

Intro to Data Structures

Lecture #22 – Priority Queues & Heaps
November 16, 2014

Mark Stehlik

Outline for Today

- HW5 returned with grade sheets
- HW6 due Tuesday midnight (Pgh)
- Priority Queues
- Heaps
- What's left?
 - Maps & Sets
 - Hashing
 - stuff

Priority Queue

- A new Abstract Data Type (what ADT's have we seen so far in the course?)
- What's new about this one? Operations:
 - boolean isEmpty
 - add(AnyType) // AnyType must implement Comparable
 - AnyType peekMin() // could also be peekMax()
 - AnyType removeMin() // or removeMax()
- What does this remind you of?
- Applications – what is it used for?

Priority Queue implementations

- What data structure should we use to implement a Priority Queue?
- What data structures have we seen so far and how efficient will they be?
 - unordered array (or ArrayList)
 - sorted array (or ArrayList)
 - increasing order
 - decreasing order
 - LinkedList (increasing order)
 - BST

Efficiency of various implementations

	unordered ArrayList	increasing ArrayList	decreasing ArrayList	increasing LinkedList	BST
add	$O(1)$	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	$O(\log n)$ [w/c $O(n)$]
peekMin	$O(n)$	$O(1)$	$O(1)$	$O(1)$	$O(\log n)$ [w/c $O(n)$] where min would be?
removeMin	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	$O(1)$	$O(1)$	$O(\log n)$ [w/c $O(n)$]

Can we do better?

- Yes, but we'll need a new data structure - a *binary heap*. A *binary heap* has two properties:
 - Shape (structure) property - it must be a complete binary tree (what was that?)
 - Order (heap) property - the parent of a node is \leq its children (minHeap) or \geq its children (maxHeap)
- Examples...

Can we do better?

- How does this work w/respect to implementing the operations of a priority queue?
 - add - add at end (maintain *shape* property) & heapify up (swap w/parent if necessary to maintain *order* property)
 - peekMin - always at the root
 - removeMin - remove root; move last leaf into root's position (maintain *shape*) & heapify down (swap w/smaller child if exists to maintain *order*)
 - some examples...
- what data structure should we use to implement the heap in order to minimize the cost of operations?

Efficiency of PQ ops

- Use the array implementation of a binary tree!
 - access to the place to insert the next leaf value - $O(1)$
 - heap with n nodes will have height $\log n$
 - add - at end (maintain *shape* property) & heapify up (if less, swap w/parent to maintain *order* property): $O(1) + O(\log n)$ [most nodes added low; why?]
 - peekMin - return the value at the root: $O(1)$
 - removeMin - remove root; move last leaf into root's position (maintain *shape*) & heapify down (swap w/smaller child if exists to maintain *order*): $O(1) + O(\log n)$

Is it better than the others?

- Yes...
 - $O(1)$ for peekMin(), guaranteed $O(\log n)$ for add() and removeMin()
- So?
 - Fast implementation of Priority Queue
 - And?
 - If I add n integers to an initially empty minHeap and then remove items one at a time, what is true about the sequence of values removed?
 - They are in ascending order! This is heap sort!

Details of Heapsort...

- Time to add n integers into an empty minHeap?
 - $n * O(\log n) \rightarrow O(n \log n)$
- Time to remove n mins?
 - $n * O(\log n) \rightarrow O(n \log n)$
- Time to do both?
 - $2 O(n \log n) \rightarrow O(n \log n)$
- And, unlike merge sort, it can be done in place (no auxiliary storage). How? Put the elements into a maxHeap, then removeMax, store in last open index, removeMax, store in next open index...