [33] N. Henze and B. Zirkler. A class of invariant consistent tests for multivariate normality. *Communications in Statistics Theory and Methods*, 19(10):3595–3617, 1990.
- [34] K. Kankainen, S. Taskinen, and H. Oja. Tests of multinormality based on location vectors and scatter matrices. *Statistical Methods & Applications*, 16:357–359, 2007.
- [35] I. Kim and S. Park. Likelihood ratio tests for multivariate normality. Communications in Statistics Theory and Methods, 47(8):1923–1934, 2018.
- [36] S. Korkmaz, D. Goksuluk, and G. Zararsiz. Mvn: An r package for assessing multivariate normality. *The R Journal*, 6(2):151–162, 2014.
- [37] Z. Landsman, S. Vanduffel, and J. Yao. A note on Stein's lemma for multivariate elliptical distributions. *Journal* of *Statistical Planning and Inference*, 143(11):2016 2022, 2013.
- [38] C. H. Leschinski. MonteCarlo: Automatic Parallelized Monte Carlo Simulations, 2019. R package version 1.0.6.
- [39] B. Li and G. J. Babu. A Graduate Course on Statistical Inference. Springer Texts in StatisticsSpringer eBooks. Springer, New York, NY, 2019.
- [40] J. S. Liu. Siegel's formula via Stein's identities. Statistics & Probability Letters, 21(3):247 251, 1994.
- [41] Maplesoft. Maple 2019.
- [42] K. V. Mardia. Measures of multivariate skewness and kurtosis with applications. *Biometrika*, 57(3):519–530, 1970.
- [43] G. Mijoule, G. Reinert, and Y. Swan. Stein operators, kernels and discrepancies for multivariate continuous distributions. arXiv:1806.03478:1–43, 2018.
- [44] T. F. Móri, V. K. Rohatgi, and G. J. Székely. On multivariate skewness and kurtosis. *Theory of Probability & Its Applications*, 38(3):547–551, 1994.
- [45] Y. Nikitin. Asymptotic Efficiency of Nonparametric Tests. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [46] J. Pudelko. On a new affine invariant and consistent test for multivariate normality. *Probability and Mathematical Statistics*, 25(1):43–54, 2005.
- [47] R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2019.
- [48] M. Rizzo and G. Székely. *energy: E-Statistics: Multivariate Inference via the Energy of Data*, 2019. R package version 1.7-7.
- [49] J. A. Ryan and J. M. Ulrich. quantmod: Quantitative Financial Modelling Framework, 2019. R package version 0.4-15.
- [50] I. G. Shevtsova. A square bias transformation of probability distributions: Some properties and applications. *Doklady Mathematics*, 88(1):388–390, 2013.
- [51] G. R. Shorack and J. A. Wellner. *Empirical processes with applications to statistics*. Wiley series in probability and mathematical statistics. Wiley, New York, 1986.
- [52] C. M. Stein. Estimation of the mean of a multivariate normal distribution. *Annals of Statistics*, 9(6):1135–1151, 1981.
- [53] B. Sürücü. Goodness-of-fit tests for multivariate distributions. *Communications in Statistics Theory and Methods*, 35(7):1319–1331, 2006.

- [54] G. J. Székely and M. L. Rizzo. A new test for multivariate normality. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 93(1):58 - 80, 2005.
- [55] C. Tenreiro. On the choice of the smoothing parameter for the BHEP goodness-of-fit test. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 53:1038–1053, 2009.
- [56] M. Thulin. Tests for multivariate normality based on canonical correlations. *Statistical Methods & Applications*, 23(2):189–208, 2014.
- [57] J. A. Villaseñor Alva and E. González Estrada. A generalization of Shapiro–Wilk's test for multivariate normality. Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods, 38(11):1870–1883, 2009.
- [58] V. Voinov, N. Pya, R. Makarov, and Y. Voinov. New invariant and consistent chi-squared type goodness-offit tests for multivariate normality and a related comparative simulation study. *Communications in Statistics* -*Theory and Methods*, 45(11):3249–3263, 2016.
- [59] T. Wolodzko. extraDistr: Additional Univariate and Multivariate Distributions, 2019. R package version 1.8.11.