

SCHRÖDINGER TYPE OPERATORS WITH UNBOUNDED DIFFUSION AND POTENTIAL TERMS

A. CANALE, A. RHANDI, AND C. TACELLI

ABSTRACT. We prove that the realization A_p in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $1 < p < \infty$, of the Schrödinger type operator $A = (1 + |x|^\alpha)\Delta - |x|^\beta$ with domain $D(A_p) = \{u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : Au \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\}$ generates a strongly continuous analytic semigroup provided that $N > 2$, $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$. Moreover this semigroup is consistent, irreducible, immediately compact and ultracontractive.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the generation of analytic semigroups in L^p -spaces of Schrödinger type operators of the form

$$(1.1) \quad Au(x) = a(x)\Delta u(x) - V(x)u(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $a(x) = 1 + |x|^\alpha$ and $V(x) = |x|^\beta$ with $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$. We investigate also spectral properties of such semigroups. In the case when $\alpha \in [0, 2]$ and $\beta \geq 0$, generation results of analytic semigroups for suitable realizations A_p of the operator A in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ have been proved in [4].

For $\beta = 0$ and $\alpha > 2$, the generation results depend upon N as it is proved in [7]. More specifically, if $N = 1, 2$ no realization of A in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ generates a strongly continuous (resp. analytic) semigroup. The same happens if $N \geq 3$ and $p \leq N/(N - 2)$. On the other hand, if $N \geq 3$ and $p > N/(N - 2)$, then the maximal realization A_p of the operator A in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ generates a positive analytic semigroup, which is also contractive if $\alpha \geq (p - 1)(N - 2)$.

Generation results concerning the case where $\beta = 0$ and with drift terms of the form $|x|^{\alpha-2}x$ were obtained recently in [8].

Here we consider the case where $\alpha > 2$ and assume that $N > 2$. Let us denote by A_p the realization of A in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ endowed with its maximal domain

$$(1.2) \quad D_{p,max}(A) = \{u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : Au \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

In the main result of the paper we prove that, for any $1 < p < \infty$, the realization A_p of A in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, with domain

$$D(A_p) = \{u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : Au \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\},$$

generates a positive strongly continuous and analytic semigroup $(T_p(t))_{t \geq 0}$ for any $\beta > \alpha - 2$. This semigroup is also consistent, irreducible, immediately compact and ultracontractive.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we study the invariance of $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ under the semigroup generated by A in $C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and show its compactness. In Section 3 we use

reverse Hölder classes and some results in [11] to study the solvability of the elliptic problem in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, in Section 4 we prove the generation results.

Notation. For any $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ we denote by $C_c^k(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the set of all functions $f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that are continuously differentiable in \mathbb{R}^N up to k -th order and have compact support (say $\text{supp}(f)$). The space $C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the set of all bounded and continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and we denote by $\|f\|_\infty$ its sup-norm, i.e., $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} |f(x)|$. We use also the space $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N) := \{f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N) : \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0\}$. If f is smooth enough we set

$$|\nabla f(x)|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N |D_i f(x)|^2, \quad |D^2 f(x)|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^N |D_{ij} f(x)|^2.$$

For any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and any $r > 0$ we denote by $B(x_0, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ the open ball, centered at x_0 with radius r . We simply write $B(r)$ when $x_0 = 0$. The function χ_E denotes the characteristic function of the (measurable) set E , i.e., $\chi_E(x) = 1$ if $x \in E$, $\chi_E(x) = 0$ otherwise.

For any $p \in [1, \infty)$ and any positive measure $d\mu$, we simply write L_μ^p instead of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N, d\mu)$. The Euclidean inner product in L_μ^2 is denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)_\mu$. In the particular case when μ is the Lebesgue measure, we keep the classical notation $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $p \in [1, \infty)$. Finally, by $x \cdot y$ we denote the Euclidean scalar product of the vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

2. GENERATION OF SEMIGROUPS IN $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$

In this section we recall some properties of the elliptic and parabolic problems associated with A in $C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We prove the existence of a Lyapunov function for A in the case where $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$. This implies the uniqueness of the solution semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ to the associated parabolic problem. Using a dominating argument, we show that $T(t)$ is compact and $T(t)C_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

First, we endow A with its maximal domain in $C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$D_{max}(A) = \{u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad 1 \leq p < \infty : Au \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

Then, we consider for any $\lambda > 0$ and $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the elliptic equation

$$(2.1) \quad \lambda u - Au = f.$$

It is well-known that equations (2.1) admit at least a solution in $D_{max}(A)$ (see [3, Theorem 2.1.1]). A solution is obtained as follows.

Take the unique solution to the Dirichlet problem associated with $\lambda - A$ into the balls $B(0, n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using an Schauder interior estimates one can prove that the sequence of solutions so obtained converges to a solution u of (2.1). It is also known that a solution to (2.1) is in general not unique. The solution u , which we obtained by approximation, is nonnegative whenever $f \geq 0$.

As regards the parabolic problem

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} u_t(t, x) = Au(t, x) & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t > 0, \\ u(0, x) = f(x) & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

where $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it is well-known that one can associate a semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ of bounded operator in $C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u(t, x) = T(t)f(x)$ is a solution of (2.2) in the following sense:

$$u \in C([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N) \cap C_{loc}^{1+\frac{\sigma}{2}, 2+\sigma}((0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N)$$

and u solves (2.2) for any $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and some $\sigma \in (0, 1)$. Uniqueness of solutions to (2.2) in general is not guaranteed. Moreover the semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is not strongly continuous in $C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and do not preserve in general the space $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For more details we refer to [3, Section 2].

Uniqueness is obtained if there exists a positive function $\varphi(x) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, called *Lyapunov function*, such that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x) = +\infty$ and $A\varphi - \lambda\varphi \leq 0$ for some $\lambda > 0$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $N > 2$, $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$. Let $\varphi = 1 + |x|^\gamma$ where $\gamma > 2$ then there existence constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$A\varphi \leq C\varphi$$

Proof. An easy computation gives

$$A\varphi = \gamma(N + \gamma - 2)(1 + |x|^\alpha)|x|^{\gamma-2} - (1 + |x|^\gamma)|x|^\beta,$$

then since $\beta > \alpha - 2$ there existence $C > 0$ such that

$$\gamma(N + \gamma - 2)(1 + |x|^\alpha)|x|^{\gamma-2} \leq (1 + |x|^\gamma)|x|^\beta + C(1 + |x|^\gamma).$$

□

Then we can assert that problem (2.2) admits an unique solution in $C([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^{1,2}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ and problem (2.1) admits an unique solutions in $D_{max}(A)$.

In order to investigate the compactness of the semigroup and the invariance of $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we check the behaviour of $T(t)\mathbb{1}$. We use the following result (see [3, Theorem 5.1.11]).

Theorem 2.2. *Let us fix $t > 0$. Then $T(t)\mathbb{1} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if and only if $T(t)$ is compact and $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is invariant for $T(t)$.*

Let A_0 be the operator defined by $A_0 := a(x)\Delta$. By [5, Example 7.3] or [7, Proposition 2.2 (iii)], we have that the minimal semigroup $(S(t))$ is generated by $(A_0, D_{max}(A_0)) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover the resolvent and the semigroup map $C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ into $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and are compact.

Set $v(t, x) = S(t)f(x)$ and $u(t, x) = T(t)f(x)$ for $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $0 \leq f \in C^b(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then the function $w(t, x) = v(t, x) - u(t, x)$ solves

$$\begin{cases} w_t(t, x) = A_0 w(t, x) + V(x)u(t, x), & t > 0, \\ w(0, x) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$

So, applying [3, Theorem 4.1.3], we have $w \geq 0$ and hence $T(t) \leq S(t)$. Thus, $T(t)\mathbb{1} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, since $S(t)\mathbb{1} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $t > 0$ (see [7, Proposition 2.2 (iii)]). Thus, $T(t)$ is compact and $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is invariant for $T(t)$ (cf. [3, Theorem 5.1.11]). Then we have proved the following proposition

Proposition 2.3. *The semigroup $(T(t))$ is generated by $(A, D_{max}(A)) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, maps $C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ into $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and is compact.*

3. SOLVABILITY OF THE ELLIPTIC PROBLEM IN $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$

In this section we study the existence and uniqueness of the elliptic problem $\lambda u - A_p u = f$ for a given $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. Let us consider first the case $\lambda = 0$.

We note that the equation $(1 + |x|^\alpha)\Delta u - Vu = f$ is equivalent to the equation

$$\Delta u - \frac{V}{1 + |x|^\alpha} u = \frac{f}{1 + |x|^\alpha} =: \tilde{f}.$$

Therefore we focus our attention to the L^p -realization \tilde{A}_p of the Schrödinger operator

$$\tilde{A} = \Delta - \frac{V}{1 + |x|^\alpha} = \Delta - \tilde{V}.$$

Here $0 \leq \tilde{V} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. So, by standard results, it follows that $0 \in \rho(\tilde{A}_p)$ and

$$(3.1) \quad (-\tilde{A}_p)^{-1} \tilde{f}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x, y) \tilde{f}(y) dy,$$

where G denotes the Green function of \tilde{A}_p which is given by its heat kernel \tilde{p}

$$G(x, y) = \int_0^\infty \tilde{p}(t, x, y) dt.$$

Thus the function $u = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x, y) \frac{f(y)}{1 + |y|^\alpha} dy \in D_{p, \max}(A)$ and solves $A_p u = f$ for every $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. So we have to study the operator

$$(3.2) \quad u(x) = Lf(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x, y) \frac{f(y)}{1 + |y|^\alpha} dy.$$

To this purpose, we use the bounds of $G(x, y)$ obtained in [11] when the potential of \tilde{A}_p belongs to the reverse Hölder class B_q for some $q \geq N/2$.

We recall that a nonnegative locally L^q -integrable function V on \mathbb{R}^N is said to be in B_q , $1 < q < \infty$, if there exists $C > 0$ such that the reverse Hölder inequality

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B V^q(x) dx \right)^{1/q} \leq C \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B V(x) dx \right)$$

holds for every ball B in \mathbb{R}^N . A nonnegative function $V \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is in B_∞ if

$$\|V\|_{L^\infty(B)} \leq C \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B V(x) dx \right)$$

for any ball B in \mathbb{R}^N .

One can easily verify that

$$(3.3) \quad \tilde{V} \in \begin{cases} B_\infty & \text{if } \beta - \alpha \geq 0 \\ B_q & \text{if } \beta - \alpha > -\frac{N}{q} \\ B_{\frac{N}{2}} & \text{if } \beta - \alpha > -2 \\ B_N & \text{if } \beta - \alpha > -1 \end{cases}$$

for some $q > 1$. So, it follows from [11, Theorem 2.7] that, if $\beta - \alpha > -2$ then for any $k > 0$ there is some constant $C_k > 0$ such that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$

$$(3.4) \quad |G(x, y)| \leq \frac{C_k}{(1 + m(x)|x - y|)^k} \cdot \frac{1}{|x - y|^{N-2}},$$

where the function m is defined by

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{1}{m(x)} := \sup_{r>0} \left\{ r : \frac{1}{r^{N-2}} \int_{B(x,r)} \tilde{V}(y) dy \leq 1 \right\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Due to the importance of the auxiliary function m we give a lower bound.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\alpha - 2 < \beta < \alpha$. There exists $C = C(\alpha, \beta, N)$ such that*

$$(3.6) \quad m(x) \geq C(1 + |x|)^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}}.$$

Proof. Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and set $f_x(r) = \frac{1}{r^{N-2}} \int_{B(x,r)} \tilde{V}(y) dy$, $r > 0$. Since $\tilde{V} \in B_{N/2}$ implies $V \in B_q$ for some $q > \frac{N}{2}$, by [11, Lemma 1.2], we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} f_x(r) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} f_x(r) = \infty.$$

Thus, $0 < m(x) < \infty$.

In order to estimate $\frac{1}{m(x)}$ we need to find $r_0 = r_0(x)$ such that $r \in [r_0, \infty[$ implies $f_x(r) \geq 1$.

In this case we will have $\frac{1}{m(x)} \leq r_0$.

Since $\tilde{V} \in B_{N/2}$, there exists a constant C_1 depending only α, β, N such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \tilde{V}^{N/2}(y) dy \right)^{2/N} \leq C_1 \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \tilde{V}(y) dy \right)$$

for any ball B in \mathbb{R}^N . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_x(r) &= \sigma_{N-1} r^2 \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} \tilde{V}(y) dy \\ &\geq \frac{\sigma_{N-1} r^2}{C_1} \left(\frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} \tilde{V}(y)^{N/2} dy \right)^{2/N} \\ &= \frac{\sigma_{N-1}^{1-2/N}}{C_1} \left(\int_{B(x,r)} \tilde{V}(y)^{N/2} dy \right)^{2/N}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if

$$(3.7) \quad \int_{B(x,r)} \tilde{V}(y)^{N/2} dy - C_2 \geq 0,$$

then $f_x(r) \geq 1$, where $C_2 = C_2(\alpha, \beta, N) = \frac{C_1^{N/2}}{\sigma_{N-1}^{N/2-1}}$. Note that $\tilde{V} \geq \tilde{V}^*$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0, 1)$ with

$\tilde{V}^*(x) = \frac{1}{2}|x|^{\beta-\alpha}$. Hence,

$$\int_{B(x,r)} \tilde{V}(y)^{N/2} dy \geq \int_{B(x,r) \setminus B(0,1)} \tilde{V}(y)^{N/2} dy \geq \int_{B(x,r) \setminus B(0,1)} \tilde{V}^*(y)^{N/2} dy$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{B(x,r)} \tilde{V}^*(y)^{N/2} dy - \int_{B(x,r) \cap B(0,1)} \tilde{V}^*(y)^{N/2} dy \\
&\geq \int_{B(x,r)} \tilde{V}^*(y)^{N/2} dy - \int_{B(0,1)} \tilde{V}^*(y)^{N/2} dy \\
&= \int_{B(x,r)} (\tilde{V}^*)(y)^{N/2} dy - \frac{2^{1-N/2} \sigma_{N-1}}{N(2-\alpha+\beta)} \\
(3.8) \quad &\geq \sigma_{N-1} r^N \inf_{B(x,r)} (\tilde{V}^*)^{N/2} - C_3(\alpha, \beta, N) \\
(3.9) \quad &= \sigma_{N-1} \frac{r^N}{(|x|+r)^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}N}} - C_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\eta = \frac{\alpha-\beta}{2} < 1$ and $\delta > 0$ a parameter to be choose later, and set

$$r_0 = \delta(1+|x|)^\eta.$$

By (3.8) condition (3.7) became

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B(x,r_0)} \tilde{V}(y)^{N/2} dy - C_2 &\geq \sigma_{N-1} \frac{r_0^N}{(|x|+r_0)^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}N}} - C_2 - C_3 \\
&= \sigma_{N-1} \frac{\delta^N (1+|x|)^{\eta N}}{(|x|+\delta(1+|x|)^\eta)^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}N}} - C_4 \\
&\geq \sigma_{N-1} \frac{\delta^N (1+|x|)^{\eta N}}{(1+|x|+\delta(1+|x|)^\eta)^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}N}} - C_4 \\
&\geq \sigma_{N-1} \frac{\delta^N (1+|x|)^{\eta N}}{((\delta+1)(1+|x|))^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}N}} - C_4 \\
&= \sigma_{N-1} \left(\frac{\delta}{(1+\delta)^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}}} \right)^N - C_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2} < 1$ we can choose $\delta > 0$ such that $\sigma_{N-1} \left(\frac{\delta}{(1+\delta)^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}}} \right)^N - C_4 \geq 0$.

So, (3.7) is satisfied for $r = r_0$ and hence it is satisfied for any $r > r_0$. Thus, $f_x(r) > 1$ for $r > r_0$, and, hence, $\frac{1}{m(x)} \leq r_0 = \delta(1+|x|)^\eta$. \square

The same lower bound holds in the case $\beta \geq \alpha$ as the following lemma shows.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\beta \geq \alpha$. There exists $C = C(\alpha, \beta, N)$ such that*

$$(3.10) \quad m(x) \geq C(1+|x|)^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}}.$$

Proof. From [11, Lemma 1.4 (c)], there exist $C_1 > 0$ and $0 < \eta_0 < 1$ such that

$$m(x) \geq \frac{C_1 m(y)}{(1+|x-y|m(y))^{\eta_0}}.$$

In particular,

$$m(x) \geq \frac{C_1 m(0)}{(1 + |x|m(0))^{\eta_0}},$$

where $\frac{1}{m(0)} = \sup_{r>0} \{r : f_0(r) \leq 1\}$ with

$$f_0(r) = \frac{1}{r^{N-2}} \int_{B(0,r)} \frac{|z|^\beta}{1 + |z|^\alpha} dz = \frac{\sigma_{N-1}}{r^{N-2}} \int_0^r \frac{\rho^{\beta+N-1}}{1 + \rho^\alpha} d\rho.$$

We have $\frac{\sigma_{N-1}}{(\beta+N)(1+r^\alpha)} r^{\beta+2} \leq f_0(r) \leq \frac{\sigma_{N-1}}{\beta+N} r^{\beta+2}$. Since $\beta > 0$ and $\beta - \alpha + 2 > 0$ it follows that $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} f_0(r) = 0$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} f_0(r) = \infty$. Consequently,

$$0 < \sup_{r>0} \{r : f_0(r) \leq 1\} < \infty$$

and, hence, $m(0) = C_2$ for some constant $C_2 > 0$. Then

$$(3.11) \quad m(x) \geq \frac{C_1 C_2}{(1 + C_2 |x|)^{\eta_0}} \geq \frac{C_3}{(1 + |x|)^{\eta_0}}$$

for some constant $C_3 > 0$.

On the other hand, since $\beta \geq \alpha$, we obtain by (3.3) that $\tilde{V} \in B_\infty$. Then, by [11, Remark 2.9], we have

$$(3.12) \quad m(x) \geq C_5 \tilde{V}^{1/2}(x) \geq C_6 |x|^{\frac{\beta}{2}} (1 + |x|)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}.$$

The thesis follows taking into account (3.11) and (3.12). \square

Applying the estimate (3.4) and the previous lemma we obtain the following upper bounds for the Green function G .

Lemma 3.3. *Let $G(x, y)$ denotes the Green function of the Schrödinger operator $\Delta - \frac{|x|^\beta}{1+|x|^\alpha}$ and assume that $\beta > \alpha - 2$. Then,*

$$(3.13) \quad G(x, y) \leq C_k \frac{1}{1 + |x - y|^k} \frac{1}{(1 + |y|)^{\frac{\beta - \alpha}{2} k}} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{N-2}}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

for any $k > 0$ and some constant $C_k > 0$ depending on k .

Using the above lemma we have the following estimate.

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that $\alpha > 2$, $N > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that for every $0 \leq \gamma \leq \beta$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$*

$$(3.14) \quad \| |x|^\gamma Lf \|_p \leq C \|f\|_p,$$

where L is defined in (3.2).

Proof. Let $\Gamma(x, y) = \frac{G(x, y)}{1 + |y|^\alpha}$, $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Gamma(x, y) f(y) dy.$$

We have to show that

$$\| |x|^\gamma u \|_p \leq C \|f\|_p.$$

Let us consider the regions $E_1 := \{|x - y| \leq (1 + |y|)\}$ and $E_2 := \{|x - y| > (1 + |y|)\}$ and write

$$u(x) = \int_{E_1} \Gamma(x, y) f(y) dy + \int_{E_2} \Gamma(x, y) f(y) dy =: u_1(x) + u_2(x).$$

In E_1 we have

$$\frac{1 + |x|}{1 + |y|} \leq \frac{1 + |x - y| + |y|}{1 + |y|} \leq 2.$$

So, by Lemma 3.2

$$\begin{aligned} ||x|^\gamma u_1(x)| &\leq |x|^\gamma \int_{E_1} \Gamma(x, y) |f(y)| dy \leq \frac{1 + |x|^\beta}{1 + |x|^\alpha} \int_{E_1} \frac{1 + |x|^\alpha}{1 + |y|^\alpha} G(x, y) |f(y)| dy \\ &\leq C(1 + |x|)^{\beta - \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x, y) |f(y)| dy \leq C m^2(x) \tilde{u}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{u}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x, y) |f(y)| dy$. By (3.3) we have $\tilde{V} \in B_{\frac{N}{2}}$. So applying [11, Corollary 2.8], we obtain $\|m^2 \tilde{u}\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p$ and then $\||x|^\gamma u_1\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p$.

In the region E_2 , we have, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.15) \quad ||x|^\gamma u_2(x)| &\leq |x|^\gamma \int_{E_2} \Gamma(x, y) |f(y)| dy = \int_{E_2} (|x|^\gamma \Gamma(x, y))^{\frac{1}{p'}} (|x|^\gamma \Gamma(x, y))^{\frac{1}{p}} |f(y)| dy \\ &\leq \left(\int_{E_2} |x|^\gamma \Gamma(x, y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left(\int_{E_2} |x|^\gamma \Gamma(x, y) |f(y)|^p dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

We propose to estimate first $\int_{E_2} |x|^\gamma \Gamma(x, y) dy$. In E_2 we have $1 + |x| \leq 1 + |y| + |x - y| \leq 2|x - y|$, then from (3.13) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |x|^\gamma \Gamma(x, y) &\leq |x|^\gamma G(x, y) \\ &\leq C \frac{1 + |x|^\beta}{|x - y|^k (1 + |y|)^{k \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2}}} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{N-2}} \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{|x - y|^{k - \beta + N - 2}} \frac{1}{(1 + |y|)^{k \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

For every $k > \beta - N + 2$, taking into account that $\frac{1}{|x - y|} < \frac{1}{1 + |y|}$, we get

$$|x|^\gamma \Gamma(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{(1 + |y|)^{k \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2} + N - 2 - \beta}}.$$

Since $\beta - \alpha + 2 > 0$ we can choose k such that $\frac{k}{2}(\beta - \alpha + 2) + N - 2 - \beta > N$, then

$$\int_{E_2} |x|^\gamma \Gamma(x, y) dy \leq \int_{E_2} |x|^\gamma G(x, y) dy \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{(1 + |y|)^{\frac{k}{2}(2 + \beta - \alpha) + N - 2 - \beta}} dy < C.$$

Moreover by the symmetry of G we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x|^\gamma \Gamma(x, y) &\leq |x|^\gamma G(x, y) \\ &\leq C \frac{1 + |x|^\beta}{|x - y|^k (1 + |x|)^{k \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2}}} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{N-2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C \frac{1}{|x-y|^{k-\beta+N-2}} \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{k\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}}}.$$

Taking into account that $\frac{1}{|x-y|} \leq 2\frac{1}{1+|x|}$, arguing as above we obtain

$$(3.16) \quad \int_{E_2} |x|^\gamma \Gamma(x, y) dx \leq C.$$

Hence (3.15) implies

$$(3.17) \quad \| |x|^\gamma u_2(x) \|^p \leq C \int_{E_2} |x|^\gamma \Gamma(x, y) |f(y)|^p dy.$$

Thus, by (3.17) and (3.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| |x|^\gamma u_2 \|^p_p &\leq C \int_{E_2} \int_{E_2} |x|^\gamma \Gamma(x, y) |f(y)|^p dy dx \\ &= C \int_{E_2} |f(y)|^p \left(\int_{E_2} |x|^\gamma \Gamma(x, y) dx \right) dy \leq C \|f\|^p_p. \end{aligned}$$

□

We are now ready to show the invertibility of A_p and $D(A_p) \subset D(V)$.

Proposition 3.5. *Assume that $N > 2$, $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$. Then the operator A_p is closed and invertible. Moreover there exists $C > 0$ such that, for every $0 \leq \gamma \leq \beta$, we have*

$$(3.18) \quad \| |\cdot|^\gamma u \|_p \leq C \|A_p u\|_p, \quad \forall u \in D_{p, \max}(A).$$

Proof. Let us first prove the injectivity of A_p . Let $u \in D_{p, \max}(A)$ such that $A_p u = 0$, in particular $\tilde{A}_p u = 0$. It follows that $u \in D_{p, \max}(\tilde{A}) = D(\Delta) \cap D\left(\frac{|x|^\beta}{1+|x|^\alpha}\right)$, see [9] (see [4, Theorem 2.5]). Then multiplying $A_p u$ with $u|u|^{p-2}$ and integrating over \mathbb{R}^N we obtain, by [6],

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u|u|^{p-2} \Delta u dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|x|^\beta}{1+|x|^\alpha} |u|^p dx \\ &= -(p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|x|^\beta}{1+|x|^\alpha} |u|^p dx, \end{aligned}$$

from which we have $u \equiv 0$. So, by (3.2) we obtain the invertibility of A_p .

By elliptic regularity one deduces that A_p is closed on $D_{p, \max}(A)$. Finally, the estimate (3.18) follows from (3.14). □

The previous Theorem gives in particular the A_p -boundedness of the potential V and the following regularity result.

Corollary 3.6. *Assume that $N > 2$, $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$. Then*

- (i) *there exists $C > 0$ such that for every $u \in D_{p, \max}(A)$*

$$\|Vu\|_p \leq C \|A_p u\|_p;$$

(ii)

$$D_{p,max}(A) = \{u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid Au \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

Proof. We have only to prove the inclusion $D_{p,max}(A) \subset \{u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid Au \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\}$. Let $u \in D_{p,max}(A)$. Then, by (i), $Vu \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and hence

$$\Delta u = \frac{Au + Vu}{1 + |x|^\alpha} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

So, the thesis follows from the Calderon-Zygmund inequality. \square

We can now state the main result of this section

Theorem 3.7. *Assume that $N > 2$, $\beta > \alpha - 2$ and $\alpha > 2$. Then, $[0, +\infty) \subset \rho(A_p)$ and $(\lambda - A_p)^{-1}$ is a positive operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $\lambda \geq 0$. Moreover, if $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then $(\lambda - A_p)^{-1}f = (\lambda - A)^{-1}f$.*

Proof. Let us first prove that if $0 \leq \lambda \in \rho(A_p)$, then $(\lambda - A_p)^{-1}$ is a positive operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. To this purpose, take $0 \leq f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and set $u = (\lambda - A_p)^{-1}f$. Then, by Corollary 3.6, $u \in D(\tilde{A}_p)$ and

$$-(\tilde{A}_p - \lambda q)u = qf =: \tilde{f},$$

where $q(x) = \frac{1}{1+|x|^\alpha}$. Since \tilde{A}_p generates an exponentially stable and positive C_0 -semigroup $(\tilde{T}_p(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (see [4, Theorem 2.5]), it follows that the semigroup $(e^{-t\lambda q} \tilde{T}_p(t))_{t \geq 0}$ generated by $\tilde{A}_p - \lambda q$ is positive and exponentially stable. Hence,

$$u = (\lambda q - \tilde{A}_p)^{-1} \tilde{f} \geq 0.$$

We show that $E = [0, +\infty) \cap \rho(A_p)$ is an non empty open and closed set in $[0, +\infty)$.

By Proposition 3.5 we have $0 \in \rho(A_p)$ and hence $E \neq \emptyset$. On the other hand, using the above positivity property and the resolvent equation we have $(\lambda - A_p)^{-1} \leq (-A_p)^{-1} = L$ for any $\lambda \in E$ and therefore

$$(3.19) \quad \|(\lambda - A_p)^{-1}\| \leq \|L\|,$$

it follows that the operator norm of $(\lambda - A_p)^{-1}$ is bounded in E and consequently E is closed. Finally, since $\rho(A_p)$ is an open set, it follows that E is open in $[0, +\infty)$. Thus, $E = [0, +\infty)$.

Now in order to show the last statement we may assume $f \in C_c^\infty$, the thesis will follow by density. Setting $u := (\lambda - A_p)^{-1}f$, we obtain, by local elliptic regularity (cf. [2, Theorem 9.19]), that $u \in C_{loc}^{2+\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $0 < \sigma < 1$. On the other hand, $u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by Corollary 3.6. If $p \geq \frac{N}{2}$, then by Sobolev's inequality, $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $q \in [p, +\infty)$. In particular, $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $q > \frac{N}{2}$ and hence $Au = -f + \lambda u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, since $u \in C_{loc}^{2+\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it follows that $u \in W_{loc}^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. So, $u \in D_{q,max}(A) \subset W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by Corollary 3.6 and Sobolev's embedding theorem, since $q > \frac{N}{2}$.

Let us now suppose that $p < \frac{N}{2}$. Take the sequence (r_n) , defined by $r_n = 1/p - 2n/N$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and set $q_n = 1/r_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let n_0 be the smallest integer such that $r_{n_0} \leq 2/N$ noting that $r_{n_0} > 0$. Then, $u \in D_{p,max}(A) \subset L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by the Sobolev embedding theorem. As above we obtain that $u \in D_{q_1,max}(A) \subset L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Iterating this

argument, we deduce that $u \in D_{q_{n_0}, \max}(A)$. So we can conclude that $u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ arguing as in the previous case. Thus, $Au = -f + \lambda u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Again, since $u \in C_{loc}^{2+\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it follows that $u \in W_{loc}^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in (1, +\infty)$. Hence, $u \in D_{\max}(A)$. So, by the uniqueness of the solution of the elliptic problem, we have $(\lambda - A_p)^{-1}f = (\lambda - A)^{-1}f$ for any $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. \square

4. GENERATION OF SEMIGROUPS

In this section we show that A_p generates an analytic semigroup on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $1 < p < \infty$, provided that $N > 2$, $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$.

We start by proving a weighted gradient estimate. To this purpose we need the following covering result from, see [1, Proposition 6.1], to prove a weighted gradient estimate.

Proposition 4.1. *For every $0 \leq k < 1/2$ there exists a natural number $\zeta = \zeta(N, k)$ with the following property: Given $\mathcal{F} = \{B(x, \rho(x))\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N}$, where $\rho : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a Lipschitz continuous function with Lipschitz constant k . Then there exists a countable subcovering $\{B(x_n, \rho(x_n))\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathbb{R}^N such that at most ζ among the double balls $\{B(x_n, 2\rho(x_n))\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ overlap.*

To prove the main result of this section we need the following weighted gradient estimate.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that $N > 2$, $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $u \in D_{p, \max}(A)$ we have*

$$(4.1) \quad \|(1 + |x|^{\alpha-1})\nabla u\|_p \leq C(\|A_p u\|_p + \|u\|_p).$$

Proof. Let $u \in D_{p, \max}(A)$. We fix $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and choose $\vartheta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1$, $\vartheta(x) = 1$ for $x \in B(1)$ and $\vartheta(x) = 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(2)$. Moreover, we set $\vartheta_\rho(x) = \vartheta\left(\frac{x-x_0}{\rho}\right)$, where $\rho = \frac{1}{4}(1 + |x_0|)$. We apply the well-known inequality

$$(4.2) \quad \|\nabla v\|_{L^p(B(R))} \leq C\|v\|_{L^p(B(R))}^{1/2}\|\Delta v\|_{L^p(B(R))}^{1/2}, \quad v \in W^{2,p}(B(R)) \cap W_0^{1,p}(B(R)), \quad R > 0$$

to the function $\vartheta_\rho u$ and obtain for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha-1}\nabla u\|_{L^p(B(x_0, \rho))} &\leq \|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha-1}\nabla(\vartheta_\rho u)\|_{L^p(B(x_0, 2\rho))} \\ &\leq C\|(1 + |x_0|)^\alpha \Delta(\vartheta_\rho u)\|_{L^p(B(x_0, 2\rho))}^{1/2}\|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha-2}\vartheta_\rho u\|_{L^p(B(x_0, 2\rho))}^{1/2} \\ &\leq C\left(\varepsilon\|(1 + |x_0|)^\alpha \Delta(\vartheta_\rho u)\|_{L^p(B(x_0, 2\rho))} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha-2}\vartheta_\rho u\|_{L^p(B(x_0, 2\rho))}\right) \\ &\leq C\left(\varepsilon\|(1 + |x_0|)^\alpha \Delta u\|_{L^p(B(x_0, 2\rho))} + \frac{2M}{\rho}\varepsilon\|(1 + |x_0|)^\alpha \nabla u\|_{L^p(B(x_0, 2\rho))} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{M}{\rho^2}\|(1 + |x_0|)^\alpha u\|_{L^p(B(x_0, 2\rho))} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha-2}u\|_{L^p(B(x_0, 2\rho))}\right) \\ &\leq C\left(\varepsilon\|(1 + |x_0|)^\alpha \Delta u\|_{L^p(B(x_0, 2\rho))} + 8M\varepsilon\|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha-1}\nabla u\|_{L^p(B(x_0, 2\rho))} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(16M + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\right)\|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha-2}u\|_{L^p(B(x_0, 2\rho))}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C(M) \left(\varepsilon \|(1 + |x_0|)^\alpha \Delta u\|_{L^p(B(x_0, 2\rho))} + \varepsilon \|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha-1} \nabla u\|_{L^p(B(x_0, 2\rho))} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha-2} u\|_{L^p(B(x_0, 2\rho))} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $M = \|\nabla \vartheta\|_\infty + \|\Delta \vartheta\|_\infty$. Since $2\rho = \frac{1}{2}(1 + |x_0|)$ we get

$$\frac{1}{2}(1 + |x_0|) \leq 1 + |x| \leq \frac{3}{2}(1 + |x_0|), \quad x \in B(x_0, 2\rho).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1 + |x|)^{\alpha-1} \nabla u\|_{L^p(B(x_0, \rho))} &\leq \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)^{\alpha-1} \|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha-1} \nabla u\|_{L^p(B(x_0, \rho))} \\ &\leq C \left(\varepsilon \|(1 + |x_0|)^\alpha \Delta u\|_{L^p(B(x_0, 2\rho))} + \varepsilon \|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha-1} \nabla u\|_{L^p(B(x_0, 2\rho))} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha-2} u\|_{L^p(B(x_0, 2\rho))} \right) \\ &\leq C \left(2^\alpha \varepsilon \|(1 + |x|)^\alpha \Delta u\|_{L^p(B(x_0, 2\rho))} + 2^{\alpha-1} \varepsilon \|(1 + |x|)^{\alpha-1} \nabla u\|_{L^p(B(x_0, 2\rho))} \right. \\ (4.3) \quad &\quad \left. + \frac{2^{\alpha-2}}{\varepsilon} \|(1 + |x|)^{\alpha-2} u\|_{L^p(B(x_0, 2\rho))} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{B(x_n, \rho(x_n))\}$ be a countable covering of \mathbb{R}^N as in Proposition 4.1 such that at most ζ among the double balls $\{B(x_n, 2\rho(x_n))\}$ overlap.

We write (4.3) with x_0 replaced by x_n and sum over n . To the limit as n tends to infinity, taking into account the covering result above, we get

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1 + |x|)^{\alpha-1} \nabla u\|_p &\leq C \left(\varepsilon \|(1 + |x|)^\alpha \Delta u\|_p + \varepsilon \|(1 + |x|)^{\alpha-1} \nabla u\|_p \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|(1 + |x|)^{\alpha-2} u\|_p \right). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing ε such that $\varepsilon C < 1/2$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \|(1 + |x|)^{\alpha-1} \nabla u\|_p \leq \frac{1}{2} \|(1 + |x|)^\alpha \Delta u\|_p + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \|(1 + |x|)^{\alpha-2} u\|_p.$$

It follows from Corollary 3.6 that $\| |x|^{\alpha-2} u \|_p \leq \|(1 + |x|^\beta) u\|_p \leq \|u\|_p + \|Vu\|_p \leq \|u\|_p + C\|A_p u\|_p$ for every $u \in D_{p, \max}(A)$ and some $C > 0$. Hence,

$$\|(1 + |x|)^{\alpha-1} \nabla u\|_p \leq C(\|A_p u\|_p + \|u\|_p)$$

for all $u \in D_{p, \max}(A)$. This ends the proof of the lemma. \square

The following lemma shows that $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a core for A_p .

Lemma 4.3. *Assume $N > 2$, $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$. The space $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in $D_{p, \max}(A)$ with respect to the graph norm.*

Proof. Let us first observe that $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in $W_c^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the operator norm. Let $u \in W_c^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and consider $u_n = \rho_n * u$, where ρ_n are standard mollifiers.

We have $u_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $D^2u_n \rightarrow D^2u$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, $\text{supp } u_n \subset \text{supp } u + B(1) := K$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_p u - A u_n\|_p &= \|A_p u - A u_n\|_{L^p(K)} \\ &\leq \|(1 + |x|^\alpha)\Delta(u - u_n)\|_{L^p(K)} + \||x|^\beta(u - u_n)\|_{L^p(K)} \\ &\leq \|(1 + |x|^\alpha)\|_{L^\infty(K)} \|\Delta(u - u_n)\|_{L^p(K)} + \||x|^\beta\|_{L^\infty(K)} \|(u - u_n)\|_{L^p(K)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let u in $D_{p,\max}(A)$ and let η be a smooth function such that $\eta = 1$ in $B(1)$, $\eta = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(2)$, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and set $\eta_n(x) = \eta\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)$. Then consider $u_n = \eta_n u \in W_c^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. First we have $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by dominated convergence. As regard $A_p u_n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_p u_n(x) &= (1 + |x|^\alpha)\Delta(\eta_n u)(x) - |x|^\beta \eta_n(x) u(x) \\ &= \eta_n(x) A_p u(x) + 2(1 + |x|^\alpha)\nabla \eta_n(x) \nabla u(x) + (1 + |x|^\alpha)\Delta \eta_n(x) u(x) \\ &= \eta_n(x) A_p u(x) + \frac{2}{n}(1 + |x|^\alpha)\nabla \eta\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \nabla u(x) + \frac{1}{n^2}(1 + |x|^\alpha)\Delta \eta\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) u(x), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\eta_n A_p u \rightarrow A_p u \quad \text{in} \quad L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

by dominated convergence. As regards the last terms we consider that $\nabla \eta(x/n)$ and $\Delta \eta(x/n)$ can be different from zero only for $n \leq |x| \leq 2n$, then we have

$$\frac{1}{n}(1 + |x|^\alpha) \left| \nabla \eta\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \right| |\nabla u| \leq C(1 + |x|^{\alpha-1}) |\nabla u| \chi_{\{n \leq |x| \leq 2n\}},$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n^2}(1 + |x|^\alpha) \left| \Delta \eta\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \right| |u| \leq C(1 + |x|^{\alpha-2}) |u| \chi_{\{n \leq |x| \leq 2n\}}.$$

The right hand side tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, since by Proposition 3.5 and Lemma 4.2 we have $\|(1 + |x|^{\alpha-2})u\|_p \leq C(\|A_p u\|_p + \|u\|_p)$ and $\|(1 + |x|^{\alpha-1})\nabla u\|_p \leq C(\|A_p u\|_p + \|u\|_p)$. \square

Let us give now the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.4. *Assume $N > 2$, $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$. Then the operator A_p with domain $D_{p,\max}(A)$ generates an analytic semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in L^p$, $\rho > 0$. Consider the operator $\widetilde{A}_p := A_p - \omega$ where ω is a constant which will be chosen later. It is known that the elliptic problem in $L^p(B(\rho))$

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda u - \widetilde{A}_p u = f & \text{in } B(\rho) \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B(\rho), \end{cases}$$

admits a unique solution u_ρ in $W^{2,2}(B(\rho)) \cap W_0^{1,2}(B(\rho))$ for $\lambda > 0$, (cf. [2, Theorem 9.15]).

Let us prove that that $e^{\pm i\theta} \widetilde{A}_p$ is dissipative in $B(\rho)$ for $0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_\alpha$ with suitable $\theta_\alpha \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$. To this purpose observe that

$$\widetilde{A}_p u_\rho = \text{div}((1 + |x|^\alpha)\nabla u_\rho) - \alpha|x|^{\alpha-1} \frac{x}{|x|} \nabla u_\rho - |x|^\beta u_\rho - \omega u_\rho.$$

Set $u^* = \bar{u}_\rho |u_\rho|^{p-2}$ and recall that $a(x) = 1 + |x|^\alpha$. Multiplying $\widetilde{A}_p u_\rho$ by u^* and integrating over $B(\rho)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(\rho)} \widetilde{A}_p u_\rho u^* dx &= - \int_{B(\rho)} a(x) |u_\rho|^{p-4} |Re(\bar{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho)|^2 dx \\ &- \int_{B(\rho)} a(x) |u_\rho|^{p-4} |Im(\bar{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho)|^2 dx - (p-2) \int_{B(\rho)} a(x) |u_\rho|^{p-4} \bar{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho Re(\bar{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho) dx \\ &- \alpha \int_{B(\rho)} \bar{u}_\rho |u_\rho|^{p-2} |x|^{\alpha-1} \frac{x}{|x|} \nabla u_\rho dx - \int_{B(\rho)} (|x|^\beta + \omega) |u_\rho|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

We note here that the integration by part in the singular case $1 < p < 2$ is allowed thanks to [6]. By taking the real and imaginary part of the left and the right hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &Re \left(\int_{B(\rho)} \widetilde{A}_p u_\rho u^* dx \right) \\ &= -(p-1) \int_{B(\rho)} a(x) |u_\rho|^{p-4} |Re(\bar{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho)|^2 dx - \int_{B(\rho)} a(x) |u_\rho|^{p-4} |Im(\bar{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho)|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \alpha \int_{B(\rho)} |u_\rho|^{p-2} |x|^{\alpha-1} \frac{x}{|x|} Re(\bar{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho) dx - \int_{B(\rho)} (|x|^\beta + \omega) |u_\rho|^p dx \\ &= -(p-1) \int_{B(\rho)} a(x) |u_\rho|^{p-4} |Re(\bar{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho)|^2 dx - \int_{B(\rho)} a(x) |u_\rho|^{p-4} |Im(\bar{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho)|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha}{p} \int_{B(\rho)} |x|^{\alpha-1} \frac{x}{|x|} \nabla (|u_\rho|^p) dx - \int_{B(\rho)} (|x|^\beta + \omega) |u_\rho|^p dx \\ &= -(p-1) \int_{B(\rho)} a(x) |u_\rho|^{p-4} |Re(\bar{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho)|^2 dx - \int_{B(\rho)} a(x) |u_\rho|^{p-4} |Im(\bar{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho)|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int_{B(\rho)} \left(\frac{\alpha(N-2+\alpha)}{p} |x|^{\alpha-2} - |x|^\beta - \omega \right) |u_\rho|^p dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Im \left(\int_{B(\rho)} \widetilde{A}_p u_\rho u^* dx \right) &= -(p-2) \int_{B(\rho)} a(x) |u_\rho|^{p-4} Im(\bar{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho) Re(\bar{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho) dx \\ &\quad - \alpha \int_{B(\rho)} |u_\rho|^{p-2} |x|^{\alpha-1} \frac{x}{|x|} Im(\bar{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We can choose $\tilde{c} > 0$ and $\omega > 0$ (depending on \tilde{c}) such that

$$\frac{\alpha(N-2+\alpha)}{p} |x|^{\alpha-2} - |x|^\beta - \omega \leq -\tilde{c} |x|^{\alpha-2}.$$

So, we obtain

$$-Re \left(\int_{B(\rho)} \widetilde{A}_p u_\rho u^* dx \right) \geq (p-1) \int_{B(\rho)} a(x) |u_\rho|^{p-4} |Re(\bar{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho)|^2 dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \int_{B(\rho)} a(x) |u_\rho|^{p-4} |Im(\bar{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho)|^2 dx + \tilde{c} \int_{B(\rho)} |u_\rho|^p |x|^{\alpha-2} dx \\
 & = (p-1)B^2 + C^2 + \tilde{c}D^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| Im \left(\int_{B(\rho)} \widetilde{A}_p u_\rho u^* dx \right) \right| \\
 & \leq |p-2| \left(\int_{B(\rho)} |u_\rho|^{p-4} a(x) |Re(\bar{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{B(\rho)} |u_\rho|^{p-4} a(x) |Im(\bar{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \quad + \alpha \left(\int_{B(\rho)} |u_\rho|^{p-4} |x|^\alpha |Im(\bar{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{B(\rho)} |u_\rho|^p |x|^{\alpha-2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & = |p-2|BC + \alpha CD,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 B^2 & = \int_{B(\rho)} |u_\rho|^{p-4} a(x) |Re(\bar{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho)|^2 dx, \\
 C^2 & = \int_{B(\rho)} |u_\rho|^{p-4} a(x) |Im(\bar{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho)|^2 dx, \\
 D^2 & = \int_{B(\rho)} |u_\rho|^p |x|^{\alpha-2} dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us observe that, choosing $\delta^2 = \frac{|p-2|^2}{4(p-1)} + \frac{\alpha^2}{4\tilde{c}}$ (which is independent of ρ), we obtain

$$\left| Im \left(\int_{B(\rho)} \widetilde{A}_p u_\rho u^* dx \right) \right| \leq \delta \left\{ -Re \left(\int_{B(\rho)} \widetilde{A}_p u_\rho u^* dx \right) \right\}.$$

If $\tan \theta_\alpha = \delta$, then $e^{\pm i\theta} \widetilde{A}_p$ is dissipative in $B(\rho)$ for $0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_\alpha$. From [10, Theorem I.3.9] follows that the problem (4.4) has a unique solution u_ρ for every $\lambda \in \Sigma_\theta$, $0 \leq \theta < \theta_\alpha$ where

$$\Sigma_\theta = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : |Arg \lambda| < \pi/2 + \theta\}.$$

Moreover, there exists a constant C_θ which is independent of ρ , such that

$$(4.5) \quad \|u_\rho\|_{L^p(B(\rho))} \leq \frac{C_\theta}{|\lambda|} \|f\|_{L^p}, \quad \lambda \in \Sigma_\theta.$$

Let us now fix $\lambda \in \Sigma_\theta$, with $0 < \theta < \theta_\alpha$ and a radius $r > 0$. We apply the interior L^p estimates (cf. [2, Theorem 9.11]) to the functions u_ρ with $\rho > r + 1$. So, by (4.5) we have

$$\|u_\rho\|_{W^{2,p}(B(r))} \leq C_1 \left(\|\lambda u_\rho - \widetilde{A}_p u_\rho\|_{L^p(B(r+1))} + \|u_\rho\|_{L^p(B(r+1))} \right) \leq C_2 \|f\|_{L^p}.$$

Using a weak compactness and a diagonal argument, we can construct a sequence $(\rho_n) \rightarrow \infty$ such that the functions (u_{ρ_n}) converge weakly in $W_{loc}^{2,p}$ to a function u which satisfies

$\lambda u - \widetilde{A}_p u = f$ and

$$(4.6) \quad \|u\|_p \leq \frac{C_\theta}{|\lambda|} \|f\|_p, \quad \lambda \in \Sigma_\theta.$$

Moreover, $u \in D_{p,\max}(A_p)$. We have now only to show that $\lambda - \widetilde{A}_p$ is invertible on $D_{p,\max}(A_p)$ for $\lambda \in \Sigma_\theta$. Consider the set

$$E = \{r > 0 : \Sigma_\theta \cap C(r) \subset \rho(\widetilde{A}_p)\},$$

where $C(r) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| < r\}$. Since, by Theorem 3.7, 0 is in the resolvent set of \widetilde{A}_p , then $R = \sup E > 0$. On the other hand, the norm of the resolvent is bounded by $C_\theta/|\lambda|$ in $C(R) \cap \Sigma_\theta$, consequently it cannot explode on the boundary of $C(R)$, then $R = \infty$ and this ends the proof of the theorem. \square

Remark 4.5. *Since A_p generates an analytic semigroup $T_p(\cdot)$ on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and the semigroups $T_q(\cdot)$, $q \in (1, \infty)$ are consistent, see Theorem 3.7, one can deduce (as in the proof of [4, Proposition 2.6]) using Corollary 3.6 that $T_p(t)L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset C_b^{1+\nu}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $t > 0$, $\nu \in (0, 1)$ and any $p \in (1, \infty)$.*

We end this section by studying the spectrum of A_p . We recall from Proposition 3.5 that

$$\| |x|^\beta u \|_p \leq C \|A_p u\|_p, \quad \forall u \in D_{p,\max}(A).$$

So, arguing as in [4] we obtain the following results

Proposition 4.6. *Assume $N > 2$, $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$ then*

- (i) *the resolvent of A_p is compact in L^p ;*
- (ii) *the spectrum of A_p consists of a sequence of negative real eigenvalues which accumulates at $-\infty$. Moreover, $\sigma(A_p)$ is independent of p ;*
- (iii) *the semigroup $T_p(\cdot)$ is irreducible, the eigenspace corresponding to the largest eigenvalue λ_0 of A is one-dimensional and is spanned by strictly positive functions ψ , which is radial, belongs to $C_b^{1+\nu}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $\nu \in (0, 1)$ and tends to 0 when $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Cupini, S. Fornaro, Maximal regularity in L^p for a class of elliptic operators with unbounded coefficients, *Diff. Int. Eqs.* **17** (2004), 259-296.
- [2] D. Gilbarg, N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Second edition, Springer, Berlin, (1983).
- [3] L. Lorenzi, M. Bertoldi, *Analytical Methods for Markov Semigroups*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, (2007).
- [4] L. Lorenzi, A. Rhandi, On Schrödinger type operators with unbounded coefficients: generation and heat kernel estimates, (submitted). Available on ArXiv (<http://arxiv.org/abs/1203.0734>), 2012.
- [5] G. Metafuno, D. Pallara, M. Wacker, Feller Semigroups on \mathbb{R}^N , *Semigroup Forum* **65** (2002), 159-205.
- [6] G. Metafuno, C. Spina, An integration by parts formula in Sobolev spaces, *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics* **5** (2008), 359-371.
- [7] G. Metafuno, C. Spina, Elliptic operators with unbounded coefficients in L^p spaces, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* **11** (2012), no. 2, 303-340.
- [8] G. Metafuno, C. Spina, C. Tacelli, Elliptic operators with unbounded diffusion and drift coefficients in L^p spaces, *Adv. Diff. Equat.* **19** (2014), no. 5-6, 473-526.

- [9] N. Okazawa, An L^p theory for Schrödinger operators with nonnegative potentials, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **36** (1984), 675-688.
- [10] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*, Applied mathematical sciences **44**, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [11] Z. Shen, L_p estimates for Schrödinger operators with certain potentials, *Annales de l'institut Fourier* **45** (1995), 513-546.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI SALERNO, VIA GIOVANNI PAOLO II, 132, I 84084 FISCIANO (SA), ITALY.

E-mail address: `acanale@unisa.it`

DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA DELL'INFORMAZIONE, INGEGNERIA ELETTRICA E MATEMATICA APPLICATA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI SALERNO, VIA GIOVANNI PAOLO II, 132, I 84084 FISCIANO (SA), ITALY.

E-mail address: `arhandi@unisa.it`

DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA DELL'INFORMAZIONE, INGEGNERIA ELETTRICA E MATEMATICA APPLICATA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI SALERNO, VIA GIOVANNI PAOLO II, 132, I 84084 FISCIANO (SA), ITALY.

E-mail address: `ctacelli@unisa.it`