

One of Everything: The Breakthrough Listen *Exotica Catalog*

BRIAN C. LACKI,¹ BRYAN BRZYCKI,² STEVE CROFT,³ DANIEL CZECH,² DAVID DEBOER,² JULIA DEMARINES,²
VISHAL GAJJAR,² HOWARD ISAACSON,^{2,4} MATT LEBOFKY,² DAVID H. E. MACMAHON,⁵ DANNY C. PRICE,^{2,6}
SOFIA Z. SHEIKH,² ANDREW P. V. SIEMION,^{2,7,8,9} JAMIE DREW,¹⁰ AND S. PETE WORDEN¹⁰

¹*Breakthrough Listen, Department of Astronomy, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley CA 94720*

²*Department of Astronomy, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley CA 94720*

³*Department of Astronomy, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley CA 94720, SETI Institute, Mountain View, California*

⁴*University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, QLD 4350, Australia*

⁵*Radio Astronomy Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA*

⁶*International Centre for Radio Astronomy Research, Curtin University, Bentley WA 6102, Australia*

⁷*SETI Institute, Mountain View, California*

⁸*University of Manchester, Department of Physics and Astronomy*

⁹*University of Malta, Institute of Space Sciences and Astronomy*

¹⁰*The Breakthrough Initiatives, NASA Research Park, Bld. 18, Moffett Field, CA, 94035, USA*

ABSTRACT

We present Breakthrough Listen’s “Exotica” Catalog as the centerpiece of our efforts to expand the diversity of targets surveyed in the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence (SETI). As motivation, we introduce the concept of survey breadth, the diversity of objects observed during a program. Several reasons for pursuing a broad program are given, including increasing the chance of a positive result in SETI, commensal astrophysics, and characterizing systematics. The *Exotica Catalog* is a 963 entry collection of 816 distinct targets intended to include “one of everything” in astronomy. It contains four samples: the Prototype sample, with an archetype of every known major type of non-transient celestial object; the Superlative sample of objects with the most extreme properties; the Anomaly sample of enigmatic targets that are in some way unexplained; and the Control sample with sources not expected to produce positive results. As far as we are aware, this is the first object list in recent times with the purpose of spanning the breadth of astrophysics. We share it with the community in hopes that it can guide treasury surveys and as a general reference work. Accompanying the catalog is extensive discussion of classification of objects and a new classification system for anomalies. Extensive notes on the objects in the catalog are available online. We discuss how we intend to proceed with observations in the catalog, contrast it with our extant Exotica efforts, and suggest similar tactics may be applied to other programs.

Keywords: Search for extraterrestrial intelligence — Classification systems — Celestial objects catalogs — Philosophy of astronomy — Astrobiology

1. INTRODUCTION

Breakthrough Listen is a ten year program to conduct the deepest surveys for extraterrestrial intelligence (ETI) in the radio and optical domains (Worden et al. 2017). The core of the program is a deep search for artificial radio emission from over a thousand nearby stars and galaxies (Isaacson et al. 2017, hereafter I17; see also

Enriquez et al. 2017; Price et al. 2020 for results), and commensal studies of a million more stars in the Galaxy (Worden et al. 2017). It joins other programs in the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence (SETI), most of which have also focused on nearby stars (Tarter 2001). But where should we look for ETIs? Indeed, how should we look for new phenomena of any kind?

Serendipity is a key ingredient in the discovery of most new types of phenomena and extraordinary new objects (Harwit 1981; Dick 2013; Wilkinson 2016). From

Ceres¹ to pulsars, from the cosmic microwave background (CMB) to gamma-ray bursts (GRBs), the majority of unknown phenomena have been found by observers that were not explicitly looking for them.² Historically, theory has rarely driven these findings.³ Instead, they frequently come about by new regions of parameter space being opened by new instruments and telescopes (Harwit 1981).

Other discoveries – like the moons of Mars or Cepheid variables in external galaxies – were delayed because no thorough observations were carried out on the targets (Hall 1878; Dick 2013). The pattern persists to this day. Because ultracompact dwarf galaxies have characteristics that fall in the cracks between other galaxies and globular clusters, they were only recognized recently despite being easily visible on images for decades (Phillipps et al. 2001; Sandoval et al. 2015). Of relevance to SETI, hot Jupiters were speculated about in the 1950s (Struve 1952), but they were not discovered until 1995 in part because no one systematically looked for them (for further context, see Mayor & Queloz 2012; Walker 2012; Cenadelli & Bernagozzi 2015). This may have delayed by years the understanding that exoplanets are not extremely rare, one of the factors in the widely-used Drake Equation in SETI relating the number of ETIs to evolutionary probabilities and their lifespan (Drake 1962).

Despite searches spanning several decades, no compelling evidence for ETIs has been found by the SETI community to date (e.g., Horowitz & Sagan 1993; Griffith et al. 2015; Pinchuk et al. 2019; Lipman et al. 2019; Price et al. 2020; Sheikh et al. 2020). The continuing lack of a discovery among SETI efforts looking for var-

ious technosignatures is sometimes called the Great Silence (Brin 1983). If at least some ETIs are willing and capable of expanding across interstellar space, a bolder interpretation of the null results is popularly referred to as the Fermi Paradox, the unexpected lack of any obvious technosignatures in the Solar System (Cirkovic 2009).⁴ Although the simplest resolution may be that we are alone in the local Universe (Hart 1975; Wesson 1990), and others question whether we should expect to have detected technosignatures yet (Tarter 2001; Wright et al. 2018), many have suggested that ETIs are actually abundant but we are simply looking in the wrong places for them (e.g., Corbet 1997; Ćirković & Bradbury 2006; Davies 2010; Di Stefano & Ray 2016; Benford 2019; Gertz 2019). It is very difficult to detect a society of similar power and technology as our own through the traditional methods of narrowband radio searches unless it makes intentional broadcasts (Forgan & Nichol 2011). But like hot Jupiters, might there be easy discoveries in SETI that we keep missing because we keep looking in the wrong ways or at the wrong places?

Considerations like these in astrophysics have inspired efforts to accelerate serendipity, by expanding the region of parameter space explored by instruments (c.f., Harwit 1981; Djorgovski et al. 2001; Cordes 2006; Djorgovski et al. 2013).⁵ Zwicky (1957) advocated a philosophy of “morphological astronomy” in which essentially all possible phenomena are considered, and more specifically searching unexplored regions of parameter space to counter biases.⁶ This approach has been highlighted in SETI to gauge the progress of the search (Wright et al. 2018; Davenport 2019; see also Sheikh 2020).⁷ Breakthrough Listen harnesses expanding capabilities in sev-

¹ A planet between Mars and Jupiter was “predicted” by the Titius-Bode Law. Interestingly, in September 1800, a group of astronomers colloquially known as the “Cosmic Police” chose twenty-four astronomers to search for this planet. Giuseppe Piazzi was among the twenty-four selected, but did not know this when he discovered Ceres serendipitously during the construction of a star catalog in January 1801 (Cunningham et al. 2011).

² For discussion of the discovery of Ceres, Cunningham et al. 2011; the discovery of pulsars, reported in Hewish et al. 1968, is recounted in Bell Burnell 1977; the CMB is reported as unexpected noise in Penzias & Wilson 1965; Klebesadel et al. 1973 presents the discovery of GRBs by the *Vela* satellites, designed to watch for for nuclear weapon tests in violation of treaty (see also Klebesadel 2012).

³ Among the exceptions are the discovery of radio emission from interstellar HI (Ewen & Purcell 1951) and molecules (Weinreb et al. 1963), small Kuiper belt objects (Jewitt & Luu 1993), and binary black hole mergers (Abbott et al. 2016). The CMB was almost found by a dedicated experiment (Dicke et al. 1965), but Penzias & Wilson (1965) discovered it instead before the results came in. The discovery of Neptune – not a new type of object but certainly significant – was driven by theoretical calculations of its perturbations on Uranus (Galle 1846; Airy 1846).

⁴ The accuracy of the name “Fermi Paradox” is disputed by Gray (2015); Cirkovic (2018) on the other hand applies the term to all of the Great Silence.

⁵ The discovery of gamma-ray bursts arguably falls into this category (c.f., Trimble & Aschwanden 2004): as Klebesadel (2012) recalls, they were discovered by a systematic search for unknown gamma-ray transients, although the motivation was to determine backgrounds for the *Vela* satellites’ primary goal (see Motivation III in Section 2.2).

⁶ Morphological astronomy induced Zwicky to search for compact galaxies (as catalogued in Zwicky & Zwicky 1971), including the first recognized Blue Compact Dwarfs (Sargent & Searle 1970).

⁷ We should be careful not to equate parameter space volume to survey value or the probability of discovery, however. The volume depends on parameterization (for example, vastly different volumes are found when substituting wavelength for frequency). The more general notion of *measure* on parameter space is more appropriate; these include Bayesian probability distributions (c.f., Lacki 2016a). A suitable measure avoids the apparent problem that current SETI efforts are worth $\sim 10^{-20}$ the value of an ETI discovery noted by Wright et al. 2018; current and past SETI efforts do have significant value.

eral dimensions. In radio, Breakthrough Listen has developed a unique backend, already implemented on the Green Bank Telescope (MacMahon et al. 2018) and the CSIRO Parkes telescope (Price et al. 2018), and more are being installed on MeerKAT (an array described in Jonas 2009). These allow for an unprecedented frequency coverage at high spectral and temporal resolution. In optical, Breakthrough Listen continues to use the Automated Planet Finder (APF; Vogt et al. 2014) for high spectral resolution observations of stars in hopes of spotting laser emission (e.g., Lipman et al. 2019), and we have partnered with the VERITAS gamma-ray telescope (Very Energetic Radiation Imaging Telescope Array System; Weekes et al. 2002) for its sensitivity to extremely short optical pulses (Abeysekara et al. 2016). But we can also consider exploring observational parameter space too, by expanding our strategies for where and when to look.

This paper presents our motivations and initial selection and strategies for exotic targets. The centerpiece is a broad catalog of targets, most of them unlike those previously covered by SETI, that we intend to observe over the coming years. Although I17 already included a broad range of stellar and galaxy types, the *Exotica Catalog*’s aim is to include “one of everything” to ensure that we are not missing some obvious technosignatures. Also among the targets are extreme examples of cosmic phenomena, to cover the full range of environments, and mysterious anomalies that might yield interesting results if examined closely. In addition, we describe our other efforts to expand SETI in unconventional directions and to enhance our primary scientific results with campaigns observing selected classes of interesting targets. In these efforts, we seek evidence for both ETIs and new astrophysical phenomena.

We present this catalog in hopes that it aids in other searches for unexpected phenomena. A program of observing as wide a range of targets as possible does not need to be restricted to SETI, or to radio and optical wavelengths. Any new facility across the spectrum might benefit by doing a treasury survey using a catalog based off or inspired by this one. We also hope that the catalog is useful as a reference for educational purposes or for early researchers by providing a convenient summary to what is currently known to be “out there” with references. Online notes provide further details on the entries, target selection, and further references.

The paper has the following structure. We discuss basic concepts motivating exotica observations and the Catalog in Section 2. A brief overview of the division of the Catalog into four samples is presented in Section 3. The next four sections each describe the construction

and principles behind each of these samples: the Prototype sample in Section 4, the Superlative sample in Section 5, the Anomaly sample in Section 6, and the Control sample in Section 7. We discuss the properties of the *Exotica Catalog* and its planned supplementary materials in Section 8. Section 9 discusses the need for wide-field surveys to fully span the breadth of astrophysics. We discuss possible strategies for the Catalog and other exotica efforts in Section 10. Section 11 is a summary of the paper. A series of appendices presents the entries in each sample: Prototypes in Appendix A, with discussion of classification; Superlatives in Appendix B; Anomalies in Appendix C; and Controls in Appendix D. Appendix E presents the full unified Catalog, with notes on data sources used.

2. CONCEPTS

2.1. Breadth, depth, and count

Each astronomical survey on a given instrument makes trade-offs. To illustrate the differences of our programs, we distinguish between three measures of the extent of a targeted survey of individual axes. The program must balance the variety of observed object types, the number of each observed type of object, and how long to spend observing each individual object. We call these three dimensions breadth, count, and depth, respectively. These three quantities can be loosely thought of as three different dimensions of parameter space, and a survey searches a bounded volume within that space, as depicted in Figure 1. A program can emphasize extent along one dimension over another, but because of limited observational resources, it cannot cover the entire realm of possibilities.

The reader should be cautioned, however, that Figure 1 is not literal. Each “dimension” can itself be multi-valent (for example, increasing depth by increasing integration time versus cadence versus frequency coverage) and thus could actually be represented as a subspace with many dimensions (as in Harwit 1981; Djorgovski et al. 2013; Wright et al. 2018). Our emphasis here is evaluating target selection of a survey rather than its effectiveness for a given target (see also Sheikh 2020). Note also that breadth and count apply more to targeted surveys rather than wide-field surveys, which may be better parameterized with sky area (c.f., Djorgovski et al. 2013).

Breadth, count, and depth each emphasize different levels of confidence in our ideas about for where we can make desired discoveries. If we are very confident that a phenomenon, such as ETIs, are very common around a particular kind of object, like G dwarfs, we should push for high depth. Depth is essential when we are




Figure 1. A cartoon of the three directions of target selection and the relative advantages of Breakthrough Listen’s primary programs observing stars and galaxies (green), a survey of the Breakthrough Listen *Exotica Catalog* (blue), and some example campaigns. Previous SETI surveys have generally aimed for maximal depth, achieving strong limits for a small number of similar targets, or count, achieving modest limits for a large number of similar targets. Other exotica efforts can include high-depth (red) or high-count (gold) campaigns, but observations of the *Exotica Catalog* will be broad, achieving modest limits on a small number each of a wide variety of targets. Future discoveries may be added to a later version of the catalog (pale blue), or prompt new campaigns that we cannot yet plan for (grey).

sure the signals will be faint, since shallow observations cannot then be successful. If instead we believe that a phenomenon is very rare, but are still sure of the environments that generate it, then we should aim to examine a large number of objects, in hopes that some of its signatures will turn out to be bright. Finally, if we have no idea where we are likely to find a phenomenon, it makes sense to have a broad survey. After all, we do not want to keep missing something otherwise obvious because we never happen to look. Shifting our emphasis from depth to count to breadth allows for increasing levels of serendipity.

The guiding assumption of many previous SETI efforts has been that ETIs are likely to live around sunlike stars and are not vastly more powerful than our own. This kind of technological society is the only one known to exist (e.g., Sagan et al. 1993), and a conservative approach minimizes the amount of speculation piled upon the hypotheses that ETIs are common and that they broadcast brightly enough to be detected. Some surveys have gone for the deep approach by examining a few nearby stars with high sensitivity (as in Rampadarath et al. 2012). Drake’s equation implies that it’s unlikely for a given star to be inhabited now, unless the ETIs persist for bil-

lions of years or have interstellar travel. For this reason, a common SETI approach is to examine a large number of sunlike stars, as with the HabCat of Project Phoenix (Turnbull & Tarter 2003).

Few SETI surveys have sought to examine a broad range of possible habitats. An important exception to this are the all-sky surveys, as done with Big Ear (Dixon 1985) or META (Horowitz & Sagan 1993). In a way, all-sky surveys allow for the ultimate breadth and count because they observe everything in the sky. Even if a new phenomenon is completely unknown, an all-sky survey has a chance to pick it up. In order to accomplish this, however, they tend to have a very low depth. The limited number of SETI surveys of external galaxies may be considered broad to the extent the target galaxies presumably include all kinds of stellar and planetary phenomena, although the diversity of galaxies itself is usually limited (Shostak et al. 1996; Gray & Mooley 2017). As far as targeted surveys go, Harp et al. (2018) is one of the few recent efforts that emphasize breadth; their targets included quasars, masers, pulsars, supernova remnants, and an Earth-Sun Lagrange point.

Surveys are constrained by the cost and ease of access to facilities. Breakthrough Listen has unprecedented ac-

cess to powerful instruments for SETI purposes, allowing our program to stretch out in all three directions. Our main efforts so far have concentrated on the nearby stars and galaxies listed in I17. This is a relatively broad catalog in SETI terms, including stars of spectral type from B to M and class from dwarfs to giants, as well as galaxies with a wide range of luminosities and morphologies. Our reach will be expanded immensely by our upcoming million star survey with MeerKAT, a commensal effort that will achieve the largest count of a targeted SETI search. Nonetheless, its breadth is limited because the types sampled are not too rare, unconventional, or extreme: there are no X-ray binaries or blazars in the I17 sample, for example.

To supplement the large but finite extent of I17 (green boxes in Figure 1), we have engaged in several additional programs that can extend along any of the three dimensions. In some cases (yellow boxes), we have effectively appended an object class to I17 by observing a number of examples, as with brown dwarfs (Price et al. 2020). In others, we have focused intently on a single extraordinary object to a high depth (red boxes), as in our studies of the repeating FRB 121102 (Gajjar et al. 2018). To these efforts, we now add the *Exotica Catalog* (blue box), an effort to cover the full range of known astrophysical phenomena. The broadness of this catalog is unprecedented in targeted SETI, with only all-sky surveys being even broader. Given how little we know about ETI prevalence, forms, technology, and motivations, we believe that efforts along all three dimensions are necessary.

2.2. Core motivations for an exotica SETI program

We have several motivations in mind for observing exotic targets, and these have informed the kind of catalogs we have created:

- *Motivation I*: constraining the possibility of different kinds of intelligence living in non-Earthly habitats. Speculations about exotic habitats in the literature include: life living on habitable icy worlds around red giants (Lorenz et al. 1997; Lopez et al. 2005; Ramirez & Kaltenegger 2016), inside large carbonaceous asteroids (Abramov & Mojszis 2011), in Kuiper Belts (Dyson 2003), inside rogue planets (Stevenson 1999; Abbot & Switzer 2011), or in the atmospheres of gas giants and brown dwarfs (Sagan & Salpeter 1976; Sagan 1994; Yates et al. 2017). Exotic life may be based on alternate biochemistries (Bains 2004; Baross et al. 2007).

Intelligence does not need to be native to unusual habitats, as some locations may draw ETIs from

their homeworlds for reasons of energy collection, curiosity, or isolation. Some phenomena might be modulated or harnessed to act as beacons (e.g., Cordes 1993; Learned et al. 2008; Chennamangalam et al. 2015). The postbiological universe paradigm also suggests that a spacefaring intelligence could be very different from its biological origins, with very different needs (Scheffer 1994; Dick 2003). The practicality of some kinds of megastructures may depend on their environment or the phenomenon they are harnessing (Semiz & Oğur 2015; Osmanov 2016). Thus, there could be inhabited environments that seem inhospitable to us, like the central engine of an AGN or the outskirts of a galaxy (some examples include Dyson 1963; Ćirković & Bradbury 2006; Vidal 2011; Inoue & Yokoo 2011; Lingam & Loeb 2020). This goal motivates us to examine a wide variety of phenomena, both typical and extreme examples.

- *Motivation II*: constraining the possibility that some astrophysical phenomena or objects are themselves artificial, a possibility suggested at least as early as the 1960s by Kardashev (1964). Blue straggler stars and fast radio bursts are examples of classes posited as engineered in the literature (Beech 1990; Lingam & Loeb 2017). Not just entire source classes, but individual mysterious objects or small subclasses might be artificial as well. Examples of these anomalies include Boyajian’s Star and Przybylsky’s Star (Wright et al. 2016) and Hoag’s Object (Voros 2014). Although non-artificial explanations are far likelier and frequently plentiful, there is a small chance that we are throwing away evidence of ETIs that is staring us in the face because it does not fit our preconceptions (e.g., Ćirković 2018). This goal motivates us to examine rare, unusual subtypes of astronomical phenomena, as well as anomalous sources that defy explanation.
- *Motivation III*: constraining the possibility that some natural phenomena mimic ETIs.⁸ Pulsars were briefly if unseriously considered possible contenders for alien signals because of their regular radio signals (for a historical perspective on the

⁸ Given the murkiness of the concept of “intelligence”, it is conceivable there are unknown phenomena that are not clearly artificial or natural, like the biological radio transmissions suggested by Raup (1992). There could thus be a gray zone between this motivation and Motivation I, although history leads us to expect most ETI mimics will be clearly non-artificial.

SETI context, see Penny 2013). The Astropulse survey seeks nanosecond long radio pulses from ETIs (Siemion et al. 2010), but brief pulses are known to be generated by the Crab Pulsar (Hankins et al. 2003), and it’s possible that evaporating primordial black holes and relativistic fireballs produce similar signals (Rees 1977; Thompson 2017). Thus, we want to deliberately seek out objects that are likely to generate unusual signals naturally. This goal motivates us to examine extreme objects and those with nonthermal emission mechanisms.

- *Motivation IV*: using the unique Breakthrough Listen instrumentation for general astrophysical interest. Previous efforts along these lines include our observations of fast radio bursts (Gajjar et al. 2018; Price et al. 2019a). This goal motivates us to examine a wide range of sources, not just stars and galaxies that are hospitable to life.
- *Motivation V*: constraining the possibility that some unexpected systematics generate false positives for ETIs. These might include instrumental problems, problems with analysis, or especially radio frequency interference (RFI). A claimed detection of an ETI, or even an unusual natural phenomenon, will lead to considerable skepticism. By conducting observations where we expect nothing at all, we learn about the behavior of the instrument system. This goal motivates us to examine empty spots on the sky, or unphysical “sources” like the zenith.

2.3. Campaigns and catalogs

Previously we have focused on targets classes that are typical of SETI, for which the nearest members were well-known. In contrast, exotica include the more dynamic side of astrophysics. The list of known astrophysical phenomena, and proposed links between them and ETIs, is always growing. Some of the phenomena that fall under the auspice of exotica include violent and energetic objects that emit bright transients, like pulsars and active galactic nuclei. Others are very faint or small, so faint that new nearby examples are constantly being discovered, like the coolest brown dwarfs and ultrafaint galaxies. Either way, a program that observes exotica for SETI reasons needs to be more flexible than one that observes nearby stars and galaxies.

Breakthrough Listen has two basic approaches to observe exotic objects. The first is a series of short *campaigns*, each dedicated to a particular object or object class. If someone proposes a phenomenon is actually ar-

tificial or claims detection of ETIs, we follow up on it by observing it. By focusing on just a few objects each, these campaigns allow us to peer deeply to lower flux levels, constraining transmitters with lower equivalent isotropic radiated power (EIRP).⁹ Unlike the catalogs of stars and galaxies, these programs are developed as new opportunities and discoveries arise, a more dynamic approach than having a fixed catalog. Some examples are discussed in Section 10.2.

The second is a *catalog* of “exotic” objects, including those that are extreme or interesting from an astrophysical perspective, and those that are just unusual to typical SETI searches. The catalog is a wide mix of objects, but with few members of each type: it is more broad than deep. On the other hand, the *Exotica Catalog* is intended to be a more permanent fixture of Breakthrough Listen. Nonetheless, we anticipate revisions and additions as further new phenomena are discovered and classified.

3. THE EXOTICA CATALOG: SURVEYING THE BREADTH OF ASTROPHYSICAL PHENOMENA

Although Motivations I–IV have different relationships with ETI, they together suggest a broad program of searching the variety of objects in the Universe. Objects of a given type have properties that generally cluster in parameter space (Figure 2).¹⁰ These clusters represent different subpopulations. We assume that a new phenomenon (like ETI) could have three qualitative relationships to the subpopulations: it might be found in typical members of one of these subpopulations; they might be biased towards extreme values of a property and generally be found in the rims of the clusters; or they may appear isolated outside of any recognized subpopulation. This three-way division is reflected in the Exotica catalog with the Prototype, Superlative, and Anomaly samples, respectively (represented by the sources labeled with P, S, and A in the figure).

In all cases, our understanding of the diversity of targets will be filtered through selection biases, observational capabilities, and our theoretical categories. A subpopulation itself may span a wide range of characteristics, and thus no single target may represent its entire subclass. In those cases, we may artificially divide the span into several “bins” and choose representative examples from each bin (as in P1, P2, and P3 in Fig-

⁹ EIRP is the luminosity of an isotropically radiating object at the same distance and with the same flux as a source.

¹⁰ Djorgovski et al. (2013) calls these spaces Measurement Parameter Spaces and Physical Parameter Spaces, and differentiates them from the Observable Parameter Spaces that include the “Cosmic Haystack” discussed in SETI.




Figure 2. Hypothetical illustration of parameter space for a population of objects, showing the relations between different subpopulations (ellipses) and the Prototype (P), Superlative (S), and Anomaly (A) samples. Prototypes (plain dots) probe the “cores” or bulk of the subpopulations; Superlatives (ringed dots) probe their “rims” (as observed); Anomalies (crossed dots) include the seeming outliers. Observational selection effects give us a biased sample of the objects, where unobserved objects (light grey points) may be concentrated in some regions and observed objects (dark grey points) in other. While some subpopulations may be recognized (dark, solid outlines), others may be unrecognized because too few examples are known (light outlines) or they are too extreme to be linked with the other objects (dashed outlines). In addition, some objects in the Exotica catalog may be selected by other criteria than those plotted (blue). A small Control (C) sample (open squares) includes “objects” that turned out to be unreal or mundane upon examination; they may have originally been thought prototypes, superlatives, or anomalies.

ure 2), as we do with the stellar main sequence, despite forming a continuum. This also allows us to impose some constraints when the new phenomenon occurs for a restricted parameter space region within the subpopulation. Objects may have extreme properties because they genuinely are superlative among the actual population, in turn because they are simply at the tail of a distribution (S1) or they actually are part of an unrecognized new subclass (S2); or just because we cannot detect the many more extreme objects (S3). Even “false” or apparent superlatives are useful in expanding the range of explored parameter space, as if we added extra “bins” to a sequence, since interesting phenomena may be biased in the population even while being

common. Finally, anomalous outlier objects may be examples of an observed group whose sources are not yet identified (A1), the first example of an otherwise unobserved subpopulation (A2), or be genuinely unique (A3). As theory and observations advance, these outliers may be explained and added to the inventory of subpopulations. All these different possibilities are interesting for at least one of the motivations for the Exotica catalog, although which motivation depends on why an object is a Prototype, Superlative, or Anomaly. Adding to the complexity is the number of possible dimensions of parameter space, so that some objects may be selected by criteria not evident in any one visualization (P5, P6, S4, and A4).

Thus, to address the core motivations (Section 2.2), the *Exotica Catalog* has four parts:

- A Prototype sample including one of each type of astrophysical phenomenon (Motivations I and IV). We emphasize the inclusion of many types of energetic and extreme objects like neutron stars (Motivations II and III), but many quiescent examples are included too.
- A Superlative sample that includes objects of known types that are on the tail ends of the observed distribution of some properties, to better span the range of objects in the Universe (Motivations I, II, and IV).
- An Anomaly sample that includes inexplicable sources noted in the literature (Motivations II, III, and IV). A subsample includes previously published ETI candidates (Motivations I, II, and III).
- Finally, a Control sample that includes “sources” that are unphysical, like the zenith, or objects that have been revealed to be mundane or nonexistent. These nonexistent sources may have originally been proposed as typical, extreme, or outlier objects (Figure 2). These targets will help us get a better handle on systematics (Motivation V).

In addition, we plan on doing occasional pointings at random positions on the sky. That will ensure we do not miss any completely unknown phenomenon because we are looking at known objects, allowing a non-biased sample of the sky (Motivation I). Postbiological ETIs living in interstellar space are a possible example of a hostless phenomenon.

Despite the Prototype/Superlative/Anomaly division, the *Exotica Catalog* results in only a very coarse sampling of relevant parameter spaces. It is possible that

conditions for the evolution of ETIs (or other interesting phenomena) are very stringent, and they will only be found in a very small subset of the space (c.f. speculation that ETIs evolve only around “Solar twins”; [Fraccasini et al. 1988](#)). Even if the “window” is in the core of the parameter space clouds, the *Exotica Catalog* could easily miss them. This is a fundamental issue with its low-count approach. A high-count survey is needed to constrain these possibilities by covering parameter space with a fine grid and selecting objects from each bin. This grid approach was applied to the stellar color-magnitude diagram in I17, although the grid was limited in extent, resulting in more extreme stellar objects being excluded.¹¹

4. THE PROTOTYPE SAMPLE: A TREASURY SURVEY

The Prototype Sample is the largest portion of the full *Exotica Catalog*. The objects and source classes are listed in Table A1 in Appendix A.

4.1. Classification of objects

The Prototypes form the bulk of the *Exotica Catalog*, and represent our effort to have “one of everything”. The range of things we would like includes both long-lived objects and localized phenomena. To build this catalog, we need some classification system to enumerate the different kinds of things. We start with a high-level classification system with 13 main categories listed in Table 1. These categories have extremely different evolutionary mechanisms and power sources, and they might each have a chapter in an undergraduate textbook or have an entire advanced textbook to themselves. Nonetheless, they are narrower than the three “kingdoms” proposed by [Dick \(2013\)](#). In analogy with the “kingdoms”, we call these categories *phyla*, emphasizing both the shared fundamental traits and the vast diversity within them, and allowing for higher level “root” categories.¹²

ETIs would probably need distinct engineering methods to harness members of different phyla. They span the [Kardashev \(1964\)](#) (K64) scale, which groups tech-

nological ETIs broadly by the amount of power they consume. On the K64 scale, Type I ETIs harness the amount of power available on a planet, Type II ETIs harness the power available from a sun, and Type III ETIs harness the power available from a galaxy, with proposed extensions to allow for levels beyond the range I–III and fractional levels. The power usage increases by a factor of order ten billion between each K64 level. Table 1 gives a very rough estimation of the K64 rating available in each phylum.

There are edge cases like brown dwarfs that might fit in several categories, which we have placed according to convention or our judgement. To the natural categories we have added *technology*. Human artifacts in space are part of the optical and radio sky, and are thus part of the astrophysical landscape now (e.g., [Maley 1987](#); [Combrinck et al. 1994](#); [Tingay et al. 2013a](#); [Hainaut & Williams 2020](#); [Corbett et al. 2020](#); note the inclusion of artificial satellites in [Gott et al. 2005](#)). Our primary aim in SETI is to find (or rule out) other examples of this category. We have also added a category for reference points not corresponding to any physical points. Transients are also discussed separately (Section 4.4).

The phyla, while covering the breadth of astrophysics, are too coarse to form an exhaustive Prototype list by themselves. Obviously, hugely different possibilities for habitability and exploitation exist for an asymptotic giant branch (AGB) star and a red dwarf, for example, or a white dwarf and a black hole. Thus, we have broken down these categories into much more fine-grained types for the Prototype catalog. Some formal classification schemes already exist in the literature, most notably [Harwit \(1981\)](#) and [Dick \(2013\)](#). There are also three classification systems designed as metadata for organizing the literature that nonetheless have played a huge role in astronomical research: the old AAS journal keyword list¹³, Simbad’s object classification system (described in [Wenger et al. 2000](#)), and most recently the Unified Astronomy Thesaurus ([Frey & Accomazzi 2018](#)).¹⁴

We found none exactly fit our needs, usually being too coarse-grained in most respects (e.g., not emphasizing the great differences between interacting and detached binary stars), or too detailed for a subset of phenomena (e.g., the many narrow types of dwarf novae). Nevertheless, these systems did serve to ensure coverage of the gamut of astronomical objects. To supplement these classification systems, we consulted review articles and

¹¹ As a volume limited sample, I17 necessarily would fail to include some rarer types of stars like O dwarfs or red supergiants, although some common stellar objects like white dwarfs and brown dwarfs fell outside the grid.

¹² Of course, the use of “phylum” does not imply a biological relationship in terms of inheritance from a common ancestor. Instead the term refers to the older usage of morphological similarities. Nor do the phyla necessarily fit in a tree-like hierarchy within [Dick \(2013\)](#)’s kingdoms as zoological phyla generally do: arguably stellar groups and the ISM straddle his Stellar and Galactic kingdoms.

¹³ <https://journals.aas.org/keywords-2013/>

¹⁴ Hosted at <http://astrothesaurus.org/blog/>. We consulted version 3.1.0 during the writing of this paper.

Table 1. Phyla of astronomical phenomena: a high-level classification system used in the *Exotica Catalog*

K64 Rating	Phylum	Characteristics	Example
$\frac{1}{2}$	Minor bodies	Solid, small, typically irregular shape, modification mostly from cratering after initial ^{26}Al differentiation	11/'Oumuamua
I	Solid planetoids	Hydrostatic equilibrium; solid, possibly with liquid oceans; round; geology plays key role in interior evolution; thin atmosphere with insignificant mass compared to body	Titan
I	Giant planets	Hydrostatic equilibrium; fluids dominate mass and evolution; larger than Earth; typically high internal heat luminosity; formation by gas accretion onto solid core	Jupiter
II	Stars	Hydrostatic equilibrium; plasma; powered by nuclear fusion or sometimes gravitational contraction; no solid cores; includes brown dwarfs and protostars by convention	Sun
II	Collapsed stars	Supported by degeneracy pressure if at all; luminosity primarily from release of stored thermal, rotational, or magnetic energy	Sirius B
II	Interacting binary stars	Evolution of component stars affected by mass transfer; substantial luminosity from accretion, surface nuclear burning, or shocked outflow; often compact object is mass recipient	SS 433
$\text{II}\frac{1}{2}$	Stellar groups	Gravitationally bound collections of stars, which do not otherwise interact for the most part; little to no dark matter	47 Tuc
$\text{II}\frac{1}{2}$	Nebulae and ISM	Diffuse gases and plasmas; includes flows of matter to/from stars and diffuse galaxy; generally not self-bound	Orion GMC
III	Galaxies	Gravitationally bound, dominated by dark matter; generally contain vast numbers of stars, gas, and possibly a central black hole; gravitationally bound	M81
III	Active galactic nuclei	Powered by accretion onto a supermassive black hole; includes gas flows on and off SMBH, frequently with jets and particle-filled bubbles	Cygnus A
III	Galaxy associations	Dark matter dominates internal gravitation; gravitationally bound collections of galaxies and intracluster medium	Virgo Cluster
$\text{III}\frac{1}{2}$	Large-scale structures	Unbound or loosely bound structures on \gg Mpc scales; includes high-order arrangements of galaxies and diffuse gas (IGM); non-virialized	Shapley Supercluster
Any	Technology	Structures built intentionally, frequently for processing of matter, energy, and information; so far only known to exist on/near Earth	<i>Voyager 1</i>
...	Reference	Sky locations only important relative to observer	Solar antipoint

NOTE—The “phyla” are used to group objects in the Prototype and Superlative samples by shared physical traits. From a SETI perspective, they indicate the need for very different techniques necessary for astroengineering, as reflected in the amount of used power measured by the Kardashev (1964) scale rating of on left.

textbooks, which frequently describe classification systems used for particular types of astrophysical phenomena, and how they are distinguished. Discovery papers announcing new classes of phenomena also are useful for assembling a list of classes. Trimble’s Astrophysics in 1991–2006 series (starting with Trimble 1992 and ending with Trimble et al. 2007) provided general overviews of the entire field for those years and highlighted newly discovered phenomena.

Astrophysical phenomena can be classified according to a wide range of characteristics. An object’s composition, evolution, environment, and kinematics could all affect their attractiveness as a habitat for ETIs, or indicate new phenomena at work. One example is the asteroids, which may be classified according to orbit or composition. We therefore included several overlapping classification systems. Some Prototypes do double duty, acting as representative examples of several of these overlapping classes, to help keep the number of objects manageable.

Some classes of objects are excluded, usually because they are too impractical to observe. These included diffuse “objects” like the interstellar medium as a whole and very large structures like the Fermi Bubbles (Su et al. 2010). In addition, we required classes to have at least one example that was fairly well established to serve as the Prototype. For example, carbon planets, open cluster remnants, and dark matter minihalos are omitted because there have no confirmed examples.

Although our goal is to have “one of everything” for the Prototype catalog, our focus is not entirely even. We cannot be entirely consistent about how fine-grained each category is, as it relies on subjective judgements about how to distinguish types. We have included finer subclasses when they may be relevant to SETI. Among these are the non-interacting double degenerate binary stars, which have been proposed as possible “gravitational engines” (Dyson 1963). We also included some subclasses because their members have unusual properties that might indicate or motivate ETI presence. Hypervelocity stars, for example, might be good places for

ETIs interested in extragalactic travel to settle. A couple of large classes that lie on a continuum of properties, namely main sequence stars and disk galaxies, have been broken up to ensure good coverage over the possible range of environments they can host.

To complete a broad census of the cosmos, we also consider the possibility that habitability changes with cosmic time (c.f., Loeb et al. 2016). Luminous AGNs, explosive transients, and galaxies with immense star-formation rates and chaotic morphology were more prevalent at high redshift (e.g., Elmegreen et al. 2005; Madau & Dickinson 2014), with unknown effects on the evolution of ETIs and their technological capabilities (for additional speculation on some of these effects, see Annis 1999a; Ćirković & Vukotić 2008; Gowanlock 2016; Lingam et al. 2019). We partly mitigate the sensitivity losses over the vast luminosity distances by selecting gravitationally lensed galaxies. Our EIRP sensitivity will thus be boosted by about an order of magnitude for the selected objects. There is also the potential for gravitational microlensing from foreground objects, which has been known to magnify individual $z \gtrsim 1$ stars by over a thousand (Kelly et al. 2018), allowing us to achieve better EIRP limits for small high- z populations.

4.2. Prototype selection

Each class’s Prototype is selected to be a fairly typical example, even if the object type itself is unusual. The reason each object is chosen as the Prototype is given in the extensive notes to the Catalog available online. When available, we generally pick objects that are well-studied and explicitly called a “prototype”, “archetype”, “benchmark”, or something similar, like the “prototypical” starburst M82 (e.g., Seaquist & Odegard 1991; Leroy et al. 2015). This will provide us the greatest context if we discover something. However, because Breakthrough Listen has unique capabilities, these observations will not be redundant with previous studies.

Although not directly referred as such, stars have prototypes in the form of spectral standard stars, which we have used when practical. Classes of variable stars (including interacting binaries, like cataclysmic variables) are named after well-known examples, and we generally adopt the eponymous object as the Prototype. In two cases, we substituted another object if it is much closer and well-studied: TW Hydrae for T Tauri stars (Zuckerman & Song 2004), and AG Car for S Dor-type Luminous Blue Variables (Groh et al. 2009).

A principle we adopted, especially when explicit prototypes are lacking, is to use objects with high citation counts, which we take as a proxy for how well studied an object is. We adopted this criterion by either selecting

nearby objects filtered by object type in Simbad, or by viewing the objects in a prominent catalog for a type in Simbad (Wenger et al. 2000). Then we sorted by number of citations, and examined a few objects with the highest citation count. We are mindful that well-cited objects may be particularly well studied because they host a rarer phenomenon or are anomalous rather than representative.¹⁵

A final consideration is that we pick objects that are easy to observe and set meaningful limits on. Nearby objects are generally preferred. Not only are they usually well-studied and well-known, but in a survey with limited integration time, we set tighter limits on novel signals from nearby objects. We also try to pick Prototypes that have already been observed by Breakthrough Listen as part of its nearby stars and nearby galaxy programs, or other campaigns. Since these have been selected by distance, already observed objects also tend to be nearby and frequently have high citation rates anyway.

4.3. The role of the Prototype sample compared to previous standards

We expect that a list of “prototypes” as in the *Exotica Catalog* will be useful to the general astronomical community. Although no single object can provide much information on the characteristics of a population (which requires a high-count study), single objects can be studied in greater detail with high-depth studies. The literature on a single prototypical object can therefore provide insight into the core traits of a phenomenon. Having prototypes is also useful for theorists, who generally want some sense about whether some new predicted effect is detectable or not. Often the best chance for discriminating theories is found by deep observations of nearby, bright, well-studied objects.¹⁶

The primary literature already contains standard systems and explicit prototypes for types of objects, and the Prototype sample may seem redundant for these. Indeed, if one is interested in a narrower survey on only, say, asteroids, stars, or local galaxies of various morphologies, these are preferable.

Nonetheless, we believe the Prototype sample serves several useful purposes. First, even when we use these

¹⁵ For example, ω Cen is one of the most cited “globular clusters” but likely is a dwarf galaxy nucleus; Cen A may be the most cited lenticular galaxy, but is not representative.

¹⁶ An example is the long-predicted expectations of gamma-rays from starburst galaxies generated by cosmic rays in their interstellar media; the first detections were from the prototypical starbursts M82 and NGC 253 (Acero et al. 2009; VERITAS Collaboration et al. 2009; Abdo et al. 2010a).

primary standards, the sample has collected them in one place for easier reference. This is important for a broad survey, of course – standards for stars and galaxies generally do not refer to each other – but may also be useful for a narrower survey, as there can be overlapping systems of classification based on different criteria (e.g., asteroid spectral types versus orbital classes). Second, as classification systems evolve, even a “standard” object may be listed under contradictory types and it may not be clear which to use (for example, differing galaxy morphology types in de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991 and Buta et al. 2015). We have done our best to choose targets whose classifications are stable. Third, and most important, many of the object types listed in the sample do not have formalized classification systems with explicit standards. References to the consensus prototypes may be scattered far and wide among hundreds of papers, and it is useful to compile these objects in one place. Some types, particularly those discovered by large surveys or at high redshift, may be studied only in bulk with no individual object standing out. This can lead to an “embarrassment of riches” where the literature provides useful population studies but no guidance on choosing an example of the phenomenon.¹⁷ For added utility, we are hosting online notes on the Catalog.¹⁸ These describe our reasons for selecting a particular object as a Prototype, potential caveats for the selection, provide citations to works where they are given as prototypes or standards, and in some cases give alternates that may be better suited for observation.

4.4. *The challenge of transients*

Among the panoply of celestial phenomena, transients pose special challenges for any attempt to observe “one of everything”. Of course, everything in astronomy is transient on some scale: the planets, stars, galaxies, and black holes will all disperse over the next 10^{200} yr (Adams & Laughlin 1997); life, intelligence, and technology too all are expected to perish in a Λ CDM universe (Krauss & Starkman 2000). “Transients” here has an observer-relative definition, referring to objects that evolve in some way, typically brightness, on a timescale comparable to or shorter than the observing program. The importance of transients to our understanding of the cosmos has been recognized in the past few decades,

and the past few years have seen a huge growth in detection capabilities and characterization, from radio (e.g., with the SETI-oriented Allen Telescope Array: Croft et al. 2011; Siemion et al. 2012; see also Murphy et al. (2017); CHIME/FRB Collaboration et al. (2018) for other recent examples) to optical (e.g., Law et al. 2015; Chambers et al. 2016), X-rays (e.g., Matsuoka et al. 2009; Krimm et al. 2013), gamma-rays (e.g., Ackermann et al. 2016; Abdalla et al. 2019), neutrinos (e.g., Adrián-Martínez et al. 2016; Aartsen et al. 2017), and gravitational waves (e.g., Abbott et al. 2019a,b).

Classes of transient events already number in the dozens, with a variety listed in Table A2. They span all of the electromagnetic spectrum and all messengers, all timescales from nanoseconds to decades and longer, and occur among most of the phyla, including some more properly considered Anomalies (Section 6). Although some are mundane visual effects, like eclipses, others contribute profoundly to cosmic evolution, like the r -process element birthsites in supernovae and neutron star mergers. We excluded in Table A2 those phenomena that induce only small variability (e.g., planetary transits), or are continuously ongoing rather than episodic (e.g., variable stars).

If there were no constraints on observations, we would like to observe at least one of each of the transient classes in Table A2. This follows from a broad-minded perspective on the possible forms of ETIs. Both known and hypothetical transients have been studied as possible technosignatures. More speculatively, conceivably some ETIs live on a completely different timescale than humans: perhaps whole societies of rapidly evolving artificial intelligences or neutron star life rise and fall in moments (c.f., Forward 1980). Practical considerations make observing every known transient class in the table impossible. The most important reason for the difficulty is that we have to be pointing the telescope at the right point on the sky when the transient occurs. In order to detect a member of a rare transient class, we would either have to commit our facilities to staring and waiting for an event, or use facilities that observe much of the sky. Future wide-field instruments could be helpful (Section 9). Although there is no such facility in radio above 1 GHz or optical associated with Breakthrough Listen, we have pilot programs with the low-frequency facilities LOFAR (LOW-Frequency ARray; van Haarlem et al. 2013) and MWA (Murchinson Widefield Array; Tingay et al. 2013b) with wide-field capabilities.

Some transients repeat. These are listed in the first two sections of the Table. The hosts of repeating transients in Table A2 represent object types in their own right listed in the *Exotica Catalog*. A few transients

¹⁷ For example, relatively few works examine a single $z \sim 3$ Lyman Alpha Emitter in depth as a representative of the class, despite their importance to galaxy evolution, with an exception being works on lensed galaxies.

¹⁸ Available at the Breakthrough Listen *Exotica Catalog* webpage: <http://seti.berkeley.edu/exotica/>. The notes for version 20E are hosted at Zenodo: doi:10.5281/zenodo.4726253

occur at predictable times since their timing is the result of orbital motion: these include the periodic comets and OJ 287’s flares. We may schedule observations during examples of predicted transients, as resources permit. Most are unpredictable, though, so simply knowing where they happen is of little help in ensuring a transient is observed. We would need to rely on alerts, and observations of the event itself will be dependent on our access to the facilities.

Other transients occur only once, at least on human timescales, as listed in the second section of Table A2. With these, we not only are unable to schedule observations of a transient example, we generally cannot study the pre-transient progenitor.¹⁹ Any hope of observing them requires an alert and a flexible observing schedule. While we may learn of an ongoing transient event through Astronomer’s Telegrams (Rutledge 1998)²⁰ and Gamma-ray Coordinates Network (GCN) circulars²¹, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to slot in observations in time. Furthermore, some transients are so short lived – minutes or less – that they would be over by the time we received any alert. If the event occurs in the field-of-view of a radio telescope with a ring buffer, a trigger or prompt alert can allow us to beamform on it using temporarily stored voltages in the buffer (e.g., Wilkinson et al. 2004). Otherwise, we can only hope to observe the aftereffects of these short-lived transients. It is also possible short-lived transients are technosignatures of a larger ETI society that is still observable after the event itself is over. Hence, we include anomalous transients as objects in their own right in the Anomaly sample (Section 6).

Finally, a few transients are common enough that they invariably will occur during our observations, as listed in the final part of the table. Since these are generally not coming from the sources we are interested in observing, they in fact have the opposite problem of most transients: they are a form of interference that we cannot avoid even though we want to.

5. THE SUPERLATIVE SAMPLE

The Superlative sample expands the reach of the *Exotica Catalog* survey across a wider range of parameter space (Section 3). These are objects that are among the most extreme in at least one major physical property, the record-breakers. Perhaps ETIs, or unusual natu-

ral phenomena, are biased to very atypical examples of space objects, like the hottest planets, the lowest mass stars, or the richest galaxy clusters. Extreme physical properties can also be a sign of different evolutionary histories or even new subtypes of phenomena. For example, pulsars with very short rotation periods are the result of a mass transfer phase during their evolution (Alpar et al. 1982). Table B1 in Appendix B lists the members of the Superlative sample, and the ways they are superlative.

5.1. Classification and Superlatives

Classification is an important factor in determining whether an object is really a superlative at the tail end of its class’s properties, the prototype of a new class, or even a unique anomaly. In astronomy, some kinds of objects fall on a continuum in terms of properties, but are conventionally delineated by an arbitrarily chosen range. An example is the spectral type of a star: there is a continuous range of temperature, but the boundaries of the spectral types themselves do not directly map onto different evolutionary trajectories or habitability. Objects at the boundary of these classes have no special significance. We thus focus on the superlatives of easily distinguishable classes. Thus, we give superlatives for the phyla (Section 4.1), which is our coarsest level of classification. We include superlatives for some intermediate level classes, particularly within the Solar System and by distinguishing white dwarfs, neutron stars, and black holes. Yet even the use of phyla does not completely solve the problem of overlapping categories. The smallest sub-brown dwarfs have the same mass as the biggest giant planets (as is the case for the coldest member of the star phylum listed); galaxies overlap with stellar associations, with globular clusters forming a continuum with ultra-compact dwarf galaxies.

An object may have properties that are so outstanding that we consider it an Anomaly. The basic distinction we make is that a Superlative should still be clearly a member of its class, governed by the same physical processes. Superlatives are drawn for the tail of a class’s distribution, while Anomalies can appear to lie far outside it, inexplicably so.

5.2. Properties considered: Superlative in what way?

An object can stand out in one of many possible quantities. In principle, there are hundreds: the abundance of every element, absolute magnitude in every possible filter band or frequency, quantities describing internal structure, and velocities in different frames are all possibilities.

Additionally, we could take advantage of known empirical relations between quantities, and include outliers

¹⁹ For example, if some peculiar supernovae actually herald the self-destruction of a Type II ETI, it would be too late to detect them once the supernova went off.

²⁰ <http://www.astronomerstelegam.org/>

²¹ https://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/gcn3_archive.html

from these relations as “superlative”. These superlatives with respect to relations can actually indicate new object classes: objects lying far off the stellar main sequence are the giants and white dwarfs, both fundamentally different from dwarf stars, for example.

There are a great many such relations, however. The observable and physical properties of objects generally fall into “clouds” surrounding manifolds describing these relations in high-dimensional parameter space (Section 3; Djorgovski et al. 2013). If we wish to include the entire “rim” of each cloud, the “superlatives” would include *all* of the objects forming the boundary of that cloud, lying the furthest away from the manifold. Indeed, searching for such outliers is one proposed method to search for new phenomena (Djorgovski et al. 2001). The number of possible combinations of variables is vast, each corresponding to one small piece of the boundary, and we conceivably could include them all. It is impractical to select and observe all such objects.

We avoid proliferation by focusing on a small number of quantities that describe the basic properties of objects, which usually apply to most to all the phyla. We consider the basic characteristics to be *size*, quantified by radius, mass, and number of members; *composition*, quantified by density and metallicity; and *energetics*, quantified by bolometric luminosity and temperature. When appropriate, mainly for objects with well-characterized orbits like planets, we include *kinematics* or *position*, quantified by space velocity and orbital semi-major axis and/or period. Finally, we include *era*, in the form of ages for planets and stars, and redshift for larger or brighter objects like galaxies. Some additional properties are included for certain object classes when they fundamentally regulate an object’s behavior and are easy to find in the literature. For planets, we also consider some basic characteristics of the host star (mass, luminosity, surface temperature, and metallicity). Magnetic fields and rotation periods are included for neutron stars. An organizational parameter (number of levels in a system’s hierarchy) is also included for multiple stars.

5.3. Finding Superlatives in the literature

We used several methods to try to find Superlative objects. Starting a search for a Superlative involves reading through literature (generally as part of the Prototype and Anomaly search) and being alert for mentions of record-breaking objects. Sometimes the search started outside the peer-reviewed literature. Wikipedia

maintains several lists of Superlative objects²²; although we do not consider its entries as final, the objects it lists are generally among the most extreme, providing a place to start, and it includes links to relevant papers. Sorting major catalogs on VizieR²³ (Ochsenbein et al. 2000) or exoplanet.eu²⁴ (Schneider et al. 2011) by key quantities also gave us candidates. When we find a paper describing a candidate Superlative, we look at citing papers in the Astrophysical Data System (ADS; Kurtz et al. 2000), as anything that supersedes the object is likely to cite the previous record holder. We also look for papers describing previous record holders, and the papers that cite those, especially if the Superlative’s measurements are uncertain.

Ideally, we wish to use objects that are explicitly described as being superlative in some regard in the literature. These can be announced by papers in journals like *Nature* or *Science*.²⁵ In other cases, the record may not be heralded prominently as the subject of a paper, but we found it mentioned in a paper while searching for Prototypes or Anomalies: the most massive supercluster (Shapley), for example (Kocevski & Ebeling 2006, while researching the Great Attractor). Review papers or compendiums sometimes highlight objects that are outliers and can be useful in this regard.²⁶ Trimble’s Astrophysics in 1991–2006 series contained sections explicitly highlighting extreme objects (even referring to them as “Superlatives” in Trimble 1992), although in practice we found many of them had either been included already or were superseded since publication.²⁷

Many cases are less certain. Papers sometimes proclaim the extraordinary nature of an object without ex-

²² These lists and others are themselves listed at https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lists_of_astronomical_objects. Examples include the “List of exceptional asteroids” (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_exceptional_asteroids), the “List of most luminous stars” (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_most_luminous_stars), and the “List of most distant astronomical objects” (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_the_most_distant_astronomical_objects).

²³ <http://vizier.u-strasbg.fr/>

²⁴ <http://exoplanet.eu/catalog/>

²⁵ For example: the hottest planet (Gaudi et al. 2017), the star with the shortest Galactic orbital period (Meyer et al. 2012), and the most distant quasar (Bañados et al. 2018). In other journals, the lowest albedo exoplanet (Kipping & Spiegel 2011), the slowest spinning radio pulsar (Tan et al. 2018), and the densest galaxy (Sandoval et al. 2015).

²⁶ As when Lattimer (2019) mentions the possibility that black widow neutron stars are the most massive; MACS J0717.5+34 as having the brightest radio halo in van Weeren et al. (2019); 65 UMa as being a rare septuplet system in Tokovinin (2018).

²⁷ We included HD 97950 as the densest Galactic open cluster, after finding it in Trimble & McFadden (1997).

PLICITLY stating it is superlative. One case is the Superlative R136 a1 is noted to have an extraordinary mass ($\sim 300 M_{\odot}$), the highest in recent literature, without being explicitly said to be the most massive known star (Crowther et al. 2010).

Sometimes it seems that no one keeps track of certain extremes, and measurements may not be all that reliable. While there are many cases of extremely low metallicity stars or galaxies being touted (e.g., for Superlatives in our catalog, Caffau et al. 2011; Keller et al. 2014; Simon et al. 2015; Izotov et al. 2018), few papers describe very high metallicity stars or galaxies (a few exceptions are Trevisan et al. 2011; Do et al. 2018), and none proclaim a single Superlative. As we do want to include a superlative high metallicity star, we looked through several catalogs listing stellar metallicities: Cayrel de Strobel et al. (2001), Hypatia (Hinkel et al. 2014), Bensby et al. (2014), PASTEL (Soubiran et al. 2016), CATSUP (Hinkel et al. 2017), PTPS (Dekaszymankiewicz et al. 2018), and Aguilera-Gómez et al. (2018). These often gave contradictory measurements; a star that is the highest metallicity in one is relatively normal in another. In this case, we looked for a star that was frequently among the highest metallicities, and reliably supersolar metallicity, settling on 14 Her (Gonzalez et al. 1999 comments on its high metallicity explicitly, supporting its selection). As our goal is to sample the range of astrophysical phenomena, we thus prefer reliable outliers over including the most extreme objects with unreliable measurements. Very large catalogs often include these extreme superlatives, but do not comment on them. For example, PASTEL contains dozens of stars listed with $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] > +1.0$, although these results are not replicated in other catalogs. We disregard these cases as likely being due to model inadequacies or measurement errors.

Some superlatives are not included at all. This is particularly the case when one class blends into another and the superlative depends simply on where the boundary is set, with many objects lying upon the border consistent with measurement errors. The most massive planet and the least massive brown dwarf are excluded superlatives for this reason (see the extensive discussion of the issue of classification in Dick 2013). Other reasons include the case when the potential Superlatives are heavily disputed, or when the objects have not been localized enough to follow up on – both circumstances applying to the most massive stellar black holes, because of difficulties in modeling X-ray binaries and the lack of counterparts for gravitational wave events.

Of course, we cannot guarantee that the Superlatives listed here are literally the most record-breaking objects

in the Universe. New record breakers are being discovered all the time. We expect to update the list as these are discovered.

5.4. On “true” and “apparent” Superlatives

An important caveat is that the diversity of known objects is limited by our observational capabilities (Section 3). Whole regions of parameter space are inaccessible, and thus some Superlative objects will change with time. This is particularly true when considering the smallest, least luminous, or furthest objects. Indeed, these currently unobservable objects may be both interesting to SETI and actually dominate the population: consider the case of old neutron stars, which are expected to be so numerous that one is within ~ 10 pc (e.g., Ofek 2009), would have several properties interesting for astroengineering without the intense radiation environment, and any example of which would qualify as Superlative. If we are looking for objects that stand out because they are engineered (as in Motivation II), or testing the hypothesis that ETIs are attracted to the most extreme objects only, then apparent Superlatives based on observational selection effects are of little use. Nonetheless, as mentioned in Section 3, merely apparent Superlatives are useful in that they expand the range of parameter space sampled. Put another way, if observational selection effects are hiding many sources, then the average source that we know about – and thus its representative in the Prototype sample – are actually atypical and the listed Superlative lets us probe a more typical example. Apparent Superlatives allow us to search for new phenomena that are biased towards certain environments (Motivation I) but not requiring the most extreme conditions possible (ETIs who build structures around all neutron stars below a certain spindown luminosity, for example).

Still, because they favor different motivations and test different hypotheses, we indicate our estimation of whether a Superlative is likely to be truly extreme among the cosmic population or not with the “True?” column in Table B1. The “true” superlatives include those where we would expect to be able to detect an object that was much more extreme (e.g., the most massive galaxy cluster), or when there are good theoretical reasons to expect there are no objects that are much more extreme (e.g., the faintest hydrogen-burning star). In some cases, we expect the listed Superlative to be the most extreme within the Solar System, Galaxy, or Local Group but are uncertain if more extreme examples exist too far away to probe; these are indicated by special symbols. In many cases, though, we are unsure whether

the Superlative is “true” or not, and these are marked with a question mark.

6. THE ANOMALY SAMPLE

Anomalies are phenomena with observable properties that do not easily fit into current theories, not even roughly. Upon discovery, they frequently spur theorists to develop many new hypotheses and explore new mechanisms that might operate in the Universe (as happened with gamma-ray bursts and continues to happen with fast radio bursts; Nemiroff 1994; Platts et al. 2019). Wild early speculation about alien engineering also tends to be associated with Anomalies, although to-date natural explanations have been eventually found. Nonetheless, it has often been encouraged to examine Anomalies for evidence of ETIs and any distinct signs of alien intelligence will be an Anomaly upon discovery (Djorgovski 2000; Davies 2010). Examining these anomalies does not only have to reflect a belief that they are alien engineering. Anomalies may also induce natural phenomena that mimic ETIs and are interesting in that regard (Motivation III). By examining these anomalies, we get a better sense of what to expect from the non-ETI Universe, and a better sense of what isn’t normal. Thus the presence of an object in the Anomaly sample is *not* a statement of belief about artificiality; many of the objects are clearly natural if unusual, like Iapetus.

The targets in our Anomaly sample are listed in Tables C1 and C2 in Appendix C.

6.1. *Types of Anomalies*

We use a supplementary classification scheme for Anomalies that group them by the nature of their anomalousness.²⁸ Our hope is that it will aid both further searches for anomalies in diverse datasets and inspire new ideas about technosignatures (for example, as Class I or V anomalies).

This system has six classes.

- Class 0 objects are those that are not anomalous from an astrophysical point of view, although they can be exotic in terms of SETI. We define Class 0 anomalies as a likely member of a known, explained population even if classification is ambiguous, with no evidence for unknown phenomena at work. Almost all of the Prototypes and Superlatives fall in Class 0. An object can still have ambiguous classification without actually being mysterious; Pluto in the early 2000s was Class

0. Alternatively, an object that is unidentified because it has multiple good explanations remains Class 0: IRAS 19312+1950 may be either a young star or an AGB, but seems readily explainable (Nakashima et al. 2011; Cordiner et al. 2016).

- Class I anomalies are likely members of a known, explained population, with normal intrinsic properties, but located in an anomalous environment or context. In other words, the object itself is not mysterious, only where it is. This also includes objects with inexplicable kinematics. Hot Jupiters were Class I anomalies until it was understood that gas giants could migrate (Mayor & Queloz 1995; Guillot et al. 1996).
- Class II anomalies are likely members of a known, explained class, but whose properties quantitatively fall far outside the usual distribution. Class II anomalies are qualitatively similar to other objects of the same type. Millisecond pulsars, for a short time after discovery, were Class II because of their extreme spin (Backer et al. 1982). Candidate megastructures identified by infrared emission in excess of the expected value (as identified by the far-infrared radio correlation of galaxies, for example; Garrett 2015; Zackrisson et al. 2015) or abnormally faint optical emission (Annis 1999b; Zackrisson et al. 2018) are examples in a SETI context.
- Class III anomalies are likely members of a known, explained class, but displaying a qualitatively new and unexplained phenomenon. These phenomena generally are not even observed around most (or any other) members of the class. Saturn’s rings are a classical example, as their nature as debris belts took centuries to understand despite Saturn clearly being a planet (Dick 2013). Candidate SETI signals found in targeted surveys of nearby stars through the traditional methods of ultranarrowband radio emission or nanosecond optical pulses would be Class III anomalies.
- Class IV anomalies are members of an unexplained or unknown phenomenon class. They may be identified only in one waveband, without counterparts in any others. Current explanations generally have plausibility issues. Many of the famous discoveries of the past century were originally Class IV anomalies: among them, Galactic synchrotron emission (Jansky 1933), quasars (Schmidt 1963), pulsars (Hewish et al. 1968), gamma-ray bursts (Klebesadel et al. 1973; Nemiroff 1994), and now

²⁸ For previous anomaly classification schemes, see Cordes (2006) and Norris (2017).

fast radio bursts (Lorimer et al. 2007; Platts et al. 2019). They are, however, relatively rare. Candidate SETI signals found in wide-field surveys are frequently Class IV, including the Wow! signal (Dixon 1985; Gray & Ellingsen 2002).

- Class V anomalies are objects or phenomena that appear to defy known laws of physics, whether the object itself is identifiable or not. These are extremely rare. In very special cases they may herald an upheaval in our understanding, as the Galilean satellites and solar neutrino problem did, but usually they are simply in error (e.g. the notorious case of candidate superluminal neutrinos in an early version of Adam et al. 2012, which was a non-localized Class V anomaly).

These classes, all else being equal, roughly increase in order of mysteriousness. Judgements about the classification of an anomaly will vary with new data and different emphasis. For example, in the 18th century, was Saturn a planet with the anomalous feature of rings (Class III), or were the rings themselves an anomalous object (Class IV)? Other considerations are whether an Anomaly has some theoretical explanation, if a problematic one, and the confidence that the anomaly is real. We list those with partial explanations as Class 0/ x in Table C1 (where x is in the range I–V). We avoid purported anomalies whose existence that we judge have been rejected by the astronomical community.

6.2. Collecting Anomalies

The search for Anomalies in the literature is even more associative and subjective than finding Prototypes and Superlatives. While there is plenty of discussion of mysterious classes of objects like FRBs, to our knowledge, there hasn’t been an attempt to create a list of anomalous objects. An Anomaly might consist of a single object or event that doesn’t attract a lot of outside attention, especially if it is poorly characterized.

A few Anomalies are well known in the SETI literature, including KIC 8462852 (Boyajian et al. 2016; Wright et al. 2016; Abeysekera et al. 2016; Wright 2018a; Lipman et al. 2019) and 1I/’Oumuamua (Bialy & Loeb 2018; Enriquez et al. 2018; Tingay et al. 2018b; ’Oumuamua ISSI Team et al. 2019). The abundance pattern of Przbylski’s star, particularly the possible presence of short-lived radioisotopes (Cowley et al. 2004; Bidelman 2005; Gopka et al. 2008), has been informally discussed online as a possible technosignature (c.f., Whitmire &

Wright 1980), which is how we learned of it.²⁹ Others were known to us through general knowledge (e.g., the CMB cold spot, Cruz et al. 2005). Still other anomalies were found using the help of Astrophysical Data System, particularly while looking at citations and references to evaluate an object (ADS; Kurtz et al. 2000). Papers that identify Anomalies frequently cite previous (explained or unexplained) Anomalies.³⁰ Papers about Anomalies can cite well-known review articles or compendiums specifically so they can situate or differentiate their mysterious object from mundane phenomena.³¹ As with Prototypes and Superlatives, we scanned Trimble’s Astrophysics in 1991–2006 review series.³² Wikipedia also has a “list of stars that dim oddly”³³ that includes several of the Anomalies, although we generally found them by using other sources and not all stars listed there are anomalous.

The process was mainly serendipitous, however. We did search ADS for terms like “anomalous”, “mysterious”, “enigmatic”, and “unusual”, although they tended to mostly turn up papers that were about relatively normal objects.³⁴ Those papers were mainly about minor puzzles that we do not consider inexplicable enough to rise to Anomaly status, although this is a subjective judgement. We also had to ignore object classes with “anomalous” in the name, such as Anomalous X-ray Pulsars (now identified as magnetars). Similar searches on the Astronomer’s Telegram archives netted several examples.³⁵ Papers about recently identified mysterious objects appear in arXiv³⁶ or journals like *Nature* and *Science*, where they garner attention.³⁷

²⁹ See <https://sites.psu.edu/astrowright/2017/03/15/przbylskis-star-i-whats-that/> and subsequent articles in the series.

³⁰ Vinkó et al. (2015) on “Dougie” citing Cenko et al. (2013) on PTF11agg; Saito et al. (2019) about VVV-WIT-07 citing Boyajian et al. (2016) on KIC 8462852; Narloch et al. (2019) citing Butler (1998) on non-variable stars in the Cepheid variable strip, in turn leading us to OGLE LMC-CEP-4506.

³¹ Moskovitz et al. (2008), about (10537) 1991 RY₁₆, citing Bus & Binzel (2002) on asteroid spectral classification.

³² Resulting in the inclusion of the anomalously polarized radio source J 06587-5558 (noted in Trimble & Aschwanden 2003) and the anomalously non-variable star 45 Dra (an example of a phenomenon mentioned in Trimble & Aschwanden 1999).

³³ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_stars_that_dim_oddly

³⁴ For a success, Demers & Battinelli (2001) refers to the apparent “hole” in UMi dSph as an “enigma”.

³⁵ ASASSN-V J060000.76-310027.83 via Way et al. (2019a); ASASSN-V J190917.06+182837.36 via Way et al. (2019b); DDE 168 via Denisenko (2019).

³⁶ Santerne et al. (2019) on HIP 41378 f.

³⁷ De Luca et al. (2006) on RCW 106; historically, Schmidt (1963) on 3C 273, for example.

Transients are frequently unexplained upon discovery, and they are well-represented in the sample. Even though the events themselves are over, anomalous transients may hypothetically be technosignatures of K64 Type II–III ETIs. We may then hypothesize there to be other technosignatures – and other Anomalies – coming from these societies, which would share the same field as the transients themselves did. As a very speculative example, perhaps the large early-type galaxy M86 is one such location, as it hosts two Anomalous X-ray transients.³⁸

Some anomalies in the literature are not localized objects or are otherwise impractical to observe. These are listed in Table C3 for readers interested in a more complete understanding of currently inexplicable phenomena.

For this present catalog, we had to search for Anomalies through a literature scan. There is great interest in searching for anomalous signals in instrumental data with machine learning, however (e.g., Baron & Poznanski 2017; Solarz et al. 2017; Giles & Walkowicz 2019). In the coming years, these automated efforts will likely flag new objects with unusual properties that can be added to future versions of the *Exotica Catalog* (Zhang et al. 2019).

6.3. Previous SETI candidates

A special subset of Anomalies, one especially relevant for Breakthrough Listen, are those identified by other SETI programs. Signals identifiable as technosignatures essentially have to be anomalies, otherwise they could always be given a more mundane explanation and it would not be effective as a SETI search. Indeed, the Earth, or the Solar System, would appear to be a Class III anomaly to radio astronomers with sensitive enough telescopes, due to its unnatural narrowband radio emission.

Candidate signals identified by other SETI searches are included in the subcatalog listed in Table C2. These include suggestions of narrowband radio emission (Dixon 1985; Blair et al. 1992; Horowitz & Sagan 1993; Colomb et al. 1995; Bowyer et al. 2016; Pinchuk et al. 2019), nanosecond-duration optical pulses (Howard et al. 2004), optical spectral features consistent with picosecond-cadence pulses (Borra & Trottier 2016), infrared excesses compatible with waste heat from megastar structures (Carrigan 2009; Griffith et al. 2015; Garrett 2015; Lacki 2016b), and one example of an apparently vanished star (Villarroel et al. 2016). Most of the non-SETI Anomalies are not single-instance transients, and

therefore their anomalous natures can be confirmed by independent groups. The SETI candidates have a more uncertain existence, though, and may have been mundane (e.g., RFI).

Despite not widely being considered strong evidence of actual ETIs, we include them because they match previous specific predictions about technosignatures. In addition, Breakthrough Listen has followed up on claimed SETI candidates in the past, to-date verifying none (Enriquez et al. 2018; Isaacson et al. 2019). Finally, the wide variety of technosignatures covered helps ameliorate the difficulty of learning about anomalies with unfamiliar techniques or subfields. When a great many candidate ETIs are given, we include only a few examples, chosen according to the brightness of the stellar host (Borra & Trottier 2016), the evaluated quality of the candidate (Howard et al. 2004; Carrigan 2009; Griffith et al. 2015; Zackrisson et al. 2015), or the signal-to-noise ratio of the event (Horowitz & Sagan 1993; Colomb et al. 1995; Bowyer et al. 2016).

7. THE CONTROL SAMPLE

The final group of targets are those we observe with the expectation of *not* observing anything new. Instead, they provide a baseline set of observations for comparison with any positive detection of a new phenomenon, a control sample for the SETI experiment. If some subtle systematic or source of interference is generating false positives, it should eventually show up during observations of appropriately chosen control sources. We could thus rule out the false positive by comparison with the results of the Control sample.

7.1. The Control sample

The first part of the Control sample is along the lines of the other samples, a list of astrophysical targets (Table D1 in Appendix D). These are targets that were once thought to represent a new or anomalous phenomenon but that turned out to have very mundane explanations. We can simulate our response to transients or the discovery of an anomaly by looking at purported transients that turned out to be banal.

As an example, Bailes et al. (1991) reported the first discovered pulsar planet around PSR B1829-10. If Breakthrough Listen had been operating in 1991, we surely would have scheduled a rapid campaign to observe PSR B1829-10, similar to our campaigns observing 1I/Oumuamua and FRB121102, because it was among the first exoplanets reported in the literature. A year later, however, the same authors traced the pulsar timing signal to an error in the treatment of Earth’s orbit (Lyne & Bailes 1992). We would have acquired deep

³⁸ XRT 000519 and M86 tULX-1.

radio observations of a planet that turned out not to exist. Any detection would likely have been the result of an error in our analysis, or perhaps have come from the pulsar itself.

Because of limited data and the difficulties of interpretation, there have been many mistaken claims in astronomy. We chose the objects and phenomena in Table D1 on a few principles. First, there should be consensus that the claimed discovery was in fact in error, preferably by the original authors themselves. Second, most of the claimed discoveries should have appeared to be confident enough to have provided a strong impetus for observations. The majority appeared in the peer-reviewed literature, although KIC 5520878 and GW100916 were explained in the same paper that reported them (Hippke et al. 2015). The exceptions are GRB 090709A, for which a claimed 8 second quasiperiodicity was reported in several GCNs by multiple groups (Markwardt et al. 2009; Golenetskii et al. 2009; Gotz et al. 2009; Ohno et al. 2009) before being dismissed (see de Luca et al. 2010; Cenko et al. 2010); HIP 114176, a false star in the *Hipparcos* catalog; and Swift Trigger 954840, which could likewise have prompted observations but was not in fact an astronomical event.³⁹ Third, we avoided picking bright or exceptional sources, where we might expect new phenomena, in favor of non-existent or anonymous targets. An example of a source we excluded is the X-ray binary Cygnus X-3, which was widely considered to be a brilliant TeV–EeV gamma-ray and cosmic ray source in the 1980s before the early detections lost credibility (Chardin & Gerbier 1989; see also Archambault et al. 2013 and references therein for recent gamma-ray observations). Similarly, we excluded Barnard’s Star, a nearby star that had two candidate exoplanets in the 1960s–1970s (van de Kamp 1963; Choi et al. 2013), because it actually does have a planet, albeit one much smaller, listed as a Prototype (Ribas et al. 2018). Fourth, sky coordinates needed to be reported, which prevented us from including perytons, seeming atmospheric radio transients later explained as RFI from a microwave oven (Petroff et al. 2015).

Our inclusion of a target in the Control sample is not meant to disparage the claimants. Science has a long history of observational errors, some of which have prompted deeper studies that in turn revealed real phenomena. The mistaken discovery of planets around PSR B1829-10 encouraged the announcement of the actual first pulsar planets around PSR B1257+12 (Wolszczan

& Frail 1992; Wolszczan 2012). The retraction then encouraged careful scrutiny of PSR B1257+12’s planets, building a more solid case for their existence. The apparent discovery also prompted theoretical work into the origins of pulsar planets. Another early exoplanet announcement was HD 114762 B, an apparent warm super-Jupiter detected by the radial velocity method (Latham et al. 1989). In a case of terrible luck, this real object has in recent years been shown to be a red dwarf orbiting HD 114762 in a nearly face-on orbit (Kiefer 2019).⁴⁰ Yet it turns out there really are warm and hot gas giants, including those much bigger than Jupiter, and they really could be detected through the radial velocity method, in defiance of the expectations of the time (Latham 2012; Cenadelli & Bernagozzi 2015). Discoveries like these can open conceptual spaces, unexplored possibilities that were simply not thought of before. In other cases, a purported anomaly can be studied well enough that it becomes the prototype of an object class. This seems to be happening with NGC 1277, a prototypical red nugget galaxy studied because of the now-disputed extreme mass of its central black hole (Trujillo et al. 2014).

7.2. *Could we just look at “empty” regions of the sky?*

Even with the Control sample, there is a danger that we could observe something real. HD 117043 probably does not have potassium flares (Wing et al. 1967), but for all we know it does have a planet with ETIs; it’s even one of the I17 sample stars. Likewise, PSR B1829-10 is a real radio pulsar, even if it does not host planets (Lyne & Bailes 1992). Most of these are relatively distant, so only a very bright ETI signal would be discovered, but it remains a possibility. Of the Control sample, only about half correspond to actual astronomical objects (the others being GW 100916, Hertzsprung’s Object, HIP 114176, OT 060420, the Perseus Flasher, Swift Trigger 954840, and VLA J172059.9+385226.6).

A more stringent set of Control sources would be to look at empty places on the celestial sphere with no objects at all. This is often not actually possible, though. A famous example is the Hubble Deep Field, chosen to be away from any known bright sources, which has thousands of galaxies (Williams et al. 1996). The beam size of the GBT at 1 GHz is about 9′, which would cover thousands of galaxies and trillions of extragalactic plan-

³⁹ Swift Trigger 954840 was chosen from many false triggers simply because it was the most recent erroneous event when we consulted the event list.

⁴⁰ Interestingly, HD 114762 was selected essentially as a Control source, because it was thought that no actual planet could be massive and close enough to be detected around it, according to Cenadelli & Bernagozzi (2015). The possibility that some Control sources may themselves turn out to be interesting is discussed in the next section.

ets, and only one would need to send a bright broadcast in our direction.

At high frequency, radio beam sizes are smaller. It could be possible to slip a beam between known all stars and galaxies near 100 GHz with GBT, or 10 GHz with one kilometer baselines using MeerKAT. Even this would not guarantee the non-existence of ETIs within the main beam. Red dwarfs, brown dwarfs, and white dwarfs would generally not be detectable from many kiloparsecs away and might exist in the beam, although hard limits on their abundance on sightlines towards the Magellanic Clouds have been set with microlensing surveys (e.g., Tisserand et al. 2007). But for all we know, some ETIs actually exist in the depths of interstellar space, away from all stars (Ćirković & Bradbury 2006). Perhaps they broadcast from starships (e.g., Messerschmitt 2015), or ride along ubiquitous interstellar objects like 'Oumuamua. Thus, there is no place on the sky that can be guaranteed to be free of ETIs. The main utility of looking at “empty” sky regions as a control is to limit the prevalence of relatively faint signals from nearby, known objects.

7.3. Unphysical targets

The ultimate Control source is one that cannot possibly be an actual source at all. Then if we do observe what looks like an ETI signal, we can be quite sure it is some systematic issue, whether instrumental or caused by interference. An unreal source can be simulated by selecting an unphysical trajectory for where the telescope is pointed, and then treating the output data as if it came from a single location on the sky. Sources outside of the Solar System near the celestial equator are bound to rise in the east and set on the west like all other non-circumpolar sidereal sources; to do otherwise would be to violate causality. Even within the Solar System, the motion of objects is constrained.

As an example, consider the zenith as a “source”. It is a very special point from the viewpoint of the observer, convenient and easily attention-grabbing. But practically speaking, the zenith actually sweeps out a band on the sky. No distant source beyond one light day could remain at the zenith because of causality. Unless the observatory is on the equator, no object in Earth orbit would remain at the zenith. Any such beacon would have to be both nearby and would require expensive, power-intensive maneuvers. Furthermore, the zenith’s sightline depends on the *specific* location of the observatory, an improbable coincidence unless the makers mapped the Earth thoroughly, recognized radio telescopes, and somehow determined which ones were doing SETI. If needed, other possible unreal sources abound

by choosing other implausible tracking trajectories: we could track faux “targets” that rise in the west and set in the east, that rise in the north and set in the south or vice-versa, that move along circles of constant sky altitude as if we were at a pole, or jitter randomly on the sky.

The GBT and Parkes are able to stare at fixed altitude-azimuth positions to conduct drift scan surveys of the sky. It is also possible to do raster scan surveys where the telescope is tracked along “impossible” paths to map the sky quickly. The key difference is that we would analyze the data not as a scan moving across the sky, but as if the telescope’s celestial coordinates were fixed: we would look for signals that persist for the entire “observation” instead of rising and falling as the beam sweeps over them. We must also be aware that signals might spill in from the sidelobes of the beam.

We will not usually have control over where the dishes of MeerKAT are pointed. Nonetheless, we do have the power to electronically beamform within the primary field of view. We could move one commensal beam to a new random location in the field every millisecond, making jumps that are about a degree long in an instant. No celestial source could follow such a trajectory.

The use of “fake sources” is closely related to our extant techniques to constrain RFI in our radio observations. Bright RFI from elsewhere in the sky can impinge on the detector through the dish’s sidelobes. During observations, we employ an ABABAB (or ABA-CAD) strategy: we spend five minutes pointed at a target and then move to look at a different target for five minutes. We alternate between on- and off-target five-minute pointings for a total of three each. Signals that are detected through the sidelobes will appear in both the ON- and OFF-pointings, whereas a genuine signal that is not exceedingly bright will disappear in the OFF-pointings (Enriquez et al. 2017; Price et al. 2020). The advantage of using scans as “sources” compared to ON/OFF strategies is that we will be able to constrain systematic issues that persist for only a few seconds. A somewhat related strategy is possible on Parkes, where the multibeam receiver points at seven sky locations simultaneously. Interference coming in from the sidelobes will appear in several beams at once.⁴¹

Analyzing the faux sources will require some updating of our metadata and software. In addition, the unphysi-

⁴¹ Sometimes, a bright celestial source can appear in multiple beams: the Lorimer et al. (2007) burst was detected in three beams through their sidelobes. Nonetheless, it was not detected in all of the beams simultaneously, allowing it to be localized to a position clearly in the sky.

cality of the simulated “sources” depend on their movement on the sky, which only is significant if the signals are prolonged. Thus, they are no better than the Control sample or random sky locations in looking for false positives in pulse searches. Other facilities interested in using the *Exotica Catalog* may not even have the capability to do raster scans. For these reasons, we maintain the Control sample as an easy to implement check for systematics.

7.4. *Technological sources: looking for trouble*

A final set of objects that could act as a check are the technology Prototypes (listed at the end of Table A1). Technology, particularly RFI, is the biggest source of false positives in SETI. Our observations of the technology Prototypes should build a small library of RFI for comparison with potential ETI candidates. Indeed, we already have serendipitous observations at the GBT that could contribute to such a library, including from GPS and Iridium satellites. Unlike the other Controls, when we look where we expect to see no signals, with these Prototypes, we are looking where we do expect to see a signal, one that confounds our other observations, to better understand our systematics.

Not all technological RFI can be studied in this manner, though. Aircraft and ground transmitters would not be covered by these observations. Nonetheless, satellites remain one of the biggest contaminants, and are likely to become much worse with the launch of Internet satellite constellations.

8. DISCUSSION OF THE FULL *EXOTICA CATALOG*

8.1. *Target demographics*

The full *Exotica Catalog* contains over nine hundred entries and more than eight hundred distinct targets. It is about 40% of the size of the I17 nearby stars and galaxies catalog, and thus observing all objects would represent a significant effort on our part. The targets are distributed across both hemispheres on the sky, with concentrations in Cygnus and the *Kepler* field within it, the Galactic Center and the inner Galactic Plane, the Large Magellanic Cloud, and around the Virgo (and Coma) Cluster (Figure 3). The breakdown of the different catalogs into samples, into phyla, and objects we have already observed is given in Table 2.

Over 160 ($\sim 20\%$) distinct targets are in what Dick (2013) calls the Kingdom of the Planets: the minor bodies, the solid planetoids, and the giant planets. Two of the most important properties of these bodies – mass and stellar insolation – are plotted in Figure 4. The giant planets span over a factor of 100,000 in insolation

(~ 20 in temperature for a constant albedo), and the solid planets span nearly as large of a range. The giant planets cover a full range of masses from super-Jovian to Neptunian and insolarations from $\gtrsim 1,000$ Earth to 0.001 Earth (and GJ 3483 B at $\sim 10^{-10}$ Earth insolation). The solid planetoids we have chosen cover the range of temperate to hot temperatures and sub-Earths to super-Earths, with a tail of low-mass cold planetoids from the dwarf planets and large moons in the Solar System. Minor bodies, almost all from the Solar System, cover temperate to cold environments and a factor of over ten billion in mass.

The *Exotica Catalog* will allow us to conduct a thorough initial reconnaissance of the Solar System. All of the major planets⁴², all IAU-recognized dwarf planets, and most of the larger moons⁴³ are included. But an even greater coverage of the Solar System comes from the inclusion of the minor bodies, which form the third-largest phylum in the *Exotica Catalog* ($\sim 11\%$). There are a great many minor body Prototypes, which comes from the use of fine types. This is partly because minor bodies form a large population that can be well-studied in the Solar System, but we include them also to ensure we examine objects from every region of the Solar System. The importance for SETI is the possibility of ETI probes in the Solar System, which may have gone unnoticed (Papagiannis 1978; Freitas 1985; Gertz 2016; Benford 2019; see also Wright 2018b; Schmidt & Frank 2019 for more extreme possibilities). Their radio presence will begin to be constrained with *Exotica Catalog* observations.

The phylum most represented in the final catalog is stars, with almost 180 distinct targets ($\sim 22\%$). This is partly driven by the fine classifications we use in the Prototypes catalog, particularly with the main sequence divided into narrow spectral subtypes. The fact that we have already observed most of the main sequence Prototypes allow us this luxury, since we will not need to repeat most of those observations (Enriquez et al. 2017; Price et al. 2020). Yet the stars also include a number of types not at all represented in I17. We see this in Figure 5, the color-magnitude diagram (CMD) and Hertzsprung-Russel (HR) diagram of stars in the *Exotica Catalog*. The *Exotica Catalog* expands the coverage of Breakthrough Listen to include supergiants and hypergiants at the top of the diagram, a region of parameter space entirely missed by the I17 sample. O dwarfs are

⁴² In Saturn’s case, through its rings, which surround the planet.

⁴³ The Moon, the Galilean satellites, all of the large Saturn moons except Dione and Rhea, Miranda around Uranus, Triton around Neptune, and Charon around Pluto.




Figure 3. Map of objects in the *Exotica Catalog* in equatorial coordinates. Each phylum is given its own symbol, with Prototypes in black and Superlatives in blue. Anomalies are plotted in red and Control sources in gold. The plane of the Milky Way is shown as the grey curve.

finally covered as well. Sprinkled around the diagram are some unusual stellar types that result from binary evolution, which swell the ranks of stars in the Prototypes sample. Among them are the hot subdwarfs (sdB and sdO), which sit in between the white dwarfs and hot main sequence on the left of Figure 5. The *Exotica Catalog* also includes some star types that are unusual in ways other than where they sit on the HR diagram, like variable and hypervelocity stars. Not shown in the color-magnitude diagram are brown dwarfs, mostly invisible in optical light, which supplement the ones observed in Price et al. (2020).

Collapsed stars and interacting binary stars are smaller phyla, each with about fifty to sixty objects (~ 8 and 6% respectively). Collapsed stars have a moderate representation in the Prototypes sample – they broadly fall into white dwarfs (with both mass and spectral categories), neutron stars, and the hard-to-observe black holes – but the compact objects power a rich variety of interacting binary stars. There are also about two-thirds as many Superlative collapsed stars as Prototypical ones, especially since the well-studied pulsars allow for precise studies of their properties. The *Exotica Cata-*

log includes five symbiotic systems (excluding symbiotic X-ray binaries), twelve cataclysmic variables and related white-dwarf powered binaries, and over twenty X-ray binaries. These objects have been practically ignored in SETI, but they are among the most powerful and dynamic objects in the Universe. Including them allows us to start probing the idea that they draw energy-hungry ETIs (as in Lacki 2020). These extreme objects are also good bets to look for other new astrophysical phenomena. A few more collapsed stars in detached binaries reside among the stellar associations.

Stellar groups and the ISM are also smaller phyla (~ 7 and 6%). Even within the Galaxy, the simple open/globular cluster divide is supplemented by division of the globulars into distinct populations and the inclusion of Superlative clusters. Observations of other galaxies have revealed star clusters different from those in the Galaxy, and have identified a number of Superlative clusters with densities and masses far more extreme than anything nearby. To these are added detached stellar multiples and unbound stellar associations. The ISM includes a panoply of clouds in different phases and on different scales. Because of its diffuse nature, though,

Table 2. *Exotica Catalog Summary*

Property	Prototypes	Superlatives	Anomalies		Control	Total
			Non-SETI	SETI		
Entries	598	187	125	36	17	963
Distinct	536	155	115	33	17	816
...Minor body	79	13	6	0	0	93
...Solid planetoid	29	22	2	2	0	39
...Giant planet	21	12	2	0	0	33
...Star	120	15	34	13	5	178
...Collapsed star	35	24	8	0	2	64
...Interacting binary star	47	3	1	0	0	50
...Stellar group	29	18	9	1	1	54
...ISM	42	6	1	0	0	48
...Galaxy	81	23	3	10	0	116
...AGN	33	13	9	0	0	55
...Galaxy association	14	9	0	0	1	23
...LSS	3	1	1	0	0	5
...Technology	15	0	0	0	0	15
...Unknown	0	0	42	9	0	50
...Not real	4	0	0	0	8	12
Solar System	103	26	5	0	0	118
Sidereal	433	129	110	33	17	698
I17 stars	49	2	2	1	2	55
I17 galaxies	15	0	1	0	0	16
Other BL observed	2	0	3	0	0	4
New targets	470	153	109	32	15	741

NOTE—Entries that are cross-listed in two different phyla are counted in the number of distinct targets in each phylum.

some of its most important features cannot be divided into discrete targets or are not practical to observe, particularly large structures of hot gas like the Local Bubble (Snowden et al. 1990). A variety of energetic phenomena drive a similar variety of expanding bubbles. We note the inclusion of cosmic ray ISM features, particularly the Cygnus Cocoon (Ackermann et al. 2011).

Galaxies form the second largest phylum ($\sim 14\%$). Even though we use a relatively coarse division along the Hubble (1926) “tuning fork”, they are supplemented by a rich variety of subtle morphological features (Buta et al. 2015) and peculiar galaxies (c.f., Arp 1966). In fact, about one-fifth of the galaxy prototypes simply cover disk galaxy morphologies, such as lenses and rings. Just as some abnormal stellar types are the result of binary interactions, we have peculiar galaxy types from their own interactions. High redshift galaxies only increase the panoply, as do the technosignature candidates identified by previous SETI studies.

Figure 6 summarizes their gross properties, mass and color. Included galaxies span a factor of $\sim 10^{10}$ in stellar mass. In terms of gross properties, I17 samples much of the same parameter space, and in fact includes many more faint red (dwarf spheroidal) galaxies. Note however that we were unable to find data to plot certain ex-

treme dwarf galaxies like Segue 1, which are far smaller than the ones in I17. We also are excited by the outliers in the CMD, particularly IC 1101 (red circled dot at far right), the Leoncino dwarf (black cross-dot at lower left), and NGC 4650A (isolated black dot at lower right). More profound differences are visible in the mass-SFR diagram, where highly star-forming galaxies stand out with respect to the I17 sample, particularly those at high redshift (open circles) and dwarf starbursts (purple dots at center-left), as does Coma P (circled blue dot in lower-left) and the Leoncino dwarf. These are entirely new regions of parameter space to SETI.

Active galactic nuclei ($\sim 7\%$) and galaxy associations ($\sim 3\%$) are among the smaller phyla. We might have gone with finer AGN classifications, with Padovani et al. (2017) noting several dozen types posited over the years, but as per Padovani et al. (2017), we did not wish to cloud the Prototypes list with a menagerie of mostly overlapping types. On the other hand, galaxy associations have a richer (if still small) representation than might have been expected. A galaxy cluster, being virialized, can support some intriguing phenomena in its intracluster medium. Superlative galaxy associations almost match the number of Prototypes, and include several rich galaxy clusters, which are unexplored in SETI.




Figure 4. Diagram of planetary mass and stellar insolation for planets in the *Exotica Catalog*. Solar System bodies are marked in deep blue, those around stellar remnants in sky blue, and those around protostars in gold. Minor bodies (including planets hosting ring systems) are denoted by triangles, solid planetoids by circles, and giant planets by squares. Bodies in the Superlative sample are marked by a ring around them, and those in the Anomaly sample are marked by an overlaid cross.

The smallest phylum is large scale structure ($< 1\%$). Its variety is limited because it has only begun to form. Furthermore, superclusters, walls, and voids have poorly defined boundaries and cover vast areas on the sky, and are excluded similarly to much of the ISM and IGM. We do include the Great Attractor, formerly a striking anomaly, in the form of the Laniakea basin of attraction (Tully et al. 2014). Also included is the superlative Shapley Supercluster, the densest and richest region in the local Universe. This supercluster is a massive collection of rich galaxy clusters, and has bound a region over 10 Mpc in radius; its gravitational pull actually entirely subsumes the flow from the Great Attractor (Kocevski & Ebeling 2006; Chon et al. 2015; Hoffman et al. 2017). It is an exceptional place for aspiring Kardashev Type IV ETIs, and it will evolve into one of the most outstanding “island universes” as the accelerating cosmic evolution cuts off long-distance travel over the next trillion years (Heyl 2005).

Finally, about seventy ($\sim 9\%$) targets stand apart from the conventional astrophysical phenomena. About two thirds of them ($\sim 6\%$ of the catalog) are unidentified, consisting of both SETI-related and non-SETI

related Anomalies. Another fifth, in the unique phylum of technology, consists of our own technosignatures, which we may someday extend with non-Earthly examples. Nine spurious and miscellaneous targets complete the Catalog.

Further discussion of specific issues in classification are in the Appendices A (Prototype), B (Superlative), C (Anomaly), and D (Control). More extensive notes on the entries in the catalog and their selection will be available online.⁴⁴

We note that the entries in the catalog are not all independent entities. Some are collective objects that contain other entries in the catalog, or are “siblings” in the same cluster. In addition, some “new” objects in the *Exotica Catalog* are related to objects in the I17 catalog. Examples include the several objects located in the Virgo Cluster, which itself is a Prototype. In these cases, one observation may actually cover several objects, and they can be treated as all one object. Table E3 lists these relationships.

8.2. Catalog presentation

The objects in the catalog are listed in Table E1 (targets within the Solar System) and Table E2 (sidereal targets outside the Solar System). Each distinct source has been assigned a unique identifier that is simply an integer in the range 001 – 816, with increasing values for objects that first appear in the Prototype, Superlative, non-SETI Anomaly, SETI Anomaly, and Control samples. These tables also include basic data about the sources, with references discussed in Appendix E.1.

In addition, we will host an online database on the *Exotica Catalog* sources. The database will include detailed notes motivating our selection of a particular object, links to the object on Simbad or other databases, and references for the object and its type.

8.3. The Exotica Catalog and inhomogeneous observations

In many ways, the *Exotica Catalog* is driven by theory, which both provides the motivation and guides the classification systems used to select Prototypes and Superlatives and evaluate Anomalies, and is intended for instruments, as a kind of “treasure survey” they may do. As a high-breadth catalog, the *Exotica Catalog* represents an extremely diverse range of targets. In general, the possible observations that can be done on themselves vary from object to object. One clear case of this is the

⁴⁴ At <http://seti.berkeley.edu/exotica>. The full appendices for version 20E are also available at Zenodo: [doi:10.5281/zenodo.4726253](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4726253)




Figure 5. Color-magnitude (left) and HR (right) diagram of stars (circles) and white dwarfs (sky blue squares) in the *Exotica Catalog*. Protostars and pre-MS stars are colored gold, brown dwarfs are dark orange, and post-interaction stars are dark violet. The hosts of exoplanets are also included as open circles (stars) or open squares (white dwarfs). Stars in I17 are shown as grey dots. Superlatives and Anomalies sources are marked as in Figure 4.




Figure 6. Mass-color (left) and Mass-SFR (right) diagram of galaxies in the *Exotica Catalog* for which we could find or derive data. Galaxies classified as quiescent are shown in red, Green Valley galaxies in dark teal, main sequence galaxies in sky blue, and starbursts in dark violet. Samples are marked as in Figure 4. Galaxies in other categories are classified according to morphological type, with the remainders in black. We also show I17 galaxies as small dots, and the Milky Way as the big teal X. For the mass-color diagram, we include star clusters as gold asterisks, but exclude galaxies with $z \geq 0.1$ due to k -correction effects. High-redshift galaxies are included in the mass-SFR diagram: those with $z < 0.5$ are marked with filled dots, and those with $z \geq 0.5$ are marked with open circles.

great difference between our knowledge of Solar System and sidereal objects. Our space probes can journey to Solar System objects and even land on some, providing “ground truth” that is as yet not possible with objects outside the Solar System. Whereas an exoplanet is generally understood in terms of its bulk properties (aside from those with temperature maps), Solar System bodies can be mapped with individual geographic regions and even individual landing sites studied. Direct comparison can therefore be problematic. Even in SETI, there are *qualitatively* distinct technosignatures that can be sought in the Solar System, namely abandoned artifacts on planetary surfaces (Davies 2012; Davies & Wagner 2013).

The observations will be relatively homogeneous for the instruments that Breakthrough Listen is currently using, however. The biggest distinction among objects is whether they are resolved or not. This distinction already exists in the I17 catalog, where some galaxies are resolved while other galaxies and all stars are not. As with resolved galaxies in the I17 catalog, we expect we will observe a single pointing of the resolved objects and then add more pointings resource permitting. All included Solar System objects except for the Sun and Moon are unresolved even with the GBT for $\nu \lesssim 10$ GHz, and many to much high frequencies. In all cases the data products will be the same for the radio instruments, a collection of spectral products and possibly some voltages, as was the case for our observations of 1I/’Oumuamua. Of course, the sensitivity we will achieve for the objects in the Catalog will diverge by orders of magnitude, but this would be true even if we were observing low- and high- z galaxies, for example. We expect the same is true of other individual instruments that might observe the *Exotica Catalog*, including radio telescopes, to some extent X-ray telescopes (although planets will generally be resolved; c.f., Bhargava et al. 2007), and gamma-ray and neutrino detectors (e.g., Abdo et al. 2011a; Aartsen et al. 2021).

These considerations do result in the exclusion of one object that we would have included as a Prototype and an Anomaly (Table C3): the Earth itself. Our ground-based radio instruments are only able to sample small regions of the Earth’s radio environment. We have no way of measuring the Earth’s integrated radio emission, much less its optical technosignatures, except through the indirect method of moonbounce experiments (McKinley et al. 2013; DeMarines et al. 2019).

9. ALL-SKY AND RANDOM SURVEYS

The least biased strategy of when and where to look is to look everywhere all the time, with an all-sky sur-

vey. Even if ETIs inhabit objects we haven’t even detected yet, we would still be able to see their activities. Similarly, we would be able to look for natural objects that are completely unanticipated in our current paradigms (Wilkinson 2016). Since the sky has already been mapped across the spectrum, such unanticipated sources either are intermittent, very faint, or are unrecognized in current data sets.

The need for all-sky all-the-time surveys has been recognized in SETI and other fields of astronomy. Ideally, we would be able to keep the data permanently from such sources. This would both allow us to do searches for precursors when an anomalous event is triggered, or to find anomalies years later in re-analyses. At present, no radio SETI facility exists with all these capabilities, despite the strong possibility that any “beacons” have a very low duty cycle to conserve energy. In the future, dipole arrays may be constructed to fulfill this purpose (Garrett et al. 2017). In the optical and the near-infrared, the Pano-SETI experiment aims to monitor several steradians instantaneously for short pulses (Cosens et al. 2018). Outside of SETI, there are multiple instruments with wide-field high-cadence capabilities in the optical (Law et al. 2015), X-rays (Krimm et al. 2013), gamma-rays (Atwood et al. 2009; Abeysekara et al. 2017a), neutrinos (Aartsen et al. 2017), and gravitational waves (Abbott et al. 2019a).

Wide-field facilities allow us to set meaningful constraints on unanticipated events at the present. Very wide-field capabilities are already granted by LOFAR and MWA (e.g., Tingay et al. 2016, 2018a), and have been used for serendipitous constraints (Tingay et al. 2018b). MeerKAT, an array of 64 dishes of aperture 13.5 meters, will allow us to synthesize dozens of commensal beams within the relatively large primary field of view. It will be possible to devote one beam to painting the entire primary dish field over and over, a miniature wide-field survey that is open to finding truly unknown sources at unknown locations. The Breakthrough Listen backend will also perform incoherent summing of the dish voltages during observations. Despite having less sensitivity (S_ν larger by a factor of 8), the entire primary dish beam is covered, an instantaneous field thousands of times larger than a single synthesized beam. The incoherent summing will be our first steps towards all-sky surveys, which have the maximal breadth allowed. Because it needs to observe the extended Cherenkov light of air showers produced by gamma-rays, VERITAS always has a relatively wide field-of-view (Weekes et al. 2002). However, neither telescope’s pointings are uniformly distributed across the sky.

A more limited unbiased search that is possible even on narrow field of view telescopes is to point at random targets on the sky. Some completely random positions on the celestial sphere can be added as a supplement to the *Exotica Catalog*; they may be observed like any other target or with long integration times as a “pencil beam” survey to achieve sensitivity to faint flux levels or very intermittent sources. This will also be possible with MeerKAT, which will perform long targeted observations of some sky regions during its nominal science operations. We could devote synthesized beams to a random point in the dish field to do a pencil beam survey with every observation.

The final possibility – that anomalies already are present in extant datasets – calls for keeping data until such time as innovative analyses can find them. There has been plenty of precedent for this scenario: the long-term variability of Boyajian’s Star was recovered in *Kepler* data (Montet & Simon 2016); the Lorimer burst, the first reported FRB, happened in 2001 but was not reported until Lorimer et al. (2007); the first ANITA upwards neutrino shower was not reported for three years after its detection (Gorham et al. 2018). Breakthrough Listen is devoted to making its data publically available in accessible formats (Lebofsky et al. 2019). Moreover, on the analysis side, we are pursuing research in using machine learning to classify signals and look for anomalies (e.g., Zhang et al. 2019). These have the potential of evading our biases.

10. EXOTICA EFFORTS AND BREAKTHROUGH LISTEN

As noted in Section 2.3, Breakthrough Listen’s exotica efforts will include both observations of the targets in the Catalog and a flexible series of campaigns. In this section, we briefly discuss our engagement with exotica with both approaches.

10.1. Strategy for observing the Exotica Catalog

The full *Exotica Catalog* includes over eight hundred distinct sources, over 40% of the number of targets in the I17 list. Priority is given to the I17 targets, however, with the *Exotica Catalog* nominally getting $\lesssim 10\%$ of telescope time. This is especially a problem since many of the sources are extended. An additional constraint is disk space, which prohibits keeping the raw voltages from radio observations of the entire Catalog. We present some strategies for dealing with these issues, which may be useful to other programs aiming to observe the *Exotica Catalog*.

The core of our strategy is to develop a prioritization system for the targets. With GBT, Parkes, and APF, we

are free to select targets without the constraints of commensal observing. Furthermore, the GBT has a number of frequency bands to observe in, and we can choose which ones to emphasize. Thus, we do not need to carry out the full suite of observations we perform on the I17 stars and galaxies, and conversely we can spend more time on high-priority targets. Table 3 breaks down the radio data products for one possible prioritization plan, as might be implemented on the GBT. If all objects are observed with this plan, the *Exotica Catalog* would take ~ 800 hr to observe and would generate ~ 2.0 PB of data (~ 1.6 PB of filterbank files). For comparison, the $\sim 1,700$ stars in the I17 catalog observed in all bands would take $\sim 8,000$ hr and would generate 14 PB of data. The amount of time spent at each rank is 150–350 hr. Restricting our *Exotica Catalog* efforts to the low- and mid-frequency bands observed in Price et al. (2020) would roughly halve the observing time, mostly spent on low priority targets.

As yet, prioritization is subjective. We intend to favor extreme phenomena: those with high luminosities and nonthermal emission across the spectrum. These are more likely to display new astrophysical phenomena. Higher luminosities also make them better suited for modulation as beacons by ETIs. Thus, we will include proportionally more pulsars, interacting binaries, and AGNs. We specifically will put maximum priority on the primary calibrators at radio frequencies and high energies: Cygnus A and the Crab Nebula, respectively (Perley & Butler 2017; Kirsch et al. 2005). The Crab Nebula specifically is known to flare (Wilson-Hodge et al. 2011; Tavani et al. 2011; Abdo et al. 2011b). Another thing we have in mind is to achieve the widest breadth in astrophysical environments and object type. We will assign high-to-maximal priority to at least one object of every phylum priority list. We also intend to prioritize Superlatives with extreme luminosities and several Anomalies.

The APF is capable of collecting high resolution spectra of exotica targets. Target acquisition is challenging for targets fainter than $V \sim 12$ and those with large motions across the sky. As such, we expect to only be able to observe a limited subset of the catalog. Such a search for narrow wavelength signals would be different than that in Lipman et al. (2019) since the targets are producing almost no astrophysical optical signal.

Our time on MeerKAT and VERITAS is commensal; thus, we will be unable to choose where the telescopes are pointed or prioritize different targets. Some of the Southern targets are likely to be primary-user targets of MeerKAT during its nominal observations. In these cases, we will be able to re-use the primary synthesized

Table 3. Example prioritization system

Rank	Count	Total data	Filterbank products	Raw voltages	Observed bands	Extended target strategy
Maximal	12	~ 0.6 PB	3 × 5 min ABACAD	5 min in a low-, medium-, and high- ν bands for at least one pointing	All	~ 5 pointings, including core and axes
High	30	~ 0.4 PB	3 × 5 min ABACAD	1 min in medium-frequency band for one pointing	All (one pointing), three (other pointings)	~ 3 pointings
Medium	60	~ 0.3 PB	3 × 5 min ABACAD	None	Three	~ 3 pointings
Low	~ 700	~ 0.6 PB	As available	None	Single	1 pointing

NOTE—The ABACAD (and related ABABAB) strategies) involve pointing the telescope on- and then off- target to constrain RFI; see [Price et al. \(2020\)](#) for further information. The data rates assume that half the objects at each rank are extended, for an order-of-magnitude estimate.

beam data for our observations. Others will likely enter the field of view during large sky area surveys. Additionally, Breakthrough Listen’s backend will allow us to form dozens of commensal beams within the dish field of view. While most of these beams will be dedicated to the one million star effort of Breakthrough Listen, several will typically be available for exotica observing efforts. From time to time, we may substitute a suitable object in the MeerKAT field for a given Prototype by using supplementary catalogs. VERITAS has a wide field of view, with diameter of several degrees ([Weekes et al. 2002](#)). Many of the objects in the *Exotica Catalog* have been primary targets of VERITAS. Others are clustered near the targets, like M81 and NGC 3077 near M82 ([VERITAS Collaboration et al. 2009](#)), or the galaxies in the Virgo and Perseus Clusters ([Acciari et al. 2008, 2009](#)). Thus, for many of the Northern *Exotica Catalog* targets, it will simply be a matter of collecting VERITAS’s commensal observations and organizing them.

The large angular extent of many of the objects in the catalog pose another challenge, especially in high-frequency radio observations where the beams of the telescopes are very small. It would be impractical to map the full extent of the sources with the GBT and Parkes. For the low priority sources, we will observe only the core or flux peak of the target, as a proxy for the potential for activity. There will be additional pointings for higher priority sources, resource permitting, generally including two at the ends of the semimajor axis. In some cases where there are multiple well-defined interesting spots, we will target these (e.g., the hotspots of radio galaxies). Parkes’ multibeam receiver will also be useful, since it covers seven times the sky area, although its frequency range is limited. The electronic beamforming capabilities of MeerKAT will afford us more flexibility; we will be able to dedicate a synthesized beam to “painting” the entire primary field of view, allowing us to construct low sensitivity maps. The APF’s nominal

field of view of 1 square arcsecond will limit its use for extended exotica objects. VERITAS should be able to observe all but the largest targets in their entirety at any given moment.

Another part of our strategy will be to opportunistically take advantage of available time. As we complete observations of the I17 sample with our main radio facilities, the GBT and Parkes, more time will be freed up for exotica. Another tactic is to make use of the ON/OFF strategies we use to constrain RFI: we can use an exotica source as an OFF-source during observations of a program star or vice-versa, whereas previously we have used stars. The OFF observations themselves can be used for technosignature searches, using the ON-observations to check for RFI ([Price et al. 2020](#)). Additionally, the remaining GBT observations are mostly at high frequency, and are sensitive to the weather. When weather conditions preclude high frequency observations, we can observe exotica sources at low frequency. A similar strategy may be employed at other facilities, perhaps taking advantage of time when the Moon is up for at least the brighter sources.

Finally, some of the targets in the *Exotica Catalog* have already been observed as part of previous studies. These will not need to be re-observed. This too could be adapted to other facilities, adapting the catalog to include sources that have already been covered.

10.2. *Exotica campaigns and Breakthrough Listen*

Several focused programs to observe unusual classes of objects have been completed, and more are ongoing. These single- or few-target campaigns are complementary to both our primary high-count effort in observing stars and galaxies over a hundred nearby galaxies and also the high-breadth catalog. These have included:

- *Interstellar asteroids: A test case for SETI* – The interstellar objects 1I/’Oumuamua ([’Oumuamua ISSI Team et al. 2019](#)) and 2I/Borisov ([Guzik](#)

et al. 2020) were observed by us as they passed through the Solar System. Starfaring ETIs might very slowly diffuse through the galaxy by hitching rides on comets. More pragmatically, our observing campaigns for I1/'Oumuamua and 2I/Borisov act as rehearsals if a convincing ETI probe is detected. The 'Oumuamua observations included two hours each in L, S, C, and X-bands, allowing us to cover the entire rotation cycle of the object to an EIRP sensitivity of 0.08 W, comparable to some Bluetooth or WiFi transmitters (Enriquez et al. 2018). We also observe Solar System asteroids that have been postulated to be captured interstellar objects, the first being the observation of (514107) 2015 BZ₅₀₉ on Parkes for ten minutes of total integration (Price et al. 2019b). These observations are being supplemented by observations of other candidate captured interstellar objects, effectively adding a new class of objects to the Breakthrough Listen program.

- *FRB 121102 and other FRBs: Transient astrophysics* – The Breakthrough Listen backend can also be applied to phenomena thought to be natural. In Gajjar et al. (2018), we observed the repeating FRB 121102 with the backend on the GBT for an entire hour. On average, one burst was detected every three minutes, achieving the highest frequency detections of the anomalous object; we also independently confirmed the high rotation measure discovered by Michilli et al. (2018). The long time devoted to these observations allowed us to observe and characterize many bursts, which would not have been possible with a five minute observing time. This highlights the advantage of the flexible nature of campaigns, allowing us to achieve high depth. The backend of Parkes was able to record a real-time fast radio burst, FRB 180301, in commensal mode (Price et al. 2019a). Significantly, we were able to capture raw voltages on this anomalous object, greatly increasing the analysis possibilities. We expect to observe other FRBs in the future.
- *Ultracool dwarfs: Extending I17* – We have devoted time to specifically observe five nearby ultracool dwarfs of spectral types late M to early Y with Parkes, as reported by Price et al. (2020). The observations of each object was otherwise similar to those of the stars in the catalog. The purpose of these observations was to extend the completeness of I17, effectively supplementing it with a new object type. In the future, it might be possible to

further increase the I17 catalog's breadth by running short campaigns on other object classes, such as nearby white dwarfs (c.f., Gertz 2019).

- *SETI campaigns on stars: Following up on SETI candidates* – Campaigns have also been undertaken on the facilities to test claims of technosignatures. Borra & Trottier (2016) reported the existence of a spectral comb in the Sloan spectra of dozens of Sun-like stars, which they interpreted as resulting from coherent pulses repeating on picosecond timescales. The two brightest of these stars were observed with the APF, but no signs of a spectral comb were found in the spectra (Isaacson et al. 2019). Other campaigns to follow up on individual objects that have been noted by SETI researchers include optical observations of Boyajian's Star with VERITAS (Lipman et al. 2019), radio observations of the Random Transiter (Brzycki et al. 2019), and radio follow up of an ETI candidate signal from Ross 128 (Enriquez et al. 2019). These observations were scheduled rapidly, within four days for Ross 128. With campaigns, new high-priority targets can be followed up rapidly, without waiting for the catalog to be updated.

These efforts demonstrate the uses of campaigns to supplement the *Exotica Catalog*: (1) rapid follow-up of new and time-sensitive discoveries; (2) deep observations of high-priority targets; (3) supplementing the primary program to expand its breadth; and (4) moderate count programs of unconventional targets. These advantages should apply to other programs as well.

11. SUMMARY

Breakthrough Listen intends to spend $\sim 10\%$ of its observing time on exotic objects. There are many reasons to search for technological intelligence in unconventional places. Unearthlike or nonbiological entities will not be constrained to live in Earthly habitats hospitable to lifeforms like us. It is also conceivable that some kinds of seemingly natural phenomena are the result of alien engineering. Yet there are good motivations for observing unusual objects even if ETIs cannot possibly live there. Extreme, energetic objects are more likely to produce unusual signals, particularly transients, that might be confused with artificial signals. Breakthrough Listen has unique instrumentation, and observation of a broad range of objects would benefit the general astronomy community. Finally, there could be unaccounted for systematic errors in our systems that give false positives. Observing exotic objects and empty regions on the sky allow us to constrain these possibilities.

Whereas the nearby star and galaxy catalogs aim for depth and high count, efforts to look for exotica can be more broad, trying to peek at everything that might be interesting. Breakthrough Listen’s approach to exotica is twofold. First, from time to time, we have dedicated programs that observe one to a few objects of one class. Although we sample only a few types of object this way, these types are very diverse. Efforts include observations of the interstellar minor body ‘Oumuamua and the repeating fast radio burst FRB 121102. By focusing these efforts on just a few objects, we can achieve deep sensitivity with the long integration times devoted to them.

The other prong is the *Exotica Catalog*, which is the main focus of this paper. The *Exotica Catalog* aims to achieve the widest possible breadth, including the most diverse range of celestial phenomena possible. The catalog itself contains four samples:

- The Prototype sample includes an archetypal object of each type of astrophysical phenomenon.

- The Superlative sample list record-breaking objects with the most extreme values of basic properties like mass.

- The Anomaly sample contains objects and phenomena that are inexplicable by current theories, including candidate positive events from other SETI efforts.

- A small Control sample includes objects once thought to be anomalous or noteworthy but that turned out to be banal or non-existent, and will be used as a check on systematics and to rehearse observations.

There are 816 objects in the total *Exotica Catalog*, which will be sorted into different levels of priority. About a dozen sources will be observed with maximum priority and will include raw voltage data in multiple bands. Most will be low priority and will be observed as allowed by time.

We hope that the *Exotica Catalog* will prove useful to other efforts, both within SETI and outside it, in characterizing the whole panoply of objects in the known Universe.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Breakthrough Listen is managed by the Breakthrough Initiatives, sponsored by the Breakthrough Prize Foundation.

This work would not have been possible without the extensive use of a number of online databases. It has made thorough use of the SIMBAD database, the VizieR catalogue access tool, and the cross-match service XMatch, all operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France. We have also benefited immensely from the NASA Astrophysics Data System Bibliographic Services and the arXiv preprint server. This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database, which is funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and operated by the California Institute of Technology.

We thank the referee for their comments, and we thank Jill Tarter and Ken Shen for suggestions and clarifications.

APPENDIX

A. THE PROTOTYPE SAMPLE

A.1. *Minor bodies*

We classify Solar System minor bodies according to both orbital family and composition, with a small number of additional subtypes. Minor bodies of specific compositions might be selected by ETIs for mining (c.f., Papagiannis 1978). From a SETI perspective, orbital families might be targeted by ETI probes to provide a unique vantage point over bodies like the Earth, or because they are dynamically stable for long periods of time and could accumulate a large number of artifacts (e.g., Benford 2019). There is a large overlap in some cases between spectral and orbital groups (as in DeMeo & Carry 2014), as with the E-belt and E-type asteroids, for which we use the same Prototype.

For asteroids, our spectral-type system is largely taken from Tholen (1984) (see also Tedesco et al. 1989). We selected those types considered the most significant by Tholen (1984), adding those unique to one or a few members. Some intermediate classes that blend into larger “complexes” in the more recent Bus & Binzel (2002) taxonomy were omitted. In choosing the Prototypes, we were guided by the classifications of Tholen (1984), Tedesco et al. (1989), and Bus & Binzel (2002).

The comet orbital classifications were informed by Levison (1996).

“Distant minor planets”, adapting the “distant objects” term used by the Minor Planet Center,⁴⁵ refer to outer Solar System bodies beyond the Jupiter Trojans that are not comets. The spectral type system is that of Barucci et al. (2005) and Fulchignoni et al. (2008), with the latter guiding our Prototype selection. The division into orbital groups is based on the system in Gladman et al. (2008), which we consulted especially when selecting Scattered Disk and Detached objects. We aimed to select Prototypes that are almost certainly minor bodies and not dwarf planets, as indicated by a “probably not dwarf planet” designation on Mike Brown’s website.⁴⁶

The small classification system for satellites into regular, irregular, and “collisional shards” in Burns (1986) informs our classification.

Hughes et al. (2018) informed our grouping of debris disks into cold, warm, and hot/exozodis.

A.2. *Solid planetoids*

Planets were classed according to size and stellar insolation. *Kepler* result papers classifies planets by radius into: Earths ($< 1.25 R_{\oplus}$), Super-Earths ($1.25\text{--}2 R_{\oplus}$), Neptunes ($2\text{--}6 R_{\oplus}$), Jupiters ($6\text{--}15 R_{\oplus}$), and non-planetary ($> 15 R_{\oplus}$) (Borucki et al. 2011; Batalha et al. 2013). We adjusted this scheme by: (1) setting the boundary between solid super-Earths and giant Neptunes at $1.5 R_{\oplus}$, except when density is known (Rogers 2015; Fulton et al. 2017); (2) adding a sub-Earth category for radii $< 0.75 R_{\oplus}$ to cover planets like Mars where the habitability prospects are likely different (e.g., Wordsworth 2016); (3) using the Weiss & Marcy (2014) relation to translate the radii categories into mass categories when no radius is available, using the mean $\sin i$ of $\pi/4$ as a guide. The mass categories for solid planetoids are sub-Earths ($\lesssim 0.4 M_{\oplus}$), Earth ($\sim 0.4\text{--}2 M_{\oplus}$), and super-Earths ($\sim 2\text{--}4.5 M_{\oplus}$, unless densities are known).

We use the terms “hot”, “warm”, “temperate”, and “cold” to group by insolation. Cold planets are outside the conventional habitable zone (roughly taken to be $\lesssim 0.25$ Earth), temperate planets are within the conventional habitable zone ($\sim 0.25\text{--}2$ Earth), warm planets are interior to the habitable zone but with insulations $\lesssim 100$ Earth, and hot planets have insulations $\gtrsim 100$ Earth. The distinction between “warm” and “hot” carries over from the giant planets, where warm planets around Sunlike stars are defined by period or semimajor axis (Dong et al. 2014; Huang et al. 2016; Petrovich & Tremaine 2016). The insolation range for “temperate” planets was chosen somewhat arbitrarily, partly to include Mars on the outside and leave no gaps with the “warm” planets as defined in the literature. Kopparapu et al. (2014) finds habitable zone boundaries that range from 0.8–1.4 Earth on the inside (generally near 1.0 AU) to 0.2–0.4 Earth on the outside. All the “temperate” Prototypes have insulations of 0.39–1.1 Earth, a more conservative range, except for the temperate Jupiter HD 93083b with 1.8 Earth.

⁴⁵ <https://www.minorplanetcenter.net/iau/mpc.html>

⁴⁶ <http://web.gps.caltech.edu/~mbrown/dps.html>

Dwarf planets in the Solar System are classed according to the spectral type and orbit, similarly to minor bodies (Appendix A.1). With the exception of Sedna, only the IAU-recognized dwarf planets (Ceres, Pluto, Eris, Makemake, Haumea) are listed as dwarf planets.

We added a “geological” classification intended to very roughly sample the diversity of surface environments and histories in the Solar System, excluding the Earth itself.

A.3. Giant planets

Giant planets are classed according to insolation and size (see Appendix A.2). Where possible we use densities to distinguish between ice giants and gas giants. We also added a “Superjovian” category to cover a better range of planetary masses. In the literature, the minimum mass for “superjovians” has been defined as 1, 3, and 5 M_J (Clanton & Gaudi 2014; Johnson et al. 2009; Currie et al. 2014). We arbitrarily are guided by a threshold of $\sim 3 M_J$, and allow $M \sin i$ values of 2–10 M_J .

An additional subcategory classifies giant planets according to (non-main sequence) stellar host, with a final entry for a resonant chain system.

A.4. Stars

Our estimation is that the major distinction between different types of stars is based on evolutionary stage and stellar mass.

Low mass protostars and pre-main sequence stars are classed numerically in the literature as 0, I, II, and III according to obscuration, where II and III correspond to T Tauri stages (Lada 1987). We retain the distinction between class 0 and 1 protostars and T Tauri stars. High mass protostars are given their own categories.

Sub-brown dwarfs are too small to have fused deuterium but are believed to have formed similarly to stars, as opposed to giant planets (Caballero 2018).

Brown dwarfs and main sequence (MS) stars are classed by Harvard spectral type. Each spectral type is divided into early (0-3), mid (4-6), and late (7+) subdivisions. Where possible we chose spectral standards as Prototypes (Morgan & Keenan 1973; Kirkpatrick et al. 1991; Walborn et al. 2002; Kirkpatrick 2005; Burgasser et al. 2006). We also favored stars in I17, because we have already observed a wide range of B through mid-M dwarfs. For brown dwarfs, we also tried to choose those with a mass that was clearly below the hydrogen-burning limit.

Covering post-MS evolution is more complicated. Stars that are actually in distinct evolutionary stages can appear on the same place in the HR diagram, such as the red giant branch and the asymptotic giant branch. To start, we divided post-MS stars into mass groups with qualitatively different evolution:

- *Very low mass stars* (initial mass $\lesssim 0.2 M_\odot$) are not predicted to have a red giant phase, but no isolated post-MS examples are known (Laughlin et al. 1997).
- *Low mass stars* (initial mass $\sim 0.2\text{--}2.2 M_\odot$) pass through a red giant branch (RGB) phase terminating in a helium flash in their degenerate cores. The RGB star rapidly ($\ll 10^6$ yr) transitions to a Core Helium Burning (CHeB) phase before ascending the Asymptotic Giant Branch (AGB). The ultimate remnant is a CO white dwarf.
- *Intermediate mass stars*⁴⁷ (initial mass $\sim 2.2\text{--}7 M_\odot$) ascend the RGB but do not have a helium flash, settle gradually into the CHeB phase, possibly executing a “blue loop”, before ascending the AGB. The ultimate remnant is a CO white dwarf (Karakas & Lattanzio 2014).
- *Transitional mass stars*⁴⁸ (initial mass $\sim 7\text{--}11 M_\odot$) proceed similarly to the intermediate mass stars until the end of the AGB phase, whereupon they begin carbon burning as a super-AGB phase. Those with lower mass end as an ONeMg white dwarf, while larger ones may undergo electron capture supernovae and possibly leave behind neutron stars (Karakas & Lattanzio 2014; Woosley & Heger 2015; Jones et al. 2016). The division between these two fates is not precisely known, so we do not make the distinction.

⁴⁷ Karakas & Lattanzio (2014) calls these lower-intermediate mass stars.

⁴⁸ This term does not appear in the literature; we use it to indicate they have characteristics similar to smaller intermediate mass stars (no core collapse supernova) and massive stars (later stages of nuclear burning, possible neutron star remnants). Karakas & Lattanzio (2014) calls these stars middle- and massive-intermediate mass stars.

- *Massive stars* (initial mass $\sim 11\text{--}40 M_{\odot}$) undergo later stages of core nuclear burning. They switch between being red and blue supergiants during these later stages (Gordon & Humphreys 2019). They may have pronounced mass loss that transforms them into Wolf-Rayet stars (Clark et al. 2012). Massive stars end with a core collapse leaving behind a neutron star or black hole (Heger et al. 2003).
- *Very massive stars* (initial mass $\gtrsim 40 M_{\odot}$) leave the main sequence but are unable to become red supergiants, probably because of their extreme mass loss (Humphreys & Davidson 1979; Woosley et al. 2002). They instead become blue supergiants and blue hypergiants, and after the mass loss, Wolf-Rayet stars (Clark et al. 2012). The stellar remnant is a black hole or nothing at all (Heger et al. 2003).

ETIs living around stars in different groups would face different challenges when adapting to post-MS evolution (for example, the post-helium flash contraction would require large-scale migration over just a few millennia to remain in the habitable zone). For low- and intermediate-mass stars, we preferred to use *Gaia* benchmark stars with well-determined masses (Heiter et al. 2015).

The evolutionary stages are supplemented with stars with atypical characteristics, including chemically peculiar stars, Be stars with decretion disks, pulsar-like stars, Population II stars, and a collection of pulsational variables. Peculiar stars that are the result of stellar mergers are emphasized because of their diverse and unique evolutionary histories (e.g., Jeffery 2008; Heber 2016).

A.5. Collapsed stars

These are divided into white dwarfs, neutron stars, and black holes.

White dwarfs are mainly grouped according to mass (Liebert et al. 2005) or spectral type (Sion et al. 1983) with supplemental subtypes based on evolutionary, composition, magnetic, or variability characteristics.

Neutron stars are grouped primarily by their rotation rate and magnetic field. These parameters also control the emission we observe and are related to evolutionary state (e.g., Alpar et al. 1982; Olausen & Kaspi 2014).

Black holes are grouped by detection method. Only a few detached black holes are known with firm positions, and many candidates are disputed (e.g., El-Badry & Quataert 2020; van den Heuvel & Tauris 2020; Bodensteiner et al. 2020), constraining our choice of Prototypes.

A.6. Interacting binary stars

We group interacting binary stars powered by accretion by the nature of the mass donor and that of the recipient:

- *Semidetached and contact binaries* – both components are stars (i.e., not stellar remnants).
- *Symbiotic stars* – donor is a giant, recipient is a small early-type star or a white dwarf (Belczyński et al. 2000). Symbiotic systems including neutron stars are listed under X-ray binaries.
- *Cataclysmic variables (CVs)* – donor is a late-type dwarf star, recipient is a white dwarf. They are further divided by variability/eruption characteristics (Osaki 1996; Schaefer 2010) and white dwarf magnetic field interaction with the accretion disk (Patterson 1994). Closely related are the AM CVn binaries, where the donor is a helium star or white dwarf, and the close binary supersoft sources where the donor is a higher mass subgiant (Kahabka & van den Heuvel 1997).
- *X-ray binaries* – The recipient is a neutron star or black hole. They are further divided based on the mass of the donor (low mass or high mass), and still further by the mode of mass transfer and other characteristics (see especially Reig 2011; Kaaret et al. 2017). X-ray binaries with an extreme super-Eddington accretion rate or luminosity (including the ultraluminous X-ray sources) are given their own special subcategory. Two more empirical types where the recipient’s nature is indeterminate round out the category.

In a few cases, stellar outflows rather than accretion dominates the system. These include systems where shocks dominate the luminosity (like colliding wind binaries), and the spider pulsars where a formerly-accreting neutron star ablates its companion (e.g., Dubus 2013; Roberts 2013).

A.7. *Stellar groups*

We include non-interacting binary and multiple stars with other stellar groups like star clusters. As in [Eggleton & Tokovinin \(2008\)](#), they are distinguished from clusters by their hierarchical organization. Binary systems are well represented in the I17 catalog, and we do not try to capture all combinations of stellar types or separations. We specifically include double degenerate systems, however, which are not included in I17, and have the potential to be sites of ETI activity ([Dyson 1963](#)). A few binaries classified by how they are detected from Earth or physical effects (heartbeat, eclipsing and self-lensing, chromospherically active) are included when they indicate distinct physical phenomena could be exploited for observation coordination by ETIs; visual, astrometric, photometric, and beaming binaries are excluded, however.

Globular clusters are classified according to the orbit classification of [Mackey & van den Bergh \(2005\)](#); additional subtypes are based on internal structure and luminosity. Other stellar clusters are divided into massive super star clusters, “faint fuzzies”, nuclear clusters (including former nuclei of dwarf galaxies), and open star clusters.

Some unbound stellar associations are also included, when well-studied examples were not too large on the sky to be practically observed.

A.8. *Interstellar medium and nebulae*

The interstellar medium (ISM) as a whole has a complicated turbulent structure, although it is classically divided into hot, warm, and cool and cold phases (e.g., [Cox 2005](#)). Some structures in the interstellar medium are too large to practically study with our facilities: the hot ISM, the loops, the warm ionized medium, and so on. In terms of the general ISM, we focus on molecular clouds and HII regions, which are relatively compact. These are classed according to column density into translucent and dark clouds, with the dark clouds further divided by scale. Molecular clouds have self-similar structure, and although they are sometimes labeled as complexes, clouds, clumps, and cores in the literature, the divisions are arbitrary (see [Wu et al. 2010](#)). HII regions formed within the molecular clouds are also included and likewise grouped by density/size ([Habing & Israel 1979](#)).

Most of the entries in this phylum are structures produced by outflows from central engines and their interaction with the general ISM. These are grouped according to the nature of the central engine.

Additionally, we include a bubble of cosmic rays to represent the nonthermal ISM, and two circumgalactic medium clouds that we judged practical to observe.

A.9. *Galaxies*

We regard the fundamental distinction between galaxies as based on their specific star-formation rate (sSFR), the ratio of star-formation rate and stellar mass. There is a natural division in this parameter plane that is robust out to high redshift (z) into quiescent galaxies, intermediate galaxies, “main sequence” star-forming galaxies, and starbursts ([Brinchmann et al. 2004](#); [Elbaz et al. 2011](#); [Speagle et al. 2014](#); [Renzini & Peng 2015](#)). The first three categories translate into different features on a color-magnitude diagram: the red sequence, green valley, and blue cloud, respectively (e.g., [Strateva et al. 2001](#); [Wyder et al. 2007](#)). The abundance of phenomena that might affect galactic habitability or could be used for astroengineering, like core collapse supernovae, is tied to sSFR. At low redshift, quiescent galaxies are associated with early-type morphologies (ellipticals, spheroidals, and lenticulars), while main sequence galaxies are associated with late-type morphologies (late-type spirals and irregulars), but the correlation is not exact and we specifically include outliers as subtypes. At $z \sim 0$, there is also a correlation with environment, with quiescent galaxies more often located in clusters, although we again include outliers.

Among the quiescent galaxies, there appears to be a robust division of most large ellipticals into two types: boxy/cored and disk/coreless. The division is based on surface brightness profiles, shape, and X-ray emission ([Kormendy et al. 2009](#)). A related division (not included in this version of the catalog) is between fast rotators and slow rotators, where (massive) slow rotators have the more boxy isophotes, brighter X-ray emission, and central light deficits of the boxy/cored ellipticals and the disk/coreless properties correlated with the fast rotators ([Emsellem et al. 2007, 2011](#); [Sarzi et al. 2013](#)). The prototypes for the boxy/cored and disk/coreless galaxies were chosen to be unambiguous slow and fast rotators according to [Emsellem et al. \(2011\)](#). Small early-type galaxies tend to be divided into high-density compact galaxies and low-density dwarf galaxies. There is a vigorous debate in the literature about which are more likely to be the analogs of large ellipticals, and which form a separate sequence (e.g., [Graham & Guzmán 2003](#); [Kormendy & Bender 2012](#)). Dwarf quiescent galaxies are arbitrarily divided into dwarf elliptical, spheroidal, and ultrafaint simply to cover a full range in mass.

Green valley galaxies are a heterogeneous class, and are here classed mainly by the mode of their passage through the “valley” (Salim 2014; Schawinski et al. 2014).

The blue main sequence galaxies are very diverse. Note the characteristic sSFR decreases with time since the Big Bang (Speagle et al. 2014): a galaxy that would be classified as main sequence at $z \sim 2$ would be considered a starburst now, and thus their $z \sim 0$ analogs are placed among the starbursts. In the present-day Universe, these are classified coarsely by morphology (see below for discussion of fine morphology types), with a few subtypes each of late spirals and irregulars. We chose Prototypes mainly based on having consistent morphological types between de Vaucouleurs et al. (1991), Karachentsev et al. (2013), Ann et al. (2015), and Buta et al. (2015).

High-redshift star-forming galaxies have been classified mainly by spectrophotometric characteristics (e.g., BzK galaxies satisfy certain criteria in $(B - z)$ and $(z - K)$ colors). These subtypes can include both main sequence and true starburst galaxies. Our choice of Prototypes is constricted by the need for them to be gravitationally lensed to boost our sensitivity. In some cases we were unable to find a likely candidate of a common class of high-redshift galaxies (particularly, no lensed main sequence Lyman Alpha Emitters or main sequence Lyman Break Galaxies).

Starbursts are those galaxies with sSFRs significantly above the typical sSFR of star-forming galaxies at their redshift (Elbaz et al. 2011). We group them into nuclear starbursts occurring in the centers of larger galaxies, and dwarf starbursts occurring in small galaxies. We also specifically include some relatively nearby starbursts noted to have properties analogous to high- z galaxies, in addition to some lensed starbursts at high redshift, to further constrain the possibility that habitability evolves with time.

Disturbed galaxies broadly fall into three types of unrelated origins: the ring galaxies (which themselves have diverse origins), interacting galaxies, and galaxies affected by ram pressure stripping in an intracluster medium.

We add a catchall class of “morphological subtypes”, which includes examples of galaxies hosting many kinds of features, particularly those found in galactic disks. There are many morphological classification schemes for disk galaxies. The basic sequence from early to late types is universal (e.g., Hubble 1926) and is included in the previous classes. In many traditional systems, the disk galaxies are classified by the strengths of their bar patterns (de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991; Graham 2019). van den Bergh (1976) instead classifies them according to the prominence of their arms from spirals to “anemic” galaxies to lenticulars (Kormendy & Bender 2012). Spiral arms themselves come in a great many varieties, from grand design to flocculent varieties (Elmegreen & Elmegreen 1982). Added to this are many other obvious morphological features in disk galaxies: rings, pseudo-rings, lenses, plumes, and more, all with a number of variants (Buta et al. 2015). Each feature adds another dimension to parameter space. The resultant morphological types are lengthy and can vary from paper to paper. To avoid combinatorial explosion, we just have a list of possible features (see Buta et al. 2015, for detailed discussion of these features). Some galaxies here are Prototypes for several types to minimize the number of galaxies observed. Prototypes are chosen by their classification in Comerón et al. (2014) and Buta et al. (2015), especially if they are given as explicit examples of a morphology in Table 1 of Buta et al. (2015).

Finally, we include three types of galaxies defined by their relationships with their cosmic environment.

A.10. AGNs

There are a plethora of classification schemes for AGNs, as reviewed in Padovani et al. (2017). We use a canonical division of AGNs into the major divisions of LINERs, Seyferts, radio galaxies, quasars, and blazars as the foundation (e.g., Peterson 1997). Except for LINERs, these are further subdivided into the common categories inspired by optical and radio characteristics (as in Osterbrock 1977; Fanaroff & Riley 1974; Kellermann et al. 1989; Ghisellini et al. 2011, respectively). These main object types represent different luminosities, radio-loudness, and viewing angle, with some admitted overlap between the classes (Urry & Padovani 1995; Padovani et al. 2017).

Additional classes were added to cover objects with unusual spectral or morphological features, or the presence of multiple supermassive black holes.

A few auxiliary objects related to AGNs have also been included: megamasers and AGN relics (a voorwerp and a fossil AGN).

A.11. Galaxy associations

Galaxy associations are mainly classified by richness, from isolated pairs of galaxies through groups and clusters. Only compact and “fossil” groups are included due to practicality considerations, as neither of these types is vastly larger than a galaxy (Hickson 1993), whereas nearby galaxy groups cover too much of the sky to practically observe.

A very simple galaxy cluster classification scheme is used, based on relative symmetry and richness (compare with the more elaborate systems in Bahcall 1977). A high-redshift protocluster, a grouping which has not yet virialized at the time of observation, is in the sample.

To the structures themselves, we also included examples of features in the intracluster medium (ICM) of galaxy clusters, both thermal and nonthermal (e.g., Markevitch & Vikhlinin 2007; van Weeren et al. 2019).

A.12. Large-scale structures

Most large-scale structures (which include superclusters, voids, and “Great Walls” of galaxies) are too diffuse and large to observe practically. The included “attractor” and “repeller” points are not physical objects, but instead indicate local sinks and sources in the peculiar velocity of galaxies. They do roughly correspond to a dense group of clusters and a void, respectively (Hoffman et al. 2017), and may draw the attention of ETIs as special places.

A.13. Technology

Which active satellites are available for observation will depend on new launches and re-entries. Although we list some major classes of satellite, the selection of most of the individual sources will be opportunistic.

A.14. Not real

We include the Solar antipoint as a “source” because of its special significance in SETI. The Earth transits the Sun as seen by observers at stars in this direction. It has been suggested that ETIs that observe Earth transits would be especially motivated to broadcast in our direction because they know a habitable planet exists; furthermore, the transit itself can be used for synchronization (Shostak 2004; Heller & Pudritz 2016; Sheikh et al. 2020).

One each of the stable Earth-Moon and Earth-Sun Lagrange points is included. These points have been proposed as locations where probes may reside (Freitas & Valdes 1980; Benford 2019).

Like the Solar antipoint, the Galactic anticenter is included as a special point on the sky. Benford et al. (2010) proposes that ETIs would preferentially beam transmission to and away from the Galactic Center, since it defines a natural axis or corridor. Thus, we might then expect to see transmissions from ETIs further out in the disk than us from the location of the Galactic anticenter.

A.15. Tables

Table A1 lists the entire Prototype sample, organized by the type of objects they are supposed to represent.

We also list transient phenomena in Table A2, organized according to their predictability. Specific examples listed may not display the transient phenomenon at any one given time.

Table A1. Prototype sample

Type	Subtype	Prototype	ID	Solar?	Ref
Minor body					
Asteroid	A-type	446 Aeternitas	001	✓	...
	C-type	52 Europa	002	✓	B02
	D-type	624 Hektor	003	✓	...
	E-type	434 Hungaria	004	✓	B02
	M-type	16 Psyche	005	✓	...
	O-type	3628 Božněmcová	006	✓	B02
	P-type	420 Bertholda	007	✓	...
	Q-type	1862 Apollo	008	✓	B02, T84
	R-type	349 Dembowska	009	✓	B02, T84
	S-type	15 Eunomia	010	✓	...
	T-type	233 Asterope	011	✓	...
	V-type	4 Vesta	012	✓	B02, T84
	Binary (double)		90 Antiope	013	✓

Table A1 continued

Table A1 (*continued*)

Type	Subtype	Prototype	ID	Solar?	Ref
	Asteroid satellite	Dactyl	014	✓	...
	Mercury-crossers	3200 Phaethon	015	✓	...
	Vatira	2020 AV ₂	016	✓	...
	Venus co-orbital	(322756) 2001 CK ₃₂	017	✓	...
	Atira	163693 Atira	018	✓	...
	Aten	3753 Cruithne	019	✓	...
	Arjuna	1991 VG	020	✓	...
	Apollo	1862 Apollo	008	✓	...
	Earth Trojan	2010 TK ₇	021	✓	...
	Earth horseshoe	3753 Cruithne	019	✓	...
	Earth quasisatellite	(469219) Kamo'oalewa	022	✓	...
	Earth Kozai librator	4660 Nereus	023	✓	...
	Amor	433 Eros	024	✓	...
	Mars Trojan	5261 Eureka	025	✓	...
	Hungaria	434 Hungaria	004	✓	...
	Flora	8 Flora	026	✓	...
	Main Belt Zone I	4 Vesta	012	✓	...
	Phocaea	25 Phocaea	027	✓	...
	Main Belt Zone II	15 Eunomia	010	✓	...
	Main Belt Zone III	52 Europa	002	✓	...
	Cybele	65 Cybele	028	✓	...
	Hilda	153 Hilda	029	✓	...
	Jupiter Trojan	624 Hektor	003	✓	...
Comet	Typical composition	6P/d'Arrest	030	✓	...
	Carbon-chain depleted	21P/Giacobini-Zinner	031	✓	1
	Active	1P/Halley	032	✓	...
	Manx	C/2014 S3 (PAN-STARRS)	033	✓	...
	Extinct (Damocloid)	5335 Damocles	034	✓	2
	Falling evaporating bodies	β Pic	035		...
	Encke-type	2P/Encke	036	✓	...
	Main belt comet	133P/Elst-Pizarro	037	✓	3
	Jupiter-family	9P/Tempel 1	038	✓	...
	Chiron-type	95P/Chiron	039	✓	4
	Halley-type	1P/Halley	032	✓	...
	Long-period	153P/Ikeya-Zhang	040	✓	...
Distant minor planet	BB-type	(24835) 1995 SM ₅₅	041	✓	...
	BR-type	(15788) 1993 SB	042	✓	...
	IR-type	(385185) 1993 RO	043	✓	...
	RR-type	15760 Albion	044	✓	...
	Binary	79360 Sila-Nunam	045	✓	...
	Centaur	2060 Chiron	039	✓	4
	Uranus Trojan	2011 QF ₉₉	046	✓	...
	Neptune Trojan	2001 QR ₃₂₂	047	✓	...
	Plutino	(385185) 1993 RO	043	✓	...
	Cold classical KBO	15760 Albion	044	✓	...
	Hot classical KBO	(523899) 1997 CV ₂₉	048	✓	...
	Haumea family	(24835) 1995 SM ₅₅	041	✓	...
	Twotino	(20161) 1996 TR ₆₆	049	✓	...
	Scattered disk object	(91554) 1999 RZ ₂₁₅	050	✓	...
	Detached object	(181902) 1999 RD ₂₁₅	051	✓	...
	Sednoid	541132 Leleākūhonua	052	✓	...
Minor satellite	Rocky	Phobos	053	✓	...
	Icy	Amalthea	054	✓	...
	Egg	Methone	055	✓	...

Table A1 *continued*

Table A1 (continued)

Type	Subtype	Prototype	ID	Solar?	Ref
	Collisional shard	Amalthea	054	✓	...
	Irregular (prograde)	Himalia	056	✓	...
	Irregular (retrograde)	Phoebe	057	✓	...
	Trojan	Helene	058	✓	...
	Co-orbital	Epimetheus	059	✓	...
	Temporary Earth minimoons	2006 RH ₁₂₀	060	✓	...
	Shepherd moon	Prometheus	061	✓	...
	Chaotic rotator	Hyperion	062	✓	...
Planetesimals	White dwarf bodies	WD 1145+017	063		...
Circumplanetary bodies	Planetary rings	Saturn	064	✓	...
	Ring arcs	Neptune	065	✓	...
	Lagrange point dust cloud	L ₅ Kordylewsky cloud	066	✓	...
Interstellar	Comet	2I/Borisov	067	✓	...
	'Oumuamua-type	1I/'Oumuamua	068	✓	...
Protoplanetary disk		TW Hya	069		...
	Dippers	EPIC 203937317	070		...
Transitional disk		GM Aur	071		...
Debris disk	Cold (Kuiper-analog)	τ Cet	072		...
	Warm (asteroidal)	κ Psc	073		...
	Hot (exozodi)	Altair	074		...
	Extreme	NGC 2547 ID8	075		5
	Planetary collision	BD+20 307	076		...
	Post-stellar (rejuvenated)	NGC 7293 central star	077		...
	Post-stellar (tidal disruption)	G29-38	078		...
	Post-stellar (evaporation)	WD J0914+1914	079		...
Solid planetoid					
Major planet	Mercury (warm)	Mercury	080	✓	...
	Supermercury	K2-229 b	081		...
	Sub-Earth (temperate)	Mars	082	✓	...
	Sub-Earth (warm)	Mercury	080	✓	...
	Sub-Earth (hot)	Kepler 444 d	083		...
	Earth (temperate)	Proxima b	084		...
	Earth (warm)	Venus	085	✓	...
	Earth (hot)	Kepler 78 b	086		...
	Superearth (cold)	Barnard's star b	087		...
	Superearth (temperate)	LHS 1140 b	088		...
	Superearth (warm)	HD 40307 f	089		...
	Superearth (hot)	55 Cnc e	090		...
	Resonant chain	TRAPPIST-1 bcdefg	091		...
Giant planet core		TOI 849 b	092		...
Disintegrating planet		KIC 12557548 b	093		6
Pulsar planet		PSR B1257+12 ABC	094		...
Dwarf planet	C-complex	1 Ceres	095	✓	...
	BB-type	136199 Eris	096	✓	...
	BR-type	134340 Pluto	097	✓	...
	RR-type	90377 Sedna	098	✓	...
	Main asteroid belt	1 Ceres	095	✓	...
	Plutino	134340 Pluto	097	✓	...
	Hot classical KBO	136472 Makemake	099	✓	...
	Haumea family	136108 Haumea	100	✓	...
	Detached	136199 Eris	096	✓	...
	Sednoid	90377 Sedna	098	✓	...
Major satellite	Rocky	Moon	101	✓	...

Table A1 continued

Table A1 (*continued*)

Type	Subtype	Prototype	ID	Solar?	Ref
Geological classifications	Icy	Titan	102	✓	...
	Retrograde	Triton	103	✓	...
	Resonant chain	Europa	104	✓	...
	Primordial	Callisto	105	✓	...
	Inactive	Ganymede	106	✓	...
	Solar	Mars	082	✓	...
	Convective	134340 Pluto	097	✓	...
	Hydrological	Titan	102	✓	...
	Stagnant lid	Venus	085	✓	...
	Tectonic	Europa	104	✓	...
	Cryovolcanic	Enceladus	107	✓	...
Volcanic	Io	108	✓	...	
Giant planet					
Ice giant	Cold Neptune	Neptune	065	✓	...
	Temperate Neptune	Kepler 22 b	109		...
	Warm Neptune	GJ 436 b	110		...
	Hot Neptune	HATS-P-26 b	111		...
Gas giant	Mini-Neptune	GJ 1214 b	112		...
	Cold Jupiter	Jupiter	113	✓	...
	Temperate Jupiter	HD 93083 b	114		...
	Warm Jupiter	HATS-17 b	115		...
	Hot Jupiter	HD 189733 b	116		...
	Inflated	HD 209458 b	117		...
	Sub-Saturn	Kepler 18 d	118		...
Superjovian	Cold super-Jovian	HR 8799 bcde	119		...
	Temperate super-Jovian	HD 28185 b	120		...
	Warm super-Jovian	HD 80606 b	121		...
	Hot super-Jovian	HD 147506 b	122		...
Host classification	Giant host	Pollux b	123		7
	White dwarf	WD J0914+1914	079		...
	Neutron star	PSR B1620-26 (AB) b	124		...
	Circumbinary (non-interacting)	Kepler 16 b	125		...
Orbital dynamics classes	Post-common envelope	NN Ser cd	126		...
	Resonant chain	Kepler 223 bcde	127		...
	Star				
Protostars	Class 0	IRAS 16293-2422	128		...
	Class I	Elias 29	129		...
	High mass	IRAS 20126+4104	130		...
Pre-main sequence	T Tauri	TW Hya	069		...
	Herbig Ae/Be	AB Aur	131		8
	FU Orionis	FU Ori	132		9
Sub-brown dwarf	Early Y	WISE J085510.83-071442.5	133		...
Brown dwarf	Early Y	WISE J071322.55-291751.9	134		...
	Late T	2MASS J0415195-093506	135		10
	Mid T	ε Ind Bb	136		...
	Early T	Luhman 16B	137		...
	Late L	Luhman 16A	138		...
	Mid L	HD 130948BC	139		11
	Early L	2MASS J1506544+132106	140		12
	M	PPL 15	141		...
	Main sequence	Early L	2MASS J0523-1403	142	

Table A1 *continued*

Table A1 (*continued*)

Type	Subtype	Prototype	ID	Solar?	Ref
	Late M	VB 10	143		K91, 13
	Mid M	Wolf 359	144		K91, 13
	Early M	HD 95735	145		K91, 13
	Late K	61 Cyg B	146		K91, MK73
	Mid K	61 Cyg A	147		K91, G94, MK73
	Early K	ϵ Eri	148		G94
	Late G	τ Cet	072		...
	Mid G	κ_1 Cet	149		G94
	Early G	Sun	150	✓	G94, MK73
	Late F	β Vir	151		MK73
	Mid F	π_3 Ori	152		G94, MK73
	Early F	78 UMa	153		G94, MK73
	Late A	α Cep	154		...
	Mid A	Alcor	155		...
	Early A	Vega	156		G94, MK73
	Late B	λ Aql	157		...
	Mid B	α Gru	158		...
	Early B	η UMa	159		G94, MK73
	Late O	10 Lac	160		G94, MK73
	Mid O	HD 46150	161		MK73, 14
	Early O	HD 64568	162		14
Low mass subgiants	K	κ CrB	163		MK73
	G	μ Her	164		G94, MK73
	F	Procyon A	165		H15
	A	ι UMa	166		MK73
Low mass RGB	M	γ Cru	167		...
	Late K	Aldebaran	168		H15
	Early K	Arcturus	169		H15
Low mass CHeB	Red clump	α Ser	170		...
	Red horizontal branch	BD +17 3248	171		...
	RR Lyrae	RR Lyr	172		...
	Blue horizontal branch	HD 161817	173		...
Low-intermediate mass AGB	M	R Dor	174		...
	S (intrinsic)	RS Cnc	175		...
	C	IRC +10216	176		...
	OH/IR	IRC +10011	177		...
Low-mid mass post-AGB	Post-AGB	HD 44179	178		15
	Final flash	V4334 Sgr	179		...
Intermediate mass subgiant	B	Regulus	180		...
	Hertzsprung gap	Capella Ab	181		...
Intermediate mass RGB	K	α Hya	182		MK73
	M	α Cet	183		MK73
Intermediate mass giant	K supergiant	ζ Aur	184		...
	G giant	ϵ Vir	185		G94, MK73, H15
Intermediate mass CHeB	Red clump	Capella Aa	186		...
	Blue loop	δ Cep	187		...
	Classical Cepheid	δ Cep	187		...
Transitional mass giant	K supergiant	β Ara	188		H15
	G supergiant	l Car	189		...
	AF supergiant	Canopus	190		...
	Super-AGB	MSX SMC 055	191		...
Massive post-MS	OB giant	ι Ori AB	192		MK73
	Blue (B) supergiant	ζ Per	193		MK73
	White (BA) supergiant	Deneb	194		G94, MK73

Table A1 *continued*

Table A1 (*continued*)

Type	Subtype	Prototype	ID	Solar?	Ref
	Red supergiant	Betelgeuse	195		G94, MK73
	Yellow hypergiant	ρ Cas	196		16
	Cool hypergiant	VY CMa	197		17
Wolf-Rayet	WR N	EZ CMa	198		18
	WR C	γ_2 Vel	199		...
	WR O	WR 102	200		...
Very massive post-MS	B hypergiant	ζ_1 Sco	201		...
	LBV (S Dor type)	AG Car	202		19
	LBV (η Car type)	η Car	203		20
Chemically peculiar	Metallic-line (Am) star	ϵ Ser	204		...
	Magnetic Ap star	α_2 CVn	205		21
	HgMn star	α And A	206		...
	λ Boo star	λ Boo	207		...
Population II brown dwarf	sdL	2MASS J0532+8246	208		...
Population II subdwarf	sdM	Kapteyn's star	209		...
	sdK	Groombridge 1830	210		...
	sdG	BD -00 4470	211		...
	sdF	HD 84937	212		H15
Population II subgiant		HD 140283	213		H15
Extremely metal poor		HD 122563	214		...
	CEMP (intrinsic)	HE 0107-5240	215		...
Pulsational variable	Long-period variable	Mira A	216		22
	α Cygni variable	Deneb	194		23
	Cepheid	δ Cep	187		...
	RR Lyrae variable	RR Lyr	172		...
	δ Scuti variable	δ Sct	217		...
	γ Dor variable	γ Dor	218		24
	Slowly Pulsating B variable	53 Per	219		...
	β Cephei variable	β Cep	220		...
	Blue large amplitude pulsator	OGLE BLAP-009	221		...
	Slowly-pulsating sdBV	PG 1716+426	222		25
	Rapidly-pulsating sdBV	V361 Hya	223		26
	Pulsating sdO	SDSS J160043.6+074802.9	224		27
Flare star	dMe	UV Cet	225		28
	Superflare star	κ_1 Cet	149		...
	RS CVn-type flare star	HR 1099	226		...
Stellar pulsar	Ultracool pulsar	TVLM 513-46546	227		29
	mCP pulsar	CU Vir	228		...
Rotationally extreme	Classical Be	ζ Tau	229		...
Post-merger/interaction	Luminous red nova	V838 Mon	230		...
	Yellow straggler	M67-S1237	231		...
	FK Com	FK Com	232		...
	R CrB	R CrB	233		...
	Extreme helium	HD 124448	234		30
	Blue straggler	40 Cnc	235		...
	Hot subdwarf (sdB)	HD 149382	236		...
	Hot subdwarf (sdO)	BD+28 4211	237		...
	Helium subdwarf (He-sdOB)	PG 1544+488	238		30, 31
	Dwarf carbon	G77-61	239		...
High velocity	Runaway	ζ Oph	240		...
	Hyperrunaway (Ia SN)	HD 271791	241		...
	Hypervelocity (SMBH)	HVS 1	242		...

Collapsed star

Table A1 *continued*

Table A1 (*continued*)

Type	Subtype	Prototype	ID	Solar?	Ref
White dwarf	Extremely low mass	NLTT 11748	243		...
	Low mass	LAWD 32	244		...
	Typical mass	van Maanen 2	245		...
	Massive	Sirius B	246		...
	Ultramassive	GD 50	247		...
	Central star of PN	NGC 7293 central star	077		...
	Pre-white dwarf	PG 1159-035	248		32
	Partially burned inflated WD	GD 492	249		33
	Post-double degenerate inflated WD	D6-3	250		...
	Helium core	NLTT 11748	243		...
	ONeMg	QU Vul	251		34
	Hot DA	G191-B2B	252		35
	Mid DA	Sirius B	246		...
	Cool DA	LHS 253	253		...
	Hot DB	GD 358	254		36
	Mid DB	LAWD 87	255		...
	DC	Stein 2051B	256		...
	DZ	van Maanen 2	245		...
	DQ	LAWD 37	257		...
	DO	HZ 21	258		...
	Hot DQ	WD 1150+012	259		...
	Oxygen-line (Dox)	SDSS 1102+2054	260		...
	Magnetic	Grw +70°8247	261		...
	Pulsating	ZZ Cet	262		...
	Blackbody	Ton 124	263		...
	Neutron star	Compact central object	1E 1207.4-5209	264	
Radio-quiet pulsar		Geminga	265		37
Radio-loud pulsar		Crab pulsar	266		...
Optical pulsar		Crab pulsar	266		...
Magnetar (radio quiet)		SGR 1806-20	267		...
Magnetar (radio loud)		XTE J1810-197	268		...
Magnetar (fast radio burster)		SGR 1935+2154	269		...
Rotating radio transient		PSR B0656+14	270		...
X-ray dim isolated NS		RX J1856.5-3754	271		...
Millisecond pulsar		PSR J0437-4715	272		...
Black hole	Accreting	Cygnus X-1	273		...
	Detached (globular cluster)	NGC 3201 BH1	274		...
	Failed SN	NGC 6946-BH1	275		...
Interacting binary star					
Semidetached	Algol-type	Algol	276		38
Contact binary	Shallow overcontact	W UMa	277		...
	Deep overcontact	FG Hya	278		...
Symbiotic star	OO Aql	OO Aql	279		...
	S-type	CH Cyg	280		...
	D-type	R Aqr	281		...
	Weakly symbiotic	Mira	216		...
	Symbiotic nova	RR Tel	282		39
	Supersoft source (symbiotic)	RR Tel	282		...
Cataclysmic variable	Symbiotic recurrent nova	RS Oph	283		40
	Dwarf nova	SS Cyg	284		41
	Novalike variable	UX UMa	285		42
	Recurrent nova (CV)	T Pyx	286		43

Table A1 *continued*

Table A1 (*continued*)

Type	Subtype	Prototype	ID	Solar?	Ref
	Old classical nova	GK Per	287		...
	Intermediate polar	DQ Her	288		...
	IP propeller	AE Aqr	289		...
	Polar	AM Her	290		...
	CV pulsar	AR Sco	291		...
AM CVn binary		AM CVn	292		...
Close binary SSS		QR And	293		...
Neutron star X-ray binary	Z source	Sco X-1	294		...
	Atoll source	4U 1608-52	295		...
	Type II burster	4U 1730-335	296		...
	Ultracompact LMXB	4U 1820-303	297		...
	Symbiotic LMXB	GX 1+4	298		...
	Accreting millisecond pulsar	SAX J1808.4-3658	299		...
	Transitional millisecond pulsar	PSR J1023+0038	300		...
	IMXB (NS)	Her X-1	301		...
	Be/X-ray binary (HMXB, NS)	A 0535+26	302		...
	Classical supergiant HMXB (NS)	Vela X-1	303		44
	Roche-lobe overflow HMXB (NS)	Cen X-3	304		...
	Symbiotic HMXB	4U 1954+31	305		...
Black hole XRB	Black hole LMXB	V404 Cyg	306		45
	Be/X-ray binary (BH)	MCW 656	307		...
	Supergiant HMXB (BH)	Cyg X-1	273		...
Microquasar	Microquasar	GRS 1915+105	308		46
Supercritical XRB	Faint supercritical	SS433	309		...
	Non-pulsating ULX	M82 X-1	310		...
	Supersoft ULX	M101 ULX-1	311		...
	Ultraluminous X-ray pulsar	M82 X-2	312		...
	Globular cluster ULX	RZ 2109 ULX	313		...
Indeterminate XRB	γ Cas	γ Cas	314		...
	Superfast X-ray transient	IGR J17544-2619	315		47
Outflow interaction binary	Colliding wind binary	WR 140	316		48
	Iron star	XX Oph	317		...
	Pulsar wind gamma-ray binary	PSR B1259-63	318		...
Spider pulsar	Black widow	PSR B1957+20	319		...
	Redback	PSR J1023+0038	300		49
	Huntsman	PSR J1417-4402	320		...
Stellar group					
Detached binaries	Star-star	α Cen AB	321		...
	Multiple young stellar object	T Tau	322		...
	Brown dwarf-brown dwarf	Luhman 16	323		...
	White dwarf-white dwarf	WD 0135-052	324		...
	Neutron star-planet mass remnant	PSR J1719-1438	325		...
	Neutron star-white dwarf	PSR J0437-4715	272		...
	Neutron star-neutron star	PSR B1913+16	326		...
	Post common envelope	HW Vir	327		50
	Heartbeat binary	KOI 54	328		...
	Eclipsing binary star	YY Gem	329		...
	Eclipsing disk	ϵ Aur	330		...
	Eclipsing binary pulsar	PSR J0737-3039	331		...
	Self-lensing binary	KIC 8145411	332		...
	Chromospherically active binary	RS CVn	333		51
Open star cluster	Young	IC 2391	334		...
	Old	M67	335		...

Table A1 *continued*

Table A1 (*continued*)

Type	Subtype	Prototype	ID	Solar?	Ref
Super star cluster		Westerlund 1	336		...
Faint fuzzy		N1023-FF-14	337		...
Globular cluster	Bulge-disk	47 Tuc	338		52
	Young halo	M15	339		...
	Old halo	NGC 6752	340		52
	Core-cusp	M15	339		...
	Extended	M31-EC4	341		...
	Ultrafaint	Palomar 1	342		...
Nuclear cluster		Central Cluster	343		...
	Stripped nucleus	ω Cen	344		...
R association		CMa R1	345		...
OB association	Compact	Cyg OB2	346		53
	Scaled	NGC 604	347		...
	Jet induced	Cen A outer filament	348		...
ISM					
Diffuse molecular cloud	Translucent sightline	ζ Oph cloud	349		54
	Photodissociation region	NGC 7023	350		...
Dark molecular cloud	Giant molecular cloud	Orion A	351		55
	Infrared dark cloud	G028.37+00.07	352		...
	Dark cloud	TMC-1	353		56
	Starless core	Barnard 68	354		57
	Hot core	Orion hot core	355		58
	Reflection nebula	NGC 7023	350		...
HI supershell		Sextans A hole	356		...
Star-forming HII regions	Classical HII region	M42	357		...
	Ultracompact	W3(OH)	358		59
	Giant	NGC 3603	359		...
Star-formation maser regions	Maser HII region	W3(OH)	358		60
	OH megamaser	Arp 220	360		...
Protostellar outflow	Herbig-Haro Object	HH 1	361		61
	Extended Green Object	EGO G16.59-0.05	362		...
Stellar bowshock nebula		ζ Oph bow shock	363		62
Proto-planetary nebula		Red Rectangle nebula	364		...
Planetary nebula	Elliptical	Helix Nebula	365		...
	Bipolar/multipolar	NGC 6302	366		...
	Binary nucleus	NGC 2346	367		...
Massive star ejecta	Post-RSG shell	IRC +10420	368		...
	BSG hourglass nebula	SBW 1	369		...
	LBV shell	Homunculus Nebula	370		...
	Wolf-Rayet bubble	S 308	371		...
Supernova remnant	Shell	Cas A	372		...
	Composite	Kes 75	373		63
	Mixed-morphology	W44	374		64
	Young	SN 1987A	375		...
	OH maser	W44	374		...
Pulsar wind nebula	Plerion	Crab Nebula	376		...
	Magnetar wind nebula	SWIFT J1834.9-0846 nebula	377		...
	Bow shock	PSR B1957+20 bow shock	378		...
	TeV halo	Geminga halo	379		...
Symbiotic nebula		R Aqr nebula	380		...
Nova remnant		GK Per shell	381		...
SSS nebula		CAL 83 nebula	382		...
XRB nebula	X-ray binary bow shock nebula	SAX J1712.6-3739 nebula	383		...

Table A1 *continued*

Table A1 (*continued*)

Type	Subtype	Prototype	ID	Solar?	Ref
	X-ray binary bubble	Cygnus X-1 shell	384		...
	X-ray ionized X-ray binary nebula	N159F	385		...
	ULX nebula	W50	386		65
Nonthermal bubble	Cosmic ray cocoon	Cygnus Cocoon	387		...
Circumgalactic medium	Compact high velocity cloud	HVC 125+41-208	388		66
	Lyman α blob	SSA22a-LAB01	389		...
Galaxy					
Quiescent (Red)	cD	NGC 6166	390		67
	Boxy/cored elliptical	NGC 4636	391		...
	Disky/coreless elliptical	M59	392		...
	Field elliptical	NGC 821	393		...
	Lenticular	NGC 3115	394		...
	Passive spiral	NGC 4260	395		...
	Compact elliptical	M32	396		68
	UltraCompact Dwarf	NGC 4546 UCD-1	397		...
	Dwarf elliptical	NGC 205	398		...
	Dwarf spheroidal	Sculptor dSph	399		...
	Ultrafaint dwarf	UMa II	400		...
	Dwarf S0	NGC 4431	401		...
	Relic red nugget	NGC 1277	402		...
	Red nugget	MRG-M0150	403		...
	Large quiescent (high- z)	MRG-M0138	404		...
Green Valley	Post-starburst	IC 976	405		...
	Extended star-formation	NGC 404	406		...
	Sa-Sab spiral	M81	407		...
	Sb-Sbc spiral	M100	408		...
	Dwarf Sa-Sb spiral	D563-4	409		...
	Edge-on Sa-Sb spiral	NGC 891	410		69
	Dwarf transitional	Phoenix dwarf	411		70
Main sequence (blue)	Star-forming elliptical	NGC 5173	412		...
	Blue cored dwarf elliptical	IC 225	413		...
	Sc-Scd spiral	M101	414		...
	Sd-Sdm spiral	NGC 300	415		...
	Sm spiral	NGC 55	416		...
	Dwarf Sc-Sd spiral	NGC 4701	417		...
	Super spiral	SS 16	418		...
	Cluster late spiral	M99	419		...
	Edge-on late spiral	NGC 4631	420		...
	Irregular (dE/Magellanic-size)	NGC 6822	421		...
	Irregular (dSph-size)	IC 1613	422		...
	Amorphous (MS)	NGC 3077	423		...
	LIRG ($z \sim 1$)	SGAS J143845.1+145407	424		...
	Spiral galaxy ($z \sim 1.5$)	Sp1149 (A1)	425		...
	BzK-type ULIRG ($z \sim 1.5$)	A68-HLS115	426		...
	Submillimeter galaxy (MS, high- z)	HLock-01 (R)	427		...
Starburst	Infrared-transparent nuclear	M82	428		71
	Blackbody nuclear	Arp 220	360		72
	Lyman Break Analog	Arp 236	429		...
	BzK analog ($z \sim 0$)	HIZOA J0836-43	430		...
	Blue compact dwarf	I Zwicky 18	431		...
	Ultracompact blue dwarf	POX 186	432		...
	Lyman α Emitter ($z \sim 0$)	Haro 2	433		73
	Green pea	NGC 2366	434		...

Table A1 *continued*

Table A1 (*continued*)

Type	Subtype	Prototype	ID	Solar?	Ref
	Dwarf starburst ($z \sim 3$)	ID11	435		...
	Lyman α Emitter (SB, $z \sim 2$)	SL2S J02176-0513	436		...
	Lyman Break Galaxy (SB, $z \sim 3$)	cB 58	437		...
	Submillimeter galaxy (SB, high- z)	SMM J2135-0102	438		...
	Jet induced starburst	Minkowski's Object	439		74
Low surface brightness	Giant	Malin 1	440		75
	Red UltraDiffuse Galaxy	VCC 1287	441		...
	Blue UltraDiffuse Galaxy	UGC 2162	442		...
	Almost dark	HI 1232+20	443		...
Disturbed	Collisional ring	Cartwheel galaxy	444		76
	Polar ring	NGC 4650A	445		77
	Hoag-like ring	Hoag's Object	446		78
	Interacting	M51a/b	447		...
	Major merger	The Antennae	448		79
	Tidal dwarf	Antennae TDG	449		...
	Dumbbell galaxy	3C 75	450		...
	Jellyfish	ESO 137-001	451		80
	Fireball tail	IC 3418	452		...
Morphological subtypes	Grand design spiral	M51a	453		...
	Flocculent spiral	NGC 7793	454		81
	Leading spiral arms	NGC 4622	455		...
	Anemic spiral	M91	456		82
	Nuclear ring morphology	NGC 1097	457		B15
	Nuclear lens morphology	M64	458		...
	Double bar (nuclear bar) morphology	NGC 1291	459		B15
	Barlens morphology	NGC 2787	460		B15
	Strong bar morphology	NGC 1365	461		B15
	x_1 ring morphology	NGC 6012	462		B15
	Inner ring morphology	NGC 1433	463		B15, 83
	Plume morphology	NGC 1433	463		B15, 83
	Outer lens morphology	NGC 2787	460		B15
	Outer pseudoring morphology	NGC 1365	461		B15
	Outer Lindblad ring	NGC 5101	464		B15
	Double outer ring	NGC 3898	465		B15
	Counterrotating disks	M64	458		...
	Superthin disk	UGC 7321	466		84
	Shell galaxy	NGC 3923	467		...
	Rectangular galaxy	LEDA 074886	468		...
Environmental classification	Void galaxy	KK 246	469		...
	Brightest cluster galaxy	NGC 6166	390		...
	Satellite galaxy	NGC 205	398		...
AGN					
Intermediate Mass BH	Hyperluminous X-ray source	ESO 243-49 HLX1	470		...
Low luminosity	Quiescent	Sgr A*	471		...
	LINER (AGN-powered)	NGC 1052	472		85
	Dwarf Seyfert	NGC 4395	473		...
XBONG		NGC 4686	474		...
Seyfert	Seyfert 1	NGC 7469	475		...
	Seyfert 2	NGC 1068	476		...
	Starburst/Seyfert composite	NGC 1068	476		...
	Narrow line Seyfert 1	I Zwicky 1	477		86
Radio galaxy	FR 0	NGC 2911	478		...
	FR I	Centaurus A	479		87

Table A1 *continued*

Table A1 (*continued*)

Type	Subtype	Prototype	ID	Solar?	Ref
	FR II	Cygnus A	480		88
	Head-tail radio galaxy	NGC 1265	481		89
	X-shaped radio galaxy	3C 403	482		90
	Compact Steep Spectrum	3C 286	483		...
	GHz Peaked Source	PKS 1934-638	484		91
Quasar	Radio loud	3C 273	485		...
	Radio quiet	Mrk 335	486		...
	Broad Absorption Line	Cloverleaf quasar	487		...
	Weak line	PHL 1811	488		...
Blazar	BL Lac	BL Lac	489		...
	Flat Spectrum Radio Quasar (blazar)	3C 279	490		...
	Neutrino blazar	TXS 0506+056	491		...
Dust-obscured galaxy (AGN)	Power-law DOG	SST24 J143644.2+350627	492		...
	Hot DOG	WISE 1814+3412	493		...
Water megamaser AGN	Disk megamaser	NGC 4258	494		92
	Jet-driven megamaser	NGC 1052	472		...
Changing look	Optical	NGC 4151	495		...
	X-ray	NGC 1365	461		...
Offset	Wandering	ESO 243-49 HLX1	470		...
Multiple AGN	Dual AGN	NGC 6240	496		...
	Binary AGN	0402+379	497		...
	Interacting SMBH binary	OJ 287	498		...
	Merging jets dual AGN	3C 75	450		...
Remnant	Voorwerp	Hanny's Voorwerp	499		...
	Radio fossil	B2 0924+30	500		93
Galaxy association					
Binary galaxy		Arp 294	501		...
Dwarf galaxy association		UGCA 319/320	502		...
Galaxy group	Compact	Stephan's Quintet	503		94
	Fossil	NGC 6482	504		...
	Galaxy interaction shock	Stephan's Quintet	503		...
Galaxy cluster	Regular	Fornax Cluster	505		...
	Irregular	Virgo Cluster	506		...
	Poor	Fornax Cluster	505		...
	Rich	Coma Cluster	507		...
	Cool core	Perseus Cluster	508		95
	Merging	Bullet Cluster	509		...
Protocluster		SSA22	510		...
Intracluster medium	Cold front	Abell 3667	511		...
	Shock front	Bullet Cluster	509		96
	X-ray cavities	Perseus Cluster	508		...
Nonthermal ICM	Giant radio halo	Coma C	512		97
	Radio minihalo	NGC 1275 minihalo	513		98
	Radio relic	1253+275	514		98
LSS					
Intergalactic medium	Giant HI ring	Leo Ring	515		...
Gravitational basin	Attractor	Laniakea (Great) attractor	516		...
	Repeller	Dipole repeller	517		...
Technology					

Table A1 *continued*

Table A1 (continued)

Type	Subtype	Prototype	ID	Solar?	Ref
Space station	Space station	International Space Station	518	✓	...
Satellite	Navigation satellite	TBD	519	✓	...
	Communications satellite	TBD	520	✓	...
	Amateur radio satellite	TBD	521	✓	...
	Earth observation radar satellite	TBD	522	✓	...
	Weather satellite	TBD	523	✓	...
	Space telescope	TBD	524	✓	...
Spacecraft	Space probe	<i>Voyager 1</i>	525	✓	...
	Solar sail	<i>LightSail 2</i>	526	✓	...
Passive structure	Radar calibration target	Lincoln Calibration Sphere-1	527	✓	...
Space debris	Derelict satellite	Vanguard I	528	✓	...
	Rocket booster	TBD	529	✓	...
	Dipole clump	1963-014G	530	✓	...
	NaK coolant droplets	Cosmos 860 coolant (1976-103G)	531	✓	...
	Car	Tesla Roadster	532	✓	...
Not real					
Solar System		Solar antipoint	533	✓	...
	Earth-moon stable Lagrange point	Earth-Moon L ₅	534	✓	...
	Earth-sun stable Lagrange point	Earth-Sun L ₄	535	✓	...
Galactic	Galactic anticenter	Galactic anticenter	536		...

NOTE—**Prototype** – TBD refers to a type of technological object type whose Prototype is yet to be selected.
Solar? – ✓ if object is in or passed through Solar System and listed in Table E1; otherwise listed in Table E2.
Ref – Reference where stated to be “prototype”, standard, or a representative example; see Catalog Notes for justification of other sources.

References—(B15) Buta et al. (2015); (K91) Kirkpatrick et al. (1991); (G94) Garrison (1994); (MK73) Morgan & Keenan (1973); (H15) Heiter et al. (2015); (B02) Bus & Binzel (2002); (T84) Tholen (1984); (1) Cochran et al. (2015, 2020); (2) Jewitt (2005); (3) Jewitt et al. (2009); (4) Jewitt (2009); (5) Meng et al. (2015); (6) Ridden-Harper et al. (2018); (7) Aurière et al. (2014); (8) Tannirkulam et al. (2008); Hashimoto et al. (2011); (9) Reipurth & Aspin (2004); Beck & Aspin (2012); Pérez et al. (2020); (10) Kirkpatrick (2005); Burgasser et al. (2006); (11) Dupuy et al. (2009); (12) Cruz et al. (2018); (13) Cushing et al. (2005); (14) Walborn et al. (2002); (15) Witt et al. (2009); (16) Chesneau et al. (2014); (17) Montez et al. (2015); (18) Morris et al. (2004); (19) Groh et al. (2009); (20) Humphreys et al. (1999); Massey et al. (2007); (21) Kochukhov & Wade (2010); (22) Sokoloski & Bildsten (2010); Wong et al. (2016); (23) Gautschy (2009); Richardson et al. (2011); (24) Kaye et al. (1999); (25) Green et al. (2003); Kilkenny et al. (2010); (26) Kilkenny et al. (1997, 2010); (27) Kilkenny et al. (2010); (28) Haisch et al. (1991); (29) Harding et al. (2013); (30) Jeffery (2008); (31) Ahmad et al. (2004, 2007); (32) Jahn et al. (2007); (33) Raddi et al. (2019); (34) Gehrz et al. (1995); (35) Bohlin et al. (1995); Preval et al. (2013); (36) Provençal et al. (2009); (37) Abdo et al. (2009); (38) Soderhjelm (1980); Richards (1992); (39) Allen (1980); (40) Hachisu & Kato (2001); Shore et al. (2011); (41) Osaki (1996); Nelan & Bond (2013); (42) Osaki (1996); Neustroev et al. (2011); (43) Patterson et al. (2017); (44) Walter et al. (2015); (45) Oates et al. (2019); (46) McClintock et al. (2006); (47) Sidoli et al. (2008); Farinelli et al. (2012); (48) Marchenko et al. (2003); Dougherty et al. (2005); Pittard & Dougherty (2006); Monnier et al. (2011); (49) Roberts (2013); (50) Heber (2016); Baran et al. (2018); (51) Rodonò et al. (2001); Xiang et al. (2020); (52) Dinescu et al. (1999); (53) Massey & Thompson (1991); Knödseder (2000); (54) Snow & McCall (2006); Liszt et al. (2009); (55) Großschedl et al. (2018); (56) Fuente et al. (2019); (57) Nielbock et al. (2012); (58) van Dishoeck & Blake (1998); Cazaux et al. (2003); Hernández-Hernández et al. (2014); (59) Hachisuka et al. (2006); (60) Elitzur (1992); (61) Reipurth & Bally (2001); (62) Kobulnicky et al. (2016); (63) Helfand et al. (2003); (64) Shelton et al. (2004); Castelletti et al. (2007); (65) Abolmasov (2011); (66) Faridani et al. (2014); (67) Morgan & Lesh (1965); Bertola et al. (1986); Bender et al. (2015); (68) Faber (1973); Bender et al. (1992); Graham (2002); Huxor et al. (2013); Norris et al. (2014); (69) Hughes et al. (2014); (70) Ferguson & Binggeli (1994); (71) Seaquist & Odegard (1991); O’Connell et al. (1995); Shopbell & Bland-Hawthorn (1998); Naylor et al. (2010); Leroy et al. (2015); (72) Smith et al. (1998); Wilson et al. (2014); Martín et al. (2016); (73) Oti-Floranes et al. (2012); (74) Elbaz et al. (2009); (75) Barth (2007); Lelli et al. (2010); Boissier et al. (2016); (76) Appleton & Marston (1997); Parker et al. (2015); (77) Iodice et al. (2002); Karataeva et al. (2004); (78) Finkelman et al. (2011); (79) Mirabel et al. (1992); Whitmore et al. (2010); (80) Fumagalli et al. (2014); Fossati et al. (2016); (81) Elmegreen & Elmegreen (1982); (82) van den Bergh (1976); (83) Buta (1984); (84) Banerjee & Jog (2013); (85) Pogge et al. (2000); Sugai & Malkan (2000); Kadler et al. (2004); Ho (2008); (86) Huang et al. (2019); (87) Israel (1998); (88) Begelman et al. (1984); Perley et al. (1984); (89) Begelman et al. (1984); (90) Gopal-Krishna et al. (2012); (91) O’Dea (1998); (92) Lo (2005); (93) Jamrozny et al. (2004); (94) Duc et al. (2018); (95) Edge (2001); Nagai et al. (2019); (96) Markevitch et al. (2002); (97) Giovannini et al. (1991); Burns et al. (1992); Thierbach et al. (2003); Ferrari et al. (2008); Ferretti et al. (2012); Brunetti & Jones (2014); van Weeren et al. (2019); (98) Ferretti et al. (2012); van Weeren et al. (2019)

Table A2. Properties of transient phenomena

Phylum	Type	Example host	Host ID	Duration	Recurrence	Emission	Ref
Periodic and predictable transients							

Table A2 continued

Table A2 (continued)

Phylum	Type	Example host	Host ID	Duration	Recurrence	Emission	Ref
Minor body	Periodic comets	1P/Halley	032	Weeks–Months	Years–Centuries	O	
	Stellar occultation	Milliseconds–Minutes	Infrequent	O	
Solid planetoid	Total solar eclipse	Sun/Moon	150, 101	Minutes	Decades	O	
	Lunar eclipse	Moon	101	Hours	Months	O	
	Lunar/planetary occultation (ingress/egress)	Moon/Aldebaran	101, 168	Milliseconds	Infrequent	O	
Star	Ultracool pulsar pulse	TVLM 513-46546	227	Minutes	Hours	R	1
	mCP pulsar pulse	CU Vir	228	Minutes	Day	R	2
Collapsed star	Pulsar pulse	Crab Pulsar	266	Milliseconds	Milliseconds–Seconds	RIOX γ	3
Interacting binary stars	CV pulsar pulse	AR Sco	291	Minute	Minutes	RIOUX	4
Stellar group	Binary eclipse	YY Gem	329	\gtrsim Minutes	\gtrsim Minutes	O	5
	Disk-star eclipse	ϵ Aur	330	Years	Decades	OI	6
Active galactic nucleus	Binary SMBH flare	OJ 287	498	Days	Years	O	7
Anomaly	Quasiperiodic eruption	GSN 069	727	Hour	Hours	O	8
	Periodic FRB	FRB 180916.J0158+65	750	Milliseconds	Hours	R	9
Unpredictable repeating transients with known hosts							
Minor bodies	Dipper (UXOr) event	EPIC 203937317	070	Day–Months	\geq Days	O	10
Solid planetoid	Lightning	Earth	...	Milliseconds	Minutes	RO	
Stars	Yellow hypergiant eruption	ρ Cas	196	Years	Decades	O	11
	LBV giant eruption	η Car	203	Years	Centuries	IO	12
	R CrB dip	R CrB	233	Weeks	Years	O	13
	M dwarf flare	UV Cet	225	Minutes–Hours	Multiscale	ROUX	14
	Solar radio bursts	Sun	150	Milliseconds–Hours	Multiscale	R	15
Collapsed star	Superflare	κ_1 Cet	149	Minutes–Hours	Centuries	ROUX	16
	RS CVn-type star flares	HR 1099	226	Hours–Days	Day–Week	RUX	17
	Pulsar nanoshots	Crab Pulsar	266	Nanoseconds	Frequent	R	18
	Giant pulse	Crab Pulsar	266	Milliseconds	Minutes	R	19
	Magnetar flares	SGR 1806-20	267	Seconds–Minutes	Years	X γ	20
	Magnetar afterglow	SGR 1806-20	267	Week	Years	R	21
	RRAT	PSR B0656+14	270	Milliseconds	Minutes–Hours	R	22
	Symbiotic slow nova	RR Tel	282	Decades	>Decades	O	23
Interacting binary stars	Symbiotic recurrent nova	RS Oph	283	Weeks	Decades	O γ	24
	Dwarf nova	SS Cyg	284	Days–Weeks	Weeks–Years	O	25
	Anti-dwarf nova	MV Lyr	...	\leq Years	\gtrsim Months	O	26
	Recurrent nova (CV)	T Pyx	286	Week–Months	Decades	ROX	27
	Type I X-ray burst	4U 1608-52	295	Seconds–Minutes	Minutes–Hours	X	28
	Type II X-ray burst	4U 1730-335	296	Seconds	Seconds–Hour	X	29
	X-ray nova	V404 Cyg	306	Minutes	Multiscale	OX γ	30
	Supergiant fast X-ray transient	IGR J17544-2619	315	Hours–Days	Months–Year	X	31
	Plasma lensed pulsar pulse	PSR B1957+20	319	Seconds	Hours	R	32
	ISM	Maser flare	W49N	620	\gtrsim Days	Multiscale	R
Pulsar wind nebula flare		Crab Nebula	376	Days	Months	γ	34
Active galactic nucleus	Quiescent flares	Sgr A*	471	Minutes–Hours	Hours–Days	RIX	35
	Extreme γ -ray blazar flare	3C 279	490	Hours–Days	Months	X γ	36

Table A2 continued

Table A2 (continued)

Phylum	Type	Example host	Host ID	Duration	Recurrence	Emission	Ref
Anomaly	Neutrino blazar flare	TXS 0506+056	491	Months	Years	ν	37
	Radio burster	GCRT J1745-3009	748	Minutes–Hour	\gtrsim Hour	R	38
	Repeating FRB	FRB 121102	733	Milliseconds	Minute–Hours	R	39
Transients that occur once or very rarely per object, or with unknown recurrence							
Minor bodies	Meteor	Seconds	...	RO	
	Giant comet eruption	17P/Holmes	675	Weeks	...	O	40
	Hyperbolic comet	2I/Borisov	067	Weeks–Months	...	O	41
Stars	FU Ori outburst	FU Ori	132	Years–Century	...	O	42
	Final flash	V4334 Sgr	179	Years	...	O	43
Collapsed star	Microlensing event ¹	Days	...	O	44
	Core collapse neutrino flash	SN 1987A	592	Seconds	...	ν	45
	Supernova shock breakout	XRT080109	...	Minutes–Hours	...	OX	46
	Core collapse supernova	SN 1987A	375	Weeks	...	OUX	47
	Radio supernova	SN 1993J	... ²	Weeks–Months	...	R	48
	Superluminous supernova Type I	SN 2005ap	...	Weeks	...	O	49
	Superluminous supernova Type II	SN 2006gy	...	Months	...	OX	50
	Failed supernova	NGC 6946-BH 1	275	~Year	...	O	51
	Long-soft GRB	GRB 030329	...	Seconds	...	X γ	52
	GRB X-ray flare	GRB 050502B	...	Minutes	...	X	53
Interacting binary stars	Long-soft afterglow	GRB GRB 030329	...	Hours–Days	...	RIOUX	54
	Magnetar FRB	SGR 1935+2154	269	Milliseconds	...	R	55
	Luminous red nova	V838 Mon	230	Weeks–Months	...	IO	56
	Nova	GK Per	287	Weeks	>Decades	RIOUX γ	57
	Nova supersoft X-ray phase	V1974 Cyg (1992)	...	Year	...	X	58
	Type Ia supernova	SN 2014j	... ³	Weeks	...	IOU	59
	Type Iax supernova	SN 2002cx	...	Weeks	...	O	60
	Type .Ia supernova	SN 2010X	...	Days	...	O	61
	Neutron star merger (short-hard GRB)	GW170817	...	Milliseconds–Second	...	$\gamma\mathcal{G}$	62
	Kilonova	GW170817	...	Days	...	IOU	63
ISM	Black hole merger	GW150914	...	Seconds	...	\mathcal{G}	64
	Extreme scattering event	QSO 0954+658 sightline	...	Months	...	R	65
AGN	Tidal disruption event	TDE1	...	Months	Millennia	OU	66
		NGC 5905	...	Months	Millennia	X	67
	Jetted tidal disruption event	Swift J164449.31573451	...	Days	Millennia	RIOUX γ	68
Anomaly	Optical spike	Spikey	725	Days	Years?	O	69
	Unexplained radio transients	RT 19920826	747 ⁴	Seconds–Decades	...	R	70
	Unexplained infrared transient	VVV-WIT-002	751	Months?	...	I	71
	Intermediate luminosity red transient	SN 2008S	756	Months	...	IOU	72
	Ca-rich gap transient	PTF 09dav	757	Week	...	O	73
	Fast blue optical transients	AT 2018cow	758 ⁵	Days	...	RIOUX	74
	ASASSN -15lh	ASASSN -15lh	693	Years	...	OUX	75
Unexplained very fast X-ray transients	XRT 000519	760 ⁶	Minutes	...	X	76	

Table A2 continued

Table A2 (*continued*)

Phylum	Type	Example host	Host ID	Duration	Recurrence	Emission	Ref
	Ultraluminous transients	X-ray	CXOU J124839.0-054750	762 ⁷	Hours–Years	...	X 77
	Galactic gamma-ray transient	long-soft	Swift J195509.6+261406	764	Seconds	...	O γ 78
Ubiquitous transients							
ISM	Cosmic ray shower	Nanoseconds	Ubiquitous	RO γ ν \mathcal{G} i	
Technology	Radio frequency interference	Minutes	Ubiquitous	R	
	Satellite glints	Milliseconds–Seconds	Frequent	O	79

NOTE—**Duration** – Order-of-magnitude timescale for rise and fall of transient.

Recurrence – Order-of-magnitude time between transients emitted by host.

Emission – R: radio; I: infrared; O: optical; U: ultraviolet; X: X-rays; γ : gamma-rays; ν : neutrinos; \mathcal{G} : gravitational waves; e : high-energy electrons; i : high-energy ions.

¹ Microlensing of a star in the galaxy Sp1149 (A1) (425) by stars in a foreground lens galaxy has been observed.

² The host galaxy of SN 1993J is M81 (407).

³ The host galaxy of SN 2014j is M82 (428).

⁴ For additional examples, 740–745.

⁵ See also Dougie (759).

⁶ See also CDF-S XT1 (761).

⁷ See also M86 tULX-1 (763).

References—(1) Hallinan et al. (2007, 2008); (2) Kellett et al. (2007); Das et al. (2019); (3) Hankins & Eilek (2007); Caraveo (2014); (4) Marsh et al. (2016); Takata et al. (2018); (5) Torres & Ribas (2002); (6) Hoard et al. (2010); (7) Dey et al. (2019); (8) Miniutti et al. (2019); (9) Chime/FRB Collaboration et al. (2020); (10) Herbst & Shevchenko (1999); Ansdell et al. (2016, 2020); (11) Lobel et al. (2003); (12) Humphreys & Davidson (1994); Smith et al. (2011); (13) Clayton (1996); (14) Haisch et al. (1991); Osten et al. (2005); Hilton et al. (2010); (15) Dulk (1985); (16) Schaefer et al. (2000); (17) White et al. (1978); Feldman et al. (1978); Osten & Brown (1999); (18) Hankins et al. (2003); (19) Lundgren et al. (1995); (20) Hurley et al. (2005); Boggs et al. (2007); (21) Gaensler et al. (2005); (22) McLaughlin et al. (2006); Weltevrede et al. (2006); (23) Kenyon & Truran (1983); (24) Schaefer (2010); Abdo et al. (2010b); (25) Smak (1984); (26) Leach et al. (1999); Honeycutt & Kafka (2004); (27) Schaefer (2010); Nelson et al. (2014); (28) Galloway et al. (2008); (29) Lewin et al. (1993); (30) Tanaka & Shibasaki (1996); (31) Sguera et al. (2006); (32) Main et al. (2018); (33) Liljestrom et al. (1989); (34) Abdo et al. (2011b); Tavani et al. (2011); (35) Marrone et al. (2008); (36) Wehrle et al. (1998); (37) IceCube Collaboration et al. (2018a); (38) Hyman et al. (2005); (39) Spitler et al. (2016); (40) Montalto et al. (2008); Reach et al. (2010); Hsieh et al. (2010); (41) Guzik et al. (2020); (42) Hartmann & Kenyon (1996); (43) Duerbeck & Benetti (1996); Clayton et al. (2006); (44) Kelly et al. (2018); (45) Arnett et al. (1989); (46) Modjaz et al. (2009); Bersten et al. (2018); (47) Arnett et al. (1989); (48) Fransson & Björnsson (1998); (49) Quimby et al. (2007); Gal-Yam (2012); (50) Ofek et al. (2007); Gal-Yam (2012); (51) Gerke et al. (2015); (52) Gehrels et al. (2009); (53) Burrows et al. (2005); (54) Gehrels et al. (2009); (55) Scholz & Chime/Frb Collaboration (2020); Bochenek et al. (2020); (56) Munari et al. (2002); (57) Seaquist et al. (1980); Hachisu & Kato (2006); Ackermann et al. (2014); (58) Krautter et al. (1996); (59) Goobar et al. (2014); Margutti et al. (2014); (60) Foley et al. (2013); (61) Shen et al. (2010); (62) Berger (2014); Abbott et al. (2017); (63) Cowperthwaite et al. (2017); (64) Abbott et al. (2016); (65) Fiedler et al. (1987); (66) van Velzen et al. (2011); (67) Bade et al. (1996); (68) Bloom et al. (2011); (69) Smith et al. (2018); (70) Bower et al. (2007); Frail et al. (2012); (71) Dekany et al. (2014); (72) Prieto et al. (2008); (73) Sullivan et al. (2011); Kasliwal et al. (2012); (74) Drout et al. (2014); Prentice et al. (2018); (75) Dong et al. (2016); Leloudas et al. (2016); (76) Jonker et al. (2013); (77) Sivakoff et al. (2005); (78) Kasliwal et al. (2008); Stefanescu et al. (2008); Castro-Tirado et al. (2008); (79) Maley (1987); Schaefer et al. (1987)

B. THE SUPERLATIVE SAMPLE

All objects in the Superlative sample, with their relevant properties, are listed in Table B1.

Table B1. Superlative sample

Type	Property	Value	Superlative	ID	Solar?	True?	Ref
Minor body							
All minor bodies	α_G^V (darkest)	$0.027^{+0.006}_{-0.007}$	1173 Anchises	537	✓	✓	1
	α_G^V (brightest)	$0.76^{+0.18}_{0.45} - 0.88^{+0.15}_{-0.06}$	(55636) 2002 TX ₃₀₀	538	✓	✓	2

Table B1 *continued*

Table B1 (*continued*)

Type	Property	Value	Superlative	ID	Solar?	True?	Ref
Interplanetary minor bodies	M_{host} (smallest)	$8_{-3}^{+7} M_{\text{J}}$	Cha 110913-773444	539		?	3
	a (closest)	0.5553 ± 0.0002 AU	2019 LF ₆	540	✓	X/S?	4
	Q (closest)	0.65377 ± 0.00012 AU	2020 AV ₂	016	✓	X/S?	5
	q (furthest)	80.40 ± 0.09 AU	2012 VP ₁₁₃	541	✓	X	6
Minor satellites		65.2 ± 0.2 AU	541132 Leleākūhonua	052	✓	X	...
	R (largest)	210 ± 7 km	Proteus	542	✓	✓	7
	a (closest, planet host)	9.376 Mm	Phobos	053	✓	X/S	...
	a (furthest)	50 Gm	Neso	543	✓	S	...
	a/R_p (smallest)	1.79	Metis	544	✓	✓	...
Planetary rings	M_{host} (smallest)	$\sim 8 \times 10^{-7} M_{\oplus}$	2060 Chiron	039	✓	?	8
	M_{host} (largest)	$\sim 14\text{--}26 M_{\text{J}}$	1SWASP J140752.03-394415.1 b	545		?	9
	R (smallest)	324 km	2060 Chiron	039	✓	?	10
	R (largest)	~ 27 Gm	1SWASP J140752.03-394415.1 b	545		?	11
Solid planetoid							
Solid planetoids	M (smallest)	$6.3 \times 10^{-6} M_{\oplus}$	Mimas	546	✓	✓	...
	R (smallest)	198.2 ± 0.3 km	Mimas	546	✓	✓	...
	α_G (darkest)	0.05	Iapetus	547	✓	✓	...
Major satellites		0.09	1 Ceres	095	✓	✓	...
	α_G (brightest)	1.3	Enceladus	107	✓	✓	...
	M (largest)	$0.0248 M_{\oplus}$	Ganymede	106	✓	S	...
	R (largest)	2,631 km	Ganymede	106	✓	S	...
	ρ (lowest)	0.973 g cm^{-3}	Tethys	548	✓	✓?/S	...
	ρ (highest)	3.528 g cm^{-3}	Io	108	✓	X?/S	...
	$M_{\text{moon}}/M_{\text{host}}$ (smallest)	6.60×10^{-8}	Mimas	546	✓	X/S	...
	$M_{\text{moon}}/M_{\text{host}}$ (largest)	0.122	Charon	549	✓	X?/S	12
	$M_{\text{moon}}/M_{\text{host}}$ (largest, planet host)	0.0123	Moon	101	✓	X?/S	...
	a (closest)	19.6 Mm	Charon	549	✓	X/S?	13
Dwarf planets	a (closest, planet)	129.9 Mm	Miranda	550	✓	X/S	...
	a (furthest)	3.56 Gm	Iapetus	547	✓	X?/S	...
	M (smallest)	$0.000157 M_{\oplus}$	1 Ceres	095	✓	?	...
	M (largest)	$0.0028 M_{\oplus}$	136199 Eris	096	✓	S	14
	R (smallest)	469.7 km	1 Ceres	095	✓	?	15
	R (largest)	1,188.3 km	134340 Pluto	097	✓	S	16
	a (closest)	2.768 AU	1 Ceres	095	✓	X/S	...
	a (furthest)	67.86 AU	136199 Eris	096	✓	X	...
Solid major planets		484.4 AU	90377 Sedna	098	✓	X	...
	M (smallest)	$0.020 \pm 0.002 M_{\oplus}$	PSR 1257+12 A	094		X	17
	M (smallest, non-PSR host)	$0.066_{-0.037}^{+0.059} - (0.187 \pm 0.050) M_{\oplus}$	Kepler 138 b	551		X	18
	R (smallest)	$0.303_{-0.073}^{+0.053} R_{\oplus}$	Kepler 37 b	552		X	19
	ρ (densest)	$12.65 \pm 2.49 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$	Kepler 107 c	553		✓	20
	α_G (brightest)	0.65	Venus	085	✓	X?/S	...
	t (oldest)	11.0 ± 0.8 Gyr	Kepler 444	083		✓	21
		$\sim 12\text{--}13$ Gyr	82 Eri	554		✓	22
	P (shortest)	4.25 hr	KOI 1843.03	555		?	23
	N_{planet} (most)	7	TRAPPIST-1	091		?	24
M_{host} (smallest, star)	$0.086 \pm 0.008 M_{\odot}$	TRAPPIST-1	091		✓	25	

Table B1 *continued*

Table B1 (*continued*)

Type	Property	Value	Superlative	ID	Solar?	True?	Ref
Giant planet							
Giant planets	R (biggest, < 1 M _J)	$22.9^{+1.1}_{-0.8} R_{\oplus}$	HAT-P-67 b	556		✓?	26
	ρ (lowest)	$0.034^{+0.069}_{-0.019} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$	Kepler 51 c	557		✓?	27
	T (hottest)	$4,050 \pm 180 \text{ K}$	KELT 9 b	558		?	28
	α_G (darkest)	0.025–0.05	TrES-2 b	559		✓	29
	α_G (brightest)	0.52	Jupiter	113	✓	?	...
	t (youngest)	2 Myr	V830 Tau b	560		✓	30
	t (oldest)	11.2–12.7 Gyr	PSR B1620-26 (AB) b	124		✓	31
	M_{host} (largest)	2.8 M _⊙	κ And b	561		×	32
		1.6–3.2 M _⊙	\circ UMa b	562		×	33
	L_{host} (brightest)	~ 610 (500–730) L _⊙	HD 208527 b	563		×	34
	T_{host} (hottest)	$11,327^{+421}_{-44} \text{ K}$	κ And	561		×	35
		$10,170 \pm 450 \text{ K}$	KELT 9 b	558		×	36
	a (furthest)	2,500 AU	GJ 3483 B	564		×	37
	a (furthest, < 1 M _J)	137 AU	HD 163269 b	565		×	38
	Star						
Sub-brown dwarfs	T_{eff} (coldest)	225–260 K	WISE J085510.83-071442.5	133		×	39
Stars	M (largest)	$265^{+80}_{-35} - 315^{+60}_{-15} M_{\odot}$	R136 a1	566		L	40
	L (faintest)	$0.00013 L_{\odot}$	2MASS J0523-1403	142		✓	41
	L (brightest)	$8.7^{+2.0}_{-1.6} \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$	R136 a1	566		L	42
	R (smallest)	$0.084^{+0.014}_{-0.004} R_{\odot}$	EBLM J0555-57 Ab	567		✓	43
		$0.086 \pm 0.003 R_{\odot}$	2MASS J0523-1403	142		✓	44
	R (largest)	0.11 R _⊙	Feige 34	568		✓	45
		5 – 13 AU	NML Cyg	569		✓	46
		$8 \pm 1 \text{ AU}$	UY Sct	570		✓	47
	ρ (densest)	$\sim 530 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$	Feige 34	568		✓	48
	T_{eff} (coldest)	$2,074 \pm 21 \text{ K}$	2MASS J0523-1403	142		✓	49
	T_{eff} (hottest)	210,000 K	WR 102	200		✓	50
	t (oldest)	12–14 Gyr	HD 140283	213		✓	51
	[Fe/H] (poorest)	< -7.1	SMSS J0313-6708	571		X?	52
	[Fe/H] (richest)	~ 0.5	14 Her	572		?	53
	[C/H] (lowest)	< -4.3	SDSS J102915+172927	573		X?	54
v (fastest)	$23,923 \pm 8,840 \text{ km s}^{-1}$	S4711	574		×	55	
v (fastest, unbound)	$1,755 \pm 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$	S5-HVS1	575		R?	56	
P_{SMBH} (shortest)	$7.6 \pm 0.3 \text{ yr}$	S4711	574		×	57	
q_{SMBH} (closest)	$12.6 \pm 9.3 \text{ AU}$	S4711	574		×	58	
Collapsed star							
White dwarfs	M (smallest)	0.16 M _⊙	SDSS J222859.93+362359.6	576		?	59
		0.13–0.16 M _⊙	NLT 11748	243		?	60
	M (largest)	1.36–1.37 M _⊙	U Sco	577		✓	61
	M (largest, non-interacting)	1.310–1.335 M _⊙	LHS 4033	578		✓	62
	T_{eff} (coldest)	$< 3,000 \text{ K}$	PSR J2222-0137 B	579		?	63
	T_{eff} (hottest)	250,000 K	RX J0439.8-6809	580		✓	64
	B (strongest)	0.5–1 GG	PG 1031+234	581		?	65

Table B1 *continued*

Table B1 (*continued*)

Type	Property	Value	Superlative	ID	Solar?	True?	Ref
Neutron stars	t (oldest)	11.5 Gyr	WD 0346+246	582		✓	66
	v (fastest, inflated remnant)	$\sim 2,400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$	D6-3	250		?/R?	67
	v_{trans} (fastest)	$\sim 350 \text{ km s}^{-1}$	LP 400-22	583		×	68
	M (smallest)	$1.02 \pm 0.17 M_{\odot}$	4U 1538-522	584		?	69
	M (largest)	$2.14^{+0.10}_{-0.09} M_{\odot}$	MSP J0740+6620	585		?	70
		$2.40 \pm 0.12 M_{\odot}$	PSR B1957+20	319		✓	71
	L_{rot} (brightest)	$130,000 L_{\odot}$	PSR J0537-6910	586		?	72
	L_{rot} (dimmiest)	$6.8 \times 10^{-6} L_{\odot}$	PSR J2144-3933	587		×	73
	T_{eff} (coldest)	$< 42,000 \text{ K}$	PSR J2144-3933	587		×	74
	P_{rot} (fastest)	0.89 ms	XTE J1739-285	588		✓	75
	P_{rot} (slowest)	$36,200 \pm 110 \text{ s}$	AX J1910.7+0917	589		?	76
	B (weakest)	0.085–2 GG	IGR J00291+5934	590		?	77
	B (strongest)	0.2–2 PG	SGR 1806-20	267		?	78
	Radio pulsars		0.70 PG	SGR 1900+14	591		?
t (youngest)		33 yr (2020)	NS 1987A	592		R/L	80
v_{trans} (fastest)		$1,083^{+103}_{-90} \text{ km s}^{-1}$	PSR B1508+55	593		?	81
P_{rot} (fastest)		1.397 ms	PSR J1748-2446ad	594		✓	82
P_{rot} (fastest, unrecycled)		16.11 ms	PSR J0537-6910	586		?	83
P_{rot} (slowest)		23.5 s	PSR J0250+5854	595		?	84
Black holes	M (smallest)	$3.3^{+2.8}_{-0.7} M_{\odot}$	2MASS J05215658+4359220	596		✓	85
Interacting binary star							
Interacting binaries	P (shortest)	$321.25 \pm 0.25 \text{ s}$	HM Cnc	597		R	86
	EIRP (brightest)	$\sim (0.7\text{--}6) \times 10^7 L_{\odot}$	NGC 5907 ULX	598		?	87
Stellar group							
Detached binaries	M (smallest)	$7.4^{+2.4}_{-1.8}\text{--}18.2^{+4.7}_{-3.8} M_{\text{J}}$	TWA 42	599		?	88
	M (largest)	$266^{+38}_{-35} M_{\odot}$	Melnick 34	600		L	89
	$M_2 \sin i$ (smallest)	0.76 M_{J}	PSR J2322-2650	601		R?	90
	P (shortest)	414.79 s	ZTF J153932.16+502738.8	602		R	91
Detached multiples	N_{\star} (most)	7	65 UMa	603		R?	92
	N_{tier} (most)	5	65 UMa	603		R?	93
Non-hierarchical stellar groups	ρ (highest)	$\gtrsim 10^8 M_{\odot} \text{ pc}^{-3}$	IRS 13E	604		?	94
Star clusters	M (largest)	$(8 \pm 2) \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$	NGC 7252 W3	605		R?	95
Open star clusters	$\rho_{1/2}$ (highest, MW)	$\sim 6,000 M_{\odot} \text{ pc}^{-3}$	HD 97950	606		M	96
	t (oldest)	9–10 Gyr	Be 17	607		✓	97
Globular clusters	[Fe/H] (richest)	0.313 ± 0.005	NGC 6791	608		M	98
	M (largest, old)	$8 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$	G1	609		R/L	99
		$\leq 3 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$	(GC) 037-B327	610		R/L	100
	ρ (highest)	$\sim 10^5 M_{\odot} \text{ pc}^{-3}$	M85-HCC 1	611		?	101
	M_V (dimmiest)	0.7 ± 0.3	Kim 3	612		×	102
	t (oldest)	$\sim 12.5\text{--}13.0 \text{ Gyr}$	NGC 6522	613		✓	103
	[Fe/H] (poorest)	$-2.48^{+0.06}_{-0.11}$	ESO 280-SC06	614		L	104
	[Fe/H] (richest)	$\sim -0.2\text{--}+0.2$	NGC 6528	615		M	105

Table B1 *continued*

Table B1 (*continued*)

Type	Property	Value	Superlative	ID	Solar?	True?	Ref	
ISM								
ISM	T (coldest)	0.3–2 K	Boomerang Nebula	616		✓	106	
Giant molecular clouds	M (largest)	$8 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$	Sgr B2	617		M (R)	107	
Hot cores	M (largest)	9,000 M_{\odot}	Sgr B2(N) AN01	618		M	108	
HII regions	$L_{H\alpha}$ (brightest)	$(1.3\text{--}3.9) \times 10^6 L_{\odot}$	30 Dor	619		×/L	109	
	R (biggest)	~ 200 pc	NGC 604	347		×/L	110	
Maser regions	EIRP $_{H_2O}$ (brightest, Galactic)	$\sim 1 L_{\odot}$	W49N	620		M (×)	111	
Galaxy								
Galaxies	$M_{1/2}$ (smallest)	$< 1.5 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}$	Segue 2	621		×	112	
	M_{\star} (smallest)	$600_{-105}^{+115}\text{--}1,300_{-200}^{+200} M_{\odot}$	Segue 1	622		×	113	
	M_{\star} (largest)	$(1\text{--}4) \times 10^{12} L_{\odot}$	IC 1101	623		✓	114	
		$(2\text{--}6) \times 10^{12} L_{\odot}$	OGC 21	624		✓	115	
	R_{\max} (biggest)	610 kpc	IC 1101	623		✓	116	
		960 kpc	LEDA 088678	625		✓	117	
	R_{eff} (biggest)	$\lesssim 146\text{--}439$ kpc	IC 1101	623		✓	118	
	Σ (largest)	$9.4 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$	M59-UCD3	626		✓	119	
	L_{\star} (faintest)	$335_{-185}^{+235} L_{\odot}$	Segue 1	622		×	120	
	L_{\star} (brightest)	$(3.6 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{13} L_{\odot}$	SPT 0346-52	627		✓	121	
		$(4.9 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{13} L_{\odot}$	WISE J101326.25+611220.1	628		✓	122	
	μ_V (faintest)	$31.9 \text{ mag arcsec}^{-2}$	Antlia 2	629		×	123	
	[Fe/H] (poorest)	-2.65 ± 0.07	Reticulum II	630		?	124	
	z (furthest)	$11.09_{-0.12}^{+0.08}$	GN-z11	631		×	125	
		10.7–11.1	MACS0647-JD	632		×	126	
	Quiescent galaxies	L_V (brightest)	$1.1 \times 10^{12} L_{\odot}$	IC 1101	623		✓	127
		z (furthest)	3.717	ZF-COSMOS-20115	633		?	128
Star-forming galaxies	SFR (highest)	$3,600 \pm 300 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$	SPT 0346-52	627		✓	129	
	R_{eff} (smallest)	$\sim 166 \pm 54$ pc	POX 186	432		?	130	
	M_{gas}/M_{\star}	35–475	AGC 229385	634		×?	131	
	$12 + \log \text{ O/H}$ (poorest)	6.98 ± 0.02	J0811+4730	635		R?	132	
	$12 + \log \text{ O/H}$ (richest)	8.54–9.21	NGC 2841	636		✓	133	
	EIRP $_{OH}$ (brightest)	13,000 L_{\odot}	IRAS 14070+0525	637		✓	134	
	Spiral galaxies	M_{\star} (largest)	$\sim 1.4 \times 10^{12} M_{\odot}$	SS 14	638		✓?	135
R_{\max} (largest)		67 kpc	SS 03	639		✓?	136	
z (furthest)		2.54	A1689B11	640		?	137	
Lensed galaxies	\mathcal{M} (greatest)	$(60\text{--}65) \pm 20$	SPT-CLJ2344-4243 Arc	641		✓	138	
		$\sim 80 \pm 10$	The Snake	642		✓	139	
AGN								
AGNs	M_{SMBH} (smallest)	$5 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$	RGJ 118	643		?	140	
	M_{SMBH} (largest)	$(4.0 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$	Holm 15A	644		✓?	141	
		$(4\text{--}10) \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$	IC 1101	623		✓?	142	
	L (brightest)	$8.5 \times 10^{14} L_{\odot}$	HS 1946+7658	645		✓	143	
$6.95 \times 10^{14} L_{\odot}$		SMSS 2157-36	646		✓	144		

Table B1 *continued*

Table B1 (*continued*)

Type	Property	Value	Superlative	ID	Solar?	True?	Ref
	L _{IR} (brightest)	$(1.2-3.6) \times 10^{14} L_{\odot}$	WISE 2246-0526	647		✓	145
	M _{★host} (smallest)	$(1.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$	M60-UCD1	648		×	146
		$\sim 2 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$	J1329+3234	649		×	147
	M _{SMBH} /M _{★host} (largest)	$0.175^{+0.26}_{-0.088}$	M60-UCD1	648		?	148
	z (furthest)	7.54	J1342+0928	650		?	149
Lensed AGNs	\mathcal{M} (greatest)	~ 173	CLASH B1938+666	651		✓?	150
		~ 159	COSMOS 5921+0638	652		✓?	151
Radio lobes	2R (largest)	4.7 Mpc	J1420-0545	653		✓	152
Water megamasers	EIRP _{H₂O} (brightest)	23,000 L _⊙	J0804+3607	654		✓	153
Galaxy association							
Galaxy groups	ρ (densest)	$\sim 2 M_{\odot} \text{pc}^{-3}$	HCG 54	655		✓?	154
		$\sim 0.3 M_{\odot} \text{pc}^{-3}$	Seyfert's Sextet	656		R?	155
Galaxy clusters	M (largest)	$(2.93^{+0.36}_{-0.32} - 3.4^{+0.4}_{-0.4}) \times 10^{15} M_{\odot}$	Abell 370	657		✓	156
	M (largest, z > 0.5)	$\sim (2.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{15} M_{\odot}$	MACS J0717.5+34	658		✓	157
	\mathcal{R} (richest)	5	Abell 665	659		✓	158
	L _X (brightest)	$2.14^{+0.03}_{-0.05} \times 10^{12} L_{\odot}$	Phoenix Cluster	660		✓	159
	T _{ICM} (hottest)	$14.2 \pm 0.3 \text{ keV}$	Bullet Cluster	509		✓	160
	z (furthest, X-ray)	2.506	CL J1001+0220	661		✓?	161
Radio halos	L _{1.4 GHz} (brightest)	$0.26-0.4 L_{\odot} \text{Hz}^{-1}$	MACS J0717.5+34	658		✓	162
Radio relics	L _{1.4 GHz} (brightest)	$0.13 L_{\odot} \text{Hz}^{-1}$	MACS J0717.5+34	658		✓	163
Protoclusters	z (furthest)	8.38	A2744z8OD	662		?	164
LSS							
Galaxy superclusters	M (largest)	$\sim (2-7) \times 10^{16} M_{\odot}$	Shapley Supercluster	663		✓	165

Table B1 (*continued*)

Table B1 (continued)

Type	Property	Value	Superlative	ID	Solar?	True?	Ref
------	----------	-------	-------------	----	--------	-------	-----

NOTE—**Quantities** – $12 + \log \text{O/H}$: abundance of oxygen relative to hydrogen; a : orbital semimajor axis; α_G : geometric albedo; α_G^V : geometric albedo (V-band); B : magnetic field strength; $[C/H]$: \log_{10} abundance of carbon with respect to Solar composition; EIRP: effective isotropic radiation power; EIRP_{H₂O}: EIRP of maser in water emission line; EIRP_{OH}: EIRP of maser in OH emission line; $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$: \log_{10} abundance of iron with respect to Solar composition; L : object luminosity; $L_{1.4 \text{ GHz}}$: luminosity at 1.4 GHz; $L_{\text{H}\alpha}$: luminosity of H α emission line; L_{host} : luminosity of host star; L_{IR} : infrared luminosity; L_{rot} : estimated spin-down power of pulsar; L_V : luminosity in V-band; L_X : X-ray luminosity; M : object mass; $M_{1/2}$: mass within half-light radius (including dark matter); M_{SMBH} : mass of galaxy’s central black hole; M_{gas} : gas mass of galaxy; M_{host} : mass of host galaxy; M_{host^*} : mass of host star; $M_{\text{host}^{\text{planet}}}$: mass of host planet; M_{moon} : mass of satellite; $M_2 \sin i$: minimum mass of secondary (less massive) object in system as determined by radial velocity method; M_* : stellar mass of galaxy; M_V : absolute magnitude in V-band; \mathcal{M} : magnification by gravitational lens; μ : surface brightness; N_{planet} : number of planets of given phylum in stellar system; N_* : number of stars in system; N_{tier} : number of hierarchical levels in multiple star system; P : orbital period; P_{SMBH} : orbital period around host galaxy’s central black hole; P_{rot} : rotation period; q : pericenter; q_{SMBH} : pericenter of orbit around host galaxy’s central black hole; Q : apocenter; R : object radius; R_{eff} : effective (half-light) radius of system; R_p : radius of host planet; R_{max} : full radius of entire object; \mathcal{R} : richness of galaxy cluster; ρ : density; $\rho_{1/2}$: density within half-mass radius; SFR: star-formation rate; t : age; T : object surface temperature; T_{eff} : effective temperature; T_{host} : effective temperature of host star; T_{ICM} : temperature of intracluster medium; v : speed; v_{trans} : transverse velocity on Earth’s sky (from proper motion); z : redshift.

Solar? – \checkmark if object is in or passed through Solar System and listed in Table E1; otherwise listed in Table E2.

True? – Whether or not the listed object is thought to represent the actual limiting values in the population or instead merely the limits of our observational capabilities. \checkmark if the value listed is likely to be among the most extreme for that type of object; \times if the value listed is likely to be greatly superseded with further discoveries; R if the listed object is likely on the tail of the distribution but there are likely rare objects significantly more extreme; $?$ if it is unclear whether the value listed represents a true Superlative or merely observational biases; S if likely to be among the most extreme values in the Solar System only; M if likely to be among the most extreme values in the Milky Way only; L if likely to be among the most extreme values in the Local Group only. Justifications for the ratings are given in the full *Exotica Catalog* target notes.

References—(1) Horner et al. (2012); (2) Elliot et al. (2010); Vilenius et al. (2018); (3) Luhman et al. (2005); (4) de la Fuente Marcos & de la Fuente Marcos (2019); (5) Greenstreet (2020); de la Fuente Marcos & de la Fuente Marcos (2020); (6) Trujillo & Sheppard (2014); (7) Croft (1992); (8) Ortiz et al. (2015); (9) Kenworthy et al. (2015); (10) Ortiz et al. (2015); (11) Mamajek et al. (2012); (12) Stern et al. (2018); (13) Stern et al. (2018); (14) Brown & Schaller (2007); (15) Russell et al. (2016); (16) Stern et al. (2018); (17) Konacki & Wolszczan (2003); (18) Schneider et al. (2011); (19) Barclay et al. (2013); (20) Toledo-Adr3n et al. (2020); (21) Campante et al. (2015); Buldgen et al. (2019); (22) Bernkopf et al. (2012); (23) Ofir & Dreizler (2013); Rappaport et al. (2013); (24) Gillon et al. (2017); (25) Gonzales et al. (2019); (26) Zhou et al. (2017); (27) Libby-Roberts et al. (2020); Piro & Vissapragada (2020); (28) Gaudi et al. (2017); (29) Kipping & Spiegel (2011); Angerhausen et al. (2015); Esteves et al. (2015); (30) Donati et al. (2016); (31) Sigurdsson & Thorsett (2005); Kaluzny et al. (2013); (32) Currie et al. (2018); (33) Sato et al. (2007); Andreasen et al. (2017); Stock et al. (2018); (34) Lee et al. (2013); Gaia Collaboration et al. (2018); (35) Currie et al. (2018); (36) Gaudi et al. (2017); (37) Rodriguez et al. (2011); (38) Teague et al. (2018); (39) Luhman (2014); (40) Crowther et al. (2016); (41) Dieterich et al. (2014); (42) Crowther et al. (2016); (43) von Boetticher et al. (2017); (44) Dieterich et al. (2014); (45) La Palombara et al. (2019); (46) Wing (2009); Zhang et al. (2012b); (47) Wittkowski et al. (2017); (48) La Palombara et al. (2019); (49) Dieterich et al. (2014); (50) Trammer et al. (2015); (51) Bond et al. (2013); VandenBerg et al. (2014); Creevey et al. (2019); Sahlholdt et al. (2019); (52) Keller et al. (2014); (53) Gonzalez et al. (1999); Feltzing & Gonzalez (2001); Taylor (2006); Soubiran et al. (2016); Hinkel et al. (2017); Caffau et al. (2019); (54) Caffau et al. (2011); (55) Peissker et al. (2020); PeiBker et al. (2020); (56) Koposov et al. (2020); (57) PeiBker et al. (2020); (58) PeiBker et al. (2020); (59) Hermes et al. (2013); (60) Kaplan et al. (2014a); (61) Hachisu & Kato (2001); Shara et al. (2018); (62) Dahn et al. (2004); (63) Kaplan et al. (2014b); (64) Werner & Rauch (2015); (65) Schmidt et al. (1986); Wickramasinghe & Ferrario (2000); (66) Kilic et al. (2012); (67) Shen et al. (2018); (68) Kawka et al. (2006); Gaia Collaboration et al. (2018); (69) Falanga et al. (2015); (70) Cromartie et al. (2020); (71) van Kerkwijk et al. (2011); (72) Marshall et al. (1998); (73) Tiengo et al. (2011); (74) Guillot et al. (2019); (75) Kaaret et al. (2007); (76) Sidoli et al. (2017); (77) Patruno (2010); Mukherjee et al. (2015); (78) Olausen & Kaspi (2014); Tendulkar et al. (2016); (79) Olausen & Kaspi (2014); (80) Cigan et al. (2019); Page et al. (2020); (81) Chatterjee et al. (2005); (82) Hessels et al. (2006); (83) Andersson et al. (2018); (84) Tan et al. (2018); (85) Thompson et al. (2019); (86) Israel et al. (2002); (87) Israel et al. (2017); Song et al. (2020); (88) Best et al. (2017); (89) Tehrani et al. (2019); (90) Spiewak et al. (2018); (91) Burdge et al. (2019); (92) Tokovinin (2018); (93) Zasche et al. (2012); Tokovinin (2018); (94) Paumard et al. (2006); Fritz et al. (2010); (95) Maraston et al. (2004); Bastian et al. (2013); (96) Drissen et al. (1995); Harayama et al. (2008); (97) Salaris et al. (2004); Bragaglia et al. (2006); Bhattacharya et al. (2017); (98) Villanova et al. (2018); (99) Meylan et al. (2001); Baumgardt et al. (2003); (100) Barmby et al. (2002); Ma et al. (2006); Cohen (2006); (101) Sandoval et al. (2015); (102) Kim et al. (2016); (103) Barbuy et al. (2009); Kerber et al. (2018); (104) Simpson (2018); (105) Origlia et al. (2005); Lagioia et al. (2014); MuBnoz et al. (2018); (106) Sahai & Nyman (1997); Bohigas (2017); (107) Schmiedeke et al. (2016); (108) S3nchez-Monge et al. (2017); (109) Kennicutt & Hodge (1986); RelaBno & Kennicutt (2009); Crowther (2019); (110) Melnick (1980); Maiz-Apell3niz et al. (2004); Tachihara et al. (2018); Crowther (2019); (111) Lo (2005); (112) Kirby et al. (2013); (113) Geha et al. (2009); Martin et al. (2008); (114) Loubser & S3nchez-Bl3zquez (2011); Dullo et al. (2017); (115) Ogle et al. (2019); (116) Uson et al. (1991); (117) Gonzalez et al. (2000); (118) Dullo et al. (2017); (119) Liu et al. (2015); (120) Martin et al. (2008); Geha et al. (2009); Simon (2019); (121) Ma et al. (2016); Litke et al. (2019); (122) Toba et al. (2020); (123) Torrealba et al. (2019); (124) Simon et al. (2015); (125) Oesch et al. (2016); (126) Coe et al. (2013); Chan et al. (2017); Lam et al. (2019); (127) Uson et al. (1991); Dullo et al. (2017); (128) Glazebrook et al. (2017); Schreiber et al. (2018); (129) Ma et al. (2016); (130) Guseva et al. (2004); (131) Janowiecki et al. (2015); Ball et al. (2018); (132) Izotov et al. (2018); (133) Moustakas et al. (2010); De Vis et al. (2019); (134) Baan et al. (1992); Pihlstr3m et al. (2005); (135) Ogle et al. (2016, 2019); (136) Ogle et al. (2016); (137) Yuan et al. (2017); (138) Bayliss et al. (2020); (139) Ebeling et al. (2009); (140) Baldassare et al. (2015); (141) Mehrgan et al. (2019); (142) Dullo et al. (2017); (143) Hagen et al. (1992); (144) Wolf et al. (2018); (145) Fan et al. (2018); Tsai et al. (2018); (146) Seth et al. (2014); (147) Secrest et al. (2015); (148) Seth et al. (2014); (149) BaBados et al. (2018); (150) Barvainis & Ivison (2002); (151) Anguita et al. (2009); (152) Machalski et al. (2008); (153) Barvainis & Antonucci (2005); (154) Hickson et al. (1992); (155) Hickson et al. (1992); Durbala et al. (2008); (156) Broadhurst et al. (2008); Umetsu et al. (2011); (157) Medezinski et al. (2013); (158) Abell et al. (1989); (159) McDonald et al. (2012); (160) Tucker et al. (1998); Wik et al. (2014); (161) Wang et al. (2016); (162) Bonafede et al. (2009); Pandey-Pommier et al. (2013); (163) van Weeren et al. (2009); (164) Ishigaki et al. (2016); Laporte et al. (2017); (165) Bardelli et al. (1994); Proust et al. (2006); Chon et al. (2015)

C. THE ANOMALY SAMPLE

All objects in the Anomaly sample are listed in Table C1 (if not a previous SETI candidate) and Table C2 (if a previous SETI candidate). Table C3 lists anomalies that were not included in the catalog for practical reasons.

Table C1. Anomaly (Non-SETI) sample

Type	Description	Anomaly	Class	ID	Solar?	Ref	
Class I							
Pulsar planets	Unknown formation mechanism, rare for PSR	PSR B1257+12	I (III)	094		1	
Paradoxical ELM WDs	ELM white dwarf in too wide binary to be formed	KIC 8145411	0/I	332		2	
Peripheral MSP binaries	MSP-WD binary unexpectedly at edge of globular	HE 0430-2457	0/I	664		3	
		PSR J1911-5958A	I	665		4	
Nuclear cluster stars	PSR-star binary at edge of globular cluster	PSR J1740-5340	I	666		5	
		Stars in hostile Galactic Center environment, where tides could prevent star formation	S0-2	I	667		6
Nuclear subcluster	Apparent extremely dense star subcluster within inner parsec, possibly within tidal disruption limit	IRS 16C	I	668		7	
		IRS 16E	I	604		8	
Nuclear cluster clouds	Odd compact Galactic Center cloud	G2	I/IV	669		9	
Displaced supernova	Core collapse supernova well beyond host galaxy's plane	ASASSN -14jb	0/I	670		10	
		Core collapse supernova in distant outskirts of galaxy, far from star-forming regions	SN 2009ip	I	671		11
Hypervelocity globular cluster	Intergalactic globular cluster with peculiar velocity $\sim 1,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$	HVGC-1	0/I	672		12	
Peculiar offset AGNs	AGNs with offsets in location and velocity from galactic center, possible recoiling SMBHs	3C 186	0/I	673		13	
		SDSS J113323.97+550415.9	0/I/IV	674		14	
Class II							
Extreme comet outburst	Unexplained brightening by factor 10^6	17P/Holmes	0/II	675	✓	15	
Underheated ice giant	Unexplained low heat flux	Uranus	0/II	676	✓	16	
Super-puffs	Planets with unexplained, extremely low density	HIP 41378 f	II	677		17	
Anomalous abundance star	Stars with as-yet unexplained depletions in iron-peak elements, presumably due to suppressed accretion of dust	λ Boo	0/II	207		18	
		Abnormally high abundances of Re-Hg, Zr/Nb/Mo anomaly	HD 65949	II	678		19
		Unexplained rare-earth and radioactive elements	Przybylski's Star	II	679		20
		Abnormally high Be abundance	HD 106038	0/II	680		21
		Abnormally Mn-enhanced Ni-depleted metal-rich star	HD 135485	II	681		22

Table C1 *continued*

Table C1 (*continued*)

Type	Description	Anomaly	Class	ID	Solar?	Ref
	Star with abnormal abundances	LS IV-14 116	0/II	682		23
	Phosphorus-rich star with other abundance anomalies	2MASS J13535604+4437076	II	683		24
Anomalous red companion star	Abnormal red companion star to PSR with radius too large to fit in Roche Lobe	COM 6266B	II/V	684		25
Subsubgiant star	Stars redder than MS, below subgiant branch	M67-S1063	0/II	685		26
Red straggler	Star redder than red giant; unexplained companion to PSR	PSR J1740-5340	0/II	666		27
Bloatars	Luminous stars with $T \sim 2,000$ K	[SBD2011] 5	II	686		28
Kilosecond rotation magnetar	Magnetar with 7 hr rotation period	1E 1613-5055	II	687		29
Paradoxical WD binary	WD-WD binary, younger WD also the more massive one	DWD HS 2220+2146	0/II	688		30
Overmassive SMBH	SMBHs far too massive for host given known correlations	NGC 1277*	0/II	689		31
		Was 49b	II	690		32
Ultrapolarized radio source	Radio galaxy with anomalously high ($\gtrsim 30\%$) linear polarization	2MASX J07390433+1804252	0/II	691		33
	Resolved extragalactic radio source with extremely high linear polarization (54% at 8.87 GHz), possibly lensed galaxy	J 06587-5558	0/II	692		34
Hyperluminous SN/TDE	Abnormally bright TDE or possibly SLSN	ASASSN -15lh	0/II	693		35
CMB Cold Spot	Unusually extreme temperature fluctuation in CMB	CMB Cold Spot	II	694		36
Class III						
Unusual asteroid	spectrum Asteroid with unusual spectrum in sparse region of MBA, no sign of parent collisional family	(10537) 1991 RY ₁₆	0/III (+ I)	695	✓	37
Unexplained geology	Ridge encircles moon, formation unclear	Iapetus	0/III	547	✓	38
Anomalous interstellar object	Non-gravitational accelerations, claimed unusual shapes	1I/'Oumuamua	III+III	068	✓	39
Anomalous transitters	Deep aperiodic eclipses	Boyajian's Star	0/III	696		40
	Random transiter	HD 139139	III	697		41
	Mysterious eclipses	VVV-WIT-07	0/III	698		42
	Star with fading and brightening episodes	ASASSN-V J060000.76-310027.83	III	699		43
Anomalous star	spectrum Red dwarf with WD-like excess emission and Na absorption lines	WISEA 0615-1247	III	700		44
Anomalous variable star	Helium subdwarf star with unexplained variability	LS IV-14 116	III	682		45

Table C1 *continued*

Table C1 (*continued*)

Type	Description	Anomaly	Class	ID	Solar?	Ref
Anomalous non-variable star	Star apparently in Cepheid strip without significant photometric or RV variability	45 Dra	III	701		46
	Star nearly identical to Cepheid companion but lacking variability	OGLE LMC-CEP-4506	III	702		47
Anomalous dimming stars	Unexplained decadal variability	Boyajian's Star	III	696		48
	Young sun analog that has been fading for ~50 yr	EK Dra	III	703		49
	Star dimmed by 1 magnitude over a few days	ASASSN-V J190917.06+182837.36	III	704		50
	Star dimmed by over 1 magnitude over a few days, then recovered rapidly	ASASSN-V J213939.3-702817.4	III	705		51
	Star dimmed by 1.5 mag over the course of a week	ASASSN V J193622.23+115244.1	III	706		52
Vanishing supergiants	Supergiant stars that have faded and disappeared, far below initial luminosity, possibly after minor outbursts	NGC 6946-BH1	0/III	275		53
		NGC 3021-CANDIDATE 1	0/III	707		54
Anomalous outburst stellar	Unexplained outburst with 10 sec rise and hour persistence in B giant	BW Vul	III	708		55
	Unexplained outburst/flare in B dwarf	BD +31° 1048	0/III	709		56
	Unexplained minutes-long outburst(s) in A dwarf	AQ CVn	III	710		57
	Unexplained subsecond outburst of G supergiant	β Cam	III	711		58
	Unexplained minutes-long outburst in K giant	V654 Her	III	712		59
	Unexplained FU Ori-like outburst	PTF 14jg	0/III	713		60
	Unexplained outburst and variability	ASASSN-19lb	III	714		61
	Unexplained outburst in Sun-like star	ASASSN-20lj	III	715		62
	Host of stellar flares with unidentified spectral lines	YZ CMi	III	716		63
Complex magnetic star	Young star with unusually complex magnetic field geometry and decadal rotational variability	Landstreet's Star	0/III	717		64
Hybrid GRB	Peculiar nearby long-duration GRB with no identified supernova, some properties like short GRBs	GRB 060614	0/III/IV	718		65
	Peculiar nearby intermediate-duration GRB with no identified supernova, some properties like long GRBs	GRB 060505	0/III/IV	719		66
Fast radio burster	Magnetar that emitted brilliant millisecond radio transient	SGR 1935+2154	III	269		67

Table C1 *continued*

Table C1 (*continued*)

Type	Description	Anomaly	Class	ID	Solar?	Ref
Swooshing pulsar	Pulsar with quasiperiodic episodes in which pulses drift	PSR B0919+06	III	720		68
Anomalous variable MSP-WD	MSP-WD binary with strange light curve	PSR J1911-5958A	III	665		69
Red flaring CVs/YSOs	Extremely red (in Gaia photometry) star with unexplained optical flare (0.7 magnitude)	DDE 168	II/III(/IV)	721		70
Dynamically-peculiar globular cluster	Globular cluster with three MSPs observed to have anomalous inner accelerations, and two with discrepant proper motions, distances	NGC 6752	0/III (I)	340		71
Galactic hole	Possible void in center of galaxy	UMi dSph	0/III	722		72
	Anomalous multi-kpc void to one side of galaxy, apparently not due to SF	NGC 247	III/IV	723		73
Variable galaxy	Dwarf galaxy hosts unknown transient, also seems to change in position or morphology over decades	Leoncino Dwarf	III/IV/V	724		74
Anomalous flaring AGN	AGN with days-long symmetric burst in optical light curve, possible self-lensing SMBH binary	Spikey	0/III	725		75
	Weeks long single AGN flare in optical, possibly counterpart to gravitational wave event GW 190521	ZTF19abanrhr	0/III	726		76
Quasi-periodic erupting AGN	AGN with hour-long X-ray flares, occur on regular (several hour) basis	GSN 069	III	727		77
Coherently variable AGN	Coherent picosecond optical variability from AGN	MCG+00-09-070	III	728		78
Class IV						
Unidentified sources	radio	Bright radio source with possible unidentified optical counterpart	3C 141	IV	729	79
		Bright radio source with no counterparts	3C 125	IV	730	80
			3C 431	IV	731	81
		Radio source with no likely counterparts	PMN J1751-2524	IV	732	82
		Unexplained variable radio counterpart to repeating FRB	FRB 121102	0/IV/III	733	83
Odd radio circles	Unexplained disk-shaped arcminute-scale radio sources	ORC 2	IV	734	84	
Radio filament	Unexplained narrow synchrotron-emitting filaments	Galactic Center Radio Arc	IV	735	85	
Unidentified source	γ -ray	Unassociated GeV gamma-ray source off Galactic Plane	3FGL J1539.2-3324	0/IV	736	86
			3FGL J1231.6-5113	IV	737	87

Table C1 *continued*

Table C1 (*continued*)

Type	Description	Anomaly	Class	ID	Solar?	Ref
Dark accelerator	Unassociated TeV gamma-ray source near Galactic Plane	TeV J2032+4130	0/IV	738		88
		HESS J1745-303	IV	739		89
Unidentified transient	radio Sub-minute duration low frequency radio transient	LWAT 171018	IV	740		90
	Minute duration low frequency radio transient	ILT J225347+862146	IV	741		91
	Long duration low frequency radio transient	TGSSADR J183304.4-384046	IV	742		92
	Multi-hour low frequency radio transient	J103916.2+585124	IV	743		93
	Multi-day radio transient	WJN J1443+3439	IV	744		94
	Long-duration radio transient in M82 starburst	43.78+59.3	0/IV	745		95
	Decade-long radio transient	FIRST J141918.9+394036	0/IV	746		96
	5 GHz radio transient	RT 19920826	IV	747		97
Radio burster	Several > 1 Jy radio bursts from inner Galaxy	GCRT J1745-3009	IV	748		98
Non-repeating FRB	Unexplained single millisecond radio transient in host with low star formation	FRB 190523	IV	749		99
Repeating FRB	Unexplained aperiodic millisecond radio transients	FRB 121102	IV/III	733		100
Periodic FRB	Unexplained, periodic millisecond radio transients	FRB 180916.J0158+65	IV	750		101
Unidentified transient	NIR Possible recurrent NIR transient	VVV-WIT-02	0/IV	751		102
Unidentified transient	optical Possible minutes-long recurrent OT	OTS 1809+31	IV	752		103
	Flaring red object	MASTER OT J051515.25+223945.7	IV	753		104
	Fading red point source or red transient	USNO-B1.0 1084-0241525	IV	754		105
Pseudo-afterglow	Fading optical transient caused by extragalactic relativistic explosion	PTF 11agg	0/II/IV	755		106
Intermediate luminosity red transient	Months-long eruption of highly-reddened object, brighter than typical nova but fainter than typical supernova	SN 2008S	IV/III	756		107
Ca-rich gap transient	Weeks-long optical transient, brighter than typical nova but fainter than typical supernova with atypically high Ca/O ratios	PTF 09dav	IV	757		108
Fast blue transients	UV-optical Optical and ultraviolet transient with blue colors that evolved quickly over days	AT 2018cow	IV	758		109
	Extremely bright optical and ultraviolet transient with blue colors that evolved quickly	Dougie	0/IV	759		110
Unidentified transient	X-ray Unexplained minutes-long X-ray transients	XRT 000519	IV	760		111
		CDF-S XT1	0/IV	761		112

Table C1 *continued*

Table C1 (*continued*)

Type		Description	Anomaly	Class	ID	Solar?	Ref
		Ultraluminous minute-long X-ray transient	CXOU J124839.0-054750	IV	762		113
		Ultraluminous years-long X-ray transient	M86 tULX-1	0/II/IV	763		114
Unidentified transient	γ -ray	Galactic long GRB-like transient with rapid (< 1 s) optical flaring	Swift J195509.6+261406	IV	764		115
Neutrino coincidence		Coincidence of several neutrinos detected by IceCube	IceCube neutrino multiplet	0/IV	765		116
Class V							
Impossible stars	eclipsing	“Impossible” eclipsing triple star with eclipses that cannot be fit with Kepler’s Laws	KIC 2856960	0/V	766		117
ANITA upwards showers		EeV neutrino candidates impossibly propagating through Earth	AAE-061228	V	767		118
			AAE-141220	V	768		119
			AAC-150108	V	769		120

NOTE—**Class** – classification according to scheme in Section 6.1. A “0” in the class indicates the existence of a partial explanation.
Solar? – \checkmark if object is in or passed through Solar System and listed in Table E1; otherwise listed in Table E2.

References—(1) Wolszczan & Frail (1992); Phinney & Hansen (1993); Podsiadlowski (1993); (2) Masuda et al. (2019); (3) Vos et al. (2018); (4) Colpi et al. (2003); Cocozza et al. (2006); (5) Orosz & van Kerkwijk (2003); (6) Ghez et al. (2003); Habibi et al. (2017); (7) Paumard et al. (2006); (8) Paumard et al. (2006); Fritz et al. (2010); Wang et al. (2020); (9) Gillessen et al. (2012); Phifer et al. (2013); Plewa et al. (2017); (10) Meza et al. (2019); (11) Smith et al. (2016); (12) Caldwell et al. (2014); (13) Chiaberge et al. (2017, 2018); (14) Koss et al. (2014); Stanek et al. (2019); Pursimo et al. (2019); (15) Montalto et al. (2008); Reach et al. (2010); Hsieh et al. (2010); (16) Pearl et al. (1990); (17) Santerne et al. (2019); (18) Venn & Lambert (1990); Murphy et al. (2017); (19) Cowley et al. (2010); (20) Przybylski (1961); Cowley et al. (2004); Bidelman (2005); Gopka et al. (2008); (21) Smiljanic et al. (2008); Hansen et al. (2017); (22) Trundle et al. (2001); (23) Naslim et al. (2011); (24) Masseron et al. (2020); (25) Cocozza et al. (2008); (26) Mathieu et al. (2003); Geller et al. (2017); Leiner et al. (2017); (27) Orosz & van Kerkwijk (2003); Mucciarelli et al. (2013); Geller et al. (2017); (28) Spezzi et al. (2011); (29) De Luca et al. (2006); Rea et al. (2016); Ho & Andersson (2017); Xu & Li (2019); (30) Andrews et al. (2016); (31) van den Bosch et al. (2012); Graham et al. (2016); (32) Secrest et al. (2017); (33) Liang et al. (2001); Shi et al. (2010); (34) Liang et al. (2001); Shimwell et al. (2014); (35) Dong et al. (2016); Leloudas et al. (2016); (36) Cruz et al. (2005, 2008); Szapudi et al. (2015); Mackenzie et al. (2017); (37) Moskovitz et al. (2008); (38) Porco et al. (2005); (39) Meech et al. (2017); Micheli et al. (2018); Loeb (2018); ‘Oumuamua ISSI Team et al. (2019); (40) Boyajian et al. (2016); Wright & Sigurdsson (2016); Boyajian et al. (2018); (41) Rappaport et al. (2019); (42) Saito et al. (2019); (43) Way et al. (2019a,c); Sokolovsky et al. (2019); (44) Fajardo-Acosta et al. (2016); (45) Green et al. (2011); Randall et al. (2015); (46) Fernie & Hube (1971); Butler (1998); (47) Gieren et al. (2015); Pilecki et al. (2018); (48) Schaefer (2016); Montet & Simon (2016); Wright & Sigurdsson (2016); Hippke & Angerhausen (2018); (49) Fröhlich et al. (2002); Järvinen et al. (2005, 2018); (50) Way et al. (2019b); (51) Jayasinghe et al. (2019a); (52) Way et al. (2020); (53) Gerke et al. (2015); Adams et al. (2017); (54) Reynolds et al. (2015); (55) Eggen (1948); Schaefer (1989); (56) Andrews (1964); Schaefer (1989); Andrews (1996); (57) Philip (1968); Schaefer (1989); (58) Wdowiak & Clifton (1985); Schaefer (1989); (59) Moffett & Vanden Bout (1973); Tsvetkov & Pettersen (1985); Schaefer (1989); (60) Hillenbrand et al. (2019); (61) Jayasinghe et al. (2019b); (62) Denisenko (2020); (63) Haisch & Glampapa (1985); (64) Mikulášek et al. (2020); (65) Della Valle et al. (2006); Gehrels et al. (2006); Gal-Yam et al. (2006); Yang et al. (2015); (66) Ofek et al. (2007); McBreen et al. (2008); Thöne et al. (2014); (67) Scholz & Chime/Frb Collaboration (2020); Bochenek et al. (2020); (68) Rankin et al. (2006); Wahl et al. (2016); (69) Cocozza et al. (2006); (70) Denisenko (2019); (71) D’Amico et al. (2002); Ferraro et al. (2003); (72) Battinelli & Demers (1999); Demers & Battinelli (2001); Bellazzini et al. (2002); (73) Wagner-Kaiser et al. (2014); (74) Filho & Sánchez Almeida (2018); (75) Smith et al. (2018); Hu et al. (2020); (76) Graham et al. (2020); (77) Miniutti et al. (2019); (78) Borra (2013); (79) Martel et al. (1998); Maselli et al. (2016); (80) Maselli et al. (2016); (81) Maselli et al. (2016); (82) Titov et al. (2011); (83) Chatterjee et al. (2017); Margalit & Metzger (2018); (84) Norris et al. (2021); (85) Yusef-Zadeh et al. (1984); Anantharamaiah et al. (1991); (86) Massaro et al. (2015); Salvetti et al. (2017); (87) Acero et al. (2013); Massaro et al. (2015); (88) Aharonian et al. (2002, 2008); Aliu et al. (2014); (89) Aharonian et al. (2008); Hayakawa et al. (2012); Hui et al. (2016); (90) Varghese et al. (2019); (91) Stewart et al. (2016); (92) Murphy et al. (2017); (93) Jaeger et al. (2012); (94) Niinuma et al. (2007); Aoki et al. (2014); (95) Muxlow et al. (2010); Joseph et al. (2011); Gendre et al. (2013); (96) Law et al. (2018); Marcote et al. (2019); (97) Bower et al. (2007); Frail et al. (2012); (98) Hyman et al. (2005); Kaplan et al. (2008); Roy et al. (2010); (99) Ravi et al. (2019); (100) Spitler et al. (2016); Chatterjee et al. (2017); Tendulkar et al. (2017); Bassa et al. (2017); (101) Marcote et al. (2020); Chime/FRB Collaboration et al. (2020); (102) Dekany et al. (2014); (103) Hudec et al. (1990); (104) Balanutsa et al. (2015); (105) Villarreal et al. (2016, 2020); (106)enko et al. (2013); (107) Prieto et al. (2008); Smith et al. (2008); Thompson et al. (2009); (108) Sullivan et al. (2011); Kasliwal et al. (2012); (109) Drout et al. (2014); Pursiainen et al. (2018); Prentice et al. (2018); Margutti et al. (2019); (110) Vinkó et al. (2015); Arcavi et al. (2016); (111) Jonker et al. (2013); (112) Bauer et al. (2017); (113) Sivakoff et al. (2005); (114) van Haften et al. (2019); (115) Kasliwal et al. (2008); Stefanescu et al. (2008); Castro-Tirado et al. (2008); (116) Icecube Collaboration et al. (2017); (117) Marsh et al. (2014); Wright et al. (2016); (118) Gorham et al. (2018); (119) Gorham et al. (2018); (120) Aartsen et al. (2020)

Table C2. Anomaly (SETI) sample

Type	Description	Program	Candidate	Class	ID	
Class II						
IR excess stars	MIR-bright star	C09	IRAS 16406-1406	II/III	770	
		C09	IRAS 20331+4024	II/III	771	
		C09	IRAS 20369+5131	II/III	772	
IR excess stars	MIR-bright star cluster	\hat{G}	IRAS 04287+6444	0/II	773	
	Microwave-excess star	L16	UW CMi	0/II	774	
	IR excess galaxies	MIR-bright galaxy	\hat{G}	WISE J224436.12+372533.6	II	775
MIR-radio correlation outlier		Ga15	UGC 3097	II	776	
Abnormally faint star	Luminosity discrepant with spectral type	Z18	NGC 814	II	777	
			ESO 400-28	II	778	
			MCG+02-60-017	II	779	
			TYC 6111-1162-1	0/II	780	
Underluminous galaxy	Disk galaxies too faint for Tully-Fisher relation	Z15	UGC 5394	II	781	
			NGC 4502	II	782	
			NGC 4698	II	783	
			IC 3877	II	784	
			AGC 470027	II	785	
Class III						
Narrowband radio star	Narrowband radio emission from star	B92	HR 6171	III	786	
		SERENDIP III	GJ 1019	III	787	
			GJ 299	III	788	
		P19	LHS 1140	0/III	088	
Optical pulse star	Nanosecond optical pulses from star	Harvard OSETI	TRAPPIST-1	0/III	091	
			HD 220077	0/III	789	
Coherently variable star	Coherent picosecond optical variability from star	BT16	HIP 107359	0/III	790	
			TYC 3010-1024-1	III	791	
Class IV						
Narrowband radio source	Narrowband transient	Big Ear	Wow! Signal (A)	IV	792	
			Wow! Signal (B)	IV	793	
	Ultranarrowband radio emission	SERENDIP III	5.13h +2.1	IV	794	
			META	08.00h -08.50	IV	795
			META	03.10h +58.0	IV	796
Unidentified IR source	Unidentified MIR candidate galaxies	META II	11.03.91	IV	797	
		\hat{G}	WISE 0735-5946	IV	798	
Vanishing star-like source	Apparent star disappearing between archival images	\hat{G}	IRAS 16329+8252	IV	799	
		V16	USNO-B1.0 1084-0241525	IV	754	

NOTE—All sources in this sample are sidereal.

References—B92: Blair et al. (1992); Big Ear: Dixon (1985), Gray (2012); BT16: Borra & Trottier (2016); C09: Carrigan (2009); \hat{G} : Griffith et al. (2015); Ga15: Garrett (2015); Harvard OSETI: Howard et al. (2004); L16: Lacki (2016b); META: Horowitz & Sagan (1993); META II: Colomb et al. (1995); P19: Pinchuk et al. (2019); SERENDIP III: Bowyer et al. (2016); V16: Villarroel et al. (2016); Z15: Zackrisson et al. (2015); Z18: Zackrisson et al. (2018)

Table C3. Anomalies excluded from *Exotica Catalog*

Type	Description	Anomaly	Class	Reason excluded	Ref
Class II					
NS-BH mass gap object	Gravitational wave event involving $2.6 M_{\odot}$ compact object, in between the expected mass of a neutron star and stellar mass black hole	GW190814	II	Insufficiently localized	1
Pair SN mass gap black hole	Gravitational wave event involving $85^{+21}_{-14} M_{\odot}$ black hole, in mass range where pair instability supernovae are expected to leave no remnants	GW190521	II	Insufficiently localized	2
Class III					
Biotic planet	Planet with biosphere of poorly constrained origin	Earth	0/III	Impractical to observe as whole	3
Fermi Bubbles	Kiloparsec-scale bipolar bubbles visible in radio, X-rays, and gamma-rays extending out of Galactic Center	Fermi Bubbles	0/III/IV	Too large on sky	4
Class IV					
Milagro hot spots	TeV cosmic ray hotspots located in tail of heliosphere	Milagro region A	0/IV	Too large on sky	5
		Milagro region B	0/IV	Too large on sky	5
Great Annihilator	Region of excess positron annihilation emission around Galactic Center	Great Annihilator	0/IV	Too large on sky	6
Galactic Center GeV excess	Region of excess GeV gamma-ray emission around Galactic Center	(Galactic Center)	0/IV	Somewhat large on sky	7
ARCADE 2 radio excess	Inexplicably strong cosmic radio background	...	IV	Diffuse	8
TeV e^{\pm} excess	Excess of TeV electrons and positrons, with high positron fraction, over expectations from cosmic ray propagation models	...	0/IV/V	Diffuse	9
IceCube neutrino background	Astrophysical neutrinos of TeV–PeV energy	...	IV	Diffuse	10
Ultrahigh energy cosmic rays	Cosmic rays of EeV energy from unknown sources	...	0/IV	Diffuse	11
Great Silence	Unexpected lack of technosignatures in local Universe given its age and possibility of interstellar travel	...	0/IV	Non-localized	12
Class V					
Missing mass	Implied extra mass/gravity from galactic rotation curves, attributed to dark energy (or MOND)	...	V	Ubiquitous	13
	Non-baryonic mass implied by CMB power spectrum and lensing maps of galaxy clusters	...	V	Ubiquitous	14
Cosmic acceleration	Accelerating expansion of Universe, attributed to dark energy	...	V	Non-localized	15
Hubble tension	Inconsistency in measured H_0 between early Universe tests and distance ladder measurements	...	V	Non-localized	16

Table C3 *continued*

Table C3 (*continued*)

Type	Description	Anomaly	Class	Reason excluded	Ref
------	-------------	---------	-------	-----------------	-----

References—(1) Abbott et al. (2020); (2) The LIGO Scientific Collaboration et al. (2020); Graham et al. (2020); De Paolis et al. (2020); (3) Sagan et al. (1993); (4) Dobler & Finkbeiner (2008); Su et al. (2010); Kataoka et al. (2013); (5) Abdo et al. (2008); (6) Knödlseider et al. (2005); Prantzos et al. (2011); (7) Hooper & Goodenough (2011); Ackermann et al. (2017); (8) Seiffert et al. (2011); Singal et al. (2018); (9) Chang et al. (2008); Adriani et al. (2009); (10) Aartsen et al. (2014); IceCube Collaboration et al. (2018b); Murase et al. (2018); (11) Kotera & Olinto (2011); (12) Brin (1983); Cirkovic (2009, 2018); (13) Rubin et al. (1980); Famaey & McGaugh (2012); (14) Clowe et al. (2006); Spergel et al. (2007); (15) Riess et al. (1998); Perlmutter et al. (1999); (16) Verde et al. (2019)

D. THE CONTROL SAMPLE

All objects in the Control sample are listed in Table D1.

Table D1. Control sample

Object	Original explanation	Class	Ref	Current explanation	Ref	ID
CSL-1	Cosmic string as gravitational lens	0/IV	1	Galaxy pair	2	800
GRB 090709A	GRB with 8 sec quasi-periodic oscillations	III	3	GRB with no periodicity	4	801
GW100916 (A)	First BH merger observed in gravitational waves	0	5	Planned blind injection	5	802
GW100916 (B)		0	5		5	803
HD 117043	Potassium line-emitting stellar flares	III	6	Matches in observatory	7	804
Hertzprung’s Object	Bright optical transient	IV	8	Static electric discharge on photographic plate	9	805
HIP 114176	Star in the <i>Hipparcos</i> catalog	0	10	Scattered light from bright star	10	806
KIC 5520878	RR Lyr with prime number signal in autocorrelation of period lengths	III	11	Fluke from natural two period variability	11	807
KIC 9832227	Imminent stellar merger and LRN	0	12	Triple stellar system with W UMa-type inner binary; timing typo	13	808
KOI 6705.01	Variable Moon-sized transiter	III	14	Detector problem	14	809
Perseus Flasher	Bright optical short transients	IV	15	Satellite glints or physiological response	16	810
PSR B1829-10 b	First exoplanet discovered	I/III	17	Pulsar timing correction error	18	811
OT 060420	Bright optical transient	IV	19	CR hit coincidence	20	812
SSSPM J1549-3544	Candidate nearest white dwarf	0	21	Distant halo star	22	813
Swift Trigger 954840	Candidate gamma-ray burst	0	23	Statistical fluctuation	24	814
TU Leo	Dwarf nova	0	25	Background star coincident with bright asteroid 8 Flora	25	815
VLA J172059.9+385226.6	Unknown radio transient	IV	26	Incorrect metadata with regard to pointing	26	816

NOTE—All sources in this sample are sidereal.

References—(1): Sazhin et al. (2003); (2): Agol et al. (2006); (3): Markwardt et al. (2009); Golenetskii et al. (2009); Gotz et al. (2009); (4): de Luca et al. (2010); Cenko et al. (2010); (5): Evans et al. (2012); (6): Barbier & Morguleff (1962); (7): Wing et al. (1967); (8): Hertzprung (1927); Klemola (1983); (9): Schaefer (1983); (10): Perryman et al. (1997); (11): Hippke et al. (2015); (12): Molnar et al. (2017); (13): Socia et al. (2018); Kovacs et al. (2019); (14): Gaidos et al. (2016); Coughlin et al. (2016); (15): Katz et al. (1986); (16): Halliday et al. (1987); Corso et al. (1987); Maley (1987); Schaefer et al. (1987); Borovicka & Hudec (1989); (17): Bailes et al. (1991); (18): Lyne & Bailes (1992); (19): Shamir & Nemiroff (2006); (20): Shamir & Nemiroff (2006); Smette (2006); Nemiroff & Shamir (2006); (21): Scholz et al. (2004); (22): Farihi et al. (2005); (23): Lipunov et al. (2020); Gropp et al. (2020); (24): Gropp et al. (2020); (25): Schmadel et al. (1996); (26): Ofek et al. (2010)

E. THE FULL EXOTICA CATALOG

E.1. Notes on data sources

Much of the data used in Figures 4–6 comes from papers on individual sources on the literature.

For Solar System bodies, we consulted the Jet Propulsion Laboratory’s Solar System Dynamics pages⁴⁹, particularly the Small Solar System Browser⁵⁰. When masses were unavailable, we estimated them by assuming that objects interior to Jupiter had density 3 g cm^{-3} and the rest had density 2 g cm^{-3} .

⁴⁹ <https://ssd.jpl.nasa.gov/>

⁵⁰ <https://ssd.jpl.nasa.gov/sbdb.cgi>

We relied on Simbad data for the bulk of Table E2. Stellar data was partly based on *Gaia* distances, colors, and extinctions (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018); extinctions from Savage et al. (1985) and Gudennavar et al. (2012); PASTEL effective temperatures and surface gravities (Soubiran et al. 2016); *Hipparcos* photometry and distances (Perryman et al. 1997); and individualized references. For the I17 stars plotted in Figure 5, it was impractical to find individualized sources; we supplemented with data from Holmberg et al. (2007), Takeda et al. (2007), CATSUP (Hinkel et al. 2017), and Swihart et al. (2017). Frequently, we had to calculate the luminosity and/or surface temperature from other quantities (mass, radius, bolometric flux, angular size, and distance). When no other data was available for these quantities, we estimated bolometric corrections and effective temperatures using the color conversions of Flower (1996), as corrected by Torres (2010).

Additional references for Figure 4 as listed in Table E2: (13) Santerne et al. (2018); (15) Buldgen et al. (2019); (17) Anglada-Escudé et al. (2016); (20) Ribas et al. (2018); (22) Dittmann et al. (2017); (24) Díaz et al. (2016); Tuomi et al. (2013); (26) Crida et al. (2018); (28) Gonzales et al. (2019); Wang et al. (2017); (30) Armstrong et al. (2020); (33) Konacki & Wolszczan (2003); Pavlov et al. (2007); (35) von Braun et al. (2012); (38) Hartman et al. (2011); (39) Charbonneau et al. (2009); (41) Lovis et al. (2005); (44) Brahm et al. (2016); (46) Bouchy et al. (2005); Boyajian et al. (2015); (48) del Burgo & Allende Prieto (2016); (50) Cochran et al. (2011); (52) Gravity Collaboration et al. (2019a); Marois et al. (2008, 2010); (54) Santos et al. (2001); Wittenmyer et al. (2009); (56) Hébrard et al. (2010); Liu et al. (2018); (58) Bakos et al. (2007); (60) Hatzes et al. (2006); O’Gorman et al. (2017); (63) Doyle et al. (2011); Moorman et al. (2019); (365) Jontof-Hutter et al. (2015); (369) Bernkopf et al. (2012); Feng et al. (2017); Pepe et al. (2011); (373) Zhou et al. (2017); (375) Libby-Roberts et al. (2020); Masuda (2014); (376) Gaudi et al. (2017); (378) Barclay et al. (2012); (380) Donati et al. (2016); (382) Currie et al. (2018); (384) Sato et al. (2012); (387) Hollands et al. (2018); Luhman et al. (2012); Rodriguez et al. (2011); (388) Isella et al. (2016); Pinte et al. (2018).

Other references for the stellar CMD in Figure 5 as listed in Table E2: (2) Rappaport et al. (2016); (5) Macías et al. (2018); (6) Heiter et al. (2015); (7) Ballering et al. (2017); (36) Reid et al. (2004); (42) Stassun et al. (2017); (45) Brahm et al. (2016); (49) del Burgo & Allende Prieto (2016); (55) Tinney et al. (2011); (59) Bakos et al. (2007); (68) Tannirkulam et al. (2008); (82) Dieterich et al. (2014); Lépine & DiStefano (2012); (85) Díaz et al. (2019); (86) Heiter et al. (2015); (87) Heiter et al. (2015); (88) Heiter et al. (2015); (89) Ribas et al. (2010); (90) Heiter et al. (2015); (92) Swihart et al. (2017); (96) Swihart et al. (2017); (98) Baines et al. (2018); (99) Gordon et al. (2018a); (101) Markova et al. (2018); (102) Baines et al. (2013); (108) Heiter et al. (2015); (111) For & Sneden (2010); (112) Benedict et al. (2011); (114) For & Sneden (2010); (115) Ohnaka et al. (2019); (124) da Silva et al. (2006); (125) Heiter et al. (2015); (127) Bennett et al. (1996); (128) Heiter et al. (2015); (129) Torres et al. (2015); (131) Heiter et al. (2015); (133) Cruzalèbes et al. (2013); (139) Harper et al. (2008); (140) van Genderen et al. (2019); (141) Zhang et al. (2012a); (144) Morris et al. (2004); van der Hucht (2001); (146) North et al. (2007); (148) Clark et al. (2012); Crowther et al. (2006); (149) Groh et al. (2009); (150) Damineli et al. (2019); Shull & Danforth (2019); (153) Allende Prieto & del Burgo (2016); (154) Kochukhov & Wade (2010); (158) Heiter et al. (2015); (161) Heiter et al. (2015); (162) Heiter et al. (2015); (165) Kaye et al. (1999); (167) Gordon et al. (2019); (170) O’Donoghue et al. (1997); (178) Guinan & Robinson (1986); (180) Howell et al. (2013); Yudin et al. (2002); (182) Jeffery et al. (2001); (184) Geier et al. (2017); (185) Geier et al. (2017); (187) Plez & Cohen (2005); (188) Gordon et al. (2018a); (189) Heber et al. (2008); (193) Holberg et al. (2016); (199) Preval et al. (2013); (203) Sahu et al. (2017); (204) Holberg et al. (2016); Hollands et al. (2018); (208) Holberg et al. (2016); (232) Torres & Ribas (2002); (233) Torres & Ribas (2002); (363) Mamajek et al. (2012); (370) Bernkopf et al. (2012); (371) Zhou et al. (2017); (374) Zhou et al. (2017); (386) Stassun et al. (2017); (390) Crowther et al. (2010); Doran et al. (2013); (394) Geier et al. (2017); (396) Gordon et al. (2018b); Zhang et al. (2012b); (403) Koposov et al. (2020); (407) Dahn et al. (2004); (409) Werner & Rauch (2015); (429) Tokovinin (2018); (476) Vos et al. (2018); (477) Vos et al. (2018); (488) Hawkins et al. (2016); (489) Trundle et al. (2001); (490) Geier et al. (2017); (492) Masseron et al. (2020); (494) Geller et al. (2017); Mathieu et al. (2003); (500) Boyajian et al. (2016); (510) Andrews (1996); (511) Brown et al. (2008); (513) Bailer-Jones (2011); (542) Zackrisson et al. (2018); (545) Sandage (1997); (546) Rayner et al. (2009); (547) Cvetković (2011); (548) Ruiz-Dern et al. (2018); (560) Farihi et al. (2005). Supplementary data for the I17 stars comes from: Baines et al. (2018); Heiter et al. (2015); Swihart et al. (2017).

Other references for the HR diagram in Figure 5 as listed in Table E2: (1) Bonnefoy et al. (2013); (2) Rappaport et al. (2016); (3) Sokal et al. (2018); (4) Bodman et al. (2017); (5) Macías et al. (2018); (6) Heiter et al. (2015); (7) Ballering et al. (2017); (8) Peterson et al. (2006); (9) Weinberger (2008); (10) Su et al. (2007); (11) Jura (2003); (12) Gänsicke et al. (2019); (14) Santerne et al. (2018); (16) Buldgen et al. (2019); (18) Anglada-Escudé et al. (2016); (19) Sanchis-Ojeda et al. (2013); (21) Ribas et al. (2018); (23) Dittmann et al. (2017); (25) Tuomi et al. (2013); (27) Bourrier et al. (2018); (29) Gonzales et al. (2019); (31) Armstrong et al. (2020); (32) Rappaport et al. (2012); (34) Borucki et al. (2012); (37) von Braun et al. (2012); (40) Charbonneau et al. (2009); (43) Schwarz et al. (2007); Stassun et al. (2017); (45) Brahm et al. (2016); (47) Poppenhaeger et al. (2013); (49) del Burgo & Allende Prieto (2016); (51) Cochran et al. (2011); (53) Marois et al. (2008); (55) Tinney et al. (2011); (57) Hébrard et al. (2010); Liu et al. (2018); (59) Bakos et al. (2007); (61) O’Gorman et al. (2017); (64) Doyle et al. (2011); (65) Ceccarelli et al. (2000); (68) Tannirkulam et al. (2008); (69) Leggett et al. (2017); (71) Leggett et al.

(2017); (72) Del Burgo et al. (2009); (73) Dieterich et al. (2018); King et al. (2010); (74) Faherty et al. (2014); Garcia et al. (2017); (76) Faherty et al. (2014); Garcia et al. (2017); (78) Dupuy et al. (2009); (79) Cushing et al. (2008); (80) Basri et al. (1996); Basri & Martín (1999); (81) Dieterich et al. (2014); (83) Dieterich et al. (2014); (84) Dieterich et al. (2014); (85) Díaz et al. (2019); (86) Heiter et al. (2015); (87) Heiter et al. (2015); (88) Heiter et al. (2015); (89) Ribas et al. (2010); (90) Heiter et al. (2015); (91) Boyajian et al. (2012); (92) Swihart et al. (2017); (93) Zhao et al. (2009); (94) Jones et al. (2015); (95) Monnier et al. (2012); (96) Swihart et al. (2017); (97) McCarthy & White (2012); (98) Baines et al. (2018); (99) Gordon et al. (2018a); (100) Blomme et al. (2011); (101) Markova et al. (2018); (103) Baines et al. (2018); (104) Li et al. (2019); (105) Heiter et al. (2015); (106) David & Hillenbrand (2015); (107) Rau et al. (2018); (108) Heiter et al. (2015); (109) Heiter et al. (2015); (110) Gray (2016); (111) For & Sneden (2010); (112) Benedict et al. (2011); (114) For & Sneden (2010); (115) Ohnaka et al. (2019); (116) Libert et al. (2010); (117) Groenewegen et al. (2012); Menten et al. (2012); (118) Justtanont et al. (2013); (120) Witt et al. (2009); (122) Hadjara et al. (2018); (123) Torres et al. (2015); (124) da Silva et al. (2006); (126) Halabi & Eid (2015); Heiter et al. (2015); (127) Bennett et al. (1996); (128) Heiter et al. (2015); (129) Torres et al. (2015); (130) Natale et al. (2008); (131) Heiter et al. (2015); (132) Neilson et al. (2016); (133) Cruzalèbes et al. (2013); (134) Groenewegen & Sloan (2018); (136) Pablo et al. (2017); (137) Zorec et al. (2009); (138) Aufdenberg et al. (2002); (139) Harper et al. (2008); (140) van Genderen et al. (2019); (142) Wittkowski et al. (2012); Zhang et al. (2012a); (145) Morris et al. (2004); (146) North et al. (2007); (147) Tramper et al. (2015); (148) Clark et al. (2012); Crowther et al. (2006); (149) Groh et al. (2009); (151) Hillier et al. (2001); Mehner et al. (2019); Shull & Danforth (2019); (153) Allende Prieto & del Burgo (2016); (154) Kochukhov & Wade (2010); (155) Ciardi et al. (2007); (156) Burgasser et al. (2008); (157) Anglada-Escude et al. (2014); (158) Heiter et al. (2015); (159) Mortier et al. (2012); (160) Heiter et al. (2015); (161) Heiter et al. (2015); (162) Heiter et al. (2015); (163) Christlieb et al. (2002); Norris et al. (2013); (164) Woodruff et al. (2004); (165) Kaye et al. (1999); (166) De Ridder et al. (1999); (167) Gordon et al. (2019); (168) Pietrukowicz et al. (2017); (169) Blanchette et al. (2008); (170) O’Donoghue et al. (1997); (171) Latour et al. (2011); (172) Kervella et al. (2016); (173) Hallinan et al. (2006); (174) Kochukhov et al. (2014); (175) Loebman et al. (2015); (177) Leiner et al. (2016); (179) Guinan & Robinson (1986); Jetsu et al. (1993); Korhonen et al. (1999); (181) García-Hernández et al. (2011); Howell et al. (2013); (182) Jeffery et al. (2001); (183) Fossati et al. (2010); (184) Geier et al. (2017); (185) Geier et al. (2017); (186) Şener & Jeffery (2014); (187) Plez & Cohen (2005); (188) Gordon et al. (2018a); (189) Heber et al. (2008); (190) Brown et al. (2005); (191) Kaplan et al. (2014a); (192) Bédard et al. (2017); (193) Holberg et al. (2016); (194) Holberg et al. (2016); (195) Jahn et al. (2007); (196) Raddi et al. (2018); (197) Shen et al. (2018); (199) Preval et al. (2013); (200) Holberg et al. (2016); Hollands et al. (2018); (201) Bischoff-Kim et al. (2019); (202) Bohlin & Koester (2008); (203) Sahu et al. (2017); (204) Holberg et al. (2016); Hollands et al. (2018); (205) Dreizler & Werner (1996); (206) Dufour et al. (2008); (207) Gänsicke et al. (2010); (208) Holberg et al. (2016); (209) Romero et al. (2012); (210) Serenelli et al. (2019); (228) Porto de Mello et al. (2008); Pourbaix & Boffin (2016); (229) Ratzka et al. (2009); Schaefer et al. (2020); (230) Bergeron et al. (1989); (232) Torres & Ribas (2002); (233) Torres & Ribas (2002); (234) Hoard et al. (2010); (236) Masuda et al. (2019); (237) Xiang et al. (2020); (361) Luhman et al. (2005); (364) Mamajek et al. (2012); Mentel et al. (2018); (366) Jontof-Hutter et al. (2015); (367) Barclay et al. (2013); (368) Bernkopf et al. (2012); (370) Bernkopf et al. (2012); (372) Rappaport et al. (2013); (374) Zhou et al. (2017); (377) Gaudi et al. (2017); (379) Esteves et al. (2015); (381) Donati et al. (2016); (383) Currie et al. (2018); (385) Stock et al. (2018); (386) Stassun et al. (2017); (389) Natta et al. (2004); (391) Crowther et al. (2010, 2016); (393) von Boetticher et al. (2017); (395) La Palombara et al. (2019); (396) Gordon et al. (2018b); Zhang et al. (2012b); (398) Wittkowski et al. (2017); (399) Keller et al. (2014); (400) von Braun et al. (2014); (401) Caffau et al. (2011); (402) Peißker et al. (2020); (403) Koposov et al. (2020); (405) Hermes et al. (2013); (407) Dahn et al. (2004); (409) Werner & Rauch (2015); (411) Brinkworth et al. (2013); (412) Kilic et al. (2012); (423) Best et al. (2017); (425) Tehrani et al. (2019); (427) Burdge et al. (2019); (476) Vos et al. (2018); (477) Vos et al. (2018); (480) Habibi et al. (2017); (482) Martins et al. (2007); (487) Mkrtichian et al. (2008); (488) Hawkins et al. (2016); (489) Trundle et al. (2001); (491) Green et al. (2011); (492) Masseron et al. (2020); (495) Mathieu et al. (2003); (496) Spezzi et al. (2011); (498) Andrews et al. (2016); (500) Boyajian et al. (2016); (501) Rappaport et al. (2019); (502) Gaia Collaboration et al. (2018); (503) Lyubimkov et al. (2010); (504) Pilecki et al. (2018); (505) Järvinen et al. (2018); (506) McCollum & Laine (2019a); (507) McCollum & Laine (2019b); (509) Fokin et al. (2004); Stankov et al. (2003); (511) Brown et al. (2008); (512) Lyubimkov et al. (2010); (513) Bailer-Jones (2011); (515) Gaia Collaboration et al. (2018); (517) Gaia Collaboration et al. (2018); (519) Kowalski et al. (2010); (520) Krтіčka et al. (2007); Landstreet et al. (2007); Shultz et al. (2019); (542) Zackrisson et al. (2018); (547) Cvetković (2011); (558) Gaidos et al. (2016). Supplementary data for the I17 stars comes from: Aguilera-Gómez et al. (2018); Anglada-Escude et al. (2014); Anglada-Escudé et al. (2016); Baines et al. (2018); Bonnefoy et al. (2013); Boyajian et al. (2012); Dieterich et al. (2014); Dupuy et al. (2009); Hadjara et al. (2018); Heiter et al. (2015); Jones et al. (2015); Kolbas et al. (2015); McCarthy & White (2012); Monnier et al. (2012); Rau et al. (2018); Swihart et al. (2017); von Braun et al. (2014); Zhao et al. (2009).

Galaxy data was partly based on NED redshifts and photometry from 2MASS (Skrutskie et al. 2006), de Vaucouleurs et al. (1991), and Data Releases 9 and 12 of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (Ahn et al. 2012; Alam et al. 2015). For I17 galaxies, we relied mainly on the B-band magnitudes in I17 itself and the photometry in Mateo (1998). Because photometry in u and r bands was frequently unavailable, we relied heavily on the color transformations of Blanton

& Roweis (2007), Jester et al. (2005), and Lupton’s equations⁵¹ to derive the approximate colors for use in Figure 6. Redshifts were converted to luminosity distances assuming $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$, and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$. In some cases, the stellar mass was calculated from K_s absolute magnitudes using the conversion of Cappellari (2013). I17 galaxy star-formation rates were largely calculated from GALEX ultraviolet and IRAS total infrared luminosities (Bai et al. 2015; Sanders et al. 2003), using the corrections of Hao et al. (2011), with additional data from Licquia et al. (2015), Jarrett et al. (2019), and McConnachie (2012).

Other references for the galaxy CMD in Figure 6 as listed in Table E2: (238) Baumgardt & Hilker (2018); Harris (2010); (240) Baumgardt & Hilker (2018); Harris (2010); (242) Harris (2010); (245) Harris (2010); (248) Baumgardt & Hilker (2018); Harris (2010); (263) Shaya et al. (1994); (280) Jarrett et al. (2019); (281) Jarrett et al. (2019); (282) Jarrett et al. (2019); (283) Boselli et al. (2015); (284) Jarrett et al. (2019); (286) Norris & Kannappan (2011); Norris et al. (2015); (287) Jarrett et al. (2019); (289) McConnachie (2012); (290) Trujillo et al. (2014); (293) Thilker et al. (2010); (294) Jarrett et al. (2019); (295) Jarrett et al. (2019); (296) McConnachie (2012); Prugniel & Heraudeau (1998); (297) Wei et al. (2010); (299) Peebles et al. (2008); (301) Jarrett et al. (2019); (303) Jarrett et al. (2019); (304) Jarrett et al. (2019); (307) Jarrett et al. (2019); (308) Dale et al. (2007); Jarrett et al. (2019); (310) Jarrett et al. (2019); (312) Karachentsev et al. (2004); (322) Jarrett et al. (2019); (326) Annibali et al. (2013); (327) Loose & Thuan (1986); (329) Micheva et al. (2017); (338) Croft et al. (2006); (339) Boissier et al. (2016); (340) Pandya et al. (2018); (342) Trujillo et al. (2017); (344) Spavone et al. (2010); (347) Kenney et al. (2014); (348) Jarrett et al. (2019); (349) Jarrett et al. (2019); (350) Jarrett et al. (2019); (351) Jarrett et al. (2019); (352) Jarrett et al. (2019); (353) Matthews et al. (1999); (432) Buzzoni et al. (2012); Platais et al. (2011); (433) Meylan et al. (2001); (436) Sandoval et al. (2015); (439) Baumgardt & Hilker (2018); Harris (2010); (442) Baumgardt & Hilker (2018); Harris (2010); (448) Sandoval et al. (2015); (458) Brunner et al. (2019); (460) Izotov et al. (2018); (461) Jarrett et al. (2019); (465) Ogle et al. (2016, 2019); (485) Caldwell et al. (2014); (522) Mateo (1998); McConnachie (2012); (523) Jarrett et al. (2019); (524) Filho & Sánchez Almeida (2018); (543) Gómez-López et al. (2019). Supplementary CMD data for I17 galaxies comes from: de Vaucouleurs & Ables (1968); Lauberts (1982); Licquia & Newman (2015); Mateo (1998); Prugniel & Heraudeau (1998).

Other references for the M_* –SFR plot in Figure 6 as listed in Table E2: (264) Varenus et al. (2016); (287) Jarrett et al. (2019); (294) Jarrett et al. (2019); (295) Jarrett et al. (2019); (298) Erroz-Ferrer et al. (2013); (300) Gu et al. (2006); Peebles et al. (2008); (301) Jarrett et al. (2019); (303) Jarrett et al. (2019); (304) Jarrett et al. (2019); (305) Ogle et al. (2016, 2019); (307) Jarrett et al. (2019); (309) Mateo (1998); (311) Madore et al. (2018); Mateo (1998); (313) Karachentsev et al. (2004); Meier et al. (2001); (314) Gladders et al. (2013); (316) Di Teodoro et al. (2018); Yuan et al. (2011); (318) Girard et al. (2018); (320) Marques-Chaves et al. (2018); (322) Jarrett et al. (2019); (324) Cortijo-Ferrero et al. (2017); (325) Cluver et al. (2008); (326) Annibali et al. (2013); (328) Loose & Thuan (1986); Summers et al. (2001); (329) Micheva et al. (2017); (330) Vanzella et al. (2016); (332) Berg et al. (2018); (334) Dessauges-Zavadsky et al. (2015); (336) Swinbank et al. (2010); Zhang et al. (2018); (338) Croft et al. (2006); (339) Boissier et al. (2016); (342) Trujillo et al. (2017); (344) Spavone et al. (2010); (345) Finkelman et al. (2011); (346) Brandl et al. (2009); Lahén et al. (2018); (348) Jarrett et al. (2019); (350) Jarrett et al. (2019); (351) Jarrett et al. (2019); (352) Jarrett et al. (2019); (450) Ma et al. (2016); (452) Toba et al. (2020); (454) Oesch et al. (2016); (456) Lam et al. (2019); (458) Brunner et al. (2019); (460) Izotov et al. (2018); (461) Jarrett et al. (2019); (463) Ogle et al. (2016, 2019); (465) Ogle et al. (2016, 2019); (467) Yuan et al. (2017); (468) Bayliss et al. (2020); (470) Cava et al. (2018); (523) Jarrett et al. (2019); (524) Filho & Sánchez Almeida (2018); (541) Vaddi et al. (2016); (544) Erroz-Ferrer et al. (2013).

E.2. Tables of the catalog

Table E1 lists all Solar System sources in the Exotica Catalog. Table E2 lists all sidereal sources in the Exotica Catalog. Table E3 lists relationships between sidereal sources in the Catalog, and between Catalog sources and objects in I17.

Table E1. The *Exotica Catalog*: Solar System targets

ID	Name	Samples	Phyla	Primary	a	e	i	a_\odot	MOID $_\oplus$	Θ	Ref
								(AU)	(AU)		
1	446 Aeternitas	P	Minor body	Sun	2.79 AU	0.126	10.62	2.79	1.45	...	
2	52 Europa	P	Minor body	Sun	3.09 AU	0.110	7.48	3.09	1.77	0.2''	

Table E1 continued

⁵¹ As presented at <http://classic.sdss.org/dr6/algorithms/sdssUBVRITransform.html>.

Table E1 (continued)

ID	Name	Samples	Phyla	Primary	a	e	i	a_{\odot}	MOID $_{\oplus}$	Θ	Ref
								(AU)	(AU)		
3	624 Hektor	P	Minor body	Sun	5.26 AU	0.023	18.16	5.26	4.15	...	
4	434 Hungaria	P	Minor body	Sun	1.94 AU	0.074	22.51	1.94	0.83	...	
5	16 Psyche	P	Minor body	Sun	2.92 AU	0.134	3.10	2.92	1.54	0.2''	
6	3628 Božněmcová	P	Minor body	Sun	2.54 AU	0.297	6.88	2.54	0.78	...	
7	420 Bertholda	P	Minor body	Sun	3.41 AU	0.030	6.69	3.41	2.33	...	
8	1862 Apollo	P	Minor body	Sun	1.47 AU	0.560	6.35	1.47	0.03	...	
9	349 Dembowska	P	Minor body	Sun	2.92 AU	0.092	8.25	2.92	1.66	0.1''	
10	15 Eunomia	P	Minor body	Sun	2.64 AU	0.186	11.75	2.64	1.19	0.3''	
11	233 Asterope	P	Minor body	Sun	2.66 AU	0.099	7.69	2.66	1.40	...	
12	4 Vesta	P	Minor body	Sun	2.36 AU	0.089	7.14	2.36	1.14	0.6''	
13	90 Antiope	P	Minor body	Sun	3.15 AU	0.166	2.21	3.15	1.61	...	
14	Dactyl	P	Minor body	243 Ida	90 km	2.86	1.75	...	
15	3200 Phaethon	P	Minor body	Sun	1.27 AU	0.890	22.26	1.27	0.02	0.4''	
16	2020 AV ₂	PS	Minor body	Sun	0.56 AU	0.177	15.87	0.56	0.35	...	
17	(322756) 2001 CK ₃₂	P	Minor body	Sun	0.73 AU	0.383	8.13	0.73	0.08	...	
18	163693 Atira	P	Minor body	Sun	0.74 AU	0.322	25.62	0.74	0.21	...	
19	3753 Cruithne	P	Minor body	Sun	1.00 AU	0.515	19.81	1.00	0.07	...	
20	1991 VG	P	Minor body	Sun	1.03 AU	0.052	1.43	1.03	0.00	...	
21	2010 TK ₇	P	Minor body	Sun	1.00 AU	0.190	20.90	1.00	0.08	...	
22	(469219) Kamo'oalewa	P	Minor body	Sun	1.00 AU	0.103	7.79	1.00	0.03	...	
23	4660 Nereus	P	Minor body	Sun	1.49 AU	0.360	1.43	1.49	0.00	0.1''	
24	433 Eros	P	Minor body	Sun	1.46 AU	0.223	10.83	1.46	0.15	0.2''	
25	5261 Eureka	P	Minor body	Sun	1.52 AU	0.065	20.28	1.52	0.50	...	
26	8 Flora	P	Minor body	Sun	2.20 AU	0.156	5.89	2.20	0.88	0.2''	
27	25 Phocaea	P	Minor body	Sun	2.40 AU	0.255	21.61	2.40	0.92	...	
28	65 Cybele	P	Minor body	Sun	3.42 AU	0.112	3.56	3.42	2.03	0.2''	
29	153 Hilda	P	Minor body	Sun	3.98 AU	0.140	7.82	3.98	2.41	...	
30	6P/d'Arrest	P	Minor body	Sun	3.50 AU	0.611	19.48	3.50	0.35	...	
31	21P/Giacobini-Zinner	P	Minor body	Sun	3.50 AU	0.710	32.00	3.50	0.02	0.2''	
32	1P/Halley	P	Minor body	Sun	17.83 AU	0.967	162.26	17.83	0.06	0.2''	
33	C/2014 S3 (PAN-STARRS)	P	Minor body	Sun	90.46 AU	0.977	169.32	90.46	1.09	...	
34	5335 Damocles	P	Minor body	Sun	11.84 AU	0.866	61.60	11.84	0.61	...	
36	2P/Encke	P	Minor body	Sun	2.22 AU	0.848	11.78	2.22	0.17	...	
37	133P/Elst-Pizarro	P	Minor body	Sun	3.16 AU	0.157	1.39	3.16	1.65	...	
38	9P/Tempel 1	P	Minor body	Sun	3.15 AU	0.510	10.47	3.15	0.53	...	
39	95P/Chiron	PS	Minor body	Sun	13.69 AU	0.379	6.94	13.69	7.50	...	
40	153P/Ikeya-Zhang	P	Minor body	Sun	51.12 AU	0.990	28.12	51.12	0.33	...	
41	(24835) 1995 SM ₅₅	P	Minor body	Sun	41.66 AU	0.101	27.04	41.66	36.60	...	
42	(15788) 1993 SB	P	Minor body	Sun	39.15 AU	0.317	1.94	39.15	25.80	...	
43	(385185) 1993 RO	P	Minor body	Sun	39.23 AU	0.199	3.71	39.23	30.40	...	
44	15760 Albion	P	Minor body	Sun	43.93 AU	0.071	2.18	43.93	39.80	...	
45	79360 Sila-Nunam	P	Minor body	Sun	43.64 AU	0.009	2.26	43.64	42.30	...	
46	2011 QF ₉₉	P	Minor body	Sun	19.04 AU	0.175	10.82	19.04	14.70	...	
47	2001 QR ₃₂₂	P	Minor body	Sun	30.23 AU	0.031	1.32	30.23	28.30	...	
48	(523899) 1997 CV ₂₉	P	Minor body	Sun	42.06 AU	0.043	8.04	42.06	39.30	...	
49	(20161) 1996 TR ₆₆	P	Minor body	Sun	47.96 AU	0.401	12.40	47.96	27.70	...	
50	(91554) 1999 RZ ₂₁₅	P	Minor body	Sun	103.40 AU	0.701	25.46	103.40	29.90	...	1
51	(181902) 1999 RD ₂₁₅	P	Minor body	Sun	123.24 AU	0.696	25.94	123.24	36.60	...	2
52	541132 Leleākūhonua	PS	Minor body	Sun	1085.46 AU	0.940	11.65	1085.46	64.20	...	3

Table E1 continued

Table E1 (continued)

ID	Name	Samples	Phyla	Primary	a	e	i	a_{\odot}	MOID $_{\oplus}$	Θ	Ref
								(AU)	(AU)		
53	Phobos	PS	Minor body	Mars	9.376 Mm	0.015	1.08	1.52	0.52	...	
54	Amalthea	P	Minor body	Jupiter	181.4 Mm	0.003	0.38	5.20	4.20	...	
55	Methone	P	Minor body	Saturn	194.4 Mm	0.000	0.01	9.54	8.54	...	
56	Himalia	P	Minor body	Jupiter	11.46 Gm	0.159	28.61	5.20	4.20	...	
57	Phoebe	P	Minor body	Saturn	12.95 Gm	0.163	175.24	9.54	8.54	...	
58	Helene	P	Minor body	Saturn	377.4 Mm	0.000	0.21	9.54	8.54	...	
59	Epimetheus	P	Minor body	Saturn	151.4 Mm	0.016	0.35	9.54	8.54	...	
60	2006 RH ₁₂₀	P	Minor body	Sun	1.00 AU	0.035	1.09	1.00	0.00	...	4
61	Prometheus	P	Minor body	Saturn	139.4 Mm	0.002	0.01	9.54	8.54	...	
62	Hyperion	P	Minor body	Saturn	1.501 Gm	0.023	0.62	9.54	8.54	...	
64	Saturn	P	Minor body	Sun	9.54 AU	0.054	2.49	9.54	8.54	18.8''	
65	Neptune	P	Minor body, Giant planet	Sun	30.07 AU	0.009	1.77	30.07	29.10	2.3''	
66	L ₅ Kordylewsky cloud	P	Minor body	Earth	384.4 Mm	1.00	0.00	...	
67	2I/Borisov	P	Minor body	Sun	-0.85 AU	3.356	44.05	-0.85	1.09	...	5
68	1I/'Oumuamua	PA	Minor body	Sun	-1.27 AU	1.201	122.74	-1.27	0.10	...	6
80	Mercury	P	Solid planetoid	Sun	0.39 AU	0.206	7.00	0.39	0.61	11.0''	
82	Mars	P	Solid planetoid	Sun	1.52 AU	0.093	1.85	1.52	0.52	18.0''	
85	Venus	PS	Solid planetoid	Sun	0.72 AU	0.007	3.39	0.72	0.28	59.6''	
95	1 Ceres	PS	Solid planetoid	Sun	2.77 AU	0.076	10.59	2.77	1.59	0.8''	
96	136199 Eris	PS	Solid planetoid	Sun	67.86 AU	0.436	44.04	67.86	37.30	...	7
97	134340 Pluto	PS	Solid planetoid	Sun	39.45 AU	0.250	17.09	39.45	28.60	0.1''	
98	90377 Sedna	PS	Solid planetoid	Sun	484.44 AU	0.843	11.93	484.44	75.30	...	8
99	136472 Makemake	P	Solid planetoid	Sun	45.43 AU	0.161	28.98	45.43	37.20	...	
100	136108 Haumea	P	Solid planetoid	Sun	43.18 AU	0.195	28.21	43.18	33.80	...	9
101	Moon	PS	Solid planetoid	Earth	384.4 Mm	0.055	5.16	0.00	0.00	31.1'	
102	Titan	P	Solid planetoid	Saturn	1.222 Gm	0.029	0.31	9.54	8.54	0.8''	
103	Triton	P	Solid planetoid	Neptune	354.8 Mm	0.000	156.87	30.07	29.10	0.1''	
104	Europa	P	Solid planetoid	Jupiter	671.1 Mm	0.009	0.47	5.20	4.20	1.0''	
105	Callisto	P	Solid planetoid	Jupiter	1.883 Gm	0.007	0.19	5.20	4.20	1.6''	
106	Ganymede	PS	Solid planetoid	Jupiter	1.070 Gm	0.001	0.18	5.20	4.20	1.7''	
107	Enceladus	PS	Solid planetoid	Saturn	238.0 Mm	0.000	0.00	9.54	8.54	...	
108	Io	PS	Solid planetoid	Jupiter	421.8 Mm	0.004	0.04	5.20	4.20	1.2''	
113	Jupiter	PS	Giant planet	Sun	5.20 AU	0.048	1.30	5.20	4.20	45.9''	
150	Sun	P	Star	Milky Way	8 kpc	0.98	32.5'	
518	International Space Station	P	Technology	Earth	0.00	...	
519	TBD	P	Technology	Earth	0.00	...	
520	TBD	P	Technology	Earth	0.00	...	
521	TBD	P	Technology	Earth	0.00	...	
522	TBD	P	Technology	Earth	0.00	...	
523	TBD	P	Technology	Earth	0.00	...	
524	TBD	P	Technology	Earth	0.00	...	
525	Voyager 1	P	Technology	Milky Way	0.00	...	
526	LightSail 2	P	Technology	Earth	0.00	...	
527	Lincoln Calibration Sphere-1	P	Technology	Earth	0.00	...	
528	Vanguard I	P	Technology	Earth	0.00	...	
529	TBD	P	Technology	Earth	0.00	...	
530	1963-014G	P	Technology	Earth	0.00	...	
531	Cosmos 860 coolant (1976-103G)	P	Technology	Earth	0.00	...	
532	Tesla Roadster	P	Technology	Sun	1.33 AU	0.259	1.09	1.33	0.33	...	

Table E1 continued

Table E1 (*continued*)

ID	Name	Samples	Phyla	Primary	a	e	i	a_{\odot}	MOID $_{\oplus}$	Θ	Ref
								(AU)	(AU)		
533	Solar antipoint	P	Not real	(Sun)	0.00	...	
534	Earth-Moon L ₅	P	Not real	Earth	1.00	0.00	...	
535	Earth-Sun L ₄	P	Not real	Sun	1.00	0.00	...	
537	1173 Anchises	S	Minor body	Sun	5.29 AU	0.139	6.92	5.29	3.55	...	
538	(55636) 2002 TX ₃₀₀	S	Minor body	Sun	43.27 AU	0.126	25.83	43.27	42.30	...	
540	2019 LF ₆	S	Minor body	Sun	0.56 AU	0.429	29.51	0.56	0.26	...	
541	2012 VP ₁₁₃	S	Minor body	Sun	261.49 AU	0.693	24.11	261.49	79.50	...	
542	Proteus	S	Minor body	Neptune	117.6 Mm	0.001	0.08	30.07	29.10	...	
543	Neso	S	Minor body	Neptune	50.26 Gm	0.424	131.27	30.07	29.10	...	
544	Metis	S	Minor body	Jupiter	128.0 Mm	0.001	0.02	5.20	4.20	...	
546	Mimas	S	Solid planetoid	Saturn	185.5 Mm	0.020	1.57	9.54	8.54	...	
547	Iapetus	SA	Solid planetoid	Saturn	3.561 Gm	0.029	8.30	9.54	8.54	0.2''	
548	Tethys	S	Solid planetoid	Saturn	294.7 Mm	0.000	1.09	9.54	8.54	0.2''	
549	Charon	S	Solid planetoid	Pluto	19.59 Mm	0.000	0.08	39.48	38.50	...	
550	Miranda	S	Solid planetoid	Uranus	129.9 Mm	0.001	4.34	19.19	18.20	...	
675	17P/Holmes	A	Minor body	Sun	3.62 AU	0.432	19.09	3.62	1.06	...	
676	Uranus	A	Giant planet	Sun	19.19 AU	0.047	0.77	19.19	18.20	3.8''	
695	(10537) 1991 RY ₁₆	A	Minor body	Sun	2.85 AU	0.071	7.26	2.85	1.63	...	

NOTE—**Name** – TBD refers to a type of technological object type whose Prototype is yet to be selected.

Samples – All samples a target is in. P: Prototype, S: Superlative, A: non-SETI Anomaly, E: SETI Anomaly, C: Control.

Primary – Name of body the target orbits.

a , e , i – Semimajor axis, eccentricity, inclination of target's orbit around body's primary, respectively.

a_{\odot} – Semimajor axis of target's or primary's orbit around Sun.

MOID $_{\oplus}$ – Minimum orbital intersection distance with Earth's orbit.

Θ – Maximum angular size of body, as calculated from radius and MOID $_{\oplus}$.

References—(1) Chapman et al. (1995); Belton et al. (1996); (2) Brown (2020); (3) Brown (2020); (4) Buie et al. (2020); (5) Kwiatkowski et al. (2009); (6) Jewitt et al. (2020); (7) Meech et al. (2017); (8) Brown & Schaller (2007); (9) Pál et al. (2012); (10) Ragozzine & Brown (2009)

Table E2. The *Exotica Catalog*: Sidereal targets

ID	Name	Samples	Phyla	RA	Dec	D_L^{eff}	μ_{α}	μ_{δ}	Θ	I17?	Refs
35	β Pic	P	Minor body	5:47:17.1	-51:03:59	19.8 pc	4.7	83.1	...	✓	1
63	WD 1145+017	P	Minor body	11:48:33.6	+1:28:59	141.7 pc	-43.7	-4.1	...		2
69	TW Hya	P	Minor body, Star	11:01:51.9	-34:42:17	60.1 pc	-68.4	-14.0	...		3
70	EPIC 203937317	P	Minor body	16:26:17.1	-24:20:22	134.3 pc	-6.6	-27.1	...		4
71	GM Aur	P	Minor body	4:55:11.0	+30:21:59	159.6 pc	3.9	-24.5	...		5
72	τ Cet	P	Minor body, Star	1:44:04.1	-15:56:15	3.6 pc	-1721.0	854.2	...	✓	6
73	κ Psc	P	Minor body	23:26:56.0	+1:15:20	48.9 pc	87.1	-95.7	...	✓	7
74	Altair	P	Minor body	19:50:47.0	+8:52:06	5.1 pc	536.2	385.3	...	✓	8
75	NGC 2547 ID8	P	Minor body	8:09:02.5	-48:58:17	360.9 pc	-12.1	9.9	...		
76	BD+20 307	P	Minor body	1:54:50.3	+21:18:22	120.0 pc	38.8	-22.6	...		9
77	NGC 7293 central star	P	Minor body, Collapsed star	22:29:38.5	-20:50:14	201.0 pc	38.9	-3.4	...		10
78	G29-38	P	Minor body	23:28:47.6	+5:14:54	13.6 pc	-398.2	-266.7	...		11
79	WD J0914+1914	P	Minor body, Giant planet	9:14:05.3	+19:14:12	443.0 pc	-1.2	-11.6	...		12
81	K2-229 b	P	Solid planetoid	12:27:29.6	-6:43:19	102.8 pc	-80.9	7.4	...		13, 14
83	Kepler 444 d	PS	Solid planetoid	19:19:00.5	+41:38:05	36.5 pc	94.7	-632.2	...		15, 16
84	Proxima b	P	Solid planetoid	14:29:42.9	-62:40:46	1.3 pc	-3781.3	769.8	...	✓	17, 18

Table E2 *continued*

Table E2 (*continued*)

ID	Name	Samples	Phyla	RA	Dec	D_L^{eff}	μ_α	μ_δ	Θ	I17?	Refs
86	Kepler 78 b	P	Solid planetoid	19:34:58.0	+44:26:54	124.8 pc	38.1	-16.1	...		19
87	Barnard's star b	P	Solid planetoid	17:57:48.5	+4:41:36	1.8 pc	-802.8	10363.0	...	✓	20, 21
88	LHS 1140 b	PE	Solid planetoid, Star	0:44:59.3	-15:16:18	15.0 pc	317.6	-596.6	...		22, 23
89	HD 40307 f	P	Solid planetoid	5:54:04.2	-60:01:24	12.9 pc	-52.4	-60.2	...	✓	24, 25
90	55 Cnc e	P	Solid planetoid	8:52:35.8	+28:19:51	12.6 pc	-485.9	-233.7	...	✓	26, 27
91	TRAPPIST-1 bcdefg	PSE	Solid planetoid, Star	23:06:29.4	-5:02:29	12.4 pc	930.9	-479.4	...		28, 29
92	TOI 849 b	P	Solid planetoid	1:54:51.7	-29:25:18	227.2 pc	73.3	20.7	...		30, 31
93	KIC 12557548 b	P	Solid planetoid	19:23:51.9	+51:30:17	618.5 pc	0.3	11.1	...		32
94	PSR B1257+12 ABC	PSA	Solid planetoid	13:00:03.1	+12:40:55	600.0 pc	46.4	-84.9	...		33
109	Kepler 22 b	P	Giant planet	19:16:52.2	+47:53:04	195.7 pc	-39.7	-66.7	...		34
110	GJ 436 b	P	Giant planet	11:42:11.1	+26:42:24	9.8 pc	895.0	-814.0	...		35, 36, 37
111	HATS-P-26 b	P	Giant planet	14:12:37.5	+4:03:36	142.4 pc	37.8	-142.9	...		38
112	GJ 1214 b	P	Giant planet	17:15:18.9	+4:57:50	14.6 pc	580.4	-749.6	...		39, 40
114	HD 93083 b	P	Giant planet	10:44:20.9	-33:34:37	28.5 pc	-92.7	-152.2	...		41, 42, 43
115	HATS-17 b	P	Giant planet	12:48:45.5	-47:36:49	404.6 pc	-32.1	2.8	...		44, 45
116	HD 189733 b	P	Giant planet	20:00:43.7	+22:42:39	19.8 pc	-3.3	-250.2	...	✓	46, 47
117	HD 209458 b	P	Giant planet	22:03:10.8	+18:53:04	48.4 pc	29.6	-17.9	...		48, 49
118	Kepler 18 d	P	Giant planet	19:52:19.1	+44:44:47	438.5 pc	-1.4	-20.3	...		50, 51
119	HR 8799 bcde	P	Giant planet	23:07:28.7	+21:08:03	41.3 pc	108.3	-49.5	...	✓	52, 53
120	HD 28185 b	P	Giant planet	4:26:26.3	-10:33:03	39.4 pc	84.1	-59.8	...		54, 55
121	HD 80606 b	P	Giant planet	9:22:37.6	+50:36:13	66.6 pc	55.9	10.3	...		56, 57
122	HD 147506 b	P	Giant planet	16:20:36.4	+41:02:53	128.2 pc	-10.3	-29.2	...		58, 59
123	Pollux b	P	Giant planet	7:45:18.9	+28:01:34	10.4 pc	-626.5	-45.8	...	✓	60, 61
124	PSR B1620-26 (AB) b	PS	Giant planet	16:23:38.2	-26:31:54	2.2 kpc		62
125	Kepler 16 b	P	Giant planet	19:16:18.2	+51:45:27	75.2 pc	14.0	-48.6	...		63, 64
126	NN Ser cd	P	Giant planet	15:52:56.1	+12:54:44	521.8 pc	-30.1	-59.3	...		
127	Kepler 223 bcde	P	Giant planet	19:53:16.4	+47:16:46	2.0 kpc	-4.3	-11.1	...		
128	IRAS 16293-2422	P	Star	16:32:22.6	-24:28:32	120.0 pc		65, 66
129	Elias 29	P	Star	16:27:09.4	-24:37:19	120.0 pc		67
130	IRAS 20126+4104	P	Star	20:14:25.9	+41:13:37	1.4 kpc	-3.9	-4.6	...		
131	AB Aur	P	Star	4:55:45.8	+30:33:04	162.9 pc	3.9	-24.1	...		68
132	FU Ori	P	Star	5:45:22.4	+9:04:12	416.2 pc	2.2	-2.8	...		
133	WISE J085510.83-071442.5	PS	Star	8:55:10.8	-7:14:43	2.2 pc	-4800.0	500.0	...		69, 70
134	WISE J071322.55-291751.9	P	Star	7:13:22.6	-29:17:52	9.9 pc	341.1	-411.1	...		71

Table E2 *continued*

Table E2 (continued)

ID	Name	Samples	Phyla	RA	Dec	D_L^{eff}	μ_α	μ_δ	Θ	I17?	Refs
135	2MASS J0415195-093506	P	Star	4:15:19.5	-9:35:07	5.6 pc	2193.0	527.0	...		72
136	ϵ Ind Bb	P	Star	22:04:10.5	-56:46:58	3.6 pc	3955.6	-2464.3	...		73
137	Luhman 16B	P	Star	10:49:18.9	-53:19:09	2.0 pc		74, 75
138	Luhman 16A	P	Star	10:49:19.0	-53:19:10	2.0 pc		76, 77
139	HD 130948BC	P	Star	14:50:16.0	+23:54:42	17.9 pc	144.7	32.4	...	✓	78
140	2MASS J1506544+132106	P	Star	15:06:54.3	+13:21:06	11.7 pc	-1071.0	-11.9	...		79
141	PPL 15	P	Star	3:48:04.7	+23:39:30	142.1 pc	18.8	-45.5	...		80
142	2MASS J0523-1403	PS	Star	5:23:38.2	-14:03:02	12.8 pc	107.3	160.9	...		81
143	VB 10	P	Star	19:16:57.6	+5:09:02	5.9 pc	-598.2	-1365.3	...		82, 83
144	Wolf 359	P	Star	10:56:28.8	+7:00:52	2.0 pc	-3808.1	-2692.6	...	✓	84
145	HD 95735	P	Star	11:03:20.2	+35:58:12	2.5 pc	-580.3	-4765.9	...	✓	85
146	61 Cyg B	P	Star	21:06:55.3	+38:44:31	3.5 pc	4105.8	3155.8	...	✓	86
147	61 Cyg A	P	Star	21:06:53.9	+38:44:58	3.5 pc	4164.2	3250.0	...	✓	87
148	ϵ Eri	P	Star	3:32:55.8	-9:27:30	3.2 pc	-975.2	19.5	...	✓	88
149	κ_1 Cet	P	Star	3:19:21.7	+3:22:13	9.1 pc	269.3	93.8	...	✓	89
151	β Vir	P	Star	11:50:41.7	+1:45:53	11.1 pc	740.2	-270.4	...	✓	90
152	π_3 Ori	P	Star	4:49:50.4	+6:57:41	8.0 pc	464.1	11.2	...	✓	91
153	78 UMa	P	Star	13:00:43.7	+56:21:59	25.4 pc	107.9	2.0	...	✓	92
154	α Cep	P	Star	21:18:34.8	+62:35:08	15.0 pc	150.6	49.1	...	✓	93
155	Alcor	P	Star	13:25:13.5	+54:59:17	24.7 pc	120.2	-16.0	...	✓	94
156	Vega	P	Star	18:36:56.3	+38:47:01	7.7 pc	200.9	286.2	...	✓	95
157	λ Aql	P	Star	19:06:14.9	-4:52:57	37.0 pc	-20.1	-89.1	...	✓	96
158	α Gru	P	Star	22:08:14.0	-46:57:40	31.0 pc	126.7	-147.5	...	✓	97
159	η UMa	P	Star	13:47:32.4	+49:18:48	31.9 pc	-121.2	-14.9	...	✓	98
160	10 Lac	P	Star	22:39:15.7	+39:03:01	358.7 pc	-0.3	-5.5	...		99
161	HD 46150	P	Star	6:31:55.5	+4:56:34	1.5 kpc	-2.1	-0.6	...		100
162	HD 64568	P	Star	7:53:38.2	-26:14:03	7.1 kpc	-0.6	3.8	...		101
163	κ CrB	P	Star	15:51:13.9	+35:39:27	30.1 pc	-8.8	-347.8	...	✓	102, 103
164	μ Her	P	Star	17:46:27.5	+27:43:14	8.4 pc	-291.7	-749.6	...	✓	104
165	Procyon A	P	Star	7:39:18.1	+5:13:30	3.5 pc	-714.6	-1036.8	...	✓	105
166	ι UMa	P	Star	8:59:12.5	+48:02:31	14.5 pc	-441.3	-215.3	...	✓	106
167	γ Cru	P	Star	12:31:10.0	-57:06:48	27.2 pc	28.2	-265.1	...	✓	107
168	Aldebaran	P	Star	4:35:55.2	+16:30:33	20.4 pc	63.5	-188.9	...	✓	108
169	Arcturus	P	Star	14:15:39.7	+19:10:57	11.3 pc	-1093.4	-2000.1	...	✓	109
170	α Ser	P	Star	15:44:16.1	+6:25:32	25.4 pc	133.8	44.8	...	✓	110
171	BD +17 3248	P	Star	17:28:14.5	+17:30:36	819.1 pc	-47.7	-22.4	...		111
172	RR Lyr	P	Star	19:25:27.9	+42:47:04	265.2 pc	-109.1	-195.5	...		112, 113
173	HD 161817	P	Star	17:46:40.6	+25:44:57	187.8 pc	-37.7	-43.6	...		114
174	R Dor	P	Star	4:36:45.6	-62:04:38	54.6 pc	-69.4	-75.8	...		115
175	RS Cnc	P	Star	9:10:38.8	+30:57:47	143.5 pc	-11.1	-33.4	...		116
176	IRC +10216	P	Star	9:47:57.4	+13:16:44	92.7 pc	33.8	10.0	...		117
177	IRC +10011	P	Star	1:06:26.0	+12:35:53	500.0 pc		118, 119
178	HD 44179	P	Star	6:19:58.2	-10:38:15	440.5 pc	-6.5	-22.7	...		120
179	V4334 Sgr	P	Star	17:52:32.7	-17:41:08	2.9 kpc		121
180	Regulus	P	Star	10:08:22.3	+11:58:02	23.8 pc	-248.7	5.6	...	✓	122
181	Capella Ab	P	Star	5:16:41.4	+45:59:53	13.0 pc	75.2	-426.9	...		123
182	α Hya	P	Star	9:27:35.2	-8:39:31	55.3 pc	-15.2	34.4	...		124
183	α Cet	P	Star	3:02:16.8	+4:05:23	76.4 pc	-10.4	-76.8	...		125, 126

Table E2 continued

Table E2 (continued)

ID	Name	Samples	Phyla	RA	Dec	D_L^{eff}	μ_α	μ_δ	Θ	I17?	Refs
184	ζ Aur	P	Star	5:02:28.7	+41:04:33	241.0 pc	9.4	-20.7	...		127
185	ϵ Vir	P	Star	13:02:10.6	+10:57:33	32.7 pc	-273.8	20.0	...	✓	128
186	Capella Aa	P	Star	5:16:41.4	+45:59:53	13.0 pc	75.2	-426.9	...		129
187	δ Cep	P	Star	22:29:10.3	+58:24:55	244.0 pc	15.3	3.5	...		130
188	β Ara	P	Star	17:25:18.0	-55:31:48	198.0 pc	-8.5	-25.2	...		131
189	1 Car	P	Star	9:45:14.8	-62:30:28	478.5 pc	-12.9	8.2	...		132
190	Canopus	P	Star	6:23:57.1	-52:41:44	94.8 pc	19.9	23.2	...		133
191	MSX SMC 055	P	Star	0:50:07.2	-73:31:25	61.9 kpc		134, 135
192	ι Ori AB	P	Star	5:35:26.0	-5:54:36	714.3 pc	1.4	-0.5	...		136
193	ζ Per	P	Star	3:54:07.9	+31:53:01	400.0 pc	5.8	-9.9	...		137
194	Deneb	P	Star	20:41:25.9	+45:16:49	432.9 pc	2.0	1.9	...		138
195	Betelgeuse	P	Star	5:55:10.3	+7:24:25	152.7 pc	27.5	11.3	...		139
196	ρ Cas	P	Star	23:54:23.0	+57:29:58	1.1 kpc	-5.4	-2.6	...		140
197	VY CMa	P	Star	7:22:58.3	-25:46:03	1.2 kpc	5.7	-6.8	...		141, 142, 143
198	EZ CMa	P	Star	6:54:13.0	-23:55:42	838.0 pc	-4.4	2.9	...		144, 145
199	γ_2 Vel	P	Star	8:09:32.0	-47:20:12	157.0 pc	-6.1	10.4	...		146
200	WR 102	PS	Star	17:45:47.5	-26:10:27	2.9 kpc	0.9	-0.2	...		147
201	ζ_1 Sco	P	Star	16:53:59.7	-42:21:43	1.6 kpc	0.0	-2.9	...		148
202	AG Car	P	Star	10:56:11.6	-60:27:13	1.3 kpc	-4.7	1.9	...		149
203	η Car	P	Star	10:45:03.5	-59:41:04	2.4 kpc	-11.0	4.1	...		150, 151, 152
204	ϵ Ser	P	Star	15:50:49.0	+4:28:40	20.8 pc	128.2	62.2	...	✓	153
205	α_2 CVn	P	Star	12:56:01.7	+38:19:06	35.2 pc	-235.1	53.5	...	✓	154
206	α And A	P	Star	0:08:23.3	+29:05:26	29.7 pc	137.5	-163.4	...	✓	
207	λ Boo	PA	Star	14:16:23.0	+46:05:18	30.3 pc	-187.3	159.1	...	✓	155
208	2MASS J0532+8246	P	Star	5:32:54.4	+82:46:45	24.9 pc	2038.3	-1663.7	...		156
209	Kapteyn's star	P	Star	5:11:40.6	-45:01:06	3.9 pc	6491.5	-5709.2	...	✓	157
210	Groombridge 1830	P	Star	11:52:58.8	+37:43:07	9.2 pc	4002.6	-5817.9	...		158
211	BD -00 4470	P	Star	23:09:32.9	+0:42:40	75.1 pc	-221.3	-1295.6	...		159
212	HD 84937	P	Star	9:48:56.1	+13:44:39	72.8 pc	373.1	-774.4	...		160
213	HD 140283	PS	Star	15:43:03.1	-10:56:01	62.1 pc	-1114.9	-303.6	...		161
214	HD 122563	P	Star	14:02:31.8	+9:41:10	290.4 pc	-189.7	-70.3	...		162
215	HE 0107-5240	P	Star	1:09:29.2	-52:24:34	12.5 kpc	2.4	-3.7	...		163
216	Mira A	P	Star, acting star	2:19:20.8	-2:58:39	91.7 pc	9.3	-237.4	...		164
			Inter- binary								
217	δ Sct	P	Star	18:42:16.4	-9:03:09	61.1 pc	7.2	2.0	...		
218	γ Dor	P	Star	4:16:01.6	-51:29:12	20.5 pc	99.5	183.4	...	✓	165
219	53 Per	P	Star	4:21:33.2	+46:29:56	145.9 pc	21.5	-34.8	...		166
220	β Cep	P	Star	21:28:39.6	+70:33:39	210.1 pc	12.5	8.4	...		167
221	OGLE BLAP-009	P	Star	17:58:48.2	-27:16:54	2.6 kpc	-3.1	-4.3	...		168
222	PG 1716+426	P	Star	17:18:03.9	+42:34:13	877.2 pc	6.4	-22.6	...		169
223	V361 Hya	P	Star	14:05:33.0	-27:01:34		170
224	SDSS J160043.6+074802.9	P	Star	16:00:43.6	+7:48:03	5.3 kpc	1.4	-14.3	...		171
225	UV Cet	P	Star	1:39:01.6	-17:57:01	2.7 pc	3182.7	592.1	...	✓	172
226	HR 1099	P	Star	3:36:47.3	+0:35:16	29.6 pc	-32.9	-161.8	...	✓	
227	TVLM 513-46546	P	Star	15:01:08.2	+22:50:02	10.7 pc	-43.8	-64.0	...		173
228	CU Vir	P	Star	14:12:15.8	+2:24:34	71.8 pc	-42.6	-26.7	...		174
229	ζ Tau	P	Star	5:37:38.7	+21:08:33	136.4 pc	1.8	-20.1	...		
230	V838 Mon	P	Star	7:04:04.8	-3:50:51	6.1 kpc	-0.5	0.1	...		175, 176

Table E2 continued

Table E2 (continued)

ID	Name	Samples	Phyla	RA	Dec	D_L^{eff}	μ_α	μ_δ	Θ	I17?	Refs
231	M67-S1237	P	Star	8:51:50.2	+11:46:07	915.8 pc	-11.2	-2.9	...		177
232	FK Com	P	Star	13:30:46.8	+24:13:58	216.9 pc	-52.0	-22.3	...		178, 179
233	R CrB	P	Star	15:48:34.4	+28:09:24	1.3 kpc	-2.4	-11.8	...		180, 181
234	HD 124448	P	Star	14:14:58.6	-46:17:19	1.8 kpc	-6.9	-0.1	...		182
235	40 Cnc	P	Star	8:40:11.5	+19:58:16	192.1 pc	-35.3	-13.6	...		183
236	HD 149382	P	Star	16:34:23.3	-4:00:52	76.8 pc	-6.1	-5.5	...		184
237	BD+28 4211	P	Star	21:51:11.0	+28:51:50	113.6 pc	-34.7	-56.9	...		185
238	PG 1544+488	P	Star	15:46:11.7	+48:38:37	451.6 pc	-44.2	31.1	...		186
239	G77-61	P	Star	3:32:38.1	+1:58:00	78.6 pc	194.1	-749.5	...		187
240	ζ Oph	P	Star	16:37:09.5	-10:34:02	222.0 pc	15.3	24.8	...		188
241	HD 271791	P	Star	6:08:14.5	-71:23:07	1.1 kpc	-3.2	3.3	...		189
242	HVS 1	P	Star	9:07:45.0	+2:45:07	110.0 kpc		190
243	NLTT 11748	PS	Collapsed star	3:45:16.8	+17:48:09	134.0 pc	234.2	-178.3	...		191
244	LAWD 32	P	Collapsed star	9:46:39.1	+43:54:52	34.2 pc	-2.5	286.9	...		192
245	van Maanen 2	P	Collapsed star	0:49:09.9	+5:23:19	4.3 pc	1231.3	-2711.8	...		193
246	Sirius B	P	Collapsed star	6:45:09.3	-16:43:01	2.7 pc	-459.7	-915.0	...	✓	194
247	GD 50	P	Collapsed star	3:48:50.2	+0:58:32	31.2 pc	84.4	-163.0	...		
248	PG 1159-035	P	Collapsed star	12:01:46.0	-3:45:41	551.5 pc	-14.2	-3.3	...		195
249	GD 492	P	Collapsed star	14:06:35.4	+74:18:58	632.0 pc	-49.5	148.6	...		196
250	D6-3	PS	Collapsed star	18:52:01.9	+62:02:07	2.3 kpc	9.0	211.5	...		197
251	QU Vul	P	Collapsed star	20:26:45.9	+27:50:42	2.4 kpc		198
252	G191-B2B	P	Collapsed star	5:05:30.6	+52:49:52	52.9 pc	12.6	-93.5	...		199
253	LHS 253	P	Collapsed star	8:41:32.4	-32:56:33	8.5 pc	-1061.3	1345.9	...		200
254	GD 358	P	Collapsed star	16:47:18.4	+32:28:33	36.6 pc	-159.1	25.3	...		201
255	LAWD 87	P	Collapsed star	21:32:16.2	+0:15:14	42.7 pc	413.2	27.3	...		202
256	Stein 2051B	P	Collapsed star	4:31:12.6	+58:58:41	5.5 pc	1335.0	-1947.6	...		203
257	LAWD 37	P	Collapsed star	11:45:42.9	-64:50:29	4.6 pc	2661.6	-344.8	...		204
258	HZ 21	P	Collapsed star	12:13:56.3	+32:56:31	158.2 pc	-100.9	30.1	...		205
259	WD 1150+012	P	Collapsed star	11:53:05.5	+0:56:46	158.3 pc	-141.1	-45.3	...		206
260	SDSS 1102+2054	P	Collapsed star	11:02:39.8	+20:54:40	73.0 pc	-157.9	-41.7	...		207
261	Grw +70°8247	P	Collapsed star	19:00:10.3	+70:39:51	13.0 pc	85.8	505.1	...		208
262	ZZ Cet	P	Collapsed star	1:36:13.6	-11:20:33	32.8 pc	460.8	-116.4	...		209
263	Ton 124	P	Collapsed star	12:45:35.6	+42:38:25	71.0 pc	19.1	-54.1	...		210, 211
264	1E 1207.4-5209	P	Collapsed star	12:10:00.9	-52:26:28	2.1 kpc		212
265	Geminga	P	Collapsed star	6:33:54.2	+17:46:13	250.0 pc		213
266	Crab pulsar	P	Collapsed star	5:34:31.9	+22:00:52	2.0 kpc		
267	SGR 1806-20	PS	Collapsed star	18:08:39.3	-20:24:40	15.1 kpc		
268	XTE J1810-197	P	Collapsed star	18:09:51.1	-19:43:52	3.3 kpc		214
269	SGR 1935+2154	PA	Collapsed star	19:34:55.7	+21:53:48	9.5 kpc		215
270	PSR B0656+14	P	Collapsed star	6:59:48.2	+14:14:22	288.2 pc		216
271	RX J1856.5-3754	P	Collapsed star	18:56:35.1	-37:54:30	123.0 pc	326.7	-59.1	...		
272	PSR J0437-4715	P	Collapsed star, Stellar group	4:37:15.8	-47:15:09	120.1 pc	122.9	-71.2	...		
273	Cygnus X-1	P	Collapsed star, Interacting binary star	19:58:21.7	+35:12:06	2.4 kpc	-3.9	-6.2	...		
274	NGC 3201 BH1	P	Collapsed star	10:17:37.1	-46:24:55	7.7 kpc	10.3	-2.8	...		
275	NGC 6946-BH1	PA	Star, Collapsed star	20:35:27.6	+60:08:08	7.7 Mpc		217
276	Algol	P	Interacting binary star	3:08:10.1	+40:57:20	27.6 pc	3.0	-1.7	...	✓	
277	W UMa	P	Interacting binary star	9:43:45.5	+55:57:09	51.9 pc	17.1	-29.2	...		

Table E2 continued

Table E2 (*continued*)

ID	Name	Samples	Phyla	RA	Dec	D_L^{eff}	μ_α	μ_δ	Θ	I17?	Refs
278	FG Hya	P	Interacting binary star	8:27:03.9	+3:30:52	153.7 pc	3.6	-64.1	...		
279	OO Aql	P	Interacting binary star	19:48:12.7	+9:18:32	119.2 pc	65.5	-7.2	...		
280	CH Cyg	P	Interacting binary star	19:24:33.1	+50:14:29	183.0 pc	-8.3	-11.4	...		
281	R Aqr	P	Interacting binary star	23:43:49.5	-15:17:04	320.3 pc	27.3	-29.9	...		
282	RR Tel	P	Interacting binary star	20:04:18.5	-55:43:33	3.5 kpc	3.3	-3.2	...		218
283	RS Oph	P	Interacting binary star	17:50:13.2	-6:42:28	2.3 kpc	1.2	-5.9	...		
284	SS Cyg	P	Interacting binary star	21:42:42.8	+43:35:10	114.6 pc	112.4	33.6	...		
285	UX UMa	P	Interacting binary star	13:36:41.0	+51:54:49	297.6 pc	-41.7	17.1	...		
286	T Pyx	P	Interacting binary star	9:04:41.5	-32:22:48	3.2 kpc	-2.5	0.2	...		
287	GK Per	P	Interacting binary star	3:31:12.0	+43:54:15	441.9 pc	-6.7	-17.2	...		
288	DQ Her	P	Interacting binary star	18:07:30.3	+45:51:33	500.6 pc	-0.9	12.4	...		
289	AE Aqr	P	Interacting binary star	20:40:09.2	+0:52:15	91.2 pc	70.6	13.1	...		
290	AM Her	P	Interacting binary star	18:16:13.3	+49:52:05	87.8 pc	-46.0	28.0	...		
291	AR Sco	P	Interacting binary star	16:21:47.3	-22:53:10	117.8 pc	9.7	-51.5	...		
292	AM CVn	P	Interacting binary star	12:34:54.6	+37:37:44	298.4 pc	30.9	12.4	...		
293	QR And	P	Interacting binary star	0:19:49.9	+21:56:52	2.0 kpc	18.5	-5.5	...		
294	Sco X-1	P	Interacting binary star	16:19:55.1	-15:38:25	2.8 kpc	-6.8	-12.2	...		
295	4U 1608-52	P	Interacting binary star	16:12:43.0	-52:25:23	3.3 kpc		
296	4U 1730-335	P	Interacting binary star	17:33:24.6	-33:23:20	8.8 kpc		
297	4U 1820-303	P	Interacting binary star	18:23:40.6	-30:21:41	7.6 kpc		
298	GX 1+4	P	Interacting binary star	17:32:02.2	-24:44:44	4.5 kpc	-4.6	-2.2	...		
299	SAX J1808.4-3658	P	Interacting binary star	18:08:27.5	-36:58:44	3.5 kpc		219
300	PSR J1023+0038	P	Interacting binary star	10:23:47.7	+0:38:41	1.4 kpc	4.8	-17.3	...		
301	Her X-1	P	Interacting binary star	16:57:49.8	+35:20:32	6.6 kpc	-1.3	-7.9	...		
302	A 0535+26	P	Interacting binary star	5:38:54.6	+26:18:57	2.0 kpc	-0.6	-2.8	...		
303	Vela X-1	P	Interacting binary star	9:02:06.9	-40:33:17	2.6 kpc	-5.0	9.1	...		
304	Cen X-3	P	Interacting binary star	11:21:15.1	-60:37:26	10.0 kpc	-3.1	2.1	...		
305	4U 1954+31	P	Interacting binary star	19:55:42.3	+32:05:49	1.7 kpc	-1.9	-5.7	...		
306	V404 Cyg	P	Interacting binary star	20:24:03.8	+33:52:02	2.4 kpc		220
307	MCW 656	P	Interacting binary star	18:18:36.4	-13:48:02	2.6 kpc	0.1	2.1	...		221
308	GRS 1915+105	P	Interacting binary star	19:15:11.5	+10:56:45	11.0 kpc		
309	SS433	P	Interacting binary star	19:11:49.6	+4:58:58	4.5 kpc	-2.9	-4.6	...		222

Table E2 *continued*

Table E2 (continued)

ID	Name	Samples	Phyla	RA	Dec	D_L^{eff}	μ_α	μ_δ	Θ	I17?	Refs
310	M82 X-1	P	Interacting binary star	9:55:50.0	+69:40:46	3.4 Mpc		223
311	M101 ULX-1	P	Interacting binary star	14:03:32.4	+54:21:03	6.5 Mpc		224
312	M82 X-2	P	Interacting binary star	9:55:51.0	+69:40:45	3.4 Mpc		225
313	RZ 2109 ULX	P	Interacting binary star	12:29:39.7	+7:53:31		
314	γ Cas	P	Interacting binary star	0:56:42.5	+60:43:00	117.0 pc	25.6	-3.8	...		
315	IGR J17544-2619	P	Interacting binary star	17:54:25.3	-26:19:53	3.2 kpc	-0.7	-0.5	...		
316	WR 140	P	Interacting binary star	20:20:28.0	+43:51:16	1.7 kpc	-4.7	-2.0	...		
317	XX Oph	P	Interacting binary star	17:43:56.5	-6:16:09	735.8 pc	0.8	-3.5	...		
318	PSR B1259-63	P	Interacting binary star	13:02:47.7	-63:50:09	2.4 kpc	-7.0	-0.4	...		
319	PSR B1957+20	PS	Collapsed star, Interacting binary star	19:59:36.7	+20:48:15	2.5 kpc	-16.0	-26.0	...		226
320	PSR J1417-4402	P	Interacting binary star	14:17:30.6	-44:02:57	3.1 kpc		227
321	α Cen AB	P	Stellar group	14:39:29.7	-60:49:56	1.3 pc	-3608.0	686.0	...	✓	228
322	T Tau	P	Stellar group	4:21:59.4	+19:32:06	144.3 pc	11.4	-14.8	...		229
323	Luhman 16	P	Stellar group	10:49:18.9	-53:19:10	2.0 pc	-2759.0	354.0	...		
324	WD 0135-052	P	Stellar group	1:37:59.4	-4:59:45	12.6 pc	580.9	-350.2	...		230
325	PSR J1719-1438	P	Stellar group	17:19:10.1	-14:38:01	1.2 kpc		231
326	PSR B1913+16	P	Stellar group	19:15:28.0	+16:06:27	5.2 kpc		
327	HW Vir	P	Stellar group	12:44:20.2	-8:40:17	172.5 pc	9.0	-15.7	...		
328	KOI 54	P	Stellar group	19:46:15.5	+43:56:51	336.9 pc	17.5	5.1	...		232
329	YY Gem	P	Stellar group	7:34:37.4	+31:52:10	15.1 pc	-201.5	-97.1	...	✓	233
330	ϵ Aur	P	Stellar group	5:01:58.1	+43:49:24	414.9 pc	-0.9	-2.7	...		234
331	PSR J0737-3039	P	Stellar group	7:37:51.2	-30:39:41	1.1 kpc		235
332	KIC 8145411	PA	Stellar group	18:50:08.0	+44:04:25		236
333	RS CVn	P	Stellar group	13:10:36.9	+35:56:06	135.9 pc	-50.0	20.6	...		237
334	IC 2391	P	Stellar group	8:40:32.0	-53:02:00	176.0 pc	-24.9	23.3	...		
335	M67	P	Stellar group	8:51:18.0	+11:48:00	850.0 pc	-11.0	-2.9	25.0' \times 25.0'		
336	Westerlund 1	P	Stellar group	16:47:04.0	-45:51:05	4.0 kpc	-2.3	-3.7	3.0' \times 3.0'		
337	N1023-FF-14	P	Stellar group	2:40:19.6	+39:04:37		
338	47 Tuc	P	Stellar group	0:24:05.4	-72:04:53	4.5 kpc	5.2	-2.5	...		238, 239
339	M15	P	Stellar group	21:29:58.3	+12:10:01	10.4 kpc	-0.6	-3.8	...		240, 241
340	NGC 6752	PA	Stellar group	19:10:52.1	-59:59:04	4.0 kpc	-3.2	-4.0	...		242, 243
341	M31-EC4	P	Stellar group	0:58:15.4	+38:03:02	785.0 kpc		244
342	Palomar 1	P	Stellar group	3:33:20.0	+79:34:52	11.1 kpc	-0.2	0.0	...		245, 246
343	Central Cluster	P	Stellar group	17:45:40.0	-29:00:28	8.2 kpc		247
344	ω Cen	P	Stellar group	13:26:47.3	-47:28:46	5.2 kpc	-3.2	-6.7	8.4' \times 8.4'		248, 249
345	CMa R1	P	Stellar group	7:04	-11:30	690.0 pc	200' \times < 1°		250
346	Cyg OB2	P	Stellar group	20:33:12.0	+41:19:00	1.5 kpc	-1.6	-4.7	...		
347	NGC 604	PS	Stellar group, ISM	1:34:32.1	+30:47:01	809.0 kpc		251
348	Cen A outer filament	P	Stellar group	13:26:28.1	-42:50:06	3.7 Mpc	8'		252
349	ζ Oph cloud	P	ISM	16:37:09.0	-10:34:00	150.0 pc		253

Table E2 continued

Table E2 (continued)

ID	Name	Samples	Phyla	RA	Dec	D_L^{eff}	μ_α	μ_δ	Θ	I17?	Refs
350	NGC 7023	P	ISM	21:01:36.9	+68:09:48	320.0 pc		254
351	Orion A	P	ISM	5:38:14.2	-7:07:08	400.0 pc	$7^\circ \times 1^\circ$		255
352	G028.37+00.07	P	ISM	18:42:50.6	-4:03:30	4.8 kpc	$6.3' \times 3.3'$		256
353	TMC-1	P	ISM	4:41:45.9	+25:41:27	140.0 pc	$15' \times 5'$		257
354	Barnard 68	P	ISM	17:22:38.2	-23:49:34	125.0 pc		258
355	Orion hot core	P	ISM	5:35:14.5	-5:22:30	418.0 pc		259
356	Sextans A hole	P	ISM	10:11:00.5	-4:41:30	1.4 Mpc	$3.6'$		260
357	M42	P	ISM	5:35:17.3	-5:23:28	500.0 pc	1.7	-0.3	$5.5' \times 5.5'$		
358	W3(OH)	P	ISM	2:27:04.1	+61:52:22	2.0 kpc		261
359	NGC 3603	P	ISM	11:15:18.6	-61:15:26	7.0 kpc	-5.5	2.0	...		262
360	Arp 220	P	ISM, Galaxy	15:34:57.2	+23:30:12	83.5 Mpc	$1.3' \times 52.2''$		263, 264
361	HH 1	P	ISM	5:36:20.8	-6:45:13	400.0 pc		265
362	EGO G16.59-0.05	P	ISM	18:21:09.2	-14:31:45	4.3 kpc	$46''$		266
363	ζ Oph bow shock	P	ISM	16:37:15.0	-10:30	112.2 pc	$1'$		
364	Red Rectangle nebula	P	ISM	6:19:58.2	-10:38:15	440.5 pc	-6.5	-22.7	...		
365	Helix Nebula	P	ISM	22:29:38.5	-20:50:14	201.0 pc	38.9	-3.4	$13.4' \times 13.4'$		
366	NGC 6302	P	ISM	17:13:44.3	-37:06:11	741.0 pc	$44.6'' \times 44.6''$		
367	NGC 2346	P	ISM	7:09:22.5	+0:48:24	1.5 kpc	-2.1	-1.2	$54.6'' \times 54.6''$		
368	IRC +10420	P	ISM	19:26:48.1	+11:21:17	1.7 kpc	-2.0	-7.4	...		
369	SBW 1	P	ISM	10:40:19.4	-59:49:10	7.7 kpc	-5.6	2.7	...		
370	Homunculus Nebula	P	ISM	10:45:03.5	-59:41:04	2.4 kpc		267
371	S 308	P	ISM	6:54:13.0	-23:55:42	838.0 pc	-4.4	2.9	...		
372	Cas A	P	ISM	23:23:24.0	+58:48:54	3.4 kpc	$5.0' \times 5.0'$		
373	Kes 75	P	ISM	18:46:25.5	-2:59:14	5.8 kpc	$3.0' \times 3.0'$		268
374	W44	P	ISM	18:56:10.7	+1:13:21	3.0 kpc	$35.0' \times 27.0'$		269
375	SN 1987A	P	ISM	5:35:28.0	-69:16:11	49.6 kpc	$1.8'' \times 1.8''$		270
376	Crab Nebula	P	ISM	5:34:31.9	+22:00:52	2.0 kpc	$7.0' \times 5.0'$		
377	SWIFT J1834.9-0846 nebula	P	ISM	18:34:52.8	-8:45:41	4.0 kpc	$37.5''$		271
378	PSR B1957+20 bow shock	P	ISM	19:59:36.7	+20:48:15	2.5 kpc	-16.0	-26.0	...		272
379	Geminga halo	P	ISM	6:33:55.0	+17:46:11	250.0 pc	5.5°		273
380	R Aqr nebula	P	ISM	23:43:49.5	-15:17:04	320.3 pc		
381	GK Per shell	P	ISM	3:31:11.9	+43:54:15	441.9 pc	$1.0' \times 49.9''$		
382	CAL 83 nebula	P	ISM	5:43:34.2	-68:22:22	49.6 kpc	1.6	0.5	...		274
383	SAX J1712.6-3739 nebula	P	ISM	17:12:34.6	-37:39:00	6.9 kpc		
384	Cygnus X-1 shell	P	ISM	19:58:21.7	+35:12:06	2.4 kpc	$6.07' \times 4.50'$		275
385	N159F	P	ISM	5:39:38.8	-69:44:36	55.0 kpc	1.8	0.7	...		276
386	W50	P	ISM	19:12:20.0	+4:55:00	4.5 kpc	$2.0^\circ \times 1.0^\circ$		277
387	Cygnus Cocoon	P	ISM	20:28:39.7	+41:10:18	1.4 kpc	2°		278
388	HVC 125+41-208	P	ISM	12:24:00.0	+75:36:00	$1.3^\circ \times 0.7^\circ$		279
389	SSA22a-LAB01	P	ISM	22:17:26.1	+0:12:32		
390	NGC 6166	P	Galaxy	16:28:38.2	+39:33:04	124.7 Mpc	$1.1' \times 46.7''$		
391	NGC 4636	P	Galaxy	12:42:49.9	+2:41:16	15.1 Mpc	$7.8' \times 5.9'$		280
392	M59	P	Galaxy	12:42:02.3	+11:38:49	15.3 Mpc	$5.5' \times 4.0'$	✓	281
393	NGC 821	P	Galaxy	2:08:21.1	+10:59:42	23.2 Mpc	$1.9' \times 1.5'$	✓	
394	NGC 3115	P	Galaxy	10:05:14.0	-7:43:07	10.3 Mpc	$7.8' \times 4.0'$		282
395	NGC 4260	P	Galaxy	12:19:22.2	+6:05:56	37.7 Mpc	$1.9' \times 49.9''$		283
396	M32	P	Galaxy	0:42:41.8	+40:51:55	785.0 kpc		284, 285
397	NGC 4546 UCD-1	P	Galaxy	12:35:28.7	-3:47:21		286
398	NGC 205	P	Galaxy	0:40:22.1	+41:41:07	824.0 kpc	$18.6' \times 10.5'$		287, 288

Table E2 continued

Table E2 (continued)

ID	Name	Samples	Phyla	RA	Dec	D_L^{eff}	μ_α	μ_δ	Θ	I17?	Refs
399	Sculptor dSph	P	Galaxy	1:00:09.4	-33:42:32	90.0 kpc	$15.3' \times 15.3'$	✓	289
400	UMa II	P	Galaxy	8:51:30.0	+63:07:48	34.7 kpc		
401	NGC 4431	P	Galaxy	12:27:27.4	+12:17:25	17.3 Mpc	$55.8'' \times 34.6''$		
402	NGC 1277	P	Galaxy	3:19:51.5	+41:34:24	67.7 Mpc	$40.8'' \times 22.9''$		290
403	MRG-M0150	P	Galaxy	1:50:21.2	-10:05:30		291
404	MRG-M0138	P	Galaxy	1:38:03.9	-21:55:49		292
405	IC 976	P	Galaxy	14:08:43.3	-1:09:42	24.1 Mpc	$55.4'' \times 21.1''$		
406	NGC 404	P	Galaxy	1:09:27.1	+35:43:05	3.0 Mpc	$6.0' \times 6.0'$		293
407	M81	P	Galaxy	9:55:33.2	+69:03:55	3.7 Mpc	$21.4' \times 10.2'$	✓	294
408	M100	P	Galaxy	12:22:54.9	+15:49:20	13.9 Mpc	$7.6' \times 6.8'$		
409	D563-4	P	Galaxy	8:55:07.2	+19:45:04	$21.0'' \times 15.6''$		
410	NGC 891	P	Galaxy	2:22:32.9	+42:20:54	9.9 Mpc	$12.3' \times 2.5'$		295
411	Phoenix dwarf	P	Galaxy	1:51:06.3	-44:26:41	440.0 kpc	$4.9' \times 4.1'$	✓	296
412	NGC 5173	P	Galaxy	13:28:25.3	+46:35:30	38.4 Mpc	$36.8'' \times 32.4''$		297, 298
413	IC 225	P	Galaxy	2:26:28.3	+1:09:37	17.7 Mpc	$21.4'' \times 20.5''$		299, 300
414	M101	P	Galaxy	14:03:12.6	+54:20:56	6.5 Mpc	$21.9' \times 20.9'$	✓	301, 302
415	NGC 300	P	Galaxy	0:54:53.4	-37:41:03	2.0 Mpc	$20.9' \times 13.5'$		303
416	NGC 55	P	Galaxy	0:14:53.6	-39:11:48	2.1 Mpc	$32.4' \times 4.0'$		304
417	NGC 4701	P	Galaxy	12:49:11.6	+3:23:19	15.6 Mpc	$51.4'' \times 40.1''$		
418	SS 16	P	Galaxy	9:47:00.1	+25:40:46	$53.4'' \times 43.2''$		305, 306
419	M99	P	Galaxy	12:18:49.6	+14:24:59	13.9 Mpc	$5.1' \times 4.5'$		
420	NGC 4631	P	Galaxy	12:42:08.0	+32:32:29	7.3 Mpc	$13.5' \times 2.5'$		307
421	NGC 6822	P	Galaxy	19:44:56.2	-14:47:51	520.0 kpc	$13.8' \times 12.9'$	✓	308, 309
422	IC 1613	P	Galaxy	1:04:54.2	+2:08:00	760.0 kpc	$16.2' \times 14.5'$	✓	310, 311
423	NGC 3077	P	Galaxy	10:03:19.1	+68:44:02	3.8 Mpc	$2.4' \times 1.9'$		312, 313
424	SGAS J143845.1+145407	P	Galaxy	14:38:45.1	+14:54:07		314, 315
425	Sp1149 (A1)	P	Galaxy	11:49:35.3	+22:23:46		316, 317
426	A68-HLS115	P	Galaxy	0:37:09.5	+9:09:04		318, 319
427	HLock-01 (R)	P	Galaxy	10:57:51.1	+57:30:27		320, 321
428	M82	P	Galaxy	9:55:52.4	+69:40:47	3.4 Mpc		322, 323
429	Arp 236	P	Galaxy	1:07:47.2	-17:30:25	84.1 Mpc		324
430	HIZOA J0836-43	P	Galaxy	8:36:42.1	-43:38:07		325
431	I Zwicky 18	P	Galaxy	9:34:02.1	+55:14:25	13.0 Mpc	$2.0' \times 2.0'$		326
432	POX 186	PS	Galaxy	13:25:48.6	-11:36:38		
433	Haro 2	P	Galaxy	10:32:32.0	+54:24:04	15.4 Mpc		327, 328
434	NGC 2366	P	Galaxy	7:28:51.9	+69:12:31	3.3 Mpc	$8.1' \times 3.3'$		329
435	ID11	P	Galaxy	22:48:42.0	-44:32:28		330, 331
436	SL2S J02176-0513	P	Galaxy	2:17:37.1	-5:13:30		332, 333
437	cB 58	P	Galaxy	15:14:22.3	+36:36:26		334, 335
438	SMM J2135-0102	P	Galaxy	21:35:11.6	-1:02:52		336, 337
439	Minkowski's Object	P	Galaxy	1:25:47.0	-1:22:18		338
440	Malin 1	P	Galaxy	12:36:59.3	+14:19:49	$8.8'' \times 8.8''$		339

Table E2 continued

Table E2 (continued)

ID	Name	Samples	Phyla	RA	Dec	D_L^{eff}	μ_α	μ_δ	Θ	I17?	Refs
441	VCC 1287	P	Galaxy	12:30:23.8	+13:58:56	16.6 Mpc	$45.6'' \times 5.4''$		340, 341
442	UGC 2162	P	Galaxy	2:40:24.6	+1:13:36	16.7 Mpc	$1.4' \times 1.1'$		342
443	HI 1232+20	P	Galaxy	12:32:00.0	+20:25:00	10.9 Mpc		343
444	Cartwheel galaxy	P	Galaxy	0:37:41.1	-33:42:59	125.0 Mpc		
445	NGC 4650A	P	Galaxy	12:44:49.0	-40:42:52	61.0 Mpc	$31.2'' \times 24.4''$		344
446	Hoag's Object	P	Galaxy	15:17:14.4	+21:35:08	$18.0'' \times 12.0''$		345
447	M51a/b	P	Galaxy	13:29:52.7	+47:11:43	7.6 Mpc	$10.0' \times 7.6'$	✓	
448	The Antennae	P	Galaxy	12:01:53.2	-18:52:38	23.3 Mpc		346
449	Antennae TDG	P	Galaxy	12:01:25.7	-19:00:42		
450	3C 75	P	Galaxy, AGN	2:57:41.6	+6:01:28	106.7 Mpc	$44.5'' \times 29.3''$		
451	ESO 137-001	P	Galaxy	16:13:27.3	-60:45:51	$21.4'' \times 11.6''$		
452	IC 3418	P	Galaxy	12:29:43.9	+11:24:17	17.0 Mpc	$1.5' \times 1.0'$		347
453	M51a	P	Galaxy	13:29:52.7	+47:11:43	7.6 Mpc	$10.0' \times 7.6'$	✓	348
454	NGC 7793	P	Galaxy	23:57:49.8	-32:35:28	3.6 Mpc	$10.0' \times 6.0'$	✓	349
455	NGC 4622	P	Galaxy	12:42:37.6	-40:44:39	61.9 Mpc	$1.2' \times 59.0''$		
456	M91	P	Galaxy	12:35:26.4	+14:29:47	17.1 Mpc	$5.4' \times 4.5'$		
457	NGC 1097	P	Galaxy	2:46:19.1	-30:16:30	15.8 Mpc	$10.0' \times 6.2'$		
458	M64	P	Galaxy	12:56:43.7	+21:40:58	4.4 Mpc	$9.8' \times 4.9'$	✓	350
459	NGC 1291	P	Galaxy	3:17:18.6	-41:06:29	11.2 Mpc	$10.5' \times 8.1'$		351
460	NGC 2787	P	Galaxy	9:19:18.6	+69:12:12	7.4 Mpc	$2.5' \times 1.5'$	✓	
461	NGC 1365	P	Galaxy, AGN	3:33:36.5	-36:08:26	17.0 Mpc	$10.7' \times 6.3'$		352
462	NGC 6012	P	Galaxy	15:54:13.9	+14:36:04	26.3 Mpc	$1.4' \times 47.6''$		
463	NGC 1433	P	Galaxy	3:42:01.6	-47:13:19	15.4 Mpc	$6.3' \times 4.2'$		
464	NGC 5101	P	Galaxy	13:21:46.2	-27:25:50	16.2 Mpc	$5.6' \times 4.9'$		
465	NGC 3898	P	Galaxy	11:49:15.4	+56:05:04	21.3 Mpc	$3.8' \times 2.3'$		
466	UGC 7321	P	Galaxy	12:17:34.0	+22:32:23	22.3 Mpc	$5.1' \times 22.2''$		353
467	NGC 3923	P	Galaxy	11:51:01.8	-28:48:22	20.5 Mpc	$6.8' \times 4.5'$		
468	LEDA 074886	P	Galaxy	3:40:43.2	-18:38:43	$23.6'' \times 14.2''$		
469	KK 246	P	Galaxy	20:03:57.4	-31:40:53	6.8 Mpc	$39.0'' \times 16.8''$		
470	ESO 243-49 HLX1	P	AGN	1:10:28.3	-46:04:22		
471	Sgr A*	P	AGN	17:45:40.0	-29:00:28	8.2 kpc		
472	NGC 1052	P	AGN	2:41:04.8	-8:15:21	19.2 Mpc	$2.1' \times 1.6'$	✓	
473	NGC 4395	P	AGN	12:25:48.9	+33:32:49	4.8 Mpc	$11.5' \times 4.3'$		
474	NGC 4686	P	AGN	12:46:39.8	+54:32:03	71.7 Mpc	$1.8' \times 31.6''$		
475	NGC 7469	P	AGN	23:03:15.7	+8:52:25	69.8 Mpc	$1.4' \times 1.1'$		
476	NGC 1068	P	AGN	2:42:40.8	+0:00:48	19.0 Mpc	$6.9' \times 6.0'$		
477	I Zwicky 1	P	AGN	0:53:34.9	+12:41:36	$28.8'' \times 21.0''$		
478	NGC 2911	P	AGN	9:33:46.1	+10:09:09	47.8 Mpc	$1.2' \times 58.1''$		
479	Centaurus A	P	AGN	13:25:27.6	-43:01:09	3.7 Mpc	$25.7' \times 17.8'$		354
480	Cygnus A	P	AGN	19:59:28.4	+40:44:02	$36.2'' \times 30.4''$		
481	NGC 1265	P	AGN	3:18:15.7	+41:51:28	$1.8' \times 1.6'$		
482	3C 403	P	AGN	19:52:15.8	+2:30:24	$19.2'' \times 14.2''$		
483	3C 286	P	AGN	13:31:08.3	+30:30:33		
484	PKS 1934-638	P	AGN	19:39:25.0	-63:42:46		
485	3C 273	P	AGN	12:29:06.7	+2:03:09	657.8 Mpc		
486	Mrk 335	P	AGN	0:06:19.5	+20:12:11	109.5 Mpc		
487	Cloverleaf quasar	P	AGN	14:15:46.2	+11:29:43		355
488	PHL 1811	P	AGN	21:55:01.5	-9:22:24		
489	BL Lac	P	AGN	22:02:43.3	+42:16:40	$0.0'' \times 0.0''$		
490	3C 279	P	AGN	12:56:11.2	-5:47:22		
491	TXS 0506+056	P	AGN	5:09:26.0	+5:41:35	$0.0'' \times 0.0''$		
492	SST24 J143644.2+350627	P	AGN	14:36:44.2	+35:06:27		
493	WISE 1814+3412	P	AGN	18:14:17.3	+34:12:25		

Table E2 continued

Table E2 (continued)

ID	Name	Samples	Phyla	RA	Dec	D_L^{eff}	μ_α	μ_δ	Θ	I17?	Refs
494	NGC 4258	P	AGN	12:18:57.6	+47:18:13	7.7 Mpc	$17.8' \times 6.9'$	✓	
495	NGC 4151	P	AGN	12:10:32.6	+39:24:21	18.5 Mpc	$6.2' \times 4.8'$		
496	NGC 6240	P	AGN	16:52:58.9	+2:24:04	105.4 Mpc	$1.1' \times 37.1''$		
497	0402+379	P	AGN	4:05:49.3	+38:03:32	$35.8'' \times 21.5''$		
498	OJ 287	P	AGN	8:54:48.9	+20:06:31	1.3 Gpc		
499	Hanny's Voorwerp	P	AGN	9:41:03.8	+34:43:34		356
500	B2 0924+30	P	AGN	9:27:52.8	+29:59:09	$46.4'' \times 40.9''$		
501	Arp 294	P	Galaxy association	11:39:42.0	+31:55:00	40.2 Mpc		
502	UGCA 319/320	P	Galaxy association	13:02:43.0	-17:19:10	5.4 Mpc		
503	Stephan's Quintet	P	Galaxy association	22:35:57.5	+33:57:36	$3.2' \times 3.2'$		
504	NGC 6482	P	Galaxy association	17:51:48.8	+23:04:19	59.0 Mpc	$1.5' \times 57.7''$		
505	Fornax Cluster	P	Galaxy association	3:38:30.0	-35:27:18		
506	Virgo Cluster	P	Galaxy association	12:26:32.1	+12:43:24		
507	Coma Cluster	P	Galaxy association	12:59:48.7	+27:58:50		
508	Perseus Cluster	P	Galaxy association	3:19:47.2	+41:30:47		
509	Bullet Cluster	PS	Galaxy association	6:58:29.6	-55:56:39		
510	SSA22	P	Galaxy association	22:17:34.7	+0:15:07		357
511	Abell 3667	P	Galaxy association	20:12:33.7	-56:50:26		
512	Coma C	P	Galaxy association	12:59:18.0	+27:47:00		
513	NGC 1275 minihalo	P	Galaxy association	3:19:48.2	+41:30:42	62.5 Mpc	$2.6' \times 1.8'$		
514	1253+275	P	Galaxy association	12:55:00.0	+27:12:00		
515	Leo Ring	P	LSS	10:47:46.8	+12:11:11		358
516	Laniakea (Great) attractor	P	LSS	14:32	-43:14	71.0 Mpc		359
517	Dipole repeller	P	LSS	22:25	+37:15	238.6 Mpc		360
536	Galactic anticenter	P	Not real	5:45:37.2	+28:56:10		
539	Cha 110913-773444	S	Minor body	11:09:13.6	-77:34:45	165.0 pc		361, 362
545	1SWASP J140752.03-394415.1 b	S	Minor body	14:07:52.0	-39:44:15	1.4 kpc	4.8	-0.7	...		363, 364
551	Kepler 138 b	S	Solid planetoid	19:21:31.6	+43:17:35	67.0 pc	-20.6	22.7	...		365, 366
552	Kepler 37 b	S	Solid planetoid	18:56:14.3	+44:31:05	64.0 pc	-60.5	48.7	...		367
553	Kepler 107 c	S	Solid planetoid	19:48:06.8	+48:12:31	534.0 pc	-9.5	0.3	...		368
554	82 Eri	S	Solid planetoid	3:19:55.7	-43:04:11	6.0 pc	3038.3	726.6	...	✓	369, 370
555	KOI 1843.03	S	Solid planetoid	19:00:03.1	+40:13:15	134.8 pc	0.7	41.2	...		371, 372
556	HAT-P-67 b	S	Giant planet	17:06:26.6	+44:46:37	375.7 pc	9.4	-18.2	...		373, 374
557	Kepler 51 c	S	Giant planet	19:45:55.1	+49:56:16	801.7 pc	0.0	-7.5	...		375
558	KELT 9 b	S	Giant planet	20:31:26.4	+39:56:20	205.7 pc	16.7	21.5	...		376, 377
559	TrES-2 b	S	Giant planet	19:07:14.0	+49:18:59	216.4 pc	5.2	1.6	...		378, 379

Table E2 continued

Table E2 (*continued*)

ID	Name	Samples	Phyla	RA	Dec	D_L^{eff}	μ_α	μ_δ	Θ	I17?	Refs
560	V830 Tau b	S	Giant planet	4:33:10.0	+24:33:43	130.6 pc	7.2	-21.2	...		380, 381
561	κ And b	S	Giant planet	23:40:24.5	+44:20:02	50.1 pc	80.7	-18.7	...		382, 383
562	o UMa b	S	Giant planet	8:30:15.9	+60:43:05	60.5 pc	-133.8	-107.5	...		384, 385
563	HD 208527 b	S	Giant planet	21:56:24.0	+21:14:23	314.5 pc	1.2	15.0	...		386
564	GJ 3483 B	S	Giant planet	8:07:14.7	-66:18:49	19.2 pc	340.3	-289.6	...		387
565	HD 163269 b	S	Giant planet	17:55:54.5	-12:52:13	257.1 pc	-3.4	-11.0	...		388, 389
566	R136 a1	S	Star	5:38:43.3	-69:06:08	49.6 kpc		390, 391, 392
567	EBLM J0555-57 Ab	S	Star	5:55:32.7	-57:17:26	211.6 pc	2.8	-39.6	...		393
568	Feige 34	S	Star	10:39:36.7	+43:06:09	227.3 pc	12.5	-25.4	...		394, 395
569	NML Cyg	S	Star	20:46:25.5	+40:06:59	653.6 pc	-0.3	-0.9	...		396, 397
570	UY Sct	S	Star	18:27:36.5	-12:27:59	1.6 kpc	-0.7	-3.0	...		398
571	SMSS J0313-6708	S	Star	3:13:00.4	-67:08:39	10.0 kpc	7.0	1.1	...		399
572	14 Her	S	Star	16:10:24.3	+43:49:03	17.9 pc	132.0	-296.5	...	✓	400
573	SDSS J102915+172927	S	Star	10:29:15.1	+17:29:28	1.4 kpc	-10.9	-4.1	...		401
574	S4711	S	Star	17:45:40.0	-29:00:28	8.2 kpc		402
575	S5-HVS1	S	Star	22:54:51.6	-51:11:44	8.6 kpc	35.3	0.6	...		403, 404
576	SDSS J222859.93+362359.6	S	Collapsed star	22:28:59.9	+36:23:60	286.0 pc	-2.9	-2.0	...		405
577	U Sco	S	Collapsed star	16:22:30.8	-17:52:43	12.6 kpc	0.5	-7.9	...		406
578	LHS 4033	S	Collapsed star	23:52:31.9	-2:53:12	30.2 pc	637.8	285.1	...		407
579	PSR J2222-0137 B	S	Collapsed star	22:22:06.0	-1:37:16	267.2 pc		408
580	RX J0439.8-6809	S	Collapsed star	4:39:49.6	-68:09:01	9.2 kpc		409, 410
581	PG 1031+234	S	Collapsed star	10:33:49.2	+23:09:16	64.1 pc	-51.3	-11.8	...		411
582	WD 0346+246	S	Collapsed star	3:46:46.5	+24:56:03	27.8 pc	520.9	-1157.5	...		412
583	LP 400-22	S	Collapsed star	22:36:30.0	+22:32:24	353.5 pc	202.9	50.6	...		
584	4U 1538-522	S	Collapsed star	15:42:23.4	-52:23:10	6.4 kpc	-6.7	-4.1	...		
585	MSP J0740+6620	S	Collapsed star	7:40:45.8	+66:20:34	2.0 kpc		413
586	PSR J0537-6910	S	Collapsed star	5:37:46.7	-69:10:17	49.6 kpc		414
587	PSR J2144-3933	S	Collapsed star	21:44:12.1	-39:33:55	160.0 pc		415
588	XTE J1739-285	S	Collapsed star	17:39:54.0	-28:29:47	12.0 kpc		
589	AX J1910.7+0917	S	Collapsed star	19:10:43.6	+9:16:30	16.0 kpc		416
590	IGR J00291+5934	S	Collapsed star	0:29:03.1	+59:34:19	5.1 kpc		
591	SGR 1900+14	S	Collapsed star	19:07:13.0	+9:19:34	13.5 kpc		
592	NS 1987A	S	Collapsed star	5:35:28.0	-69:16:11	49.6 kpc		417
593	PSR B1508+55	S	Collapsed star	15:09:25.7	+55:31:33	2.4 kpc		418
594	PSR J1748-2446ad	S	Collapsed star	17:48:04.9	-24:46:04	6.9 kpc		419
595	PSR J0250+5854	S	Collapsed star	2:50:17.8	+58:54:01	1.6 kpc		420
596	2MASS J05215658+4359220	S	Collapsed star	5:21:56.6	+43:59:22	3.7 kpc	-0.1	-3.7	...		
597	HM Cnc	S	Interacting bi- nary star	8:06:23.0	+15:27:31	5.0 kpc		421
598	NGC 5907 ULX	S	Interacting bi- nary star	15:15:58.6	+56:18:10	17.1 Mpc		422
599	TWA 42	S	Stellar group	11:19:32.5	-11:37:47	26.4 pc	-148.5	-98.1	...		423, 424
600	Melnick 34	S	Stellar group	5:38:44.3	-69:06:06	9.1 kpc	1.9	0.8	...		425
601	PSR J2322-2650	S	Stellar group	23:22:34.6	-26:50:58	227.3 pc	-2.4	-8.3	...		426
602	ZTF J153932.16+502738.8	S	Stellar group	15:39:32.2	+50:27:39	2.3 kpc	-3.4	-3.8	...		427, 428

Table E2 *continued*

Table E2 (continued)

ID	Name	Samples	Phyla	RA	Dec	D_L^{eff}	μ_α	μ_δ	Θ	I17?	Refs
603	65 UMa	S	Stellar group	11:55:05.7	+46:28:37	86.8 pc	22.7	-19.4	...		429
604	IRS 13E	SA	Stellar group	17:45:39.7	-29:00:30	8.2 kpc		430
605	NGC 7252 W3	S	Stellar group	22:20:43.9	-24:40:38	64.4 Mpc	$0.1'' \times 0.1''$		
606	HD 97950	S	Stellar group	11:15:07.3	-61:15:39	7.0 kpc	2.4	2.8	...		431
607	Be 17	S	Stellar group	5:20:37.4	+30:35:24	2.7 kpc	2.6	-0.3	...		
608	NGC 6791	S	Stellar group	19:20:53.0	+37:46:18	6.9 kpc	-0.4	-2.3	...		432
609	G1	S	Stellar group	0:32:46.5	+39:34:40	785.0 kpc	$1.7'' \times 1.7''$		433, 434
610	(GC) 037-B327	S	Stellar group	0:41:35.0	+41:14:55	785.0 kpc		435
611	M85-HCC 1	S	Stellar group	12:25:22.8	+18:10:54	15.8 Mpc	$0.0'' \times 0.0''$		436, 437
612	Kim 3	S	Stellar group	13:22:45.2	-30:36:04	15.1 kpc		438
613	NGC 6522	S	Stellar group	18:03:34.1	-30:02:02	7.7 kpc	2.6	-6.4	$2.1' \times 2.1'$		439, 440
614	ESO 280-SC06	S	Stellar group	18:09:06.0	-46:25:24	21.4 kpc	-0.5	-2.8	...		441
615	NGC 6528	S	Stellar group	18:04:49.6	-30:03:21	7.9 kpc	-2.2	-5.5	...		442, 443
616	Boomerang Nebula	S	ISM	12:44:46.1	-54:31:13	197.8 pc	-2.9	-1.6	$1.4' \times 43.4''$		
617	Sgr B2	S	ISM	17:47:20.4	-28:23:07	8.2 kpc		444
618	Sgr B2(N) AN01	S	ISM	17:47:19.9	-28:22:18	8.2 kpc		445
619	30 Dor	S	ISM	5:38:36.0	-69:05:11	49.6 kpc	$40.0' \times 25.0'$		446
620	W49N	S	ISM	19:10:13.2	+9:06:12	11.1 kpc		447
621	Segue 2	S	Galaxy	2:19:16.0	+20:10:31	35.0 kpc		
622	Segue 1	S	Galaxy	10:07:03.2	+16:04:25	23.0 kpc		
623	IC 1101	S	Galaxy, AGN	15:10:56.1	+5:44:41	$52.6'' \times 29.5''$		
624	OGC 21	S	Galaxy	12:22:05.3	+45:18:11	$19.0'' \times 11.0''$		
625	LEDA 088678	S	Galaxy	12:59:22.5	-4:11:46	$28.6'' \times 25.1''$		
626	M59-UCD3	S	Galaxy	12:42:11.0	+11:38:41	15.3 Mpc		448, 449
627	SPT 0346-52	S	Galaxy	3:46:41.2	-52:05:06		450, 451
628	WISE J101326.25+611220.1	S	Galaxy	10:13:26.2	+61:12:20		452
629	Antlia 2	S	Galaxy	9:35:32.8	-36:46:02	132.0 kpc		453
630	Reticulum II	S	Galaxy	3:35:42.1	-54:02:57	32.0 kpc		
631	GN-z11	S	Galaxy	12:36:25.5	+62:14:31		454, 455
632	MACS0647-JD	S	Galaxy	6:47:55.7	+70:14:36		456, 457
633	ZF-COSMOS-20115	S	Galaxy	10:00:14.8	+2:22:43		
634	AGC 229385	S	Galaxy	12:32:10.3	+20:25:24	10.9 Mpc	$1.6' \times 43.2''$		458, 459
635	J0811+4730	S	Galaxy	8:11:52.1	+47:30:26		460
636	NGC 2841	S	Galaxy	9:22:02.7	+50:58:35	14.6 Mpc	$8.1' \times 4.0'$		461
637	IRAS 14070+0525	S	Galaxy	14:09:30.7	+5:11:31		462
638	SS 14	S	Galaxy	9:57:27.0	+8:35:02		463, 464
639	SS 03	S	Galaxy	16:39:46.0	+46:09:06	$17.0'' \times 6.0''$		465, 466
640	A1689B11	S	Galaxy	13:11:33.3	-1:21:07		467
641	SPT-CLJ2344-4243 Arc	S	Galaxy	23:44:46.5	-42:43:06		468, 469
642	The Snake	S	Galaxy	12:06:10.8	-8:48:01		470, 471
643	RGG 118	S	AGN	15:23:03.8	+11:45:45		
644	Holm 15A	S	AGN	0:41:50.5	-9:18:11	201.4 Mpc	$38.0'' \times 29.6''$		
645	HS 1946+7658	S	AGN	19:44:54.9	+77:05:53		
646	SMSS 2157-36	S	AGN	21:57:28.2	-36:02:15		472

Table E2 continued

Table E2 (*continued*)

ID	Name	Samples	Phyla	RA	Dec	D_L^{eff}	μ_α	μ_δ	Θ	I17?	Refs
647	WISE 2246-0526	S	AGN	22:46:07.6	-5:26:35		
648	M60-UCD1	S	AGN	12:43:36.0	+11:32:05	16.2 Mpc		473
649	J1329+3234	S	AGN	13:29:32.4	+32:34:17		
650	J1342+0928	S	AGN	13:42:08.1	+9:28:38		
651	CLASH B1938+666	S	AGN	19:38:25.3	+66:48:53		474
652	COSMOS 5921+0638	S	AGN	9:59:21.7	+2:06:38		475
653	J1420-0545	S	AGN	14:20:23.8	-5:45:28		
654	J0804+3607	S	AGN	8:04:31.0	+36:07:18		
655	HCG 54	S	Galaxy association	11:29:15.0	+20:34:42	$42.0'' \times 42.0''$		
656	Seyfert's Sextet	S	Galaxy association	15:59:11.6	+20:45:25	$1.3' \times 1.3'$		
657	Abell 370	S	Galaxy association	2:39:50.5	-1:35:08		
658	MACS J0717.5+34	S	Galaxy association	7:17:36.5	+37:45:23		
659	Abell 665	S	Galaxy association	8:30:45.2	+65:52:55		
660	Phoenix Cluster	S	Galaxy association	23:44:40.9	-42:41:54		
661	CL J1001+0220	S	Galaxy association	10:00:57.2	+2:20:13		
662	A2744z8OD	S	Galaxy association	0:14:24.9	-30:22:56		
663	Shapley Supercluster	S	LSS	13:06:00.0	-33:04:00		
664	HE 0430-2457	A	Stellar group	4:33:03.8	-24:51:20	978.4 pc	3.0	0.8	...		476
665	PSR J1911-5958A	A	Stellar group	19:11:42.8	-59:58:27	4.0 kpc		477, 478
666	PSR J1740-5340	A	Star, Stellar group	17:40:44.6	-53:40:41	2.3 kpc		479
667	S0-2	A	Star	17:45:40.0	-29:00:28	8.2 kpc	-10.9	19.7	...		480, 481
668	IRS 16C	A	Star	17:45:40.1	-29:00:28	8.2 kpc	-9.0	7.8	...		482, 483
669	G2	A	ISM	17:45:40.0	-29:00:28	8.2 kpc		484
670	ASASSN -14jb	A	Collapsed star	22:23:16.1	-28:58:31		
671	SN 2009ip	A	Collapsed star	22:23:08.3	-28:56:52		
672	HVGC-1	A	Stellar group	12:30:54.7	+12:40:59	16.5 Mpc		485, 486
673	3C 186	A	AGN	7:44:17.5	+37:53:17		
674	SDSS J113323.97+550415.9	A	AGN	11:33:24.0	+55:04:16		
677	HIP 41378 f	A	Giant planet	8:26:27.8	+10:04:49	106.6 pc	-48.1	0.1	...		
678	HD 65949	A	Star	7:57:47.7	-60:36:35	440.2 pc	-4.2	10.9	...		
679	Przybylski's Star	A	Star	11:37:37.0	-46:42:35	108.8 pc	-46.8	34.0	...		487
680	HD 106038	A	Star	12:12:01.4	+13:15:41	134.8 pc	-218.5	-439.6	...		488
681	HD 135485	A	Star	15:15:45.3	-14:41:35	231.9 pc	-0.3	-35.0	...		489
682	LS IV-14 116	A	Star	20:57:38.9	-14:25:44	420.3 pc	7.5	-128.0	...		490, 491
683	2MASS J13535604+4437076	A	Star	13:53:56.1	+44:37:08	1.5 kpc	-44.4	-31.1	...		492
684	COM 6266B	A	Interacting bi- nary star	17:01:12.7	-30:06:49	6.8 kpc		493
685	M67-S1063	A	Star	8:51:13.4	+11:51:40	847.7 pc	-11.0	-2.8	...		494, 495
686	[SBD2011] 5	A	Star	11:15:07.0	-61:15:26	7.0 kpc		496, 497
687	1E 1613-5055	A	Collapsed star	16:17:33.0	-51:02:00	3.2 kpc		

Table E2 *continued*

Table E2 (continued)

ID	Name	Samples	Phyla	RA	Dec	D_L^{eff}	μ_α	μ_δ	Θ	I17?	Refs
688	DWD 2220+2146	HS	A	Stellar group	22:23:01.6	+22:01:30	70.6 pc	498
689	NGC 1277*	A	AGN	3:19:51.5	+41:34:24	67.7 Mpc		
690	Was 49b	A	AGN	12:14:17.8	+29:31:43		
691	2MASX J07390433+1804252	A	AGN	7:39:04.3	+18:04:25	$23.0'' \times 18.8''$		
692	J 06587-5558	A	Unknown	6:58:42.1	-55:58:37		499
693	ASASSN -15lh	A	Unknown	22:02:15.4	-61:39:35		
694	CMB Cold Spot	A	LSS	3:13:00.0	-20:30:00		
696	Boyajian's Star	A	Minor body, Star	20:06:15.5	+44:27:25	450.8 pc	-10.4	-10.3	...	+	500
697	HD 139139	A	Minor body	15:37:06.2	-19:08:33	107.6 pc	-67.6	-92.5	...	+	501
698	VVV-WIT-07	A	Minor body	17:26:29.4	-35:40:56		
699	ASASSN-V J060000.76- 310027.83	A	Star	6:00:00.8	-31:00:28	156.8 pc	-14.0	-17.0	...		502
700	WISEA 0615-1247	A	Star	6:15:43.6	-12:47:22	38.6 pc	450.3	-415.9	...		
701	45 Dra	A	Star	18:32:34.5	+57:02:44	1.1 kpc	0.4	-7.7	...		503
702	OGLE LMC-CEP- 4506	A	Star	5:53:29.4	-67:53:59	50.0 kpc	1.6	0.7	...		504
703	EK Dra	A	Star	14:39:00.2	+64:17:30	34.5 pc	-136.0	-36.9	...		505
704	ASASSN-V J190917.06+182837.36	A	Star	19:09:17.1	+18:28:37	1.4 kpc	-0.7	-4.9	...		506
705	ASASSN-V J213939.3-702817.4	A	Star	21:39:39.3	-70:28:17	1.2 kpc	13.7	-13.1	...		507
706	ASASSN V J193622.23+115244.1	A	Star	19:36:22.2	+11:52:44	2.0 kpc		
707	NGC 3021- CANDIDATE 1	A	Star	9:50:55.4	+33:33:14	27.3 Mpc		508
708	BW Vul	A	Star	20:54:22.4	+28:31:19	870.0 pc	0.4	-5.0	...		509
709	BD +31°1048	A	Star	5:40:35.9	+31:21:30	247.9 pc	-5.1	-8.2	...		510
710	AQ CVn	A	Star	12:43:41.7	+32:21:28	3.0 kpc	-5.4	-3.6	...		511
711	β Cam	A	Star	5:03:25.1	+60:26:32	210.5 pc	-6.5	-14.2	...		512
712	V654 Her	A	Star	16:57:41.0	+35:16:11	427.3 pc	16.4	0.1	...		513
713	PTF 14jg	A	Star	2:40:30.1	+60:52:46	1.9 kpc		514
714	ASASSN-19lb	A	Star	11:39:49.4	-70:40:31	1.7 kpc		515, 516
715	ASASSN-20lj	A	Star	16:46:14.7	+17:00:18	862.1 pc	9.5	-11.1	...		517, 518
716	YZ CMi	A	Star	7:44:40.2	+3:33:09	6.0 pc	-348.1	-445.9	...	✓	519
717	Landstreet's Star	A	Star	5:40:56.4	-1:30:26	437.5 pc	2.8	1.7	...		520
718	GRB 060614	A	Collapsed star	21:23:32.1	-53:01:36		
719	GRB 060505	A	Collapsed star	22:07:03.4	-27:48:52		
720	PSR B0919+06	A	Collapsed star	9:22:14.0	+6:38:23	1.2 kpc		521
721	DDE 168	A	Star	13:05:14.6	-49:18:59	125.0 pc	-30.2	-16.4	...		
722	UMi dSph	A	Galaxy	15:09:11.3	+67:12:52	60.0 kpc	$32.2' \times 32.2'$	✓	522
723	NGC 247	A	Galaxy	0:47:08.6	-20:45:37	3.7 Mpc	$20.4' \times 5.1'$		523
724	Leoncino Dwarf	A	Galaxy	9:43:32.4	+33:26:58	8.0 Mpc	$8.1''$		524, 525
725	Spikey	A	AGN	19:18:45.6	+49:37:56		
726	ZTF19abanrhr	A	AGN	12:49:42.3	+34:49:29		
727	GSN 069	A	AGN	1:19:08.7	-34:11:31	$15.4'' \times 12.6''$		
728	MCG+00-09-070	A	AGN	3:22:08.7	+0:50:11	$27.2'' \times 24.5''$		
729	3C 141	A	Unknown	5:26:42.6	+32:49:58		
730	3C 125	A	Unknown	4:46:17.8	+39:45:03		
731	3C 431	A	Unknown	21:18:52.5	+49:36:59		
732	PMN J1751-2524	A	Unknown	17:51:51.3	-25:24:00		

Table E2 continued

Table E2 (continued)

ID	Name	Samples	Phyla	RA	Dec	D_L^{eff}	μ_α	μ_δ	Θ	I17?	Refs
733	FRB 121102	A	Unknown	5:32:09.6	+33:05:13	0.2 pc	+	526
734	ORC 2	A	Unknown	20:58:42.8	-57:36:57	80''		527
735	Galactic Center Radio Arc	A	Unknown	17:46:16.9	-28:48:52	8.2 kpc	1.9	-3.0	...		528
736	3FGL J1539.2-3324	A	Unknown	15:39:11.6	-33:22:06		
737	3FGL J1231.6-5113	A	Unknown	12:31:36.5	-51:13:16		
738	TeV J2032+4130	A	Unknown	20:32:06.0	+41:34:00		
739	HESS J1745-303	A	Unknown	17:45:11.3	-30:11:56		
740	LWAT 171018	A	Unknown	3:04	+2:00		529
741	ILT J225347+862146	A	Unknown	22:53:47.1	+86:21:46		
742	TGSSADR J183304.4-384046	A	Unknown	18:33:04.5	-38:40:46	49.5'' × 25.4''		
743	J103916.2+585124	A	Unknown	10:39:16.2	+58:51:24		
744	WJN J1443+3439	A	Unknown	14:43:22.0	+34:39:00		
745	43.78+59.3	A	Unknown	9:55:52.5	+69:40:45		
746	FIRST J141918.9+394036	A	Unknown	14:19:18.9	+39:40:36		
747	RT 19920826	A	Unknown	21:36:22.0	+41:59:20		
748	GCRT J1745-3009	A	Unknown	17:45:05.0	-30:09:54		
749	FRB 190523	A	Unknown	13:48:15.6	+72:28:11		
750	FRB 180916.J0158+65	A	Unknown	1:58:00.8	+65:43:00		
751	VVV-WIT-02	A	Unknown	17:53:02.1	-24:51:59		
752	OTS 1809+31	A	Unknown	18:11:00.0	+31:24:00		
753	MASTER OT J051515.25+223945.7	A	Unknown	5:15:15.2	+22:39:46		
754	USNO-B1.0 1084- 0241525	AE	Unknown	14:57:36.6	+18:25:02		
755	PTF 11agg	A	Unknown	8:22:17.2	+21:37:38		
756	SN 2008S	A	Unknown	20:34:45.3	+60:05:58	7.7 Mpc		530
757	PTF 09dav	A	Unknown	22:46:55.1	+21:37:34		531
758	AT 2018cow	A	Unknown	16:16:00.3	+22:16:05		532
759	Dougie	A	Unknown	12:08:47.9	+43:01:21		533
760	XRT 000519	A	Unknown	12:25:31.6	+13:03:59		534
761	CDF-S XT1	A	Unknown	3:32:38.8	-27:51:34		
762	CXOU J124839.0- 054750	A	Unknown	12:48:39.0	-5:47:50		535
763	M86 tULX-1	A	Unknown	12:26:02.3	+12:59:51		536
764	Swift J195509.6+261406	A	Unknown	19:55:09.6	+26:14:07		
765	IceCube neutrino multiplet	A	Unknown	1:42:48.0	+39:36:00		537
766	KIC 2856960	A	Stellar group	19:29:31.5	+38:04:36	800.4 pc	-10.6	-10.4	...		
767	AAE-061228	A	Unknown	18:48:34.0	+20:19:50		538
768	AAE-141220	A	Unknown	3:23:08.0	+38:39:18		539
769	AAC-150108	A	Unknown	11:25:48.0	+16:18:00		540
770	IRAS 16406-1406	E	Star	16:43:27.3	-14:12:00		
771	IRAS 20331+4024	E	Star	20:34:55.7	+40:35:06		
772	IRAS 20369+5131	E	Star	20:38:26.0	+51:41:41		
773	IRAS 04287+6444	E	Stellar group	4:33:28.0	+64:50:53		
774	UW CMi	E	Star	7:45:16.1	+1:10:56	961.5 pc	-3.0	-2.0	...		
775	WISE J224436.12+372533.6	E	Galaxy	22:44:36.1	+37:25:34		
776	UGC 3097	E	Galaxy	4:35:48.5	+2:15:30	28.0'' × 17.3''		541
777	NGC 814	E	Galaxy	2:10:37.6	-15:46:25	21.0 Mpc	42.4'' × 17.0''		
778	ESO 400-28	E	Galaxy	20:28:25.3	-33:04:29	40.0'' × 35.2''		

Table E2 continued

Table E2 (continued)

ID	Name	Samples	Phyla	RA	Dec	D_L^{eff}	μ_α	μ_δ	Θ	I17?	Refs
779	MCG+02-60-017	E	Galaxy	23:47:09.2	+15:35:48	$35.6'' \times 17.1''$		
780	TYC 6111-1162-1	E	Star	12:50:54.4	-16:52:05	174.0 pc	-76.0	-7.8	...		542
781	UGC 5394	E	Galaxy	10:01:47.9	+36:29:55	39.6 Mpc	$1.1' \times 16.8''$		
782	NGC 4502	E	Galaxy	12:32:03.4	+16:41:16	33.7 Mpc	$36.4'' \times 21.1''$		543
783	NGC 4698	E	Galaxy	12:48:22.9	+8:29:15	25.5 Mpc	$3.1' \times 2.1'$		544
784	IC 3877	E	Galaxy	12:54:48.7	+19:10:42	27.9 Mpc	$41.8'' \times 12.5''$		
785	AGC 470027	E	Galaxy	7:03:26.8	-48:59:43	281.8 Mpc	$18.2'' \times 7.6''$		
786	HR 6171	E	Star	16:36:21.4	-2:19:29	9.9 pc	456.4	-309.3	...	✓	
787	GJ 1019	E	Star	0:43:35.6	+28:26:41	20.9 pc	-127.1	-1064.1	...		545
788	GJ 299	E	Star	8:11:57.6	+8:46:23	6.9 pc	1078.9	-5096.2	...		546
789	HD 220077	E	Star	23:20:52.9	+16:42:39	77.7 pc	33.7	-76.2	...		547
790	HIP 107359	E	Star	21:44:41.9	-16:31:37	170.3 pc	-59.2	-31.6	...		548
791	TYC 3010-1024-1	E	Star	11:04:19.8	+40:10:42	164.6 pc	-55.2	-10.7	...		
792	Wow! Signal (A)	E	Unknown	19:25:28.0	-26:56:50		549
793	Wow! Signal (B)	E	Unknown	19:28:17.0	-26:56:50		550
794	5.13h +2.1	E	Unknown	5:07:48.0	+2:06		551
795	08.00h -08.50	E	Unknown	8:01	-8:32		552
796	03.10h +58.0	E	Unknown	3:07	+58:02		553
797	11.03.91	E	Unknown	16:39:16.0	+30:31:04		554
798	WISE 0735-5946	E	Unknown	7:35:04.8	-59:46:12		
799	IRAS 16329+8252	E	Unknown	16:27:22.5	+82:45:46		
800	CSL-1	C	Galaxy association	12:23:30.5	-12:38:57		555
801	GRB 090709A	C	Collapsed star	19:19:46.7	+60:43:41		
802	GW100916 (A)	C	Not real	7:23:55.0	-27:31:48		556
803	GW100916 (B)	C	Not real	7:19:26.0	-27:34:12		557
804	HD 117043	C	Star	13:25:59.9	+63:15:41	20.9 pc	-392.5	220.9	...	✓	
805	Hertzsprung's Object	C	Not real	4:11:43.0	+59:53:57	✓	
806	HIP 114176	C	Not real	4:11:43.0	+59:53:57		
807	KIC 5520878	C	Star	19:10:23.6	+40:46:05	4.5 kpc	-5.2	-3.4	...		
808	KIC 9832227	C	Stellar group	19:29:16.0	+46:37:20	595.1 pc	-9.8	-5.8	...		
809	KOI 6705.01	C	Star	18:56:57.6	+41:49:09	85.8 pc	-148.9	-128.0	...		558
810	Perseus Flasher	C	Not real	3:13:39.0	+32:14:37		
811	PSR B1829-10 b	C	Collapsed star	18:32:40.9	-10:21:33	4.7 kpc		
812	OT 060420	C	Not real	13:40	-11:40		559
813	SSSPM J1549-3544	C	Star	15:48:40.2	-35:44:26	95.9 pc	-597.8	-535.9	...		560
814	Swift Trigger 954840	C	Not real	10:54:30.0	-49:34:52		561
815	TU Leo	C	Star	9:29:50.6	+21:22:52	841.9 pc	-23.2	-13.5	...		
816	VLA J172059.9+385226.6	C	Not real	17:20:59.9	+38:52:26		

Table E2 continued

Table E2 (*continued*)

ID	Name	Samples	Phyla	RA	Dec	D_L^{eff}	μ_α	μ_δ	Θ	I17?	Refs
----	------	---------	-------	----	-----	--------------------	--------------	--------------	----------	------	------

NOTE—**Samples** – All samples a target is in. P: Prototype, S: Superlative, A: non-SETI Anomaly, E: SETI Anomaly, C: Control.

D_L^{eff} – effective luminosity distance of target, after taking into account lensing magnification.

μ_α, μ_δ – Proper motion in RA and declination, respectively, in milliarcseconds per year.

Θ – Angular size of the target.

I17? – \checkmark : source is part of I17 sample; $+$: source is not part of I17 sample but has been observed in Breakthrough Listen campaign.

Refs – see full online appendices (<http://seti.berkeley.edu/exotica/> or [doi:10.5281/zenodo.4726253](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4726253)).

References—This table – (62) Harris (2010); (66) Persson et al. (2018); (67) Pillitteri et al. (2019); (70) Luhman (2014); (75) Lazorenko & Sahlmann (2018); (77) Lazorenko & Sahlmann (2018); (113) Benedict et al. (2011); (119) Engels et al. (2015); (121) Hinkle & Joyce (2014); (135) Scowcroft et al. (2016); (143) Zhang et al. (2012a); (152) Shull & Danforth (2019); (176) Sparks et al. (2008); (198) Hachisu & Kato (2016); (211) Serenelli et al. (2019); (212) Giacani et al. (2000); (213) Faherty et al. (2007); (214) Camilo et al. (2006); (215) Bochenek et al. (2020); (216) Briskin et al. (2003); (217) Anand et al. (2018a); (218) Selvelli et al. (2007); (219) Galloway & Cumming (2006); (220) Miller-Jones et al. (2009); (221) Casares et al. (2014); (222) Marshall et al. (2013); (223) Dalcanton et al. (2009); (224) Beaton et al. (2019); (225) Dalcanton et al. (2009); (226) Huang et al. (2012); (227) Swihart et al. (2018); (231) Bailes et al. (2011); (235) Deller et al. (2009); (239) Harris (2010); (241) Harris (2010); (243) Harris (2010); (244) McConnachie et al. (2005); (246) Harris (2010); (247) Gravity Collaboration et al. (2019b); (249) Harris (2010); (250) Gregorio-Hetem et al. (2009); (251) McConnachie et al. (2005); (252) Blanco et al. (1975); Fassett & Graham (2000); Tully et al. (2015); (253) Liszt et al. (2009); (254) Benisty et al. (2013); (255) Großschedl et al. (2018); (256) Carey et al. (1998); Lin et al. (2017); (257) Pratap et al. (1997); Torres et al. (2009); (258) de Geus et al. (1989); (259) Kim et al. (2008); (260) van Dyk et al. (1998); (261) Hachisuka et al. (2006); (262) Fukui et al. (2014); (265) Raga et al. (2011); (266) Chen et al. (2010); Hung et al. (2019); (267) Shull & Danforth (2019); (268) Verbiest et al. (2012); (269) Ranasinghe & Leahy (2018); (270) Pietrzyński et al. (2019); (271) Granot et al. (2017); (272) Huang et al. (2012); (273) Faherty et al. (2007); Abeysekara et al. (2017b); (274) Pietrzyński et al. (2019); (275) Sell et al. (2015); (276) Pietrzyński et al. (2019); (277) Marshall et al. (2013); (278) Ackermann et al. (2011); (279) Braun & Burton (1999); (285) McConnachie et al. (2005); (288) McConnachie et al. (2005); (291) Newman et al. (2018); (292) Newman et al. (2018); (302) Beaton et al. (2019); (306) Ogle et al. (2016); (315) Dunham et al. (2019); (317) Yuan et al. (2011); (319) Girard et al. (2018); (321) Marques-Chaves et al. (2018); (323) Dalcanton et al. (2009); (331) Vanzella et al. (2016); (333) Berg et al. (2018); (335) Seitz et al. (1998); Baker et al. (2004); (337) Swinbank et al. (2011); (341) Mei et al. (2007); Beasley et al. (2016); (343) Anand et al. (2018b); (354) Tully et al. (2015); (355) Venturini & Solomon (2003); (356) Keel et al. (2012); (357) Steidel et al. (1998); (358) Thilker et al. (2009); (359) Hoffman et al. (2017); (360) Hoffman et al. (2017); (362) Luhman et al. (2005); (392) Pietrzyński et al. (2019); (397) Zhang et al. (2012b); (404) Koposov et al. (2020); (406) Hachisu & Kato (2018); (408) Deller et al. (2013); (410) Werner & Rauch (2015); (413) Cromartie et al. (2020); (414) Pietrzyński et al. (2019); (415) Verbiest et al. (2012); (416) Rodes-Roca et al. (2013); (417) Pietrzyński et al. (2019); (418) Chatterjee et al. (2005); (419) Harris (2010); (420) Tan et al. (2018); (421) Roelofs et al. (2010); (422) Tully et al. (2013); (424) Best et al. (2017); (426) Spiewak et al. (2018); (428) Burdge et al. (2019); (430) Gravity Collaboration et al. (2019b); (431) Fukui et al. (2014); (434) McConnachie et al. (2005); (435) McConnachie et al. (2005); (437) Tully et al. (2013); (438) Kim et al. (2016); (440) Harris (2010); (441) Harris (2010); (443) Harris (2010); (444) Gravity Collaboration et al. (2019b); (445) Gravity Collaboration et al. (2019b); (446) Pietrzyński et al. (2019); (447) Zhang et al. (2013); (449) Tully et al. (2013); (451) Ma et al. (2016); (453) Torrealba et al. (2019); (455) Oesch et al. (2016); (457) Lam et al. (2019); (459) Anand et al. (2018b); (462) Baan et al. (1992); (464) Ogle et al. (2016); (466) Ogle et al. (2016); (469) Bayliss et al. (2020); (471) Ebeling et al. (2009); (472) Wolf et al. (2018); (473) Lee & Jang (2017); (474) Barvainis & Ivison (2002); (475) Anguita et al. (2009); (478) Harris (2010); (479) Harris (2010); (481) Gravity Collaboration et al. (2019b); (483) Gravity Collaboration et al. (2019b); (484) Gravity Collaboration et al. (2019b); (486) Caldwell et al. (2014); (493) Harris (2010); (497) Fukui et al. (2014); (499) Motta et al. (2018); (508) Jang & Lee (2017); (514) Hillenbrand et al. (2019); (516) Jayasinghe et al. (2019b); (518) Denisenko (2020); (521) Manchester et al. (2005); (525) Filho & Sánchez Almeida (2018); (526) Tendulkar et al. (2017); (527) Norris et al. (2021); (528) Gravity Collaboration et al. (2019b); (529) Varghese et al. (2019); (530) Anand et al. (2018a); (531) Sullivan et al. (2011); (532) Prentice et al. (2018); (533) Vinkó et al. (2015); (534) Tully et al. (2013); (535) Tully et al. (2013); (536) Tully et al. (2013); (537) Icecube Collaboration et al. (2017); (538) Gorham et al. (2018); (539) Gorham et al. (2018); (540) Aartsen et al. (2020); (549) Gray & Marvel (2001); (550) Gray & Marvel (2001); (551) Bowyer et al. (2016); (552) Horowitz & Sagan (1993); (553) Horowitz & Sagan (1993); (554) Colomb et al. (1995); (555) Agol et al. (2006); Sazhin et al. (2006); (556) Evans et al. (2012); (557) Evans et al. (2012); (559) Shamir & Nemiroff (2006); (561) Gropp et al. (2020)

Table E3. Relationships of sidereal *Exotica Catalog* targets

Object	ID	Relationship	Partner	Partner IDs
Within Exotica catalog				
NGC 7293 central star	77	IN	Helix Nebula	365
Proxima b	84	BOUND	α Cen AB	321
Luhman 16B	137	IN	Luhman 16	323
Luhman 16A	138	MUTUAL_ORBIT	Luhman 16B	137
		IN	Luhman 16	323
61 Cyg B	146	MUTUAL_ORBIT	Luhman 16A	138
		MUTUAL_ORBIT	61 Cyg A	147
61 Cyg A	147	MUTUAL_ORBIT	61 Cyg B	146
HD 44179	178	IN	Red Rectangle nebula	364
Capella Ab	181	MUTUAL_ORBIT	Capella Aa	186
Capella Aa	186	MUTUAL_ORBIT	Capella Ab	181
ι Ori AB	192	IN	Orion A	351

Table E3 *continued*

Table E3 (*continued*)

Object	ID	Relationship	Partner	Partner IDs
		ADJACENT	M42	357
EZ CMa	198	IN	S 308	371
η Car	203	IN	Homunculus Nebula	370
M67-S1237	231	IN	M67	335
		ADJACENT	M67-S1063	685
ζ Oph	240	IN	ζ Oph cloud, ζ Oph bow shock	349, 363
Geminga	265	IN	Geminga halo	379
Crab pulsar	266	IN	Crab Nebula	376
Cygnus X-1	273	IN	Cygnus X-1 shell	384
NGC 6946-BH1	275	ADJACENT	SN 2008S	756
R Aqr	281	IN	R Aqr nebula	380
GK Per	287	IN	GK Per shell	381
SS433	309	IN	W50	386
M82 X-1	310	IN	M82	428
		ADJACENT	43.78+59.3, M82 X-2	745, 312
M101 ULX-1	311	IN	M101	414
M82 X-2	312	IN	M82	428
		ADJACENT	43.78+59.3, M82 X-1	745, 310
RZ 2109 ULX	313	IN	Virgo Cluster	506
PSR B1957+20	319	IN	PSR B1957+20 bow shock	378
α Cen AB	321	BOUND	Proxima b	084
Luhman 16	323	GROUP_OF	Luhman 16A, Luhman 16B	138, 137
M67	335	CONTAINS	M67-S1063, M67-S1237	685, 231
NGC 6752	340	CONTAINS	PSR J1911-5958A	665
M31-EC4	341	ADJACENT	(GC) 037-B327, M32, G1, NGC 205	610, 396, 609, 398
Central Cluster	343	CONTAINS	G2, IRS 13E, IRS 16C, S0-2, S4711, Sgr A*	669, 604, 668, 667, 574, 471
Cyg OB2	346	IN	Cygnus Cocoon	387
		ADJACENT	TeV J2032+4130	738
Cen A outer filament	348	IN	Centaurus A	479
ζ Oph cloud	349	CONTAINS	ζ Oph bow shock, ζ Oph	363, 240
Orion A	351	CONTAINS	ι Ori AB, M42, Orion hot core	192, 357, 355
Orion hot core	355	IN	Orion A, M42	351, 357
M42	357	IN	Orion A	351
		ADJACENT	ι Ori AB	192
		CONTAINS	Orion hot core	355
NGC 3603	359	CONTAINS	[SBD2011] 5, HD 97950	686, 606
ζ Oph bow shock	363	IN	ζ Oph bow shock	363
		CONTAINS	ζ Oph	240
Red Rectangle nebula	364	CONTAINS	HD 44179	178
Helix Nebula	365	CONTAINS	NGC 7293 central star	077
Homunculus Nebula	370	CONTAINS	η Car	203
S 308	371	CONTAINS	EZ CMa	198
SN 1987A	375	CONTAINS	NS 1987A	592
		ADJACENT	30 Dor, CAL 83 nebula, Melnick 34, N159F, OGLE LMC-CEP-4506, PSR J0537-6910, R136 a1	619, 382, 600, 385, 702, 586, 566
Crab Nebula	376	CONTAINS	Crab pulsar	266
PSR B1957+20 bow shock	378	CONTAINS	PSR B1957+20	319
Geminga halo	379	CONTAINS	Geminga	265
R Aqr nebula	380	CONTAINS	R Aqr	281
GK Per shell	381	CONTAINS	GK Per	287
CAL 83 nebula	382	ADJACENT	30 Dor, Melnick 34, N159F, NS 1987A, OGLE LMC-CEP-4506, PSR J0537-6910, R136 a1, SN 1987A	619, 600, 385, 592, 702, 586, 566, 375
Cygnus X-1 shell	384	CONTAINS	Cygnus X-1	273

Table E3 *continued*

Table E3 (*continued*)

Object	ID	Relationship	Partner	Partner IDs
N159F	385	ADJACENT	30 Dor, CAL 83 nebula, Melnick 34, NS 1987A, OGLE LMC-CEP-4506, R136 a1, SN 1987A	619, 382, 600, 592, 702, 566, 375
W50	386	CONTAINS	SS433	309
Cygnus Cocoon	387	CONTAINS	Cyg OB2, TeV J2032+4130	346, 738
SSA22a-LAB01	389	IN	SSA22	510
NGC 4636	391	IN	Virgo Cluster	506
M59	392	BOUND	M59-UCD3	626
		IN	Virgo Cluster	506
M32	396	ADJACENT	(GC) 037-B327, M31-EC4, G1, NGC 205	610, 341, 609, 398
NGC 205	398	ADJACENT	(GC) 037-B327, M31-EC4, M32, G1	610, 341, 396, 609
NGC 4431	401	IN	Virgo Cluster	506
NGC 1277	402	CONTAINS	NGC 1277*	689
		IN	Perseus Cluster	508
		ADJACENT	NGC 1265, NGC 1275 minihalo	481, 513
M101	414	CONTAINS	M101 ULX-1	311
M99	419	IN	Virgo Cluster	506
M82	428	CONTAINS	43.78+59.3, M82 X-1, M82 X-2	745, 310, 312
VCC 1287	441	IN	Virgo Cluster	506
HI 1232+20	443	CONTAINS	AGC 229385	634
M51a/b	447	CONTAINS	M51a	453
The Antennae	448	ADJACENT	Antennae TDG	449
Antennae TDG	449	ADJACENT	The Antennae	448
M51a	453	IN	M51a/b	447
M91	456	IN	Virgo Cluster	506
NGC 1365	461	IN	Fornax Cluster	505
UGC 7321	466	IN	Virgo Cluster	506
Sgr A*	471	IN	Central Cluster	343
		HOSTS	G2, IRS 13E, IRS 16C, S0-2, S4711	669, 604, 668, 667, 574
Centaurus A	479	CONTAINS	Cen A outer filament	348
NGC 1265	481	IN	Perseus Cluster	508
		ADJACENT	NGC 1275 minihalo, NGC 1277, NGC 1277*	513, 402, 689
Fornax Cluster	505	CONTAINS	NGC 1365	461
Virgo Cluster	506	CONTAINS	HVGC-1, M59, M59-UCD3, M85-HCC 1, M86 tULX-1, M91, M99, RZ 2109 ULX, UGC 7321, VCC 1287, XRT 000519	672, 392, 626, 611, 763, 456, 419, 313, 466, 441, 760
Coma Cluster	507	CONTAINS	Coma C, 1253+275	512, 514
Perseus Cluster	508	CONTAINS	NGC 1265, NGC 1275 minihalo, NGC 1277, NGC 1277*	481, 513, 402, 689
Bullet Cluster	509	LENSES	J 06587-5558	692
SSA22	510	CONTAINS	SSA22a-LAB01	389
Coma C	512	IN	Coma Cluster	507
		ADJACENT	1253+275	514
NGC 1275 minihalo	513	IN	Perseus Cluster	508
1253+275	514	IN	Coma Cluster	507
		ADJACENT	Coma C	512
R136 a1	566	IN	30 Dor	619
		ADJACENT	CAL 83 nebula, Melnick 34, N159F, NS 1987A, OGLE LMC-CEP-4506, PSR J0537-6910, SN 1987A	382, 600, 385, 592, 702, 586, 375
S4711	574	IN	Central Cluster	343
		ORBITS	Sgr A*	471
		ADJACENT	G2, IRS 13E, IRS 16C, S0-2	669, 604, 668, 667
PSR J0537-6910	586	ADJACENT	30 Dor, CAL 83 nebula, Melnick 34, N159F, NS 1987A, OGLE LMC-CEP-4506, R136 a1, SN 1987A	619, 382, 600, 385, 592, 702, 566, 375
NS 1987A	592	IN	SN 1987A	375

Table E3 *continued*

Table E3 (continued)

Object	ID	Relationship	Partner	Partner IDs
		ADJACENT	30 Dor, CAL 83 nebula, Melnick 34, N159F, OGLE LMC-CEP-4506, PSR J0537-6910, R136 a1	619, 382, 600, 385, 702, 586, 566
Melnick 34	600	IN	30 Dor	619
		ADJACENT	CAL 83 nebula, N159F, NS 1987A, OGLE LMC-CEP-4506, PSR J0537-6910, R136 a1, SN 1987A	382, 385, 592, 702, 586, 566, 375
IRS 13E	604	IN	Central Cluster	343
		ORBITS	Sgr A*	471
		ADJACENT	G2, IRS 16C, S0-2, S4711	669, 668, 667, 574
HD 97950	606	IN	NGC 3603	359
		ADJACENT	[SBD2011] 5	686
G1	609	ADJACENT	(GC) 037-B327, M31-EC4, M32, NGC 205	610, 341, 396, 398
(GC) 037-B327	610	ADJACENT	M31-EC4, M32, G1, NGC 205	341, 396, 609, 398
M85-HCC 1	611	IN	Virgo Cluster	506
Sgr B2	617	CONTAINS	Sgr B2(N) AN01	618
Sgr B2(N) AN01	618	IN	Sgr B2	617
30 Dor	619	CONTAINS	Melnick 34, R136 a1	600, 566
		ADJACENT	CAL 83 nebula, NS 1987A, OGLE LMC-CEP-4506, PSR J0537-6910, SN 1987A	382, 592, 702, 586, 375
M59-UCD3	626	BOUND	M59	392
		IN	Virgo Cluster	506
AGC 229385	634	IN	HI 1232+20	443
SPT-CLJ2344-4243 Arc	641	LENSED_BY	Phoenix Cluster	660
M60-UCD1	648	IN	Virgo Cluster	506
Phoenix Cluster	660	LENSES	SPT-CLJ2344-4243 Arc	641
PSR J1911-5958A	665	IN	NGC 6752	340
S0-2	667	IN	Central Cluster	343
		ORBITS	Sgr A*	471
		ADJACENT	G2, IRS 13E, IRS 16C, S4711	669, 604, 668, 574
IRS 16C	668	IN	Central Cluster	343
		ORBITS	Sgr A*	471
		ADJACENT	G2, IRS 13E, S0-2, S4711	669, 604, 667, 574
G2	669	IN	Central Cluster	343
		ORBITS	Sgr A*	471
		ADJACENT	IRS 13E, IRS 16C, S0-2, S4711	604, 668, 667, 574
HVGC-1	672	IN	Virgo Cluster	506
M67-S1063	685	IN	M67	335
		ADJACENT	M67-S1237	231
[SBD2011] 5	686	IN	NGC 3603	359
		ADJACENT	HD 97950	606
NGC 1277*	689	IN	NGC 1277, Perseus Cluster	402, 508
		ADJACENT	NGC 1265	481
J 06587-5558	692	LENSED_BY	Bullet Cluster	509
OGLE LMC-CEP-4506	702	ADJACENT	30 Dor, CAL 83 nebula, Melnick 34, N159F, NS 1987A, PSR J0537-6910, R136 a1, SN 1987A	619, 382, 600, 385, 592, 586, 566, 375
TeV J2032+4130	738	IN	Cygnus Cocoon	387
		ADJACENT	Cyg OB2	346
43.78+59.3	745	IN	M82	428
		ADJACENT	M82 X-1, M82 X-2	310, 312
SN 2008S	756	ADJACENT	NGC 6946-BH1	275
XRT 000519	760	IN	Virgo Cluster	506
		ADJACENT	M86 tULX-1	763
M86 tULX-1	763	IN	Virgo Cluster	506
		ADJACENT	XRT 000519	760
NGC 4502	782	IN	Virgo Cluster	506
NGC 4698	783	IN	Virgo Cluster	506

Table E3 continued

Table E3 (*continued*)

Object	ID	Relationship	Partner	Partner IDs
Wow! Signal (A)	792	ALTERNATE_POSITION	Wow! Signal (B)	793
Wow! Signal (B)	793	ALTERNATE_POSITION	Wow! Signal (A)	792
GW100916 (A)	802	ALTERNATE_POSITION	GW100916 (B)	803
GW100916 (B)	803	ALTERNATE_POSITION	GW100916 (A)	802
With I17 targets				
ε Ind Bb	136	BOUND	GJ845A	...
MSX SMC 055	191	IN	SMC	...
UV Cet	225	MUTUAL_ORBIT	GJ 65A	...
Sirius B	246	MUTUAL_ORBIT	Sirius A	...
NGC 6946-BH1	275	IN	NGC 6946	...
RZ 2109 ULX	313	IN	M49	...
YY Gem	329	BOUND	Castor AB	...
M31-EC4	341	BOUND	M31	...
NGC 604	347	IN	M33	...
Sextans A hole	356	IN	Sextans A	...
SN 1987A	375	IN	LMC	...
CAL 83 nebula	382	IN	LMC	...
N159F	385	IN	LMC	...
M32	396	BOUND	M31	...
NGC 205	398	BOUND	M31	...
M51a/b	447	CONTAINS	NGC 5195	...
M51a	453	ADJACENT	NGC 5195	...
Virgo Cluster	506	CONTAINS	NGC 4489, NGC 4486B, M87, M49, NGC 4478, M86, NGC 4473, NGC 4660, M60, M87, M84, NGC 4564, NGC 4551, NGC 4387, NGC 4239, NGC 4458	...
R136 a1	566	IN	LMC	...
PSR J0537-6910	586	IN	LMC	...
NS 1987A	592	IN	LMC	...
Melnick 34	600	IN	LMC	...
G1	609	BOUND	M31	...
(GC) 037-B327	610	BOUND	M31	...
30 Dor	619	IN	LMC	...
M60-UCD1	648	BOUND	M60	...
OGLE LMC-CEP-4506	702	IN	LMC	...
SN 2008S	756	IN	NGC 6946	...
XRT 000519	760	IN	M86	...
M86 tULX-1	763	IN	M86	...

NOTE—**Relationships** – ADJACENT: object’s sky location is projected within same parent object as partner.

ALTERNATE_POSITION: additional possible sky location for source if poorly localized.

BOUND: gravitationally bound with, orbital motion of either partner too slow to detect or period > 10,000 yr.

CONTAINS: partner’s sky location is projected within boundaries of object.

GROUP_OF: object consists entirely of collection of listed objects.

HOSTS: partner orbits object, object’s reflex motion too small to detect.

IN: object’s sky location is within bounds of other object.

LENSES: object is gravitational lens of partner, implying the partner appears projected within the object.

LENSED_BY: object is gravitationally lensed by partner, implying the object appears projected within its partner.

MUTUAL_ORBIT: object and partner bound, both with detectable orbital motion.

ORBITS: object orbits partner, partner’s reflex motion too small to detect.

REFERENCES

- Aartsen, M. G., Ackermann, M., Adams, J., et al. 2014, *PhRvL*, 113, 101101, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.101101
- . 2017, *Astroparticle Physics*, 92, 30, doi: 10.1016/j.astropartphys.2017.05.002
- . 2020, *ApJ*, 892, 53, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ab791d

- . 2021, JCAP, 2021, 025,
doi: [10.1088/1475-7516/2021/02/025](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2021/02/025)
- Abbot, D. S., & Switzer, E. R. 2011, ApJL, 735, L27,
doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/735/2/L27](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/735/2/L27)
- Abbott, B. P., Abbott, R., Abbott, T. D., et al. 2016,
PhRvL, 116, 061102,
doi: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102)
- Abbott, B. P., et al. 2017, ApJL, 848, L13,
doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aa920c](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa920c)
- . 2019a, ApJ, 875, 161, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab0e8f](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab0e8f)
- . 2019b, Physical Review X, 9, 031040,
doi: [10.1103/PhysRevX.9.031040](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.9.031040)
- Abbott, R., Abbott, T. D., Abraham, S., et al. 2020, ApJL, 896, L44, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/ab960f](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab960f)
- Abdalla, H., Adam, R., Aharonian, F., et al. 2019, Nature, 575, 464, doi: [10.1038/s41586-019-1743-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1743-9)
- Abdo, A. A., Allen, B., Aune, T., et al. 2008, PhRvL, 101, 221101, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.221101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.221101)
- Abdo, A. A., Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., et al. 2009,
Science, 325, 840, doi: [10.1126/science.1175558](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1175558)
- . 2010a, ApJL, 709, L152,
doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/709/2/L152](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/709/2/L152)
- . 2010b, Science, 329, 817, doi: [10.1126/science.1192537](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1192537)
- . 2011a, ApJ, 734, 116,
doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/734/2/116](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/734/2/116)
- . 2011b, Science, 331, 739, doi: [10.1126/science.1199705](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1199705)
- Abell, G. O., Corwin, Harold G., J., & Olowin, R. P. 1989,
ApJS, 70, 1, doi: [10.1086/191333](https://doi.org/10.1086/191333)
- Abeysekara, A. U., Archambault, S., Archer, A., et al.
2016, ApJL, 818, L33, doi: [10.3847/2041-8205/818/2/L33](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8205/818/2/L33)
- Abeysekara, A. U., Alfaro, R., Alvarez, C., et al. 2017a,
ApJ, 843, 116, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa789f](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa789f)
- Abeysekara, A. U., Albert, A., Alfaro, R., et al. 2017b,
Science, 358, 911, doi: [10.1126/science.aan4880](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan4880)
- Abolmasov, P. 2011, NewA, 16, 138,
doi: [10.1016/j.newast.2010.07.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.newast.2010.07.003)
- Abramov, O., & Mojszis, S. J. 2011, Icarus, 213, 273,
doi: [10.1016/j.icarus.2011.03.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2011.03.003)
- Acciari, V. A., Beilicke, M., Blaylock, G., et al. 2008, ApJ, 679, 397, doi: [10.1086/587458](https://doi.org/10.1086/587458)
- Acciari, V. A., Aliu, E., Arlen, T., et al. 2009, ApJL, 706,
L275, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/706/2/L275](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/706/2/L275)
- Acero, F., Aharonian, F., Akhperjanian, A. G., et al. 2009,
Science, 326, 1080, doi: [10.1126/science.1178826](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1178826)
- Acero, F., Donato, D., Ojha, R., et al. 2013, ApJ, 779, 133,
doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/779/2/133](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/779/2/133)
- Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Allafort, A., et al. 2011,
Science, 334, 1103, doi: [10.1126/science.1210311](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1210311)
- Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Albert, A., et al. 2014, Science, 345, 554, doi: [10.1126/science.1253947](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1253947)
- . 2016, ApJL, 823, L2, doi: [10.3847/2041-8205/823/1/L2](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8205/823/1/L2)
- . 2017, ApJ, 840, 43, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa6cab](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa6cab)
- Adam, T., Agafonova, N., Aleksandrov, A., et al. 2012,
Journal of High Energy Physics, 2012, 93,
doi: [10.1007/JHEP10\(2012\)093](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2012)093)
- Adams, F. C., & Laughlin, G. 1997, Reviews of Modern
Physics, 69, 337, doi: [10.1103/RevModPhys.69.337](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.69.337)
- Adams, S. M., Kochanek, C. S., Gerke, J. R., Stanek, K. Z.,
& Dai, X. 2017, MNRAS, 468, 4968,
doi: [10.1093/mnras/stx816](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx816)
- Adrián-Martínez, S., et al. 2016, PhRvD, 93, 122010,
doi: [10.1103/PhysRevD.93.122010](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.122010)
- Adriani, O., Barbarino, G. C., Bazilevskaia, G. A., et al.
2009, Nature, 458, 607, doi: [10.1038/nature07942](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07942)
- Agol, E., Hogan, C. J., & Plotkin, R. M. 2006, PhRvD, 73,
087302, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevD.73.087302](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.087302)
- Aguilera-Gómez, C., Ramírez, I., & Chanamé, J. 2018,
A&A, 614, A55, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201732209](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201732209)
- Aharonian, F., Akhperjanian, A., Beilicke, M., et al. 2002,
A&A, 393, L37, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20021171](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20021171)
- Aharonian, F., Akhperjanian, A. G., Barres de Almeida,
U., et al. 2008, A&A, 477, 353,
doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20078516](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20078516)
- Ahmad, A., Behara, N. T., Jeffery, C. S., Sahin, T., &
Woolf, V. M. 2007, A&A, 465, 541,
doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20066360](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20066360)
- Ahmad, A., Jeffery, C. S., & Fullerton, A. W. 2004, A&A,
418, 275, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20035917](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20035917)
- Ahn, C. P., Alexandroff, R., Allende Prieto, C., et al. 2012,
ApJS, 203, 21, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/203/2/21](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/203/2/21)
- Airy, G. B. 1846, MNRAS, 7, 121,
doi: [10.1093/mnras/7.9.121](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/7.9.121)
- Alam, S., Albareti, F. D., Allende Prieto, C., et al. 2015,
ApJS, 219, 12, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/219/1/12](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/219/1/12)
- Aliu, E., Aune, T., Behera, B., et al. 2014, ApJ, 783, 16,
doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/783/1/16](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/783/1/16)
- Allen, D. A. 1980, MNRAS, 192, 521,
doi: [10.1093/mnras/192.3.521](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/192.3.521)
- Allende Prieto, C., & del Burgo, C. 2016, MNRAS, 455,
3864, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stv2518](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv2518)
- Alpar, M. A., Cheng, A. F., Ruderman, M. A., & Shaham,
J. 1982, Nature, 300, 728, doi: [10.1038/300728a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/300728a0)
- Anand, G. S., Rizzi, L., & Tully, R. B. 2018a, AJ, 156, 105,
doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aad3b2](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aad3b2)
- Anand, G. S., Tully, R. B., Karachentsev, I. D., et al.
2018b, ApJL, 861, L6, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aacc2b](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aacc2b)
- Anantharamaiah, K. R., Pedlar, A., Ekers, R. D., & Goss,
W. M. 1991, MNRAS, 249, 262,
doi: [10.1093/mnras/249.2.262](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/249.2.262)

- Andersson, N., Antonopoulou, D., Espinoza, C. M., Haskell, B., & Ho, W. C. G. 2018, *ApJ*, 864, 137, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aad6eb](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aad6eb)
- Andreasen, D. T., Sousa, S. G., Tsantaki, M., et al. 2017, *A&A*, 600, A69, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201629967](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201629967)
- Andrews, A. D. 1964, *Irish Astronomical Journal*, 6, 212
- . 1996, *Irish Astronomical Journal*, 23, 189
- Andrews, J. J., Agüeros, M., Brown, W. R., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 828, 38, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/828/1/38](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/828/1/38)
- Angerhausen, D., DeLarme, E., & Morse, J. A. 2015, *PASP*, 127, 1113, doi: [10.1086/683797](https://doi.org/10.1086/683797)
- Anglada-Escude, G., Arriagada, P., Tuomi, M., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, 443, L89, doi: [10.1093/mnrasl/slu076](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnrasl/slu076)
- Anglada-Escudé, G., Amado, P. J., Barnes, J., et al. 2016, *Nature*, 536, 437, doi: [10.1038/nature19106](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19106)
- Anguita, T., Faure, C., Kneib, J. P., et al. 2009, *A&A*, 507, 35, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/200912091](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200912091)
- Ann, H. B., Seo, M., & Ha, D. K. 2015, *ApJS*, 217, 27, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/217/2/27](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/217/2/27)
- Annibali, F., Cignoni, M., Tosi, M., et al. 2013, *AJ*, 146, 144, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/146/6/144](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/146/6/144)
- Annis, J. 1999a, *Journal of the British Interplanetary Society*, 52, 19. <https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9901322>
- . 1999b, *Journal of the British Interplanetary Society*, 52, 33
- Ansdell, M., Gaidos, E., Rappaport, S. A., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 816, 69, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/816/2/69](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/816/2/69)
- Ansdell, M., Gaidos, E., Hedges, C., et al. 2020, *MNRAS*, 492, 572, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz3361](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz3361)
- Aoki, T., Tanaka, T., Niinuma, K., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 781, 10, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/781/1/10](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/781/1/10)
- Appleton, P. N., & Marston, A. P. 1997, *AJ*, 113, 201, doi: [10.1086/118245](https://doi.org/10.1086/118245)
- Arcavi, I., Wolf, W. M., Howell, D. A., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 819, 35, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/819/1/35](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/819/1/35)
- Archambault, S., Beilicke, M., Benbow, W., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 779, 150, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/779/2/150](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/779/2/150)
- Armstrong, D. J., Lopez, T. A., Adibekyan, V., et al. 2020, *Nature*, 583, 39, doi: [10.1038/s41586-020-2421-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2421-7)
- Arnett, W. D., Bahcall, J. N., Kirshner, R. P., & Woosley, S. E. 1989, *ARA&A*, 27, 629, doi: [10.1146/annurev.aa.27.090189.003213](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.aa.27.090189.003213)
- Arp, H. 1966, *ApJS*, 14, 1, doi: [10.1086/190147](https://doi.org/10.1086/190147)
- Atwood, W. B., Abdo, A. A., Ackermann, M., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, 697, 1071, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/697/2/1071](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/697/2/1071)
- Aufdenberg, J. P., Hauschildt, P. H., Baron, E., et al. 2002, *ApJ*, 570, 344, doi: [10.1086/339740](https://doi.org/10.1086/339740)
- Aurière, M., Konstantinova-Antova, R., Espagnet, O., et al. 2014, in *IAU Symposium*, Vol. 302, *Magnetic Fields throughout Stellar Evolution*, ed. P. Petit, M. Jardine, & H. C. Spruit, 359–362, doi: [10.1017/S1743921314002476](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1743921314002476)
- Bañados, E., Venemans, B. P., Mazzucchelli, C., et al. 2018, *Nature*, 553, 473, doi: [10.1038/nature25180](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25180)
- Baan, W. A., Rhoads, J., Fisher, K., Altschuler, D. R., & Haschick, A. 1992, *ApJL*, 396, L99, doi: [10.1086/186526](https://doi.org/10.1086/186526)
- Backer, D. C., Kulkarni, S. R., Heiles, C., Davis, M. M., & Goss, W. M. 1982, *Nature*, 300, 615, doi: [10.1038/300615a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/300615a0)
- Bade, N., Komossa, S., & Dahlem, M. 1996, *A&A*, 309, L35
- Bahcall, N. A. 1977, *ARA&A*, 15, 505, doi: [10.1146/annurev.aa.15.090177.002445](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.aa.15.090177.002445)
- Bai, Y., Zou, H., Liu, J., & Wang, S. 2015, *ApJS*, 220, 6, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/220/1/6](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/220/1/6)
- Bailer-Jones, C. A. L. 2011, *MNRAS*, 411, 435, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17699.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17699.x)
- Bailes, M., Lyne, A. G., & Shemar, S. L. 1991, *Nature*, 352, 311, doi: [10.1038/352311a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/352311a0)
- Bailes, M., Bates, S. D., Bhalariao, V., et al. 2011, *Science*, 333, 1717, doi: [10.1126/science.1208890](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1208890)
- Baines, E. K., Armstrong, J. T., Schmitt, H. R., et al. 2018, *AJ*, 155, 30, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aa9d8b](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aa9d8b)
- Baines, E. K., Armstrong, J. T., & van Belle, G. T. 2013, *ApJL*, 771, L17, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/771/1/L17](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/771/1/L17)
- Bains, W. 2004, *Astrobiology*, 4, 137, doi: [10.1089/153110704323175124](https://doi.org/10.1089/153110704323175124)
- Baker, A. J., Tacconi, L. J., Genzel, R., Lehnert, M. D., & Lutz, D. 2004, *ApJ*, 604, 125, doi: [10.1086/381798](https://doi.org/10.1086/381798)
- Bakos, G. Á., Kovács, G., Torres, G., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, 670, 826, doi: [10.1086/521866](https://doi.org/10.1086/521866)
- Balanutsa, P., Lipunov, V., Denisenko, D., et al. 2015, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, 6918, 1
- Baldassare, V. F., Reines, A. E., Gallo, E., & Greene, J. E. 2015, *ApJL*, 809, L14, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/809/1/L14](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/809/1/L14)
- Ball, C., Cannon, J. M., Leisman, L., et al. 2018, *AJ*, 155, 65, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aaa156](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aaa156)
- Ballering, N. P., Rieke, G. H., Su, K. Y. L., & Gáspár, A. 2017, *ApJ*, 845, 120, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa8037](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa8037)
- Banerjee, A., & Jog, C. J. 2013, *MNRAS*, 431, 582, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt186](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt186)
- Baran, A. S., Østensen, R. H., Telting, J. H., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 481, 2721, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty2473](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty2473)
- Barbier, D., & Morguleff, N. 1962, *ApJ*, 136, 315, doi: [10.1086/147382](https://doi.org/10.1086/147382)
- Barbuy, B., Zoccali, M., Ortolani, S., et al. 2009, *A&A*, 507, 405, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/200912748](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200912748)
- Barclay, T., Huber, D., Rowe, J. F., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 761, 53, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/761/1/53](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/761/1/53)

- Barclay, T., Rowe, J. F., Lissauer, J. J., et al. 2013, *Nature*, 494, 452, doi: [10.1038/nature11914](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11914)
- Bardelli, S., Zucca, E., Vettolani, G., et al. 1994, *MNRAS*, 267, 665, doi: [10.1093/mnras/267.3.665](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/267.3.665)
- Barmby, P., Perrett, K. M., & Bridges, T. J. 2002, *MNRAS*, 329, 461, doi: [10.1046/j.1365-8711.2002.04993.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2002.04993.x)
- Baron, D., & Poznanski, D. 2017, *MNRAS*, 465, 4530, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw3021](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw3021)
- Baross, J. A., Benner, S. A., Cody, G. D., et al. 2007, *The limits of organic life in planetary systems* (National Academies Press)
- Barth, A. J. 2007, *AJ*, 133, 1085, doi: [10.1086/511180](https://doi.org/10.1086/511180)
- Barucci, M. A., Belskaya, I. N., Fulchignoni, M., & Birlan, M. 2005, *AJ*, 130, 1291, doi: [10.1086/431957](https://doi.org/10.1086/431957)
- Barvainis, R., & Antonucci, R. 2005, *ApJL*, 628, L89, doi: [10.1086/432666](https://doi.org/10.1086/432666)
- Barvainis, R., & Ivison, R. 2002, *ApJ*, 571, 712, doi: [10.1086/340096](https://doi.org/10.1086/340096)
- Basri, G., Marcy, G. W., & Graham, J. R. 1996, *ApJ*, 458, 600, doi: [10.1086/176842](https://doi.org/10.1086/176842)
- Basri, G., & Martín, E. L. 1999, *AJ*, 118, 2460, doi: [10.1086/301079](https://doi.org/10.1086/301079)
- Bassa, C. G., Tendulkar, S. P., Adams, E. A. K., et al. 2017, *ApJL*, 843, L8, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aa7a0c](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa7a0c)
- Bastian, N., Schweizer, F., Goudfrooij, P., Larsen, S. S., & Kissler-Patig, M. 2013, *MNRAS*, 431, 1252, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt253](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt253)
- Batalha, N. M., Rowe, J. F., Bryson, S. T., et al. 2013, *ApJS*, 204, 24, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/204/2/24](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/204/2/24)
- Battinelli, P., & Demers, S. 1999, *AJ*, 117, 1764, doi: [10.1086/300801](https://doi.org/10.1086/300801)
- Bauer, F. E., Treister, E., Schawinski, K., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, 467, 4841, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stx417](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx417)
- Baumgardt, H., & Hilker, M. 2018, *MNRAS*, 478, 1520, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty1057](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1057)
- Baumgardt, H., Makino, J., Hut, P., McMillan, S., & Portegies Zwart, S. 2003, *ApJL*, 589, L25, doi: [10.1086/375802](https://doi.org/10.1086/375802)
- Bayliss, M. B., McDonald, M., Sharon, K., et al. 2020, *Nature Astronomy*, 4, 159, doi: [10.1038/s41550-019-0888-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-019-0888-7)
- Beasley, M. A., Romanowsky, A. J., Pota, V., et al. 2016, *ApJL*, 819, L20, doi: [10.3847/2041-8205/819/2/L20](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8205/819/2/L20)
- Beaton, R. L., Seibert, M., Hatt, D., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, 885, 141, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab4263](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab4263)
- Beck, T. L., & Aspin, C. 2012, *AJ*, 143, 55, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/143/3/55](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/143/3/55)
- Bédard, A., Bergeron, P., & Fontaine, G. 2017, *ApJ*, 848, 11, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa8bb6](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa8bb6)
- Beech, M. 1990, *Earth Moon and Planets*, 49, 177, doi: [10.1007/BF00053979](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00053979)
- Begelman, M. C., Blandford, R. D., & Rees, M. J. 1984, *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 56, 255, doi: [10.1103/RevModPhys.56.255](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.56.255)
- Belczyński, K., Mikołajewska, J., Munari, U., Ivison, R. J., & Friedjung, M. 2000, *A&AS*, 146, 407, doi: [10.1051/aas:2000280](https://doi.org/10.1051/aas:2000280)
- Bell Burnell, S. J. 1977, in *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, Vol. 302, Eighth Texas Symposium on Relativistic Astrophysics, ed. M. D. Papagiannis, 685, doi: [10.1111/j.1749-6632.1977.tb37085.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1977.tb37085.x)
- Bellazzini, M., Ferraro, F. R., Origlia, L., et al. 2002, *AJ*, 124, 3222, doi: [10.1086/344794](https://doi.org/10.1086/344794)
- Belton, M. J. S., Mueller, B. E. A., D'Amario, L. A., et al. 1996, *Icarus*, 120, 185, doi: [10.1006/icar.1996.0044](https://doi.org/10.1006/icar.1996.0044)
- Bender, R., Burstein, D., & Faber, S. M. 1992, *ApJ*, 399, 462, doi: [10.1086/171940](https://doi.org/10.1086/171940)
- Bender, R., Kormendy, J., Cornell, M. E., & Fisher, D. B. 2015, *ApJ*, 807, 56, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/807/1/56](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/807/1/56)
- Benedict, G. F., McArthur, B. E., Feast, M. W., et al. 2011, *AJ*, 142, 187, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/142/6/187](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/142/6/187)
- Benford, G., Benford, J., & Benford, D. 2010, *Astrobiology*, 10, 491, doi: [10.1089/ast.2009.0394](https://doi.org/10.1089/ast.2009.0394)
- Benford, J. 2019, *AJ*, 158, 150, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/ab3e35](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ab3e35)
- Benisty, M., Perraut, K., Mourard, D., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 555, A113, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201219893](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201219893)
- Bennett, P. D., Harper, G. M., Brown, A., & Hummel, C. A. 1996, *ApJ*, 471, 454, doi: [10.1086/177981](https://doi.org/10.1086/177981)
- Bensby, T., Feltzing, S., & Oey, M. S. 2014, *A&A*, 562, A71, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201322631](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201322631)
- Berg, D. A., Erb, D. K., Auger, M. W., Pettini, M., & Brammer, G. B. 2018, *ApJ*, 859, 164, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aab7fa](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aab7fa)
- Berger, E. 2014, *ARA&A*, 52, 43, doi: [10.1146/annurev-astro-081913-035926](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081913-035926)
- Bergeron, P., Wesemael, F., Liebert, J., & Fontaine, G. 1989, *ApJL*, 345, L91, doi: [10.1086/185560](https://doi.org/10.1086/185560)
- Bernkopf, J., Chini, R., Buda, L. S., et al. 2012, *MNRAS*, 425, 1308, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21534.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21534.x)
- Bersten, M. C., Folatelli, G., García, F., et al. 2018, *Nature*, 554, 497, doi: [10.1038/nature25151](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25151)
- Bertola, F., Gregg, M. D., Gunn, J. E., & Oemler, A., J. 1986, *ApJ*, 303, 624, doi: [10.1086/164111](https://doi.org/10.1086/164111)
- Best, W. M. J., Liu, M. C., Dupuy, T. J., & Magnier, E. A. 2017, *ApJL*, 843, L4, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aa76df](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa76df)
- Bhardwaj, A., Elsner, R. F., Randall Gladstone, G., et al. 2007, *Planet. Space Sci.*, 55, 1135, doi: [10.1016/j.pss.2006.11.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2006.11.009)

- Bhattacharya, S., Mishra, I., Vaidya, K., & Chen, W. P. 2017, *ApJ*, 847, 138, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa89e2](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa89e2)
- Bialy, S., & Loeb, A. 2018, *ApJL*, 868, L1, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aaeda8](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aaeda8)
- Bidelman, W. P. 2005, *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 336, Tc and Other Unstable Elements in Przybylski's Star, ed. I. Barnes, Thomas G. & F. N. Bash, 309
- Bischoff-Kim, A., Provencal, J. L., Bradley, P. A., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, 871, 13, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aae2b1](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aae2b1)
- Blair, D. G., Norris, R. P., Troup, E. R., et al. 1992, *MNRAS*, 257, 105, doi: [10.1093/mnras/257.1.105](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/257.1.105)
- Blanchette, J. P., Chayer, P., Wesemael, F., et al. 2008, *ApJ*, 678, 1329, doi: [10.1086/533580](https://doi.org/10.1086/533580)
- Blanco, V. M., Graham, J. A., Lasker, B. M., & Osmer, P. S. 1975, *ApJL*, 198, L63, doi: [10.1086/181812](https://doi.org/10.1086/181812)
- Blanton, M. R., & Roweis, S. 2007, *AJ*, 133, 734, doi: [10.1086/510127](https://doi.org/10.1086/510127)
- Blomme, R., Mahy, L., Catala, C., et al. 2011, *A&A*, 533, A4, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201116949](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201116949)
- Bloom, J. S., Giannios, D., Metzger, B. D., et al. 2011, *Science*, 333, 203, doi: [10.1126/science.1207150](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1207150)
- Bochenek, C. D., Ravi, V., Belov, K. V., et al. 2020, *Nature*, 587, 59, doi: [10.1038/s41586-020-2872-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2872-x)
- Bodensteiner, J., Shenar, T., Mahy, L., et al. 2020, *A&A*, 641, A43, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202038682](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202038682)
- Bodman, E. H. L., Quillen, A. C., Ansdell, M., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, 470, 202, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stx1034](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx1034)
- Boggs, S. E., Zoglauer, A., Bellm, E., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, 661, 458, doi: [10.1086/516732](https://doi.org/10.1086/516732)
- Bohigas, J. 2017, *MNRAS*, 466, 1412, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw3187](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw3187)
- Bohlin, R. C., Colina, L., & Finley, D. S. 1995, *AJ*, 110, 1316, doi: [10.1086/117606](https://doi.org/10.1086/117606)
- Bohlin, R. C., & Koester, D. 2008, *AJ*, 135, 1092, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/135/3/1092](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/135/3/1092)
- Boissier, S., Boselli, A., Ferrarese, L., et al. 2016, *A&A*, 593, A126, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201629226](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201629226)
- Bonafede, A., Feretti, L., Giovannini, G., et al. 2009, *A&A*, 503, 707, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/200912520](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200912520)
- Bond, H. E., Nelan, E. P., VandenBerg, D. A., Schaefer, G. H., & Harmer, D. 2013, *ApJL*, 765, L12, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/765/1/L12](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/765/1/L12)
- Bonnefoy, M., Boccaletti, A., Lagrange, A. M., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 555, A107, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201220838](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201220838)
- Borovicka, J., & Hudec, R. 1989, *Bulletin of the Astronomical Institutes of Czechoslovakia*, 40, 170
- Borra, E. F. 2013, *ApJ*, 774, 142, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/774/2/142](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/774/2/142)
- Borra, E. F., & Trottier, E. 2016, *PASP*, 128, 114201, doi: [10.1088/1538-3873/128/969/114201](https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/128/969/114201)
- Borucki, W. J., Koch, D. G., Basri, G., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 728, 117, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/728/2/117](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/728/2/117)
- Borucki, W. J., Koch, D. G., Batalha, N., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 745, 120, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/745/2/120](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/745/2/120)
- Boselli, A., Fossati, M., Gavazzi, G., et al. 2015, *A&A*, 579, A102, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201525712](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201525712)
- Bouchy, F., Udry, S., Mayor, M., et al. 2005, *A&A*, 444, L15, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:200500201](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:200500201)
- Bourrier, V., Dumusque, X., Dorn, C., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 619, A1, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201833154](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833154)
- Bower, G. C., Saul, D., Bloom, J. S., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, 666, 346, doi: [10.1086/519831](https://doi.org/10.1086/519831)
- Bowyer, S., Lampton, M., Korpela, E., et al. 2016, *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:1607.00440, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.00440>
- Boyajian, T., von Braun, K., Feiden, G. A., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, 447, 846, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stu2502](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu2502)
- Boyajian, T. S., McAlister, H. A., van Belle, G., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 746, 101, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/746/1/101](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/746/1/101)
- Boyajian, T. S., LaCourse, D. M., Rappaport, S. A., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 457, 3988, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw218](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw218)
- Boyajian, T. S., Alonso, R., Ammerman, A., et al. 2018, *ApJL*, 853, L8, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aaa405](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aaa405)
- Bragaglia, A., Tosi, M., Andreuzzi, G., & Marconi, G. 2006, *MNRAS*, 368, 1971, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10266.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10266.x)
- Brahm, R., Jordán, A., Bakos, G. Á., et al. 2016, *AJ*, 151, 89, doi: [10.3847/0004-6256/151/4/89](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-6256/151/4/89)
- Brandl, B. R., Snijders, L., den Brok, M., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, 699, 1982, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/699/2/1982](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/699/2/1982)
- Braun, R., & Burton, W. B. 1999, *A&A*, 341, 437, <https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9810433>
- Brin, G. D. 1983, *QJRAS*, 24, 283
- Brinchmann, J., Charlot, S., White, S. D. M., et al. 2004, *MNRAS*, 351, 1151, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.07881.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.07881.x)
- Brinkworth, C. S., Burleigh, M. R., Lawrie, K., Marsh, T. R., & Knigge, C. 2013, *ApJ*, 773, 47, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/773/1/47](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/773/1/47)
- Brisken, W. F., Thorsett, S. E., Golden, A., & Goss, W. M. 2003, *ApJL*, 593, L89, doi: [10.1086/378184](https://doi.org/10.1086/378184)
- Broadhurst, T., Umetsu, K., Medezinski, E., Oguri, M., & Rephaeli, Y. 2008, *ApJL*, 685, L9, doi: [10.1086/592400](https://doi.org/10.1086/592400)
- Brown, M. 2020, <http://web.gps.caltech.edu/~mbrown/dps.html>
- Brown, M. E., & Schaller, E. L. 2007, *Science*, 316, 1585, doi: [10.1126/science.1139415](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1139415)

- Brown, W. R., Beers, T. C., Wilhelm, R., et al. 2008, *AJ*, 135, 564, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/135/2/564](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/135/2/564)
- Brown, W. R., Geller, M. J., Kenyon, S. J., & Kurtz, M. J. 2005, *ApJL*, 622, L33, doi: [10.1086/429378](https://doi.org/10.1086/429378)
- Brunetti, G., & Jones, T. W. 2014, *International Journal of Modern Physics D*, 23, 1430007, doi: [10.1142/S0218271814300079](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218271814300079)
- Brunker, S. W., McQuinn, K. B. W., Salzer, J. J., et al. 2019, *AJ*, 157, 76, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aafb39](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aafb39)
- Brzycki, B., Siemion, A., Croft, S., et al. 2019, *Research Notes of the American Astronomical Society*, 3, 147, doi: [10.3847/2515-5172/ab4bd6](https://doi.org/10.3847/2515-5172/ab4bd6)
- Buie, M. W., Leiva, R., Keller, J. M., et al. 2020, *AJ*, 159, 230, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/ab8630](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ab8630)
- Buldgen, G., Farnir, M., Pezzotti, C., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 630, A126, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201936126](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201936126)
- Burdge, K. B., Coughlin, M. W., Fuller, J., et al. 2019, *Nature*, 571, 528, doi: [10.1038/s41586-019-1403-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1403-0)
- Burgasser, A. J., Geballe, T. R., Leggett, S. K., Kirkpatrick, J. D., & Golimowski, D. A. 2006, *ApJ*, 637, 1067, doi: [10.1086/498563](https://doi.org/10.1086/498563)
- Burgasser, A. J., Vrba, F. J., Lépine, S., et al. 2008, *ApJ*, 672, 1159, doi: [10.1086/523810](https://doi.org/10.1086/523810)
- Burns, J. A. 1986, in *Satellites*, 1–38
- Burns, J. O., Sulkanen, M. E., Gisler, G. R., & Perley, R. A. 1992, *ApJL*, 388, L49, doi: [10.1086/186327](https://doi.org/10.1086/186327)
- Burrows, D. N., Romano, P., Falcone, A., et al. 2005, *Science*, 309, 1833, doi: [10.1126/science.1116168](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1116168)
- Bus, S. J., & Binzel, R. P. 2002, *Icarus*, 158, 146, doi: [10.1006/icar.2002.6856](https://doi.org/10.1006/icar.2002.6856)
- Buta, R. 1984, *Proceedings of the Astronomical Society of Australia*, 5, 472
- Buta, R. J., Sheth, K., Athanassoula, E., et al. 2015, *ApJS*, 217, 32, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/217/2/32](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/217/2/32)
- Butler, R. P. 1998, *ApJ*, 494, 342, doi: [10.1086/305195](https://doi.org/10.1086/305195)
- Buzzoni, A., Bertone, E., Carraro, G., & Buson, L. 2012, *ApJ*, 749, 35, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/749/1/35](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/749/1/35)
- Caballero, J. A. 2018, *Geosciences*, 8, 362, doi: [10.3390/geosciences8100362](https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences8100362)
- Caffau, E., Bonifacio, P., François, P., et al. 2011, *Nature*, 477, 67, doi: [10.1038/nature10377](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10377)
- Caffau, E., Bonifacio, P., Oliva, E., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 622, A68, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201834318](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834318)
- Caldwell, N., Strader, J., Romanowsky, A. J., et al. 2014, *ApJL*, 787, L11, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/787/1/L11](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/787/1/L11)
- Camilo, F., Ransom, S. M., Halpern, J. P., et al. 2006, *Nature*, 442, 892, doi: [10.1038/nature04986](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04986)
- Campante, T. L., Barclay, T., Swift, J. J., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 799, 170, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/799/2/170](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/799/2/170)
- Cappellari, M. 2013, *ApJL*, 778, L2, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/778/1/L2](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/778/1/L2)
- Caraveo, P. A. 2014, *ARA&A*, 52, 211, doi: [10.1146/annurev-astro-081913-035948](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081913-035948)
- Carey, S. J., Clark, F. O., Egan, M. P., et al. 1998, *ApJ*, 508, 721, doi: [10.1086/306438](https://doi.org/10.1086/306438)
- Carrigan, Jr., R. A. 2009, *ApJ*, 698, 2075, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/698/2/2075](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/698/2/2075)
- Casares, J., Negueruela, I., Ribó, M., et al. 2014, *Nature*, 505, 378, doi: [10.1038/nature12916](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12916)
- Castelletti, G., Dubner, G., Brogan, C., & Kassim, N. E. 2007, *A&A*, 471, 537, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20077062](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20077062)
- Castro-Tirado, A. J., de Ugarte Postigo, A., Gorosabel, J., et al. 2008, *Nature*, 455, 506, doi: [10.1038/nature07328](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07328)
- Cava, A., Schaerer, D., Richard, J., et al. 2018, *Nature Astronomy*, 2, 76, doi: [10.1038/s41550-017-0295-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-017-0295-x)
- Cayrel de Strobel, G., Soubiran, C., & Ralite, N. 2001, *A&A*, 373, 159, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20010525](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20010525)
- Cazaux, S., Tielens, A. G. G. M., Ceccarelli, C., et al. 2003, *ApJL*, 593, L51, doi: [10.1086/378038](https://doi.org/10.1086/378038)
- Ceccarelli, C., Castets, A., Caux, E., et al. 2000, *A&A*, 355, 1129
- Cenadelli, D., & Bernagozzi, A. 2015, *European Physical Journal H*, 40, doi: [10.1140/epjh/e2015-60041-5](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjh/e2015-60041-5)
- Cenko, S. B., Butler, N. R., Ofek, E. O., et al. 2010, *AJ*, 140, 224, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/140/1/224](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/140/1/224)
- Cenko, S. B., Kulkarni, S. R., Horesh, A., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 769, 130, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/769/2/130](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/769/2/130)
- Chambers, K. C., Magnier, E. A., Metcalfe, N., et al. 2016, *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:1612.05560. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.05560>
- Chan, B. M. Y., Broadhurst, T., Lim, J., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 835, 44, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/835/1/44](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/835/1/44)
- Chang, J., Adams, J. H., Ahn, H. S., et al. 2008, *Nature*, 456, 362, doi: [10.1038/nature07477](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07477)
- Chapman, C. R., Veverka, J., Thomas, P. C., et al. 1995, *Nature*, 374, 783, doi: [10.1038/374783a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/374783a0)
- Charbonneau, D., Berta, Z. K., Irwin, J., et al. 2009, *Nature*, 462, 891, doi: [10.1038/nature08679](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08679)
- Chardin, G., & Gerbier, G. 1989, *A&A*, 210, 52
- Chatterjee, S., Vlemmings, W. H. T., Briskin, W. F., et al. 2005, *ApJL*, 630, L61, doi: [10.1086/491701](https://doi.org/10.1086/491701)
- Chatterjee, S., Law, C. J., Wharton, R. S., et al. 2017, *Nature*, 541, 58, doi: [10.1038/nature20797](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20797)
- Chen, X., Shen, Z.-Q., Li, J.-J., Xu, Y., & He, J.-H. 2010, *ApJ*, 710, 150, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/710/1/150](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/710/1/150)
- Chennamangalam, J., Siemion, A. P. V., Lorimer, D. R., & Werthimer, D. 2015, *NewA*, 34, 245, doi: [10.1016/j.newast.2014.07.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.newast.2014.07.011)

- Chesneau, O., Meilland, A., Chapellier, E., et al. 2014, *A&A*, 563, A71, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201322421](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201322421)
- Chiaberge, M., Tremblay, G. R., Capetti, A., & Norman, C. 2018, *ApJ*, 861, 56, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aac48b](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aac48b)
- Chiaberge, M., Ely, J. C., Meyer, E. T., et al. 2017, *A&A*, 600, A57, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201629522](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201629522)
- CHIME/FRB Collaboration, Amiri, M., Bandura, K., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 863, 48, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aad188](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aad188)
- Chime/FRB Collaboration, Amiri, M., Andersen, B. C., et al. 2020, *Nature*, 582, 351, doi: [10.1038/s41586-020-2398-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2398-2)
- Choi, J., McCarthy, C., Marcy, G. W., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 764, 131, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/764/2/131](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/764/2/131)
- Chon, G., Böhringer, H., & Zaroubi, S. 2015, *A&A*, 575, L14, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201425591](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201425591)
- Christlieb, N., Bessell, M. S., Beers, T. C., et al. 2002, *Nature*, 419, 904, doi: [10.1038/nature01142](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01142)
- Ciardi, D. R., van Belle, G. T., Boden, A. F., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, 659, 1623, doi: [10.1086/512077](https://doi.org/10.1086/512077)
- Cigan, P., Matsuura, M., Gomez, H. L., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, 886, 51, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab4b46](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab4b46)
- Cirkovic, M. M. 2009, *Serbian Astronomical Journal*, 178, 1, doi: [10.2298/SAJ0978001C](https://doi.org/10.2298/SAJ0978001C)
- . 2018, *The Great Silence: Science and Philosophy of Fermi's Paradox*
- Ćirković, M. M. 2018, *Acta Astronautica*, 152, 289, doi: [10.1016/j.actaastro.2018.07.051](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actaastro.2018.07.051)
- Ćirković, M. M., & Bradbury, R. J. 2006, *NewA*, 11, 628, doi: [10.1016/j.newast.2006.04.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.newast.2006.04.003)
- Ćirković, M. M., & Vukotić, B. 2008, *Origins of Life and Evolution of the Biosphere*, 38, 535, doi: [10.1007/s11084-008-9149-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11084-008-9149-y)
- Clanton, C., & Gaudi, B. S. 2014, *ApJ*, 791, 91, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/791/2/91](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/791/2/91)
- Clark, J. S., Najarro, F., Negueruela, I., et al. 2012, *A&A*, 541, A145, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201117472](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201117472)
- Clayton, G. C. 1996, *PASP*, 108, 225, doi: [10.1086/133715](https://doi.org/10.1086/133715)
- Clayton, G. C., Kerber, F., Pirzkal, N., et al. 2006, *ApJL*, 646, L69, doi: [10.1086/506593](https://doi.org/10.1086/506593)
- Clowe, D., Bradač, M., Gonzalez, A. H., et al. 2006, *ApJL*, 648, L109, doi: [10.1086/508162](https://doi.org/10.1086/508162)
- Cluver, M. E., Jarrett, T. H., Appleton, P. N., et al. 2008, *ApJL*, 686, L17, doi: [10.1086/592784](https://doi.org/10.1086/592784)
- Cochran, A. L., Nelson, T., & McKay, A. J. 2020, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2009.01308. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.01308>
- Cochran, A. L., Levasseur-Regourd, A.-C., Cordiner, M., et al. 2015, *SSRv*, 197, 9, doi: [10.1007/s11214-015-0183-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-015-0183-6)
- Cochran, W. D., Fabrycky, D. C., Torres, G., et al. 2011, *ApJS*, 197, 7, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/197/1/7](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/197/1/7)
- Cocozza, G., Ferraro, F. R., Possenti, A., et al. 2008, *ApJL*, 679, L105, doi: [10.1086/589557](https://doi.org/10.1086/589557)
- Cocozza, G., Ferraro, F. R., Possenti, A., & D'Amico, N. 2006, *ApJL*, 641, L129, doi: [10.1086/504040](https://doi.org/10.1086/504040)
- Coe, D., Zitrin, A., Carrasco, M., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 762, 32, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/762/1/32](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/762/1/32)
- Cohen, J. G. 2006, *ApJL*, 653, L21, doi: [10.1086/510384](https://doi.org/10.1086/510384)
- Colomb, F., Hurrell, E., Lemarchand, G., & Olald, J. 1995, in *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 74, *Progress in the Search for Extraterrestrial Life.*, ed. G. S. Shostak, 345
- Colpi, M., Mapelli, M., & Possenti, A. 2003, *ApJ*, 599, 1260, doi: [10.1086/379543](https://doi.org/10.1086/379543)
- Combrinck, W. L., West, M. E., & Gaylard, J. J. 1994, *PASP*, 106, 807, doi: [10.1086/133444](https://doi.org/10.1086/133444)
- Comerón, S., Salo, H., Laurikainen, E., et al. 2014, *A&A*, 562, A121, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201321633](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201321633)
- Corbet, R. H. D. 1997, *Journal of the British Interplanetary Society*, 50, 253. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.00330>
- Corbett, H., Law, N. M., Soto, A. V., et al. 2020, *ApJL*, 903, L27, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/abee5](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/abee5)
- Cordes, E. e. a. 2006, *Memo 85: Discovery and Understanding with the SKA, Square Kilometre Array.* https://www.skatelescope.org/uploaded/18720_memo-85.pdf
- Cordes, J. 1993, in *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 47, *Third Decennial US-USSR Conference on SETI*, ed. G. S. Shostak, 257–266
- Cordiner, M. A., Boogert, A. C. A., Charnley, S. B., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 828, 51, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/828/1/51](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/828/1/51)
- Corso, G. J., Harris, R. W., & Ringwald, F. A. 1987, *A&A*, 183, L9
- Cortijo-Ferrero, C., González Delgado, R. M., Pérez, E., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, 467, 3898, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stx383](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx383)
- Cosens, M., Maire, J., Wright, S. A., et al. 2018, in *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, Vol. 10702, *Proc. SPIE*, 107025H, doi: [10.1117/12.2314252](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2314252)
- Coughlin, J. L., Mullally, F., Thompson, S. E., et al. 2016, *ApJS*, 224, 12, doi: [10.3847/0067-0049/224/1/12](https://doi.org/10.3847/0067-0049/224/1/12)
- Cowley, C. R., Bidelman, W. P., Hubrig, S., Mathys, G., & Bord, D. J. 2004, *A&A*, 419, 1087, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20035726](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20035726)
- Cowley, C. R., Hubrig, S., Palmeri, P., et al. 2010, *MNRAS*, 405, 1271, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.16529.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.16529.x)
- Cowperthwaite, P. S., Berger, E., Villar, V. A., et al. 2017, *ApJL*, 848, L17, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aa8fc7](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa8fc7)
- Cox, D. P. 2005, *ARA&A*, 43, 337, doi: [10.1146/annurev.astro.43.072103.150615](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.43.072103.150615)

- Creevey, O. L., Thévenin, F., Berio, P., et al. 2015, *A&A*, 575, A26, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201424310](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201424310)
- Crida, A., Ligi, R., Dorn, C., & Lebreton, Y. 2018, *ApJ*, 860, 122, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aabfe4](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aabfe4)
- Croft, S., Bower, G. C., Keating, G., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 731, 34, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/731/1/34](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/731/1/34)
- Croft, S., van Breugel, W., de Vries, W., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 647, 1040, doi: [10.1086/505526](https://doi.org/10.1086/505526)
- Croft, S. K. 1992, *Icarus*, 99, 402, doi: [10.1016/0019-1035\(92\)90156-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(92)90156-2)
- Cromartie, H. T., Fonseca, E., Ransom, S. M., et al. 2020, *Nature Astronomy*, 4, 72, doi: [10.1038/s41550-019-0880-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-019-0880-2)
- Crowther, P. A. 2019, *Galaxies*, 7, 88, doi: [10.3390/galaxies7040088](https://doi.org/10.3390/galaxies7040088)
- Crowther, P. A., Lennon, D. J., & Walborn, N. R. 2006, *A&A*, 446, 279, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20053685](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20053685)
- Crowther, P. A., Schnurr, O., Hirschi, R., et al. 2010, *MNRAS*, 408, 731, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17167.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17167.x)
- Crowther, P. A., Caballero-Nieves, S. M., Bostroem, K. A., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 458, 624, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw273](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw273)
- Cruz, K. L., Núñez, A., Burgasser, A. J., et al. 2018, *AJ*, 155, 34, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aa9d8a](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aa9d8a)
- Cruz, M., Martínez-González, E., Vielva, P., & Cayón, L. 2005, *MNRAS*, 356, 29, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.08419.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.08419.x)
- Cruz, M., Martínez-González, E., Vielva, P., et al. 2008, *MNRAS*, 390, 913, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.13812.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.13812.x)
- Cruzalèbes, P., Jorissen, A., Rabbia, Y., et al. 2013, *MNRAS*, 434, 437, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt1037](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt1037)
- Şener, H. T., & Jeffery, C. S. 2014, *MNRAS*, 440, 2676, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stu397](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu397)
- Cunningham, C. J., Marsden, B. G., & Orchiston, W. 2011, *Journal for the History of Astronomy*, 42, 283, doi: [10.1177/002182861104200301](https://doi.org/10.1177/002182861104200301)
- Currie, T., Muto, T., Kudo, T., et al. 2014, *ApJL*, 796, L30, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/796/2/L30](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/796/2/L30)
- Currie, T., Brandt, T. D., Uyama, T., et al. 2018, *AJ*, 156, 291, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aae9ea](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aae9ea)
- Cushing, M. C., Rayner, J. T., & Vacca, W. D. 2005, *ApJ*, 623, 1115, doi: [10.1086/428040](https://doi.org/10.1086/428040)
- Cushing, M. C., Marley, M. S., Saumon, D., et al. 2008, *ApJ*, 678, 1372, doi: [10.1086/526489](https://doi.org/10.1086/526489)
- Cvetković, Z. 2011, *AJ*, 141, 116, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/141/4/116](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/141/4/116)
- da Silva, L., Girardi, L., Pasquini, L., et al. 2006, *A&A*, 458, 609, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20065105](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20065105)
- Dahn, C. C., Bergeron, P., Liebert, J., et al. 2004, *ApJ*, 605, 400, doi: [10.1086/382208](https://doi.org/10.1086/382208)
- Dalcanton, J. J., Williams, B. F., Seth, A. C., et al. 2009, *ApJS*, 183, 67, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/183/1/67](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/183/1/67)
- Dale, D. A., Gil de Paz, A., Gordon, K. D., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, 655, 863, doi: [10.1086/510362](https://doi.org/10.1086/510362)
- D’Amico, N., Possenti, A., Fici, L., et al. 2002, *ApJL*, 570, L89, doi: [10.1086/341030](https://doi.org/10.1086/341030)
- Damineli, A., Fernández-Lajús, E., Almeida, L. A., et al. 2019, *MNRAS*, 484, 1325, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz067](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz067)
- Das, B., Chandra, P., Shultz, M. E., & Wade, G. A. 2019, *MNRAS*, 489, L102, doi: [10.1093/mnrasl/slz137](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnrasl/slz137)
- Davenport, J. R. A. 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1907.04443. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.04443>
- David, T. J., & Hillenbrand, L. A. 2015, *ApJ*, 804, 146, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/804/2/146](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/804/2/146)
- Davies, P. 2010, *The Eerie Silence: Are We Alone in the Universe?* (Penguin UK)
- Davies, P. C. W. 2012, *Acta Astronautica*, 73, 250, doi: [10.1016/j.actaastro.2011.06.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actaastro.2011.06.022)
- Davies, P. C. W., & Wagner, R. V. 2013, *Acta Astronautica*, 89, 261, doi: [10.1016/j.actaastro.2011.10.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actaastro.2011.10.022)
- de Geus, E. J., de Zeeuw, P. T., & Lub, J. 1989, *A&A*, 216, 44
- de la Fuente Marcos, C., & de la Fuente Marcos, R. 2019, *Research Notes of the American Astronomical Society*, 3, 106, doi: [10.3847/2515-5172/ab346c](https://doi.org/10.3847/2515-5172/ab346c)
- . 2020, *MNRAS*, 494, L6, doi: [10.1093/mnrasl/slaa027](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnrasl/slaa027)
- De Luca, A., Caraveo, P. A., Mereghetti, S., Tiengo, A., & Bignami, G. F. 2006, *Science*, 313, 814, doi: [10.1126/science.1129185](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1129185)
- de Luca, A., Esposito, P., Israel, G. L., et al. 2010, *MNRAS*, 402, 1870, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.16012.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.16012.x)
- De Paolis, F., Nucita, A. A., Strafella, F., Licchelli, D., & Ingrassio, G. 2020, *MNRAS*, 499, L87, doi: [10.1093/mnrasl/slaa140](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnrasl/slaa140)
- De Ridder, J., Gordon, K. D., Mulliss, C. L., & Aerts, C. 1999, *A&A*, 341, 574
- de Vaucouleurs, G., & Ables, H. D. 1968, *ApJ*, 151, 105, doi: [10.1086/149422](https://doi.org/10.1086/149422)
- de Vaucouleurs, G., de Vaucouleurs, A., Corwin, Herold G., J., et al. 1991, *Third Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies*
- De Vis, P., Jones, A., Viaene, S., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 623, A5, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201834444](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834444)
- Deka-Szymankiewicz, B., Niedzielski, A., Adamczyk, M., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 615, A31, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201731696](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201731696)
- Dekany, I., Minniti, D., & Saito, R. K. 2014, *The Astronomer’s Telegram*, 5954, 1

- del Burgo, C., & Allende Prieto, C. 2016, *MNRAS*, 463, 1400, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw2005](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw2005)
- Del Burgo, C., Martín, E. L., Zapatero Osorio, M. R., & Hauschildt, P. H. 2009, *A&A*, 501, 1059, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/200810752](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200810752)
- Della Valle, M., Chincarini, G., Panagia, N., et al. 2006, *Nature*, 444, 1050, doi: [10.1038/nature05374](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05374)
- Deller, A. T., Boyles, J., Lorimer, D. R., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 770, 145, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/770/2/145](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/770/2/145)
- Deller, A. T., Tingay, S. J., Bailes, M., & Reynolds, J. E. 2009, *ApJ*, 701, 1243, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/701/2/1243](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/701/2/1243)
- DeMarines, J., Haqq-Misra, J., Isaacson, H., et al. 2019, *BAAS*, 51, 558
- DeMeo, F. E., & Carry, B. 2014, *Nature*, 505, 629, doi: [10.1038/nature12908](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12908)
- Demers, S., & Battinelli, P. 2001, *A&A*, 377, 425, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20011128](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20011128)
- Denisenko, D. 2019, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, 12638, 1
— . 2020, *vsnet-alert*, 24501, 1
- Dessauges-Zavadsky, M., Zamojski, M., Schaerer, D., et al. 2015, *A&A*, 577, A50, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201424661](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201424661)
- Dey, L., Gopakumar, A., Valtonen, M., et al. 2019, *Universe*, 5, 108, doi: [10.3390/universe5050108](https://doi.org/10.3390/universe5050108)
- Di Stefano, R., & Ray, A. 2016, *ApJ*, 827, 54, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/827/1/54](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/827/1/54)
- Di Teodoro, E. M., Grillo, C., Fraternali, F., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 476, 804, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty175](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty175)
- Díaz, R. F., Ségransan, D., Udry, S., et al. 2016, *A&A*, 585, A134, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201526729](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201526729)
- Díaz, R. F., Delfosse, X., Hobson, M. J., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 625, A17, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201935019](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201935019)
- Dick, S. J. 2003, *International Journal of Astrobiology*, 2, 65, doi: [10.1017/S147355040300137X](https://doi.org/10.1017/S147355040300137X)
- . 2013, *Discovery and Classification in Astronomy*
- Dicke, R. H., Peebles, P. J. E., Roll, P. G., & Wilkinson, D. T. 1965, *ApJ*, 142, 414, doi: [10.1086/148306](https://doi.org/10.1086/148306)
- Dieterich, S. B., Henry, T. J., Jao, W.-C., et al. 2014, *AJ*, 147, 94, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/147/5/94](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/147/5/94)
- Dieterich, S. B., Weinberger, A. J., Boss, A. P., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 865, 28, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aadadc](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aadadc)
- Dinescu, D. I., Girard, T. M., & van Altena, W. F. 1999, *AJ*, 117, 1792, doi: [10.1086/300807](https://doi.org/10.1086/300807)
- Dittmann, J. A., Irwin, J. M., Charbonneau, D., et al. 2017, *Nature*, 544, 333, doi: [10.1038/nature22055](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22055)
- Dixon, R. S. 1985, in *IAU Symposium*, Vol. 112, *The Search for Extraterrestrial Life: Recent Developments*, ed. M. D. Papagiannis, 305–314
- Djorgovski, S. 2000, *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 213, *Generalized SETI in a Virtual Observatory*, ed. G. Lemarchand & K. Meech, 519
- Djorgovski, S. G., Mahabal, A., Drake, A., Graham, M., & Donalek, C. 2013, *Sky Surveys*, ed. T. D. Oswalt & H. E. Bond, 223, doi: [10.1007/978-94-007-5618-2_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-5618-2_5)
- Djorgovski, S. G., Mahabal, A. A., Brunner, R. J., et al. 2001, *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 225, *Searches for Rare and New Types of Objects*, ed. R. J. Brunner, S. G. Djorgovski, & A. S. Szalay, 52
- Do, T., Kerzendorf, W., Konopacky, Q., et al. 2018, *ApJL*, 855, L5, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aaac3](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aaac3)
- Dobler, G., & Finkbeiner, D. P. 2008, *ApJ*, 680, 1222, doi: [10.1086/587862](https://doi.org/10.1086/587862)
- Donati, J. F., Moutou, C., Malo, L., et al. 2016, *Nature*, 534, 662, doi: [10.1038/nature18305](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18305)
- Dong, S., Katz, B., & Socrates, A. 2014, *ApJL*, 781, L5, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/781/1/L5](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/781/1/L5)
- Dong, S., Shappee, B. J., Prieto, J. L., et al. 2016, *Science*, 351, 257, doi: [10.1126/science.aac9613](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aac9613)
- Doran, E. I., Crowther, P. A., de Koter, A., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 558, A134, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201321824](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201321824)
- Dougherty, S. M., Beasley, A. J., Claussen, M. J., Zauderer, B. A., & Bolingbroke, N. J. 2005, *ApJ*, 623, 447, doi: [10.1086/428494](https://doi.org/10.1086/428494)
- Doyle, L. R., Carter, J. A., Fabrycky, D. C., et al. 2011, *Science*, 333, 1602, doi: [10.1126/science.1210923](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1210923)
- Drake, F. D. 1962, *Intelligent life in space*.
- Dreizler, S., & Werner, K. 1996, *A&A*, 314, 217
- Drissen, L., Moffat, A. F. J., Walborn, N. R., & Shara, M. M. 1995, *AJ*, 110, 2235, doi: [10.1086/117684](https://doi.org/10.1086/117684)
- Drout, M. R., Chornock, R., Soderberg, A. M., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 794, 23, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/794/1/23](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/794/1/23)
- Dubus, G. 2013, *A&A Rv*, 21, 64, doi: [10.1007/s00159-013-0064-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00159-013-0064-5)
- Duc, P.-A., Cuillandre, J.-C., & Renaud, F. 2018, *MNRAS*, 475, L40, doi: [10.1093/mnrasl/sly004](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnrasl/sly004)
- Duerbeck, H. W., & Benetti, S. 1996, *ApJL*, 468, L111, doi: [10.1086/310241](https://doi.org/10.1086/310241)
- Dufour, P., Fontaine, G., Liebert, J., Schmidt, G. D., & Behara, N. 2008, *ApJ*, 683, 978, doi: [10.1086/589855](https://doi.org/10.1086/589855)
- Dulk, G. A. 1985, *ARA&A*, 23, 169, doi: [10.1146/annurev.aa.23.090185.001125](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.aa.23.090185.001125)
- Dullo, B. T., Graham, A. W., & Knappen, J. H. 2017, *MNRAS*, 471, 2321, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stx1635](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx1635)
- Dunham, S. J., Sharon, K., Florian, M. K., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, 875, 18, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab0d7d](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab0d7d)

- Dupuy, T. J., Liu, M. C., & Ireland, M. J. 2009, *ApJ*, 692, 729, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/692/1/729](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/692/1/729)
- Durbala, A., del Olmo, A., Yun, M. S., et al. 2008, *AJ*, 135, 130, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/135/1/130](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/135/1/130)
- Dyson, F. J. 1963, in *Interstellar Communication*, ed. A. G. W. Cameron, 115–120
- Dyson, F. J. 2003, *International Journal of Astrobiology*, 2, 103, doi: [10.1017/S1473550403001538](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1473550403001538)
- Ebeling, H., Ma, C. J., Kneib, J. P., et al. 2009, *MNRAS*, 395, 1213, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.14502.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.14502.x)
- Edge, A. C. 2001, *MNRAS*, 328, 762, doi: [10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.04802.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.04802.x)
- Eggen, O. J. 1948, *AJ*, 53, 197, doi: [10.1086/106095](https://doi.org/10.1086/106095)
- Eggleton, P. P., & Tokovinin, A. A. 2008, *MNRAS*, 389, 869, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.13596.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.13596.x)
- El-Badry, K., & Quataert, E. 2020, *MNRAS*, 493, L22, doi: [10.1093/mnras/lsaa004](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/lsaa004)
- Elbaz, D., Jahnke, K., Pantin, E., Le Borgne, D., & Letawe, G. 2009, *A&A*, 507, 1359, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/200912848](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200912848)
- Elbaz, D., Dickinson, M., Hwang, H. S., et al. 2011, *A&A*, 533, A119, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201117239](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201117239)
- Elitzur, M. 1992, *ARA&A*, 30, 75, doi: [10.1146/annurev.aa.30.090192.000451](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.aa.30.090192.000451)
- Elliot, J. L., Person, M. J., Zuluaga, C. A., et al. 2010, *Nature*, 465, 897, doi: [10.1038/nature09109](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09109)
- Elmegreen, D. M., & Elmegreen, B. G. 1982, *MNRAS*, 201, 1021, doi: [10.1093/mnras/201.4.1021](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/201.4.1021)
- Elmegreen, D. M., Elmegreen, B. G., Rubin, D. S., & Schaffer, M. A. 2005, *ApJ*, 631, 85, doi: [10.1086/432502](https://doi.org/10.1086/432502)
- Emsellem, E., Cappellari, M., Krajnović, D., et al. 2007, *MNRAS*, 379, 401, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.11752.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.11752.x)
- . 2011, *MNRAS*, 414, 888, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.18496.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.18496.x)
- Engels, D., Etoke, S., Gérard, E., & Richards, A. 2015, in *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 497, *Why Galaxies Care about AGB Stars III: A Closer Look in Space and Time*, ed. F. Kerschbaum, R. F. Wing, & J. Hron, 473. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1503.04674>
- Enriquez, J. E., Siemion, A., Foster, G., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 849, 104, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa8d1b](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa8d1b)
- Enriquez, J. E., Siemion, A., Lazio, T. J. W., et al. 2018, *Research Notes of the American Astronomical Society*, 2, 9, doi: [10.3847/2515-5172/aaa6c9](https://doi.org/10.3847/2515-5172/aaa6c9)
- Enriquez, J. E., Siemion, A., Dana, R., et al. 2019, *International Journal of Astrobiology*, 18, 33, doi: [10.1017/S1473550417000465](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1473550417000465)
- Erroz-Ferrer, S., Knapen, J. H., Mohd Noh Velastín, E. A. N., Ryon, J. E., & Hagen, L. M. Z. 2013, *MNRAS*, 436, 3135, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt1797](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt1797)
- Esteves, L. J., De Mooij, E. J. W., & Jayawardhana, R. 2015, *ApJ*, 804, 150, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/804/2/150](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/804/2/150)
- Evans, P. A., Fridriksson, J. K., Gehrels, N., et al. 2012, *ApJS*, 203, 28, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/203/2/28](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/203/2/28)
- Ewen, H. I., & Purcell, E. M. 1951, *Nature*, 168, 356, doi: [10.1038/168356a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/168356a0)
- Faber, S. M. 1973, *ApJ*, 179, 423, doi: [10.1086/151881](https://doi.org/10.1086/151881)
- Faherty, J., Walter, F. M., & Anderson, J. 2007, *Ap&SS*, 308, 225, doi: [10.1007/s10509-007-9368-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10509-007-9368-0)
- Faherty, J. K., Beletsky, Y., Burgasser, A. J., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 790, 90, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/790/2/90](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/790/2/90)
- Fajardo-Acosta, S. B., Kirkpatrick, J. D., Schneider, A. C., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 832, 62, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/832/1/62](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/832/1/62)
- Falanga, M., Bozzo, E., Lutovinov, A., et al. 2015, *A&A*, 577, A130, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201425191](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201425191)
- Famaey, B., & McGaugh, S. S. 2012, *Living Reviews in Relativity*, 15, 10, doi: [10.12942/lrr-2012-10](https://doi.org/10.12942/lrr-2012-10)
- Fan, L., Gao, Y., Knudsen, K. K., & Shu, X. 2018, *ApJ*, 854, 157, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aaaaae](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaaaae)
- Fanaroff, B. L., & Riley, J. M. 1974, *MNRAS*, 167, 31P, doi: [10.1093/mnras/167.1.31P](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/167.1.31P)
- Faridani, S., Flöer, L., Kerp, J., & Westmeier, T. 2014, *A&A*, 563, A99, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201322654](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201322654)
- Farihi, J., Wood, P. R., & Stalder, B. 2005, *ApJL*, 627, L41, doi: [10.1086/432158](https://doi.org/10.1086/432158)
- Farinelli, R., Romano, P., Mangano, V., et al. 2012, *MNRAS*, 424, 2854, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21422.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21422.x)
- Fassett, C. I., & Graham, J. A. 2000, *ApJ*, 538, 594, doi: [10.1086/309183](https://doi.org/10.1086/309183)
- Feldman, P. A., Taylor, A. R., Gregory, P. C., et al. 1978, *AJ*, 83, 1471, doi: [10.1086/112346](https://doi.org/10.1086/112346)
- Feltzing, S., & Gonzalez, G. 2001, *A&A*, 367, 253, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20000477](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20000477)
- Feng, F., Tuomi, M., & Jones, H. R. A. 2017, *A&A*, 605, A103, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201730406](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201730406)
- Ferretti, L., Giovannini, G., Govoni, F., & Murgia, M. 2012, *A&A Rv*, 20, 54, doi: [10.1007/s00159-012-0054-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00159-012-0054-z)
- Ferguson, H. C., & Binggeli, B. 1994, *A&A Rv*, 6, 67, doi: [10.1007/BF01208252](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01208252)
- Fernie, J. D., & Hube, J. O. 1971, *ApJ*, 168, 437, doi: [10.1086/151099](https://doi.org/10.1086/151099)
- Ferrari, C., Govoni, F., Schindler, S., Bykov, A. M., & Rephaeli, Y. 2008, *SSRv*, 134, 93, doi: [10.1007/s11214-008-9311-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-008-9311-x)
- Ferraro, F. R., Possenti, A., Sabbi, E., et al. 2003, *ApJ*, 595, 179, doi: [10.1086/377352](https://doi.org/10.1086/377352)
- Fiedler, R. L., Dennison, B., Johnston, K. J., & Hewish, A. 1987, *Nature*, 326, 675, doi: [10.1038/326675a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/326675a0)

- Filho, M. E., & Sánchez Almeida, J. 2018, *MNRAS*, 478, 2541, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty1130](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1130)
- Finkelman, I., Moiseev, A., Brosch, N., & Katkov, I. 2011, *MNRAS*, 418, 1834, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19601.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19601.x)
- Flower, P. J. 1996, *ApJ*, 469, 355, doi: [10.1086/177785](https://doi.org/10.1086/177785)
- Fokin, A., Mathias, P., Chapellier, E., Gillet, D., & Nardetto, N. 2004, *A&A*, 426, 687, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20040418](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20040418)
- Foley, R. J., Challis, P. J., Chornock, R., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 767, 57, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/767/1/57](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/767/1/57)
- For, B.-Q., & Sneden, C. 2010, *AJ*, 140, 1694, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/140/6/1694](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/140/6/1694)
- Forgan, D. H., & Nichol, R. C. 2011, *International Journal of Astrobiology*, 10, 77, doi: [10.1017/S1473550410000236](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1473550410000236)
- Forward, R. L. 1980, *Dragon's Egg* (Ballantine)
- Fossati, L., Mochnacki, S., Landstreet, J., & Weiss, W. 2010, *A&A*, 510, A8, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/200811495](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200811495)
- Fossati, M., Fumagalli, M., Boselli, A., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 455, 2028, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stv2400](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv2400)
- Fracassini, M., Pasinetti Fracassini, L. E., & Pasinetti, A. L. 1988, *Ap&SS*, 146, 321, doi: [10.1007/BF00637581](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00637581)
- Frail, D. A., Kulkarni, S. R., Ofek, E. O., Bower, G. C., & Nakar, E. 2012, *ApJ*, 747, 70, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/747/1/70](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/747/1/70)
- Fransson, C., & Björnsson, C.-I. 1998, *ApJ*, 509, 861, doi: [10.1086/306531](https://doi.org/10.1086/306531)
- Freitas, R. A., J. 1985, *Icarus*, 62, 518, doi: [10.1016/0019-1035\(85\)90192-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(85)90192-7)
- Freitas, Jr., R. A., & Valdes, F. 1980, *Icarus*, 42, 442, doi: [10.1016/0019-1035\(80\)90106-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(80)90106-2)
- Frey, K., & Accomazzi, A. 2018, *ApJS*, 236, 24, doi: [10.3847/1538-4365/aab760](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/aab760)
- Fritz, T. K., Gillessen, S., Dodds-Eden, K., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, 721, 395, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/721/1/395](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/721/1/395)
- Fröhlich, H. E., Tschäpe, R., Rüdiger, G., & Strassmeier, K. G. 2002, *A&A*, 391, 659, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20020860](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20020860)
- Fuente, A., Navarro, D. G., Caselli, P., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 624, A105, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201834654](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834654)
- Fukui, Y., Ohama, A., Hanaoka, N., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 780, 36, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/780/1/36](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/780/1/36)
- Fulchignoni, M., Belskaya, I., Barucci, M. A., de Sanctis, M. C., & Doressoundiram, A. 2008, *Transneptunian Object Taxonomy*, ed. M. A. Barucci, H. Boehnhardt, D. P. Cruikshank, A. Morbidelli, & R. Dotson, 181
- Fulton, B. J., Petigura, E. A., Howard, A. W., et al. 2017, *AJ*, 154, 109, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aa80eb](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aa80eb)
- Fumagalli, M., Fossati, M., Hau, G. K. T., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, 445, 4335, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stu2092](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu2092)
- Gaensler, B. M., Kouveliotou, C., Gelfand, J. D., et al. 2005, *Nature*, 434, 1104, doi: [10.1038/nature03498](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03498)
- Gaia Collaboration, Brown, A. G. A., Vallenari, A., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 616, A1, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201833051](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833051)
- Gaidos, E., Mann, A. W., & Ansdell, M. 2016, *ApJ*, 817, 50, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/817/1/50](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/817/1/50)
- Gajjar, V., Siemion, A. P. V., Price, D. C., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 863, 2, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aad005](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aad005)
- Gal-Yam, A. 2012, *Science*, 337, 927, doi: [10.1126/science.1203601](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1203601)
- Gal-Yam, A., Fox, D. B., Price, P. A., et al. 2006, *Nature*, 444, 1053, doi: [10.1038/nature05373](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05373)
- Galle, J. G. 1846, *MNRAS*, 7, 153, doi: [10.1093/mnras/7.9.153](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/7.9.153)
- Galloway, D. K., & Cumming, A. 2006, *ApJ*, 652, 559, doi: [10.1086/507598](https://doi.org/10.1086/507598)
- Galloway, D. K., Muno, M. P., Hartman, J. M., Psaltis, D., & Chakrabarty, D. 2008, *ApJS*, 179, 360, doi: [10.1086/592044](https://doi.org/10.1086/592044)
- Gänsicke, B. T., Koester, D., Girven, J., Marsh, T. R., & Steeghs, D. 2010, *Science*, 327, 188, doi: [10.1126/science.1180228](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1180228)
- Gänsicke, B. T., Schreiber, M. R., Toloza, O., et al. 2019, *Nature*, 576, 61, doi: [10.1038/s41586-019-1789-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1789-8)
- Garcia, E. V., Ammons, S. M., Salama, M., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 846, 97, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa844f](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa844f)
- García-Hernández, D. A., Rao, N. K., & Lambert, D. L. 2011, *ApJ*, 739, 37, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/739/1/37](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/739/1/37)
- Garrett, M., Siemion, A., & van Cappellen, W. 2017, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1709.01338. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.01338>
- Garrett, M. A. 2015, *A&A*, 581, L5, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201526687](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201526687)
- Garrison, R. F. 1994, in *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 60, *The MK Process at 50 Years: A Powerful Tool for Astrophysical Insight*, ed. C. J. Corbally, R. O. Gray, & R. F. Garrison, 3
- Gaudi, B. S., Stassun, K. G., Collins, K. A., et al. 2017, *Nature*, 546, 514, doi: [10.1038/nature22392](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22392)
- Gautschy, A. 2009, *A&A*, 498, 273, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/200911666](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200911666)
- Geha, M., Willman, B., Simon, J. D., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, 692, 1464, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/692/2/1464](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/692/2/1464)
- Gehrels, N., Ramirez-Ruiz, E., & Fox, D. B. 2009, *ARA&A*, 47, 567, doi: [10.1146/annurev.astro.46.060407.145147](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.46.060407.145147)
- Gehrels, N., Norris, J. P., Barthelmy, S. D., et al. 2006, *Nature*, 444, 1044, doi: [10.1038/nature05376](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05376)
- Gehrz, R. D., Jones, T. J., Matthews, K., et al. 1995, *AJ*, 110, 325, doi: [10.1086/117523](https://doi.org/10.1086/117523)

- Geier, S., Østensen, R. H., Nemeth, P., et al. 2017, *A&A*, 600, A50, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201630135](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201630135)
- Geller, A. M., Leiner, E. M., Bellini, A., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 840, 66, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa6af3](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa6af3)
- Gendre, M. A., Fenech, D. M., Beswick, R. J., Muxlow, T. W. B., & Argo, M. K. 2013, *MNRAS*, 431, 1107, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt231](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt231)
- Gerke, J. R., Kochanek, C. S., & Stanek, K. Z. 2015, *MNRAS*, 450, 3289, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stv776](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv776)
- Gertz, J. 2016, *Journal of the British Interplanetary Society*, 69, 88. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.04635>
- . 2019, *Journal of the British Interplanetary Society*, 72, 386. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.00673>
- Ghez, A. M., Duchêne, G., Matthews, K., et al. 2003, *ApJL*, 586, L127, doi: [10.1086/374804](https://doi.org/10.1086/374804)
- Ghisellini, G., Tavecchio, F., Foschini, L., & Ghirland a, G. 2011, *MNRAS*, 414, 2674, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.18578.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.18578.x)
- Giacani, E. B., Dubner, G. M., Green, A. J., Goss, W. M., & Gaensler, B. M. 2000, *AJ*, 119, 281, doi: [10.1086/301173](https://doi.org/10.1086/301173)
- Gieren, W., Pilecki, B., Pietrzyński, G., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 815, 28, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/815/1/28](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/815/1/28)
- Giles, D., & Walkowicz, L. 2019, *MNRAS*, 484, 834, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty3461](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty3461)
- Gillessen, S., Genzel, R., Fritz, T. K., et al. 2012, *Nature*, 481, 51, doi: [10.1038/nature10652](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10652)
- Gillon, M., Triaud, A. H. M. J., Demory, B.-O., et al. 2017, *Nature*, 542, 456, doi: [10.1038/nature21360](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21360)
- Giovannini, G., Feretti, L., & Stanghellini, C. 1991, *A&A*, 252, 528
- Girard, M., Dessauges-Zavadsky, M., Schaerer, D., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 619, A15, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201833533](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833533)
- Gladders, M. D., Rigby, J. R., Sharon, K., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 764, 177, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/764/2/177](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/764/2/177)
- Gladman, B., Marsden, B. G., & Vanlaerhoven, C. 2008, *Nomenclature in the Outer Solar System*, ed. M. A. Barucci, H. Boehnhardt, D. P. Cruikshank, A. Morbidelli, & R. Dotson, 43
- Glazebrook, K., Schreiber, C., Labbé, I., et al. 2017, *Nature*, 544, 71, doi: [10.1038/nature21680](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21680)
- Golenetskii, S., Aptekar, R., Mazets, E., et al. 2009, *GRB Coordinates Network*, 9647, 1
- Gómez-López, J. A., Amram, P., Epinat, B., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 631, A71, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201935869](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201935869)
- Gonzales, E. C., Faherty, J. K., Gagné, J., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, 886, 131, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab48fc](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab48fc)
- Gonzalez, A. H., Zabludoff, A. I., Zaritsky, D., & Dalcanton, J. J. 2000, *ApJ*, 536, 561, doi: [10.1086/308985](https://doi.org/10.1086/308985)
- Gonzalez, G., Wallerstein, G., & Saar, S. H. 1999, *ApJL*, 511, L111, doi: [10.1086/311847](https://doi.org/10.1086/311847)
- Goobar, A., Johansson, J., Amanullah, R., et al. 2014, *ApJL*, 784, L12, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/784/1/L12](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/784/1/L12)
- Gopal-Krishna, Biermann, P. L., Gergely, L. Á., & Wiita, P. J. 2012, *Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 12, 127, doi: [10.1088/1674-4527/12/2/002](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-4527/12/2/002)
- Gopka, V. F., Yushchenko, A. V., Yushchenko, V. A., Panov, I. V., & Kim, C. 2008, *Kinematics and Physics of Celestial Bodies*, 24, 89, doi: [10.3103/S0884591308020049](https://doi.org/10.3103/S0884591308020049)
- Gordon, K. D., Gies, D. R., Schaefer, G. H., Huber, D., & Ireland, M. 2019, *ApJ*, 873, 91, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab04b2](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab04b2)
- Gordon, K. D., Gies, D. R., Schaefer, G. H., et al. 2018a, *ApJ*, 869, 37, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aaec04](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaec04)
- Gordon, M. S., & Humphreys, R. M. 2019, *Galaxies*, 7, 92, doi: [10.3390/galaxies7040092](https://doi.org/10.3390/galaxies7040092)
- Gordon, M. S., Humphreys, R. M., Jones, T. J., et al. 2018b, *AJ*, 155, 212, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aab961](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aab961)
- Gorham, P. W., Rotter, B., Allison, P., et al. 2018, *PhRvL*, 121, 161102, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.161102](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.161102)
- Gott, J. Richard, I., Jurić, M., Schlegel, D., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, 624, 463, doi: [10.1086/428890](https://doi.org/10.1086/428890)
- Gotz, D., Mereghetti, S., von Kienlin, A., & Beck, M. 2009, *GRB Coordinates Network*, 9649, 1
- Gowanlock, M. G. 2016, *ApJ*, 832, 38, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/832/1/38](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/832/1/38)
- Graham, A. W. 2002, *ApJL*, 568, L13, doi: [10.1086/340274](https://doi.org/10.1086/340274)
- . 2019, *MNRAS*, 487, 4995, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz1623](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz1623)
- Graham, A. W., Durré, M., Savognan, G. A. D., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 819, 43, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/819/1/43](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/819/1/43)
- Graham, A. W., & Guzmán, R. 2003, *AJ*, 125, 2936, doi: [10.1086/374992](https://doi.org/10.1086/374992)
- Graham, M. J., Ford, K. E. S., McKernan, B., et al. 2020, *PhRvL*, 124, 251102, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.251102](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.251102)
- Granot, J., Gill, R., Younes, G., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, 464, 4895, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw2554](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw2554)
- Gravity Collaboration, Lacour, S., Nowak, M., et al. 2019a, *A&A*, 623, L11, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201935253](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201935253)
- Gravity Collaboration, Abuter, R., Amorim, A., et al. 2019b, *A&A*, 625, L10, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201935656](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201935656)
- Gray, D. F. 2016, *ApJ*, 826, 92, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/826/1/92](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/826/1/92)
- Gray, R. H. 2012, *The Elusive Wow: Searching for Extraterrestrial Intelligence* (Palmer Square Press)
- . 2015, *Astrobiology*, 15, 195, doi: [10.1089/ast.2014.1247](https://doi.org/10.1089/ast.2014.1247)
- Gray, R. H., & Ellingsen, S. 2002, *ApJ*, 578, 967, doi: [10.1086/342646](https://doi.org/10.1086/342646)

- Gray, R. H., & Marvel, K. B. 2001, *ApJ*, 546, 1171, doi: [10.1086/318272](https://doi.org/10.1086/318272)
- Gray, R. H., & Mooley, K. 2017, *AJ*, 153, 110, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/153/3/110](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/153/3/110)
- Green, E. M., Fontaine, G., Reed, M. D., et al. 2003, *ApJL*, 583, L31, doi: [10.1086/367929](https://doi.org/10.1086/367929)
- Green, E. M., Guvenen, B., O'Malley, C. J., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 734, 59, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/734/1/59](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/734/1/59)
- Greenstreet, S. 2020, *MNRAS*, doi: [10.1093/mnras/slaa025](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/slaa025)
- Gregorio-Hetem, J., Montmerle, T., Rodrigues, C. V., et al. 2009, *A&A*, 506, 711, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/200912140](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200912140)
- Griffith, R. L., Wright, J. T., Maldonado, J., et al. 2015, *ApJS*, 217, 25, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/217/2/25](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/217/2/25)
- Groenewegen, M. A. T., & Sloan, G. C. 2018, *A&A*, 609, A114, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201731089](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201731089)
- Groenewegen, M. A. T., Barlow, M. J., Blommaert, J. A. D. L., et al. 2012, *A&A*, 543, L8, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201219604](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201219604)
- Groh, J. H., Hillier, D. J., Damineli, A., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, 698, 1698, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/698/2/1698](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/698/2/1698)
- Gropp, J. D., Siegel, M. H., & Neil Gehrels Swift Observatory Team. 2020, *GRB Coordinates Network*, 27008, 1
- Großschedl, J. E., Alves, J., Meingast, S., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 619, A106, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201833901](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833901)
- Gu, Q., Zhao, Y., Shi, L., Peng, Z., & Luo, X. 2006, *AJ*, 131, 806, doi: [10.1086/498891](https://doi.org/10.1086/498891)
- Gudennavar, S. B., Bubbly, S. G., Preethi, K., & Murthy, J. 2012, *ApJS*, 199, 8, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/199/1/8](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/199/1/8)
- Guillot, S., Pavlov, G. G., Reyes, C., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, 874, 175, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab0f38](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab0f38)
- Guillot, T., Burrows, A., Hubbard, W. B., Lunine, J. I., & Saumon, D. 1996, *ApJL*, 459, L35, doi: [10.1086/309935](https://doi.org/10.1086/309935)
- Guinan, E. F., & Robinson, C. R. 1986, *AJ*, 91, 935, doi: [10.1086/114069](https://doi.org/10.1086/114069)
- Guseva, N. G., Papaderos, P., Izotov, Y. I., Noeske, K. G., & Fricke, K. J. 2004, *A&A*, 421, 519, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20035949](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20035949)
- Guzik, P., Drahus, M., Rusek, K., et al. 2020, *Nature Astronomy*, 4, 53, doi: [10.1038/s41550-019-0931-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-019-0931-8)
- Habibi, M., Gillessen, S., Martins, F., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 847, 120, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa876f](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa876f)
- Habing, H. J., & Israel, F. P. 1979, *ARA&A*, 17, 345, doi: [10.1146/annurev.aa.17.090179.002021](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.aa.17.090179.002021)
- Hachisu, I., & Kato, M. 2001, *ApJ*, 558, 323, doi: [10.1086/321601](https://doi.org/10.1086/321601)
- . 2006, *ApJS*, 167, 59, doi: [10.1086/508063](https://doi.org/10.1086/508063)
- . 2016, *ApJ*, 816, 26, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/816/1/26](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/816/1/26)
- . 2018, *ApJS*, 237, 4, doi: [10.3847/1538-4365/aac833](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/aac833)
- Hachisuka, K., Brunthaler, A., Menten, K. M., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 645, 337, doi: [10.1086/502962](https://doi.org/10.1086/502962)
- Hadjara, M., Petrov, R. G., Jankov, S., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 480, 1263, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty1893](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1893)
- Hagen, H. J., Cordis, L., Engels, D., et al. 1992, *A&A*, 253, L5
- Hainaut, O. R., & Williams, A. P. 2020, *A&A*, 636, A121, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202037501](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202037501)
- Haisch, B., Strong, K. T., & Rodono, M. 1991, *ARA&A*, 29, 275, doi: [10.1146/annurev.aa.29.090191.001423](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.aa.29.090191.001423)
- Haisch, B. M., & Glampapa, M. S. 1985, *PASP*, 97, 340, doi: [10.1086/131541](https://doi.org/10.1086/131541)
- Halabi, G. M., & Eid, M. E. 2015, *MNRAS*, 451, 2957, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stv1141](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv1141)
- Hall, A. 1878, *MNRAS*, 38, 205, doi: [10.1093/mnras/38.4.205](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/38.4.205)
- Halliday, I., Feldman, P. A., & Blackwell, A. T. 1987, *ApJL*, 320, L153, doi: [10.1086/184993](https://doi.org/10.1086/184993)
- Hallinan, G., Antonova, A., Doyle, J. G., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 653, 690, doi: [10.1086/508678](https://doi.org/10.1086/508678)
- . 2008, *ApJ*, 684, 644, doi: [10.1086/590360](https://doi.org/10.1086/590360)
- Hallinan, G., Bourke, S., Lane, C., et al. 2007, *ApJL*, 663, L25, doi: [10.1086/519790](https://doi.org/10.1086/519790)
- Hankins, T. H., & Eilek, J. A. 2007, *ApJ*, 670, 693, doi: [10.1086/522362](https://doi.org/10.1086/522362)
- Hankins, T. H., Kern, J. S., Weatherall, J. C., & Eilek, J. A. 2003, *Nature*, 422, 141, doi: [10.1038/nature01477](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01477)
- Hansen, C. J., Jofré, P., Koch, A., McWilliam, A., & Sneden, C. S. 2017, *A&A*, 598, A54, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201629628](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201629628)
- Hao, C.-N., Kennicutt, R. C., Johnson, B. D., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 741, 124, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/741/2/124](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/741/2/124)
- Harayama, Y., Eisenhauer, F., & Martins, F. 2008, *ApJ*, 675, 1319, doi: [10.1086/524650](https://doi.org/10.1086/524650)
- Harding, L. K., Hallinan, G., Boyle, R. P., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 779, 101, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/779/2/101](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/779/2/101)
- Harp, G. R., Ackermann, R. F., Astorga, A., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 869, 66, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aab98](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aab98)
- Harper, G. M., Brown, A., & Guinan, E. F. 2008, *AJ*, 135, 1430, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/135/4/1430](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/135/4/1430)
- Harris, W. E. 2010, *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:1012.3224. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1012.3224>
- Hart, M. H. 1975, *QJRAS*, 16, 128
- Hartman, J. D., Bakos, G. Á., Kipping, D. M., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 728, 138, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/728/2/138](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/728/2/138)
- Hartmann, L., & Kenyon, S. J. 1996, *ARA&A*, 34, 207, doi: [10.1146/annurev.astro.34.1.207](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.34.1.207)
- Harwit, M. 1981, *Cosmic discovery. The search, scope, and heritage of astronomy*

- Hashimoto, J., Tamura, M., Muto, T., et al. 2011, *ApJL*, 729, L17, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/729/2/L17](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/729/2/L17)
- Hatzes, A. P., Cochran, W. D., Endl, M., et al. 2006, *A&A*, 457, 335, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20065445](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20065445)
- Hawkins, K., Jofré, P., Heiter, U., et al. 2016, *A&A*, 592, A70, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201628268](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201628268)
- Hayakawa, T., Torii, K., Enokiya, R., Amano, T., & Fukui, Y. 2012, *PASJ*, 64, 8, doi: [10.1093/pasj/64.1.8](https://doi.org/10.1093/pasj/64.1.8)
- Heber, U. 2016, *PASP*, 128, 082001, doi: [10.1088/1538-3873/128/966/082001](https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/128/966/082001)
- Heber, U., Edelmann, H., Napiwotzki, R., Altmann, M., & Scholz, R. D. 2008, *A&A*, 483, L21, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:200809767](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:200809767)
- Hébrard, G., Désert, J. M., Díaz, R. F., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 516, A95, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201014327](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201014327)
- Heger, A., Fryer, C. L., Woosley, S. E., Langer, N., & Hartmann, D. H. 2003, *ApJ*, 591, 288, doi: [10.1086/375341](https://doi.org/10.1086/375341)
- Heiter, U., Jofré, P., Gustafsson, B., et al. 2015, *A&A*, 582, A49, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201526319](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201526319)
- Helfand, D. J., Collins, B. F., & Gotthelf, E. V. 2003, *ApJ*, 582, 783, doi: [10.1086/344725](https://doi.org/10.1086/344725)
- Heller, R., & Pudritz, R. E. 2016, *Astrobiology*, 16, 259, doi: [10.1089/ast.2015.1358](https://doi.org/10.1089/ast.2015.1358)
- Herbst, W., & Shevchenko, V. S. 1999, *AJ*, 118, 1043, doi: [10.1086/300966](https://doi.org/10.1086/300966)
- Hermes, J. J., Montgomery, M. H., Gianninas, A., et al. 2013, *MNRAS*, 436, 3573, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt1835](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt1835)
- Hernández-Hernández, V., Zapata, L., Kurtz, S., & Garay, G. 2014, *ApJ*, 786, 38, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/786/1/38](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/786/1/38)
- Hertzprung, E. 1927, *Harvard College Observatory Bulletin*, 845, 3
- Hessels, J. W. T., Ransom, S. M., Stairs, I. H., et al. 2006, *Science*, 311, 1901, doi: [10.1126/science.1123430](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1123430)
- Hewish, A., Bell, S. J., Pilkington, J. D. H., Scott, P. F., & Collins, R. A. 1968, *Nature*, 217, 709, doi: [10.1038/217709a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/217709a0)
- Heyl, J. S. 2005, *PhRvD*, 72, 107302, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevD.72.107302](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.107302)
- Hickson, P. 1993, *Astrophysical Letters and Communications*, 29, 1
- Hickson, P., Mendes de Oliveira, C., Huchra, J. P., & Palumbo, G. G. 1992, *ApJ*, 399, 353, doi: [10.1086/171932](https://doi.org/10.1086/171932)
- Hillenbrand, L. A., Miller, A. A., Carpenter, J. M., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, 874, 82, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab06c8](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab06c8)
- Hillier, D. J., Davidson, K., Ishibashi, K., & Gull, T. 2001, *ApJ*, 553, 837, doi: [10.1086/320948](https://doi.org/10.1086/320948)
- Hilton, E. J., West, A. A., Hawley, S. L., & Kowalski, A. F. 2010, *AJ*, 140, 1402, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/140/5/1402](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/140/5/1402)
- Hinkel, N. R., Timmes, F. X., Young, P. A., Pagano, M. D., & Turnbull, M. C. 2014, *AJ*, 148, 54, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/148/3/54](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/148/3/54)
- Hinkel, N. R., Mamajek, E. E., Turnbull, M. C., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 848, 34, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa8b0f](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa8b0f)
- Hinkle, K. H., & Joyce, R. R. 2014, *ApJ*, 785, 146, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/785/2/146](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/785/2/146)
- Hippke, M., & Angerhausen, D. 2018, *ApJL*, 854, L11, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aaab44](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aaab44)
- Hippke, M., Learned, J. G., Zee, A., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 798, 42, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/798/1/42](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/798/1/42)
- Ho, L. C. 2008, *ARA&A*, 46, 475, doi: [10.1146/annurev.astro.45.051806.110546](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.45.051806.110546)
- Ho, W. C. G., & Andersson, N. 2017, *MNRAS*, 464, L65, doi: [10.1093/mnrasl/slw186](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnrasl/slw186)
- Hoard, D. W., Howell, S. B., & Stencel, R. E. 2010, *ApJ*, 714, 549, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/714/1/549](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/714/1/549)
- Hoffman, Y., Pomarède, D., Tully, R. B., & Courtois, H. M. 2017, *Nature Astronomy*, 1, 0036, doi: [10.1038/s41550-016-0036](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-016-0036)
- Holberg, J. B., Oswald, T. D., Sion, E. M., & McCook, G. P. 2016, *MNRAS*, 462, 2295, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw1357](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw1357)
- Hollands, M. A., Tremblay, P. E., Gänsicke, B. T., Gentile-Fusillo, N. P., & Toonen, S. 2018, *MNRAS*, 480, 3942, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty2057](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty2057)
- Holmberg, J., Nordström, B., & Andersen, J. 2007, *A&A*, 475, 519, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20077221](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20077221)
- Honeycutt, R. K., & Kafka, S. 2004, *AJ*, 128, 1279, doi: [10.1086/422737](https://doi.org/10.1086/422737)
- Hooper, D., & Goodenough, L. 2011, *Physics Letters B*, 697, 412, doi: [10.1016/j.physletb.2011.02.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.02.029)
- Horner, J., Müller, T. G., & Lykawka, P. S. 2012, *MNRAS*, 423, 2587, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21067.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21067.x)
- Horowitz, P., & Sagan, C. 1993, *ApJ*, 415, 218, doi: [10.1086/173157](https://doi.org/10.1086/173157)
- Howard, A. W., Horowitz, P., Wilkinson, D. T., et al. 2004, *ApJ*, 613, 1270, doi: [10.1086/423300](https://doi.org/10.1086/423300)
- Howell, S. B., Rector, T. A., & Walter, D. 2013, *PASP*, 125, 879, doi: [10.1086/672163](https://doi.org/10.1086/672163)
- Hsieh, H. H., Fitzsimmons, A., Joshi, Y., Christian, D., & Pollacco, D. L. 2010, *MNRAS*, 407, 1784, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17016.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17016.x)
- Hu, B. X., D’Orazio, D. J., Haiman, Z., et al. 2020, *MNRAS*, 495, 4061, doi: [10.1093/mnras/staa1312](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa1312)
- Huang, C., Wu, Y., & Triaud, A. H. M. J. 2016, *ApJ*, 825, 98, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/825/2/98](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/825/2/98)
- Huang, R. H. H., Kong, A. K. H., Takata, J., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 760, 92, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/760/1/92](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/760/1/92)
- Huang, Y.-K., Hu, C., Zhao, Y.-L., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, 876, 102, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab16ef](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab16ef)

- Hubble, E. P. 1926, *ApJ*, 64, 321, doi: [10.1086/143018](https://doi.org/10.1086/143018)
- Hudec, R., Peresty, R., & Motch, C. 1990, *A&A*, 235, 174
- Hughes, A. M., Duchêne, G., & Matthews, B. C. 2018, *ARA&A*, 56, 541, doi: [10.1146/annurev-astro-081817-052035](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081817-052035)
- Hughes, T. M., Baes, M., Fritz, J., et al. 2014, *A&A*, 565, A4, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201323245](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201323245)
- Hui, C. Y., Yeung, P. K. H., Ng, C. W., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 457, 4262, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw209](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw209)
- Humphreys, R. M., & Davidson, K. 1979, *ApJ*, 232, 409, doi: [10.1086/157301](https://doi.org/10.1086/157301)
- . 1994, *PASP*, 106, 1025, doi: [10.1086/133478](https://doi.org/10.1086/133478)
- Humphreys, R. M., Davidson, K., & Smith, N. 1999, *PASP*, 111, 1124, doi: [10.1086/316420](https://doi.org/10.1086/316420)
- Hung, T., Liu, S.-Y., Su, Y.-N., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, 872, 61, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aafc23](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aafc23)
- Hurley, K., Boggs, S. E., Smith, D. M., et al. 2005, *Nature*, 434, 1098, doi: [10.1038/nature03519](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03519)
- Huxor, A. P., Phillipps, S., & Price, J. 2013, *MNRAS*, 430, 1956, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt014](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt014)
- Hyman, S. D., Lazio, T. J. W., Kassim, N. E., et al. 2005, *Nature*, 434, 50, doi: [10.1038/nature03400](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03400)
- Icecube Collaboration, Aartsen, M. G., Ackermann, M., et al. 2017, *A&A*, 607, A115, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201730620](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201730620)
- IceCube Collaboration, Aartsen, M. G., Ackermann, M., et al. 2018a, *Science*, 361, 147, doi: [10.1126/science.aat2890](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat2890)
- . 2018b, *Science*, 361, 147, doi: [10.1126/science.aat2890](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat2890)
- Inoue, M., & Yokoo, H. 2011, *Journal of the British Interplanetary Society*, 64, 59
- Iodice, E., Arnaboldi, M., De Lucia, G., et al. 2002, *AJ*, 123, 195, doi: [10.1086/324728](https://doi.org/10.1086/324728)
- Isaacson, H., Siemion, A. P. V., Marcy, G. W., et al. 2019, *PASP*, 131, 014201, doi: [10.1088/1538-3873/aaeae0](https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/aaeae0)
- Isaacson, H., Siemion, A. P. V., Marcy, G. W., et al. 2017, *PASP*, 129, 054501, doi: [10.1088/1538-3873/aa5800](https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/aa5800)
- Isella, A., Guidi, G., Testi, L., et al. 2016, *PhRvL*, 117, 251101, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.251101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.251101)
- Ishigaki, M., Ouchi, M., & Harikane, Y. 2016, *ApJ*, 822, 5, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/822/1/5](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/822/1/5)
- Israel, F. P. 1998, *A&A Rv*, 8, 237, doi: [10.1007/s001590050011](https://doi.org/10.1007/s001590050011)
- Israel, G. L., Hummel, W., Covino, S., et al. 2002, *A&A*, 386, L13, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20020314](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20020314)
- Israel, G. L., Belfiore, A., Stella, L., et al. 2017, *Science*, 355, 817, doi: [10.1126/science.aai8635](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aai8635)
- Izotov, Y. I., Thuan, T. X., Guseva, N. G., & Liss, S. E. 2018, *MNRAS*, 473, 1956, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stx2478](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx2478)
- Jaeger, T. R., Hyman, S. D., Kassim, N. E., & Lazio, T. J. W. 2012, *AJ*, 143, 96, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/143/4/96](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/143/4/96)
- Jahn, D., Rauch, T., Reiff, E., et al. 2007, *A&A*, 462, 281, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20065901](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20065901)
- Jamrozy, M., Klein, U., Mack, K. H., Gregorini, L., & Parma, P. 2004, *A&A*, 427, 79, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20048056](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20048056)
- Jang, I. S., & Lee, M. G. 2017, *ApJ*, 836, 74, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/836/1/74](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/836/1/74)
- Janowiecki, S., Leisman, L., Józsa, G., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 801, 96, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/801/2/96](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/801/2/96)
- Jansky, K. G. 1933, *Popular Astronomy*, 41, 548
- Jarrett, T. H., Cluver, M. E., Brown, M. J. I., et al. 2019, *ApJS*, 245, 25, doi: [10.3847/1538-4365/ab521a](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/ab521a)
- Järvinen, S. P., Berdyugina, S. V., & Strassmeier, K. G. 2005, *A&A*, 440, 735, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20053297](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20053297)
- Järvinen, S. P., Strassmeier, K. G., Carroll, T. A., Ilyin, I., & Weber, M. 2018, *A&A*, 620, A162, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201833496](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833496)
- Jayasinghe, T., Stanek, K. Z., Kochanek, C. S., et al. 2019a, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, 12836, 1
- . 2019b, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, 12703, 1
- Jeffery, C. S. 2008, *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 391, *Hydrogen-Deficient Stars: An Introduction*, ed. A. Werner & T. Rauch, 3
- Jeffery, C. S., Starling, R. L. C., Hill, P. W., & Pollacco, D. 2001, *MNRAS*, 321, 111, doi: [10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.03992.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.03992.x)
- Jester, S., Schneider, D. P., Richards, G. T., et al. 2005, *AJ*, 130, 873, doi: [10.1086/432466](https://doi.org/10.1086/432466)
- Jetsu, L., Pelt, J., & Tuominen, I. 1993, *A&A*, 278, 449
- Jewitt, D. 2005, *AJ*, 129, 530, doi: [10.1086/426328](https://doi.org/10.1086/426328)
- . 2009, *AJ*, 137, 4296, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/137/5/4296](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/137/5/4296)
- Jewitt, D., Hui, M.-T., Kim, Y., et al. 2020, *ApJL*, 888, L23, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/ab621b](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab621b)
- Jewitt, D., & Luu, J. 1993, *Nature*, 362, 730, doi: [10.1038/362730a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/362730a0)
- Jewitt, D., Yang, B., & Haghighipour, N. 2009, *AJ*, 137, 4313, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/137/5/4313](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/137/5/4313)
- Johnson, J. A., Winn, J. N., Albrecht, S., et al. 2009, *PASP*, 121, 1104, doi: [10.1086/644604](https://doi.org/10.1086/644604)
- Jonas, J. L. 2009, *IEEE Proceedings*, 97, 1522, doi: [10.1109/JPROC.2009.2020713](https://doi.org/10.1109/JPROC.2009.2020713)
- Jones, J., White, R. J., Boyajian, T., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 813, 58, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/813/1/58](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/813/1/58)
- Jones, S., Röpke, F. K., Pakmor, R., et al. 2016, *A&A*, 593, A72, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201628321](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201628321)
- Jonker, P. G., Glennie, A., Heida, M., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 779, 14, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/779/1/14](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/779/1/14)

- Jontof-Hutter, D., Rowe, J. F., Lissauer, J. J., Fabrycky, D. C., & Ford, E. B. 2015, *Nature*, 522, 321, doi: [10.1038/nature14494](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14494)
- Joseph, T. D., Maccarone, T. J., & Fender, R. P. 2011, *MNRAS*, 415, L59, doi: [10.1111/j.1745-3933.2011.01078.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3933.2011.01078.x)
- Jura, M. 2003, *ApJL*, 584, L91, doi: [10.1086/374036](https://doi.org/10.1086/374036)
- Justtanont, K., Teyssier, D., Barlow, M. J., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 556, A101, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201321812](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201321812)
- Kaaret, P., Feng, H., & Roberts, T. P. 2017, *ARA&A*, 55, 303, doi: [10.1146/annurev-astro-091916-055259](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-091916-055259)
- Kaaret, P., Prieskorn, Z., in 't Zand, J. J. M., et al. 2007, *ApJL*, 657, L97, doi: [10.1086/513270](https://doi.org/10.1086/513270)
- Kadler, M., Kerp, J., Ros, E., et al. 2004, *A&A*, 420, 467, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20034126](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20034126)
- Kahabka, P., & van den Heuvel, E. P. J. 1997, *ARA&A*, 35, 69, doi: [10.1146/annurev.astro.35.1.69](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.35.1.69)
- Kaluzny, J., Thompson, I. B., Rozyczka, M., et al. 2013, *AJ*, 145, 43, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/145/2/43](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/145/2/43)
- Kaplan, D. L., Hyman, S. D., Roy, S., et al. 2008, *ApJ*, 687, 262, doi: [10.1086/591436](https://doi.org/10.1086/591436)
- Kaplan, D. L., Marsh, T. R., Walker, A. N., et al. 2014a, *ApJ*, 780, 167, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/780/2/167](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/780/2/167)
- Kaplan, D. L., Boyles, J., Dunlap, B. H., et al. 2014b, *ApJ*, 789, 119, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/789/2/119](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/789/2/119)
- Karachentsev, I. D., Karachentseva, V. E., Huchtmeier, W. K., & Makarov, D. I. 2004, *AJ*, 127, 2031, doi: [10.1086/382905](https://doi.org/10.1086/382905)
- Karachentsev, I. D., Makarov, D. I., & Kaisina, E. I. 2013, *AJ*, 145, 101, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/145/4/101](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/145/4/101)
- Karakas, A. I., & Lattanzio, J. C. 2014, *PASA*, 31, e030, doi: [10.1017/pasa.2014.21](https://doi.org/10.1017/pasa.2014.21)
- Karataeva, G. M., Drozdovsky, I. O., Hagen-Thorn, V. A., et al. 2004, *AJ*, 127, 789, doi: [10.1086/380946](https://doi.org/10.1086/380946)
- Kardashev, N. S. 1964, *Soviet Ast.*, 8, 217
- Kasliwal, M. M., Cenko, S. B., Kulkarni, S. R., et al. 2008, *ApJ*, 678, 1127, doi: [10.1086/526407](https://doi.org/10.1086/526407)
- Kasliwal, M. M., Kulkarni, S. R., Gal-Yam, A., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 755, 161, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/755/2/161](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/755/2/161)
- Kataoka, J., Tahara, M., Totani, T., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 779, 57, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/779/1/57](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/779/1/57)
- Katz, B., Driscoll, D., Millyard, K., et al. 1986, *ApJL*, 307, L33, doi: [10.1086/184723](https://doi.org/10.1086/184723)
- Kawka, A., Vennes, S., Oswalt, T. D., Smith, J. A., & Silvestri, N. M. 2006, *ApJL*, 643, L123, doi: [10.1086/505143](https://doi.org/10.1086/505143)
- Kaye, A. B., Handler, G., Krisciunas, K., Poretti, E., & Zerbi, F. M. 1999, *PASP*, 111, 840, doi: [10.1086/316399](https://doi.org/10.1086/316399)
- Keel, W. C., Lintott, C. J., Schawinski, K., et al. 2012, *AJ*, 144, 66, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/144/2/66](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/144/2/66)
- Keller, S. C., Bessell, M. S., Frebel, A., et al. 2014, *Nature*, 506, 463, doi: [10.1038/nature12990](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12990)
- Kellermann, K. I., Sramek, R., Schmidt, M., Shaffer, D. B., & Green, R. 1989, *AJ*, 98, 1195, doi: [10.1086/115207](https://doi.org/10.1086/115207)
- Kellett, B. J., Graffagnino, V., Bingham, R., Muxlow, T. W. B., & Gunn, A. G. 2007, *ArXiv Astrophysics e-prints*
- Kelly, P. L., Diego, J. M., Rodney, S., et al. 2018, *Nature Astronomy*, 2, 334, doi: [10.1038/s41550-018-0430-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-018-0430-3)
- Kenney, J. D. P., Geha, M., Jáchym, P., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 780, 119, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/780/2/119](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/780/2/119)
- Kennicutt, R. C., J., & Hodge, P. W. 1986, *ApJ*, 306, 130, doi: [10.1086/164326](https://doi.org/10.1086/164326)
- Kenworthy, M. A., Lacour, S., Kraus, A., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, 446, 411, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stu2067](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu2067)
- Kenyon, S. J., & Truran, J. W. 1983, *ApJ*, 273, 280, doi: [10.1086/161367](https://doi.org/10.1086/161367)
- Kerber, L. O., Nardiello, D., Ortolani, S., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 853, 15, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aaa3fc](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaa3fc)
- Kervella, P., Mérand, A., Ledoux, C., Demory, B. O., & Le Bouquin, J. B. 2016, *A&A*, 593, A127, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201628631](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201628631)
- Kiefer, F. 2019, *A&A*, 632, L9, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201936942](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201936942)
- Kilic, M., Thorstensen, J. R., Kowalski, P. M., & Andrews, J. 2012, *MNRAS*, 423, L132, doi: [10.1111/j.1745-3933.2012.01271.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3933.2012.01271.x)
- Kilkenny, D., Fontaine, G., Green, E. M., & Schuh, S. 2010, *Information Bulletin on Variable Stars*, 5927, 1
- Kilkenny, D., Koen, C., O'Donoghue, D., & Stobie, R. S. 1997, *MNRAS*, 285, 640, doi: [10.1093/mnras/285.3.640](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/285.3.640)
- Kim, D., Jerjen, H., Mackey, D., Da Costa, G. S., & Milone, A. P. 2016, *ApJ*, 820, 119, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/820/2/119](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/820/2/119)
- Kim, M. K., Hirota, T., Honma, M., et al. 2008, *PASJ*, 60, 991, doi: [10.1093/pasj/60.5.991](https://doi.org/10.1093/pasj/60.5.991)
- King, R. R., McCaughrean, M. J., Homeier, D., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 510, A99, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/200912981](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200912981)
- Kipping, D. M., & Spiegel, D. S. 2011, *MNRAS*, 417, L88, doi: [10.1111/j.1745-3933.2011.01127.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3933.2011.01127.x)
- Kirby, E. N., Boylan-Kolchin, M., Cohen, J. G., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 770, 16, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/770/1/16](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/770/1/16)
- Kirkpatrick, J. D. 2005, *ARA&A*, 43, 195, doi: [10.1146/annurev.astro.42.053102.134017](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.42.053102.134017)
- Kirkpatrick, J. D., Henry, T. J., & McCarthy, Donald W., J. 1991, *ApJS*, 77, 417, doi: [10.1086/191611](https://doi.org/10.1086/191611)

- Kirsch, M. G., Briel, U. G., Burrows, D., et al. 2005, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 5898, Crab: the standard x-ray candle with all (modern) x-ray satellites, ed. O. H. W. Siegmund, 22–33, doi: [10.1117/12.616893](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.616893)
- Klebesadel, R. W. 2012, The discovery of the gamma-ray burst phenomenon, ed. C. Kouveliotou, R. A. M. J. Wijers, & S. Woosley, Cambridge Astrophysics (Cambridge University Press), 1–8, doi: [10.1017/CBO9780511980336.002](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511980336.002)
- Klebesadel, R. W., Strong, I. B., & Olson, R. A. 1973, ApJL, 182, L85, doi: [10.1086/181225](https://doi.org/10.1086/181225)
- Klemola, A. R. 1983, PASP, 95, 241, doi: [10.1086/131150](https://doi.org/10.1086/131150)
- Knödseder, J. 2000, A&A, 360, 539. <https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0007442>
- Knödseder, J., Jean, P., Lonjou, V., et al. 2005, A&A, 441, 513, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20042063](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20042063)
- Kobulnicky, H. A., Chick, W. T., Schurhammer, D. P., et al. 2016, ApJS, 227, 18, doi: [10.3847/0067-0049/227/2/18](https://doi.org/10.3847/0067-0049/227/2/18)
- Kocevski, D. D., & Ebeling, H. 2006, ApJ, 645, 1043, doi: [10.1086/503666](https://doi.org/10.1086/503666)
- Kochukhov, O., Lüftinger, T., Neiner, C., Alecian, E., & MiMeS Collaboration. 2014, A&A, 565, A83, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201423472](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201423472)
- Kochukhov, O., & Wade, G. A. 2010, A&A, 513, A13, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/200913860](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200913860)
- Kolbas, V., Pavlovski, K., Southworth, J., et al. 2015, MNRAS, 451, 4150, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stv1261](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv1261)
- Konacki, M., & Wolszczan, A. 2003, ApJL, 591, L147, doi: [10.1086/377093](https://doi.org/10.1086/377093)
- Koposov, S. E., Boubert, D., Li, T. S., et al. 2020, MNRAS, 491, 2465, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz3081](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz3081)
- Kopparapu, R. K., Ramirez, R. M., SchottelKotte, J., et al. 2014, ApJL, 787, L29, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/787/2/L29](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/787/2/L29)
- Korhonen, H., Berdyugina, S. V., Hackman, T., et al. 1999, A&A, 346, 101
- Kormendy, J., & Bender, R. 2012, ApJS, 198, 2, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/198/1/2](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/198/1/2)
- Kormendy, J., Fisher, D. B., Cornell, M. E., & Bender, R. 2009, ApJS, 182, 216, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/182/1/216](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/182/1/216)
- Koss, M., Blecha, L., Mushotzky, R., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 445, 515, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stu1673](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu1673)
- Kotera, K., & Olinto, A. V. 2011, ARA&A, 49, 119, doi: [10.1146/annurev-astro-081710-102620](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081710-102620)
- Kovacs, G., Hartman, J. D., & Bakos, G. Á. 2019, A&A, 631, A126, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201936207](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201936207)
- Kowalski, A. F., Hawley, S. L., Holtzman, J. A., Wisniewski, J. P., & Hilton, E. J. 2010, ApJL, 714, L98, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/714/1/L98](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/714/1/L98)
- Krauss, L. M., & Starkman, G. D. 2000, ApJ, 531, 22, doi: [10.1086/308434](https://doi.org/10.1086/308434)
- Krautter, J., Oegelman, H., Starrfield, S., Wichmann, R., & Pfeffermann, E. 1996, ApJ, 456, 788, doi: [10.1086/176697](https://doi.org/10.1086/176697)
- Krimm, H. A., Holland, S. T., Corbet, R. H. D., et al. 2013, ApJS, 209, 14, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/209/1/14](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/209/1/14)
- Krtićka, J., Mikulášek, Z., Zverko, J., & Žižňovský, J. 2007, A&A, 470, 1089, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20066627](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20066627)
- Kurtz, M. J., Eichhorn, G., Accomazzi, A., et al. 2000, A&AS, 143, 41, doi: [10.1051/aas:2000170](https://doi.org/10.1051/aas:2000170)
- Kwiatkowski, T., Kryszczyńska, A., Polińska, M., et al. 2009, A&A, 495, 967, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:200810965](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:200810965)
- La Palombara, N., Mereghetti, S., Esposito, P., & Tiengo, A. 2019, A&A, 626, A29, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201935339](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201935339)
- Lacki, B. C. 2016a, ArXiv e-prints. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.05931>
- . 2016b, ArXiv e-prints. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.07844>
- . 2020, ApJ, 905, 18, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/abc1e3](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/abc1e3)
- Lada, C. J. 1987, in IAU Symposium, Vol. 115, Star Forming Regions, ed. M. Peimbert & J. Jugaku, 1
- Lagioia, E. P., Milone, A. P., Stetson, P. B., et al. 2014, ApJ, 782, 50, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/782/1/50](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/782/1/50)
- Lahén, N., Johansson, P. H., Rantala, A., Naab, T., & Frigo, M. 2018, MNRAS, 475, 3934, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty060](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty060)
- Lam, D., Bouwens, R. J., Coe, D., et al. 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1903.08177. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.08177>
- Landstreet, J. D., Bagnulo, S., Andretta, V., et al. 2007, A&A, 470, 685, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20077343](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20077343)
- Laporte, N., Ellis, R. S., Boone, F., et al. 2017, ApJL, 837, L21, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aa62aa](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa62aa)
- Latham, D. W. 2012, NewAR, 56, 16, doi: [10.1016/j.newar.2011.06.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.newar.2011.06.003)
- Latham, D. W., Mazeh, T., Stefanik, R. P., Mayor, M., & Burki, G. 1989, Nature, 339, 38, doi: [10.1038/339038a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/339038a0)
- Latour, M., Fontaine, G., Brassard, P., et al. 2011, ApJ, 733, 100, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/733/2/100](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/733/2/100)
- Lattimer, J. M. 2019, Universe, 5, 159, doi: [10.3390/universe5070159](https://doi.org/10.3390/universe5070159)
- Lauberts, A. 1982, ESO/Uppsala survey of the ESO(B) atlas
- Laughlin, G., Bodenheimer, P., & Adams, F. C. 1997, ApJ, 482, 420, doi: [10.1086/304125](https://doi.org/10.1086/304125)
- Law, C. J., Gaensler, B. M., Metzger, B. D., Ofek, E. O., & Sironi, L. 2018, ApJL, 866, L22, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aae5f3](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aae5f3)

- Law, N. M., Fors, O., Ratzloff, J., et al. 2015, *PASP*, 127, 234, doi: [10.1086/680521](https://doi.org/10.1086/680521)
- Lazorenko, P. F., & Sahlmann, J. 2018, *A&A*, 618, A111, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201833626](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833626)
- Leach, R., Hessman, F. V., King, A. R., Stehle, R., & Mattei, J. 1999, *MNRAS*, 305, 225, doi: [10.1046/j.1365-8711.1999.02450.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.1999.02450.x)
- Learned, J. G., Kudritzki, R.-P., Pakvasa, S., & Zee, A. 2008, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:0809.0339. <https://arxiv.org/abs/0809.0339>
- Lebofsky, M., Croft, S., Siemion, A. P. V., et al. 2019, *PASP*, 131, 124505, doi: [10.1088/1538-3873/ab3e82](https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/ab3e82)
- Lee, B. C., Han, I., & Park, M. G. 2013, *A&A*, 549, A2, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201220301](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201220301)
- Lee, M. G., & Jang, I. S. 2017, *ApJ*, 841, 23, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa6c6a](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa6c6a)
- Leggett, S. K., Tremblin, P., Esplin, T. L., Luhman, K. L., & Morley, C. V. 2017, *ApJ*, 842, 118, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa6fb5](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa6fb5)
- Leiner, E., Mathieu, R. D., & Geller, A. M. 2017, *ApJ*, 840, 67, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa6aff](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa6aff)
- Leiner, E., Mathieu, R. D., Stello, D., Vand erburg, A., & Sandquist, E. 2016, *ApJL*, 832, L13, doi: [10.3847/2041-8205/832/1/L13](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8205/832/1/L13)
- Lelli, F., Fraternali, F., & Sancisi, R. 2010, *A&A*, 516, A11, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/200913808](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200913808)
- Leloudas, G., Fraser, M., Stone, N. C., et al. 2016, *Nature Astronomy*, 1, 0002, doi: [10.1038/s41550-016-0002](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-016-0002)
- Lépine, S., & DiStefano, R. 2012, *ApJL*, 749, L6, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/749/1/L6](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/749/1/L6)
- Leroy, A. K., Walter, F., Martini, P., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 814, 83, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/814/2/83](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/814/2/83)
- Levison, H. F. 1996, *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 107, *Comet Taxonomy*, ed. T. Rettig & J. M. Hahn, 173–191
- Lewin, W. H. G., van Paradijs, J., & Taam, R. E. 1993, *SSRv*, 62, 223, doi: [10.1007/BF00196124](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00196124)
- Li, T., Bedding, T. R., Kjeldsen, H., et al. 2019, *MNRAS*, 483, 780, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty3000](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty3000)
- Liang, H., Ekers, R. D., Hunstead, R. W., Falco, E. E., & Shaver, P. 2001, *MNRAS*, 328, L21, doi: [10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.05045.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.05045.x)
- Libby-Roberts, J. E., Berta-Thompson, Z. K., Désert, J.-M., et al. 2020, *AJ*, 159, 57, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/ab5d36](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ab5d36)
- Libert, Y., Winters, J. M., Le Bertre, T., Gérard, E., & Matthews, L. D. 2010, *A&A*, 515, A112, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/200912731](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200912731)
- Licquia, T. C., & Newman, J. A. 2015, *ApJ*, 806, 96, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/806/1/96](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/806/1/96)
- Licquia, T. C., Newman, J. A., & Brinchmann, J. 2015, *ApJ*, 809, 96, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/809/1/96](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/809/1/96)
- Liebert, J., Bergeron, P., & Holberg, J. B. 2005, *ApJS*, 156, 47, doi: [10.1086/425738](https://doi.org/10.1086/425738)
- Liljestrom, T., Mattila, K., Toriseva, M., & Anttila, R. 1989, *A&AS*, 79, 19
- Lin, Y., Liu, H. B., Dale, J. E., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 840, 22, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa6c67](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa6c67)
- Lingam, M., Ginsburg, I., & Bialy, S. 2019, *ApJ*, 877, 62, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab1b2f](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab1b2f)
- Lingam, M., & Loeb, A. 2017, *ApJL*, 837, L23, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aa633e](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa633e)
- . 2020, *ApJ*, 894, 36, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab7dc7](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab7dc7)
- Lipman, D., Isaacson, H., Siemion, A. P. V., et al. 2019, *PASP*, 131, 034202, doi: [10.1088/1538-3873/aafe86](https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/aafe86)
- Lipunov, V., Gorbvskoy, E., Kornilov, V., et al. 2020, *GRB Coordinates Network*, 27007, 1
- Liszt, H. S., Pety, J., & Tachihara, K. 2009, *A&A*, 499, 503, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:200810905](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:200810905)
- Litke, K. C., Marrone, D. P., Spilker, J. S., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, 870, 80, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aaf057](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaf057)
- Liu, C., Peng, E. W., Toloba, E., et al. 2015, *ApJL*, 812, L2, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/812/1/L2](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/812/1/L2)
- Liu, F., Yong, D., Asplund, M., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 614, A138, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201832701](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832701)
- Lo, K. Y. 2005, *ARA&A*, 43, 625, doi: [10.1146/annurev.astro.41.011802.094927](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.41.011802.094927)
- Lobel, A., Dupree, A. K., Stefanik, R. P., et al. 2003, *ApJ*, 583, 923, doi: [10.1086/345503](https://doi.org/10.1086/345503)
- Loeb, A. 2018, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1811.08832. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.08832>
- Loeb, A., Batista, R. A., & Sloan, D. 2016, *JCAP*, 2016, 040, doi: [10.1088/1475-7516/2016/08/040](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2016/08/040)
- Loebman, S. R., Wisniewski, J. P., Schmidt, S. J., et al. 2015, *AJ*, 149, 17, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/149/1/17](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/149/1/17)
- Loose, H.-H., & Thuan, T. X. 1986, *ApJ*, 309, 59, doi: [10.1086/164577](https://doi.org/10.1086/164577)
- Lopez, B., Schneider, J., & Danchi, W. C. 2005, *ApJ*, 627, 974, doi: [10.1086/430416](https://doi.org/10.1086/430416)
- Lorenz, R. D., Lunine, J. I., & McKay, C. P. 1997, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 24, 2905, doi: [10.1029/97GL52843](https://doi.org/10.1029/97GL52843)
- Lorimer, D. R., Bailes, M., McLaughlin, M. A., Narkevic, D. J., & Crawford, F. 2007, *Science*, 318, 777, doi: [10.1126/science.1147532](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1147532)
- Loubser, S. I., & Sánchez-Blázquez, P. 2011, *MNRAS*, 410, 2679, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17666.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17666.x)
- Lovis, C., Mayor, M., Bouchy, F., et al. 2005, *A&A*, 437, 1121, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20052864](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20052864)
- Luhman, K. L. 2014, *ApJL*, 786, L18, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/786/2/L18](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/786/2/L18)

- Luhman, K. L., Adame, L., D'Alessio, P., et al. 2005, *ApJL*, 635, L93, doi: [10.1086/498868](https://doi.org/10.1086/498868)
- Luhman, K. L., Burgasser, A. J., Labbé, I., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 744, 135, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/744/2/135](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/744/2/135)
- Lundgren, S. C., Cordes, J. M., Ulmer, M., et al. 1995, *ApJ*, 453, 433, doi: [10.1086/176404](https://doi.org/10.1086/176404)
- Lyne, A. G., & Bailes, M. 1992, *Nature*, 355, 213, doi: [10.1038/355213b0](https://doi.org/10.1038/355213b0)
- Lyubimkov, L. S., Lambert, D. L., Rostopchin, S. I., Rachkovskaya, T. M., & Poklad, D. B. 2010, *MNRAS*, 402, 1369, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.15979.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.15979.x)
- Ma, J., de Grijs, R., Yang, Y., et al. 2006, *MNRAS*, 368, 1443, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10231.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10231.x)
- Ma, J., Gonzalez, A. H., Vieira, J. D., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 832, 114, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/832/2/114](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/832/2/114)
- Machalski, J., Koziel-Wierzbowska, D., Jamrozy, M., & Saikia, D. J. 2008, *ApJ*, 679, 149, doi: [10.1086/586703](https://doi.org/10.1086/586703)
- Macías, E., Espaillat, C. C., Ribas, Á., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 865, 37, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aad811](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aad811)
- Mackenzie, R., Shanks, T., Bremer, M. N., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, 470, 2328, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stx931](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx931)
- Mackey, A. D., & van den Bergh, S. 2005, *MNRAS*, 360, 631, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.09080.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.09080.x)
- MacMahon, D. H. E., Price, D. C., Lebofsky, M., et al. 2018, *PASP*, 130, 044502, doi: [10.1088/1538-3873/aa80d2](https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/aa80d2)
- Madau, P., & Dickinson, M. 2014, *ARA&A*, 52, 415, doi: [10.1146/annurev-astro-081811-125615](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081811-125615)
- Madore, B. F., Freedman, W. L., Hatt, D., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 858, 11, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aab7f4](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aab7f4)
- Main, R., Yang, I. S., Chan, V., et al. 2018, *Nature*, 557, 522, doi: [10.1038/s41586-018-0133-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0133-z)
- Maíz-Apellániz, J., Pérez, E., & Mas-Hesse, J. M. 2004, *AJ*, 128, 1196, doi: [10.1086/422925](https://doi.org/10.1086/422925)
- Maley, P. D. 1987, *ApJL*, 317, L39, doi: [10.1086/184909](https://doi.org/10.1086/184909)
- Mamajek, E. E., Quillen, A. C., Pecaut, M. J., et al. 2012, *AJ*, 143, 72, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/143/3/72](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/143/3/72)
- Manchester, R. N., Hobbs, G. B., Teoh, A., & Hobbs, M. 2005, *AJ*, 129, 1993, doi: [10.1086/428488](https://doi.org/10.1086/428488)
- Maraston, C., Bastian, N., Saglia, R. P., et al. 2004, *A&A*, 416, 467, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20031604](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20031604)
- Marchenko, S. V., Moffat, A. F. J., Ballereau, D., et al. 2003, *ApJ*, 596, 1295, doi: [10.1086/378154](https://doi.org/10.1086/378154)
- Marcote, B., Nimmo, K., Salafia, O. S., et al. 2019, *ApJL*, 876, L14, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/ab1aad](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab1aad)
- Marcote, B., Nimmo, K., Hessels, J. W. T., et al. 2020, *Nature*, 577, 190, doi: [10.1038/s41586-019-1866-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1866-z)
- Margalit, B., & Metzger, B. D. 2018, *ApJL*, 868, L4, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aaedad](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aaedad)
- Margutti, R., Parrent, J., Kamble, A., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 790, 52, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/790/1/52](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/790/1/52)
- Margutti, R., Metzger, B. D., Chornock, R., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, 872, 18, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aafa01](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aafa01)
- Markevitch, M., Gonzalez, A. H., David, L., et al. 2002, *ApJL*, 567, L27, doi: [10.1086/339619](https://doi.org/10.1086/339619)
- Markevitch, M., & Vikhlinin, A. 2007, *PhR*, 443, 1, doi: [10.1016/j.physrep.2007.01.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2007.01.001)
- Markova, N., Puls, J., & Langer, N. 2018, *A&A*, 613, A12, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201731361](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201731361)
- Markwardt, C. B., Gavriil, F. P., Palmer, D. M., Baumgartner, W. H., & Barthelmy, S. D. 2009, *GRB Coordinates Network*, 9645, 1
- Marois, C., Macintosh, B., Barman, T., et al. 2008, *Science*, 322, 1348, doi: [10.1126/science.1166585](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1166585)
- Marois, C., Zuckerman, B., Konopacky, Q. M., Macintosh, B., & Barman, T. 2010, *Nature*, 468, 1080, doi: [10.1038/nature09684](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09684)
- Marques-Chaves, R., Pérez-Fournon, I., Gavazzi, R., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 854, 151, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aaabb7](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaabb7)
- Marrone, D. P., Baganoff, F. K., Morris, M. R., et al. 2008, *ApJ*, 682, 373, doi: [10.1086/588806](https://doi.org/10.1086/588806)
- Marsh, T. R., Armstrong, D. J., & Carter, P. J. 2014, *MNRAS*, 445, 309, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stu1733](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu1733)
- Marsh, T. R., Gänsicke, B. T., Hümmerich, S., et al. 2016, *Nature*, 537, 374, doi: [10.1038/nature18620](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18620)
- Marshall, F. E., Gotthelf, E. V., Zhang, W., Middleditch, J., & Wang, Q. D. 1998, *ApJL*, 499, L179, doi: [10.1086/311381](https://doi.org/10.1086/311381)
- Marshall, H. L., Canizares, C. R., Hillwig, T., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 775, 75, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/775/1/75](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/775/1/75)
- Martel, A. R., Sparks, W. B., Macchetto, D., et al. 1998, *AJ*, 115, 1348, doi: [10.1086/300293](https://doi.org/10.1086/300293)
- Martin, N. F., de Jong, J. T. A., & Rix, H.-W. 2008, *ApJ*, 684, 1075, doi: [10.1086/590336](https://doi.org/10.1086/590336)
- Martín, S., Aalto, S., Sakamoto, K., et al. 2016, *A&A*, 590, A25, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201528064](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201528064)
- Martins, F., Genzel, R., Hillier, D. J., et al. 2007, *A&A*, 468, 233, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20066688](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20066688)
- Maselli, A., Massaro, F., Cusumano, G., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 460, 3829, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw1222](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw1222)
- Massaro, F., D'Abrusco, R., Landoni, M., et al. 2015, *ApJS*, 217, 2, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/217/1/2](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/217/1/2)
- Masseron, T., García-Hernández, D. A., Santoveña, R., et al. 2020, *Nature Communications*, 11, 3759, doi: [10.1038/s41467-020-17649-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17649-9)
- Massey, P., McNeill, R. T., Olsen, K. A. G., et al. 2007, *AJ*, 134, 2474, doi: [10.1086/523658](https://doi.org/10.1086/523658)
- Massey, P., & Thompson, A. B. 1991, *AJ*, 101, 1408, doi: [10.1086/115774](https://doi.org/10.1086/115774)
- Masuda, K. 2014, *ApJ*, 783, 53, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/783/1/53](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/783/1/53)

- Masuda, K., Kawahara, H., Latham, D. W., et al. 2019, *ApJL*, 881, L3, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/ab321b](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab321b)
- Mateo, M. L. 1998, *ARA&A*, 36, 435, doi: [10.1146/annurev.astro.36.1.435](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.36.1.435)
- Mathieu, R. D., van den Berg, M., Torres, G., et al. 2003, *AJ*, 125, 246, doi: [10.1086/344944](https://doi.org/10.1086/344944)
- Matsuoka, M., Kawasaki, K., Ueno, S., et al. 2009, *PASJ*, 61, 999, doi: [10.1093/pasj/61.5.999](https://doi.org/10.1093/pasj/61.5.999)
- Matthews, L. D., Gallagher, J. S., I., & van Driel, W. 1999, *AJ*, 118, 2751, doi: [10.1086/301128](https://doi.org/10.1086/301128)
- Mayor, M., & Queloz, D. 1995, *Nature*, 378, 355, doi: [10.1038/378355a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/378355a0)
- . 2012, *NewAR*, 56, 19, doi: [10.1016/j.newar.2011.06.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.newar.2011.06.005)
- McBreen, S., Foley, S., Watson, D., et al. 2008, *ApJL*, 677, L85, doi: [10.1086/588189](https://doi.org/10.1086/588189)
- McCarthy, K., & White, R. J. 2012, *AJ*, 143, 134, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/143/6/134](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/143/6/134)
- McClintock, J. E., Shafee, R., Narayan, R., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 652, 518, doi: [10.1086/508457](https://doi.org/10.1086/508457)
- McCollum, B., & Laine, S. 2019a, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, 13111, 1
- . 2019b, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, 12849, 1
- McConnachie, A. W. 2012, *AJ*, 144, 4, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/144/1/4](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/144/1/4)
- McConnachie, A. W., Irwin, M. J., Ferguson, A. M. N., et al. 2005, *MNRAS*, 356, 979, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.08514.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.08514.x)
- McDonald, M., Bayliss, M., Benson, B. A., et al. 2012, *Nature*, 488, 349, doi: [10.1038/nature11379](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11379)
- McKinley, B., Briggs, F., Kaplan, D. L., et al. 2013, *AJ*, 145, 23, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/145/1/23](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/145/1/23)
- McLaughlin, M. A., Lyne, A. G., Lorimer, D. R., et al. 2006, *Nature*, 439, 817, doi: [10.1038/nature04440](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04440)
- Medezinski, E., Umetsu, K., Nonino, M., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 777, 43, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/777/1/43](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/777/1/43)
- Meech, K. J., Weryk, R., Micheli, M., et al. 2017, *Nature*, 552, 378, doi: [10.1038/nature25020](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25020)
- Mehner, A., de Wit, W. J., Asmus, D., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 630, L6, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201936277](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201936277)
- Mehrgan, K., Thomas, J., Saglia, R., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, 887, 195, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab5856](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab5856)
- Mei, S., Blakeslee, J. P., Côté, P., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, 655, 144, doi: [10.1086/509598](https://doi.org/10.1086/509598)
- Meier, D. S., Turner, J. L., & Beck, S. C. 2001, *AJ*, 122, 1770, doi: [10.1086/323136](https://doi.org/10.1086/323136)
- Melnick, J. 1980, *A&A*, 86, 304
- Meng, H. Y. A., Su, K. Y. L., Rieke, G. H., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 805, 77, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/805/1/77](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/805/1/77)
- Mentel, R. T., Kenworthy, M. A., Cameron, D. A., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 619, A157, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201834004](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834004)
- Menten, K. M., Reid, M. J., Kamiński, T., & Claussen, M. J. 2012, *A&A*, 543, A73, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201219422](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201219422)
- Messerschmitt, D. G. 2015, *Acta Astronautica*, 107, 20, doi: [10.1016/j.actaastro.2014.11.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actaastro.2014.11.007)
- Meyer, L., Ghez, A. M., Schödel, R., et al. 2012, *Science*, 338, 84, doi: [10.1126/science.1225506](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1225506)
- Meylan, G., Sarajedini, A., Jablonka, P., et al. 2001, *AJ*, 122, 830, doi: [10.1086/321166](https://doi.org/10.1086/321166)
- Meza, N., Prieto, J. L., Clocchiatti, A., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 629, A57, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201834972](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834972)
- Micheli, M., Farnocchia, D., Meech, K. J., et al. 2018, *Nature*, 559, 223, doi: [10.1038/s41586-018-0254-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0254-4)
- Micheva, G., Oey, M. S., Jaskot, A. E., & James, B. L. 2017, *ApJ*, 845, 165, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa830b](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa830b)
- Michilli, D., Seymour, A., Hessels, J. W. T., et al. 2018, *Nature*, 553, 182, doi: [10.1038/nature25149](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25149)
- Mikulášek, Z., Krtička, J., Shultz, M. E., et al. 2020, 11, 46, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.04121>
- Miller-Jones, J. C. A., Jonker, P. G., Dhawan, V., et al. 2009, *ApJL*, 706, L230, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/706/2/L230](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/706/2/L230)
- Miniutti, G., Saxton, R. D., Giustini, M., et al. 2019, *Nature*, 573, 381, doi: [10.1038/s41586-019-1556-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1556-x)
- Mirabel, I. F., Dottori, H., & Lutz, D. 1992, *A&A*, 256, L19
- Mkrtrichian, D. E., Hatzes, A. P., Saio, H., & Shobbrook, R. R. 2008, *A&A*, 490, 1109, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:200809890](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:200809890)
- Modjaz, M., Li, W., Butler, N., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, 702, 226, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/702/1/226](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/702/1/226)
- Moffett, T. J., & Vanden Bout, P. A. 1973, *Information Bulletin on Variable Stars*, 833, 1
- Molnar, L. A., Van Noord, D. M., Kinemuchi, K., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 840, 1, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa6ba7](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa6ba7)
- Monnier, J. D., Zhao, M., Pedretti, E., et al. 2011, *ApJL*, 742, L1, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/742/1/L1](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/742/1/L1)
- Monnier, J. D., Che, X., Zhao, M., et al. 2012, *ApJL*, 761, L3, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/761/1/L3](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/761/1/L3)
- Montalto, M., Riffeser, A., Hopp, U., Wilke, S., & Carraro, G. 2008, *A&A*, 479, L45, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20079130](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20079130)
- Montet, B. T., & Simon, J. D. 2016, *ApJL*, 830, L39, doi: [10.3847/2041-8205/830/2/L39](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8205/830/2/L39)
- Montez, Rodolfo, J., Kastner, J. H., Humphreys, R. M., Turok, R. L., & Davidson, K. 2015, *ApJ*, 800, 4, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/800/1/4](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/800/1/4)

- Moorman, S. Y., Quarles, B. L., Wang, Z., & Cuntz, M. 2019, *International Journal of Astrobiology*, 18, 79, doi: [10.1017/S1473550418000058](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1473550418000058)
- Morgan, W. W., & Keenan, P. C. 1973, *ARA&A*, 11, 29, doi: [10.1146/annurev.aa.11.090173.000333](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.aa.11.090173.000333)
- Morgan, W. W., & Lesh, J. R. 1965, *ApJ*, 142, 1364, doi: [10.1086/148422](https://doi.org/10.1086/148422)
- Morris, P. W., Crowther, P. A., & Houck, J. R. 2004, *ApJS*, 154, 413, doi: [10.1086/422878](https://doi.org/10.1086/422878)
- Mortier, A., Santos, N. C., Sozzetti, A., et al. 2012, *A&A*, 543, A45, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201118651](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201118651)
- Moskovitz, N. A., Lawrence, S., Jedicke, R., et al. 2008, *ApJL*, 682, L57, doi: [10.1086/591030](https://doi.org/10.1086/591030)
- Motta, V., Ibar, E., Verdugo, T., et al. 2018, *ApJL*, 863, L16, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aad6de](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aad6de)
- Moustakas, J., Kennicutt, Robert C., J., Tremonti, C. A., et al. 2010, *ApJS*, 190, 233, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/190/2/233](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/190/2/233)
- Muñoz, C., Geisler, D., Villanova, S., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 620, A96, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201833373](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833373)
- Mucciarelli, A., Salaris, M., Lanzoni, B., et al. 2013, *ApJL*, 772, L27, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/772/2/L27](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/772/2/L27)
- Mukherjee, D., Bult, P., van der Klis, M., & Bhattacharya, D. 2015, *MNRAS*, 452, 3994, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stv1542](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv1542)
- Munari, U., Henden, A., Kiyota, S., et al. 2002, *A&A*, 389, L51, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20020715](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20020715)
- Murase, K., Oikonomou, F., & Petropoulou, M. 2018, *ApJ*, 865, 124, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aada00](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aada00)
- Murphy, T., Kaplan, D. L., Croft, S., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, 466, 1944, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw3087](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw3087)
- Muxlow, T. W. B., Beswick, R. J., Garrington, S. T., et al. 2010, *MNRAS*, 404, L109, doi: [10.1111/j.1745-3933.2010.00845.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3933.2010.00845.x)
- Nagai, H., Onishi, K., Kawakatu, N., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, 883, 193, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab3e6e](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab3e6e)
- Nakashima, J.-i., Deguchi, S., Imai, H., Kembell, A., & Lewis, B. M. 2011, *ApJ*, 728, 76, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/728/2/76](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/728/2/76)
- Narloch, W., Pietrzyński, G., Kołaczowski, Z., et al. 2019, *MNRAS*, 489, 3285, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz2112](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz2112)
- Naslim, N., Jeffery, C. S., Behara, N. T., & Hibbert, A. 2011, *MNRAS*, 412, 363, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17909.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17909.x)
- Natale, G., Marconi, M., & Bono, G. 2008, *ApJL*, 674, L93, doi: [10.1086/526518](https://doi.org/10.1086/526518)
- Natta, A., Testi, L., Neri, R., Shepherd, D. S., & Wilner, D. J. 2004, *A&A*, 416, 179, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20035620](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20035620)
- Naylor, B. J., Bradford, C. M., Aguirre, J. E., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, 722, 668, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/722/1/668](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/722/1/668)
- Neilson, H. R., Engle, S. G., Guinan, E. F., Bisol, A. C., & Butterworth, N. 2016, *ApJ*, 824, 1, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/824/1/1](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/824/1/1)
- Nelan, E. P., & Bond, H. E. 2013, *ApJL*, 773, L26, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/773/2/L26](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/773/2/L26)
- Nelson, T., Chomiuk, L., Roy, N., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 785, 78, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/785/1/78](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/785/1/78)
- Nemiroff, R. J. 1994, *Comments on Astrophysics*, 17, 189, <https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9402012>
- Nemiroff, R. J., & Shamir, L. 2006, *GRB Coordinates Network*, 4998, 1
- Neustroev, V. V., Suleimanov, V. F., Borisov, N. V., Belyakov, K. V., & Shearer, A. 2011, *MNRAS*, 410, 963, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17495.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17495.x)
- Newman, A. B., Belli, S., Ellis, R. S., & Patel, S. G. 2018, *ApJ*, 862, 125, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aacd4d](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aacd4d)
- Nielbock, M., Launhardt, R., Steinacker, J., et al. 2012, *A&A*, 547, A11, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201219139](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201219139)
- Niiuma, K., Asuma, K., Kuniyoshi, M., et al. 2007, *ApJL*, 657, L37, doi: [10.1086/512970](https://doi.org/10.1086/512970)
- Norris, J. E., Yong, D., Bessell, M. S., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 762, 28, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/762/1/28](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/762/1/28)
- Norris, M. A., Escudero, C. G., Faifer, F. R., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, 451, 3615, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stv1221](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv1221)
- Norris, M. A., & Kannappan, S. J. 2011, *MNRAS*, 414, 739, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.18440.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.18440.x)
- Norris, M. A., Kannappan, S. J., Forbes, D. A., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, 443, 1151, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stu1186](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu1186)
- Norris, R. P. 2017, *PASA*, 34, e007, doi: [10.1017/pasa.2016.63](https://doi.org/10.1017/pasa.2016.63)
- Norris, R. P., Intema, H. T., Kapińska, A. D., et al. 2021, *PASA*, 38, e003, doi: [10.1017/pasa.2020.52](https://doi.org/10.1017/pasa.2020.52)
- North, J. R., Tuthill, P. G., Tango, W. J., & Davis, J. 2007, *MNRAS*, 377, 415, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.11608.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.11608.x)
- Oates, S. R., Motta, S., Beardmore, A. P., et al. 2019, *MNRAS*, 488, 4843, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz1998](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz1998)
- Ochsenbein, F., Bauer, P., & Marcout, J. 2000, *A&AS*, 143, 23, doi: [10.1051/aas:2000169](https://doi.org/10.1051/aas:2000169)
- O'Connell, R. W., Gallagher, John S., I., Hunter, D. A., & Colley, W. N. 1995, *ApJL*, 446, L1, doi: [10.1086/187916](https://doi.org/10.1086/187916)
- O'Dea, C. P. 1998, *PASP*, 110, 493, doi: [10.1086/316162](https://doi.org/10.1086/316162)
- O'Donoghue, D., Lynas-Gray, A. E., Kilkenny, D., Stobie, R. S., & Koen, C. 1997, *MNRAS*, 285, 657, doi: [10.1093/mnras/285.3.657](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/285.3.657)
- Oesch, P. A., Brammer, G., van Dokkum, P. G., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 819, 129, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/819/2/129](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/819/2/129)
- Ofek, E. O. 2009, *PASP*, 121, 814, doi: [10.1086/605389](https://doi.org/10.1086/605389)
- Ofek, E. O., Breslauer, B., Gal-Yam, A., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, 711, 517, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/711/1/517](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/711/1/517)

- Ofek, E. O., Cameron, P. B., Kasliwal, M. M., et al. 2007, *ApJL*, 659, L13, doi: [10.1086/516749](https://doi.org/10.1086/516749)
- Ofir, A., & Dreizler, S. 2013, *A&A*, 555, A58, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201219877](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201219877)
- Ogle, P. M., Lanz, L., Appleton, P. N., Helou, G., & Mazzarella, J. 2019, *ApJS*, 243, 14, doi: [10.3847/1538-4365/ab21c3](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/ab21c3)
- Ogle, P. M., Lanz, L., Nader, C., & Helou, G. 2016, *ApJ*, 817, 109, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/817/2/109](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/817/2/109)
- O’Gorman, E., Harper, G. M., & Vlemmings, W. 2017, *A&A*, 599, A47, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201629550](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201629550)
- Ohnaka, K., Weigelt, G., & Hofmann, K.-H. 2019, *ApJ*, 883, 89, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab3d2a](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab3d2a)
- Ohno, M., Iwakiri, W., Suzuki, M., et al. 2009, *GRB Coordinates Network*, 9653, 1
- Olausen, S. A., & Kaspi, V. M. 2014, *ApJS*, 212, 6, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/212/1/6](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/212/1/6)
- Origlia, L., Valenti, E., & Rich, R. M. 2005, *MNRAS*, 356, 1276, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.08529.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.08529.x)
- Orosz, J. A., & van Kerkwijk, M. H. 2003, *A&A*, 397, 237, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20021468](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20021468)
- Ortiz, J. L., Duffard, R., Pinilla-Alonso, N., et al. 2015, *A&A*, 576, A18, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201424461](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201424461)
- Osaki, Y. 1996, *PASP*, 108, 39, doi: [10.1086/133689](https://doi.org/10.1086/133689)
- Osmanov, Z. 2016, *International Journal of Astrobiology*, 15, 127, doi: [10.1017/S1473550415000257](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1473550415000257)
- Osten, R. A., & Brown, A. 1999, *ApJ*, 515, 746, doi: [10.1086/307034](https://doi.org/10.1086/307034)
- Osten, R. A., Hawley, S. L., Allred, J. C., Johns-Krull, C. M., & Roark, C. 2005, *ApJ*, 621, 398, doi: [10.1086/427275](https://doi.org/10.1086/427275)
- Osterbrock, D. E. 1977, *ApJ*, 215, 733, doi: [10.1086/155407](https://doi.org/10.1086/155407)
- Otí-Floranes, H., Mas-Hesse, J. M., Jiménez-Bailón, E., et al. 2012, *A&A*, 546, A65, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201219318](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201219318)
- ’Oumuamua ISSI Team, Bannister, M. T., Bhandare, A., et al. 2019, *Nature Astronomy*, 3, 594, doi: [10.1038/s41550-019-0816-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-019-0816-x)
- Pablo, H., Richardson, N. D., Fuller, J., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, 467, 2494, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stx207](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx207)
- Padovani, P., Alexander, D. M., Assef, R. J., et al. 2017, *A&A Rv*, 25, 2, doi: [10.1007/s00159-017-0102-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00159-017-0102-9)
- Page, D., Beznogov, M. V., Garibay, I., et al. 2020, *ApJ*, 898, 125, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab93c2](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab93c2)
- Pál, A., Kiss, C., Müller, T. G., et al. 2012, *A&A*, 541, L6, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201218874](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201218874)
- Pandey-Pommier, M., Richard, J., Combes, F., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 557, A117, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201321809](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201321809)
- Pandya, V., Romanowsky, A. J., Laine, S., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 858, 29, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aab498](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aab498)
- Papagiannis, M. D. 1978, *QJRAS*, 19, 277
- Parker, Q. A., Zijlstra, A. A., Stupar, M., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, 452, 3759, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stv1432](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv1432)
- Patruno, A. 2010, *ApJ*, 722, 909, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/722/1/909](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/722/1/909)
- Patterson, J. 1994, *PASP*, 106, 209, doi: [10.1086/133375](https://doi.org/10.1086/133375)
- Patterson, J., Oksanen, A., Kemp, J., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, 466, 581, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw2970](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw2970)
- Paumard, T., Genzel, R., Martins, F., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 643, 1011, doi: [10.1086/503273](https://doi.org/10.1086/503273)
- Pavlov, G. G., Kargaltsev, O., Garmire, G. P., & Wolszczan, A. 2007, *ApJ*, 664, 1072, doi: [10.1086/518926](https://doi.org/10.1086/518926)
- Pearl, J. C., Conrath, B. J., Hanel, R. A., Pirraglia, J. A., & Coustenis, A. 1990, *Icarus*, 84, 12, doi: [10.1016/0019-1035\(90\)90155-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(90)90155-3)
- Peeples, M. S., Pogge, R. W., & Stanek, K. Z. 2008, *ApJ*, 685, 904, doi: [10.1086/591492](https://doi.org/10.1086/591492)
- Peissker, F., Eckart, A., Zajacek, M., Ali, B., & Parsa, M. 2020, *The Astronomer’s Telegram*, 13935, 1
- Peißker, F., Eckart, A., Zajaček, M., Ali, B., & Parsa, M. 2020, *ApJ*, 899, 50, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab9c1c](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab9c1c)
- Penny, A. J. 2013, *European Physical Journal H*, 38, 535, doi: [10.1140/epjh/e2012-30052-6](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjh/e2012-30052-6)
- Penzias, A. A., & Wilson, R. W. 1965, *ApJ*, 142, 419, doi: [10.1086/148307](https://doi.org/10.1086/148307)
- Pepe, F., Lovis, C., Ségransan, D., et al. 2011, *A&A*, 534, A58, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201117055](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201117055)
- Pérez, S., Hales, A., Liu, H. B., et al. 2020, *ApJ*, 889, 59, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab5c1b](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab5c1b)
- Perley, R. A., & Butler, B. J. 2017, *ApJS*, 230, 7, doi: [10.3847/1538-4365/aa6df9](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/aa6df9)
- Perley, R. A., Dreher, J. W., & Cowan, J. J. 1984, *ApJL*, 285, L35, doi: [10.1086/184360](https://doi.org/10.1086/184360)
- Perlmutter, S., Aldering, G., Goldhaber, G., et al. 1999, *ApJ*, 517, 565, doi: [10.1086/307221](https://doi.org/10.1086/307221)
- Perryman, M. A. C., Lindegren, L., Kovalevsky, J., et al. 1997, *A&A*, 500, 501
- Persson, M. V., Jørgensen, J. K., Müller, H. S. P., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 610, A54, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201731684](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201731684)
- Peterson, B. M. 1997, *An Introduction to Active Galactic Nuclei*
- Peterson, D. M., Hummel, C. A., Pauls, T. A., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 636, 1087, doi: [10.1086/497981](https://doi.org/10.1086/497981)
- Petroff, E., Keane, E. F., Barr, E. D., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, 451, 3933, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stv1242](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv1242)
- Petrovich, C., & Tremaine, S. 2016, *ApJ*, 829, 132, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/829/2/132](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/829/2/132)
- Phifer, K., Do, T., Meyer, L., et al. 2013, *ApJL*, 773, L13, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/773/1/L13](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/773/1/L13)
- Philip, A. G. D. 1968, *PASP*, 80, 171, doi: [10.1086/128606](https://doi.org/10.1086/128606)

- Phillipps, S., Drinkwater, M. J., Gregg, M. D., & Jones, J. B. 2001, *ApJ*, 560, 201, doi: [10.1086/322517](https://doi.org/10.1086/322517)
- Phinney, E. S., & Hansen, B. M. S. 1993, in *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 36, *Planets Around Pulsars*, ed. J. A. Phillips, S. E. Thorsett, & S. R. Kulkarni, 371–390
- Pietrukowicz, P., Dziembowski, W. A., Latour, M., et al. 2017, *Nature Astronomy*, 1, 0166, doi: [10.1038/s41550-017-0166](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-017-0166)
- Pietrzyński, G., Graczyk, D., Galloway, A., et al. 2019, *Nature*, 567, 200, doi: [10.1038/s41586-019-0999-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-0999-4)
- Pihlström, Y. M., Baan, W. A., Darling, J., & Klöckner, H. R. 2005, *ApJ*, 618, 705, doi: [10.1086/426098](https://doi.org/10.1086/426098)
- Pilecki, B., Gieren, W., Pietrzyński, G., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 862, 43, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aac32](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aac32)
- Pillitteri, I., Sciortino, S., Reale, F., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 623, A67, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201834204](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834204)
- Pinchuk, P., Margot, J.-L., Greenberg, A. H., et al. 2019, *AJ*, 157, 122, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/ab0105](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ab0105)
- Pinte, C., Price, D. J., Ménard, F., et al. 2018, *ApJL*, 860, L13, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aac6dc](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aac6dc)
- Piro, A. L., & Vissapragada, S. 2020, *AJ*, 159, 131, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/ab7192](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ab7192)
- Pittard, J. M., & Dougherty, S. M. 2006, *MNRAS*, 372, 801, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10888.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10888.x)
- Platais, I., Cudworth, K. M., Kozhurina-Platais, V., et al. 2011, *ApJL*, 733, L1, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/733/1/L1](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/733/1/L1)
- Platts, E., Weltman, A., Walters, A., et al. 2019, *PhR*, 821, 1, doi: [10.1016/j.physrep.2019.06.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2019.06.003)
- Plewa, P. M., Gillessen, S., Pfuhl, O., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 840, 50, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa6e00](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa6e00)
- Plez, B., & Cohen, J. G. 2005, *A&A*, 434, 1117, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20042082](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20042082)
- Podsiadlowski, P. 1993, in *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 36, *Planets Around Pulsars*, ed. J. A. Phillips, S. E. Thorsett, & S. R. Kulkarni, 149–165
- Pogge, R. W., Maoz, D., Ho, L. C., & Eracleous, M. 2000, *ApJ*, 532, 323, doi: [10.1086/308567](https://doi.org/10.1086/308567)
- Poppenhaeger, K., Schmitt, J. H. M. M., & Wolk, S. J. 2013, *ApJ*, 773, 62, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/773/1/62](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/773/1/62)
- Porco, C. C., Baker, E., Barbara, J., et al. 2005, *Science*, 307, 1237, doi: [10.1126/science.1107981](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1107981)
- Porto de Mello, G. F., Lyra, W., & Keller, G. R. 2008, *A&A*, 488, 653, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:200810031](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:200810031)
- Pourbaix, D., & Boffin, H. M. J. 2016, *A&A*, 586, A90, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201527859](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201527859)
- Prantzos, N., Boehm, C., Bykov, A. M., et al. 2011, *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 83, 1001, doi: [10.1103/RevModPhys.83.1001](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.83.1001)
- Pratap, P., Dickens, J. E., Snell, R. L., et al. 1997, *ApJ*, 486, 862, doi: [10.1086/304553](https://doi.org/10.1086/304553)
- Prentice, S. J., Maguire, K., Smartt, S. J., et al. 2018, *ApJL*, 865, L3, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aadd90](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aadd90)
- Preval, S. P., Barstow, M. A., Holberg, J. B., & Dickinson, N. J. 2013, *MNRAS*, 436, 659, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt1604](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt1604)
- Price, D. C., MacMahon, D. H. E., Lebofsky, M., et al. 2018, *PASA*, 35, 41, doi: [10.1017/pasa.2018.36](https://doi.org/10.1017/pasa.2018.36)
- Price, D. C., Foster, G., Geyer, M., et al. 2019a, *MNRAS*, 486, 3636, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz958](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz958)
- Price, D. C., Croft, S., DeBoer, D., et al. 2019b, *Research Notes of the American Astronomical Society*, 3, 19, doi: [10.3847/2515-5172/ab010b](https://doi.org/10.3847/2515-5172/ab010b)
- Price, D. C., Enriquez, J. E., Brzycki, B., et al. 2020, *AJ*, 159, 86, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/ab65f1](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ab65f1)
- Prieto, J. L., Kistler, M. D., Thompson, T. A., et al. 2008, *ApJL*, 681, L9, doi: [10.1086/589922](https://doi.org/10.1086/589922)
- Proust, D., Quintana, H., Carrasco, E. R., et al. 2006, *A&A*, 447, 133, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20052838](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20052838)
- Provencal, J. L., Montgomery, M. H., Kanaan, A., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, 693, 564, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/693/1/564](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/693/1/564)
- Prugniel, P., & Heraudeau, P. 1998, *A&AS*, 128, 299, doi: [10.1051/aas:1998142](https://doi.org/10.1051/aas:1998142)
- Przybylski, A. 1961, *Nature*, 189, 739, doi: [10.1038/189739a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/189739a0)
- Pursiainen, M., Childress, M., Smith, M., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 481, 894, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty2309](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty2309)
- Pursimo, T., Galindo-Guil, F., Dennefeld, M., et al. 2019, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, 12911, 1
- Quimby, R. M., Aldering, G., Wheeler, J. C., et al. 2007, *ApJL*, 668, L99, doi: [10.1086/522862](https://doi.org/10.1086/522862)
- Raddi, R., Hollands, M. A., Gänsicke, B. T., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 479, L96, doi: [10.1093/mnrasl/sly103](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnrasl/sly103)
- Raddi, R., Hollands, M. A., Koester, D., et al. 2019, *MNRAS*, 489, 1489, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz1618](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz1618)
- Raga, A. C., Reipurth, B., Cantó, J., Sierra-Flores, M. M., & Guzmán, M. V. 2011, *RMxAA*, 47, 425
- Ragozzine, D., & Brown, M. E. 2009, *AJ*, 137, 4766, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/137/6/4766](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/137/6/4766)
- Ramirez, R. M., & Kaltenegger, L. 2016, *ApJ*, 823, 6, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/823/1/6](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/823/1/6)
- Rampadarath, H., Morgan, J. S., Tingay, S. J., & Trott, C. M. 2012, *AJ*, 144, 38, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/144/2/38](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/144/2/38)
- Ranasinghe, S., & Leahy, D. A. 2018, *AJ*, 155, 204, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aab9be](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aab9be)
- Randall, S. K., Bagnulo, S., Ziegerer, E., Geier, S., & Fontaine, G. 2015, *A&A*, 576, A65, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201425251](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201425251)

- Rankin, J. M., Rodriguez, C., & Wright, G. A. E. 2006, *MNRAS*, 370, 673, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10512.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10512.x)
- Rappaport, S., Gary, B. L., Kaye, T., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 458, 3904, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw612](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw612)
- Rappaport, S., Sanchis-Ojeda, R., Rogers, L. A., Levine, A., & Winn, J. N. 2013, *ApJL*, 773, L15, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/773/1/L15](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/773/1/L15)
- Rappaport, S., Levine, A., Chiang, E., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 752, 1, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/752/1/1](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/752/1/1)
- Rappaport, S., Vanderburg, A., Kristiansen, M. H., et al. 2019, *MNRAS*, 488, 2455, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz1772](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz1772)
- Ratzka, T., Schegerer, A. A., Leinert, C., et al. 2009, *A&A*, 502, 623, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/200811390](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200811390)
- Rau, G., Nielsen, K. E., Carpenter, K. G., & Airapetian, V. 2018, *ApJ*, 869, 1, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aaf0a0](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaf0a0)
- Raup, D. M. 1992, *Acta Astronautica*, 26, 257, doi: [10.1016/0094-5765\(92\)90108-U](https://doi.org/10.1016/0094-5765(92)90108-U)
- Ravi, V., Catha, M., D'Addario, L., et al. 2019, *Nature*, 572, 352, doi: [10.1038/s41586-019-1389-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1389-7)
- Rayner, J. T., Cushing, M. C., & Vacca, W. D. 2009, *ApJS*, 185, 289, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/185/2/289](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/185/2/289)
- Rea, N., Borghese, A., Esposito, P., et al. 2016, *ApJL*, 828, L13, doi: [10.3847/2041-8205/828/1/L13](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8205/828/1/L13)
- Reach, W. T., Vaubaillon, J., Lisse, C. M., Holloway, M., & Rho, J. 2010, *Icarus*, 208, 276, doi: [10.1016/j.icarus.2010.01.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2010.01.020)
- Rees, M. J. 1977, *Nature*, 266, 333, doi: [10.1038/266333a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/266333a0)
- Reid, I. N., Cruz, K. L., Allen, P., et al. 2004, *AJ*, 128, 463, doi: [10.1086/421374](https://doi.org/10.1086/421374)
- Reig, P. 2011, *Ap&SS*, 332, 1, doi: [10.1007/s10509-010-0575-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10509-010-0575-8)
- Reipurth, B., & Aspin, C. 2004, *ApJL*, 608, L65, doi: [10.1086/422250](https://doi.org/10.1086/422250)
- Reipurth, B., & Bally, J. 2001, *ARA&A*, 39, 403, doi: [10.1146/annurev.astro.39.1.403](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.39.1.403)
- Relaño, M., & Kennicutt, Robert C., J. 2009, *ApJ*, 699, 1125, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/699/2/1125](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/699/2/1125)
- Renzini, A., & Peng, Y.-j. 2015, *ApJL*, 801, L29, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/801/2/L29](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/801/2/L29)
- Reynolds, T. M., Fraser, M., & Gilmore, G. 2015, *MNRAS*, 453, 2885, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stv1809](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv1809)
- Ribas, I., Porto de Mello, G. F., Ferreira, L. D., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, 714, 384, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/714/1/384](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/714/1/384)
- Ribas, I., Tuomi, M., Reiners, A., et al. 2018, *Nature*, 563, 365, doi: [10.1038/s41586-018-0677-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0677-y)
- Richards, M. T. 1992, *ApJ*, 387, 329, doi: [10.1086/171085](https://doi.org/10.1086/171085)
- Richardson, N. D., Morrison, N. D., Kryukova, E. E., & Adelman, S. J. 2011, *AJ*, 141, 17, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/141/1/17](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/141/1/17)
- Ridden-Harper, A. R., Keller, C. U., Min, M., van Lieshout, R., & Snellen, I. A. G. 2018, *A&A*, 618, A97, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201731947](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201731947)
- Riess, A. G., Filippenko, A. V., Challis, P., et al. 1998, *AJ*, 116, 1009, doi: [10.1086/300499](https://doi.org/10.1086/300499)
- Roberts, M. S. E. 2013, in *IAU Symposium*, Vol. 291, Neutron Stars and Pulsars: Challenges and Opportunities after 80 years, ed. J. van Leeuwen, 127–132, doi: [10.1017/S174392131202337X](https://doi.org/10.1017/S174392131202337X)
- Rodes-Roca, J. J., Torrejón, J. M., Martínez-Núñez, S., Bernabéu, G., & Magazzú, A. 2013, *A&A*, 555, A115, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201321923](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201321923)
- Rodonò, M., Lanza, A. F., & Becciani, U. 2001, *A&A*, 371, 174, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20010324](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20010324)
- Rodriguez, D. R., Zuckerman, B., Melis, C., & Song, I. 2011, *ApJL*, 732, L29, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/732/2/L29](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/732/2/L29)
- Roelofs, G. H. A., Rau, A., Marsh, T. R., et al. 2010, *ApJL*, 711, L138, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/711/2/L138](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/711/2/L138)
- Rogers, L. A. 2015, *ApJ*, 801, 41, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/801/1/41](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/801/1/41)
- Romero, A. D., Córscico, A. H., Althaus, L. G., et al. 2012, *MNRAS*, 420, 1462, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.20134.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.20134.x)
- Roy, S., Hyman, S. D., Pal, S., et al. 2010, *ApJL*, 712, L5, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/712/1/L5](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/712/1/L5)
- Rubin, V. C., Ford, W. K., J., & Thonnard, N. 1980, *ApJ*, 238, 471, doi: [10.1086/158003](https://doi.org/10.1086/158003)
- Ruiz-Dern, L., Babusiaux, C., Arenou, F., Turon, C., & Lallement, R. 2018, *A&A*, 609, A116, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201731572](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201731572)
- Russell, C. T., Raymond, C. A., Ammannito, E., et al. 2016, *Science*, 353, 1008, doi: [10.1126/science.aaf4219](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf4219)
- Rutledge, R. E. 1998, *PASP*, 110, 754, doi: [10.1086/316184](https://doi.org/10.1086/316184)
- Sagan, C. 1994, *Pale blue dot : a vision of the human future in space* Sagan.
- Sagan, C., & Salpeter, E. E. 1976, *ApJS*, 32, 737, doi: [10.1086/190414](https://doi.org/10.1086/190414)
- Sagan, C., Thompson, W. R., Carlson, R., Gurnett, D., & Hord, C. 1993, *Nature*, 365, 715, doi: [10.1038/365715a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/365715a0)
- Sahai, R., & Nyman, L.-Å. 1997, *ApJL*, 487, L155, doi: [10.1086/310897](https://doi.org/10.1086/310897)
- Sahlholdt, C. L., Feltzing, S., Lindegren, L., & Church, R. P. 2019, *MNRAS*, 482, 895, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty2732](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty2732)
- Sahu, K. C., Anderson, J., Casertano, S., et al. 2017, *Science*, 356, 1046, doi: [10.1126/science.aal2879](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aal2879)
- Saito, R. K., Minniti, D., Ivanov, V. D., et al. 2019, *MNRAS*, 482, 5000, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty3004](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty3004)
- Salaris, M., Weiss, A., & Percival, S. M. 2004, *A&A*, 414, 163, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20031578](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20031578)

- Salim, S. 2014, *Serbian Astronomical Journal*, 189, 1, doi: [10.2298/SAJ1489001S](https://doi.org/10.2298/SAJ1489001S)
- Salvetti, D., Mignani, R. P., De Luca, A., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, 470, 466, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stx1247](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx1247)
- Sánchez-Monge, Á., Schilke, P., Schmiedeke, A., et al. 2017, *A&A*, 604, A6, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201730426](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201730426)
- Sanchis-Ojeda, R., Rappaport, S., Winn, J. N., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 774, 54, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/774/1/54](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/774/1/54)
- Sandage, A. 1997, *PASP*, 109, 1193, doi: [10.1086/133997](https://doi.org/10.1086/133997)
- Sanders, D. B., Mazzarella, J. M., Kim, D. C., Surace, J. A., & Soifer, B. T. 2003, *AJ*, 126, 1607, doi: [10.1086/376841](https://doi.org/10.1086/376841)
- Sandoval, M. A., Vo, R. P., Romanowsky, A. J., et al. 2015, *ApJL*, 808, L32, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/808/1/L32](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/808/1/L32)
- Santerne, A., Brugger, B., Armstrong, D. J., et al. 2018, *Nature Astronomy*, 2, 393, doi: [10.1038/s41550-018-0420-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-018-0420-5)
- Santerne, A., Malavolta, L., Kosiarek, M. R., et al. 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1911.07355. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.07355>
- Santos, N. C., Mayor, M., Naef, D., et al. 2001, *A&A*, 379, 999, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20011366](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20011366)
- Sargent, W. L. W., & Searle, L. 1970, *ApJL*, 162, L155, doi: [10.1086/180644](https://doi.org/10.1086/180644)
- Sarzi, M., Alatalo, K., Blitz, L., et al. 2013, *MNRAS*, 432, 1845, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt062](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt062)
- Sato, B., Izumiura, H., Toyota, E., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, 661, 527, doi: [10.1086/513503](https://doi.org/10.1086/513503)
- Sato, B., Omiya, M., Harakawa, H., et al. 2012, *PASJ*, 64, 135, doi: [10.1093/pasj/64.6.135](https://doi.org/10.1093/pasj/64.6.135)
- Savage, B. D., Massa, D., Meade, M., & Wesselius, P. R. 1985, *ApJS*, 59, 397, doi: [10.1086/191078](https://doi.org/10.1086/191078)
- Sazhin, M., Capaccioli, M., Longo, G., Paolillo, M., & Khovanskaya, O. 2006, *ApJL*, 636, L5, doi: [10.1086/499429](https://doi.org/10.1086/499429)
- Sazhin, M., Longo, G., Capaccioli, M., et al. 2003, *MNRAS*, 343, 353, doi: [10.1046/j.1365-8711.2003.06568.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2003.06568.x)
- Schaefer, B. E. 1983, *PASP*, 95, 1019, doi: [10.1086/131284](https://doi.org/10.1086/131284)
- . 1989, *ApJ*, 337, 927, doi: [10.1086/167162](https://doi.org/10.1086/167162)
- . 2010, *ApJS*, 187, 275, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/187/2/275](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/187/2/275)
- . 2016, *ApJL*, 822, L34, doi: [10.3847/2041-8205/822/2/L34](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8205/822/2/L34)
- Schaefer, B. E., King, J. R., & Deliyannis, C. P. 2000, *ApJ*, 529, 1026, doi: [10.1086/308325](https://doi.org/10.1086/308325)
- Schaefer, B. E., Barber, M., Brooks, J. J., et al. 1987, *ApJ*, 320, 398, doi: [10.1086/165552](https://doi.org/10.1086/165552)
- Schaefer, G. H., Beck, T. L., Prato, L., & Simon, M. 2020, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2006.03183. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.03183>
- Schawinski, K., Urry, C. M., Simmons, B. D., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, 440, 889, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stu327](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu327)
- Scheffer, L. K. 1994, *QJRAS*, 35, 157
- Schmadel, L. D., Schmeer, P., & Börngen, F. 1996, *A&A*, 312, 496
- Schmidt, G. A., & Frank, A. 2019, *International Journal of Astrobiology*, 18, 142, doi: [10.1017/S1473550418000095](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1473550418000095)
- Schmidt, G. D., West, S. C., Liebert, J., Green, R. F., & Stockman, H. S. 1986, *ApJ*, 309, 218, doi: [10.1086/164593](https://doi.org/10.1086/164593)
- Schmidt, M. 1963, *Nature*, 197, 1040, doi: [10.1038/1971040a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/1971040a0)
- Schmiedeke, A., Schilke, P., Möller, T., et al. 2016, *A&A*, 588, A143, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201527311](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201527311)
- Schneider, J., Dedieu, C., Le Sidaner, P., Savalle, R., & Zolotukhin, I. 2011, *A&A*, 532, A79, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201116713](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201116713)
- Scholz, P., & Chime/Frb Collaboration. 2020, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, 13681, 1
- Scholz, R. D., Lehmann, I., Matute, I., & Zinnecker, H. 2004, *A&A*, 425, 519, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20041059](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20041059)
- Schreiber, C., Labbé, I., Glazebrook, K., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 611, A22, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201731917](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201731917)
- Schwarz, R., Dvorak, R., Süli, Á., & Érdi, B. 2007, *A&A*, 474, 1023, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20077994](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20077994)
- Scowcroft, V., Freedman, W. L., Madore, B. F., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 816, 49, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/816/2/49](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/816/2/49)
- Seaquist, E. R., Duric, N., Israel, F. P., et al. 1980, *AJ*, 85, 283, doi: [10.1086/112672](https://doi.org/10.1086/112672)
- Seaquist, E. R., & Odegard, N. 1991, *ApJ*, 369, 320, doi: [10.1086/169764](https://doi.org/10.1086/169764)
- Secrest, N. J., Schmitt, H. R., Blecha, L., Rothberg, B., & Fischer, J. 2017, *ApJ*, 836, 183, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/836/2/183](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/836/2/183)
- Secrest, N. J., Satyapal, S., Gliozzi, M., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 798, 38, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/798/1/38](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/798/1/38)
- Seiffert, M., Fixsen, D. J., Kogut, A., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 734, 6, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/734/1/6](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/734/1/6)
- Seitz, S., Saglia, R. P., Bender, R., et al. 1998, *MNRAS*, 298, 945, doi: [10.1046/j.1365-8711.1998.01443.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.1998.01443.x)
- Sell, P. H., Heinz, S., Richards, E., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, 446, 3579, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stu2320](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu2320)
- Selvelli, P., Danziger, J., & Bonifacio, P. 2007, *A&A*, 464, 715, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20066175](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20066175)
- Semiz, İ., & Oğur, S. 2015, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1503.04376. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1503.04376>
- Serenelli, A., Rohrmann, R. D., & Fukugita, M. 2019, *A&A*, 623, A177, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201834032](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834032)
- Seth, A. C., van den Bosch, R., Mieske, S., et al. 2014, *Nature*, 513, 398, doi: [10.1038/nature13762](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13762)

- Sguera, V., Bazzano, A., Bird, A. J., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 646, 452, doi: [10.1086/504827](https://doi.org/10.1086/504827)
- Shamir, L., & Nemiroff, R. J. 2006, *PASP*, 118, 1180, doi: [10.1086/506989](https://doi.org/10.1086/506989)
- Shara, M. M., Prialnik, D., Hillman, Y., & Kovetz, A. 2018, *ApJ*, 860, 110, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aabfbd](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aabfbd)
- Shaya, E. J., Dowling, D. M., Currie, D. G., Faber, S. M., & Groth, E. J. 1994, *AJ*, 107, 1675, doi: [10.1086/116976](https://doi.org/10.1086/116976)
- Sheikh, S. Z. 2020, *International Journal of Astrobiology*, 19, 237, doi: [10.1017/S1473550419000284](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1473550419000284)
- Sheikh, S. Z., Siemion, A., Enriquez, J. E., et al. 2020, *AJ*, 160, 29, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/ab9361](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ab9361)
- Shelton, R. L., Kuntz, K. D., & Petre, R. 2004, *ApJ*, 611, 906, doi: [10.1086/422352](https://doi.org/10.1086/422352)
- Shen, K. J., Kasen, D., Weinberg, N. N., Bildsten, L., & Scannapieco, E. 2010, *ApJ*, 715, 767, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/715/2/767](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/715/2/767)
- Shen, K. J., Boubert, D., Gänsicke, B. T., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 865, 15, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aad55b](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aad55b)
- Shi, H., Liang, H., Han, J. L., & Hunstead, R. W. 2010, *MNRAS*, 409, 821, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17347.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17347.x)
- Shimwell, T. W., Brown, S., Feain, I. J., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, 440, 2901, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stu467](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu467)
- Shoppell, P. L., & Bland-Hawthorn, J. 1998, *ApJ*, 493, 129, doi: [10.1086/305108](https://doi.org/10.1086/305108)
- Shore, S. N., Wahlgren, G. M., Augustejjn, T., et al. 2011, *A&A*, 527, A98, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201015901](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201015901)
- Shostak, S. 2004, in *IAU Symposium*, Vol. 213, *Bioastronomy 2002: Life Among the Stars*, ed. R. Norris & F. Stootman, 409
- Shostak, S., Ekers, R., & Vaile, R. 1996, *AJ*, 112, 164, doi: [10.1086/117996](https://doi.org/10.1086/117996)
- Shull, J. M., & Danforth, C. W. 2019, *ApJ*, 882, 180, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab357d](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab357d)
- Shultz, M. E., Wade, G. A., Rivinius, T., et al. 2019, *MNRAS*, 490, 274, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz2551](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz2551)
- Sidoli, L., Israel, G. L., Esposito, P., Rodríguez Castillo, G. A., & Postnov, K. 2017, *MNRAS*, 469, 3056, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stx1105](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx1105)
- Sidoli, L., Romano, P., Mangano, V., et al. 2008, *ApJ*, 687, 1230, doi: [10.1086/590077](https://doi.org/10.1086/590077)
- Siemion, A., Von Korff, J., McMahan, P., et al. 2010, *Acta Astronautica*, 67, 1342, doi: [10.1016/j.actaastro.2010.01.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actaastro.2010.01.016)
- Siemion, A. P. V., Bower, G. C., Foster, G., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 744, 109, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/744/2/109](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/744/2/109)
- Sigurdsson, S., & Thorsett, S. E. 2005, *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 328, *Update on Pulsar B1620-26 in M4: Observations, Models, and Implications*, ed. F. A. Rasio & I. H. Stairs, 213
- Simon, J. D. 2019, *ARA&A*, 57, 375, doi: [10.1146/annurev-astro-091918-104453](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-091918-104453)
- Simon, J. D., Drlica-Wagner, A., Li, T. S., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 808, 95, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/808/1/95](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/808/1/95)
- Simpson, J. D. 2018, *MNRAS*, 477, 4565, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty847](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty847)
- Singal, J., Haider, J., Ajello, M., et al. 2018, *PASP*, 130, 036001, doi: [10.1088/1538-3873/aaa6b0](https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/aaa6b0)
- Sion, E. M., Greenstein, J. L., Landstreet, J. D., et al. 1983, *ApJ*, 269, 253, doi: [10.1086/161036](https://doi.org/10.1086/161036)
- Sivakoff, G. R., Sarazin, C. L., & Jordán, A. 2005, *ApJL*, 624, L17, doi: [10.1086/430374](https://doi.org/10.1086/430374)
- Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, *AJ*, 131, 1163, doi: [10.1086/498708](https://doi.org/10.1086/498708)
- Smak, J. 1984, *PASP*, 96, 5, doi: [10.1086/131295](https://doi.org/10.1086/131295)
- Smette, A. 2006, *GRB Coordinates Network*, 4997, 1
- Smiljanic, R., Pasquini, L., Primas, F., et al. 2008, *MNRAS*, 385, L93, doi: [10.1111/j.1745-3933.2008.00440.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3933.2008.00440.x)
- Smith, H. E., Lonsdale, C. J., Lonsdale, C. J., & Diamond, P. J. 1998, *ApJL*, 493, L17, doi: [10.1086/311122](https://doi.org/10.1086/311122)
- Smith, K. L., Mushotzky, R. F., Boyd, P. T., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 857, 141, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aab88d](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aab88d)
- Smith, N., Andrews, J. E., & Mauerhan, J. C. 2016, *MNRAS*, 463, 2904, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw2190](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw2190)
- Smith, N., Li, W., Silverman, J. M., Ganeshalingam, M., & Filippenko, A. V. 2011, *MNRAS*, 415, 773, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.18763.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.18763.x)
- Smith, N., Ganeshalingam, M., Chornock, R., et al. 2009, *ApJL*, 697, L49, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/697/1/L49](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/697/1/L49)
- Snow, T. P., & McCall, B. J. 2006, *ARA&A*, 44, 367, doi: [10.1146/annurev.astro.43.072103.150624](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.43.072103.150624)
- Snowden, S. L., Cox, D. P., McCammon, D., & Sanders, W. T. 1990, *ApJ*, 354, 211, doi: [10.1086/168680](https://doi.org/10.1086/168680)
- Socia, Q. J., Welsh, W. F., Short, D. R., et al. 2018, *ApJL*, 864, L32, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aadc0d](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aadc0d)
- Soderhjelm, S. 1980, *A&A*, 89, 100
- Sokal, K. R., Deen, C. P., Mace, G. N., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 853, 120, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aaa1e4](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaa1e4)
- Sokoloski, J. L., & Bildsten, L. 2010, *ApJ*, 723, 1188, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/723/2/1188](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/723/2/1188)
- Sokolovsky, K. V., Aydi, E., Chomiuk, L., et al. 2019, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, 13377, 1
- Solarz, A., Bilicki, M., Gromadzki, M., et al. 2017, *A&A*, 606, A39, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201730968](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201730968)
- Song, X., Walton, D. J., Lansbury, G. B., et al. 2020, *MNRAS*, 491, 1260, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz3036](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz3036)
- Soubiran, C., Le Campion, J.-F., Brouillet, N., & Chemin, L. 2016, *A&A*, 591, A118, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201628497](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201628497)

- Sparks, W. B., Bond, H. E., Cracraft, M., et al. 2008, *AJ*, 135, 605, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/135/2/605](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/135/2/605)
- Spavone, M., Iodice, E., Arnaboldi, M., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, 714, 1081, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/714/2/1081](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/714/2/1081)
- Speagle, J. S., Steinhardt, C. L., Capak, P. L., & Silverman, J. D. 2014, *ApJS*, 214, 15, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/214/2/15](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/214/2/15)
- Spergel, D. N., Bean, R., Doré, O., et al. 2007, *ApJS*, 170, 377, doi: [10.1086/513700](https://doi.org/10.1086/513700)
- Spezzi, L., Beccari, G., De Marchi, G., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 731, 1, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/731/1/1](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/731/1/1)
- Spiewak, R., Bailes, M., Barr, E. D., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 475, 469, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stx3157](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx3157)
- Spitler, L. G., Scholz, P., Hessels, J. W. T., et al. 2016, *Nature*, 531, 202, doi: [10.1038/nature17168](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17168)
- Stanek, K. Z., Kochanek, C. S., Bersier, D., et al. 2019, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, 12794, 1
- Stankov, A., Ilyin, I., & Fridlund, C. V. M. 2003, *A&A*, 408, 1077, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20031005](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20031005)
- Stassun, K. G., Collins, K. A., & Gaudi, B. S. 2017, *AJ*, 153, 136, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aa5df3](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aa5df3)
- Stefanescu, A., Kanbach, G., Słowikowska, A., et al. 2008, *Nature*, 455, 503, doi: [10.1038/nature07308](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07308)
- Steidel, C. C., Adelberger, K. L., Dickinson, M., et al. 1998, *ApJ*, 492, 428, doi: [10.1086/305073](https://doi.org/10.1086/305073)
- Stern, S. A., Grundy, W. M., McKinnon, W. B., Weaver, H. A., & Young, L. A. 2018, *ARA&A*, 56, 357, doi: [10.1146/annurev-astro-081817-051935](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081817-051935)
- Stevenson, D. J. 1999, *Nature*, 400, 32, doi: [10.1038/21811](https://doi.org/10.1038/21811)
- Stewart, A. J., Fender, R. P., Broderick, J. W., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 456, 2321, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stv2797](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv2797)
- Stock, S., Reffert, S., & Quirrenbach, A. 2018, *A&A*, 616, A33, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201833111](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833111)
- Strateva, I., Ivezić, Ž., Knapp, G. R., et al. 2001, *AJ*, 122, 1861, doi: [10.1086/323301](https://doi.org/10.1086/323301)
- Struve, O. 1952, *The Observatory*, 72, 199
- Su, K. Y. L., Chu, Y. H., Rieke, G. H., et al. 2007, *ApJL*, 657, L41, doi: [10.1086/513018](https://doi.org/10.1086/513018)
- Su, M., Slatyer, T. R., & Finkbeiner, D. P. 2010, *ApJ*, 724, 1044, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/724/2/1044](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/724/2/1044)
- Sugai, H., & Malkan, M. A. 2000, *ApJ*, 529, 219, doi: [10.1086/308250](https://doi.org/10.1086/308250)
- Sullivan, M., Kasliwal, M. M., Nugent, P. E., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 732, 118, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/732/2/118](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/732/2/118)
- Summers, L. K., Stevens, I. R., & Strickland, D. K. 2001, *MNRAS*, 327, 385, doi: [10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.04722.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.04722.x)
- Swihart, S. J., Garcia, E. V., Stassun, K. G., et al. 2017, *AJ*, 153, 16, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/153/1/16](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/153/1/16)
- Swihart, S. J., Strader, J., Shishkovsky, L., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 866, 83, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aadcab](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aadcab)
- Swinbank, A. M., Smail, I., Longmore, S., et al. 2010, *Nature*, 464, 733, doi: [10.1038/nature08880](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08880)
- Swinbank, A. M., Papadopoulos, P. P., Cox, P., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 742, 11, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/742/1/11](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/742/1/11)
- Szapudi, I., Kovács, A., Granett, B. R., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, 450, 288, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stv488](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv488)
- Tachihara, K., Gratier, P., Sano, H., et al. 2018, *PASJ*, 70, S52, doi: [10.1093/pasj/psy020](https://doi.org/10.1093/pasj/psy020)
- Takata, J., Hu, C. P., Lin, L. C. C., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 853, 106, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aaa23d](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaa23d)
- Takeda, G., Ford, E. B., Sills, A., et al. 2007, *ApJS*, 168, 297, doi: [10.1086/509763](https://doi.org/10.1086/509763)
- Tan, C. M., Bassa, C. G., Cooper, S., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 866, 54, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aade88](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aade88)
- Tanaka, Y., & Shibazaki, N. 1996, *ARA&A*, 34, 607, doi: [10.1146/annurev.astro.34.1.607](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.34.1.607)
- Tannirkulam, A., Monnier, J. D., Harries, T. J., et al. 2008, *ApJ*, 689, 513, doi: [10.1086/592346](https://doi.org/10.1086/592346)
- Tarter, J. 2001, *ARA&A*, 39, 511, doi: [10.1146/annurev.astro.39.1.511](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.39.1.511)
- Tavani, M., Bulgarelli, A., Vittorini, V., et al. 2011, *Science*, 331, 736, doi: [10.1126/science.1200083](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1200083)
- Taylor, B. J. 2006, *MNRAS*, 368, 1880, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10267.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10267.x)
- Teague, R., Bae, J., Bergin, E. A., Birnstiel, T., & Foreman-Mackey, D. 2018, *ApJL*, 860, L12, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aac6d7](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aac6d7)
- Tedesco, E. F., Williams, J. G., Matson, D. L., et al. 1989, *AJ*, 97, 580, doi: [10.1086/115007](https://doi.org/10.1086/115007)
- Tehrani, K. A., Crowther, P. A., Bestenlehner, J. M., et al. 2019, *MNRAS*, 484, 2692, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz147](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz147)
- Tendulkar, S. P., Kaspi, V. M., & Patel, C. 2016, *ApJ*, 827, 59, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/827/1/59](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/827/1/59)
- Tendulkar, S. P., Bassa, C. G., Cordes, J. M., et al. 2017, *ApJL*, 834, L7, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/834/2/L7](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/834/2/L7)
- The LIGO Scientific Collaboration, et al. 2020, *ApJL*, 900, L13, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aba493](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aba493)
- Thierbach, M., Klein, U., & Wielebinski, R. 2003, *A&A*, 397, 53, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20021474](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20021474)
- Thilker, D. A., Donovan, J., Schiminovich, D., et al. 2009, *Nature*, 457, 990, doi: [10.1038/nature07780](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07780)
- Thilker, D. A., Bianchi, L., Schiminovich, D., et al. 2010, *ApJL*, 714, L171, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/714/1/L171](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/714/1/L171)
- Tholen, D. J. 1984, PhD thesis, University of Arizona, Tucson
- Thompson, C. 2017, *ApJ*, 844, 162, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa7845](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa7845)
- Thompson, T. A., Prieto, J. L., Stanek, K. Z., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, 705, 1364, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/705/2/1364](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/705/2/1364)

- Thompson, T. A., Kochanek, C. S., Stanek, K. Z., et al. 2019, *Science*, 366, 637, doi: [10.1126/science.aau4005](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau4005)
- Thöne, C. C., Christensen, L., Prochaska, J. X., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, 441, 2034, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stu711](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu711)
- Tiengo, A., Mignani, R. P., de Luca, A., et al. 2011, *MNRAS*, 412, L73, doi: [10.1111/j.1745-3933.2011.01009.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3933.2011.01009.x)
- Tingay, S. J., Tremblay, C., Walsh, A., & Urquhart, R. 2016, *ApJL*, 827, L22, doi: [10.3847/2041-8205/827/2/L22](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8205/827/2/L22)
- Tingay, S. J., Tremblay, C. D., & Croft, S. 2018a, *ApJ*, 856, 31, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aab363](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aab363)
- Tingay, S. J., Kaplan, D. L., McKinley, B., et al. 2013a, *AJ*, 146, 103, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/146/4/103](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/146/4/103)
- Tingay, S. J., Goeke, R., Bowman, J. D., et al. 2013b, *PASA*, 30, e007, doi: [10.1017/pasa.2012.007](https://doi.org/10.1017/pasa.2012.007)
- Tingay, S. J., Kaplan, D. L., Lenc, E., et al. 2018b, *ApJ*, 857, 11, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aab359](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aab359)
- Tinney, C. G., Wittenmyer, R. A., Butler, R. P., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 732, 31, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/732/1/31](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/732/1/31)
- Tisserand, P., Le Guillou, L., Afonso, C., et al. 2007, *A&A*, 469, 387, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20066017](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20066017)
- Titov, O., Jauncey, D. L., Johnston, H. M., Hunstead, R. W., & Christensen, L. 2011, *AJ*, 142, 165, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/142/5/165](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/142/5/165)
- Toba, Y., Wang, W.-H., Nagao, T., et al. 2020, *ApJ*, 889, 76, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab616d](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab616d)
- Tokovinin, A. 2018, *ApJS*, 235, 6, doi: [10.3847/1538-4365/aaa1a5](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/aaa1a5)
- Toledo-Padrón, B., Lovis, C., Suárez Mascareño, A., et al. 2020, *A&A*, 641, A92, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202038187](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202038187)
- Torrealba, G., Belokurov, V., Koposov, S. E., et al. 2019, *MNRAS*, 488, 2743, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz1624](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz1624)
- Torres, G. 2010, *AJ*, 140, 1158, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/140/5/1158](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/140/5/1158)
- Torres, G., Claret, A., Pavlovski, K., & Dotter, A. 2015, *ApJ*, 807, 26, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/807/1/26](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/807/1/26)
- Torres, G., & Ribas, I. 2002, *ApJ*, 567, 1140, doi: [10.1086/338587](https://doi.org/10.1086/338587)
- Torres, R. M., Loinard, L., Mioduszewski, A. J., & Rodríguez, L. F. 2009, *ApJ*, 698, 242, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/698/1/242](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/698/1/242)
- Tramper, F., Straal, S. M., Sanyal, D., et al. 2015, *A&A*, 581, A110, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201425390](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201425390)
- Trevisan, M., Barbuy, B., Eriksson, K., et al. 2011, *A&A*, 535, A42, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201016056](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201016056)
- Trimble, V. 1992, *PASP*, 104, 1, doi: [10.1086/132951](https://doi.org/10.1086/132951)
- Trimble, V., & Aschwanden, M. 1999, *PASP*, 111, 385, doi: [10.1086/316342](https://doi.org/10.1086/316342)
- Trimble, V., & Aschwanden, M. J. 2003, *PASP*, 115, 514, doi: [10.1086/374651](https://doi.org/10.1086/374651)
- . 2004, *PASP*, 116, 187, doi: [10.1086/383241](https://doi.org/10.1086/383241)
- Trimble, V., Aschwanden, M. J., & Hansen, C. J. 2007, *SSRv*, 132, 1, doi: [10.1007/s11214-007-9224-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-007-9224-0)
- Trimble, V., & McFadden, L. A. 1997, *PASP*, 109, 78, doi: [10.1086/133865](https://doi.org/10.1086/133865)
- Trujillo, C. A., & Sheppard, S. S. 2014, *Nature*, 507, 471, doi: [10.1038/nature13156](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13156)
- Trujillo, I., Ferré-Mateu, A., Balcells, M., Vazdekis, A., & Sánchez-Blázquez, P. 2014, *ApJL*, 780, L20, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/780/2/L20](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/780/2/L20)
- Trujillo, I., Roman, J., Filho, M., & Sánchez Almeida, J. 2017, *ApJ*, 836, 191, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa5cbb](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa5cbb)
- Trundle, C., Dufton, P. L., Rolleston, W. R. J., et al. 2001, *MNRAS*, 328, 291, doi: [10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.04872.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.04872.x)
- Tsai, C.-W., Eisenhardt, P. R. M., Jun, H. D., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 868, 15, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aae698](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aae698)
- Tsvetkov, M. K., & Pettersen, B. R. 1985, *A&A*, 150, 160
- Tucker, W., Blanco, P., Rappoport, S., et al. 1998, *ApJL*, 496, L5, doi: [10.1086/311234](https://doi.org/10.1086/311234)
- Tully, R. B., Courtois, H., Hoffman, Y., & Pomarède, D. 2014, *Nature*, 513, 71, doi: [10.1038/nature13674](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13674)
- Tully, R. B., Libeskind, N. I., Karachentsev, I. D., et al. 2015, *ApJL*, 802, L25, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/802/2/L25](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/802/2/L25)
- Tully, R. B., Courtois, H. M., Dolphin, A. E., et al. 2013, *AJ*, 146, 86, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/146/4/86](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/146/4/86)
- Tuomi, M., Anglada-Escudé, G., Gerlach, E., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 549, A48, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201220268](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201220268)
- Turnbull, M. C., & Tarter, J. C. 2003, *ApJS*, 145, 181, doi: [10.1086/345779](https://doi.org/10.1086/345779)
- Umetsu, K., Broadhurst, T., Zitrin, A., Medezinski, E., & Hsu, L.-Y. 2011, *ApJ*, 729, 127, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/729/2/127](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/729/2/127)
- Urry, C. M., & Padovani, P. 1995, *PASP*, 107, 803, doi: [10.1086/133630](https://doi.org/10.1086/133630)
- Uson, J. M., Boughn, S. P., & Kuhn, J. R. 1991, *ApJ*, 369, 46, doi: [10.1086/169737](https://doi.org/10.1086/169737)
- Vaddi, S., O'Dea, C. P., Baum, S. A., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 818, 182, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/818/2/182](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/818/2/182)
- van de Kamp, P. 1963, *AJ*, 68, 515, doi: [10.1086/109001](https://doi.org/10.1086/109001)
- van den Bergh, S. 1976, *ApJ*, 206, 883, doi: [10.1086/154452](https://doi.org/10.1086/154452)
- van den Bosch, R. C. E., Gebhardt, K., Gültekin, K., et al. 2012, *Nature*, 491, 729, doi: [10.1038/nature11592](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11592)
- van den Heuvel, E. P. J., & Tauris, T. M. 2020, *Science*, 368, eaba3282, doi: [10.1126/science.aba3282](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba3282)
- van der Hucht, K. A. 2001, *NewAR*, 45, 135, doi: [10.1016/S1387-6473\(00\)00112-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1387-6473(00)00112-3)
- van Dishoeck, E. F., & Blake, G. A. 1998, *ARA&A*, 36, 317, doi: [10.1146/annurev.astro.36.1.317](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.36.1.317)
- van Dyk, S. D., Puche, D., & Wong, T. 1998, *AJ*, 116, 2341, doi: [10.1086/300584](https://doi.org/10.1086/300584)

- van Genderen, A. M., Lobel, A., Nieuwenhuijzen, H., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 631, A48, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201834358](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834358)
- van Haaften, L. M., Maccarone, T. J., Rhode, K. L., Kundu, A., & Zepf, S. E. 2019, *MNRAS*, 483, 3566, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty3221](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty3221)
- van Haarlem, M. P., Wise, M. W., Gunst, A. W., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 556, A2, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201220873](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201220873)
- van Kerkwijk, M. H., Breton, R. P., & Kulkarni, S. R. 2011, *ApJ*, 728, 95, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/728/2/95](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/728/2/95)
- van Velzen, S., Farrar, G. R., Gezari, S., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 741, 73, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/741/2/73](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/741/2/73)
- van Weeren, R. J., de Gasperin, F., Akamatsu, H., et al. 2019, *SSRv*, 215, 16, doi: [10.1007/s11214-019-0584-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-019-0584-z)
- van Weeren, R. J., Röttgering, H. J. A., Brügggen, M., & Cohen, A. 2009, *A&A*, 508, 75, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/200912501](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200912501)
- VandenBerg, D. A., Bond, H. E., Nelan, E. P., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 792, 110, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/792/2/110](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/792/2/110)
- Vanzella, E., De Barros, S., Cupani, G., et al. 2016, *ApJL*, 821, L27, doi: [10.3847/2041-8205/821/2/L27](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8205/821/2/L27)
- Varenius, E., Conway, J. E., Martí-Vidal, I., et al. 2016, *A&A*, 593, A86, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201628702](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201628702)
- Varghese, S. S., Obenberger, K. S., Dowell, J., & Taylor, G. B. 2019, *ApJ*, 874, 151, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab07c6](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab07c6)
- Venn, K. A., & Lambert, D. L. 1990, *ApJ*, 363, 234, doi: [10.1086/169334](https://doi.org/10.1086/169334)
- Venturini, S., & Solomon, P. M. 2003, *ApJ*, 590, 740, doi: [10.1086/375050](https://doi.org/10.1086/375050)
- Verbiest, J. P. W., Weisberg, J. M., Chael, A. A., Lee, K. J., & Lorimer, D. R. 2012, *ApJ*, 755, 39, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/755/1/39](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/755/1/39)
- Verde, L., Treu, T., & Riess, A. G. 2019, *Nature Astronomy*, 3, 891, doi: [10.1038/s41550-019-0902-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-019-0902-0)
- VERITAS Collaboration, Acciari, V. A., Aliu, E., et al. 2009, *Nature*, 462, 770, doi: [10.1038/nature08557](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08557)
- Vidal, C. 2011, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1104.4362, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1104.4362>
- Vilenius, E., Stansberry, J., Müller, T., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 618, A136, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201732564](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201732564)
- Villanova, S., Carraro, G., Geisler, D., Monaco, L., & Assmann, P. 2018, *ApJ*, 867, 34, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aae4e5](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aae4e5)
- Villarroel, B., Imaz, I., & Bergstedt, J. 2016, *AJ*, 152, 76, doi: [10.3847/0004-6256/152/3/76](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-6256/152/3/76)
- Villarroel, B., Soodla, J., Comerón, S., et al. 2020, *AJ*, 159, 8, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/ab570f](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ab570f)
- Vinkó, J., Yuan, F., Quimby, R. M., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 798, 12, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/798/1/12](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/798/1/12)
- Vogt, S. S., Radovan, M., Kibrick, R., et al. 2014, *PASP*, 126, 359, doi: [10.1086/676120](https://doi.org/10.1086/676120)
- von Boetticher, A., Triaud, A. H. M. J., Queloz, D., et al. 2017, *A&A*, 604, L6, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201731107](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201731107)
- von Braun, K., Boyajian, T. S., Kane, S. R., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 753, 171, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/753/2/171](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/753/2/171)
- von Braun, K., Boyajian, T. S., van Belle, G. T., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, 438, 2413, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt2360](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt2360)
- Voros, J. 2014, ArXiv e-prints, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.4011>
- Vos, J., Zorotovic, M., Vučković, M., Schreiber, M. R., & Østensen, R. 2018, *MNRAS*, 477, L40, doi: [10.1093/mnrasl/sly050](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnrasl/sly050)
- Wagner-Kaiser, R., De Maio, T., Sarajedini, A., & Chakrabarti, S. 2014, *MNRAS*, 443, 3260, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stu1327](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu1327)
- Wahl, H. M., Orfeo, D. J., Rankin, J. M., & Weisberg, J. M. 2016, *MNRAS*, 461, 3740, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw1589](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw1589)
- Walborn, N. R., Howarth, I. D., Lennon, D. J., et al. 2002, *AJ*, 123, 2754, doi: [10.1086/339831](https://doi.org/10.1086/339831)
- Walker, G. A. H. 2012, *NewAR*, 56, 9, doi: [10.1016/j.newar.2011.06.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.newar.2011.06.001)
- Walter, R., Lutovinov, A. A., Bozzo, E., & Tsygankov, S. S. 2015, *A&A Rv*, 23, 2, doi: [10.1007/s00159-015-0082-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00159-015-0082-6)
- Wang, Q. D., Li, J., Russell, C. M. P., & Cuadra, J. 2020, *MNRAS*, 492, 2481, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz3624](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz3624)
- Wang, S., Wu, D.-H., Barclay, T., & Laughlin, G. P. 2017, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1704.04290, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.04290>
- Wang, T., Elbaz, D., Daddi, E., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 828, 56, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/828/1/56](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/828/1/56)
- Way, Z., Stanek, K. Z., Kochanek, C. S., et al. 2019a, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, 13346, 1
- Way, Z., Jayasinghe, T., Stanek, K. Z., et al. 2019b, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, 13106, 1
- Way, Z., Stanek, K. Z., Kochanek, C. S., et al. 2019c, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, 13357, 1
- Way, Z., Desai, D., Stanek, K. Z., et al. 2020, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, 14007, 1
- Wdowiak, T. J., & Clifton, K. S. 1985, *ApJ*, 295, 171, doi: [10.1086/163362](https://doi.org/10.1086/163362)
- Weekes, T. C., Badran, H., Biller, S. D., et al. 2002, *Astroparticle Physics*, 17, 221, doi: [10.1016/S0927-6505\(01\)00152-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-6505(01)00152-9)
- Wehrle, A. E., Pian, E., Urry, C. M., et al. 1998, *ApJ*, 497, 178, doi: [10.1086/305461](https://doi.org/10.1086/305461)
- Wei, L. H., Kannappan, S. J., Vogel, S. N., & Baker, A. J. 2010, *ApJ*, 708, 841, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/708/1/841](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/708/1/841)
- Weinberger, A. J. 2008, *ApJL*, 679, L41, doi: [10.1086/589180](https://doi.org/10.1086/589180)

- Weinreb, S., Barrett, A. H., Meeks, M. L., & Henry, J. C. 1963, *Nature*, 200, 829, doi: [10.1038/200829a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/200829a0)
- Weiss, L. M., & Marcy, G. W. 2014, *ApJL*, 783, L6, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/783/1/L6](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/783/1/L6)
- Weltevrede, P., Stappers, B. W., Rankin, J. M., & Wright, G. A. E. 2006, *ApJL*, 645, L149, doi: [10.1086/506346](https://doi.org/10.1086/506346)
- Wenger, M., Ochsenbein, F., Egret, D., et al. 2000, *A&AS*, 143, 9, doi: [10.1051/aas:2000332](https://doi.org/10.1051/aas:2000332)
- Werner, K., & Rauch, T. 2015, *A&A*, 584, A19, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201527261](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201527261)
- Wesson, P. S. 1990, *QJRAS*, 31, 161
- White, N. E., Sanford, P. W., & Weiler, E. J. 1978, *Nature*, 274, 569, doi: [10.1038/274569a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/274569a0)
- Whitmire, D. P., & Wright, D. P. 1980, *Icarus*, 42, 149, doi: [10.1016/0019-1035\(80\)90253-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(80)90253-5)
- Whitmore, B. C., Chandar, R., Schweizer, F., et al. 2010, *AJ*, 140, 75, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/140/1/75](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/140/1/75)
- Wickramasinghe, D. T., & Ferrario, L. 2000, *PASP*, 112, 873, doi: [10.1086/316593](https://doi.org/10.1086/316593)
- Wik, D. R., Hornstrup, A., Molendi, S., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 792, 48, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/792/1/48](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/792/1/48)
- Wilkinson, P. 2016, in *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 502, *Frontiers in Radio Astronomy and FAST Early Sciences Symposium 2015*, ed. L. Qain & D. Li, 87
- Wilkinson, P. N., Kellermann, K. I., Ekers, R. D., Cordes, J. M., & Lazio, T. J. 2004, *NewAR*, 48, 1551, doi: [10.1016/j.newar.2004.09.036](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.newar.2004.09.036)
- Williams, R. E., Blacker, B., Dickinson, M., et al. 1996, *AJ*, 112, 1335, doi: [10.1086/118105](https://doi.org/10.1086/118105)
- Wilson, C. D., Rangwala, N., Glenn, J., et al. 2014, *ApJL*, 789, L36, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/789/2/L36](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/789/2/L36)
- Wilson-Hodge, C. A., Cherry, M. L., Case, G. L., et al. 2011, *ApJL*, 727, L40, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/727/2/L40](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/727/2/L40)
- Wing, R. F. 2009, *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 412, *The Biggest Stars of All*, ed. D. G. Luttermoser, B. J. Smith, & R. E. Stencel, 113
- Wing, R. F., Peimbert, M., & Spinrad, H. 1967, *PASP*, 79, 351, doi: [10.1086/128496](https://doi.org/10.1086/128496)
- Witt, A. N., Vihj, U. P., Hobbs, L. M., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, 693, 1946, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/693/2/1946](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/693/2/1946)
- Wittenmyer, R. A., Endl, M., Cochran, W. D., Levison, H. F., & Henry, G. W. 2009, *ApJS*, 182, 97, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/182/1/97](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/182/1/97)
- Wittkowski, M., Arroyo-Torres, B., Marcaide, J. M., et al. 2017, *A&A*, 597, A9, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201629349](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201629349)
- Wittkowski, M., Hauschildt, P. H., Arroyo-Torres, B., & Marcaide, J. M. 2012, *A&A*, 540, L12, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201219126](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201219126)
- Wolf, C., Bian, F., Onken, C. A., et al. 2018, *PASA*, 35, e024, doi: [10.1017/pasa.2018.22](https://doi.org/10.1017/pasa.2018.22)
- Wolszczan, A. 2012, *NewAR*, 56, 2, doi: [10.1016/j.newar.2011.06.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.newar.2011.06.002)
- Wolszczan, A., & Frail, D. A. 1992, *Nature*, 355, 145, doi: [10.1038/355145a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/355145a0)
- Wong, K. T., Kamiński, T., Menten, K. M., & Wyrowski, F. 2016, *A&A*, 590, A127, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201527867](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201527867)
- Woodruff, H. C., Eberhardt, M., Driebe, T., et al. 2004, *A&A*, 421, 703, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20035826](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20035826)
- Woolley, S. E., & Heger, A. 2015, *ApJ*, 810, 34, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/810/1/34](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/810/1/34)
- Woolley, S. E., Heger, A., & Weaver, T. A. 2002, *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 74, 1015, doi: [10.1103/RevModPhys.74.1015](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.74.1015)
- Worden, S. P., Drew, J., Siemion, A., et al. 2017, *Acta Astronautica*, 139, 98, doi: [10.1016/j.actaastro.2017.06.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actaastro.2017.06.008)
- Wordsworth, R. D. 2016, *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 44, 381, doi: [10.1146/annurev-earth-060115-012355](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-060115-012355)
- Wright, J. T. 2018a, *Research Notes of the American Astronomical Society*, 2, 16, doi: [10.3847/2515-5172/aaa83e](https://doi.org/10.3847/2515-5172/aaa83e)
- . 2018b, *International Journal of Astrobiology*, 17, 96, doi: [10.1017/S1473550417000143](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1473550417000143)
- Wright, J. T., Cartier, K. M. S., Zhao, M., Jontof-Hutter, D., & Ford, E. B. 2016, *ApJ*, 816, 17, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/816/1/17](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/816/1/17)
- Wright, J. T., Kanodia, S., & Lubar, E. 2018, *AJ*, 156, 260, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aae099](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aae099)
- Wright, J. T., & Sigurdsson, S. 2016, *ApJL*, 829, L3, doi: [10.3847/2041-8205/829/1/L3](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8205/829/1/L3)
- Wu, J., Evans, Neal J., I., Shirley, Y. L., & Knez, C. 2010, *ApJS*, 188, 313, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/188/2/313](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/188/2/313)
- Wyder, T. K., Martin, D. C., Schiminovich, D., et al. 2007, *ApJS*, 173, 293, doi: [10.1086/521402](https://doi.org/10.1086/521402)
- Xiang, Y., Gu, S., Wolter, U., et al. 2020, *MNRAS*, 492, 3647, doi: [10.1093/mnras/staa063](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa063)
- Xu, K., & Li, X.-D. 2019, *ApJ*, 877, 138, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab1902](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab1902)
- Yang, B., Jin, Z.-P., Li, X., et al. 2015, *Nature Communications*, 6, 7323, doi: [10.1038/ncomms8323](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8323)
- Yates, J. S., Palmer, P. I., Biller, B., & Cockell, C. S. 2017, *ApJ*, 836, 184, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/836/2/184](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/836/2/184)
- Yuan, T., Richard, J., Gupta, A., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 850, 61, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa951d](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa951d)

- Yuan, T. T., Kewley, L. J., Swinbank, A. M., Richard, J., & Livermore, R. C. 2011, *ApJL*, 732, L14, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/732/1/L14](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/732/1/L14)
- Yudin, B. F., Fernie, J. D., Ikhsanov, N. R., Shenavrin, V. I., & Weigelt, G. 2002, *A&A*, 394, 617, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20021162](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20021162)
- Yusef-Zadeh, F., Morris, M., & Chance, D. 1984, *Nature*, 310, 557, doi: [10.1038/310557a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/310557a0)
- Zackrisson, E., Calissendorff, P., Asadi, S., & Nyholm, A. 2015, *ApJ*, 810, 23, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/810/1/23](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/810/1/23)
- Zackrisson, E., Korn, A. J., Wehrhahn, A., & Reiter, J. 2018, *ApJ*, 862, 21, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aac386](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aac386)
- Zasche, P., Uhlář, R., Šlechta, M., et al. 2012, *A&A*, 542, A78, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201219134](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201219134)
- Zhang, B., Reid, M. J., Menten, K. M., & Zheng, X. W. 2012a, *ApJ*, 744, 23, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/744/1/23](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/744/1/23)
- Zhang, B., Reid, M. J., Menten, K. M., Zheng, X. W., & Brunthaler, A. 2012b, *A&A*, 544, A42, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201219587](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201219587)
- Zhang, B., Reid, M. J., Menten, K. M., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 775, 79, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/775/1/79](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/775/1/79)
- Zhang, Y. G., Won, K. H., Son, S. W., Siemion, A., & Croft, S. 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1901.04636. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.04636>
- Zhang, Z.-Y., Ivison, R. J., George, R. D., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 481, 59, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty2082](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty2082)
- Zhao, M., Monnier, J. D., Pedretti, E., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, 701, 209, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/701/1/209](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/701/1/209)
- Zhou, G., Bakos, G. Á., Hartman, J. D., et al. 2017, *AJ*, 153, 211, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aa674a](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aa674a)
- Zorec, J., Cidale, L., Arias, M. L., et al. 2009, *A&A*, 501, 297, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/200811147](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200811147)
- Zuckerman, B., & Song, I. 2004, *ARA&A*, 42, 685, doi: [10.1146/annurev.astro.42.053102.134111](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.42.053102.134111)
- Zwicky, F. 1957, *Morphological astronomy*
- Zwicky, F., & Zwicky, M. A. 1971, *Catalogue of selected compact galaxies and of post-eruptive galaxies*