

Monotonic lagrangian tori of standard and non standard types in toric and pseudotoric manifolds

Nikolai Tyurin*

BLTPh JINR (Dubna) and NRU HSE (Moscow)

Abstract

In recent papers, summarized in survey [1], we construct a number of examples of non standard lagrangian tori on compact toric varieties and as well on certain non toric varieties which admit pseudotoric structures. Using this pseudotoric technique we explain how non standard lagrangian tori of Chekanov type can be constructed and what is the topological difference between standard Liouville tori and the non standard ones. However we have not discussed the natural question about the periods of the constructed twist tori; in particular the monotonicity problem for the monotonic case was not studied there. In the paper we present several remarks on these questions, in particular we show for the monotonic case how to construct non standard lagrangian tori which satisfy the monotonicity condition. First of all we study non standard tori which are Bohr - Sommerfeld with respect to the anticanonical class. This notion was introduced in [2], where one defines certain universal Maslov class for the BS_{can} lagrangian submanifolds in compact simply connected monotonic symplectic manifolds. Then we show how monotonic non standard lagrangian tori of Chekanov type can be constructed. Furthermore we extend the consideration to pseudotoric setup and construct examples of monotonic lagrangian tori in non toric monotonic manifolds: complex 4 - dimensional quadric and full flag variety F^3 .

Introduction

Our framework is as usual: let (M, ω) be a compact smooth simply connected real symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$ with integer symplectic form ω , so $[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$.

Let $S \subset M$ be a compact orientable n - dimensional submanifold. We say that S is lagrangian if $\omega|_S \equiv 0$, and Bohr - Sommerfeld of level $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ (or BS_k for short) if for any loop $\gamma \subset S$ and any disc $B_2 \subset M, \partial B_2 = \gamma$ one has $\int_{B_2} k \cdot \omega \in \mathbb{Z}$. Below we consider lagrangian tori only; however many things can be extended to much more general case.

To establish for a given lagrangian torus $S \subset M$ is it Bohr - Sommerfeld or not one has to calculate the periods of S : take a basis $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in H_1(S, \mathbb{Z})$, realize it by loops $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$, find discs, bounded by the loops, and compute

*The author is partially supported by Laboratory of Mirror Symmetry NRU HSE, RF Government grant, ag. N 14.641.31.0001

their symplectic areas which gives the set of periods $(p_{a_1}, \dots, p_{a_n})$ defined up to \mathbb{Z} . Clearly any lagrangian S is BS_k if and only if the periods belong to $\frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}$; any other choice of the basis corresponds to a transformation of the period vector by $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$, therefore for any lagrangian torus one can define its Bohr - Sommerfeld level as the minimal k such that every kp_{a_i} belongs to \mathbb{Z} if it exists or if it does not saying that it is BS_∞ .

Fixing any almost complex structure J on M , compatible with ω , we get the complex determinant line bundle $K_M^{-1} = \det T^{1,0}M$ which we call anticanonical line bundle following the tradition. It depends on the choice of J , but its first Chern class does not being integer valued therefore it is a topological invariant of symplectic manifold. Consider the case of monotonic symplectic manifold namely when $c_1(K_M^{-1}) = k[\omega]$ for certain integer k . For this case we say that a lagrangian torus $S \subset M$ is Bohr - Sommerfeld with respect to the anticanonical bundle (or BS_{can} for short) if it is Bohr - Sommerfeld of level k .

Remark. This assignment looks a bit artificial in general symplectic setup, but from the point of view of algebraic geometry it looks more natural. Indeed, consider a Fano variety X . By the very definition its anticanonical line bundle K_X^{-1} is ample therefore certain power $(K_X^{-1})^m$ induces an embedding of X to the projective space. Then choosing a standard Kahler form Ω on the projective space and restricting it to the image of X we get a symplectic form $m\omega$ on X such that single ω is integer as well since the class $\Omega|_X = mc_1(K_X^{-1}) = m[\omega]$. The resulting form ω can be called anti canonical; clearly it is not unique but since all standard Kahler forms on the projective space are conjugated one could expect that the corresponding lagrangian geometries are the same. In this case BS_{can} lagrangian tori must characterize our given X as well as algebro geometric ingredients do it.

Suppose that (X, ω) is in addition monotonic, then for any BS_{can} lagrangian torus $S \subset M$ one has a universal class $m_S \in H^1(S, \mathbb{Z})$ defined in [2]. Fix a compatible almost complex structure J on M and an orientation on S . Then we get a realization of K_M^{-1} together with the corresponding hermitian structure h on it given by the hermitian triple (G, J, ω) (the Riemannian metric G is reconstructed from two other elements) on the tangent bundle $T_J^{1,0}M$. Then we choose a hermitian connection $a \in \mathcal{A}_h(K_M^{-1})$ whose curvature form F_a is proportional to ω . Then if $S \subset M$ is BS_{can} it implies that the restriction $(K_M^{-1}, a)|_S$ admits a covariantly constant section σ_S defined up to \mathbb{C}^* . On the other hand for a chosen orientation take the corresponding section δ of the determinant $\det TS$, project it to the complex determinant $K_M^{-1}|_S$ and denote the result as δ_c . Local computations ensure that δ_c vanishes nowhere, therefore the ratio $\frac{\sigma_S}{\delta_c} : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ defines an integer cohomology class given by the lifting the standard generator of $H^1(\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{Z})$, and we denote this class as m_S . In [2] one shows that this class can be naturally understood as a universal version on the Maslov class; it is invariant under the Hamiltonian (isodrastic) deformations of S . One can show that S is monotonic if and only if m_S is trivial, see [2].

Thus to study monotonic lagrangian tori we first search BS_{can} lagrangian tori. Therefore first of all we study the periods.

1 Toric case

Now we come to our main subject: non standard lagrangian tori of Chekanov type. To start with let us take the simplest examples which have been appeared many times.

The first example. Consider the projective plane $M = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ with the standard symplectic form ω . Fix homogenous coordinates $[z_0 : z_1 : z_2]$ and consider a pencil of plane conics $\alpha z_0 z_1 = \beta z_2^2$ with the base set $B = \{[0 : 1 : 0], [1 : 0 : 0]\}$. The complement $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus B$ is fibered over $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ with homogenous coordinates $[\alpha : \beta]$, and we denote this map as Ψ ; all the fibers are smooth except two distinguished, when α or β equals to 0. The real moment map

$$f_1 = \frac{|z_0|^2 - |z_1|^2}{\sum |z_i|^2}$$

“commutes” with Ψ : its Hamiltonian action preserves the fibers of Ψ . Therefore the data (f_1, Ψ, B) define a pseudotoric structure on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$, see [1].

Then as it was shown in [1], any choice of a smooth loop $\gamma \subset (\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{[1 : 0], [0 : 1]\})$ gives a lagrangian torus

$$T(\gamma, 0) = \bigcup_{p \in \gamma} (\Psi^{-1}(p) \cap \{f_1 = 0\}) \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2;$$

if γ is non contractible then $T(\gamma, 0)$ is of the standard type being Hamiltonian isotopic to a standard Liouville torus given by the toric structure on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$, otherwise $T(\gamma, 0)$ is non standard lagrangian torus of Chekanov type. The proofs and discussion can be found in [1].

The projective plane is monotonic (being Fano), namely $K_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}^{-1} = \mathcal{O}(3)$ therefore the monotonicity coefficient equals $k = 3$ for this case.

Here we are interested in the Chekanov type tori, and the main claim is the following: it exists a smooth contractible loop $\gamma \subset (\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{[1 : 0], [0 : 1]\})$ such that the corresponding lagrangian torus $T(\gamma, 0)$ is monotonic.

The construction is rather explicit: first we construct $T(\gamma, 0)$ which is BS_{can} and then we show that it is monotonic. So we start with the periods. To calculate periods of $T(\gamma, 0)$ we need a “section” of the map Ψ . Take projective line $\Sigma = \{z_0 = z_1\} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$, and note that Σ satisfies $f|_{\Sigma} \equiv 0$; on the other hand Σ intersects each regular fiber of Ψ exactly at two conjugated points. Then fix a smooth loop $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch} \subset \Sigma$ such that: 1) the loop $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}$ does not intersect its image under the involution $\tau : [z_0 : z_1 : z_2] \mapsto [-z_0 : -z_1 : z_2]$ of our projective line Σ ; 2) the loop $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}$ bounds a disc $B_2 \subset \Sigma$ of symplectic area $\frac{1}{3}$. Then for any point $p \in \tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}$ with coordinates $[z_0(p) : z_1(p) : z_2(p)]$ take the loop $s_p = [z_0(p)e^{it} : z_1(p)e^{-it} : z_2(p)]$. Note that s_p never meets Σ except at p again (the condition 1) above), therefore after the globalization $T = \bigcup_{p \in \tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}} s_p$ we get a smooth 2-torus. The claim is that 1) this torus is lagrangian, non standard of Chekanov type; 2) it is BS_{can} ; 3) it is monotonic.

The first follows from the fact that Ψ restricted to Σ is a double covering ramified exactly at $[1 : 0], [0 : 1] \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ (and τ is exactly the conjugation of the fibers), thus $T = T(\gamma, 0)$ where $\gamma = \Psi(\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}) \subset (\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{[1 : 0], [0 : 1]\})$. On the other hand choose the classes of $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}$ and s_p for any $p \in \tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}$ as the basis in $H^1(T, \mathbb{Z})$. Then the periods equal $(\frac{1}{3}, 1)$ since the choice of $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}$ and the fact that s_p is an equatorial loop on conic $q_p = \{z_2^2(p)z_0z_1 = z_0^2(p)z_2^2\}$.

For the monotonicity we need some arguments from the toric geometry. Add the second moment map

$$f_2 = \frac{|z_2|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^2 |z_i|^2}$$

(note that this function preserves our section Σ by the Hamiltonian action), then the configuration of three projective lines $L_0 \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$, $L_i = \{z_i = 0\}$, is invariant under the toric action generated by moment maps f_1 and f_2 . Therefore it exists a holomorphic section of the anticanonical bundle $\alpha_b \in H^0(\mathbb{CP}^2, K_{\mathbb{CP}^2}^{-1})$ invariant under the full toric action. For our lagrangian torus $T(\gamma_{Ch}, 0)$ we fix a section of the determinant bundle $\det T(\gamma_{Ch}, 0)$ given by the following condition: take $\delta = X_{f_1}|_{T(\gamma_{Ch}, 0)} \wedge \phi_{X_{f_1}}^t(\nu)$ where ν is a non vanishing section of $T\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch} \subset T\Sigma$, lifted by the flow generated by X_{f_1} on whole $T(\gamma_{Ch}, 0)$. Then the monotonicity condition for our non standard lagrangian torus $T(\gamma_{Ch}, 0)$ is equivalent to the following condition: non vanishing complex function $\alpha_b^*(\delta) : \tilde{\gamma}_{Ch} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ has degree 1 (here α_b^* is top holomorphic form with pole along $L_0 \cup L_1 \cup L_2$, dual to α_b).

Therefore our task is to calculate the degree of this map.

At each point of $\Sigma \setminus ([1 : 1 : 0], [0 : 1 : 1])$ one has a tangent vector given by $X_{f_2}|_{\Sigma}$ since f_2 induces the slicing of Σ , corresponding to the standard toric fibration of the projective plane. Without loss of generality we can suggest that $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}$ transversally intersects the slices except at two tangent points. Toric arguments imply that the degree $\alpha_b(\delta_0) : \tilde{\gamma}_{Ch} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is zero, if we take $\delta_0 = (X_{f_1} \wedge X_{f_2})|_{\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}}$. But we can express at each point $p \in \tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}$ tangent vector $\nu \in T_p\Sigma$ in terms of $X_{f_2}(p) \in T_p\Sigma$ using the complex structure I (since Σ is complex submanifold) namely it is a parametrization $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}(t), t \in [0; 2\pi]$ such that $\nu(p(t)) = \cos(t)X_{f_2}(p(t)) + \sin(t)I(X_{f_2}(p(t)))$; substituting this expression to α_b^* and using \mathbb{C} -linearity of the pairing we get that $\alpha_b^*(\delta) : \tilde{\gamma}_{Ch} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ has degree 1. Therefore $T(\gamma_{Ch}, 0)$ is monotonic.

Note that this construction can not directly lead to construction of non standard lagrangian torus of Chekanov type of Bohr - Sommerfeld level 2: it does not exist a smooth equatorial loop $\tilde{\gamma}$ with trivial intersection with $\tau(\tilde{\gamma})$.

The second example. Consider the direct product $M = \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ endowed with the product symplectic form ω of type (1,1). Thus M is a complex 2 - dimensional quadric. Fix homogenous coordinates on both the projective lines $[x_0 : x_1], [y_0 : y_1]$ and consider pseudotoric structure (f_1, Ψ) where

$$f_1 = \frac{|x_0|^2}{|x_0|^2 + |x_1|^2} - \frac{|y_0|^2}{|y_0|^2 + |y_1|^2}$$

and $\Psi : M \setminus B \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}_w^1$ is given by $w_i = x_i y_i$. Here $[w_0 : w_1]$ are the coordinates on the last \mathbb{CP}_w^1 , and the base set B is formed by 4 points which are the pairs of poles on the product projective lines. In other words, Ψ corresponds to pencil $\{w_1 x_0 y_0 = w_0 x_1 y_1\}$ of plane conics in M .

A non standard lagrangian torus of Chekanov type arises from the following data: take a contractible loop $\gamma \subset \mathbb{CP}_w^1 \setminus \{[1 : 0], [0 : 1]\}$ then

$$T(\gamma, 0) = \bigcup_{p \in \gamma} \Psi^{-1}(p) \cap \{f_1 = 0\} \subset M$$

is a lagrangian torus. Again, as in the first example, to compute the periods we need to find an appropriate "section" for the map Ψ in M . In this case take

rational curve $\Sigma = \{x_0 y_1 = x_1 y_0\} \subset M$. It admits involution $\tau : ([x_0 : x_1] \times [y_0 : y_1]) \mapsto ([-x_0 : x_1] \times [-y_0 : y_1])$. The total symplectic area $\int_{\Sigma} \omega = 2$, therefore it is possible to find a smooth loop $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch} \subset \Sigma$ such that 1) $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}$ does not intersect $\tau(\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch})$ in Σ ; 2) the symplectic area of the small disc bounded by $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}$ equals $\frac{1}{2}$. Then we claim that non standard lagrangian torus $T(\Psi(\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}), 0) \subset M$ is BS_{can} and monotonic. Indeed, for this case the anticanonical class for M has the type $(2, 2)$, therefore $\text{BS}_{can} = \text{BS}_2$; at the same time periods for $T(\Psi(\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}), 0)$ equal $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ since the loop $l_p = \Psi^{-1}(p) \cap \{f_1 = 0\}$ is equatorial for conic $\tilde{\Psi}^{-1}(p) \subset M$ if p is not a pole, and the total symplectic area of the conic equals to 2.

To establish monotonicity of $T(\gamma_{Ch}, 0) \subset M$ we use absolutely the same arguments as in the previous example. Adding the second moment map

$$f_2 = \frac{|x_0|^2}{|x_0|^2 + |x_1|^2} + \frac{|y_0|^2}{|y_0|^2 + |y_1|^2}$$

we consider a distinguished section of the anticanonical line bundle $\alpha_b \in H^0(Q, K_Q^{-1})$ which vanishes on the boundary divisor $D_b = \{X_{f_1} \wedge X_{f_2} = 0\} \subset Q$ formed by 4 lines; as in the previous case this section is invariant under the toric action generated by the moment maps f_1, f_2 . The resting arguments are the same as above; they lead to the main claim — non standard lagrangian torus $T(\gamma_{Ch}, 0) \subset Q$ is monotonic.

Essentially the same construction can be done for the case $M = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ for n copies of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ equipped with the standard product symplectic form $\omega = \oplus_{i=1}^n p_i^* \omega_{FS}$. Fix homogenous coordinates $[x_i : y_i]$ for i -th component, then the standard toric structure is given by the set of moment maps $(\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_n)$ where $\tilde{f}_i = \frac{|x_i|^2}{|x_i|^2 + |y_i|^2}$, the boundary divisor $D_b = \{X_{f_1} \wedge \dots \wedge X_{f_n} = 0\}$ consists of $2n$ components $D_i^1 = \{x_i = 0\}, D_i^2 = \{y_i = 0\}$; the standard toric fibration admits monotonic lagrangian torus $T_{Cl}^n = \{\tilde{f}_1 = \dots = \tilde{f}_n = \frac{1}{2}\} \subset M$.

In [1] one introduces a natural pseudotoric structure on M given by the data $(f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, \Psi, B)$ where $f_i = \tilde{f}_i - \tilde{f}_{i+1}, \Psi : M \setminus B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_w^1$ defined by $w_0 = x_1 \dots x_n, w_1 = y_1 \dots y_n$ and the base set B consists of intersections $D_i^1 \cap D_j^2, i \neq j$.

The values of the reduced moment maps f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} for the monotonic lagrangian torus T_{Cl}^n equal 0, and therefore first of all we are looking for an appropriate section $\Sigma \subset M$ of the map Ψ satisfies $f_i|_{\Sigma} = 0$. It is not hard to see that the diagonal $\Sigma = \Delta = \{x_1 = \dots = x_n; y_1 = \dots = y_n\}$ is the desired section. The map $\Psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_w^1$ is the standard n -covering; the symplectic area of Σ equals n .

In terms of the pseudotoric structure the standard lagrangian torus T_{Cl}^n is given by the following choice: take smooth loop $\gamma_{Cl} = \{[1 : e^{it}]\} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_w^1$, then $T(\gamma_{Cl}, 0)$ is exactly T_{Cl}^n .

Now since D_b represents the anticanonical class $K_M^{-1} = \mathcal{O}(2, \dots, 2)$ while $[\omega] = c_1(\mathcal{O}(1, \dots, 1)) \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ the monotonicity coefficient $k = 2$. Therefore to find a BS_{can} non standard lagrangian torus of Chekanov type we need a smooth contractible loop $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch} \subset \Sigma \setminus (p_N, p_S)$ such that 1) after the rotation $\tau^i(\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}) \cap \tilde{\gamma}_{Ch} = \emptyset$ where τ is the primitive rotation $e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$ of Σ ; 2) the symplectic area of the disc bounded by $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}$ equals $\frac{1}{2}$ or equivalently $\frac{1}{2n}$ of the symplectic area of Σ . It is not hard to see that such a loop exists. Then the corresponding non standard lagrangian torus $T(\gamma_{Ch}, 0)$ where $\gamma_{Ch} = \Psi(\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}) \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_w^1$, is smooth and BS_{can} .

The proof that $T(\gamma_{Ch}, 0)$ is monotonic follows the same scheme as in the first example. Essentially we have to check only one loop $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch} \subset T(\gamma_{Ch}, 0)$.

Take the section $\alpha_b \in H^0(M, K_M^{-1})$ with zeroset at D_b ; this section is invariant under the Hamiltonian action of each X_{f_i} , where we add n -th moment map $f_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{f}_i$; note that X_{f_n} is tangent to Σ at each point. Therefore non vanishing complex function $\alpha_b^*(X_{\tilde{f}_1} \wedge \dots \wedge X_{\tilde{f}_n})|_{\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}} : \tilde{\gamma}_{Ch} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ has degree zero; here as above α_b^* is the top holomorphic form with pole at D_b dual to α_b . Again we can take a parametrization $\theta : [0; 2\pi] \rightarrow \tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}$ such that the tangent vector $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(p) = \cos(\theta)X_{f_n}(p) + \sin(\theta)I(X_{f_n}(p))$ (without loss of generality we can take $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}$ convex). Consequently the degree of the map $\alpha_b(X_{f_1} \wedge \dots \wedge X_{f_{n-1}} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta})$ along $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}$ equals to 1; the section $\alpha_b|_{B_2}$ does not vanish being restricted to small disc $B_2 \subset \Sigma$ bounded by $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}$ therefore the universal Maslov class must have trivial value on $[\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}] \in H_1(T(\gamma_{Ch}, 0))$. Therefore $T(\gamma_{Ch}, 0)$ is monotonic.

Consider now general situation. Let $(M, \omega, \tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_n)$ be a compact smooth simply connected toric symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$ with integer symplectic form and complete set of commuting moment maps (first integrals) (see f.e. [3]). As it was shown in [1] it admits a pseudotoric structure $(f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, \Psi, B)$ where f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} are pairwise commuting moment maps derived from the complete set of first integrals by linear transformations, $B \subset M$ is the base set, $\Psi : M \setminus B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_w^1$ is the map with symplectic fibers, preserved by the Hamiltonian action of each X_{f_i} (the ‘‘commutation’’ relation for Ψ and f_i). Recall the main idea of the construction.

For a given toric manifold M we can fix the complex structure I which is invariant with respect to the toric action, this structure is essentially unique. Consider the boundary divisor $D_b = \{X_{\tilde{f}_1} \wedge \dots \wedge X_{\tilde{f}_n} = 0\} \subset M$, — it is a reducible complex subvariety of complex dimension $n - 1$, formed by irreducible components D_1, \dots, D_s where $s > n$. Taking the corresponding classes $[D_i] \in H_{2n-2}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ one can find a relation with integer coefficients $\sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i [D_i] = 0, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, coming from the combinatorial description of M . Recall that our toric M can be reconstructed from the corresponding convex polytop $P_M = F_{act}(M) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ where $F_{act} = (\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_n)$ is the action map. Then for a given relation $\sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i [D_i] = 0$ we separate the summands with non negative and negative coefficients correspondingly, so $[D^+] = \sum_{\lambda_i \geq 0} \lambda_i [D_i], [D^-] = \sum_{\lambda_j < 0} \lambda_j [D_j]$. Therefore we have $[D^+] = [D^-]$. Then it exists a holomorphic line bundle $L \rightarrow M$ which admits holomorphic sections $\alpha_{\pm} \in H^0(M, L)$ with zerosets $(\alpha_{\pm})_0 = D^{\pm}$. Since both D^+ and D^- are invariant with respect to the toric action generated by $X_{\tilde{f}_1}, \dots, X_{\tilde{f}_n}$ it is a linear condition on $\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_n$ deriving a couple f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} of moment maps which satisfy the following condition: every element from the linear span $\langle D^+, D^- \rangle \subset |L|$ is invariant under the action of each X_{f_i} . Thus a pseudotoric structure on M is given by the data: the base set $B = D^+ \cap D^-$ is the base set of the pencil $\langle D^+, D^- \rangle$, the map $\Psi : M \setminus B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_w^1$ is given by the elements of the pencil, and the moment maps f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} are as described above.

For the same M probably there are many relations of the form $\sum_i \lambda [D_i] = 0$, and formally we can proceed for any such relation; however as it was pointed out in [1] interesting and elegant examples appear in the ‘‘middle’’ cases when D^+ is not too small or too big. Below we will see what would be a natural condition on D^+ corresponds to the ‘‘middle’’ case.

Continue the construction. All the fibers $\Psi^{-1}(p)$ are smooth except for

$p = [1 : 0], [0 : 1] \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_w^1$; the completions

$$D^+ = \overline{\Psi^{-1}([1 : 0])}, \quad D^- = \overline{\Psi^{-1}([0 : 1])} \subset M$$

have as the supports the components of the boundary divisor D_b . Any smooth function $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{R})$ can complete the set of moment maps f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} — take the lift Ψ^*h , correctly defined on the complement $M \setminus B$, then the last function must commute with every f_i . However this lift can not be extended to whole M ; at the same time every standard lagrangian torus from the toric fibration on $M \setminus D_b$ given by the moment maps \tilde{f}_i is presented in the form $T(\gamma, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \subset M$ where $\gamma \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_w^1 \setminus ([1 : 0], [0 : 1])$ is a smooth non contractible loop and c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} are fixed values of the moment maps f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} .

Recall that in this setup the choice of a contractible smooth loop $\gamma_{Ch} \subset (\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_w^1 \setminus [1 : 0], [0 : 1])$ together with the choice of the values give a smooth lagrangian torus $T(\gamma_{Ch}, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \subset M$ which is called non standard lagrangian torus of Chekanov type. The details can be found in [1].

Suppose additionally that (M, ω) is monotonic, thus $\text{P.D.}[D_b] = k[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, and suppose that standard torus $T_{Cl} = \{\tilde{f}_1 = \dots = \tilde{f}_n = 0\}$ is monotonic. Then we would like to find a smooth BS_{can} non standard lagrangian torus of Chekanov type $T(\gamma_{Ch}, 0, \dots, 0) \subset M$ (or just $T(\gamma, 0)$ for short) and establish whether or not it is monotonic.

It is clear that the periods for lagrangian tori T_{Cl} and $T(\gamma_{Ch}, 0)$ are almost the same except for one cycle in $H^1(T, \mathbb{Z})$ projected by Ψ either to non contractible loop γ_{Cl} or to contractible γ_{Ch} . Thus we have to find a contractible loop with the desired period.

Following the same strategy as in the examples above, first we need an appropriate “section” of the map $\Psi : M \setminus B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_w^1$, so a symplectic Riemann surface $\Sigma \subset M$ such that Σ intersects each fiber $\Psi^{-1}(p)$ at finite number of points. Consider the subset $N_0 = \{f_1 = \dots = f_{n-1} = 0\} \subset M$; there one has a complex one - dimensional distribution π given by $\pi(x) = T_x N_0 \cap I(T_x N_0) \subset T_x M$ where I is our toric complex structure; this distribution is invariant under the action of T^n therefore it is integrable. Indeed, for every \tilde{f}_i from the complete set $(\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_n)$ the Hamiltonian action $\phi_{X_{\tilde{f}_i}}^t$ preserves all the data which generate the distribution.

The leaves of the integrable distribution are interchanged by the Hamiltonian action of f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} and we can find the last f_n as a linear combination of $\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_n$ such that X_{f_n} is tangent to the leaves; since for each leaf this action has exactly two fixed points, in general the leaves are rational curves.

Fix a leaf of the distribution π and denote it as $\Sigma \subset M$. By the construction Σ intersects each fiber $\Psi^{-1}(p)$ at a finite set of points (algebraic geometry provides the shortest way to see this fact), therefore the restriction $\Psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ gives a finite covering ramified exactly at two points $[1 : 0]$ and $[0 : 1]$. Denote the degree of the covering as d . Let the symplectic area of Σ equals to m . What we need is a smooth contractible loop $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch} \subset \Sigma \setminus (p_N, p_S)$, where p_N, p_S are the branching points, such that two properties hold: 1) $\Psi(\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}) \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_w^1 \setminus ([1 : 0], [0 : 1])$ is smooth, 2) $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}$ cuts a disc on Σ of symplectic area $\frac{l}{k}$ where $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is clear that given such $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}$ the corresponding non standard lagrangian torus $T(\Psi(\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}), 0)$ must be BS_{can} .

To study this question, take a segment $\langle [1 : 0]; [0 : 1] \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_w^1$, connecting the poles, and lift its preimage under Ψ . The preimage divides Σ into d

connected open parts B_1, \dots, B_d such that for every B_i for each $p \in B_i$ one has $\Psi^{-1}(\Psi(p)) \cap B_i \equiv p$. On the other hand it exists B_i such that the symplectic area $\int_{B_i} \omega \geq \frac{m}{d}$.

Then the existence of a smooth loop $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}$ which satisfies the properties 1) and 2) above is equivalent to the following numerical condition $k\frac{m}{d} > 1$. Indeed, every smooth loop satisfies 1) must lie in a component B_i therefore the symplectic area of the discs δ is strictly less than $\frac{m}{d}$. But to have the right period $k\delta$ must be integer, thus $k\frac{m}{d} > 1$.

This inequality has clear geometric meaning: both the numbers are topological intersection indexes, where $km = \text{ind}(D_b \cap \Sigma)$ and $d = \text{ind}(D^+ \cap \Sigma)$. Note since every D_i and Σ are complex, the topological intersections are given just by the numbers of the intersection points. Let $\rho_i = \text{ind}(D_i \cap \Sigma)$; then the last inequality means $\sum_{i=1}^s \rho_i > \sum_{\lambda_i > 0} \lambda_i \rho_i$.

Note that all the constructions depend on one combinatorial data: relation $\sum_i \lambda_i [D_i] = 0$, which corresponds to integer vector $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$. We can say that $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$ corresponds to “middle” case if the inequality $\text{ind}(D_b \cap \Sigma) > \text{ind}(D^+ \cap \Sigma)$ holds. For such “middle” pseudotoric structure we claim that it does exist BS_{can} non standard lagrangian torus of Chekanov type.

For the “middle” pseudotoric structure one can find an appropriate BS_{can} non standard torus $T(\gamma_{Ch}, 0)$ with periods $(\frac{1}{k}, 0, \dots, 0)$; possibly we have another non standard tori with periods say $(\frac{l}{k}, 0, \dots, 0)$, $l > 1$, but we can apply the arguments from the above about the monotonicity condition only for the first torus with $l = 1$. For this case again we have a holomorphic section $\alpha_b \in H^0(M, K_M^{-1})$ invariant under the Hamiltonian action of X_{f_i} , and again we can choose a parametrization θ for convex smooth loop $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}$ such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(p) = \cos(\theta)X_{f_n}(p) + \sin(\theta)I(X_{f_n}(p))$, and establish that the corresponding degree equals 1 (not l).

Therefore for this “middle” case we can claim the existence of monotonic non standard lagrangian tori of Chekanov type.

2 Non toric case

The constructions can be directly generalized to non toric but pseudotoric case. Below we study two examples: 4 - dimensional quadric Q and full flag F^3 in \mathbb{C}^3 . As it was established both are pseudotoric, see [1].

The third example. Take the hypersurface $Q = \{z_0z_1 + z_2z_3 + z_4z_5 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^5$ with homogenous coordinates $[z_0 : \dots : z_5]$. The restriction of the standard Kahler form ω is taken as the integer symplectic form. Note that (Q, ω) is monotonic: the anticanonical bundle is $\mathcal{O}(4)|_Q$ therefore $k = 4$.

The pseudotoric structure is given by the data (B, Ψ, f_1, f_2, f_3) where $\Psi : Q \setminus B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_w^1$ is given by the formulas

$$w_0 = z_0z_1, \quad w_1 = z_2z_3, \quad w_2 = z_3z_4, \quad w_0 + w_1 + w_2 = 0,$$

therefore the base set consists of 8 projective planes defined by the condition $z_0z_1 = z_2z_3 = z_4z_5 = 0$, and real Morse functions f_1, f_2, f_3 has the following form

$$f_i = \frac{a_i(|z_0|^2 - |z_1|^2) + b_i(|z_2|^2 - |z_3|^2) + c_i(|z_3|^2 - |z_4|^2)}{\sum_{i=0}^5 |z_i|^2},$$

where (a_i, b_i, c_i) is $(1, 0, -1)$ and the cyclic permutations of it.

In contrast with the toric case the map Ψ has three singular fibers: over points $p_1 = [1 : -1 : 0]$, $p_2 = [1 : 0 : -1]$ and $p_3 = [0 : 1 : -1]$. As it was shown in [1], the choice of a smooth loop $\gamma \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_w^1 \setminus \{p_i\}$ and regular values c_i of f_i defines a smooth lagrangian torus $T(\gamma, c_i) \subset Q$. We are interested in the “middle” values of f_i , below we will explain the reason why we consider the case $c_i = 0$. Smooth loops on the complement $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_w^1 \setminus \{p_i\}$ are distinguished by their topological types, and we say that $T(\gamma, 0)$ is of the standard type if γ is non contractible or that $T(\gamma, 0)$ is of Chekanov type if it is contractible.

Now our task is to calculate the periods for both types in dependence on smooth loops γ . To do this we must find a “section” of map Ψ as it was done in the toric case. However in the present case we must as well calculate “toric” periods for $T(\gamma, 0)$ which means the periods of 3 - torus $T_0^3 = \{f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = 0\} \cap \overline{\Psi^{-1}(p)}$ which belongs to a toric fiber over $p \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_w^1, p \neq p_i$. For every such T^3 we have a distinguished basis (a_1, a_2, a_3) represented by loops of the form $[e^{it} : e^{-it} : z_2 : z_3 : z_4 : z_5]$, $[z_0 : z_1 : e^{it} : e^{-it} : z_4 : z_5]$ and $[z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3 : e^{it} : e^{-it}]$ correspondingly; clearly the periods are $(0, 0, 0) \bmod \mathbb{Z}$.

To find the 4th period for $T(\gamma, 0)$ take Riemann surface $\Sigma \subset Q$ given by the intersection $\Sigma = Q \cap V$ where V is the projective plane $V = \{z_0 = z_1, z_2 = z_3, z_4 = z_5\} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^5$. As in the toric case it was chosen such that $V \subset N_0$ where $N_0 = \{f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = 0\}$. By the very construction Σ is a plane conic, therefore its symplectic area is $m = 2$. It is ramified over $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_w^1$ but the covering structure is more complicated than in the toric case: we have 4 sheets outside of p_i , and over each p_i the four branches are separated in two pairs so the preimage over each p_i consists of 2 points (and there are exactly three possibility for this separation, and all of them are realized over p_1, p_2 and p_3).

Our conic Σ has 6 distinguished branching points p_i^\pm such that $p_i^\pm = \Psi^{-1}(p_i)$; it has three distinguished symmetries given by rotations τ_i around axes $\langle p_i^+, p_i^- \rangle$ on angle π . Every contractible smooth loop $\gamma_{Ch} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_w^1 \setminus \{p_i\}$ is lifted to 4 copies of smooth loops $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}, \tau_i(\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch})$; on the other hand every smooth contractible loop $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch} \cap \tau_i(\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}) = \emptyset$ for each $i = 1, 2, 3$, defines a smooth loop γ_{Ch} on the base therefore it defines a smooth lagrangian torus $T(\gamma_{Ch}, 0)$ of Chekanov type. Of course, the intersection $T(\gamma_{Ch}, 0) \cup \Sigma$ consists of all $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}, \tau_i(\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch})$, but the period is the same since the symmetry.

Remove from the big circle joining points p_i on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_w^1$ one segment (say, with ends at p_1 and p_2) and then lift this cutting up to Σ ; it leads to the division of Σ into four pieces B_1, \dots, B_4 corresponding to octahedron with removed 4 “equatorial” edges. The symplectic area of each B_i equals to $\frac{1}{2}$; at the same time a smooth loop $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}$ sitting inside of B_i satisfies the property 1); since the symplectic area of B_i is $\frac{1}{2}$ it is possible to choose a smooth loop $\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch} \subset \text{int} B_i$ which bounds a disc of symplectic area $\frac{1}{4}$ — and it is enough for us to construct the desired non standard lagrangian torus satisfies BS_{can} condition. Indeed, for the corresponding torus $T(\Psi(\tilde{\gamma}_{Ch}), 0) \subset Q$ the periods are $(\frac{1}{4}, 0, 0, 0)$ thus it is BS_{can} .

At the same time there are standard type lagrangian tori, defined by loops $\tilde{\gamma}^i$ “centered” at the branching points $p_i^\pm \in \Sigma$. Consider the case $p_1^+ \in \Sigma \subset Q$, all the resting cases are essentially the same. A smooth loop $\tilde{\gamma}^1$, centered at p_1^+ is suitable for us if it is projected by Ψ to a smooth loop $\gamma^1 \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_w^1$; and to get a BS_{can} lagrangian torus we need $\tilde{\gamma}^1$ bounds a disc of symplectic area $\frac{k}{4}$ with integer k . Since the places of points $p_i^\pm \in \Sigma$ are at the vertices of octahedron so

the distance between any two points is fixed, we easily deduce that such loop $\tilde{\gamma}^1$ exists; moreover since the total symplectic area of Σ equals 2 there are three possibilities: $\tilde{\gamma}^1$ can cut $\frac{1}{8}$, $\frac{1}{4}$ or $\frac{3}{4}$ of the total symplectic area, and all the cases lead to smooth lagrangian tori which are distinguished by their periods. Denote as $\tilde{\gamma}_k^1$ the loop which cuts $\frac{k}{8}$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, of the total symplectic area. Take the images $\gamma_k^1 = \Psi(\tilde{\gamma}_k^1)$ and construct the corresponding lagrangian tori $T(\gamma_k^1, 0)$, $k = 1, 2, 3$; all of them are BS_{can} . It is clear that $T(\gamma_1^1, 0)$ and $T(\gamma_2^1, 0)$ are not Hamiltonian isotopic since they have different periods.

We can repeat the construction choosing another p_i as the “center” of the corresponding loop, therefore we have 9 different BS_{can} lagrangian tori of standard type; thus a natural question arises — which of them are monotonic? Of course, essentially the question is about $T(\gamma_k^1, 0)$ since all the others possess the same properties.

Certain arguments hints the answer: our quadric Q admits a toric degeneration, being included in the family $Q_t = \{z_0z_1 + z_2z_3 + tz_4z_5 = 0\}$, $t = [0; 1]$. All these Q_t are pseudotoric: the pseudotoric structure is given by the same functions f_1, f_2, f_3 and the same map Ψ restricted on each Q_t individually; as the base one has $\mathbb{CP}_t^1 = \{w_0 + w_1 + tw_2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$. At the limit $t = 0$ for Q_0 we have that it is toric and singular: it contains singular locus $L_{Sing} = \{z_0 = z_1 = z_2 = z_3 = 0\} \subset Q_0$ which is a projective line. Note that the base set for each t is the same: $B = \{z_0z_1 = z_2z_3 = z_4z_5 = 0\}$, so $\Psi = \Psi_t : Q_t \setminus B \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}_t^1$.

For the limit case $t = 0$ the map $\Psi_0 : Q_0 \setminus B \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}_0^1$ admits just two singular fibers over the poles $[1 : -1 : 0]$ and $[0 : 0 : 1]$, being toric. The section of Ψ_0 is again given by the intersection with the plane $V = \{z_0 = z_1, z_2 = z_3, z_4 = z_5\}$; in this case the section Σ_0 is the union of two conjugated projective lines, intersecting at the preimage $\Psi^{-1}([0 : 0 : 1])$. Geometrically under the limiting process $Q_t \mapsto Q_0$ the equator of Σ_t , containing p_2^\pm and p_3^\pm is shrunked to point $[0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 1 : 1] \in \Sigma_0$.

Under the deformation $t \mapsto 0$ the standard lagrangian tori $T(\gamma_k^1, 0) \subset Q_1 = Q$ are deformed to “really” standard lagrangian tori in a toric manifold which can be described in the standard way. Take a smooth loop $\tilde{\gamma}_k$ centered at $p_1^+ = [1 : 1 : i : i : 0 : 0] \in \{z_0 + iz_2 = 0\} \subset \Sigma_0$ which is invariant under the rotations around the axe $\langle p_1^+, p_1^- \rangle$ and such that it cuts disc of symplectic area $\frac{k}{4}$. Then the corresponding standard lagrangian tori $T(\Psi_0(\tilde{\gamma}_k), 0) \subset Q_0$ are BS_{can} .

But in the toric setup we can distinguish monotonic cases: since here we have the fair toric action generated by f_1, f_2, f_3 and the resting f_4 which is taken to preserve the section Σ_0 , f.e. we can take

$$f_4 = \frac{|z_4|^2 + |z_5|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^5 |z_i|^2},$$

it exists an invariant section α_b of the anti canonical bundle, whose zeros form the boundary divisor $D_b \subset Q_0$, and for each standard torus $T(\gamma_k, 0)$ we have an invariant section δ_b of the determinant bundle, given by the wedge product of the Hamiltonian vector fields X_{f_i} . Therefore the natural pairing $\alpha_b(\delta_b) \in \mathbb{C}^*$ is a constant function on $T(\gamma_k, 0) \subset Q_0$: its derivation along each X_{f_i} must be trivial.

It follows that the Maslov index for the loop $\tilde{\gamma}_k \subset \Sigma_0$ must be equal to the index of the intersection of the line $\{z_0 + iz_2 = 0\} \subset \Sigma_0$ and the part D^+ of

the boundary divisor which is given in our case as $\overline{\Psi^{-1}([1 : -1 : 0])} \subset Q_0$ (note that D^+ does not contain the singular set of Q_0). The index equals to 2, thus to be a loop on a monotonic lagrangian torus our loop must cut a disc of the symplectic area $\frac{1}{2}$ only. Consequently we have only one monotonic lagrangian torus $T(\gamma_2^1, 0) \subset Q_0$.

Note that the universal Maslov class is stable with respect to continuous deformations; thus if we take the corresponding universal Maslov classes for every standard lagrangian torus $T_t(\gamma_2, 0) \subset Q_t$ constructed using the same data on each Q_t then if the universal Maslov class for $T_0(\gamma_2, 0)$ is trivial it must be trivial for each $T_t(\gamma_2, 0), t \in [0; 1]$; and the opposite is also true.

These arguments lead to the following answer: for our quadric $Q = Q_1$ the standard lagrangian tori $T(\gamma_2^i, 0) \subset Q, i = 1, 2, 3$, are monotonic.

Remark. Smooth standard lagrangian tori $T(\gamma_1^i, 0)$ and $T(\gamma_3^i, 0)$ in quadric Q present for us quite interesting examples of BS_{can} lagrangian tori which carry non trivial universal Maslov classes.

The last example. The full flag variety F^3 realized as $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$, a divisor of type (1,1) given by the equation $\sum_{i=0}^2 x_i y_i = 0$. Here $[x_0 : x_1 : x_2]$ and $[y_0 : y_1 : y_2]$ are homogenous coordinates on the first and the second direct summands correspondingly. We consider the symplectic structure of type (1,1) given by the restriction to \mathcal{U} of the product symplectic form $p_1^* \omega_x \oplus p_2^* \omega_y$.

The pседutoric structure constructed in [1] is given by two moment maps

$$f_1 = \frac{|x_0|^2 - |x_2|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^2 |x_i|^2} - \frac{|y_0|^2 - |y_2|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^2 |y_i|^2}, \quad f_2 = \frac{|x_0|^2 - |x_1|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^2 |x_i|^2} - \frac{|y_0|^2 - |y_1|^2}{\sum_{i=0}^2 |y_i|^2};$$

both the functions preserve by the Hamiltonian action the fibers of the map $\Psi : \mathcal{U} \setminus B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_w^1 \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ given by the equations $w_i = x_i y_i, \sum_{i=0}^2 w_i = 0$ where $[w_0 : w_1 : w_2]$ are the coordinates on the last $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$. The base set B consists of six lines of the form $\{x_i = x_j = y_k = 0\}$ or $\{x_i = y_j = y_k = 0\}$ where (i, j, k) is a permutation of $(0, 1, 2)$.

The compactification of generic fiber $\overline{\Psi^{-1}(p)} = \Psi^{-1}(p) \cup B$ is isomorphic to del Pezzo surface $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_3^2$ of degree 6; over three distinguished points $p_1 = [1 : -1 : 0], p_2 = [1 : 0 : -1], p_3 = [0 : 1 : -1] \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_w^1$ we have degenerated fibers isomorphic to two copies of del Pezzo surfaces $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_1^2 \cup \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_1^2$ both of degree 8; the details can be found in [1].

The flag variety \mathcal{U} is monotonic (being Fano variety), the monotonicity coefficient k equals to 2: the anticanonical class $K_{F^3}^{-1}$ is presented by the restriction $\mathcal{O}(2, 2)|_{\mathcal{U}}$ while our symplectic form represents $c_1(\mathcal{O}(1, 1)|_{\mathcal{U}})$.

Again, as it was done in the examples above, first we construct an appropriate "section" of the map Ψ on the common level set $f_1 = f_2 = 0$ of our moment maps f_1 and f_2 . In this example the suitable section is given by the following rational curve $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{U}$: take the diagonal $\Delta = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 = \{x_i = y_i\} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ and intersect it with our cycle, so $\Delta \cap \mathcal{U} = \Sigma$. It is clear that $f_i|_{\Sigma} = 0$; since in the diagonal Δ our curve Σ is given by the equation $\sum_{i=0}^2 x_i^2 = 0$ it is a plane conic, therefore it is rational. The symplectic area of Σ equals to 2.

Each regular fiber $\Psi^{-1}(p)$ intersects Σ exactly at 4 points; we have ramification points at p_1, p_2, p_3 where 4 leaves are divided in pairs. So geometrically the picture is essentially the same as in the previous example: the only difference appears when we calculate the ratio between the symplectic area of Σ (which is 2) and the monotonicity coefficient k (which is 2, not 4 as in the quadric case).

So the main difference changes the answer to the question about BS_{can} non standard lagrangian tori. Indeed, as we have seen in the previous example, our Σ can be divided into four domains B_1, \dots, B_4 such that a smooth loop $\tilde{\gamma} \subset \text{Int}B_i$ defines the corresponding non standard lagrangian torus. But in the previous case the symplectic area of such a loop was restricted by $\leq \frac{1}{2}$ and since the monotonicity coefficient was 4 we could solve the existence problem; in contrast now we can not do it — a smooth loop $\tilde{\gamma} \subset B_i$ with the right period does not exist.

Therefore the full flag variety F^3 does not admit BS_{can} non standard lagrangian tori with respect to the given pseudotoric structure. It implies non existence of monotonic non standard lagrangian tori with respect to the given pseudotoric structure.

Perhaps if we consider another pseudotoric structure the answer will be different.

However our construction gives monotonic lagrangian tori of standard type in F^3 . Indeed, consider Σ with 6 marked ramification points p_i^\pm . Explicitly take $p_1^+ = [1 : i : 0] \times [1 : i : 0] \in \Sigma \subset \mathcal{U}$ and find a smooth loop $\tilde{\gamma}_{Cl}^1 \subset \Sigma$ centered at p_1^+ which is symmetric under the rotations around the axe $\langle p_1^+, p_1^- \rangle$ and which cuts the disc of symplectic area $\frac{1}{2}$, — such a loop exists since the total area of Σ is 2. For each point $p \in \tilde{\gamma}_{Cl}^1$ of this loop take the 2 - torus spanned by the toric action of the moment maps f_1 and f_2 . Then collecting these 2 - tori along $\tilde{\gamma}_{Cl}^1$ we get a smooth torus $T(\gamma_{Cl}^1, 0) \subset F^3$. We claim that this torus is smooth and BS_{can} . Indeed, since the projection $\gamma_{Cl}^1 = \Psi(\tilde{\gamma}_{Cl}^1) \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_w^1 \setminus \{p_i\}$ is a smooth non contractible loop, the resulting torus must be smooth; on the other hand it has periods $(\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0)$ thus it is BS_{can} .

Moreover, since the flag variety F^3 admits toric degeneration compatible with our construction we can repeat the arguments from the above and deduce that lagrangian torus $T(\gamma_{Cl}^i, 0)$ is monotonic.

Indeed, as it was done for the quadric case above, consider the following deformation family $\mathcal{U}_t = \{x_0y_0 + x_1y_1 + tx_2y_2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2, t \in [0; 1]$. For $t = 1$ we have our given flag variety $\mathcal{U}_1 = \mathcal{U}$; note that the pseudotoric structure (f_1, f_2, Ψ_t, B) is defined over each element of our family, and at the limiting point $t = 0$ we get a pseudotoric structure on a singular toric variety $\mathcal{U}_0 = \{x_0y_0 + x_1y_1 = 0\}$: the singular set consists of exactly one point $[0 : 0 : 1] \times [0 : 0 : 1]$, and the corresponding convex polytop $P_{\mathcal{U}_0}$ is the famous Gelfand - Zeytlin polytop with one 4 - valent vertex which corresponds to the singular point.

The map $\Psi_0 : \mathcal{U}_0 \setminus B \rightarrow \{w_0 + w_1 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ has the same base set B as for every \mathcal{U}_t consists of 6 lines, but for $t = 0$ there are 2 points p_1, p_2 underlying non regular fibers: p_1 is the same as for \mathcal{U} with coordinates $[1 : -1 : 0]$ and the second point $p_2 = [0 : 0 : 1]$. Take the same diagonal Δ and cut the section $\Sigma_0 \subset \mathcal{U}_0$ as $\Delta \cap \mathcal{U}_0$ (it is clear that condition $f_1|_{\Sigma_0} = f_2|_{\Sigma_0} = 0$ holds). But for $t = 0$ our curve Σ_0 is reducible being the union of two projective lines with three marked points $p_1^\pm = [1 : \pm i : 0] \times [1 : \pm i : 0]$ and $p_2^\pm = [0 : 0 : 1] \times [0 : 0 : 1]$ — the singular point of the ambient variety \mathcal{U}_0 .

Take the loop $\tilde{\gamma}_{Cl} \subset \Sigma_0$ centered at p_1^+ such that it is symmetric with respect to rotations around the axe $\langle p_1^+, p_2^+ \rangle$ and such that it bounds a disc of symplectic area $\frac{1}{2}$ (so this is an equatorial loop). Then the corresponding standard lagrangian torus $T_0(\Psi_0(\tilde{\gamma}_{Cl}), 0)$ is monotonic. Again we can apply the deformation arguments and show that smooth lagrangian torus $T(\gamma_{Cl}^i, 0) \subset$

$\mathcal{U}_1 = \mathcal{U}$ must be monotonic as well.

References:

- [1] N. A. Tyurin, “Pseudotoric structures: Lagrangian submanifolds and Lagrangian fibrations”, Russian Math. Surveys, 72:3 (2017), pp. 513- 546;
- [2] N. A. Tyurin, “Universal Maslov class of a Bohr–Sommerfeld Lagrangian embedding into a pseudo-Einstein manifold”, Theoret. and Math. Phys., 150:2 (2007), 278–287;
- [3] M. Audin, “Torus Action on Symplectic Manifolds”, Progress in Mathematics, 93, Birkhauser, Basel, 2004.