

15-851 COMPUTATION AND DEDUCTION

MODEL SOLUTION OF ASSIGNMENT 1
BRIGITTE PIENKA
January 31, 2001

Exercise 2.1: Write Mini-ML programs for multiplication, exponentiation, subtraction, and a function that returns a pair of (integer) quotient and remainder of two natural numbers.

Solution:

```

add  = fix f. lam x. lam y. case x of z => y | s x' => s (f x' y)
sub  = fix f. lam x. lam y.
      case x of z => z
      | s x' => case y of z => x | s y' => f x' y'.
mult = fix f. lam x. lam y.
      case x of z => z | s x' => add (f x' y) y
expo = fix f. lam x. lam n.
      case n of z => (s z) | s n' => mult x (f x n')

quot = fix f. lam x. lam y.
      case sub x y of
        z => case sub y x of z => ⟨s z, z⟩ | s x' => ⟨z, x⟩
        | s w => let val v = f (s w) y in ⟨s (fst v), snd v⟩

```

Exercise 2.13: Specify a call-by-name operational semantics for our language where the constructors are *lazy* that is they should not evaluate their arguments.

Solution: We start by defining lazy values. If we discover an expression $s\ e$ then we reached a value as we will only evaluate e when needed. Similarly, a pair $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$ is a value.

$\frac{}{\mathbf{z}\ Lazy_Val} \text{ lval_z}$	$\frac{}{\mathbf{s}\ e\ Lazy_Val} \text{ lval_s}$
$\frac{}{\mathbf{lam}\ x.e\ Lazy_Val} \text{ lval_lam}$	$\frac{}{\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle\ Lazy_Val} \text{ lval_pair}$

We proceed by revising the operational semantics of Mini-ML.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\mathbf{z} \xrightarrow{l} \mathbf{z}} \text{evl_z} \qquad \frac{}{\mathbf{s} \ e \xrightarrow{l} \mathbf{s} \ e} \text{evl_s} \\
\\
\frac{e \xrightarrow{l} \mathbf{z} \quad e_1 \xrightarrow{l} v}{\text{case } e \text{ of } \mathbf{z} \Rightarrow e_1 \mid \mathbf{s} \ x' \Rightarrow e_2 \xrightarrow{l} v} \text{evl_case_z} \quad \frac{e \xrightarrow{l} \mathbf{s} \ e' \quad [e'/x']e_2 \xrightarrow{l} v}{\text{case } e \text{ of } \mathbf{z} \Rightarrow e_1 \mid \mathbf{s} \ x' \Rightarrow e_2 \xrightarrow{l} v} \text{evl_case_s} \\
\\
\frac{}{\text{lam } x.e \xrightarrow{l} \text{lam } x.e} \text{evl_lam} \quad \frac{e_1 \xrightarrow{l} \text{lam } x.e' \quad [e_2/x]e' \xrightarrow{l} v}{e_1 \ e_2 \xrightarrow{l} v} \text{evl_app} \\
\\
\frac{}{\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \xrightarrow{l} \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle} \text{evl_pair} \\
\\
\frac{e \xrightarrow{l} \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \quad e_1 \xrightarrow{l} v}{\text{fst } e \xrightarrow{l} v} \text{evl_fst} \quad \frac{e \xrightarrow{l} \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \quad e_2 \xrightarrow{l} v}{\text{snd } e \xrightarrow{l} v} \text{evl_snd}
\end{array}$$

The `evl_letn` rule does not change as it already is lazy, i.e. it does not evaluate the argument x . In order to force the evaluation of an expression, we choose to include the `evl_letv` rule.

$$\frac{e_1 \xrightarrow{l} v_1 \quad [v_1/x]e_2 \xrightarrow{l} v}{\text{let val } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \xrightarrow{l} v} \text{evl_letv} \quad \frac{[e_1/u]e_2 \xrightarrow{l} v}{\text{let name } u = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \xrightarrow{l} v} \text{evl_letn}$$

The `evl_fix` rule stays the same.

$$\frac{[\mathbf{fix} \ e/x] \ e \xrightarrow{l} v}{\mathbf{fix} \ e \xrightarrow{l} v} \text{evl_fix}$$

Theorem 1 (Value Soundness). *If $\mathcal{D} :: e \xrightarrow{l} v$ then $\mathcal{E} :: v$ Lazy_Val.*

Proof. The proof follows by induction over the structure of the deduction $\mathcal{D} :: e \xrightarrow{l} v$. We will only show a few typical cases.

Case: $\mathcal{D} = \frac{}{\mathbf{z} \xrightarrow{l} \mathbf{z}} \text{evl_z}$. Then \mathbf{z} Lazy_Val by the rule `lval_z`.

Case: $\mathcal{D} = \frac{}{\mathbf{s} \ e \xrightarrow{l} \mathbf{s} \ e} \text{evl_s}$. Then $\mathbf{s} \ e$ Lazy_Val by the rule `lval_s`.

Case: $\mathcal{D} = \frac{}{\text{lam } x.e \xrightarrow{l} \text{lam } x.e} \text{evl_lam}$.

Then **lam** $x.e$ *Lazy_Val* by the rule `lval_lam`.

$$\text{Case: } \mathcal{D} = \frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}_1}{e_1 \xrightarrow{l} \mathbf{lam} \ x.e'} \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}_2}{[e_2/x]e' \xrightarrow{l} v}}{e_1 \ e_2 \xrightarrow{l} v} \text{ev_app}}$$

The induction hypothesis on \mathcal{D}_2 yields a deduction $\mathcal{E} :: v \text{ Lazy_Val}$.

$$\text{Case: } \mathcal{D} = \frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}_1}{e \xrightarrow{l} \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle} \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}_2}{e_1 \xrightarrow{l} v}}{\mathbf{fst} \ e \xrightarrow{l} v} \text{ev_fst}}$$

The induction hypothesis on \mathcal{D}_2 yields a deduction $\mathcal{E} :: v \text{ Lazy_Val}$. \square

Exercise 2.14 - Part 1: Prove that $v \text{ Value}$ is derivable if and only if $v \leftrightarrow v$ is derivable. That is, values are exactly those expressions that evaluate to themselves.

Solution: Theorem 2. *If $\mathcal{D} :: v \text{ Value}$ then $\mathcal{E} :: v \leftrightarrow v$.*

Proof. By induction over the structure of the deduction $\mathcal{D} :: v \text{ Value}$.

$$\text{Case: } \mathcal{D} = \frac{}{\mathbf{z} \text{ Value}} \text{val_z. Then } \mathbf{z} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{z} \text{ by the rule ev_z.}$$

$$\text{Case: } \mathcal{D} = \frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}_1}{v \text{ Value}}}{\mathbf{s} \ v \text{ Value}} \text{val_s}$$

The induction hypothesis on \mathcal{D}_1 yields a deduction $\mathcal{E}_1 :: v \leftrightarrow v$. Using the inference rule `ev_s` we conclude that $\mathbf{s} \ v \leftrightarrow \mathbf{s} \ v$.

$$\text{Case: } \mathcal{D} = \frac{}{\mathbf{lam} \ x.e \text{ Value}} \text{val_lam.}$$

Then $\mathbf{lam} \ x.e \leftrightarrow \mathbf{lam} \ x.e$ by the rule `ev_lam`.

$$\text{Case: } \mathcal{D} = \frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}_1}{v_1 \text{ Value}} \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}_2}{v_2 \text{ Value}}}{\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \text{ Value}} \text{val_pair}$$

$$v_1 \leftrightarrow v_1$$

by induction hypothesis on \mathcal{D}_1

$$v_2 \leftrightarrow v_2$$

by induction hypothesis on \mathcal{D}_2

$$\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \leftrightarrow \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$$

by rule `ev_pair`

\square

Theorem 3. *If $\mathcal{E} :: v \leftrightarrow v$ then $\mathcal{D} :: v \text{ Value}$.*

Proof. Follows immediately from the value-soundness theorem **Theorem 2.1** p 19 of the lecture notes. \square

Exercise 2.14 - Part 2: Write a Mini-ML function $observe : \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{nat}$ that, given a lazy value of type nat , returns the corresponding eager value if it exists.

Solution:

There are two possible ways to observe the value of a lazy expression. The first solution uses the **let val** construct to force the evaluation of a lazy expression.

$$observe = \text{fix } f.\text{lam } x.\text{case } x \text{ of } \mathbf{z} \Rightarrow \mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{s } x' \Rightarrow \text{let val } v = f \ x' \text{ in } \mathbf{s } v.$$

The second solution is based on continuations. The basic idea is the following: any function $f : t \rightarrow s$ can be rewritten into a function f' of type $t \rightarrow (s \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b$. In contrast to f , the function f' takes an extra function as an argument, called a *continuation*, which accumulates the results. To use the function f' to compute the original function f , we give it the *initial continuation* which is often the identity function as an argument. Applying this idea to define $observe$ we first define a function $observe'$ which takes x and a continuation k as an argument. In the base case, we just call the continuation k applied to \mathbf{z} . In the recursive case, we apply the successor function to the result of the continuation. Note that the successor function will be only applied to values once it is executed.

$$\begin{aligned} observe' &= \text{fix } f.\text{lam } x.\text{lam } k.\text{case } x \text{ of } \mathbf{z} \Rightarrow k \ \mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{s } x' \Rightarrow f \ x' \ (\text{lam } v.k \ (\mathbf{s } v)). \\ observe &= \text{lam } x. observe' \ x \ (\text{lam } v.v). \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the following evaluation: $observe' \ \mathbf{s} \ (\mathbf{s} \ ((\lambda x.x)\mathbf{z})) \ k$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{first rec. call: } & observe' \ (\mathbf{s} \ ((\lambda x.x)\mathbf{z})) \ (\text{lam } v_1.k \ (\mathbf{s} \ v_1)) \\ \text{sec. rec. call : } & observe' \ ((\lambda x.x)\mathbf{z}) \ (\text{lam } v_2.(\text{lam } v_1.k \ (\mathbf{s} \ v_1)) \ (\mathbf{s} \ v_2)) \end{aligned}$$

Now $observe'$ will evaluate $((\lambda x.x)\mathbf{z})$ to \mathbf{z} and reach the base case where we need to compute $(\text{lam } v_2.(\text{lam } v_1.k(\mathbf{s} \ v_1)))(\mathbf{s} \ v_2)) \ \mathbf{z}$.