

Distinctive rings in the 21 cm signal of the epoch of reionization

P. Vonlanthen¹, B. Semelin^{1,2}, S. Baek³, and Y. Revaz⁴

¹ LERMA, Observatoire de Paris, 61 Av. de l'Observatoire, 75014 Paris, France
e-mail: patrick.vonlanthen@obspm.fr

² Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 4 Place Jules Janssen, 92195 Meudon Cedex, France

³ Scuola Normale Superiore, Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, 56126 Pisa, Italy

⁴ Laboratoire d'Astrophysique, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Switzerland

Received / Accepted

ABSTRACT

Context. It is predicted that sources emitting UV radiation in the Lyman band during the epoch of reionization showed a series of discontinuities in their Ly α flux radial profile as a consequence of the thickness of the Lyman line series in the primeval intergalactic medium. Through unsaturated Wouthuysen-Field coupling, these spherical discontinuities are also present in the 21 cm emission of the neutral IGM. If they are shown to be detectable with the planned Square Kilometre Array, they would provide an unambiguous diagnostic for the cosmological origin of the signal.

Aims. In this article, we study the effects these discontinuities have on the differential brightness temperature of the 21 cm signal of neutral hydrogen in a realistic setting. We focus on the early phases of the epoch of reionization, and we address the question of the detectability by the SKA.

Methods. We determine the differential brightness temperature of the 21 cm signal in the presence of inhomogeneous Wouthuysen-Field effect using the Monte-Carlo 3D radiative transfer code LICORICE. We include radiative transfer for the higher-order Lyman-series lines and consider also the effect of backreaction from recoils and spin diffusivity on the Ly α resonance.

Results. We find that the Lyman horizons are visible on 21 cm maps and radial profiles around the first sources of our simulations, but for a limited time interval, typically $\Delta z \approx 2$ at $z \sim 13$. Stacking the profiles of the different sources of the simulation at a given redshift results in extending this interval to $\Delta z \approx 4$. While instrumental noise can strongly affect the horizon detectability around individual sources at the highest redshifts, we find that, as soon as the number of sources is sufficient, the stacking procedure is still efficient.

Conclusions. Detection of differential brightness temperature discontinuities on 21 cm maps should be possible with the SKA if the first sources are mainly stars. Observational attempts would be worth time and effort involved because these structures could provide a diagnostic to disentangle signals of cosmological origin from residual from imperfect foreground removal. Also, they could be used as a new type of standard rulers.

Key words. Radiative transfer - methods: numerical - intergalactic medium - large-scale structure of Universe - dark ages, reionization, first stars

1. Introduction

Our universe was initially fully ionized, from the primordial very hot and dense phase until cosmological recombination, occurring at $z \sim 1100$. After recombination, baryonic matter is made of a neutral gas of hydrogen and helium. This period, known as the Dark Ages, lasted until the first light sources lit up at lower redshifts, as a consequence of the growth of primordial density fluctuations. These sources are responsible for the subsequent reionization of the cosmological gas. Numerous questions still have to be answered on that Epoch of Reionization (EoR), and to date only two observational results are in a position to put constraints on that period: the Gunn-Peterson effect (Gunn & Peterson 1965) and the optical depth due to Thomson scattering of cosmic microwave background (CMB) photons by free electrons. The first constraint indicates that the neutral hydrogen fraction is lower than 10^{-4} at $z < 5.5$ (Fan et al. 2006)¹. Concerning the second one, the seven-year results of the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) experiment, combined with baryon acoustic os-

cillation data from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey and priors on H_0 from Hubble Space Telescope observations, yield an optical depth $\tau = 0.088 \pm 0.014$, which implies a reionization redshift of $z = 10.6 \pm 1.2$ (Komatsu et al. 2011), assuming an instantaneous transition. From these two observational results, we can infer that the EoR lasted most likely over an extended period.

The most promising probe of the EoR, however, is the future observation of the 21 cm hyperfine line of neutral hydrogen (HI). Indeed, HI can be detected in emission or absorption against the CMB at the wavelength of the redshifted 21 cm line corresponding to the transition between the two hyperfine levels of the electronic ground state (Hogan & Rees 1979; Scott & Rees 1990). Pathfinder experiments such as LOFAR, MWA, GMRT, 21CMA or PAPER will deduce information about the statistical properties of the signal, while the proposed Square Kilometre Array (SKA) will be able to deliver a full tomography of the intergalactic medium (IGM).

An accurate prediction of the 21 cm signal requires the determination of the baryonic density, the ionization fraction, the kinetic temperature and the local Ly α flux. Numerous parameters can strongly affect the properties of the predicted signal, such as the size of the simulation box (Ciardi et al. 2003b; Barkana & Loeb 2004; Iliiev et al. 2006; Baek et al.

¹ For an interesting discussion about the redshift at which reionization is complete, as deduced from the spectra of high redshift quasars, see Mesinger (2010).

2009), the effect of the Ly α wing scattering (Semelin et al. 2007; Chuzhoy & Zheng 2007), or the nature of the reionizing sources (Ciardi et al. 2003a; Mellema et al. 2006; Iliev et al. 2007; Volonteri & Gnedin 2009; Baek et al. 2010). Depending on these parameters, the EoR can extend over a variable redshift range and produce a patchy morphology with sharper or smoother transitions between neutral and ionized periods (Furlanetto et al. 2006). Knowledge of the Ly α flux is also important, because it allows one to compute precisely the spin temperature of neutral hydrogen. Indeed, observations of the redshifted 21 cm line are only possible when the spin temperature is different from the CMB temperature, and among the physical processes likely to decouple these two temperatures, the expected dominant one is the Wouthuysen-Field effect (Wouthuysen 1952; Field 1958), i.e. the pumping of the 21 cm transition by Ly α photons. For that reason, several studies focused on the Ly α radiative transfer during the EoR (Barkana & Loeb 2005; Furlanetto 2006; Semelin et al. 2007; Chuzhoy & Zheng 2007; Baek et al. 2009).

In this paper we will consider the role of the radiative transfer of higher-order Lyman-series photons in the determination of the differential brightness temperature of the 21 cm signal. This is motivated by the fact that the local Ly α photon intensity at a given redshift is made of two contributions: photons that were emitted below Ly β and redshifted to the local Ly α wavelength; and photons emitted between Ly β and the Lyman limit, that redshifted until they reached the nearest atomic level n . Due to the fact that the IGM is optically thick also to the higher levels, these photons were quickly absorbed and reemitted. But during these repeated scatterings the excited electrons pretty soon cascaded toward lower levels, a fraction of these radiative cascades ending with the emission of a Ly α photon.

The contribution of higher-order Lyman-line photons has been previously studied by several authors. However, while the first studies assumed a 100 percent efficiency for radiative cascades to end as a Ly α photon (e.g. Barkana & Loeb 2005), Hirata (2006) and Pritchard & Furlanetto (2006) showed that a sizeable fraction of the cascading photons ends in a two-photon decay from the 2s level. These authors calculated the exact cascade conversion probabilities and discussed the possibility of hyperfine level mixing by scattering of Ly n photons.

As a consequence of the thickness of the Lyman resonances in the primeval IGM, any primordial light source emitting photons in the Lyman band is surrounded by discrete horizons, i.e. maximum distances photons with a given emission frequency can travel before redshifting into a Lyman resonance and being scattered by neutral hydrogen. Thus, Ly α flux profiles around ionizing sources exhibit characteristic discontinuities at the Lyman horizons. The importance of the Wouthuysen-Field effect in the determination of the differential brightness temperature δT_b of the 21 cm signal during the process of cosmic reionization motivates us to tackle the question of detecting corresponding brightness temperature discontinuities around the first light sources. In this paper, we include for the first time a correct treatment of the radiative transfer of higher-order Lyman-series lines into realistic numerical simulations of the EoR. The question is: will the horizons be observable in brightness temperature data over all the other sources of fluctuations, either physical (density, temperature, velocity effects) or instrumental? If this is the case, these spherical horizons of known radii at a given redshift will provide a diagnostic to test systematics and foreground removal procedures (see e.g. Harker et al. 2009). Without such a test, residual from imperfect removal may be impossible to disentangle from the cosmological signal.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we give some generalities about the Wouthuysen-Field effect and the differential brightness temperature of the 21 cm transition. Lyman horizons are discussed in Sect. 3. Details on our numerical simulations are described in Sect. 4, while Sect. 5 shows our results. Finally, we set out our conclusions in Sect. 6.

2. Wouthuysen-Field effect and differential brightness temperature of the 21 cm transition

The differential brightness temperature of the 21 cm hyperfine transition is determined by the spin temperature T_s , which is related to the ratio between the densities of hydrogen atoms in the triplet (n_1) and singlet (n_0) hyperfine levels of the electronic ground state:

$$\frac{n_1}{n_0} = \frac{g_1}{g_0} e^{-T_\star/T_s}, \quad (1)$$

where $g_1/g_0 = 3$ is the ratio of the statistical weights and $T_\star = h\nu_{10}/k_B = 0.0682$ K is the temperature corresponding to the energy difference between the two hyperfine levels, with h the Planck constant, $\nu_{10} = 1420.4057$ MHz the hyperfine transition frequency and k_B the Boltzmann constant. Three processes can excite these levels: absorption of CMB photons, collisions, and the Wouthuysen-Field effect, i.e. the mixing of the hyperfine levels through absorption and spontaneous reemission of Ly α photons. The latter effect dominates once the first luminous sources appear. Thus, the spin temperature can be written as (see e.g. Furlanetto et al. 2006):

$$T_s^{-1} = \frac{T_\gamma^{-1} + x_\alpha (T_c^{\text{eff}})^{-1} + x_c T_k^{-1}}{1 + x_\alpha + x_c}, \quad (2)$$

where T_γ is the CMB temperature, T_c^{eff} the effective color temperature of the UV radiation field, T_k the gas kinetic temperature, x_α the coupling coefficient for Ly α pumping, and x_c the coupling coefficient for collisions.

The coefficient x_c is given by:

$$x_c = \frac{T_\star}{A_{10} T_\gamma} (C_H + C_p + C_e), \quad (3)$$

with $A_{10} = 2.85 \times 10^{-15} \text{ s}^{-1}$ the spontaneous emission factor of the 21 cm transition. C_H , C_p and C_e are the de-excitation rates due to collisions with neutral hydrogen atoms, protons and electrons respectively, for which we use the fitting formulae given by Kuhlen et al. (2006).

The coupling coefficient for Ly α pumping, x_α , is given by:

$$x_\alpha = \frac{4P_\alpha T_\star}{27A_{10} T_\gamma}, \quad (4)$$

where P_α is the Ly α scattering rate per atom per second (Madau et al. 1997). In relation to the local Ly α flux, the coupling coefficient can also be written as:

$$x_\alpha = \frac{16\pi^2 T_\star e^2 f_\alpha}{27A_{10} T_\gamma m_e c} S_\alpha J_\alpha, \quad (5)$$

with e the electron charge, f_α the Ly α oscillator strength, m_e the electronic mass, c the speed of light and J_α the angle-averaged specific intensity of Ly α photons by photon number. The back-reaction factor S_α accounts for spectral distortions near the Ly α resonance, sourced by recoils and spin diffusivity. This factor

cannot be neglected at the low kinetic temperatures characterizing the very first stages of the EoR, when heating of the IGM by the first sources is still negligible. We include this important correction factor using the simple analytical fit from Hirata (2006), accurate to within 1% in the range $T_k \geq 2\text{K}$, $T_s \geq 2\text{K}$, and $10^5 < \tau_{\text{GP}} < 10^7$, τ_{GP} being the Gunn-Peterson optical depth in Ly α (Gunn & Peterson 1965).

When photons emitted with frequencies between Ly β and the Lyman limit redshift to the nearest atomic level n , they soon induce a radiative cascade, because of the thickness of the IGM to all Lyman levels. A fraction of these cascades ends with the emission of a Ly α photon. Hirata (2006) and Pritchard & Furlanetto (2006) showed that this process is not very efficient in producing Ly α photons, most of the radiative cascades ending in the 2s level, from which reaching the ground level is only possible through a two-photon decay. Furthermore, excitations of the 2s level via radiative excitations by CMB photons or collisional excitations are negligible at $z < 400$. As a consequence, these photons are lost for the Wouthuysen-Field effect. Besides, it should also be noted that *direct* contribution of Ly n scattering to the coupling of the spin temperature to the gas temperature is not significant, as was shown by Pritchard & Furlanetto (2006), the number of scattering events before cascading being about 5, much less than the number of Ly α scatterings through the line.

Once the spin temperature is known, the differential brightness temperature of the 21 cm signal can be determined by the following expression (see e.g. Madau et al. 1997; Ciardi & Madau 2003):

$$\delta T_b = 28.1 x_{\text{HI}} (1 + \delta) \left(\frac{1+z}{10} \right)^{1/2} \frac{T_s - T_\gamma}{T_s} \times \left(\frac{\Omega_b}{0.042} \frac{h}{0.73} \right) \left(\frac{0.24}{\Omega_m} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1 - Y_p}{1 - 0.248} \right) \text{mK}, \quad (6)$$

where x_{HI} is the neutral hydrogen fraction, $(1 + \delta)$ the fractional baryon overdensity at redshift z , and Ω_b , Ω_m , h , and Y_p the usual cosmological parameters. Note that we do not consider in this paper any contribution from the proper velocity gradient.

3. Lyman horizons

Photons emitted by a source at redshift z_s with frequency ν_s are observed with frequency ν_{obs} at z_{obs} , with the following relation between emitted and observed frequencies:

$$\frac{\nu_s}{\nu_{\text{obs}}} = \frac{1 + z_s}{1 + z_{\text{obs}}}. \quad (7)$$

The comoving separation d between the source and the observer is determined by:

$$d = c \int_{t_s}^{t_{\text{obs}}} \frac{1}{a(t)} dt = c \int_{z_{\text{obs}}}^{z_s} \frac{1}{H(z)} dz, \quad (8)$$

with a the scale factor and H the Hubble parameter. At sufficiently high redshifts, the contribution of the cosmological constant to H can safely be neglected, and we can approximate $H(z) \approx H_0(1+z)\sqrt{\Omega_m(1+z)}$, with H_0 the present-day value for the Hubble parameter. In that case, equation (8) leads to:

$$d = \frac{2c}{H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_m}} (1 + z_s)^{-1/2} \left(\left(\frac{\nu_{\text{obs}}}{\nu_s} \right)^{-1/2} - 1 \right). \quad (9)$$




Fig. 1. Evolution of the first five Lyman horizons, in comoving Mpc, as a function of the emission redshift. The horizon labeled Ly n is the maximal distance a photon emitted just below Ly $(n+1)$ can travel.

The maximum distance a photon emitted just below Ly $(n+1)$ can travel before reaching the Ly n resonance is obtained when using $\nu_s = \nu_{n+1}$ and $\nu_{\text{obs}} = \nu_n$, with ν_n the frequency corresponding to the transition from level n to the ground state:

$$\nu_n = \nu_{\text{LL}}(1 - n^{-2}), \quad (10)$$

where ν_{LL} is the Lyman limit frequency. We then have the following expression for the Ly n horizons:

$$d_n = \frac{2c}{H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_m}} (1 + z_s)^{-1/2} \left(\left(\frac{\nu_n}{\nu_{n+1}} \right)^{-1/2} - 1 \right), \quad n \geq 2. \quad (11)$$

Figure 1 shows the evolution of the first five Lyman horizons, in comoving Mpc, as a function of the emission redshift. We see that photons emitted just below Ly β can travel more than 350 comoving Mpc at $z \sim 15$, while photons emitted just below Ly ζ cannot go farther than about 16 comoving Mpc.

The existence of these Lyman horizons around primordial sources during the early EoR (while the Wouthuysen-Field coupling is not yet saturated) leads to discontinuities in their Ly α flux profiles, which could create similar features in the brightness temperature profiles and maps. In order to answer quantitatively this question, we have to consider a full modelling of the Ly α pumping in a realistic numerical simulation of the EoR.

4. Numerical methods

We simulate cosmological reionization as a three step process. First, a dynamical simulation is run using GADGET2 (Springel 2005). Snapshots of the simulation are produced at regular intervals. The interval is given in terms of the scale factor: $\Delta a = 2^{-10}$. Then the Monte-Carlo 3D radiative transfer code LICORICE (Semelin et al. 2007; Baek et al. 2009; Iliev et al. 2009) is used to compute the ionizing continuum radiative transfer. We use two different dynamical simulations. The first one simulates a $(100 h^{-1} \text{Mpc})^3$ volume with 2×256^3 particles and assumes WMAP3 data alone cosmological parameters: $\Omega_m = 0.24$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.76$, $\Omega_b = 0.042$, $h = 0.73$ (Spergel et al. 2007). The source modelling (stellar sources, Salpeter IMF, with masses in the

range 1-120 M_{\odot}) and detailed reionization scheme, is described in Baek et al. (2010), where detailed analysis of the reionization history can be found. The second simulation is a box of size $200 h^{-1}$ Mpc, with 2×512^3 particles, such as the mass resolution is the same as in the first one. It assumes the more recent WMAP7+BAO+ H_0 cosmological parameters: $\Omega_m = 0.272$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.728$, $\Omega_b = 0.0455$, $h = 0.704$ (Komatsu et al. 2011). Finally, the Lyman-line radiative transfer part of LICORICE is used as a second post-process to study the Wouthuysen-Field effect, determine the spin temperature, and calculate the differential brightness temperature of the 21 cm signal of neutral hydrogen. In order to do so, we interpolated the output of the two reionization runs on a 256^3 (512^3) grid for the $100 h^{-1}$ ($200 h^{-1}$) Mpc simulation respectively. In both cases, we emitted 1.6×10^9 Lyman band photons between each pair of snapshots. We emphasize that the full radiative transfer in the lines is computed up to $\text{Ly}\epsilon$. Using an isotropic r^{-2} profile around the sources would be much easier and faster. However, it has been shown that using the real, local $\text{Ly}\alpha$ flux is important at early redshifts, for ionization fractions smaller than $\sim 10\%$, when the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ coupling is not yet full (Chuzhoy & Zheng 2007; Baek et al. 2009).

The implementation of radiative transfer for the higher-order Lyman-series lines is similar to the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ radiative transfer described in Semelin et al. (2007) and Baek et al. (2009). Important modifications are listed here:

1. We now consider photons with frequencies in the range $[\nu_{\alpha}, \nu_{\zeta}]$, in order to include radiative transfer of the first five Lyman resonances ($\text{Ly}\alpha$ - $\text{Ly}\epsilon$). A 'flat' spectrum is assumed in this frequency range, i.e. every frequency has the same probability to be drawn². We emit 1.6×10^9 photons between each pair of snapshots.
2. When calculating the optical depth of the propagating photon, the Lyn scattering cross-section is given by the Lorentzian profile:

$$\sigma_n(\nu) = f_{1n} \frac{\pi e^2}{m_e c} \frac{\Delta\nu_L^n / 2\pi}{(\nu - \nu_0^n)^2 + (\Delta\nu_L^n / 2)^2}, \quad (12)$$

with f_{1n} the Lyn oscillator strength, ν_0^n the line center frequency, and $\Delta\nu_L^n$ the natural line width. Note that for photons whose frequency lies between Lyn and $\text{Ly}(n+1)$, we consider the possibility for scattering not only in the Lyn line wing, but also in the $\text{Ly}(n+1)$ line wing. However, we find that scatterings in the $\text{Ly}(n+1)$ line wing are rare and can safely be neglected.

3. When a diffusion occurs in the Lyn ($n > 2$) line, we consider the probability for cascading to $\text{Ly}\alpha$. The probability for a Lyn photon to cascade is given by the value $p = 1 - P_{np \rightarrow 1s}$, where $P_{np \rightarrow 1s}$ is the simple diffusion probability from n to the ground state (Pritchard & Furlanetto 2006). If the photon is not cascading, it is scattered by the atom.
4. For cascading photons, we take into account the recycling fraction f_{recycle} of radiative cascades that end in a $\text{Ly}\alpha$ photon emission. This fraction is zero for photons redshifting toward $\text{Ly}\beta$ and about 1/3 for photons redshifting toward Lyn , with $n \geq 4$ (Hirata 2006; Pritchard & Furlanetto 2006). The remaining radiative cascades do not contribute to the

² Given the narrowness of this frequency range, the use of a realistic non-flat spectrum results in minor differences, as we checked using blackbody spectra with three different effective temperatures: 5×10^4 K, 10^5 K, and 1.5×10^5 K. Chuzhoy & Zheng (2007) also showed that the spectral slope of the source does not have a strong influence on the slope of the scattering rate profile.

Wouthuysen-Field effect, since they end in the 2s level, from which they can reach the ground state only with a forbidden 2γ -decay. We compute scatterings until photons cascade or redshift within 2 thermal linewidths of a Lyn line center. At that distance from the center, given the thickness of the Lyn lines, photons are assumed to scatter without spatial diffusion and initiate a radiative cascade on the spot.

5. Photons emitted below $\text{Ly}\beta$ which reach the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ resonance undergo τ_{GP} diffusions until they redshift out of the line, with:

$$\tau_{\text{GP}} = \frac{3n_{\text{H}} x_{\text{HI}} \lambda_{\text{Ly}\alpha}^3 \gamma}{2H}, \quad (13)$$

where n_{H} is the proper number density of hydrogen, $\lambda_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ wavelength, and $\gamma = 50$ MHz the half width at half-maximum of the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ resonance. Typical values are $\tau_{\text{GP}} \sim 10^6$ at $z \sim 10$. On the other hand, cascading photons are injected in the center of the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ line³ and thus undergo $\frac{1}{2}\tau_{\text{GP}}$ diffusions.

In Baek et al. (2009), the photon propagation was stopped at 10 thermal linewidths of the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ line center. Indeed, at that distance from the center, the photon mean free path is much less than 1 physical kpc, assuming standard density and temperature conditions for the redshifts of interest. However, the mean free path is about 4 physical kpc at 10 thermal linewidths of the $\text{Ly}\epsilon$ line center. We thus decided to stop the photon propagation at 2 thermal linewidths, where the mean free paths are much shorter: $\sim 10^{-5}$ and $\sim 7 \times 10^{-4}$ physical kpc for $\text{Ly}\alpha$ and $\text{Ly}\epsilon$ photons respectively.

Note that we consider that $\text{Ly}\alpha$ heating is negligible (Pritchard & Furlanetto 2006; Furlanetto & Pritchard 2006). In a recent paper, Meiksin (2010) studies heating by higher-order Lyman-series photons and gets heating rates as high as several tens of degrees per Gyr. However, we do not take this process into account, as our work concentrates on the very early phases of the reionization epoch. For the same reason, we completely neglect the effect of dust. While dust may play a significant role in Lyman-line radiative transfer during the later stages of the EoR, this is not expected in the beginning of the EoR and we consider a fully dust-free universe.

5. Results

5.1. 3D power spectra

The 3D power spectrum has been widely used as an efficient probe of the δT_b fluctuations. However, we will show that this analysis tool cannot help us in detecting Lyman horizons in our simulated data. In Fig. 2, the δT_b 3D power spectrum of our fiducial $200 h^{-1}$ Mpc simulation (solid line) is compared, at $z = 11.05$, with the power spectrum of another simulation that does not take into account higher-order Lyman-series radiative transfer for the computation of δT_b (dotted line). More precisely, we propagate in the latter run the same number of photons, but this time in the interval $[\nu_{\alpha}, \nu_{\beta}]$. Arrows indicate the wavenumbers corresponding to the predicted sizes of the Lyy , $\text{Ly}\delta$ and $\text{Ly}\epsilon$ horizons, as given by equation (11). The redshift $z = 11.05$ has been chosen because, as we will demonstrate

³ Indeed, Furlanetto & Pritchard (2006) noted that even if the first absorption is well blueward of the Lyman-series line center, the intermediate states of the atom during the radiative cascade have small natural widths.




Fig. 2. Power spectrum of δT_b at $z = 11.05$ for the fiducial $200 h^{-1}$ Mpc simulation (solid line) and for a simulation neglecting higher-order Lyman-series radiative transfer (dotted line). The wavenumbers corresponding to the size of the three horizons are indicated.

later, this is when other analysis tools provide the best detection of the Lyman horizons. Also, the HII bubbles at that moment in the simulations are numerous enough to have reliable statistics. As a consequence, the 3D power spectrum is expected to have a trustworthy shape and to be little affected by boxsize effects. On scales greater than the horizons, both spectra are very close to each other. The gain in power, compared with the fiducial simulation, on scales shorter than the Lyman horizons, is caused by the combination of (i) the fact that, compared with the fiducial simulation, we do not lose any photons in the radiative cascades, and (ii) the $r^{-2.3}$ profile of P_α on small scales due to Ly α wing scatterings (Semelin et al. 2007; Chuzhoy & Zheng 2007). Note that the power spectrum shown in this work does not look like theoretical predictions (see e.g. Naoz & Barkana 2008). We conclude from Fig. 2 that the two spectra have almost exactly the same shape, illustrating clearly the inability of 3D power spectra to help us in detecting Lyman horizons.

5.2. Lyman horizons around individual sources

Figure 3 shows the spherically averaged x_α profile at $z = 13.42$, around the first source of the $100 h^{-1}$ Mpc simulation, appearing at $z = 14.06$. The dotted line is the profile obtained when calculating radiative transfer including the Ly α resonance only. In other words, this neglects the contribution of radiative cascades to the total Ly α flux. The dashed line is the result of the correct radiative transfer calculation, including the five Lyman resonances from Ly α to Ly ϵ , but without considering the effect of backreaction. Finally, the solid thick line is the correct averaged x_α profile, with both Ly n radiative transfer and backreaction. The reason why there is no Ly β horizon is related to the selection rules forbidding Ly β photons to convert to Ly α photons. We clearly observe discontinuities in the x_α coefficient profile at the predicted positions.

The corresponding profile for the differential brightness temperature is given in the upper panel of Fig. 4, using the same notation as in Fig. 3. Inside the tiny HII bubble, i.e. in the first few comoving Mpc around the source (not shown in the figure), the




Fig. 3. Spherically averaged profile of the coupling coefficient for Ly α pumping x_α at $z = 13.42$, around the first light source in the $100 h^{-1}$ Mpc simulation. The correct profile is represented by the thick solid line, while the other two neglect backreaction (dashed line) or radiative transfer for the higher-order Lyman-series lines (dotted line). As expected we observe steep decreases in the value of the profile at radii corresponding to the predicted Ly γ , Ly δ and Ly ϵ horizons (shown by arrows).

signal is in emission. But outside this very small ionized region, the gas kinetic temperature is clearly lower than the CMB temperature at this early redshift. For that reason, the 21 cm signal appears in absorption. It reaches a minimal value of ~ -190 mK at 4.3 comoving Mpc and is as large as about -60 mK at 10 comoving Mpc from the source. Inclusion of higher-order Lyman-series radiative transfer leads to a significant increase by 25% of $(-\delta T_b)$ at 10 comoving Mpc compared to the case where only Ly α radiative transfer is considered. Because the Wouthuysen-Field effect is dominant for determining the spin temperature, the discontinuities in the Ly α flux translate into similar discontinuities in the differential brightness temperature profile. At this redshift, $(-\delta T_b)$ decreases by about 5 mK, 2 mK and 0.2 mK at the Ly ϵ , Ly δ and Ly γ horizon locations respectively. This plot also shows the importance of taking into account backreaction for the correct computation of the spin temperature. Indeed, the box-average kinetic temperature is $\langle T_k \rangle = 4.63$ K at $z = 13.42$, and at such a low temperature the backreaction correction factor is about 0.6. In the lower panel of Fig. 4, we plot the gradient of the spherically averaged profile. Steep decreases in the temperature profile at the positions of the Lyman horizons result in prominent peaks in its gradient that make these horizons even easier to detect. The most important conclusion from Fig. 4 is that the different steps in the x_α profile at the Lyman horizons correspond to similar, clearly seen decreases in the differential brightness temperature profile.

We show in Fig. 5 a map of the quantity $-\delta T_b \times r^2$ around the same source at the same redshift $z = 13.42$, with r the distance to the source center. Mapping this quantity enhances visualization. Indeed, from equations (2) and (6), and since⁴ $x_\alpha \propto r^{-2}$, it can easily be shown that δT_b is also proportional to r^{-2} . Mapping the product $-\delta T_b \times r^2$ will thus straighten up the radial profiles, mak-

⁴ More precisely, taking wing scatterings into account slightly steepen the profile on small scales, as noted above (Semelin et al. 2007; Chuzhoy & Zheng 2007).




Fig. 4. *Upper panel:* Spherically averaged profile of the differential brightness temperature at $z = 13.42$, around the first light source in the $100 h^{-1}$ Mpc simulation. The same notation is used as in Fig. 3. Note that we plot the opposite value of δT_b . *Lower panel:* Gradient of the spherically averaged profile.

ing the horizons more apparent. The slice thickness is $2 h^{-1}$ Mpc and the map scale is logarithmic. The three spherical, concentric horizons are marked with white, yellow and red arrows for the $\text{Ly}\epsilon$, $\text{Ly}\delta$ and $\text{Ly}\gamma$ discontinuities respectively. On this map, the $\text{Ly}\delta$ horizon is particularly obvious. Note the perfectly spherical shape that characterizes these features.

5.3. Effect of the nearby sources

From Figs. 3 to 5, we are able to conclude that the existence of Lyman horizons around the first light sources create similar features in the 21 cm signal at the very beginning of the EoR. However, these weak discontinuities, whose amplitude is only a few mK, will be progressively affected by the contribution of other nearby sources located closer than the ~ 50 comoving Mpc corresponding to the farthest $\text{Ly}\gamma$ horizon. Also, Lyman photons emitted between $\text{Ly}\alpha$ and $\text{Ly}\gamma$ by more distant sources can travel more than 50 comoving Mpc, and thus are likely to reach the outskirts of many other sources. The increasing influence of the other UV emitters on the brightness temperature profile of an




Fig. 5. Map of the quantity $-\delta T_b \times r^2$ at $z = 13.42$, with r the distance to the source center, in arbitrary units. The $\text{Ly}\epsilon$, $\text{Ly}\delta$ and $\text{Ly}\gamma$ horizons are marked with white, yellow and red arrows respectively. The size of the box is $100 h^{-1}$ Mpc and the slice thickness is $2 h^{-1}$ Mpc. The color scale is logarithmic.

individual source is shown in Fig. 6 for the $100 h^{-1}$ Mpc simulation box, from $z = 13.22$ to $z = 11.64$. This redshift interval is covered by 10 snapshots and the number of emitting cells is 6 at $z = 13.22$, 15 at $z = 12.66$, 38 at $z = 12.13$, and 86 at $z = 11.64$. Early in the simulation, the three Lyman horizons are clearly seen as prominent peaks in the gradient of the temperature profile (upper left panel). Later, as the influence of the other sources becomes stronger, fluctuations in the profile grow until they reach the same amplitude as the horizons. At the beginning of this process, some of the horizon peaks are still observable (upper right and lower left panels), but after a certain time they are not distinguishable anymore (lower right panel). We find that for this particular simulation the $\text{Ly}\epsilon$ and $\text{Ly}\delta$ horizons are observable during a redshift interval $\Delta z \sim 2$ and that the size of these discontinuities is about 2-4 mK. The $\text{Ly}\gamma$ horizon is visible for a shorter period $\Delta z < 1.5$.

The size of our simulation box can also be important in this attenuation process. Because of the periodic boundary conditions, a photon emitted, say, between $\text{Ly}\alpha$ and $\text{Ly}\beta$ can travel a distance greater than the box size, resulting in a self-contamination of the $\text{Ly}\alpha$ flux. Also, the smaller the simulation box, the more homogeneous is the distribution of sources around any given point in the box. In order to reduce these effects, we considered the larger, $200 h^{-1}$ Mpc simulation and find that, despite the larger volume, the Lyman horizons around the first source of the simulation are observable during about the same redshift range as for the first source in the $100 h^{-1}$ Mpc simulation: $\Delta z \sim 2$ for the $\text{Ly}\epsilon$ and $\text{Ly}\delta$ discontinuities, $\Delta z \sim 1.5$ for the $\text{Ly}\gamma$ step. These are maximal intervals and sources lighting up later will have their horizons observable for a reduced period. As an example, the seventh source in the $200 h^{-1}$ Mpc simulation, appearing at $z = 13.03$, has $\Delta z \approx 1.2 - 1.5$ for $\text{Ly}\epsilon$ and $\text{Ly}\delta$,




Fig. 6. Gradient of the spherically averaged profiles of the differential brightness temperature, around the first light source appearing at $z = 14.06$ in the $100 h^{-1}$ Mpc simulation. The four panels show the profile at different redshifts, from $z = 13.22$ to $z = 11.64$. At each redshift, arrows show the predicted position of the Ly ϵ , Ly δ and Ly γ horizons. The Ly ϵ and Ly δ horizons can be detected during a redshift interval $\Delta z \sim 2$. The fainter Ly γ horizon is visible for a shorter period $\Delta z < 1.5$.

and the Ly γ horizon can be detected in the first few snapshots only.

The Ly ϵ and Ly δ horizons are thus the best candidates for detection, the latter one being visible a little bit longer. The Ly γ discontinuity is weaker because of the r^{-2} scaling of the Ly α flux, and is thus more sensitive to the influence of the other sources.

The growing fluctuations appearing in the spherically averaged temperature profiles clearly originate from the anisotropic Ly α background that develops as more and more sources light up. At first sight, density or gas temperature fluctuations could also be suspected (see equation 6). We thus ran test simulations in which we computed δT_b assuming a perfectly homogeneous and isothermal IGM in the Lyman radiative transfer post-processing phase. The density was chosen to be the critical density and the gas kinetic temperature was assumed to be equal to the box-averaged value of the normal run. In this way, only the Ly α flux was likely to let δT_b vary from one point to the next. The results of this test showed that the Lyman discontinuities are not seen for a significantly longer period than in the standard case. Indeed, we were able to identify the three horizons in a few more snapshots only, and we conclude that the density and temperature anisotropies around the source are not respon-

sible for the disappearance of the Lyman features. A final possibility in explaining the vanishing of the Lyman steps is Monte-Carlo noise. Indeed, the total number of photons per snapshot is constant, but the source number grows quickly. As a result, the number of photons per source decreases very rapidly. However, using very expensive simulations with ten times more photons between each pair of snapshots (16×10^9) in both the $100 h^{-1}$ and $200 h^{-1}$ Mpc simulations results in undistinguishable radial profiles. We thus clearly demonstrated that the Lyman horizons disappear around individual sources because of the growing influence of the Ly α flux of the nearby sources.

5.4. Stacking

In order to extend the detectability of the Lyman steps to lower redshifts, we considered a stacking technique. This allows one to smooth the fluctuations present in the individual profiles and to strengthen the visibility of the horizon steps. As an example to illustrate the efficiency of this method, we stacked the δT_b radial profiles around all the cells that contain sources in the interpolation grid of the $200 h^{-1}$ Mpc simulation, at $z = 11.05$, when the Lyman horizons are undetectable around the vast majority of the individual sources. For some sources, small features




Fig. 7. Gradient of the stacked δT_b radial profile at $z = 11.05$ for the $200 h^{-1}$ Mpc simulation. The stacked profile is obtained by averaging the individual profiles of all the source-containing cells at this redshift. This method allows us to detect the Ly ϵ and Ly δ horizons, whose predicted positions are indicated by arrows.

are seen at the predicted horizon positions, but are indiscernible from fluctuations of similar amplitude. At this redshift we find 1433 source-containing cells. The individual profiles are simply added and the result is divided by the number of cells we are considering. Figure 7 shows the gradient of the stacked profile. The presence of the Ly ϵ and Ly δ horizons is now obvious. We find that this method allows us to detect these two discontinuities unambiguously down to redshifts close to 10. Interestingly however, the stacking method does not provide good results for the smaller simulation. We believe that this comes from the number of source-containing cells being about five times smaller in the $100 h^{-1}$ Mpc run at a given redshift. This has the consequence that the number of profiles that are averaged is not enough to smooth the individual fluctuations.

5.5. Detectability

In order to check the detectability of the Lyman horizons by the planned SKA, we now consider adding noise to our simulated differential brightness temperature cubes. We choose the noise power spectrum given by equation (12) of Santos et al. (2011), which models the resulting noise after foreground removal has been done:

$$P_N(k, \theta) = r^2 y \frac{\pi \lambda^2 D_{\max}^2 T_{\text{sys}}^2}{t_0 A_{\text{tot}}^2}, \quad (14)$$

where k is the wavenumber, θ the angle between the wave vector and the line of sight, $r(z)$ the comoving distance to redshift z , y a conversion factor between frequency intervals and comoving distances, λ the observation wavelength, D_{\max} the maximum baseline, T_{sys} the system temperature, t_0 the total observation time, and A_{tot} the total collecting area. We use the same experimental setup characterized by $D_{\max} = 10$ km, $t_0 = 1000$ hours, $A_{\text{tot}} = 14$ km², and a system temperature modelled by their equation (13) as the sum of the receiver noise temperature (assumed

to be 50 K by Santos et al.) and the sky temperature (dominated by the Galactic synchrotron emission):

$$T_{\text{sys}}(z) = 50 + 60 \left(\frac{1+z}{4.73} \right)^{2.55} \text{ K}. \quad (15)$$

Following these authors, we also use 70% of A_{tot} in the noise calculation, considering that the rest of the collecting area is used for point source removal and calibration. The upper panel of Fig. 8 illustrates the dramatic effect that noise may have on the Lyman horizons. The plotted example shows the gradient of the δT_b radial profile around the first source of the $200 h^{-1}$ Mpc simulation at $z = 12.84$, with (dotted line) and without (solid line) noise. While the Ly ϵ and Ly δ horizons are clearly detected in the noise free profile, this is no longer true when noise is added. At such a high redshift, characterized by a small number of sources, we find that stacking (thick dashed line) does not help a lot in revealing the horizons, even if the Ly ϵ case could be subject to discussion. On the lower panel, we see the same analysis, but at $z = 11.05$. While no horizon is detected around the first source, whether with or without noise, examination of the dashed profile shows that the now much higher number of sources in the simulation box allows stacking to evidently show the Ly ϵ and Ly δ horizons. Note that this stacked profile is quite similar to the one plotted in Fig. 7, indicating that while instrumental noise may be a serious issue shortly after the first sources light up, this will probably not be the case at smaller redshifts thanks to the efficiency of the stacking method.

The analysis we proposed here was simplified by our a priori knowledge of the exact positions, at any time, of all the sources in our simulations. In reality, construction of the stacked profiles from future radio interferometric observations will be more challenging, because we will first have to precisely determine these positions. However, the 21 cm signal in the vicinity of the sources in the early phases of the EoR has a characteristic signature, with the practically spherical H I bubbles being surrounded by absorption regions with deep δT_b local minima, as shown in Fig. 9. Thus, there are great hopes of finding an efficient algorithm in order to determine the exact position of the sources. This will be the subject of a future paper.

6. Discussion and conclusions

In this paper we studied the effects of higher-order Lyman-series radiative transfer on the differential brightness temperature of the 21 cm signal of neutral hydrogen during the early stage of the EoR. This signal was computed in the presence of inhomogeneous Wouthuysen-Field effect using the Monte-Carlo 3D radiative transfer code LICORICE. We showed that the discontinuities in the Ly α flux radial profile of the first light sources, caused by the existence of discrete Lyman horizons, lead to similar features in the differential brightness temperature profile. The Ly ϵ and Ly δ horizons are detected in our simulations around individual sources during a redshift interval $\Delta z \sim 2$ after the first source lights up. Later, the Ly α flux at a given point becomes strongly anisotropic due to the cumulative contribution of the other sources, and the Lyman steps are wiped off. However, we showed that stacking the individual profiles makes the visibility period significantly longer ($\Delta z \sim 4$). Considering instrumental noise results in suppressing the horizons around individual sources at the highest redshifts, but we showed that as soon as the number of sources in the simulation is sufficient, the stacked profiles are barely affected by the noise component. Since observations will cover a much larger region than our simulations




Fig. 8. *Upper panel:* Gradient of the δT_b profile around the first source appearing in the $200 h^{-1}$ Mpc simulation at $z = 12.84$, with (dotted line) and without (solid line) SKA-like noise. The thick dashed line is the stacked profile including all the cells that contain sources. *Lower panel:* Same as upper panel, but at $z = 11.05$.

(the $200 h^{-1}$ Mpc box is $1.5^\circ \times 1.5^\circ$ at $z \approx 10$), stacking may be efficient even at the very beginning of the EoR.

While being an observational challenge, such detections would be of first importance. First, such features would be very interesting for researchers involved in foreground removal. Indeed, removing the much brighter foregrounds (Galactic synchrotron and free-free emission, extragalactic sources, ionospheric distortions) will be a serious issue for future 21 cm observations. But Lyman horizons are structures whose size is precisely known at a given redshift (or frequency), and for that reason positive detections would ensure that the laborious removal task has been correctly handled. Another reason is the possible use of these horizons as standard rulers, as was noted by Pritchard & Furlanetto (2006). However, for the latter authors, the brightness temperature discontinuities would be hardly observable due to their intrinsic weakness and to the overlap of similar features from nearby sources. Our numerical simulations showed, however, that such an observation may be feasible with the Square Kilometer Array.




Fig. 9. Map of δT_b at $z = 11.05$ in the $200 h^{-1}$ Mpc simulation. The slice thickness is $2 h^{-1}$ Mpc, and the scale is linear, ranging from -350 mK to 50 mK. A gaussian smoothing is applied, with a kernel radius of four angle pixels. The sources are located in the middle of practically spherical HII bubbles. The latter are easily recognizable by surrounding absorption regions characterized by deep δT_b local minima.

Two important caveats have to be mentioned, that could negatively affect the detectability of the Lyman horizons in EoR observations. The first one comes from the resolution of our simulations, in which the first sources appear quite late, at $z \sim 14$. A better resolution would imply earlier source formation, and hence would change the corresponding $(1+z)$ 21 cm observed frequency. If the first sources appear too early, there is a danger that the associated observations would be out of the frequency range of the future instruments. The stacking method used in our analysis could play here a positive role in extending the frequency range of detectability.

The second point we want to emphasize is that we have considered in our simulations purely stellar sources. However, it should be kept in mind that considering an important contribution from quasars would result in the Wouthuysen-Field effect being significantly induced by Ly α photons coming from collisional excitation of hydrogen atoms by secondary electrons produced by X-rays. If an important part of the total number of Ly α photons is not coming from redshifting and cascading photons, the interesting features in δT_b we discussed in this work would be more difficult to extract from future observations.

On the other hand, let us note that the conclusions of our study could be strengthened if the number of Ly α photons from radiative cascades is enhanced. In a recent paper, Meiksin (2010) claims that the number of such photons could be up to 30% higher than previously considered. In this case, the size of the steps in the x_α profile would increase interestingly, together with the size of the corresponding δT_b discontinuities.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to J. Uson for helpful discussion. This work was realized in the context of the LIDAU project. The LIDAU project

is financed by a French ANR (Agence Nationale de la Recherche) funding (ANR-09-BLAN-0030). PV acknowledges support from a Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) post-doctoral fellowship. The numerical simulations were performed on the Jade cluster at the Centre Informatique National de l'Enseignement Supérieur (CINES, Montpellier, France).

References

- Baek, S., Di Matteo, P., Semelin, B., Combes, F., & Revaz, Y. 2009, *A&A*, 495, 389
- Baek, S., Semelin, B., Di Matteo, P., Revaz, Y., & Combes, F. 2010, *A&A*, 523, A4+
- Barkana, R. & Loeb, A. 2004, *ApJ*, 609, 474
- Barkana, R. & Loeb, A. 2005, *ApJ*, 626, 1
- Chuzhoy, L. & Zheng, Z. 2007, *ApJ*, 670, 912
- Ciardi, B., Ferrara, A., & White, S. D. M. 2003a, *MNRAS*, 344, L7
- Ciardi, B. & Madau, P. 2003, *ApJ*, 596, 1
- Ciardi, B., Stoehr, F., & White, S. D. M. 2003b, *MNRAS*, 343, 1101
- Fan, X., Carilli, C. L., & Keating, B. 2006, *ARA&A*, 44, 415
- Field, G. B. 1958, *Proc. I. R. E.*, 46, 240
- Furlanetto, S. R. 2006, *MNRAS*, 371, 867
- Furlanetto, S. R., Oh, S. P., & Briggs, F. H. 2006, *Phys. Rep.*, 433, 181
- Furlanetto, S. R. & Pritchard, J. R. 2006, *MNRAS*, 372, 1093
- Gunn, J. E. & Peterson, B. A. 1965, *ApJ*, 142, 1633
- Harker, G., Zaroubi, S., Bernardi, G., et al. 2009, *MNRAS*, 397, 1138
- Hirata, C. M. 2006, *MNRAS*, 367, 259
- Hogan, C. J. & Rees, M. J. 1979, *MNRAS*, 188, 791
- Iliev, I. T., Mellema, G., Pen, U., et al. 2006, *MNRAS*, 369, 1625
- Iliev, I. T., Mellema, G., Shapiro, P. R., & Pen, U. 2007, *MNRAS*, 376, 534
- Iliev, I. T., Whalen, D., Mellema, G., et al. 2009, *MNRAS*, 400, 1283
- Komatsu, E., Smith, K. M., Dunkley, J., et al. 2011, *ApJS*, 192, 18
- Kuhlen, M., Madau, P., & Montgomery, R. 2006, *ApJ*, 637, L1
- Madau, P., Meiksin, A., & Rees, M. J. 1997, *ApJ*, 475, 429
- Meiksin, A. 2010, *MNRAS*, 402, 1780
- Mellema, G., Iliev, I. T., Pen, U., & Shapiro, P. R. 2006, *MNRAS*, 372, 679
- Mesinger, A. 2010, *MNRAS*, 407, 1328
- Naoz, S. & Barkana, R. 2008, *MNRAS*, 385, L63
- Pritchard, J. R. & Furlanetto, S. R. 2006, *MNRAS*, 367, 1057
- Santos, M. G., Silva, M. B., Pritchard, J. R., Cen, R., & Cooray, A. 2011, *A&A*, 527, A93+
- Scott, D. & Rees, M. J. 1990, *MNRAS*, 247, 510
- Semelin, B., Combes, F., & Baek, S. 2007, *A&A*, 474, 365
- Spergel, D. N., Bean, R., Doré, O., et al. 2007, *ApJS*, 170, 377
- Springel, V. 2005, *MNRAS*, 364, 1105
- Volonteri, M. & Gnedin, N. Y. 2009, *ApJ*, 703, 2113
- Wouthuysen, S. A. 1952, *AJ*, 57, 31