## Solutions 1: Natural Deduction

15-317: Constructive Logic

Out: Thursday, September 4, 2008 Due: Thursday, September 11, 2008, before class

## 1 Local Soundness and Completeness (12 pts)

See the Lecture 3 (Harmony) notes for a discussion of local soundness and completeness.

## 1.1 Hearts

Consider a connective defined by the following rules:

$$\frac{A\,\mathsf{true}}{A\,\forall\,B\,\mathsf{true}}\,^{u}\,,\,\,\overline{B\,\mathsf{true}}\,^{v}\\ \vdots\\ \frac{A\,\mathsf{true}}{A\,\,\nabla\,B\,\mathsf{true}}\,^{\otimes I_{L}} \quad \frac{B\,\mathsf{true}}{A\,\,\nabla\,B\,\mathsf{true}}\,^{\otimes I_{R}} \quad \frac{A\,\,\nabla\,B\,\mathsf{true}}{C\,\,\mathsf{true}}\,^{u}\,,\,\,\overline{B\,\mathsf{true}}\,^{v}$$

**Task 3** (3 pts). Is this connective locally sound? If so, show the reduction; if not, explain (informally) why no such reduction exists.

**Solution.** It is not sound. The setup for one case that we must consider is as follows:

We need a proof of C. Substituting  $\mathcal{D}$  for the assumption u leaves a derivation

$$\overline{B}\, {
m true}^{\phantom{\dagger}} v \ \vdots \ C \, {
m true}$$

but we do not have a proof of B to substitute for the remaining hypothesis. The other case, where the introduction rule used is  $\heartsuit I_R$ , is unsound for symmetric reasons.

It should not be surprising that this connective is unsound, since it mixes the intro rules for  $\vee$  with an elimination rule for  $\wedge$ : the elim rule takes out more than the intro rule puts in.

**Task 4** (3 pts). Is this connective locally complete? If so, show the expansion; if not, explain (informally) why no such expansion exists.

**Solution.** The connective is locally complete. Here is one possible expansion:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{} & \frac{\mathcal{D}}{A \otimes B \operatorname{true}} & \frac{\overline{A \operatorname{true}}}{A \otimes B \operatorname{true}} & {}^{\mathcal{U}}I_L \\ A \otimes B \operatorname{true} & \Longrightarrow_E & \overline{A \otimes B \operatorname{true}} & {}^{\mathcal{U}}E^{u,v} \end{array}$$

It is also possible to use  $\heartsuit I_R$  and the assumption v of B true. The fact that there are two possible expansions should make you suspicious about soundness: not all of the information that the elim rule produces is necessary to introduce the connective.

## 1.2 Clubs

Consider a connective defined by the following rules:

**Task 1** (3 pts). Is this connective locally sound? If so, show the reduction; if not, explain (informally) why no such reduction exists.

**Solution.** Yes, it is locally sound. Two reductions are necessary:

$$\underbrace{\frac{A \operatorname{true}}{D}}_{D} w \\ \underbrace{\frac{B \operatorname{true}}{B \operatorname{true}}}_{D} * I_{L}^{w} \underbrace{\mathcal{E}}_{A \operatorname{true}} \underbrace{\frac{\mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{F}_{1}}}_{D \operatorname{true}} \underbrace{\frac{\mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{F}_{2}}}_{D \operatorname{true}} v \\ \underbrace{\frac{\mathcal{E}}{B \operatorname{true}}}_{D \operatorname{true}} u \\ \underbrace{\frac{\mathcal{E}}{B \operatorname{true}}}_{D \operatorname{true}} u \\ \Longrightarrow_{R} D \operatorname{true}$$
 i.e.  $[[\mathcal{E}/w]\mathcal{D}/u]\mathcal{F}_{1}$ 

i.e. 
$$[\mathcal{E}/w]\mathcal{D}/u]\mathcal{F}_2$$

**Task 2** (3 pts). Is this connective locally complete? If so, show the expansion; if not, explain (informally) why no such expansion exists.

**Solution.** This connective is not locally complete.

Note that the intro rules are essentially the intros for  $(A \supset B) \lor (A \supset C)$ , but the elim rule is essentially the elim rule for  $A \supset (B \vee C)$ . The problem is that the intro rule forces the choice between B and C to be made too early for this elimination rule. For example, if we try to expand using  $AI_L$  first, then we get stuck in the second branch of the elim:

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}}{A(A,B,C) \text{ true}} \stackrel{\mathcal{U}}{=} \frac{\mathcal{D}}{A \text{ true}} \stackrel{\mathcal{U}}{=} \frac{\mathcal{D}}{B \text{ true}} \stackrel$$

Symmetrically, if we tried  $AI_R$  first, then we'd get stuck in the first branch.

Finally, we cannot use  $\clubsuit E$  at the outside (like you do for disjunction) because then we don't have a proof of A:

$$\underset{\clubsuit(A,B,C)\,\text{true}}{\mathcal{D}} \implies_E \frac{ \underset{(A,B,C)\,\text{true}}{\mathcal{D}} \quad \underset{(A,B,C)\,\text{true}}{\underbrace{\mathcal{P}}} \quad \underset{(A,B,C)\,\text{true}}{\underbrace{\overline{B}\,\text{true}}} \quad \underset{(A,B,C)\,\text{true}}{\underbrace{\overline{B}\,\text{true}}} \quad \underset{(A,B,C)\,\text{true}}{\underbrace{\overline{C}\,\text{true}}} \quad \underset{(A,B,C)\,\text{tru$$