## Probing heavy neutrino mixing and associated CP violation at future hadron colliders

P. S. Bhupal Dev,<sup>1</sup> Rabindra N. Mohapatra,<sup>2</sup> and Yongchao Zhang<sup>1</sup>

 $1$  Department of Physics and McDonnell Center for the Space Sciences, Washington University, St. Louis, MO 63130, USA <sup>2</sup>Maryland Center for Fundamental Physics, Department of Physics,

University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

Heavy neutrinos are essential ingredients in the type-I seesaw mechanism for neutrino masses. Mixing and CP violation in the heavy neutrino sector therefore not only translate into neutrino oscillation parameters but also play an important role in generating the observed baryon asymmetry of the universe via leptogenesis. We show that future hadron colliders can directly access these mixing angles and CP phases in the heavy neutrino sector if type-I seesaw is embedded in a TeVscale left-right model, by measuring the charge asymmetries in same-sign dilepton signals, e.g.  $e^+e^+$ versus  $e^-e^-$ , arising from  $W_R$ -mediated heavy neutrino production and subsequent decays. This provides a new way to test low-scale leptogenesis at future colliders.

Introduction.– Right-handed neutrinos (RHNs) and their Majorana masses are two basic new inputs to the Standard Model (SM) in order to explain naturally the small neutrino masses via type-I seesaw mechanism [\[1–](#page-4-0) [5\]](#page-4-1). In order to calculate the light neutrino masses using the seesaw formula, one needs the Dirac Yukawa couplings for the neutrinos, as well as the Majorana mass matrix in the RHN sector. In this letter, we discuss a new observable to probe the mixing angles and CP phases in the RHN sector at colliders. In the minimal seesaw, where the RHNs are introduced "by hand", one can always choose the RHN mass matrix to be diagonal and reabsorb the required rotation matrix  $V_R$  into the Dirac Yukawa couplings. As a result, any rotation angles and phases specific to the RHN sector lose their separate identity. Similar thing happens when the SM is extended by a flavor-universal  $U(1)$  gauge symmetry, such as  $B - L$ . However, if the minimal SM seesaw is embedded into the left-right symmetric model (LRSM) based on the gauge group  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L}$  [\[6–](#page-4-2)[8\]](#page-4-3), where the RHNs become the parity-partners of the light neutrinos, the mixing matrix  $V_R$  will manifest in the RH gauge interactions involving  $W_R^{\pm}$  and becomes in principle measurable. We discuss how to measure these mixings and the associated CP phases at colliders if seesaw is a TeV-scale phenomenon.

One of the tell-tale signals of TeV-scale left-right seesaw is the same-sign (SS) dilepton final states at hadron colliders due to the Majorana nature of the RHNs:  $pp \rightarrow$  $W_R^{\pm} \to N \ell^{\pm} \to \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\pm} j j$  [\[9\]](#page-4-4). In the hierarchical RHN case, the number of SS and opposite sign (OS) dilepton states are equal. However, it has been shown  $[10-14]$  $[10-14]$  that if the RHNs are quasi-degenerate and especially if the coherence condition is met [\[14,](#page-4-6) [15\]](#page-4-7) so that the RHNs oscillate into each other, the ratio of SS and OS dilepton states becomes flavor-dependent and can become unequal for each flavor combination depending on the flavor mixing and CP violation (CPV) in the RHN sector. In particular, CP phases in the RHN mass matrix will lead to a same-sign charge asymmetry (SSCA) in the number of

dilepton events for a given flavor combination, i.e.

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{N}(\ell_{\alpha}^+ \ell_{\beta}^+) - \mathcal{N}(\ell_{\alpha}^- \ell_{\beta}^-)}{\mathcal{N}(\ell_{\alpha}^+ \ell_{\beta}^+) + \mathcal{N}(\ell_{\alpha}^- \ell_{\beta}^-)}.
$$
(1)

In this letter, we first show how to extract the RHN mass matrix phase information from collider observations. It is important to note in this connection that as a result of the different parton distribution functions (PDFs) of the up and down quarks in proton, we expect more  $W_R^+ \to \ell^+ \ell^+ jj$  events than  $W_R^- \to \ell^- \ell^- jj$  events in pp collision. Any potential asymmetry stemming from the RHN CPV has to be unearthed from this intrinsic background asymmetry. In particular, we find that for a background asymmetry. In particular, we find that for a<br>kinematically accessible  $W_R$  mass range at the  $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV Large Hadron Collider (LHC) consistent with the current constraints [\[16,](#page-4-8) [17\]](#page-4-9), the PDF uncertainties are so large that they mask any CPV effect coming from the RHN sector. Therefore, the SSCAs can only be measured at future higher energy colliders where PDF effects can be relatively small up to certain larger  $W_R$  masses. Our calculations reveal that a future 100 TeV collider, such as the Future Circular Collider (FCC-hh) [\[18\]](#page-4-10) or Super Proton-Proton Collider (SPPC) [\[19\]](#page-4-11) could probe CPV in the RHN sector for a  $W_R$  mass up to 26 TeV.

We then study the implications of the above observation for leptogenesis [\[20\]](#page-4-12). As is well known, in this case, lepton asymmetry is generated from the CPV decays of heavy RHN which is then transferred into the baryon asymmetry through electroweak sphaleron processes. The amount of baryon asymmetry therefore depends on the CP phases in the RHN sector. For TeVscale seesaw models, the relevant mechanism is resonant leptogenesis  $[21-24]$  $[21-24]$ , where (at least) two RHNs need to be quasi-degenerate. Interestingly, this quasi-degeneracy is also a requirement for generating a non-zero SSCA [\[14\]](#page-4-6). Thus, measuring the SSCA can not only provide important insight into the nature of the RHN mass matrix but also the origin of matter via resonant leptogenesis.

CP-induced charge asymmetry.– For the sake of concreteness, we consider the simple case with only two

RHNs, namely,  $N_{e,\mu}$  mixing with each other while the third one  $N_{\tau}$  is heavier and does not mix with them. The flavor states  $N_{e,\mu}$  are then related to the two mass eigenstates  $N_{1,2}$  via the rotation

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
\begin{pmatrix} N_e \\ N_\mu \end{pmatrix} \ = \ \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_R & \sin \theta_R e^{-i\delta_R} \\ -\sin \theta_R e^{i\delta_R} & \cos \theta_R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} N_1 \\ N_2 \end{pmatrix} \,, \tag{2}
$$

with  $\delta_R$  being the CP-phase and  $\theta_R$  the mixing angle. In the presence of  $W_R$  boson in the LRSM, the heavy RHNs can be produced on-shell from  $W_R$  decay  $W_R^\pm \to \ell_\alpha^\pm N_\beta$ (assuming  $M_{1,2} < M_{W_R}$ ). As a result of the Majorana nature of the heavy RHNs in type-I seesaw,  $N_{1,2}$  decay into both positively and negatively charged leptons, either through an off-shell  $W_R$  boson (the three-body decays  $N_{\beta} \rightarrow \ell_{\beta}^{\pm} q \bar{q}'$  or through the heavy-light neutrino mixing (the two-body decays  $N_\beta \to \ell_\beta^{\pm} W^{\mp}$ ). Then we have both SS and OS dileptons at hadron colliders from  $W_R$  decay:  $W_R^{\pm} \rightarrow \ell_{\alpha}^{\pm} N_{\beta} \rightarrow \ell_{\alpha}^{\pm} \ell_{\beta}^{\pm (\mp)}$  $\int_{\beta}^{\pm(+)} j j$ . Although the OS dilepton events or the ratio of the SS and OS dilepton events might also help to measure the CP phase [\[14\]](#page-4-6), the SM backgrounds for OS events at hadron colliders are expected to be much more significant than those for the SS dilepton events [\[16,](#page-4-8) [17\]](#page-4-9); therefore, we consider only the SS dilepton signal in this study.

If the masses of the two TeV-scale RHNs  $N_{1,2}$  are very close, e.g.  $\Delta M \equiv |M_1 - M_2| \lesssim$  GeV, the two RHNs  $N_{1,2}$ are coherent when they are produced from  $W_R$  decay, and the coherence can be maintained until they decay into SM particles [\[14,](#page-4-6) [15\]](#page-4-7). The numbers of SS dilepton events are then found to be proportional to

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
R(e^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}) \simeq \frac{1}{2}\sin^2 2\theta_R \left(1 - \frac{\cos 2\delta_R \pm x \sin 2\delta_R}{1 + x^2}\right), (3)
$$
  

$$
R(e^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}) \simeq R(e^{\pm} + \gamma) \left(1 - \frac{\cos 2\delta_R \pm x \sin 2\delta_R}{1 + x^2}\right), (4)
$$

$$
R(e^{\pm}e^{\pm}) \simeq R(\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}) \simeq \frac{1}{2}[1 - R(e^{\pm}\mu^{\pm})], \qquad (4)
$$

where  $x \equiv \Delta E/\Gamma_{\text{avg}}$  with  $\Delta E = |E_1 - E_2|$  being the energy difference and  $\Gamma_{\text{avg}} \equiv (\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2)/2$  the average decay width of  $N_{1,2}$ . We have assumed  $\Gamma_1 \simeq \Gamma_2$ , which is a good approximation for a pair of quasi-degenerate RHNs in most of the relevant parameter space, for both  $W_R$ -mediated three-body decays  $N_\beta \to \ell_\beta^\pm q \bar{q}$  and heavylight neutrino mixing induced two-body decays  $N_\beta \to$  $\ell_{\beta}^{\pm} W^{\mp}$  [\[25\]](#page-4-15). Note that the CPV effects in the SS dilepton signals are from the propagating heavy neutrino mass eigenstates. Even though the two-body decays involve the Yukawa couplings of RHNs, they do not introduce any additional CPV effects in Eqs. [\(3\)](#page-1-0) and [\(4\)](#page-1-0).

It is clear that an SSCA is induced by the CP phase  $\delta_R$  in Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-0). Then at pp colliders, the total flavordependent SSCA defined in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) can be rewritten as

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\sigma(pp \to W_R^+)R(\ell_\alpha^+\ell_\beta^+) - \sigma(pp \to W_R^-)R(\ell_\alpha^-\ell_\beta^-)}{\sigma(pp \to W_R^+)R(\ell_\alpha^+\ell_\beta^+) + \sigma(pp \to W_R^-)R(\ell_\alpha^-\ell_\beta^-)}.
$$
\n(5)

In the limits of  $\delta_R \to 0, \pi/2, \pi$ , the factors  $R(\ell^+_{\alpha} \ell^+_{\beta}) =$  $R(\ell_{\alpha}^{-}\ell_{\beta}^{-})$  and Eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-1) reduces to

$$
\mathcal{A}^{(0)} = \frac{\sigma(pp \to W_R^+)-\sigma(pp \to W_R^-)}{\sigma(pp \to W_R^+)+\sigma(pp \to W_R^-)},
$$
(6)

which is non-zero purely due to the proton PDF effects, just like the SM W-boson case [\[26\]](#page-4-16). Note that  $A_{\alpha\beta}$ does not depend on the individual RHN energies  $E_{1,2}$ but only on the energy difference  $\Delta E$  via the ratio x, and Eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-1) holds irrespective of whether the RHNs produced in  $W_R$  decay are non-relativistic or relativistic at the high-energy colliders. In addition, the asymmetry  $\mathcal{A}_{eu}$  does not depend on the RHN mixing angle  $\theta_R$ , as the factor  $\sin^2 2\theta_R$  cancels out in the ratio. The  $e\mu$ -flavored SSCA is related to the ee and  $\mu\mu$  asymmetries via

$$
\mathcal{A}_{e\mu}(\delta_R) = \mathcal{A}_{ee,\,\mu\mu} \left( \theta_R = \frac{\pi}{4}, \delta_R + \frac{\pi}{2} \right) . \tag{7}
$$

Any significant violation of this relation and  $A_{ee} \neq A_{uu}$ would imply sizable mixing of a third RHN  $N_3$  with  $N_{1,2}$ .

If a significant deviation of the SSCA  $A_{\alpha\beta}$  from its PDF-induced value  $\mathcal{A}^{(0)}$  could be found at high-energy colliders in the  $W_R$  and RHN searches, that would indicate the existence of (quasi-)degenerate heavy neutrinos  $N_{1,2}$  with  $\delta_R \neq 0, \pi/2, \pi$ . In the simplest case with only two RHN  $N_{e,\mu}$  mixing, the asymmetry  $\mathcal{A}_{e\mu}$  can be used to determine the CP phase  $\delta_R$  for a fixed value of x, up to a twofold ambiguity, as it does not depend on  $\theta_R$ . Then we can use  $\mathcal{A}_{ee, \mu\mu}$  to fix also the mixing angle  $\theta_R$  and remove the ambiguity of  $\delta_R$ . Even if the experimental uncertainties are taken into account, the mixing angle  $\theta_R$  and CP phase  $\delta_R$  can be limited to a narrow range at future hadron colliders.

Prospects at future hadron colliders.– The SM does not produce any irreducible SS dilepton background without significant missing transverse energy at hadron colliders. The dominant backgrounds are mainly from the diboson,  $Z + \text{jets}$  and  $t\bar{t}$  production processes, with sub-dominant contributions coming from jet fakes [\[16,](#page-4-8) [17,](#page-4-9) [27,](#page-4-17) [28\]](#page-4-18). It turns out that these reducible backgrounds are less important for the SSCA searches than the PDF uncertainties. The difference between down and up quark PDFs gets smaller at higher center-of-mass energies and thus the purely PDF-induced asymmetry  $\mathcal{A}^{(0)}$  decreases by the increase of the collision energy for a given  $W_R$ mass, as shown in Fig. [1](#page-2-0) by the grey curves (labeled  $w/o$ mass, as shown in Fig. 1 by the grey curves (labeled w/order CPV) for three benchmark values of  $\sqrt{s} = 14$  (left), 27 (middle) and 100 (right) TeV. Here we have assumed the reconstruction efficiencies are the same for  $\ell^+$  and  $\ell^-$ (with  $\ell = e, \mu$ ). As for the distinction between  $\mathcal{A}^{(0)}$  (w/o CPV) and  $A_{\alpha\beta}$  (w/ CPV), this largely depends on the  $\alpha_{\alpha\beta}$  (w/ CF v), this largery depends on the parton energy fraction  $x_1x_2 \sim M_{W_R}^2/s$ . At the  $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV LHC, for  $M_{W_R} > 4.7$  TeV which is the current direct  $W_R$  search limit [\[16,](#page-4-8) [17\]](#page-4-9) (assuming  $g_L = g_R$  for the  $SU(2)_L$  and  $SU(2)_R$  gauge couplings), the energy fraction  $x_1x_2 \sim 0.1$  and the PDF uncertainties are so large



<span id="page-2-0"></span>FIG. 1. Prospects of  $A_{\alpha\beta}$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 14$  TeV LHC (left), 27 TeV HE-LHC (middle) and 100 TeV FCC-hh (right) as function of the  $W_R$  mass. The solid grey line indicates the flavor-independent  $\mathcal{A}^{(0)}$  without any CPV in the RHN sector, and the solid orange (purple) lines are for  $\mathcal{A}_{ee,\mu\mu}$  ( $\mathcal{A}_{e\mu}$ ) with  $\theta_R = \pi/4$  and  $\delta_R = \pi/4$ . The shaded bands are due to the PDF uncertainties and the (purple) lines are for  $A_{ee,\mu\mu}$  ( $A_{e\mu}$ ) with  $\sigma_R = \pi/4$  and  $\sigma_R = \pi/4$ . The shaded bands are due to the FDF uncertainties and vertical red shaded region is excluded by the  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV LHC searches. The horizontal

that  $A_{\alpha\beta}$  can not be well separated from  $A^{(0)}$  to probe CPV in the RHN sector, as shown in Fig. [1](#page-2-0) (left panel). Increasing the center-of-mass-energy to 27 TeV, as proposed for the High-Energy LHC (HE-LHC) [\[29\]](#page-4-19), will enable us to probe CPV in the RHN sector for  $W_R$  masses up to 7.2 TeV, as shown in Fig. [1](#page-2-0) (middle panel). At future 100 TeV collider, such as FCC-hh [\[18\]](#page-4-10), the PDF uncertainties are expected to be much smaller for a ratio  $M_{W_R}^2/s \ll 1$ , which enhances the prospects for observing the SSCA for  $W_R$  masses up to 26 TeV, as shown in Fig. [1](#page-2-0) (right panel). For the sake of illustration, we have used  $\theta_R = \pi/4$  and the maximal  $\delta_R = \pi/4$ . The production cross sections  $\sigma(pp \to W_R^{\pm})$  were calculated at leading order using CalcHEP [\[30\]](#page-4-20) and a conservative k−factor of 1.1 was included for the higher-order QCD corrections [\[27\]](#page-4-17). The PDF uncertainties were estimated using the NNPDF3.1nlo PDF dataset with  $\alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.118$  [\[31\]](#page-4-21) from LHAPDF6.1.6 library [\[32\]](#page-4-22). As long as we can collect enough SS dilepton events to suppress the reducible SM backgrounds, the SSCA measurements are not sensitive to the integrated luminosity. Actually we need only 80  $fb^{-1}$  of data to have at least 100 events of both  $\ell^+ \ell^+$ and  $\ell^- \ell^-$  at FCC-hh (HE-LHC) for a  $W_R$  mass of 11 (5) TeV.

The dependence of  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}$  on the CP phase  $\delta_R$  at FCC-hh is shown in Fig. [2,](#page-2-1) where we have set the  $W_R$  mass at 11 TeV (to satisfy the leptogenesis constraints [\[36\]](#page-4-23), see below). The blue and orange lines are for the SSCA  $\mathcal{A}_{ee, \mu\mu}$  with  $\theta_R = \pi/6$  and  $\pi/4$ , respectively, while the purple line is for  $\mathcal{A}_{e\mu}$  which does not depend on  $\theta_R$ . Note that  $A_{e\mu} = A_{ee,\mu\mu} = A^{(0)}$  for  $\delta_R = 0, \pi/2$  and  $\pi$ , as can be inferred from Eqs.  $(3)$  and  $(4)$ .

More generic dependence of  $\mathcal{A}_{ee,\,\mu\mu}$  on the RHN mixing angle  $\theta_R$  and CP phase  $\delta_R$  are shown by the con-tours in Fig. [3,](#page-3-0) for the benchmark values of  $A_{ee, \mu\mu}$  = 0.8, 0.6, 0.4, 0.2, 0 and −0.2. In both Figs. [2](#page-2-1) and [3](#page-3-0) the shaded bands are due to the PDF uncertainties. For a given  $W_R$  mass which can be fixed by the invariant mass of the same-sign dileptons and jets, we can limit the val-



<span id="page-2-1"></span>FIG. 2. Prospects of  $A_{\alpha\beta}$  at future 100 TeV collider FCC-hh with 30 ab<sup>-1</sup>, as function of the CP phase  $\delta_R$ , for the flavors ee and  $\mu\mu$  with  $\theta_R = \pi/6$  (blue) and  $\pi/4$  (orange), as well as for  $e\mu$  (purple). The shaded bands are due to the PDF uncertainties. We have fixed  $W_R$  mass at 11 TeV.

ues of  $\theta_R$  and  $\delta_R$  to a (narrow) band by the measurement of  $\mathcal{A}_{ee, \mu\mu}$  as shown in Fig. [3,](#page-3-0) which could be further narrowed down by the measurement of  $\mathcal{A}_{eu}$ , up to a twofold ambiguity, as shown in Fig. [2.](#page-2-1)

Testing leptogenesis at colliders.– Once the RHN mixing angle and CP phase are measured at future highenergy colliders, we can reconstruct almost the whole neutrino sector in the LRSM (at least for the case when the bidoublet field has only one non-zero vacuum expectation value, so that we can decouple the charged lepton mass matrix from the Dirac mass matrix for neutrinos). This will have far-reaching phenomenological implications. In particular, we can obtain the Dirac mass matrix  $M_D$  from the active neutrino data and the parameters in the RHN sector up to an unknown orthogonal (complex) matrix  $\mathcal{O}$ , using the Casas-Ibarra parametrization [\[34\]](#page-4-24). In the simplest scenario with only two RHNs (assuming the third one decouples from the seesaw), one of the light



<span id="page-3-0"></span>FIG. 3. Contours of  $A_{ee, \mu\mu} = 0.8, 0.6, 0.4, 0.2, 0, \text{ and } -0.2$ at future 100 TeV collider FCC-hh, as function of the RHN mixing angle  $\theta_R$  and CP phase  $\delta_R$ . The shaded bands are due to the PDF uncertainties. The grey shaded regions (bounded by the dashed grey lines) are excluded by leptogenesis constraints. We have fixed  $W_R$  mass at 11 TeV.

neutrinos is massless, and  $M_D$  is a  $3 \times 2$  matrix given by

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
M_D = iU_{\rm PMNS} \,\hat{m}_{\nu}^{1/2} \mathcal{O} M_N^{1/2} \,, \tag{8}
$$

where  $\hat{m}_{\nu} = \text{diag}\{m_1, m_2, m_3\}$  is the diagonal mass matrix for the light neutrinos,  $U_{\text{PMNS}}$  the light neutrino mixing matrix,  $M_N = V_R \text{diag}\{M_1, M_2\} V_R^{\mathsf{T}}$  with the RHN mixing matrix  $V_R$  given in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-2), which can be obtained from the SSCA measurements, as discussed above.

Given the form of  $M_D$ , we can now calculate the lepton asymmetry from RHN decays  $N_{1,2} \rightarrow L\phi$  (where L and  $\phi$ are the SM lepton and Higgs doublets) via the resonant leptogenesis mechanism [\[21–](#page-4-13)[24\]](#page-4-14). The main dependence on the RHN mixing and CP phase is contained in the flavor-dependent CP asymmetry

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
\varepsilon_{i\alpha} \simeq \frac{(M_i^2 - M_j^2) \text{Im}[y_{\alpha i}^* y_{\alpha j}] \text{Re}[(y^\dagger y)_{ij}]}{4\pi [4(M_i - M_j)^2 + \Gamma_j^2](y^\dagger y)_{ii}} \times \text{BR}_{N_i}^y
$$
 (9)

with i,  $j = 1, 2$  (but  $i \neq j$ ),  $y = M_D/v_{EW}$  the Yukawa coupling matrix, and  $\text{BR}_{N_i}^y = \Gamma(N_i \rightarrow L\phi)/[\Gamma(N_i \rightarrow L\phi)]$  $L\phi$ ) +  $\Gamma(N_i \to \ell W_R^* \to \ell q \bar{q}')$ ] the partial decay width of  $N_i \rightarrow L\phi$  through the Yukawa couplings.

Due to the  $W_R$ -mediated gauge interactions in the LRSM, apart from the extra contribution to the total width of  $N_i$ , there are  $\Delta L = 1$  scattering processes  $N\ell \leftrightarrow q\bar{q}\prime$ , which cause significant dilution and/or washout of the lepton asymmetry produced by  $N_i \to L\phi$ decay. This sets a lower bound on the  $W_R$  mass, depending on the magnitude of Yukawa coupling  $y_{\alpha i}$  [\[35–](#page-4-25)[37\]](#page-4-26). If there is no cancellation or fine-tuning in the seesaw formula,  $|y| \sim \sqrt{|m_{\nu}M_N|} \sim 10^{-6}$  for TeV-scale RHNs, and the  $W_R$  mass is required to be larger than roughly

50 TeV [\[38\]](#page-4-27), even beyond the reach of future 100 TeV colliders [\[27,](#page-4-17) [39\]](#page-4-28). If we allow a large imaginary angle in the complex orthogonal matrix  $\mathcal O$  in Eq. [\(8\)](#page-3-1), the Yukawa couplings  $|y|$  can be largely enhanced (at the cost of finetuning in the seesaw formula), and the  $W_R$  mass bound could be somewhat relaxed. However, the Yukawa couplings can not be made too large, otherwise the  $\Delta L = 0$ (2) scattering processes  $L\phi \leftrightarrow L\phi \ (\bar{L}\phi^{\dagger})$  and/or the inverse decay  $L\phi \to N_i$  induce significant dilution/washout effects to render the leptogenesis ineffective. In addition, large imaginary angles in the complex orthogonal matrix  $\mathcal O$  are also constrained from high-precision low-energy constraints [\[38,](#page-4-27) [40\]](#page-4-29), such as  $\mu \to e\gamma$ , neutrinoless double beta decay  $(0\nu\beta\beta)$  and electron electric dipole moment.

To see the effect of  $\theta_R$  and  $\delta_R$  on leptogenesis, we randomly vary them within their full range and also vary the RHN masses in the range [500 GeV, 2 TeV] with a splitting  $\Delta M = \Gamma_{\text{avg}}/2$  to maximize the resonant CP asymmetry in Eq. [\(9\)](#page-3-2). Requiring the active neutrino data to be satisfied within their current  $2\sigma$  ranges [\[41\]](#page-4-30), we find from Eq. [\(8\)](#page-3-1) that the maximum value of the Yukawa couplings  $|y|_{\text{max}} \lesssim 8.3 \times 10^{-4}$  and leptogenesis leads to an absolute lower limit on  $M_{W_R} \gtrsim 10.6$  TeV, for both normal and inverted hierarchies of active neutrino masses. Interestingly, the same bound in a different version of the TeV scale LRSM was obtained in Ref. [\[36\]](#page-4-23). Furthermore, since the RHN mixing angle  $\theta_R$  and CP phase  $\delta_R$ play an important role in Eq. [\(9\)](#page-3-2) for generating lepton asymmetry, our numerical calculations reveal that only certain regions in the two-dimension plane of  $\theta_R$  and  $\delta_R$ could produce the observed baryon asymmetry (in both magnitude and sign), and the remaining parameter space is disfavored, as shown in Fig. [3](#page-3-0) by the grey shaded region bounded by the grey dashed line. Here we have chosen  $M_{W_R} = 11$  TeV to be consistent with the absolute lower bound from leptogenesis.

It is promising that once the mixing angle  $\theta_R$  and CP phase  $\delta_R$  are measured at future 100 TeV colliders, then TeV-scale leptogenesis could be falsified at future hadron colliders, as exemplified in Fig. [3.](#page-3-0) For instance, if it is found that  $\theta_R < \pi/4$  and  $\delta_R < \pi/2$ , then leptogenesis will be excluded, at least in the case with only two quasidegenerate RHNs. Furthermore, if the  $W_R$  boson mass is found to be lower than the leptogenesis limit of 10.6 TeV, leptogenesis could also be excluded. This is complementary to the falsification scheme for high-scale leptogenesis proposed in Ref. [\[42\]](#page-4-31), as well as to other probes of lowscale leptogenesis at colliders [\[43\]](#page-4-32). However, we would like to stress here that even if leptogenesis is excluded, observation of mixing angle and CPV in the RHN sector at future hadron collider would be a significant step in understanding the origin of tiny neutrino masses.

Conclusion.– We have proposed that the mixing and CP violation in the right-handed neutrino sector of TeVscale left-right seesaw models for neutrinos can be directly probed at future high-energy hadron colliders, by

measuring the charge asymmetries in the same-sign dilepton final states. In particular, we showed that significant deviations in the charge asymmetry from the purely PDF-induced effects are expected for non-zero RHN mixing and CP phase. In the simplest scenario involving only two RHNs  $N_{e,\mu}$ , we found that the  $e^{\pm} \mu^{\pm}$  channel is particularly suitable for measuring the CP phase, independent of the RHN mixing angle, and in combination with the  $e^{\pm}e^{\pm}$  (or  $\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$ ) channel, can also determine the mixing angle. Moreover, the future 100 (27) TeV collider could probe these RHN parameters for  $W_R$  mass up to 26 (7.2) TeV. Since the RHN mixing and CPV play a key role in generating the observed baryon asymmetry in the framework of TeV-scale resonant leptogenesis, we also showed how these measurements could directly test the viability of leptogenesis as the mechanism for origin of matter. We also found that there is an absolute lower bound  $W_R$  mass of 10.6 TeV to make leptogenesis work in LRSM, thus confirming the conclusions of an earlier analysis in a different parameter range [\[36\]](#page-4-23).

Acknowledgments.– The work of P.S.B.D. and Y.Z. is supported by the US Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-SC0017987. The work of R.N.M. is supported by the US National Science Foundation Grant No. PHY1620074.

- <span id="page-4-0"></span>[1] P. Minkowski, Phys. Lett. B **67**, 421 (1977).
- [2] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanović, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44, 912 (1980).
- T. Yanagida, Conf. Proc. C **7902131**, 95 (1979).
- [4] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond and R. Slansky, Conf. Proc. C 790927, 315 (1979) [arXiv:1306.4669 [hep-th]].
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[5] S. L. Glashow, NATO Sci. Ser. B 61, 687 (1980).
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[6] J. C. Pati and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. D 10, 275 (1974).
- [7] R. N. Mohapatra and J. C. Pati, Phys. Rev. D 11 2558 (1975).
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[8] G. Senjanović and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D 12 1502 (1975).
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[9] W. Y. Keung and G. Senjanović, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1427 (1983).
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[10] P. S. B. Dev and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 181803 (2015) [arXiv:1508.02277 [hep-ph]].
- [11] J. Gluza, T. Jelinski and R. Szafron, Phys. Rev. D 93, 113017 (2016) [arXiv:1604.01388 [hep-ph]].
- [12] G. Anamiati, M. Hirsch and E. Nardi, JHEP 1610, 010 (2016) [arXiv:1607.05641 [hep-ph]].
- [13] S. Antusch, E. Cazzato and O. Fischer, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 34, 1950061 (2019) [arXiv:1709.03797 [hep-ph]].
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[14] A. Das, P. S. B. Dev and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D 97, 015018 (2018) [arXiv:1709.06553 [hep-ph]].
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[15] E. K. Akhmedov, JHEP 0709, 116 (2007) [arXiv:0706.1216 [hep-ph]].
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[16] A. M. Sirunyan et al. [CMS Collaboration], JHEP 1805,

no. 05, 148 (2018) [arXiv:1803.11116 [hep-ex]].

- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[17] M. Aaboud et al. [ATLAS Collaboration], JHEP 1901, 016 (2019) [arXiv:1809.11105 [hep-ex]].
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[18] A. Abada et al. [FCC Collaboration], CERN-ACC-2018- 0058.
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>[19] M. Ahmad et al., IHEP-CEPC-DR-2015-01, IHEP-TH-2015-01, IHEP-EP-2015-01.
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>[20] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. B 174, 45 (1986).
- <span id="page-4-13"></span>[21] L. Covi, E. Roulet and F. Vissani, Phys. Lett. B 384, 169 (1996) [hep-ph/9605319].
- [22] M. Flanz, E. A. Paschos, U. Sarkar and J. Weiss, Phys. Lett. B 389, 693 (1996) [hep-ph/9607310].
- [23] A. Pilaftsis, Phys. Rev. D 56, 543 (1997) [hepph/9707235].
- <span id="page-4-14"></span>[24] A. Pilaftsis and T. E. J. Underwood, Nucl. Phys. B 692, 303 (2004) [hep-ph/0309342].
- <span id="page-4-15"></span>[25] C. Y. Chen, P. S. B. Dev and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D 88, 033014 (2013) [arXiv:1306.2342 [hep-ph]].
- <span id="page-4-16"></span>[26] CMS Collaboration, CMS-PAS-SMP-15-004.
- <span id="page-4-17"></span>[27] M. Mitra, R. Ruiz, D. J. Scott and M. Spannowsky, Phys. Rev. D 94, 095016 (2016) [arXiv:1607.03504 [hep-ph]].
- <span id="page-4-18"></span>[28] M. Nemevsek, F. Nesti and G. Popara, Phys. Rev. D 97, 115018 (2018) [arXiv:1801.05813 [hep-ph]].
- <span id="page-4-19"></span>[29] A. Abada et al. [FCC Collaboration], CERN-ACC-2018- 0059.
- <span id="page-4-20"></span>[30] A. Belyaev, N. D. Christensen and A. Pukhov, Comput. Phys. Commun. 184, 1729 (2013) [arXiv:1207.6082 [hepph]].
- <span id="page-4-21"></span>[31] R. D. Ball et al. [NNPDF Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C 77, 663 (2017) [arXiv:1706.00428 [hep-ph]].
- <span id="page-4-22"></span>[32] A. Buckley, J. Ferrando, S. Lloyd, K. Nordström, B. Page, M. Rüfenacht, M. Schönherr and G. Watt, Eur. Phys. J. C 75, 132 (2015) [arXiv:1412.7420 [hep-ph]].
- <span id="page-4-23"></span>[33] P. S. B. Dev, C. H. Lee and R. N. Mohapatra, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 631, 012007 (2015) [arXiv:1503.04970 [hepph]].
- <span id="page-4-24"></span>[34] J. A. Casas and A. Ibarra, Nucl. Phys. B 618, 171 (2001) [hep-ph/0103065].
- <span id="page-4-25"></span>[35] J. M. Frere, T. Hambye and G. Vertongen, JHEP 0901, 051 (2009) [arXiv:0806.0841 [hep-ph]].
- [36] P. S. B. Dev, C. H. Lee and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D 90, no. 9, 095012 (2014) [arXiv:1408.2820 [hep-ph]].
- <span id="page-4-26"></span>[37] M. Dhuria, C. Hati, R. Rangarajan and U. Sarkar, Phys. Rev. D 92, 031701 (2015) [arXiv:1503.07198 [hep-ph]].
- <span id="page-4-27"></span>[38] P. S. B. Dev, R. N. Mohapatra and Y. Zhang, in preparation.
- <span id="page-4-28"></span>[39] P. S. B. Dev, D. Kim and R. N. Mohapatra, JHEP 1601. 118 (2016) [arXiv:1510.04328 [hep-ph]].
- <span id="page-4-29"></span>[40] P. S. B. Dev, S. Goswami and M. Mitra, Phys. Rev. D 91, 113004 (2015) [arXiv:1405.1399 [hep-ph]].
- <span id="page-4-30"></span>[41] I. Esteban, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, A. Hernandez-Cabezudo, M. Maltoni and T. Schwetz, JHEP 1901, 106 (2019) [arXiv:1811.05487 [hep-ph]].
- <span id="page-4-31"></span>[42] F. F. Deppisch, J. Harz and M. Hirsch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 221601 (2014) [arXiv:1312.4447 [hep-ph]].
- <span id="page-4-32"></span>[43] S. Antusch, E. Cazzato, M. Drewes, O. Fischer, B. Garbrecht, D. Gueter and J. Klaric, JHEP 1809, 124 (2018) [arXiv:1710.03744 [hep-ph]].