

Some three-point correlation functions in the η -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$

Plamen Bozhilov

*Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
1784 Sofia, Bulgaria
bozhilov@inrne.bas.bg, bozhilov.p@gmail.com*

Abstract

We compute some normalized structure constants in the η -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ in the framework of the semiclassical approach. This is done for the cases when the “heavy” string states are finite-size giant magnons carrying one angular momentum and for three different choices of the “light” state: primary scalar operators, dilaton operator with nonzero momentum, singlet scalar operators on higher string levels. Since the dual field theory is still unknown, the results obtained here must be considered as conjectures or as predictions from the string theory side.

Keywords: Gauge/string duality, Correlation functions

PACS:11.25.-w, 11.25.Tq

1 Introduction

The AdS/CFT duality [1]-[3] between string theories on curved space-times with Anti-de Sitter subspaces and conformal field theories in different dimensions has been actively investigated in the last years. A lot of impressive progresses have been made in this field of research based mainly on the integrability structures discovered on both sides of the correspondence. The most studied example is the correspondence between type IIB string theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ target space and the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory (SYM) in four space-time dimensions. However, many other cases are also of interest, and have been investigated intensively (for recent review on the AdS/CFT duality, see [4]).

Different classical string solutions play important role in checking and understanding the AdS/CFT correspondence [5]. To establish relations with the dual gauge theory, one has to take the semiclassical limit of *large* conserved charges [6]. An important example of such string solution is the so called "giant magnon" living in the $R_t \times S^2$ subspace of $AdS_5 \times S^5$, discovered by Hofman and Maldacena [7]. It gave a strong support for the conjectured all-loop $SU(2)$ spin chain, arising in the dual $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, and made it possible to get a deeper insight in the AdS/CFT duality. Characteristic feature of this solution is that the string energy E and the angular momentum J_1 go to infinity, but the difference $E - J_1$ remains finite and it is related to the momentum of the magnon excitations in the dual spin chain in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM. This string configuration have been extended to the case of *dyonic* giant magnon, being solution for a string moving on $R_t \times S^3$ and having second nonzero angular momentum J_2 [8]. Further extension to $R_t \times S^5$ have been also worked out in [9]. It was also shown there that such type of string solutions can be obtained by reduction of the string dynamics to the Neumann-Rosochatius integrable system, by using a specific ansatz.

An interesting issue to solve is to find the *finite-size effect*, i.e. J_1 large, but finite, related to the wrapping interactions in the dual field theory [10]. For (dyonic) giant magnons living in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ this was done in [11, 12]. The corresponding string solutions, along with the (leading) finite-size corrections to their dispersion relations have been found.

Another issue is to go beyond the spectral problem by computing different correlation functions. For two-, three- and four-point correlators a lot of interesting results have been obtained ¹. Further investigations on the problem include the finite-size effects on some of them [18]-[23].

Two years ago a new *integrable* deformation (η -deformation) of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring action has been discovered [24]². The bosonic part of the superstring sigma model Lagrangian on this η -deformed background was determined in [25]. From it one can extract the background metric and B -field [29].

¹The recent activity in computing the semiclassical correlation functions in the framework of the AdS/CFT duality was initiated in [13]-[17].

²For the recent investigations related to this integrable deformation of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ see [25]-[45].

The spectrum of a string moving on η -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ was considered in [30]. This is done by treating the corresponding worldsheet theory as integrable field theory. In particular, it was found that the dispersion relation for the infinite-size giant magnons [7] on this background, in the large string tension limit when $g \rightarrow \infty$ is given by

$$E = \frac{2g\sqrt{1+\tilde{\eta}^2}}{\tilde{\eta}} \operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\tilde{\eta} \sin \frac{p}{2}\right), \quad (1.1)$$

where $\tilde{\eta}$ is related to the deformation parameter η according to³

$$\tilde{\eta} = \frac{2\eta}{1-\eta^2}. \quad (1.2)$$

The result (1.1) has been extended in [29] to the case of finite-size giant magnons. The corresponding dispersion relation is given by

$$E_s - J_1 = 2g\sqrt{1+\tilde{\eta}^2} \left[\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}} \operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\tilde{\eta} \sin \frac{p}{2}\right) - \frac{(1+\tilde{\eta}^2) \sin^3 \frac{p}{2}}{4\sqrt{1+\tilde{\eta}^2 \sin^2 \frac{p}{2}}} \epsilon \right], \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$\epsilon = 16 \exp \left[- \left(\frac{J_1}{g} + \frac{2\sqrt{1+\tilde{\eta}^2}}{\tilde{\eta}} \operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\tilde{\eta} \sin \frac{p}{2}\right) \right) \sqrt{\frac{1+\tilde{\eta}^2 \sin^2 \frac{p}{2}}{(1+\tilde{\eta}^2) \sin^2 \frac{p}{2}}} \right]. \quad (1.4)$$

Here we are going to obtain, from the string theory viewpoint, some semiclassical three-point correlation functions in the η -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2 we describe the finite-size giant magnon solution on the η -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$. In Sec. 3, we derive the exact semiclassical structure constants for the case when the ‘‘heavy’’ string states are *finite-size* giant magnons, carrying one angular momentum, for three different choices of the ‘‘light’’ state: primary scalar operators, dilaton operator with nonzero momentum, singlet scalar operators on higher string levels. Sec. 4 is devoted to our concluding remarks.

2 Giant magnons on η -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$

Giant magnons live in the $R_t \times S_\eta^2$ subspace of the η -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$. The background seen by the string moving in the $R_t \times S_\eta^2$ subspace can be written as [29]

$$\begin{aligned} g_{tt} &= -1, & g_{\phi_1\phi_1} &= \sin^2 \theta, \\ g_{\theta\theta} &= \frac{1}{1+\tilde{\eta}^2 \sin^2 \theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

³We changed the notation κ in [30] to $\tilde{\eta}$ because we use κ for other purposes.

By using a specific ansatz for the string embedding [9], one can find the following string solution [29]⁴

$$\chi(\xi) = \frac{\chi_\eta \chi_p \mathbf{dn}^2(x, m)}{\chi_p \mathbf{dn}^2(x, m) + \chi_\eta - \chi_p}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(\tau, \sigma) = & \omega_1 \tau + \frac{1}{\tilde{\eta} \alpha \omega_1^2 (\chi_\eta - 1) \sqrt{(\chi_\eta - \chi_m) \chi_p}} \times \\ & \left\{ \left[\beta (\kappa^2 + \omega_1^2 (\chi_\eta - 1)) \right] \mathbf{F} \left(\arcsin \sqrt{\frac{(\chi_\eta - \chi_m)(\chi_p - \chi)}{(\chi_p - \chi_m)(\chi_\eta - \chi)}}, m \right) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{(\chi_\eta - \chi_p) \beta \kappa^2}{1 - \chi_p} \mathbf{\Pi} \left(\arcsin \sqrt{\frac{(\chi_\eta - \chi_m)(\chi_p - \chi)}{(\chi_p - \chi_m)(\chi_\eta - \chi)}}, -\frac{(\chi_\eta - 1)(\chi_p - \chi_m)}{(1 - \chi_p)(\chi_\eta - \chi_m)}, m \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Here $\chi = \cos^2 \theta$, where θ is the non-isometric angle on the deformed sphere S_η^2 , while ϕ_1 is the isometric angle on it. $\mathbf{dn}(x, m)$ is one of the Jacobi elliptic functions, \mathbf{F} and $\mathbf{\Pi}$ are the incomplete elliptic integrals of first and third kind.

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{\tilde{\eta} \alpha \omega_1 \sqrt{(\chi_\eta - \chi_m) \chi_p}}{\alpha^2 - \beta^2} \xi, \\ m &= \frac{(\chi_p - \chi_m) \chi_\eta}{(\chi_\eta - \chi_m) \chi_p}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\chi_\eta > \chi_p > \chi_m$ are the roots of the equation $d\chi/d\xi = 0$.

Now, let us present the expressions for the conserved charges (the string energy E_s and the angular momentum J_1) and also the worldsheet momentum p equal to the angular difference $\Delta\phi_1$, since we are going to use it [29]:

$$E_s = \frac{T}{\tilde{\eta}} \left(1 - \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2} \right) \frac{\kappa}{\omega_1} \int_{\chi_m}^{\chi_p} \frac{d\chi}{\sqrt{(\chi_\eta - \chi)(\chi_p - \chi)(\chi - \chi_m)\chi}}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 = & \frac{T}{\tilde{\eta}} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\beta^2 \kappa^2}{\alpha^2 \omega_1^2} \right) \int_{\chi_m}^{\chi_p} \frac{d\chi}{\sqrt{(\chi_\eta - \chi)(\chi_p - \chi)(\chi - \chi_m)\chi}} \right. \\ & \left. - \int_{\chi_m}^{\chi_p} \frac{\chi d\chi}{\sqrt{(\chi_\eta - \chi)(\chi_p - \chi)(\chi - \chi_m)\chi}} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

⁴See [27] where it was shown that the bosonic spinning strings on the η -deformed $AdS_5 x S^5$ background are naturally described as periodic solutions of a novel finite-dimensional integrable system which can be viewed as a deformation of the Neumann model.

$$\Delta\phi_1 = \frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}} \left[\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \int_{\chi_m}^{\chi_p} \frac{d\chi}{\sqrt{(\chi_\eta - \chi)(\chi_p - \chi)(\chi - \chi_m)\chi}} - \frac{\beta\kappa^2}{\alpha\omega_1^2} \int_{\chi_m}^{\chi_p} \frac{d\chi}{(1 - \chi)\sqrt{(\chi_\eta - \chi)(\chi_p - \chi)(\chi - \chi_m)\chi}} \right]. \quad (2.6)$$

Solving the integrals in (2.4)-(2.6) and introducing the notations

$$v = -\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \quad W = \frac{\kappa^2}{\omega_1^2}, \quad \epsilon = \frac{(\chi_\eta - \chi_p)\chi_m}{(\chi_\eta - \chi_m)\chi_p}, \quad (2.7)$$

we finally obtain

$$E_s = \frac{2T}{\tilde{\eta}} \frac{(1 - v^2)\sqrt{W}}{\sqrt{(\chi_\eta - \chi_m)\chi_p}} \mathbf{K}(1 - \epsilon), \quad (2.8)$$

$$J_1 = \frac{2T}{\tilde{\eta}\sqrt{(\chi_\eta - \chi_m)\chi_p}} \left[(1 - v^2W - \chi_\eta) \mathbf{K}(1 - \epsilon) + (\chi_\eta - \chi_p) \mathbf{\Pi} \left(\frac{\chi_p - \chi_m}{\chi_\eta - \chi_m}, 1 - \epsilon \right) \right], \quad (2.9)$$

$$\Delta\phi_1 = \frac{2}{\tilde{\eta}\sqrt{(\chi_\eta - \chi_m)\chi_p}} \times \left\{ \frac{vW}{(\chi_\eta - 1)(1 - \chi_p)} \left[(\chi_\eta - \chi_p) \mathbf{\Pi} \left(-\frac{(\chi_\eta - 1)(\chi_p - \chi_m)}{(\chi_\eta - \chi_m)(1 - \chi_p)}, 1 - \epsilon \right) - (1 - \chi_p) \mathbf{K}(1 - \epsilon) \right] - v \mathbf{K}(1 - \epsilon) \right\}, \quad (2.10)$$

where \mathbf{K} and $\mathbf{\Pi}$ are the complete elliptic integrals of first and third kind.

3 Semiclassical three-point correlation functions

It is known that the correlation functions of any conformal field theory can be determined in principle in terms of the basic conformal data $\{\Delta_i, C_{ijk}\}$, where Δ_i are the conformal dimensions defined by the two-point correlation functions

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_i^\dagger(x_1) \mathcal{O}_j(x_2) \rangle = \frac{C_{12}\delta_{ij}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{2\Delta_i}}$$

and C_{ijk} are the structure constants in the operator product expansion

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_i(x_1)\mathcal{O}_j(x_2)\mathcal{O}_k(x_3) \rangle = \frac{C_{ijk}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta_3}|x_1 - x_3|^{\Delta_1 + \Delta_3 - \Delta_2}|x_2 - x_3|^{\Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - \Delta_1}}.$$

Therefore, the determination of the initial conformal data for a given conformal field theory is the most important step in the conformal bootstrap approach.

The three-point functions of two ‘‘heavy’’ operators and a ‘‘light’’ operator can be approximated by a supergravity vertex operator evaluated at the ‘‘heavy’’ classical string configuration [17, 46]:

$$\langle V_H(x_1)V_H(x_2)V_L(x_3) \rangle = V_L(x_3)_{\text{classical}}.$$

For $|x_1| = |x_2| = 1$, $x_3 = 0$, the correlation function reduces to

$$\langle V_H(x_1)V_H(x_2)V_L(0) \rangle = \frac{C_{123}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{2\Delta_H}}.$$

Then, the normalized structure constants

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{C_{123}}{C_{12}}$$

can be found from

$$\mathcal{C} = c_\Delta V_L(0)_{\text{classical}}, \tag{3.1}$$

where c_Δ is the normalized constant of the corresponding ‘‘light’’ vertex operator. Actually, we are going to compute the normalized structure constants (3.1).

Let us mention that in the case of η -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ it is not clear from the beginning when the approach described above for computing the semiclassical three-point correlation functions from the string theory can be applied. There are several reasons for this.

First of all, the complete supergravity solution and its symmetries are not known for the case of η -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ ⁵. Therefore, one can not make a proposal for a dual gauge theory. Since the AdS_5 subspace is also deformed, the corresponding gauge theory is not conformal. As it was pointed out in [25], one may expect that this field theory is a non-commutative deformation of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM.

However, the case of giant magnons is very special. Since these are strings moving in the $R_t \times S_\eta^2$ subspace and there is no deformation along the time direction t in AdS , we suppose that we can use the above formulas, based on the existence of conformal symmetry, for the computation of semiclassical three-point correlation functions in the η -deformed case. The effect of the η -deformation on the sphere can be taken into account in the same way as for the case of the TsT -deformation of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ [48], as was done in [19]-[21].

⁵It was proven in [28] that in the η -deformed $AdS_2 \times S^2$ subspace the deformed metric can be extended to a full supergravity solution.

3.1 String vertices

Let us first recall the situation in the undeformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ case.

We denote with Y, X the coordinates in AdS_5 and S^5 parts of the background $AdS_5 \times S^5$:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1 + iY_2 &= \sinh \rho \sin \eta e^{i\varphi_1}, \\ Y_3 + iY_4 &= \sinh \rho \cos \eta e^{i\varphi_2}, \\ Y_5 + iY_0 &= \cosh \rho e^{it}. \end{aligned}$$

The coordinates Y are related to the Poincare coordinates by

$$\begin{aligned} Y_m &= \frac{x_m}{z}, \\ Y_4 &= \frac{1}{2z} (x^m x_m + z^2 - 1), \\ Y_5 &= \frac{1}{2z} (x^m x_m + z^2 + 1), \end{aligned}$$

where $x^m x_m = -x_0^2 + x_i x_i$, with $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and $i = 1, 2, 3$. We parameterize S^5 as in [46].

Euclidean continuation of the time-like directions to $t_e = it$, $Y_{0e} = iY_0$, $x_{0e} = ix_0$, will allow the classical trajectories to approach the AdS_5 boundary $z = 0$ when $\tau_e \rightarrow \pm\infty$, and to compute the corresponding correlation functions.

For our purposes, we need to know the string vertices for the following ‘‘light’’ states:

1. Primary scalar operators: $V_L = V_j^{pr}$
2. Dilaton operator: $V_L = V_j^d$
3. Singlet scalar operators on higher string levels: $V_L = V^q$

According to [17], these (unintegrated) vertices are given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_j^{pr} &= (Y_4 + Y_5)^{-\Delta_{pr}} (X_1 + iX_2)^j \\ &\quad [z^{-2} (\partial x_m \bar{\partial} x^m - \partial z \bar{\partial} z) - \partial X_k \bar{\partial} X_k], \quad \Delta_{pr} = j, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_j^d &= (Y_4 + Y_5)^{-\Delta_d} (X_1 + iX_2)^j \\ &\quad [z^{-2} (\partial x_m \bar{\partial} x^m + \partial z \bar{\partial} z) + \partial X_k \bar{\partial} X_k], \quad \Delta_d = 4 + j, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

$$V^q = (Y_4 + Y_5)^{-\Delta_q} (\partial X_k \bar{\partial} X_k)^q, \quad \Delta_q = 2 \left(\sqrt{(q-1)\sqrt{\lambda} + 1} - \frac{1}{2}q(q-1) + 1 \right). \quad (3.4)$$

The solution for giant magnons with infinite or finite-size in the Euclidean AdS can be written as ($t = \kappa\tau$, $i\tau = \tau_e$)

$$x_{0e} = \tanh(\kappa\tau_e), \quad x_i = 0, \quad z = \frac{1}{\cosh(\kappa\tau_e)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Let us point out that for the η -deformed case the solution is given again by (3.5). Therefore, the contribution to the above three vertices from AdS is the same as in the undeformed case.

In order to take into account the η -deformations on the above vertices for giant magnons, we propose to deform them in an appropriate way. As one can see, all of them contain the term $\partial X_k \bar{\partial} X_k$. Since for the undeformed case it is proportional to the string Lagrangian on S^2 computed on the corresponding string solution, it is natural to suppose that for the η -deformed case its contribution should be computed on S_η^2 . Namely this approach we will use further on.

3.2 Finite-size giant magnons and primary scalar operators

According to [20], the normalized structure constants for the undeformed case can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^{pr,j} &= c_{\Delta}^{pr,j} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau_e \frac{\kappa^2}{\cosh^j(\kappa\tau_e)} \left(\frac{2}{\cosh^2(\kappa\tau_e)} - 1 \right) \int_{-L}^L d\sigma \chi^{\frac{j}{2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau_e}{\cosh^j(\kappa\tau_e)} \int_{-L}^L d\sigma \chi^{\frac{j}{2}} \partial X_K \bar{\partial} X_K \right], \quad j \geq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where $t = \kappa\tau_e$ is the Euclidean AdS time, the term $\partial X_K \bar{\partial} X_K$ is proportional to the string Lagrangian on S^2 computed on the finite-size giant magnon solution living in the $R_t \times S^2$ subspace, and $\chi = \cos^2 \theta$ (θ is the non-isometric angle on S^2). The parameter L gives the size of the giant magnon.

For giant magnon solution on the η -deformed background the contribution from the AdS subspace is the same. So, the integration over τ_e leads to

$$\mathcal{C}_{\eta}^{pr,j} = c_{\Delta}^{pr,j} \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{j}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1+j}{2})} \left[\frac{j-1}{j+1} \kappa \int_{-L}^L d\sigma \chi^{\frac{j}{2}} - \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_{-L}^L d\sigma \chi^{\frac{j}{2}} \partial X_K \bar{\partial} X_K \right]. \quad (3.7)$$

To take into account the η -deformation of the two-sphere, we should compute $\partial X_K \bar{\partial} X_K$ on S_η^2 . By using some of the results obtained in [29], one can show that

$$\partial X_K \bar{\partial} X_K = -\frac{2 - (1 + v^2)\kappa^2 - 2\chi}{1 - v^2}, \quad (3.8)$$

where v is the worldsheet velocity.

The computations are given in an Appendix. Here we present the final result

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_\eta^{pr,j} = & \frac{2\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} c_\Delta^{pr,j} \Gamma(\frac{j}{2})(1-v^2\kappa^2)^{\frac{j-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{1+j}{2})\sqrt{\kappa^2(1+\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2)}} \left\{ \left[1 - \frac{(1+jv^2)\kappa^2}{1+j} \right] \times \right. \\ & F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{j}{2}, \frac{1-j}{2}; 1; \frac{\tilde{\eta}^2(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{1+\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2}, \frac{(1+\tilde{\eta}^2)(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{(1+\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2)(1-v^2\kappa^2)}\right) \\ & \left. - (1-v^2\kappa^2)F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2+j}{2}, -\frac{1+j}{2}; 1; \frac{\tilde{\eta}^2(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{1+\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2}, \frac{(1+\tilde{\eta}^2)(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{(1+\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2)(1-v^2\kappa^2)}\right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where F_1 is one of the hypergeometric functions of two variables (*Appell* F_1).

Next, we would like to compare (3.9) with the known expression for the undeformed case [20]. To this end, we take the limit $\tilde{\eta} \rightarrow 0$ and by using that [47]

$$F_1(a, b_1, b_2; c; 0, z_2) = {}_2F_1(a, b_2; c; z_2),$$

where ${}_2F_1(a, b_2; c; z_2)$ is the Gauss' hypergeometric function, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_\eta^{pr,j} = & \frac{2\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} c_\Delta^{pr,j} \Gamma(2+\frac{j}{2})(1-v^2\kappa^2)^{\frac{j-1}{2}}}{\kappa\Gamma(\frac{5+j}{2})} \left[(1-v^2\kappa^2) {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1+j}{2}; 1; \frac{(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{1-v^2\kappa^2}\right) \right. \\ & \left. - (1-\kappa^2) {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1-j}{2}; 1; \frac{(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{1-v^2\kappa^2}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This is exactly the same result found in [20] for $u = 0$ (finite-size giant magnons with one nonzero angular momentum) as it should be ⁶.

Let us also give an example for the simplest case when $j = 1$. In that case (3.9) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_\eta^{pr,1} = & \frac{2\pi c_\Delta^{pr,1}}{\tilde{\eta}^2\sqrt{\kappa^2(1+\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2)}} \left[2(1+\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2)\mathbf{E}\left(\tilde{\eta}^2\frac{(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{1+\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2}\right) \right. \\ & \left. - (2+(1+v^2)\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2)\mathbf{K}\left(\tilde{\eta}^2\frac{(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{1+\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2}\right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{E} are the complete elliptic integrals of first and second kind. In the limit $\tilde{\eta} \rightarrow 0$, $\mathcal{C}_\eta^{pr,1} \rightarrow 0$. The same result can be obtained from (2.13) in [20] by taking $u \rightarrow 0, j \rightarrow 1$.

⁶In our notations $W = \kappa^2$ and (A.3) is taken into account.

3.3 Finite-size giant magnons and dilaton with nonzero momentum

The case of finite-size giant magnons and dilaton with zero momentum ($j = 0$) have been considered in [41]. Here we will be interested in the case when $j > 0$.

According to [20] the semiclassical normalized structure constants for the undeformed case are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}^{d,j} &= c_{\Delta}^{d,j} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau_e}{\cosh^{4+j}(\kappa\tau_e)} \int_{-L}^L d\sigma \chi^{\frac{j}{2}} (\kappa^2 + \partial X_K \bar{\partial} X_K) \\ &= c_{\Delta}^{d,j} \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2 + \frac{j}{2})}{\kappa \Gamma(\frac{5+j}{2})} \int_{-L}^L d\sigma \chi^{\frac{j}{2}} (\kappa^2 + \partial X_K \bar{\partial} X_K).\end{aligned}$$

In order to take into account the η -deformation, we must use (3.8), (A.2), (A.3). Working in the same way as in the previous subsection (see the Appendix), one obtains the following result for $\mathcal{C}_{\eta}^{d,j}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_{\eta}^{d,j} &= \frac{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}} c_{\Delta}^{d,j} \Gamma(2 + \frac{j}{2}) (1 - v^2)}{\Gamma(\frac{5+j}{2}) \tilde{\eta} \kappa} \int_{\chi_m}^{\chi_p} d\chi \frac{\chi^{\frac{j-1}{2}} \left[\kappa^2 - \frac{2-(1+v^2)\kappa^2-2\chi}{1-v^2} \right]}{\sqrt{(\chi_{\eta} - \chi)(\chi_p - \chi)(\chi - \chi_m)\chi}} \quad (3.10) \\ &= 2\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} c_{\Delta}^{d,j} \frac{\Gamma(2 + \frac{j}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{5+j}{2})} \frac{\chi_m^{\frac{j+1}{2}}}{\tilde{\eta} \sqrt{(\chi_{\eta} - \chi_m)(1 - \chi_m)}} \times \\ &\quad \left[F_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{j+1}{2}; 1; \frac{\chi_p - \chi_m}{\chi_{\eta} - \chi_m}, -\frac{\chi_p - \chi_m}{\chi_m} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - F_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{j-1}{2}; 1; \frac{\chi_p - \chi_m}{\chi_{\eta} - \chi_m}, -\frac{\chi_p - \chi_m}{\chi_m} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{2\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} c_{\Delta}^{d,j} \Gamma(2 + \frac{j}{2}) (1 - v^2 \kappa^2)^{\frac{j-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{5+j}{2}) \sqrt{\kappa^2(1 + \tilde{\eta}^2 \kappa^2)}} \times \\ &\quad \left[(1 - v^2 \kappa^2) F_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2+j}{2}, -\frac{1+j}{2}; 1; \frac{\tilde{\eta}^2(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{1 + \tilde{\eta}^2 \kappa^2}, \frac{(1 + \tilde{\eta}^2)(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{(1 + \tilde{\eta}^2 \kappa^2)(1-v^2 \kappa^2)} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (1 - \kappa^2) F_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{j}{2}, \frac{1-j}{2}; 1; \frac{\tilde{\eta}^2(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{1 + \tilde{\eta}^2 \kappa^2}, \frac{(1 + \tilde{\eta}^2)(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{(1 + \tilde{\eta}^2 \kappa^2)(1-v^2 \kappa^2)} \right) \right].\end{aligned}$$

Now we take the limit $\tilde{\eta} \rightarrow 0$ in (3.10) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^{d,j} &= \frac{2\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} c_{\Delta}^{d,j} \Gamma\left(2 + \frac{j}{2}\right) (1 - v^2 \kappa^2)^{\frac{j-1}{2}}}{\kappa \Gamma\left(\frac{5+j}{2}\right)} \times \\ &\left[(1 - v^2 \kappa^2) {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1+j}{2}; 1; \frac{(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{1-v^2\kappa^2}\right) \right. \\ &\left. - (1 - \kappa^2) {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1-j}{2}; 1; \frac{(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{1-v^2\kappa^2}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

This is exactly what was found in [20] for $u = 0$, as it should be.

Let us also say that in the particular case when $j = 1$, (3.10) simplifies to

$$\mathcal{C}_{\eta}^{d,1} = \frac{3\pi c_{\Delta}^{d,1} \sqrt{\kappa^2(1 + \tilde{\eta}^2 \kappa^2)}}{2\tilde{\eta}^2 \kappa^2} \left[\mathbf{K}\left(\tilde{\eta}^2 \frac{(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{1 + \tilde{\eta}^2 \kappa^2}\right) - \mathbf{E}\left(\tilde{\eta}^2 \frac{(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{1 + \tilde{\eta}^2 \kappa^2}\right) \right].$$

In the limit $\tilde{\eta} \rightarrow 0$, $\mathcal{C}_{\eta}^{d,1}$ becomes

$$\mathcal{C}^{d,1} = \frac{3}{8} \pi^2 c_{\Delta}^{d,1} \kappa (1 - v^2).$$

3.4 Finite-size giant magnons and singlet scalar operators on higher string levels

According to [21] the normalized structure constant for the undeformed case is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^q &= c_{\Delta}^q \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau_e}{\cosh^{\Delta_q}(\kappa\tau_e)} \int_{-L}^L d\sigma (\partial X_K \bar{\partial} X_K)^q \\ &= c_{\Delta}^q \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\kappa} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_q}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_q+1}{2}\right)} \int_{-L}^L d\sigma (\partial X_K \bar{\partial} X_K)^q. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Here the parameter q is related to the string level n as $q = n + 1 \geq 1$ and

$$\Delta_q = 2 \left(1 + \sqrt{(q-1)\sqrt{\lambda} + 1 - \frac{1}{2}q(q-1)} \right), \quad (3.13)$$

where λ is the 't Hooft coupling constant in the dual gauge theory. Taking into account that the string tension T is related to the 't Hooft coupling as

$$T = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi},$$

(3.13) can be rewritten as

$$\Delta_q = 2 \left(1 + \sqrt{2\pi T(q-1) + 1 - \frac{1}{2}q(q-1)} \right). \quad (3.14)$$

For the η -deformed case we have [25]

$$T = g\sqrt{1 + \tilde{\eta}^2}.$$

So,

$$\Delta_q^\eta = 2 \left(1 + \sqrt{2\pi g\sqrt{1 + \tilde{\eta}^2}(q-1) + 1 - \frac{1}{2}q(q-1)} \right). \quad (3.15)$$

In addition, to compute the integral over σ in (3.12), we have to use (3.8), (A.2), (A.3). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_\eta^q &= c_\Delta^q \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\kappa} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_q^\eta}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_q^\eta+1}{2}\right)} \frac{(-1)^q}{\tilde{\eta}(1-v^2)^{q-1}} \int_{\chi_m}^{\chi_p} d\chi \frac{[2 - (1+v^2)\kappa^2 - 2\chi]^q}{\sqrt{(\chi_\eta - \chi)(\chi_p - \chi)(\chi - \chi_m)\chi}} \quad (3.16) \\ &= c_\Delta^q \frac{\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\kappa} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_q^\eta}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_q^\eta+1}{2}\right)} \frac{(-1)^q [2 - (1+v^2)\kappa^2]^q}{\tilde{\eta}(1-v^2)^{q-1} \sqrt{\chi_\eta - \chi_m}} \times \\ &\quad \sum_{k=0}^q \frac{q!}{k!(q-k)!} \left[-\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}(1+v^2)\kappa^2} \right]^k \chi_m^{k-\frac{1}{2}} F_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - k; 1; \frac{\chi_p - \chi_m}{\chi_\eta - \chi_m}, -\frac{\chi_p - \chi_m}{\chi_m} \right) \\ &= c_\Delta^q \pi^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_q^\eta}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_q^\eta+1}{2}\right)} \frac{(-1)^q [2 - (1+v^2)\kappa^2]^q}{(1-v^2)^{q-1} \sqrt{\kappa^2(1 + \tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2)(1 - v^2\kappa^2)}} \sum_{k=0}^q \frac{q!}{k!(q-k)!} \\ &\quad \times \left[-\frac{1 - v^2\kappa^2}{1 - \frac{1}{2}(1+v^2)\kappa^2} \right]^k F_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}, k, \frac{1}{2} - k; 1; \frac{\tilde{\eta}^2(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{1 + \tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2}, \frac{(1 + \tilde{\eta}^2)(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{(1 + \tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2)(1 - v^2\kappa^2)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In order to compare with the undeformed case, we take the limit $\tilde{\eta} \rightarrow 0$ in (3.16) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^q &= c_\Delta^q \pi^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_q}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_q+1}{2}\right)} \frac{(-1)^q [2 - (1+v^2)\kappa^2]^q}{(1-v^2)^{q-1} \sqrt{\kappa^2(1 - v^2\kappa^2)}} \sum_{k=0}^q \frac{q!}{k!(q-k)!} \\ &\quad \times \left[-\frac{1 - v^2\kappa^2}{1 - \frac{1}{2}(1+v^2)\kappa^2} \right]^k {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - k; 1; \frac{(1 - v^2)\kappa^2}{1 - v^2\kappa^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This is exactly what was found in [21] for finite-size giant magnons with one nonzero angular momentum.

Let us consider two particular cases. From (3.16) it follows that the normalized structure constants for the first two string levels, for the case at hand, are given by

$q = 1$ (level $n = 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_\eta^1 = & 2c_\Delta^1 \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_1^\eta}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_1^\eta+1}{2}\right)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa^2(1+\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2)(1-v^2\kappa^2)}} \times \\ & \left[\pi(1-v^2\kappa^2) F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1, -\frac{1}{2}; 1; \frac{\tilde{\eta}^2(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{1+\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2}, \frac{(1+\tilde{\eta}^2)(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{(1+\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2)(1-v^2\kappa^2)}\right) \right. \\ & \left. - (2-(1+v^2)\kappa^2) \mathbf{K}\left(\frac{(1+\tilde{\eta}^2)(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{(1+\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2)(1-v^2\kappa^2)}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

$q = 2$ (level $n = 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_\eta^2 = & 2c_\Delta^2 \pi^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_2^\eta}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_2^\eta+1}{2}\right)} \frac{(2-(1+v^2)\kappa^2)^2}{(1-v^2)\sqrt{\kappa^2(1+\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2)(1-v^2\kappa^2)}} \times \\ & \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi} \mathbf{K}\left(\frac{(1+\tilde{\eta}^2)(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{(1+\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2)(1-v^2\kappa^2)}\right) - \frac{2(1-v^2\kappa^2)}{(2-(1+v^2)\kappa^2)^2} \times \right. \\ & \left[(2-(1+v^2)\kappa^2) F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1, -\frac{1}{2}; 1; \frac{\tilde{\eta}^2(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{1+\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2}, \frac{(1+\tilde{\eta}^2)(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{(1+\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2)(1-v^2\kappa^2)}\right) \right. \\ & \left. \left. - (1-v^2\kappa^2) F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, 2, -\frac{3}{2}; 1; \frac{\tilde{\eta}^2(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{1+\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2}, \frac{(1+\tilde{\eta}^2)(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{(1+\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2)(1-v^2\kappa^2)}\right) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In the limit $\tilde{\eta} \rightarrow 0$, the above two expressions simplify to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^1 = & 2c_\Delta^1 \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_1+1}{2}\right)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa^2(1-v^2\kappa^2)}} \times \\ & \left[2(1-v^2\kappa^2) \mathbf{E}\left(\frac{(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{1-v^2\kappa^2}\right) \right. \\ & \left. - (2-(1+v^2)\kappa^2) \mathbf{K}\left(\frac{(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{(1-v^2\kappa^2)}\right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^2 = & 2c_\Delta^2 \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_2+1}{2}\right)} \frac{1}{(1-v^2)\sqrt{\kappa^2(1-v^2\kappa^2)}} \times \\ & \left[(2 - (1+v^2)\kappa^2)^2 \mathbf{K}\left(\frac{(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{(1-v^2\kappa^2)}\right) \right. \\ & - 4(2 - (1+v^2)\kappa^2)(1-v^2\kappa^2) \mathbf{E}\left(\frac{(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{1-v^2\kappa^2}\right) \\ & \left. + 2\pi(1-v^2\kappa^2)^2 {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}; 1; \frac{(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{1-v^2\kappa^2}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

4 Concluding Remarks

In this paper, in the framework of the semiclassical approach, we computed the normalized structure constants for some three-point correlation functions in η -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$. Namely, we found the normalized structure constants in several classes of three-point correlators. This was done for the cases when the “heavy” string states are finite-size giant magnons carrying one angular momentum and for three different choices of the “light” state:

1. Primary scalar operators
2. Dilaton operator with nonzero momentum
3. Singlet scalar operators on higher string levels

The results are given in terms of hypergeometric functions of two variables. In the limit $\tilde{\eta} \rightarrow 0$, when the deformation disappears, they reduce to the known results for the undeformed case.

It is interesting to know the explicit dependence of the considered structure constants on the conserved angular momentum J_1 of the string. However, it is not easy to find the answer to this question. This problem will be considered in another paper. What is known by now, can be found in [22] for the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and in [23] for the TsT -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$.

A natural generalization of our considerations here will be to consider the case of *dyonic* giant magnons with two nonzero angular momenta. We hope to report on this soon.

Acknowledgements

This work is partially supported by the NSF grant DFNI T02/6.

Appendix

Here we provide the computations needed for obtaining the explicit expression for $\mathcal{C}_\eta^{pr,j}$.

The integration over σ in (3.7) can be changed in the following way

$$\int_{-L}^L d\sigma = 2 \int_{\chi_m}^{\chi_p} \frac{d\chi}{\chi}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where

$$\chi_m = \chi_{min}, \quad \chi_p = \chi_{max},$$

and according to [29]

$$\chi' = \frac{2\tilde{\eta}}{1-v^2} \sqrt{(\chi_\eta - \chi)(\chi_p - \chi)(\chi - \chi_m)\chi}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$\tilde{\eta} = \frac{2\eta}{1-\eta^2}, \quad \chi_\eta = 1 + \frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2}, \quad \chi_p = 1 - v^2\kappa^2, \quad \chi_m = 1 - \kappa^2. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Therefore, the normalized structure constant can be represented as

$$\mathcal{C}_\eta^{pr,j} = \frac{2c_{\Delta}^{pr,j} \sqrt{\pi}}{\tilde{\eta}\kappa} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{j}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1+j}{2})} \left[\frac{1 - \kappa^2 + j(1 - v^2\kappa^2)}{1 + j} J_j - J_{jp} \right], \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where

$$J_j = \int_{\chi_m}^{\chi_p} \frac{\chi^{\frac{j}{2}}}{\sqrt{(\chi_\eta - \chi)(\chi_p - \chi)(\chi - \chi_m)\chi}} d\chi, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$J_{jp} = \int_{\chi_m}^{\chi_p} \frac{\chi^{\frac{j}{2}+1}}{\sqrt{(\chi_\eta - \chi)(\chi_p - \chi)(\chi - \chi_m)\chi}} d\chi. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

To compute the above two integrals, we introduce the variable

$$x = \frac{\chi - \chi_m}{\chi_p - \chi_m} \in (0, 1).$$

Then J_j becomes

$$J_j = \chi_m^{\frac{j-1}{2}} (\chi_\eta - \chi_m)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^1 x^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1-x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{\chi_p - \chi_m}{\chi_\eta - \chi_m} x\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{\chi_p - \chi_m}{\chi_m} x\right)^{\frac{j-1}{2}} dx. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Comparing the above expression with the integral representation for the hypergeometric function of two variables $F_1(a, b_1, b_2; c; z_1, z_2)$ [47]

$$F_1(a, b_1, b_2; c; z_1, z_2) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(c-a)} \int_0^1 x^{a-1} (1-x)^{c-a-1} (1-z_1x)^{-b_1} (1-z_2x)^{-b_2},$$

$$\text{Re}(a) > 0, \quad \text{Re}(c-a) > 0,$$

one finds

$$J_j = \pi \chi_m^{\frac{j-1}{2}} (\chi_\eta - \chi_m)^{-\frac{1}{2}} F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{j-1}{2}; 1; \frac{\chi_p - \chi_m}{\chi_\eta - \chi_m}, -\frac{\chi_p - \chi_m}{\chi_m}\right). \quad (\text{A.8})$$

In order to compute J_{jp} , we have to replace j with $j+2$. Doing this, we obtain

$$J_{jp} = \pi \chi_m^{\frac{j+1}{2}} (\chi_\eta - \chi_m)^{-\frac{1}{2}} F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{j+1}{2}; 1; \frac{\chi_p - \chi_m}{\chi_\eta - \chi_m}, -\frac{\chi_p - \chi_m}{\chi_m}\right). \quad (\text{A.9})$$

The replacement of (A.8) and (A.9) into (A.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_\eta^{pr,j} &= \frac{2\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} c_\Delta^{pr,j}}{\tilde{\eta}\kappa} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{j}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1+j}{2})} \frac{\chi_m^{\frac{j-1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\chi_\eta - \chi_m}} \left\{ \left[1 - \frac{(1+jv^2)\kappa^2}{1+j}\right] \times \right. \\ & F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1-j}{2}; 1; \frac{\chi_p - \chi_m}{\chi_\eta - \chi_m}, -\frac{\chi_p - \chi_m}{\chi_m}\right) \\ & \left. - \chi_m F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1+j}{2}; 1; \frac{\chi_p - \chi_m}{\chi_\eta - \chi_m}, -\frac{\chi_p - \chi_m}{\chi_m}\right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Knowing that according to (A.3)

$$\chi_\eta = 1 + \frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}^2} \quad \chi_p = 1 - v^2\kappa^2, \quad \chi_m = 1 - \kappa^2,$$

and using the relation [47]

$$F_1(a, b_1, b_2; c; z_1, z_2) = (1-z_1)^{c-a-b_1} (1-z_2)^{-b_2} F_1\left(c-a, c-b_1-b_2, b_2; c; z_1, \frac{z_1-z_2}{1-z_2}\right),$$

we can rewrite (A.10) in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_\eta^{pr,j} = & \frac{2\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} c_\Delta^{pr,j} \Gamma(\frac{j}{2})(1-v^2\kappa^2)^{\frac{j-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{1+j}{2})\sqrt{\kappa^2(1+\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2)}} \left\{ \left[1 - \frac{(1+jv^2)\kappa^2}{1+j} \right] \times \right. \\ & F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{j}{2}, \frac{1-j}{2}; 1; \frac{\tilde{\eta}^2(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{1+\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2}, \frac{(1+\tilde{\eta}^2)(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{(1+\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2)(1-v^2\kappa^2)}\right) \\ & \left. - (1-v^2\kappa^2)F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2+j}{2}, -\frac{1+j}{2}; 1; \frac{\tilde{\eta}^2(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{1+\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2}, \frac{(1+\tilde{\eta}^2)(1-v^2)\kappa^2}{(1+\tilde{\eta}^2\kappa^2)(1-v^2\kappa^2)}\right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

This is our final exact semiclassical result for this type of three-point correlation functions.

References

- [1] J. M. Maldacena, “The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity”, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2**, 231 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9711200]
- [2] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, “Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory”, *Phys. Lett.* **B428**, 105 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9802109]
- [3] E. Witten, “Anti-de Sitter space and holography”, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2**, 253 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9802150]
- [4] N. Beisert et al., “Review of AdS/CFT Integrability: An Overview”, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **99** 3 (2012), arXiv:1012.3982v5[hep-th].
- [5] A.A. Tseytlin, “Review of AdS/CFT Integrability, Chapter II.1: Classical $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string solutions”, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **99** 103-125 (2012) [arXiv:hep-th/1012.3986]
- [6] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov, A. M. Polyakov, “A semi-classical limit of the gauge/string correspondence”, *Nucl. Phys.* **B636** 99-114 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0204051].
- [7] D.M. Hofman and J. Maldacena, “Giant magnons”, *J. Phys.* **A 39** 13095-13118 (2006), [arXiv:hep-th/0604135]
- [8] H.-Y. Chen, N. Dorey and K. Okamura, “Dyonic giant magnons” *JHEP* **0609** 024 (2006), [arXiv:hep-th/0605155].
- [9] M. Kruczenski, J. Russo, A.A. Tseytlin, “Spiky strings and giant magnons on S^5 ”, *JHEP* **0610** 002 (2006), [arXiv:hep-th/0607044].
- [10] J. Ambjorn, R.A. Janik, and C. Kristjansen, “Wrapping interactions and a new source of corrections to the spin-chain / string duality”, *Nucl. Phys.* **B736**, 288 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0510171]; R.A. Janik and T. Lukowski, “Wrapping interactions at strong coupling - the giant magnon”, *Phys. Rev.* **D76**, 126008 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0708.2208].

- [11] G. Arutyunov, S. Frolov, M. Zamaklar, “Finite-size Effects from Giant Magnons”, Nucl. Phys. **B 778** 1 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0606126v2]
- [12] Y. Hatsuda, R. Suzuki, “Finite-Size Effects for Dyonic Giant Magnons”, Nucl. Phys. **B800** 349-383 (2008), [arXiv:hep-th/0801.0747v5]
- [13] Romuald A. Janik, Piotr Surowka, Andrzej Wereszczynski, “On correlation functions of operators dual to classical spinning string states”, JHEP 1005:030,2010, arXiv:1002.4613 [hep-th]
- [14] E.I. Buchbinder, A.A. Tseytlin, “On semiclassical approximation for correlators of closed string vertex operators in AdS/CFT”, JHEP 1008:057,2010, arXiv:1005.4516 [hep-th]
- [15] K. Zarembo, “Holographic three-point functions of semiclassical states”, JHEP 1009:030,2010, arXiv:1008.1059 [hep-th]
- [16] Miguel S. Costa, Ricardo Monteiro, Jorge E. Santos, Dimitrios Zoakos, “On three-point correlation functions in the gauge/gravity duality”, JHEP 1011:141,2010, arXiv:1008.1070 [hep-th]
- [17] R. Roiban and A. A. Tseytlin, “On semiclassical computation of 3-point functions of closed string vertex operators in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ ”, Phys. Rev. **D82** 106011 (2010) [arXiv:hep-th/1008.4921].
- [18] Changrim Ahn, Plamen Bozhilov, “Three-point Correlation functions of Giant magnons with finite size”, Phys.Lett.B702:286-290,2011, arXiv:1105.3084 [hep-th]
- [19] Changrim Ahn, Plamen Bozhilov, “Three-point Correlation Function of Giant Magnons in the Lunin-Maldacena background”, Phys.Rev. D84 (2011) 126011, arXiv:1106.5656 [hep-th]
- [20] Plamen Bozhilov, “More three-point correlators of giant magnons with finite size”, JHEP 1108 (2011) 121, arXiv:1107.2645 [hep-th]
- [21] Plamen Bozhilov, “Three-point correlators: finite-size giant magnons and singlet scalar operators on higher string levels”, Nucl. Phys. B855 (2012) 268-279, arXiv:1108.3812 [hep-th]
- [22] Plamen Bozhilov, “Leading finite-size effects on some three-point correlators in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ ”, Phys.Rev. D87 (2013) 6, 066003, arXiv:1212.3485 [hep-th]
- [23] Plamen Bozhilov, “Leading finite-size effects on some three-point correlators in TsT-deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ ”, Phys.Rev. D88 (2013) 2, 026017, arXiv:1304.2139 [hep-th]
- [24] F. Delduc, M. Magro, B. Vicedo, “An integrable deformation of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring action”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 051601 (2014), arXiv:1309.5850 [hep-th]

- [25] G. Arutyunov, R. Borsato, S. Frolov, “ S -matrix for strings on η -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ ”, JHEP 1404 (2014) 002, arXiv:1312.3542 [hep-th]
- [26] B. Hoare, R. Roiban, A. A. Tseytlin, “On deformations of $AdS_n \times S^n$ supercosets”, JHEP 1406 (2014) 002, arXiv:1403.5517 [hep-th]
- [27] Gleb Arutyunov, Daniel Medina-Rincon, “Deformed Neumann model from spinning strings on $(AdS_5 \times S^5)_\eta$ ”, JHEP 1410 (2014) 50, arXiv:1406.2536 [hep-th]
- [28] O. Lunin, R. Roiban, A. A. Tseytlin, “Supergravity backgrounds for deformations of $AdS_n \times S^n$ supercoset string models”, Nucl.Phys. B891 (2015) 106-127, arXiv:1411.1066 [hep-th]
- [29] Changim Ahn and Plamen Bozhilov, “Finite-size giant magnons on η -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ ”, Phys. Lett. **B737** 293 (2014), arXiv:1406.0628[hep-th].
- [30] G. Arutyunov, M. de Leeuw, S. van Tongeren “On the exact spectrum and mirror duality of the $(AdS_5 \times S^5)_\eta$ superstring”, arXiv:1403.6104 [hep-th]
- [31] Gleb Arutyunov, Stijn J. van Tongeren, “The $AdS_5 \times S^5$ mirror model as a string”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 261605 (2014), arXiv:1406.2304 [hep-th].
- [32] G. Arutyunov, D. Medina-Rincon, “Deformed Neumann model from spinning strings on $(AdS_5 \times S^5)_\eta$ ”, JHEP 1410 (2014) 50, arXiv:1406.2536[hep-th].
- [33] A. Banerjee, K. Panigrahi, “On the Rotating and Oscillating strings in $(AdS_3 \times S^3)_\kappa$ ”, JHEP 1409 (2014) 048, arXiv:1406.3642 [hep-th]
- [34] T. Kameyama, K. Yoshida, “A new coordinate system for q-deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and classical string solutions”, arXiv:1408.2189 [hep-th]
- [35] T. Matsumoto, K. Yoshida, “Integrable deformations of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring and the classical Yang-Baxter equation – Towards the gravity/CYBE correspondence –”, J.Phys.Conf.Ser. 563 (2014) 1, 012020 , arXiv:1410.0575 [hep-th]
- [36] T. Kameyama, K. Yoshida, “Minimal surfaces in q-deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string with Poincare coordinates”, arXiv:1410.5544 [hep-th]
- [37] Ben Hoare, “Towards a two-parameter q-deformation of $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times M^4$ superstrings”, Nucl.Phys. B891 (2014) 259-295, arXiv:1411.1266 [hep-th]
- [38] T. Matsumoto, K. Yoshida, “Yang-Baxter deformations and string dualities”, arXiv:1412.3658 [hep-th]
- [39] Gleb Arutyunov, S. J. van Tongeren, “Double Wick rotating Green-Schwarz strings”, arXiv:1412.5137 [hep-th]
- [40] Oluf Tang Engelund, Radu Roiban, “On the asymptotic states and the quantum S-matrix of the η -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring”, arXiv:1412.5256 [hep-th]

- [41] Changrim Ahn, Plamen Bozhilov, “A HHL 3-point correlation function in the η -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ ”, arXiv:1412.6668 [hep-th]
- [42] K. L. Panigahi, P. M. Pradhan, M. Samal, “Pulsating strings on $(AdS_3 \times S^3)_\kappa$ ”, arXiv:1412.6936 [hep-th]
- [43] Nan Bai, Hui-Huang Chen, Jun-Bao Wu, “Holographic Cusped Wilson loops in q-deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ Spacetime”, arXiv:1412.8156 [hep-th]
- [44] Aritra Banerjee, Soumya Bhattacharya, Kamal L. Panigrahi, “Spiky strings in κ -deformed AdS”, arXiv:1503.07447 [hep-th]
- [45] B. Hoare, A.A. Tseytlin, “On integrable deformations of superstring sigma models related to $AdS_n \times S^n$ supercosets”, arXiv:1504.07213 [hep-th]
- [46] R. Hernández, “Three-point correlators for giant magnons”, JHEP **1105** 123 (2011) [arXiv:hep-th/1104.1160].
- [47] A. P. Prudnikov, Yu. A. Brychkov, O. I. Marichev, “Integrals and series, vol.3: More special functions”, NY, Gordon and Breach (1990).
- [48] Sergey Frolov, “Lax Pair for Strings in Lunin-Maldacena Background”, JHEP 0505:069,2005, arXiv:hep-th/0503201.