SHARP HEAT KERNEL ESTIMATES IN THE FOURIER-BESSEL SETTING FOR A CONTINUOUS RANGE OF THE TYPE PARAMETER

ADAM NOWAK AND LUZ RONCAL

ABSTRACT. The heat kernel in the setting of classical Fourier-Bessel expansions is defined by an oscillatory series which cannot be computed explicitly. We prove qualitatively sharp estimates of this kernel. Our method relies on establishing a connection with a situation of expansions based on Jacobi polynomials and then transferring known sharp bounds for the related Jacobi heat kernel.

1. Introduction

Let J_{ν} denote the Bessel function of the first kind and order $\nu > -1$, and let $\{\lambda_{n,\nu} : n \geq 1\}$ be the sequence of successive positive zeros of J_{ν} . The Fourier-Bessel heat kernel is given by the oscillating sum

(1)
$$G_t^{\nu}(x,y) = 2(xy)^{-\nu} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-t\lambda_{n,\nu}^2\right) \frac{J_{\nu}(\lambda_{n,\nu}x)J_{\nu}(\lambda_{n,\nu}y)}{|J_{\nu+1}(\lambda_{n,\nu})|^2}.$$

The numbers $\lambda_{n,\nu}^2$ and the functions $u \mapsto \sqrt{2}u^{-\nu}J_{\nu}(\lambda_{n,\nu}u)/|J_{\nu+1}(\lambda_{n,\nu})|$ occurring here are the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions, respectively, of the Bessel differential operator $-\frac{d^2}{du^2} - \frac{2\nu+1}{u} \frac{d}{du}$ considered on the interval (0,1). It is well known that this kernel gives the solution of the initial-value problem for the Bessel heat equation on (0,1) with Dirichlet boundary condition at the right endpoint.

Our main result is the following qualitatively sharp description of $G_t^{\nu}(x,y)$.

Theorem A. Assume that $\nu > -1$. Given any T > 0, there exist positive constants C, c_1 and c_2 , depending only on ν and T, such that

$$\frac{1}{C} \left[t + xy \right]^{-\nu - 1/2} \frac{(1 - x)(1 - y)}{t + (1 - x)(1 - y)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \exp\left(-c_1 \frac{(x - y)^2}{t} \right)
\leq G_t^{\nu}(x, y)
\leq C \left[t + xy \right]^{-\nu - 1/2} \frac{(1 - x)(1 - y)}{t + (1 - x)(1 - y)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \exp\left(-c_2 \frac{(x - y)^2}{t} \right),$$

uniformly in $x, y \in [0, 1]$ and $0 < t \le T$. Moreover,

$$C^{-1} \exp\left(-t\lambda_{1,\nu}^2\right) (1-x)(1-y) \le G_t^{\nu}(x,y) \le C \exp\left(-t\lambda_{1,\nu}^2\right) (1-x)(1-y),$$

uniformly in $x, y \in [0, 1]$ and $t \ge T$.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: primary 42C05; secondary 35K08, 60J35.

Key words and phrases: Fourier-Bessel expansions, heat kernel, Bessel process, transition density.

The first-named author was supported in part by MNiSW Grant N N201 417839. Research of the second-named author supported by the grant MTM2012-36732-C03-02 from Spanish Government.

The main contents of Theorem A are of course the short time bounds. Proving them by a direct analysis of the heavily oscillating series in (1) is practically impossible. Note that the Bessel function J_{ν} is transcendental in general, and can be expressed by means of elementary functions only if the index ν is half-integer, i.e. $\nu = k/2$ for some integer k. Furthermore, the zeros of J_{ν} are known explicitly only when $\nu = \pm 1/2$. Note also that the order of magnitude of the numbers $\lambda_{n,\nu}^2$ appearing in the exponential factor is n^2 as $n \to \infty$; in particular, the asymptotic distribution of $\lambda_{n,\nu}^2$ is not linear.

The behavior of the Fourier-Bessel heat kernel does not seem to have been studied before, except for our previous paper [4]. More precisely, in [4, Theorem 3.3] we derived qualitatively sharp estimates for $G_t^{\nu}(x,y)$ under the assumption that ν is a half-integer not less than -1/2 (actually, this restriction is essential only for the short time bounds, see [4, Theorem 3.7]). We also conjectured analogous estimates for general $\nu > -1$, see [4, Conjecture 4.3], which are now confirmed by Theorem A. However, the methods we use in the present paper are much different from those applied in [4]. Here the main argument is based on a relation we establish between the Fourier-Bessel setting and the framework related to Jacobi 'functions'. This makes it possible to transfer qualitatively sharp estimates of the Jacobi heat kernel obtained recently by Coulhon, Kerkyacharian and Petrushev [2] and independently by Nowak and Sjögren [5]. We remark that actually in [2] heat kernel estimates were established in a very general setting but, nevertheless, they do not apply directly to the Fourier-Bessel case.

Our principal motivation for investigating $G_t^{\nu}(x,y)$ comes from an interest in harmonic analysis related to Fourier-Bessel expansions, see the references in [4, Section 1]. Additional motivation emerges from the probabilistic interpretation of the Fourier-Bessel heat kernel, see [3, Section I]. Namely, it is a transition probability density for the time scaled Bessel process $X_{2t}^{\nu+1/2}$ on $(0,\infty)$ (with reflecting barrier at x=0 when $-1<\nu<0$, see [1, Appendix I, Section 21]) killed upon leaving the interval (0,1). We also note that for $\nu=d/2-1$, $d\geq 1$, the kernel $G_t^{\nu}(x,y)$ provides a fundamental solution to the standard heat equation in the d-dimensional Euclidean unit ball with Dirichlet boundary condition and a radial initial condition.

There are two simple cases occurring already in Fourier's works, at least implicitly. These are $G_t^{-1/2}(x,y)$ and $G_t^{1/2}(x,y)$, and the two kernels can be written by means of non-oscillating series, see [4, Section 4]. The argument is based on the periodized Gauss-Weierstrass kernel and simple boundary-value problems for the classical heat equation in an interval. No more elementary representations in these cases seem to be possible, and this suggests that a general closed explicit formula for $G_t^{\nu}(x,y)$ does not exist. The estimates in Theorem A are therefore a natural and desirable substitute for an exact expression.

2. Fourier-Bessel and Jacobi settings

Given $\nu > -1$, consider the Bessel differential operator

$$L^{\nu} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{2\nu + 1}{x} \frac{d}{dx}$$

on the interval (0,1). It is well known that L^{ν} is symmetric and nonnegative on $C_c^2(0,1) \subset L^2(d\mu_{\nu})$, where μ_{ν} is a power measure in the interval [0,1] given by

$$d\mu_{\nu}(x) = x^{2\nu+1} dx.$$

A classical orthonormal basis in $L^2(d\mu_{\nu})$ consisting of eigenfunctions of L^{ν} is the Fourier-Bessel system $\{\phi_n^{\nu}: n \geq 1\}$ (cf. [8, Chapter XVIII]) defined by

$$\phi_n^{\nu}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{|J_{\nu+1}(\lambda_{n,\nu})|} x^{-\nu} J_{\nu}(\lambda_{n,\nu} x), \qquad n \ge 1, \quad x \in [0,1];$$

here the value $\phi_n^{\nu}(0)$ is understood in the limiting sense. We have

$$L^{\nu}\phi_n^{\nu} = \lambda_{n,\nu}^2 \phi_n^{\nu}, \qquad n \ge 1.$$

The self-adjoint extension of L^{ν} associated with the Fourier-Bessel system is defined naturally in $L^2(d\mu_{\nu})$ via its spectral decomposition as

$$\widetilde{L}^{\nu}f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n,\nu}^2 \langle f, \phi_n^{\nu} \rangle_{d\mu_{\nu}} \phi_n^{\nu},$$

on the domain

$$\operatorname{Dom} \widetilde{L}^{\nu} = \left\{ f \in L^{2}(d\mu_{\nu}) : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \lambda_{n,\nu}^{2} \left\langle f, \phi_{n}^{\nu} \right\rangle_{d\mu_{\nu}} \right|^{2} < \infty \right\}.$$

The semigroup generated by $-L^{\nu}$ has the integral representation

$$\exp\left(-t\widetilde{L}^{\nu}\right)f(x) = \int_0^1 G_t^{\nu}(x,y)f(y) d\mu_{\nu}(y),$$

where the kernel is given by (1).

For technical reasons, we also need to consider a closely related setting emerging from incorporating the measure μ_{ν} into the system $\{\phi_{n}^{\nu}\}$. In this way we derive the Fourier-Bessel system $\{\psi_{n}^{\nu}: n \geq 1\}$,

$$\psi_n^{\nu}(x) = x^{\nu+1/2}\phi_n^{\nu}(x), \qquad n \ge 1, \quad x \in [0,1];$$

the value $\psi_n^{\nu}(0)$ is understood in the limiting sense, in particular $\psi_n^{\nu}(0) = \infty$ for $\nu < -1/2$. For each $\nu > -1$ this system is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(dx)$ (here and elsewhere dx stands for Lebesgue measure in the interval [0,1]) consisting of eigenfunctions of the differential operator

(2)
$$\mathbb{L}^{\nu} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{1/4 - \nu^2}{x^2};$$

more precisely, we have

$$\mathbb{L}^{\nu}\psi_{n}^{\nu} = \lambda_{n,\nu}^{2}\psi_{n}^{\nu}, \qquad n \geq 1.$$

The operator \mathbb{L}^{ν} is symmetric and nonnegative on $C_c^2(0,1) \subset L^2(dx)$. Similarly as in the previous setting, there is a natural self-adjoint extension of \mathbb{L}^{ν} in $L^2(dx)$, denoted by $\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}^{\nu}$, whose spectral decomposition is given by the ψ_n^{ν} . The associated heat semigroup $\exp(-t\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}^{\nu})$ possesses an integral representation (with integration against dx), and the relevant kernel is

(3)
$$\mathbb{K}_t^{\nu}(x,y) = (xy)^{\nu+1/2} G_t^{\nu}(x,y);$$

this identity is understood in the limiting sense whenever x = 0 or y = 0.

Finally, we introduce the Jacobi setting based on Jacobi trigonometric 'functions', see [5, Section 2], scaled to the interval [0,1]. Let $P_k^{\alpha,\beta}$, $k=0,1,2,\ldots$, be the classical Jacobi polynomials with type parameters $\alpha, \beta > -1$, as defined in Szegö's monograph [7]. Define

$$\Phi_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = c_k^{\alpha,\beta} \bigg(\sin\frac{\pi x}{2}\bigg)^{\alpha+1/2} \bigg(\cos\frac{\pi x}{2}\bigg)^{\beta+1/2} P_k^{\alpha,\beta} \big(\cos\pi x\big), \qquad k \geq 0, \quad x \in [0,1],$$

with

$$c_k^{\alpha,\beta} = \left(\frac{\pi(2k+\alpha+\beta+1)\Gamma(k+\alpha+\beta+1)\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(k+\alpha+1)\Gamma(k+\beta+1)}\right)^{1/2},$$

where for k=0 and $\alpha+\beta=-1$ the product $(2k+\alpha+\beta+1)\Gamma(k+\alpha+\beta+1)$ must be replaced by $\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+2)$. The values $\Phi_k^{\alpha,\beta}(0)$ and $\Phi_k^{\alpha,\beta}(1)$ are understood in the limiting sense and they may be infinite for some α and β . Then the system $\{\Phi_k^{\alpha,\beta}:k\geq 0\}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(dx)$. Moreover, each $\Phi_k^{\alpha,\beta}$ is an eigenfunction of the differential operator

$$\mathbb{J}^{\alpha,\beta} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{\pi^2(1/4 - \alpha^2)}{4\sin^2(\pi x/2)} - \frac{\pi^2(1/4 - \beta^2)}{4\cos^2(\pi x/2)},$$

and we have

$$\mathbb{J}^{\alpha,\beta}\Phi_k^{\alpha,\beta} = \Lambda_k^{\alpha,\beta}\Phi_k^{\alpha,\beta}, \qquad k \geq 0, \qquad \text{where} \qquad \Lambda_k^{\alpha,\beta} = \pi^2\Big(k + \frac{\alpha + \beta + 1}{2}\Big)^2.$$

Thus $\mathbb{J}^{\alpha,\beta}$ has a natural self-adjoint extension in $L^2(dx)$ given by

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{J}}^{\alpha,\beta}f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_k^{\alpha,\beta} \langle f, \Phi_k^{\alpha,\beta} \rangle \Phi_k^{\alpha,\beta}$$

on the domain

$$\operatorname{Dom} \widetilde{\mathbb{J}}^{\alpha,\beta} = \left\{ f \in L^2(dx) : \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \Lambda_k^{\alpha,\beta} \left\langle f, \Phi_k^{\alpha,\beta} \right\rangle \right|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

The semigroup generated by $-\widetilde{\mathbb{J}}^{\alpha,\beta}$ in $L^2(dx)$ has the integral representation

$$\exp\left(-t\widetilde{\mathbb{J}}^{\alpha,\beta}\right)f(x) = \int_0^1 \mathbb{G}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)f(y)\,dy,$$

where the Jacobi heat kernel is defined by the oscillating series

$$\mathbb{G}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \exp\left(-t\Lambda_k^{\alpha,\beta}\right) \Phi_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) \Phi_k^{\alpha,\beta}(y).$$

Recently, qualitatively sharp estimates of $\mathbb{G}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)$ were obtained in [2] for $\alpha,\beta > -1$ and independently in [5], with completely different methods and under the restriction $\alpha,\beta \geq -1/2$. The result below is a consequence of [2, Theorem 7.2] (see also [5, Theorem A] and the beginning of [5, Section 4]), the relation between various Jacobi heat kernels [5, (3)] and a simple scaling argument.

Theorem 2.1 ([2, 5]). Assume that $\alpha, \beta > -1$. Given any T > 0, there exist positive constants C, c_1 and c_2 , depending only on α, β and T, such that

$$\frac{1}{C} \left[\frac{xy}{t+xy} \right]^{\alpha+1/2} \left[\frac{(1-x)(1-y)}{t+(1-x)(1-y)} \right]^{\beta+1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \exp\left(-c_1 \frac{(x-y)^2}{t}\right) \\
\leq \mathbb{G}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)$$

$$\leq C \left[\frac{xy}{t+xy} \right]^{\alpha+1/2} \left[\frac{(1-x)(1-y)}{t+(1-x)(1-y)} \right]^{\beta+1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \exp\left(-c_2 \frac{(x-y)^2}{t} \right),$$

uniformly in $x, y \in [0, 1]$ and $0 < t \le T$, where the expressions in the lower and upper estimates are understood in the limiting sense whenever x or y is an endpoint of [0, 1].

These estimates are crucial for our reasoning proving Theorem A.

3. Proof of Theorem A

We first focus on showing the short time bounds, which constitute the main part of Theorem A. Our argument relies on relating the Fourier-Bessel heat kernel $\mathbb{K}_t^{\nu}(x,y)$ with the Jacobi heat kernel $\mathbb{G}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)$ and then transferring the estimates of Theorem 2.1. This will be achieved by proving that the generator of the Fourier-Bessel semigroup $\{\exp(-t\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}^{\nu})\}$ is a 'slight' perturbation of the Jacobi generator with the parameters of type $\alpha = \nu$ and $\beta = 1/2$. Then the desired relation will follow by the so-called Trotter product formula.

A close connection between the generators is suggested by a relation at the level of differential operators. Observe that \mathbb{L}^{ν} and $\mathbb{J}^{\nu,1/2}$ differ only by a zero order term,

$$\mathbb{L}^{\nu} - \mathbb{J}^{\nu,1/2} = \left(\frac{1}{4} - \nu^2\right) \left[\frac{\pi^2}{4\sin^2\frac{\pi x}{2}} - \frac{1}{x^2}\right] =: H^{\nu}(x).$$

Moreover, the difference function $H^{\nu}(x)$ is continuous and bounded on [0, 1], with the value at x = 0 understood in the limiting sense. In the sequel we will use repeatedly, sometimes implicitly, the bound

$$|H^{\nu}(x)| \le |H^{\nu}(1)| = \left|\frac{1}{4} - \nu^2\right| \left(\frac{\pi^2}{4} - 1\right), \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

This follows by analyzing the function in square brackets above. Indeed, its limit value at x = 0 is positive, and its derivative is positive in (0,1), as easily verified by means of the inequality

$$\sin^3 u > u^3 \cos u, \qquad 0 < u < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

The latter can readily be checked by using the estimates

$$\sin u > u - \frac{u^3}{6}, \qquad \cos u < 1 - \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{u^4}{24}, \qquad u > 0,$$

coming from Taylor expansions of the two trigonometric functions.

An essential point of the whole method is the coincidence of domains, which is stated in the following.

Theorem 3.1. For each $\nu > -1$,

$$\operatorname{Dom} \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}^{\nu} = \operatorname{Dom} \widetilde{\mathbb{J}}^{\nu,1/2}.$$

To prove this we will need a preparatory result.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\nu > -1$. Then

$$\big\langle \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}^{\nu} \psi_n^{\nu}, \Phi_k^{\nu,1/2} \big\rangle = \big\langle \psi_n^{\nu}, \mathbb{L}^{\nu} \Phi_k^{\nu,1/2} \big\rangle, \qquad n \geq 1, \quad k \geq 0,$$

where \mathbb{L}^{ν} is the differential operator given by (2).

Proof. We shall use the divergence form of \mathbb{L}^{ν}

$$\mathbb{L}^{\nu} f(x) = -x^{-\nu - 1/2} D\left(x^{2\nu + 1} D\left(x^{-\nu - 1/2} f(x)\right)\right),\,$$

and integrate by parts. Denote

$$\mathcal{I} = \left\langle \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}^{\nu} \psi_n^{\nu}, \Phi_k^{\nu, 1/2} \right\rangle = \int_0^1 \mathbb{L}^{\nu} \psi_n^{\nu}(x) \Phi_k^{\nu, 1/2}(x) \, dx.$$

Clearly, the integral here converges since $\mathbb{L}^{\nu}\psi_{n}^{\nu}$ and $\Phi_{k}^{\nu,1/2}$ are in $L^{2}(dx)$. We have

$$\mathcal{I} = -\int_{0}^{1} x^{-\nu - 1/2} D\left(x^{2\nu + 1} D\left(x^{-\nu - 1/2} \psi_{n}^{\nu}(x)\right)\right) \Phi_{k}^{\nu, 1/2}(x) dx
= -x^{\nu + 1/2} D\left(x^{-\nu - 1/2} \psi_{n}^{\nu}(x)\right) \Phi_{k}^{\nu, 1/2}(x) \Big|_{0}^{1} + \int_{0}^{1} D\left(x^{-\nu - 1/2} \psi_{n}^{\nu}(x)\right) D\left(x^{-\nu - 1/2} \Phi_{k}^{\nu, 1/2}(x)\right) x^{2\nu + 1} dx
= -x^{\nu + 1/2} D\left(x^{-\nu - 1/2} \psi_{n}^{\nu}(x)\right) \Phi_{k}^{\nu, 1/2}(x) \Big|_{0}^{1} + x^{\nu + 1/2} \psi_{n}^{\nu}(x) D\left(x^{-\nu - 1/2} \Phi_{k}^{\nu, 1/2}(x)\right) \Big|_{0}^{1}
- \int_{0}^{1} \psi_{n}^{\nu}(x) x^{-\nu - 1/2} D\left(x^{2\nu + 1} D\left(x^{-\nu - 1/2} \Phi_{k}^{\nu, 1/2}(x)\right)\right) dx
\equiv \mathcal{I}_{1} + \mathcal{I}_{2} + \mathcal{I}_{3}.$$

Since $\mathcal{I}_3 = \langle \psi_n^{\nu}, \mathbb{L}^{\nu} \Phi_k^{\nu, 1/2} \rangle$, it remains to ensure that $\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{I}_2 = 0$.

From the definition of $\Phi_k^{\alpha,\beta}$ it is clear that

$$\Phi_k^{\nu,1/2}(x) = \mathcal{O}(x^{\nu+1/2}), \quad x \to 0^+, \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_k^{\nu,1/2}(x) = \mathcal{O}(1-x), \quad x \to 1^-.$$

The estimate $|\phi_n^{\nu}(x)| \leq C(1-x)$, see the proof of [4, Theorem 3.7], holds uniformly in $x \in (0,1)$ and implies

$$\psi_n^{\nu}(x) = \mathcal{O}(x^{\nu+1/2}), \quad x \to 0^+, \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_n^{\nu}(x) = \mathcal{O}(1-x), \quad x \to 1^-.$$

Further, using $D(z^{-\nu}J_{\nu}(z)) = -z^{-\nu}J_{\nu+1}(z)$ (cf. [8, Chapter III, Section 3·2]), we see that

$$D(x^{-\nu-1/2}\psi_n^{\nu}(x)) = c x^{-\nu} J_{\nu+1}(\lambda_{n,\nu} x) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(x), & x \to 0^+ \\ \mathcal{O}(1), & x \to 1^- \end{cases},$$

where c does not depend on x. Moreover, by the differentiation rule for Jacobi polynomials (cf. [7, (4.21.7)]),

$$\frac{d}{du}P_k^{\alpha,\beta}(u) = \frac{1}{2}(k + \alpha + \beta + 1)P_{k-1}^{\alpha+1,\beta+1}(u), \qquad k \ge 0.$$

(here we use the convention that $P_{-1}^{\alpha,\beta}=0$) it follows that

$$D(x^{-\nu-1/2}\Phi_k^{\nu,1/2}(x)) = c_1 \sin(\pi x) P_{k-1}^{\nu+1,3/2} \left(\cos(\pi x)\right) \left(\frac{\sin\frac{\pi x}{2}}{x}\right)^{\nu+1/2} \cos\frac{\pi x}{2}$$

$$+ c_2 P_k^{\nu,1/2} \left(\cos(\pi x)\right) \left(\frac{\sin\frac{\pi x}{2}}{x}\right)^{\nu+1/2} \sin\frac{\pi x}{2}$$

$$+ c_3 P_k^{\nu,1/2} \left(\cos(\pi x)\right) \frac{\pi x}{2} \cos\frac{\pi x}{2} - \sin\frac{\pi x}{2} \left(\frac{\sin\frac{\pi x}{2}}{x}\right)^{\nu-1/2} \cos\frac{\pi x}{2},$$

with c_1, c_2, c_3 independent of x. Therefore

$$D(x^{-\nu-1/2}\Phi_k^{\nu,1/2}(x)) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(x), & x \to 0^+ \\ \mathcal{O}(1), & x \to 1^- \end{cases}.$$

Altogether, these facts imply $\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{I}_2 = 0$. The lemma follows.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We demonstrate that $\operatorname{Dom} \widetilde{\mathbb{J}}^{\nu,1/2} \subset \operatorname{Dom} \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}^{\nu}$. Then the other inclusion follows by self-adjointness of the two operators.

Assume that $f \in \text{Dom }\widetilde{\mathbb{J}}^{\nu,1/2}$ and let

$$\mathcal{S} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \lambda_{n,\nu}^2 \left\langle f, \psi_n^{\nu} \right\rangle \right|^2.$$

We must show that S is finite. We have

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \left\langle f, \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}^{\nu} \psi_n^{\nu} \right\rangle \right|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\langle f, \Phi_k^{\nu, 1/2} \right\rangle \left\langle \Phi_k^{\nu, 1/2}, \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}^{\nu} \psi_n^{\nu} \right\rangle \right|^2.$$

Applying now Lemma 3.2 we can write

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigg| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\langle f, \Phi_k^{\nu,1/2} \right\rangle \left\langle (\mathbb{J}^{\nu,1/2} + H^{\nu}) \Phi_k^{\nu,1/2}, \psi_n^{\nu} \right\rangle \bigg|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigg| \left\langle \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_k^{\nu,1/2} \left\langle f, \Phi_k^{\nu,1/2} \right\rangle \Phi_k^{\nu,1/2}, \psi_n^{\nu} \right\rangle + \left\langle \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\langle f, \Phi_k^{\nu,1/2} \right\rangle \Phi_k^{\nu,1/2}, \psi_n^{\nu} H^{\nu} \right\rangle \bigg|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigg| \left\langle \widetilde{\mathbb{J}}^{\nu,1/2} f, \psi_n^{\nu} \right\rangle + \left\langle f H^{\nu}, \psi_n^{\nu} \right\rangle \bigg|^2. \end{split}$$

This together with Parseval's identity implies

$$S \le 2 \|\widetilde{\mathbb{J}}^{\nu,1/2} f\|_{L^2(dx)}^2 + 2 \|fH^{\nu}\|_{L^2(dx)}^2 < \infty$$

and consequently $f \in \text{Dom } \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}^{\nu}$.

We now recall the Trotter product formula, see [6, Chapter VIII, Section 8]. Let A and B be (possibly unbounded) self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . If A+B is essentially self-adjoint on Dom $A \cap$ Dom B, and A and B are bounded from below, then

$$\exp\left(-t(A+B)\right)h = \lim_{m \to \infty} \left(\exp(-tA/m)\exp(-tB/m)\right)^m h, \qquad h \in \mathcal{H}, \quad t \ge 0.$$

Specifying this general situation to our context we take $\mathcal{H} = L^2(dx)$, $A = \widetilde{\mathbb{J}}^{\nu,1/2}$ and choose B to be the multiplication operator by H^{ν} . Then, in view of Theorem 3.1, $A + B = \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}^{\nu}$. Moreover, the Trotter product formula applies and since $|H^{\nu}(x)| \leq |H^{\nu}(1)|$, $x \in [0,1]$, it implies

$$e^{-t|H^{\nu}(1)|}\exp\big(-t\widetilde{\mathbb{J}}^{\nu,1/2}\big)f \leq \exp\big(-t\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}^{\nu}\big)f \leq e^{t|H^{\nu}(1)|}\exp\big(-t\widetilde{\mathbb{J}}^{\nu,1/2}\big)f, \qquad 0 \leq f \in L^2(dx),$$

for each $t \ge 0$. Taking now into account that the Jacobi and the Fourier-Bessel heat kernels are continuous functions of their arguments (see [5, Section 2], [4, Section 2]), we infer that

$$e^{-t|H^{\nu}(1)|}\mathbb{G}_t^{\nu,1/2}(x,y) \leq \mathbb{K}_t^{\nu}(x,y) \leq e^{t|H^{\nu}(1)|}\mathbb{G}_t^{\nu,1/2}(x,y), \qquad x,y \in [0,1], \quad t > 0.$$

This combined with Theorem 2.1 and (3) justifies the short time bounds stated in Theorem A. The long time estimates were proved in [4, Theorem 3.7], under the assumption that the threshold T is sufficiently large. However, given any $0 < T_0 < T$, the already proved short time bounds imply existence of a constant C > 0 such that

$$C^{-1}(1-x)(1-y) \le G_t^{\nu}(x,y) \le C(1-x)(1-y), \qquad x,y \in [0,1], \quad T_0 \le t \le T,$$

and the desired conclusion follows.

The proof of Theorem A is complete.

Remark 3.3. A slightly more detailed analysis reveals that for $\nu \in [-1/2, 1/2]$

$$e^{-tH^{\nu}(1)}\mathbb{G}_{t}^{\nu,1/2}(x,y) \leq \mathbb{K}_{t}^{\nu}(x,y) \leq e^{-tH^{\nu}(0)}\mathbb{G}_{t}^{\nu,1/2}(x,y) \leq \mathbb{G}_{t}^{\nu,1/2}(x,y), \qquad x,y \in [0,1], \quad t>0,$$
 and for $\nu \notin [-1/2,1/2]$

$$\mathbb{G}_t^{\nu,1/2}(x,y) \leq e^{-tH^{\nu}(0)} \mathbb{G}_t^{\nu,1/2}(x,y) \leq \mathbb{K}_t^{\nu}(x,y) \leq e^{-tH^{\nu}(1)} \mathbb{G}_t^{\nu,1/2}(x,y), \qquad x,y \in [0,1], \quad t>0.$$
 Notice that $\mathbb{K}_t^{\pm 1/2}(x,y) = \mathbb{G}_t^{\pm 1/2,1/2}(x,y).$

References

- [1] A. N. Borodin, P. Salminen, *Handbook of Brownian motion: facts and formulae*, 2nd ed., Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Boston-Berlin, 2002.
- [2] T. Coulhon, G. Kerkyacharian, P. Petrushev, *Heat kernel generated frames in the setting of Dirichlet spaces*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 18 (2012), 995–1066.
- [3] S. Karlin, J. McGregor, Classical diffusion processes and total positivity, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 1 (1960), 163–183.
- [4] A. Nowak, L. Roncal, On sharp heat and subordinated kernel estimates in the Fourier-Bessel setting, Rocky Mountain J. Math. (to appear). arXiv:1111.5700
- [5] A. Nowak, P. Sjögren, Sharp estimates of the Jacobi heat kernel, preprint 2011. arXiv:1111.3145
- [6] M. Reed, B. Simon, Methods of modern mathematical physics. I. Functional analysis, Second edition. Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1980.
- [7] G. Szegö, Orthogonal polynomials, Fourth Edition, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1975.
- [8] G. N. Watson, A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1966.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY, POLSKA AKADEMIA NAUK, ŚNIADECKICH 8, 00-956 WARSZAWA, POLAND *E-mail address*: adam.nowak@impan.pl

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS Y COMPUTACIÓN, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA RIOJA, 26004 LOGROÑO, SPAIN *E-mail address*: luz.roncal@unirioja.es