Language and Statistics II

Lecture 4: Log-Linear Models (The Big Idea) Noah Smith

Administrivia

• What lit review topics are we (you) thinking about?

(*m*+1)-gram Models, A Different Way

$$p(s_1^n) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \gamma(s_i \mid s_{i-m}^{i-1})\right) \cdot \gamma(stop \mid s_{n-m+1}^n)$$

$$= \prod_{\langle \mathbf{s}_1,...,\mathbf{s}_m,\mathbf{s} \rangle \in (\Sigma \cup \{\text{start},\text{stop}\})^{m+1}} \sum_{\langle \mathbf{s}_1,...,\mathbf{s}_m,\mathbf{s} \rangle \in (\Sigma \cup \{\text{start},\text{stop}\})^{m+1}} \sum_{\sigma \in (\Sigma \cup \{\text{stop}\})^{m+1}} \sum_{\sigma \in (\Sigma \cup \{\text{stop}\})^{m+1$$

 $\langle \mathbf{s}_1, ..., \mathbf{s}_m, \mathbf{s} \rangle \in (\Sigma \cup \{\text{start}, \text{stop}\})^{m+1}$

Log-Linear Models

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\exp \vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{f}(\mathbf{x})}{Z(\vec{\theta})}$$
$$\log p(\mathbf{x}) \propto \vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{f}(\mathbf{x})$$



(*m*+1)-gram Model as a Log-Linear Model over the Next Word

$$p(s_1^n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} p(s_i \mid s_{i-m}^{i-1}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{\exp\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{f}(s_{i-m}^i)}{\sum_{s \in \Sigma} \exp\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{f}(s_{i-m}^{i-1} \cdot s)}$$

$$f_{\vec{\mathbf{s}}}(\vec{\mathbf{s}}) = \delta(\vec{\mathbf{s}}, \vec{\mathbf{s}})$$
$$\theta_{\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_m \rangle} = \log \gamma(\mathbf{s} | \mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_m)$$

Distributions over "the next word"



Adding features



Watch Out!

- Nobody said nothin' 'bout "max ent" (yet)!
- We haven't talked about estimation (picking θ values from data).
- Don't worry about that yet.



Bait: Feature Brainstorm

Switch

How are we going to ...

- Pick the best sequence in a (possibly weighted) lattice?
- Sum up over sequences (e.g., for minimum expected-loss decoding)?
- We need analogs to **Dijkstra**'s algorithm ...
- (In fact, we will need such algorithms for training, too!)

What Makes Log-Linear Models Difficult

- *Z* (easy ... so far!)
- Decoding with "big" or "long-distance" features
- Training is generally expensive

About the θ s

- In a log-linear model, each θ can take any real value at all.
- $\theta_i < 0$: feature *j* gets penalized (event is less likely)
- $\theta_i = 0$: has no effect
- $\theta_i > 0$: feature *j* gets a bonus (event is more likely)
- \$64,000 question: how do we pick the θs? (Next week)

Question

- Last week, we talked about choosing a path through an unweighted or weighted lattice, using an (*m*+1)-gram model.
- To do this, we used dynamic programming.
- What changes, if the model is log-linear (still based on (*m*+1)-grams) instead of a classical Markov model?

Claim

• HMMs are log-linear models, too.

$$p(c_1^n, s_1^n) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \eta(s_i | c_i) \cdot \gamma(c_i | c_{i-m}^{i-1})\right) \cdot \gamma(\operatorname{stop} | c_{n-m+1}^n)$$

$$p(c_1^n | s_1^n) = \frac{1}{p(s_1^n)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \eta(s_i | c_i) \cdot \gamma(c_i | c_{i-m}^{i-1})\right) \cdot \gamma(\operatorname{stop} | c_{n-m+1}^n)$$

$$= \frac{1}{Z(\vec{\theta}, s_1^n)} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \exp \vec{f}(c_1^i, s_1^n) \cdot \vec{\theta}$$

Log-linear HMMs

In a standard trigram HMM, two feature schemata (or templates).

 $f_{\langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}' \rangle \to \mathbf{c}''} \left(c_1^i, s_1^n \right) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } c_{i-2} = \mathbf{c} \land c_{i-1} = \mathbf{c}' \land c_i = \mathbf{c}'' \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$ $f_{\mathbf{c} \to \mathbf{s}} \left(c_1^i, s_1^n \right) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } c_i = \mathbf{c} \land s_i = \mathbf{s} \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$

$$\vec{f}(c_1^i, s_1^n) = \vec{f}(c_{i-2}^i, s_i)$$

This fact succinctly encodes an independence assumption!

Ratnaparkhi (1996)

$$\vec{f}(c_1^i, s_1^n) = \vec{f}(c_{i-2}^i, s_{i-1}^{i+1})$$

- Current word, current tag
- Tag trigram, tag bigram, tag unigram
- Current word prefix, current tag
- Current word suffix, current tag
- Previous word, current tag
- Next word, current tag
- Conjoined features: $f_i = f_j \wedge f_k$

Another point about Ratnaparkhi (1996)

- Orthogonal to the model: decoding
- Ratnaparkhi used a beam search
 - In principle, exact decoding is possible!
 - Why did he use a beam?

Food for Thought

- Where do the features come from?
 - Too many features: overfit
 - Too few good features: don't learn
 - Ratnaparkhi: cutoffs.
- Good models = good features + good weight training.
- Consider:
 - Every log-linear model on structures x actually includes all possible features of x.
 - Most of them have weight θ = 0.

Global Log-Linear Models

- Instead of predicting "the next word" given the history ...
- Build one big model that scores the whole sequence and normalizes *once*.
- Rosenfeld (1994) language modeling
- Lafferty et al. (2001) HMM-style models

(*m*+1)-gram Model as a Log-Linear Model over Sequences

$$p(s_1^n) = \frac{\exp\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{f}(s_1^n)}{Z(\vec{\theta})} = \frac{\exp\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{f}(s_1^n)}{\sum_{\vec{s} \in \Sigma^*} \exp\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{f}(\vec{s})}$$

$$f_{\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_m \rangle}(s_1^n) = \operatorname{count}(\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_m \rangle; s_1^n)$$
$$\theta_{\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_m \rangle} = \log \gamma(\mathbf{s} | \mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_m)$$

Summary & Ad

- Log-linear models:
 - Any features you want!
 - What the weights mean
 - The models we already know are examples
 - Some of the issues/choices/concerns
- Next time:
 - Training the weights (estimation)
 - Why they're called "max ent" models
 - Selecting the features