Electronic Structure Reconstruction across the Antiferromagnetic Transition in TaFe_{1.23}Te₃ Spin Ladder

M. Xu¹, Li-Min Wang², R. Peng¹, Q. Q. Ge¹, F. Chen¹, Z. R. Ye¹, Y. Zhang¹, S. D. Chen¹, M. Xia¹, R. H. Liu³, M. Arita⁴, K. Shimada⁴, H. Namatame⁴, M. Taniguchi⁴, M. Matsunami⁵, S. Kimura⁵, M. Shi⁶, Wei Ku², X. H. Chen³, Wei-Guo Yin²,* B. P. Xie¹,[†] and D. L. Feng^{1‡}

¹State Key Laboratory of Surface Physics, Department of Physics,
and Advanced Materials Laboratory, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, People's Republic of China

²Condensed Matter Physics and Materials Science Department,
Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA

³Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at Microscale and Department of Physics,
University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China

⁴Hiroshima Synchrotron Radiation Center and Graduate School of Science, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima 739-8526, Japan.

⁵UVSOR Facility, Institute for Molecular Science and The Graduate University for Advanced Studies, Okazaki 444-8585, Japan and

⁶Swiss Light Source, Paul-Scherrer Institut, 5232 Villigen, Switzerland

With angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy, we studied the electronic structure of TaFe_{1,23}Te₃, which is a two-leg spin ladder compound with a novel antiferromagnetic ground state. Quasi-two-dimensional Fermi surface is observed, indicating sizable inter-ladder hopping, which would facilitate the in-plane ferromagnetic ordering through double exchange interactions. Moreover, an energy gap is not observed at the Fermi surface in the antiferromagnetic state. Instead, the shifts of various bands have been observed. Combining these observations with density-functional-theory calculations, we propose that the large scale reconstruction of the electronic structure, caused by the interactions between the coexisting itinerant electrons and local moments, is most likely the driving force behind the magnetic transition. TaFe_{1,23}Te₃ thus provides a simpler system that contains similar ingredients as the parent compounds of iron-based superconductors, which yet could be readily modeled and understood.

PACS numbers: 74.25.Jb,74.70.-b,79.60.-i,71.20.-b

I. INTRODUCTION

High-temperature superconductors discovered so far are always quasi-two-dimensional (2D) systems in the vicinity of a magnetic order [1–3]. However, due to many body interactions, the analytical solutions of related theoretical models in two dimensions are often hard to obtain. For cuprate, one alternative way to attack the problem is the following successive steps: firstly solving a one-dimensional chain, then a two-leg ladder consisted of two interacting chains, and finally extending the solution to an N-leg ladder system [4]. In the $N\rightarrow \infty$ limit, similar physics and phenomena in 2D systems can be asymptotically approached. Moreover, chains or ladders often contain the same physical ingredients and exhibit similar properties as 2D systems. For example, superconductivity has been observed in doped the cuprate spin ladders, eg. Sr₂Ca₁₂Cu₂₄O₄₁ [5, 6]. The mechanisms of novel pheonomena in 2D systems thus might be demonstrated in chains or ladders with greater clarity due to the lower dimensionality.

Analogously, magnetism and superconductivity in ironbased compounds could be studied in a similar way [7]. Several spin chain/ladder systems have been discovered experimentally, including TaFe_{1.23}Te₃ [8], BaFe₂Se₃ [9, 10], Ce₂O₂FeSe₂ [11], and the single layer K_xFe_{2-y}Se₂ (110) film

*Electronic address: wyin@bnl.gov †Electronic address: bpxie@fudan.edu.cn ‡Electronic address: dlfeng@fudan.edu.cn [12]. Intriguingly, the signature of superconductivity has been observed in an alkali-doped FeSe-ladder system [12], and the antiferromagnetic (AFM) order similar to the spin density wave (SDW) in the parent compounds of iron-based superconductors has been observed as well [11]. Meanwhile, all these materials share a similar structural character: a layered, quasione-dimensional structure with edge sharing FeX_4 (X=Te, Se) plaquettes. For example, TaFe_{1,23}Te₃ can be viewed as Fe-Fe zig-zag spin ladder compound with adjacent ladders connected by a Ta/Te network as illustrated in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b). It exhibits an AFM order with an unusual ferromagnetic (FM) coupling in the cleavage plane and an antiferromagnetic coupling out of plane as shown in Fig. 1(a), and the local moment is about 2 μ_B /Fe, which resembles that of FeTe [13, 14]. Due to their similarities, these ladder compounds can be considered as low dimensional siblings of the iron-based superconductors. Besides the interests in themselves as iron-based spin ladders, experimental study in combination with readily treatable theoretical modeling of these systems would deepen our understanding of magnetism in iron-based superconductors.

In this paper, we study the electronic structure of TaFe_{1.23}Te₃ by angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) and band calculations. We have observed quasi 2D Fermi surface in TaFe_{1.23}Te₃. An energy gap is not observed on the Fermi surface, indicating the absence of Fermi surface instability. Instead, we find that features far below the Fermi energy (E_F) shift abruptly across the AFM transition, resembling the electronic structure reconstruction across SDW transition in 2D iron pnictides [15–18]. Our results suggest that the critical ingredients of the magnetism in the parent com-




FIG. 1: (Color online). Crystal structure and Brillouin zone (BZ) of TaFe_{1.23}Te₃. (a) Schematic illustration of crystal structure and spin structure following neutron scattering result in Ref. 13. The Fe2 atoms partially and randomly occupy the interstitial sites. (b) A projection of the ladder structure onto the natural cleavage (-101) plane. The Fe-Fe zig-zag two-leg ladders are encircled by dashed lines. Note that the interstitial Fe2 is not shown here. (c) Three-dimensional BZ of TaFe_{1.23}Te₃ with the monoclinic structure. The direction of vector \vec{n} is normal to the cleaved surface (-101) in the reciprocal space. The bottom part gives the surface BZ. Hereafter, we define the $\bar{\Gamma}\bar{X}$ and $\bar{\Gamma}\bar{Y}$ axis to be parallel to and perpendicular to the ladder, respectively. $\bar{\Gamma}\bar{X}=0.863~\text{Å}^{-1}$ and $\bar{\Gamma}\bar{Y}=0.305~\text{Å}^{-1}$. (d) The low-energy electron diffraction (LEED) pattern of TaFe_{1.23}Te₃ taken at 250 K in the paramagnetic state with 100 eV incident electrons. Note that $\bar{\Gamma}\bar{X}/\bar{\Gamma}\bar{Y}\approx2.8$ is consistence with the LEED pattern.

pounds of iron-based superconductors, such as local moments and the Hund's rule coupling, together with the double exchange interactions across the in-plane ladders, conspire the novel ground state in TaFe_{1.23}Te₃. It is thus another new compound whose order is characterized by electronic structure reconstruction instead of Fermi surface nesting, and provides a simpler playground to investigate the magnetism in iron-based superconductors and related materials.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

TaFe_{1.23}Te₃ single crystals were synthesized by chemical vapor transport method as described elsewhere [8], which show flat shiny and needle-shaped surfaces. With decreasing temperature, the resistivity shows metallic behavior and has an abnormal transition at the Neel temperature (T_N) of about 160 K [8], resembling the resistivity anomaly of BaFe₂As₂ at its SDW transition [19]. The chemical compositions were determined by energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy. As illustrated in Fig. 1(a), TaFe_{1.23}Te₃ is in a monoclinic P2₁/m structure with lattice constants a =7.4262 Å, b =3.6374 Å, c = 9.9925 Å, and β = 109.166°. On the (-101) natural cleavage plane schematically plotted in Fig. 1(b), there are two-leg ladders with two FeTe chains parallel to the short axis b,




FIG. 2: (Color online). The Fermi surface of TaFe_{1.23}Te₃. (a) Photoemission intensity maps integrated within 10 meV around Fermi energy (E_F) with 24 eV photons at 30 K. (b) Momentum distribution curves (MDC's) near E_F along $\bar{\Gamma}\bar{X}$. Marks are guide to eyes of the dispersions. (c) and (d) Photoemission intensity maps taken with 27, and 21 eV photons, respectively. The intensity was integrated within 10 meV around E_F . The red dashed lines are the guides to eyes for the Fermi surface, which show weak k_z dependence. Data were taken with horizontally polarized photons at 13 K at UVSOR.

which are separated by a Ta/Te network in-between. Therefore, TaFe_{1.23}Te₃ possesses a quasi-one-dimensional crystal structure. Fig. 1(c) illustrates the three-dimensional Brillouin zone (BZ), and the surface BZ. We define the k_x and k_y axes to be parallel and perpendicular to the ladder/chain, respectively. The high-quality sample surface was confirmed by the clear pattern of low-energy electron diffraction (LEED) as shown in Fig. 1(d), which manifests its twofold symmetry.

The ARPES experiments were performed with circularly polarized synchrotron light from beamline 9A of Hiroshima Synchrotron Radiation Center (HSRC), the SIS beamline of the Swiss Light Source (SLS) with Scienta R4000 electron analyzers, and BL7 of Ultraviolet Synchrotron Orbital Radiation (UVSOR) facility with an MBS A-1 electron analyzer. The overall energy resolution is ~ 15 meV, and angular resolution is ~ 0.3 degree. The samples were cleaved *in situ*, and measured under ultra-high-vacuum of $5\times 10^{-11}\ Torr$.

III. RESULTS

A. Fermi surface and band structure

According to the photoemission intensity map of TaFe_{1,23}Te₃ shown in Fig. 2(a), one could observe four Fermi surface sheets. Meanwhile, the periodic undulation-like Fermi surface along $\bar{\Gamma}\bar{Y}$ suggests sizable in-plane




FIG. 3: (Color online) Band structure of TaFe_{1.23}Te₃. (a) Photoemission intensity along $\bar{\Gamma}\bar{X}$ which is parallel to the ladder. (b) Plot of energy distribution curves (EDC's) along $\bar{\Gamma}\bar{X}$ in panel (a). (c) The calculated band structure along $\bar{\Gamma}\bar{X}$ without interstitial iron atoms Fe₂, the thickened part of the bands have been observed in our data. (d)-(f) are the same as panels (a)-(c), but for data along $\bar{\Gamma}\bar{Y}$ which is perpendicular to the Fe-Fe zig-zag ladder. Marks are guide to eyes of the band dispersion which are determined by the local maxima of the EDC's. Data in panels (a) and (b) were taken with horizontally polarized 24 eV photons at 13 K at UVSOR. Data in panels (d) and (e) were taken with circularly polarized 24 eV photons at 10 K at SLS. The thick dashed lines in panels (c) and (f) indicate where the experimental Fermi energy is situated.

inter-ladder interactions. Figure 2(b) plots the momentum distribution curves (MDC's) along $\bar{\Gamma}\bar{X}$, and two bands α and α' could be observed near E_F . The Fermi surface of α' seems to have the same shape as that of α , but judging from their dispersions, α' is not a folded band of α . More detailed study of the k_z dependence of the Fermi surface has been conducted

with several photon energies, as shown in Figs 2(c) and 2(d). Again, one can use almost the same Fermi surface sheets as those in Fig. 2(a) to match all the data at different k_z 's. The weak k_z dependence of Fermi surface demonstrates the weak inter-plane coupling or quasi 2D nature of TaFe_{1.23}Te₃.

Figure 3(a) displays the photoemission intensity along $\bar{\Gamma}\bar{X}$ (along the ladder), and the corresponding energy distribution curves (EDC's) are plotted in Fig. 3(b). Besides the α and α' bands near E_F , three other bands β , γ , and δ can be identified. The β and γ bands disperse from 0.3 to 0.7 eV below E_F , while δ shows a parabola-like dispersion with a larger bandwidth. Figures 3(d) and 3(e) display the photoemission data and the corresponding EDC's along $\bar{\Gamma}\bar{Y}$ (perpendicular to the ladder). One could only observe the β , γ , and δ bands. We note that for FeTe, coherent quasi-particles show up in its bicollinear antiferromagnetic state, when the magnetic fluctuations are gapped out [20, 21]. But sharp quasi-particle peak is not observed here in TaFe_{1.23}Te₃, which may be attributed to stronger magnetic fluctuations in ladders.

We calculated the band structure of TaFeTe₃ in the nonmagnetic state without the interstitial Fe2 atoms. The calculation is conducted using the WIEN2K implementation of the full potential linearized augmented plane wave method in the local density approximation [22]. The k-point mesh was taken to be $4\times5\times11$. The lattice constants were taken from ref. 23. To compare with the APRES spectra directly, the band structure in 2-Fe zone is unfolded to the 1-Fe zone by applying the recent developed unfolding method [24]. Figures 3(c) and 3(f) exhibit the corresponding calculated band dispersions along $\bar{\Gamma}\bar{X}$ and $\bar{\Gamma}\bar{Y}$, respectively. The thickened part of the bands in the calculation are likely the bands observed in the experiment. The calculations qualitatively agree with the experiments, when considering some moderate band renormalization and the Fermi level shift due to the interstitial iron atoms in the real material (shown by the thick dashed lines). Note that the α' band is absent in our calculations, and its origin is still mysterious. One possibility is that α' may be due to the interstitial iron atoms which are not included in the calculations.

B. Temperature dependence of the electronic structure

Temperature dependence of the spectra were taken to investigate the mechanism of the AFM transition in TaFe_{1.23}Te₃. Figures 4(a) and 4(b) plot the Fermi surface intensity maps in the paramagnetic and AFM state, respectively. The Fermi surface exhibits negligible change except some thermal broadening, and there is no SDW gap at the Fermi surface. Figures 4(c) and 4(d) display temperature dependence of the MDC's along $\bar{\Gamma}\bar{X}$, which show that the movements of α and α' are negligible as well. These results suggest that the AFM transition is not driven by the Fermi surface instability, although the AFM coupling is out-of-plane, the nesting condition would be fullfilled due to the weak k_z dependence. On the other hand, Fig. 4(e) shows the temperature cycling data of EDC's at $\bar{\Gamma}$, where the feature near -0.4 eV is contributed by the β and γ bands, and its temperature dependence of cor-




FIG. 4: (Color online). The temperature dependence of Fermi surface and electronic structure of TaFe_{1.23}Te₃. (a) and (b) Photoemission intensity map integrated within 10 meV around E_F , in paramagnetic (180 K) and antiferromagnetic (30 K) states, respectively. (c) Temperature dependence of MDC's at E_F along $\bar{\Gamma}\bar{X}$. (d) is the same as panel (c) but for data chosen at 0.15 eV below E_F . (e) Temperature cycling data of EDC's at $\bar{\Gamma}$. The bars illustrate the peak positions of the EDC's. (f) Temperature dependence of EDC's at a selected momentum k_1 marked in panel (a). (g) is the same as panel (f) but for data at k_2 marked in panel (a). In panels (f) and (g), two examples of the fitted curves, Shirley background and Lorentz functions are shown with thin dashed lines and solid lines, respectively. (h)-(j) The summary of the corresponding peak position of the EDC's in panels (e)-(g), respectively. Data in panels (a) and (b) were taken with horizontally polarized 24 eV photons at UVSOR. The temperature dependence data in panels (c)-(j) were taken with circularly polarized 24 eV photons at HSRC.

responding peak is summarized in Fig. 4(h). It clearly shows that β/γ shifts towards lower binding energy as temperature decreases; when warming up, the peak shifts back again without any observable hysteresis, indicating the second order nature of the transition. Figures 4(f) and 4(g) display the temperature dependence of EDC's across the AFM transition at two representative momenta k_1 and k_2 respectively, as marked in Fig. 4(a). As shown in Figs. 4(h)-4(j), the shifts of their peak positions all begin around the bulk T_N , and saturate quickly below 145 K [8]. Because of the absence of structural transition at T_N in TaFe_{1,23}Te₃, such an intrinsic electronic structure reconstruction should be related to the AFM transition. It also indicates that our photoemssion data should reflect the bulk properites. The amplitude of the shift is about 50 meV upwards for β , 18 meV downwards for γ at k_1 in Fig. 4(i), and 80 meV upwards for β , 50 meV downwards for γ at k_2 in Fig. 4(j). These are comparable with the k_BT_N energy scale and those band shifts observed in the parent compounds of the iron-based superconductors [16, 18]. We note that the upward shifts are larger than the downward shifts, and it seems the electronic energy is not saved here, different from the observation in 2D iron prictides [15, 16] and FeTe [20].

Considering the measured quasi-2D nature of the electronic structure and the in-plane FM spin alignment, the electronic structure in the AFM state may be represented by calculating the FM state in a single plane. Figs. 5(a) and 5(b) show the valence bands calculated for the non-magnetic and FM state of TaFeTe₃, respectively, which demonstrate a significant electronic structure reconstruction. The calculated band shifts are in the order of $0.5 \sim 1$ eV, much larger than the

experimental ones. However, it does indicate that the energy saving through the large scale electronic structure reconstruction is most likely the driving force behind the magnetic transition. Experimentally, the Fermi energy is in between band 3 and band 4 of the normal state band structure, since Fe2 in the real matrials would bring in more electrons, which were not considered in the calculation. In the AFM state, the spin down bands and spin up bands generally move to the opposite directions, but consequently, the average effect could be that the density of states in the low binding energy region increases, although total energy is saved by the overall band movements. Fig. 5(c) shows the comparison of corresponding EDC's in normal and AFM states. One could see the features near -0.6 eV shift to lower binding energies, same as that in Fig. 4(g). The features at high binding energies are smeared out, and one cannot resolve the band shift. Presumably, they would more towards the higher binding energy direction to save energy as shown in the calculations.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Previous ARPES results of iron pnictides [16, 18, 25] and FeSe thin films on SrTiO₃ substrate [26] show that electronic structure reconstruction rather than Fermi surface nesting drives the SDW transition. Theoretical studies on iron-based superconductors and their parent compounds suggest that the Hund's rule coupling is a key factor for the correlations and local moments [27–29]. The recent transport measurement on detwined FeTe suggests that Hund's rule cou-




FIG. 5: (Color online).(a) and (b) The calculated valance band along $\bar{\Gamma}\bar{Y}$ of TaFeTe₃ for the nonmagnetic state, and the ferromagnetic spin-up (spin-majority) and spin-down (spin-minority) states, respectively. The band indices 1-17 are the guide to eyes. The thick dashed line in panel (a) indicates where the experimental Fermi energy is situated. (c) The comparison of valance band along $\bar{\Gamma}\bar{Y}$ of TaFe_{1.23}Te₃ at 8 K and 200 K. Here data were taken with an in-house helium discharge lamp (21.2 eV).

pling dominates magnetism in FeTe compound, which causes strong reconstruction and nematicity of the electronic structure [30]. For FeTe, the system is largely characterized by a polaronic electronic structure [20, 21], and the bicollinear antiferromagnetic transition there corresponds to large energy (\sim 0.6 eV) and momentum (over the entire BZ) scale spectral weight transfer. For TaFe_{1.23}Te₃, its large local moment of 2 μ_B /Fe is comparable to that of FeTe [14]. The difference is that TaFe_{1.23}Te₃ possesses 2D Fermi surface and significant in-plane dispersions. Therefore, the key electronic character here is the coexisting of itinerant and localized 3*d* states, and Hund's rule coupling between them would introduce the double-exchange ferromagnetism. This actually gives a natu-

rally interpretation of the magnetic order in TaFe_{1.23}Te₃, as the in-plane magnetic order is determined by the competition between double exchange ferromagnetism and superexchange antiferromagnetism [28]. The chain-like structure of TaFe_{1,23}Te₃ significantly blocks the inter-chain superexchange between the in-plane localized spins as they are too far away, while the inter-chain hoppings of the itinerant electrons can still be mediated by the intermediate Ta (nonmagnetic $5d^{1}$) structure. Therefore, the in-plane FM coupling wins over the AFM one, resulting in the in-plane FM order shown in Fig. 1(b). On the other hand, the intra-ladder Fe-Fe or Fe-Te-Fe structure and the distance between planes [Fig. 1(a)] are similar to that of FeTe, and the direct FM exchange within a ladder and the inter-plane AFM superexchange may work similarly as in FeTe. Therefore, these conspire the so called A-type AFM order in TaFe_{1.23}Te₃, as in the language used for manganites.

To summarize, we have studied the electronic structure of TaFe_{1,23}Te₃ and its reconstruction across the AFM transition with ARPES. The quasi-two-dimensional Fermi surface and band structure were observed, which qualitatively agree with the band calculations, and indicate sizable inter-ladder interactions within the plane. More importantly, our results suggest that TaFe_{1,23}Te₃ is the second kind of novel quantum materials beyond the parent compounds of iron-based superconductors, whose AFM transition directly correlates with the electronic structure reconstruction at high binding energies, instead of the traditional Fermi surface nesting. The commonalities among TaFe_{1.23}Te₃, FeTe, and other parent compounds of iron-based superconductors suggest that they are just the different manifestations out of the competition among the same set of physical ingredients, such as the Hund's rule coupling and antiferromagnetic superexchange interactions between localized spins [28]. Particularly, this spin ladder system would provide a simpler testing ground to study the essence of magnetism in iron pnictides and chalcogenides, which may even enable an analytical solution of the related theoretical model.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are grateful to Prof. Jiangping Hu and Prof. Hua Wu for helpful discussions. This work is supported in part by the National Science Foundation of China, and National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) under the grant Nos. 2012CB921400, 2011CB921802 and 2011CBA00112.

^[1] J. G. Bednorz and K. A. Mueller, *Possible high Tc superconductivity in the Ba-La-Cu-O system*, Z. Phys. B **64** (2), 189-193 (1986)

^[2] Y. Kamihara, T. Watanabe, M. Hirano and H. Hosono, *Iron-Based Layered Superconductor La*[$O_{1-x}F_x$]FeAs (x = 0.05-0.12) with $T_c = 26$ K, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **130**, 3296 (2008).

^[3] X. H. Chen, T. Wu, G. Wu, R. H. Liu, H. Chen and D. F. Fang,

Superconductivity at 43 K in SmFeAsO $_{1-x}F_x$, Nature (London) **354**, 761 (2008).

^[4] E. Dagotto and T. M. Rice, Surprises on the Way from One- to Two-Dimensional Quantum Magnets: The Ladder Materials, Science 271, 618-623 (1996).

^[5] Masatomo Uehara, Takashi Nagata, Jun Akimitsu, Hiroki Takahashi, Nobuo Mori, Kyoichi Kinoshita, Superconductivity in the

- Ladder Material $Sr_{0.4}Ca_{13.6}Cu_{24}O_{41.84}$, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **65**, 2764 (1996).
- [6] N. Fujiwara, N. Mori, Y. Uwatoko, T. Matsumoto, N. Motoyama and S. Uchida, Superconductivity of the Sr₂Ca₁₂Cu₂₄O₄₁ spin-ladder system: are the superconducting pairing and the spin-gap formation of the same origin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 (13), 137001 (2003).
- [7] E. Dagotto, The Unexpected Properties of Alkali Metal Iron Selenide Superconductors, Rev. Mod. Phys. 85 (2), 849-867 (2013).
- [8] R. H. Liu, M. Zhang, P. Cheng, Y. J. Yan, Z. J. Xiang, J. J. Ying, X. F. Wang, A. F. Wang, G. J. Ye, X. G. Luo and X. H. Chen, Spin-density-wave transition of Fe1 zigzag chains and metamagnetic transition of Fe2 in TaFe_{1+y}Te₃, Phys. Rev. B 84 (18), 184432 (2011).
- [9] H. Lei, H. Ryu, A. I. Frenkel and C. Petrovic, Anisotropy in BaFe₂Se₃ single crystals with double chains of FeSe tetrahedra, Phys. Rev. B 84 (21), 214511 (2011).
- [10] J. M. Caron, J. R. Neilson, D. C. Miller, A. Llobet and T. M. McQueen, Iron displacements and magnetoelastic coupling in the antiferromagnetic spin-ladder compound BaFe₂Se₃, Phys. Rev. B 84 (18), 180409 (2011).
- [11] E. E. McCabe, D. G. Free and J. S. O. Evans, *A new iron oxy-selenide Ce*₂*O*₂*FeSe*₂: *synthesis and characterisation*, Chem. Commun. **47**, 1261 (2011).
- [12] W. Li, H. Ding, P. Zhang, P. Deng, K. Chang, K. He, S. Ji, L. Wang, X. Ma, J. Wu, J.-P. Hu, Q.-K. Xue and X. Chen, Superconductivity in a single layer alkali-doped FeSe: a weakly coupled two-leg ladder system, arXiv: 1210.4619 (2012).
- [13] X. Ke, B. Qian, H. Cao, J. Hu, G. C. Wang and Z. Q. Mao, Magnetic structure of quasi-one-dimensional antiferromagnetic TaFe_{1+v}Te₃, Phys. Rev. B 85 (21), 214404 (2012).
- [14] S. Li, C. de la Cruz, Q. Huang, Y. Chen, J. W. Lynn, J. Hu, Y.-L. Huang, F.-C. Hsu, K.-W. Yeh, M.-K. Wu, and P. Dai, *First-order magnetic and structural phase transitions in* $Fe_{1+y}Se_xTe_{1-x}$, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 054503 (2009).
- [15] Y. Zhang, C. He, Z. R. Ye, J. Jiang, F. Chen, M. Xu, Q. Q. Ge, B. P. Xie, J. Wei, M. Aeschlimann, X. Y. Cui, M. Shi, J. P. Hu and D. L. Feng, Symmetry breaking via orbital-dependent reconstruction of electronic structure in detwinned NaFeAs, Phys. Rev. B 85 (8), 085121 (2012).
- [16] L. X. Yang, Y. Zhang, H. W. Ou, J. F. Zhao, D. W. Shen, B. Zhou, J. Wei, F. Chen, M. Xu, C. He, Y. Chen, Z. D. Wang, X. F. Wang, T. Wu, G. Wu, X. H. Chen, M. Arita, K. Shimada, M. Taniguchi, Z. Y. Lu, T. Xiang and D. L. Feng, *Electronic Structure and Unusual Exchange Splitting in the Spin-Density-Wave State of the BaFe₂As₂ Parent Compound of Iron-Based Superconductors, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (10), 107002 (2009).*
- [17] Q. Q. Ge, Z. R. Ye, M. Xu, Y. Zhang, J. Jiang, B. P. Xie, Y. Song, C. L. Zhang, P. Dai and D. L. Feng, *Anisotropic but Nodeless Superconducting Gap in the Presence of Spin-Density Wave in Iron-Pnictide Superconductor NaFe*_{1-x}Co_xAs, Physical Review X 3 (1), 011020 (2013).
- [18] M. Yi, D. Lu, J.-H. Chu, J. G. Analytis, A. P. Sorini, A. F. Kemper, B. Moritz, S.-K. Mo, R. G. Moore, M. Hashimoto, W.-S. Lee, Z. Hussain, T. P. Devereaux, I. R. Fisher and Z.-X. Shen, Symmetry-breaking orbital anisotropy observed for detwinned

- $Ba(Fe_{1-x}Co_x)_2As_2$ above the spin density wave transition, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **108**(17) 6878 (2012).
- [19] X. F. Wang, T. Wu, G. Wu, H. Chen, Y. L. Xie, J. J. Ying, Y. J. Yan, R. H. Liu and X. H. Chen, Anisotropy in the Electrical Resistivity and Susceptibility of Superconducting BaFe₂As₂ Single Crystals, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (11), 117005(2009).
- [20] Y. Zhang, F. Chen, C. He, L. X. Yang, B. P. Xie, Y. L. Xie, X. H. Chen, M. Fang, M. Arita, K. Shimada, H. Namatame, M. Taniguchi, J. P. Hu and D. L. Feng, Strong correlations and spin-density-wave phase induced by a massive spectral weight redistribution in α-Fe_{1.06}Te, Phys. Rev. B 82 (16), 165113 (2010).
- [21] Z. K. Liu, R. H. He, D. H. Lu, M. Yi, Y. L. Chen, M. Hashimoto, R. G. Moore, S. K. Mo, E. A. Nowadnick, J. Hu, T. J. Liu, Z. Q. Mao, T. P. Devereaux, Z. Hussain and Z. X. Shen, Measurement of Coherent Polarons in the Strongly Coupled Antiferromagnetically Ordered Iron-Chalcogenide Fe_{1.02}Te using Angle-Resolved Photoemission Spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (3), 037003 (2013).
- [22] K. Schwarz, P. Blaha and G. K. H. Madsen, Electronic structure calculations of solids using the WIEN2k package for material sciences, Comput. Phys. Commun. 147, 71 (2002).
- [23] S. X. Liu, G. L. Cai and J. L. Huang, Structure of a new ternary chalcogenide: FeTa(μ₄-Te)(μ₃-Te)₂, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C 49, 4 (1993).
- [24] W. Ku, Tom Berlijn and Chi-Cheng Lee, Unfolding First-Principles Band Structures, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 216401 (2010).
- [25] C. He, Y. Zhang, B. P. Xie, X. F. Wang, L. X. Yang, B. Zhou, F. Chen, M. Arita, K. Shimada, H. Namatame, M. Taniguchi, X. H. Chen, J. P. Hu and D. L. Feng, Electronic-Structure-Driven Magnetic and Structure Transitions in Superconducting NaFeAs Single Crystals Measured by Angle-Resolved Photoemission Spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (11), 117002 (2010).
- [26] S. Y. Tan, Y. Zhang, M. Xia, Z. R. Ye, F. Chen, X. Xie, R. Peng, D. F. Xu, Q. Fan, H. C. Xu, J. Jiang, T. Zhang, X. C. Lai, T. Xiang, J. P. Hu, B. P. Xie and D. L. Feng, *Interface-induced superconductivity and strain-dependent spin density wave in FeSe/SrTiO*₃ thin films, Nature Materials 12, 634640 (2013).
- [27] K. Haule and G. Kotliar, CoherenceCincoherence crossover in the normal state of iron oxypnictides and importance of Hund's rule coupling, New J. Phys. 11, 025021 (2009).
- [28] W.-G. Yin, C. C. Lee and W. Ku, Unified Picture for Magnetic Correlations in Iron-Based Superconductors, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 107004 (2010); W.-G. Yin, C.-H. Lin, and W. Ku, Insulating magnetism in vacancy-ordered K_{0.8}Fe_{1.6}Se₂, Phys. Rev. B 86, 081106(R) (2012).
- [29] T. Tzen Ong and Piers Coleman, Local Quantum Criticality of an Iron-Pnictide Tetrahedron, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 107201 (2012).
- [30] J. Jiang, C. He, Y. Zhang, M. Xu, Q. Q. Ge, Z. R. Ye, F. Chen, B. P. Xie and D. L. Feng, Distinct in-plane resistivity anisotropy in a detwinned FeTe single crystal: Evidence for a Hund's metal, Physical Review B 88, 115130 (2013).