



Probabilistic Graphical Models

01010001 Ω

Deep Sequence Models

Zhiting Hu Lecture 14, March 2, 2020

Reading: see class homepage

Overview: Deep Learning & Generative Models

- 2/19 Lecture 11 Statistical and Algorithmic Foundations of Deep Learning
- 2/24 Lecture 12 Deep generative models (part 1)
- 2/26 Lecture 13 Deep generative models (part 2)
- 3/2 Lecture 14 Deep sequence models
- 3/4 Lecture 15 A unified view of deep generative models



Overview: Deep Learning & Generative Models

- 2/19 Lecture 11 Statistical and Algorithmic Foundations of Deep Learning
- 2/24 Lecture 12 Deep generative models (part 1)
- 2/26 Lecture 13 Deep generative models (part 2)
- 3/2 Lecture 14 Deep sequence models

--- Model Architectures

> Inference & Learning

• 3/4 Lecture 15 A unified view of deep generative models





- Convolutional Networks (ConvNets)
- Recurrent Networks (RNNs)
 - Long-range dependency, vanishing gradients
 - LSTM
 - RNNs in different forms
- Attention Mechanisms
 - (Query, Key, Value)
 - Attention on Text and Images
- Transformers: Multi-head Attention
 - Transformer
 - BERT





- Convolutional Networks (ConvNets)
- Recurrent Networks (RNNs)
 - Long-range dependency, vanishing gradients
 - LSTM
 - RNNs in different forms
- Attention Mechanisms
 - (Query, Key, Value)
 - Attention on Text and Images
- Transformers: Multi-head Attention
 - Transformer
 - BERT



Convolutional Networks (ConvNets)

- Biologically-inspired variants of MLPs [LeCun et al. NIPS 1989]
 - Receptive field [Hubel & Wiesel 1962; Fukushima 1982]
 - Visual cortex contains a complex arrangement of cells
 - These cells are sensitive to small sub-regions of the visual field
 - The sub-regions are **tiled** to cover the entire visual field

Exploit the strong spatially local correlation present in natural images

Local Filters



Convolutional Networks (ConvNets)

- Sparse connectivity
- Shared weights
- Increasingly "global" receptive fields
 - simple cells detect local features
 - complex cells "pool" the outputs of simple cells within a retinotopic neighborhood.







• Hierarchical Representation Learning [Zeiler & Fergus 2013]







AlexNet, 8 layers



2012





fc, 1000

2015



Conv 7x7+2(5) ResNet, 152 layers



X

Figure courtesy: Kaiming He



- Convolutional Networks (ConvNets)
- Recurrent Networks (RNNs)
 - Long-range dependency, vanishing
 - LSTM
 - RNNs in different forms
- Attention Mechanisms
 - (Query, Key, Value)
 - Attention on Text and Images
- Transformers: Multi-head Attention
 - Transformer
 - BERT



© Eric Xing @ CMU, 2005-2019

ConvNets v.s. Recurrent Networks (RNNs)

- Spatial Modeling vs. Sequential Modeling
- Fixed vs. variable number of computation steps.



The output depends ONLY on the current input

The hidden layers and the output additionally depend on previous states of the hidden layers





Vanishing / Exploding Gradients in RNNs

$$\boldsymbol{h}_t = tanh(W^{hh}\boldsymbol{h}_{t-1} + W^{hx}\boldsymbol{x}_t)$$



Source: CS231N Stanford Bengio et al., 1994 "Learning long-term dependencies with gradient descent is difficult" Pascanu et al., 2013 "On the difficulty of training recurrent neural networks"



Vanishing / Exploding Gradients in RNNs

$$\boldsymbol{h}_t = tanh(W^{hh}\boldsymbol{h}_{t-1} + W^{hx}\boldsymbol{x}_t)$$



Computing gradient of h₀ involves many factors of W (and repeated tanh)

Source: CS231N Stanford Bengio et al., 1994 "Learning long-term dependencies with gradient descent is difficult" Pascanu et al., 2013 "On the difficulty of training recurrent neural networks"

Vanishing / Exploding Gradients in RNNs

$$\boldsymbol{h}_t = tanh(W^{hh}\boldsymbol{h}_{t-1} + W^{hx}\boldsymbol{x}_t)$$



Source: CS231N Stanford Bengio et al., 1994 "Learning long-term dependencies with gradient descent is difficult" Pascanu et al., 2013 "On the difficulty of training recurrent neural networks"





I live in France and I know _____



© Eric Xing @ CMU, 2005-2019

Example courtesy: Manik Soni





I live in France and I know French



© Eric Xing @ CMU, 2005-2019

Example courtesy: Manik Soni





I live in France and I know <u>French</u>

I live in France, a beautiful country, and I know <u>French</u>



Example courtesy: Manik Soni



• LSTMs are designed to explicitly alleviate the long-term dependency problem [Horchreiter & Schmidhuber (1997)]







• Gate functions make decisions of reading, writing, and resetting information



- Forget gate: whether to erase cell (reset)
- Input gate: whether to write to cell (write)
- Output gate: how much to reveal cell (read)



• Forget gate: decides what must be removed from h_{t-1}



$$f_t = \sigma(W_f \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f)$$



• Forget gate: decides what must be removed from h_{t-1}



$$f_t = \sigma(W_f \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f)$$

• Input gate: decides what new information to store in the cell



$$i_t = \sigma(W_i \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_i)$$
$$\widetilde{C}_t = \tanh(W_C \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_C)$$



• Update cell state:





• Update cell state:



• Output gate: decides what to output from our cell state



$$o_t = \sigma(W_o \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_o)$$
$$h_t = o_t * \tanh(C_t)$$

sigmoid decides what parts of the cell state we're going to output



Uninterrupted gradient flow!



- No multiplication with matrix W during backprop
- Multiplied by different values of forget gate -> less prone to vanishing/exploding gradient











- Bi-directional RNN
 - Hidden state is the concatenation of both forward and backward hidden states.
 - Allows the hidden state to capture both past and future information.



[Speech Recognition with Deep Recurrent Neural Networks, Alex Graves]



RNNs in Various Forms

- Bi-directional RNN
 - Hidden state is the concatenation of both forward and backward hidden states.
 - Allows the hidden state to capture both past and future information.
- Tree-structured RNN
 - Hidden states condition on both an input vector and the hidden states of arbitrarily many child units.
 - Standard LSTM = a special case of tree-LSTM where each internal node has exactly one child.



[Speech Recognition with Deep Recurrent Neural Networks, Alex Graves]





• RNN for 2-D sequences







RNN for Graph Structures
Used in, e.g., image segmentation





[Semantic Object Parsing with Graph LSTM. Liang et al. 2016]



- Convolutional Networks (ConvNets)
- Recurrent Networks (RNNs)
 - Long-range dependency, vanishing
 - LSTM
 - RNNs in different forms
- Attention Mechanisms
 - (Query, Key, Value)
 - Attention on Text and Images
- Transformers: Multi-head Attention
 - Transformer
 - BERT





• Chooses which features to pay attention to



A woman is throwing a <u>frisbee</u> in a park.



A $\underline{\text{dog}}$ is standing on a hardwood floor.



A <u>stop</u> sign is on a road with a mountain in the background.



A little <u>girl</u> sitting on a bed with a teddy bear.



A group of <u>people</u> sitting on a boat in the water.



A giraffe standing in a forest with trees in the background.

Image captioning [Show, attend and tell. Xu et al. 15]





• Chooses which features to pay attention to



Machine Translation

Figure courtesy: Olah & Carter, 2016





Figure courtesy: keitakurita

© Eric Xing @ CMU, 2005-2019 34



- Long-range dependencies
 - Dealing with gradient vanishing problem



Figure courtesy: keitakurita





- Long-range dependencies
 - Dealing with gradient vanishing problem
- Fine-grained representation instead of a single global representation
 - Attending to smaller parts of data: patches in images, words in sentences






- Long-range dependencies
 - Dealing with gradient vanishing problem
- Fine-grained representation instead of a single global representation
 - Attending to smaller parts of data: patches in images, words in sentences
- Improved Interpretability





Attention Computation

- Encode each token in the input sentence into vectors
- When decoding, perform a linear combination of these vectors, weighted by "attention weights"
 - *a* = softmax(*alignment_scores*)

Decoder



Attention Computation (cont'd)

• Combine together value by taking the weighted sum





Attention Computation (cont'd)

- Combine together value by taking the weighted sum
- Encoder Value Vectors a1=0.5 a2=0.3 a3=0.1 a4=0.1

- Query: decoder state
- Key: all encoder states
- Value: all encoder states





• Popular attention mechanisms with different alignment score functions

Alignment score = f(Query, Keys)

• Query: decoder state st	Name	Alignment score function	Citation	
Key : all encoder states h_i Value : all encoder states h_i	Content-base attention	$\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{s}_t, \boldsymbol{h}_i) = \operatorname{cosine}[\boldsymbol{s}_t, \boldsymbol{h}_i]$	Graves2014	
	Additive(*)	score($\boldsymbol{s}_t, \boldsymbol{h}_i$) = $\mathbf{v}_a^{T} \tanh(\mathbf{W}_a[\boldsymbol{s}_t; \boldsymbol{h}_i])$	Bahdanau2015	
	Location-Base	$\alpha_{t,i} = \operatorname{softmax}(\mathbf{W}_a \mathbf{s}_t)$ Note: This simplifies the softmax alignment to only depend on the target position.	Luong2015	
	General	score $(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{h}_i) = \mathbf{s}_t^{T} \mathbf{W}_a \mathbf{h}_i$ where \mathbf{W}_a is a trainable weight matrix in the attention layer.	Luong2015	
	Dot-Product	$\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{s}_t, \boldsymbol{h}_i) = \boldsymbol{s}_t^{T} \boldsymbol{h}_i$	Luong2015	
	Scaled Dot- Product(^)	$\operatorname{score}(\boldsymbol{s}_t, \boldsymbol{h}_i) = \frac{\boldsymbol{s}_t^{\top} \boldsymbol{h}_i}{\sqrt{n}}$	Vaswani2017	
Courtosy: Lilian Wong		Note: very similar to the dot-product attention except for a scaling factor; where n is the dimension of the source hidden state.		

Courtesy: Lilian Weng

Attention on Images – Image Captioning



- 1. Input 2. Convolutional 3. RNN with attention 4. Word by Image Feature Extraction over the image word generation
 - Query: decoder state
 - Key: visual feature maps
 - Value: visual feature maps

[Show, attend and tell. Xu et al. 15]



Attention on Images – Image Captioning

Hard attention vs Soft attention





Hard attention vs Soft attention





Attention on Images – Image Paragraph Generation

- Generate a long paragraph to describe an image
 - Long-term visual and language reasoning
 - Contentful descriptions -- ground sentences on visual features



This picture is taken for three baseball players on a field. The man on the left is wearing a blue baseball cap. The man has a red shirt and white pants. The man in the middle is in a wheelchair and holding a baseball bat. Two men are bending down behind a fence. There are words band on the fence.



A tennis player is attempting to hit the tennis ball with his left foot hand. He is holding a tennis racket. He is wearing a white shirt and white shorts. He has his right arm extended up. There is a crowd of people watching the game. A man is sitting on the chair.



A couple of zebra are standing next to each other on dirt ground near rocks. There are trees behind the zebras. There is a large log on the ground in front of the zebra. There is a large rock formation to the left of the zebra. There is a small hill near a small pond and a wooden log. There are green leaves on the tree.



Attention on Images – Image Paragraph Generation



[Recurrent Topic-Transition GAN for Visual Paragraph Generation. Liang et al. 2017]







Semantic region detection & captioning





















Attention on Images – Image Paragraph Generation



Paragraph: A group of people are riding bikes. There are two people riding bikes parked on the sidewalk. He is wearing a black shirt and jeans. A woman is wearing a short sleeve yellow shirt and shorts. There are many other people on the red and black bikes. A woman wearing a shirt is riding a bicycle.



- Convolutional Networks (ConvNets)
- Recurrent Networks (RNNs)
 - Long-range dependency, vanishing
 - LSTM
 - RNNs in different forms
- Attention Mechanisms
 - (Query, Key, Value)
 - Attention on Text and Images
- Transformers: Multi-head Attention
 - Transformer
 - BERT



Transformers – Multi-head (Self-)Attention

- State-of-the-art Results by Transformers
 - [Vaswani et al., 2017] Attention Is All You Need
 - Machine Translation
 - [Devlin et al., 2018] BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding
 - Pre-trained Text Representation
 - [Radford et al., 2019] Language Models are Unsupervised Multitask Learners
 - Language Models







Scaled Dot-Product Attention

Image source: Vaswani, et al., 2017







Scaled Dot-Product Attention

Multi-head Attention

Image source: Vaswani, et al., 2017









Multi-head Attention in Encoders and Decoders





Multi-head Attention in Encoders and Decoders

Transformer





- 2. Encoder Self attention=Key=Value
- 3. Decoder Self attention=QUERY





- Conventional word embedding:
 - Word2vec, Glove
 - A pre-trained matrix, each row is an embedding vector of a word

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	•
fox	-0.348680	-0.077720	0.177750	-0.094953	-0.452890	0.237790	0.209440	0.037886	0.035064	0.899010	,
ham	-0.773320	-0.282540	0.580760	0.841480	0.258540	0.585210	-0.021890	-0.463680	0.139070	0.658720	
brown	-0.374120	-0.076264	0.109260	0.186620	0.029943	0.182700	-0.631980	0.133060	-0.128980	0.603430	
beautiful	0.171200	0.534390	-0.348540	-0.097234	0.101800	-0.170860	0.295650	-0.041816	-0.516550	2.117200	
jumps	-0.334840	0.215990	-0.350440	-0.260020	0.411070	0.154010	-0.386110	0.206380	0.386700	1.460500	
eggs	-0.417810	-0.035192	-0.126150	-0.215930	-0.669740	0.513250	-0.797090	-0.068611	0.634660	1.256300	
beans	-0.423290	-0.264500	0.200870	0.082187	0.066944	1.027600	-0.989140	-0.259950	0.145960	0.766450	
sky	0.312550	-0.303080	0.019587	-0.354940	0.100180	-0.141530	-0.514270	0.886110	-0.530540	1.556600	
bacon	-0.430730	-0.016025	0.484620	0.101390	-0.299200	0.761820	-0.353130	-0.325290	0.156730	0.873210	
breakfast	0.073378	0.227670	0.208420	-0.456790	-0.078219	0.601960	-0.024494	-0.467980	0.054627	2.283700	
toast	0.130740	-0.193730	0.253270	0.090102	-0.272580	-0.030571	0.096945	-0.115060	0.484000	0.848380	
today	-0.156570	0.594890	-0.031445	-0.077586	0.278630	-0.509210	-0.066350	-0.081890	-0.047986	2.803600	
blue	0.129450	0.036518	0.032298	-0.060034	0.399840	-0.103020	-0.507880	0.076630	-0.422920	0.815730	
green	-0.072368	0.233200	0.137260	-0.156630	0.248440	0.349870	-0.241700	-0.091426	-0.530150	1.341300	
kings	0.259230	-0.854690	0.360010	-0.642000	0.568530	-0.321420	0.173250	0.133030	-0.089720	1.528600	
dog	-0.057120	0.052685	0.003026	-0.048517	0.007043	0.041856	-0.024704	-0.039783	0.009614	0.308416	
sausages	-0.174290	-0.064869	-0.046976	0.287420	-0.128150	0.647630	0.056315	-0.240440	-0.025094	0.502220	
lazy	-0.353320	-0.299710	-0.176230	-0.321940	-0.385640	0.586110	0.411160	-0.418680	0.073093	1.486500	
love	0.139490	0.534530	-0.252470	-0.125650	0.048748	0.152440	0.199060	-0.065970	0.128830	2.055900	
quick	-0.445630	0.191510	-0.249210	0.465900	0.161950	0.212780	-0.046480	0.021170	0.417660	1.686900	,

- Conventional word embedding:
 - Word2vec, Glove
 - A pre-trained matrix, each row is an embedding vector of a word

		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
1	fox -0.34	18680	-0.077720	0.177750	-0.094953	-0.452890	0.237790	0.209440	0.037886	0.035064	0.899010	
h	am -0.77	73320	-0.282540	0.580760	0.841480	0.258540	0.585210	-0.021890	-0.463680	0.139070	0.658720	
bro	wn -0.37	74120	-0.076264	0.109260	0.186620	0.029943	0.182700	-0.631980	0.133060	-0.128980	0.603430	
beauti	iful 0.17	71200	0.534390	-0.348540	-0.097234	0.101800	-0.170860	0.295650	-0.041816	-0.516550	2.117200	-
jun	nps -0.33	34840	0.215990	-0.350440	-0.260020	0.411070	0.154010	-0.386110	0.206380	0.386700	1.460500	
eę	ggs -0.41	7810	-0.035192	-0.126150	-0.215930	-0.669740	0.513250	-0.797090	-0.068611	0.634660	1.256300	-
bea	ans -0.42	23290	-0.264500	0.200870	0.082187	0.066944	1.027600	-0.989140	-0.259950	0.145960	0.766450	-
	sky 0.31	2550	-0.303080	0.019587	-0.354940	0.100180	-0.141530	-0.514270	0.886110	-0.530540	1.556600	
bac	con -0.43	30730	-0.016025	0.484620	0.101390	-0.299200	0.761820	-0.353130	-0.325290	0.156730	0.873210	-
breakf	ast 0.07	73378	0.227670	0.208420	-0.456790	-0.078219	0.601960	-0.024494	-0.467980	0.054627	2.283700	-
		0740	0.400700	0.050070	0.000100	0.070500	0.000574	0 0003945	-0.115060	0.484000	0.848380	
				E	mbeddi	ng Matri	ix	3350	-0.081890	-0.047986	2.803600	-
					_			7880	0.076630	-0.422920	0.815730	-
					D-0	dimensional	vector	700	-0.091426	-0.530150	1.341300	
				aardv: apple	ark 🔍			3250	0.133030	-0.089720	1.528600	
				appie				704	-0.039783	0.009614	0.308416	-
Wor	rd2Vec			\Rightarrow	•			5315	-0.240440	-0.025094	0.502220	
					•			160	-0.418680	0.073093	1.486500	

zoo

-0.065970

0.021170

060

6480

0.128830 2.05590

0.417660 1.686900

English Wikipedia Corpus

The Annual Reminder continued through July 4, 1969. This final Annual Reminder took place less than a week after the June 28 Stonewall riots, in which the patrons of the Stonewall Inn, a gay bar in Greenwich Village, fought against police who raided the bar. Rodwell received several telephone calls threatening him and the other New York participants, but he was able to arrange for police protection for the chartered bus all the way to Philadelphia. About 45 people participated, including the deputy mayor of Philadelphia and his wife. The dress code was still in effect at the Reminder, but two women from the New York contingent broke from the single-file picket line and held hands. When Kameny tried to break them apart, Rodwell furiously denounced him to onlooking members of the press.

Following the 1969 Annual Reminder, there was a sense, particularly among the younger and more radical participants, that the time for silent picketing had passed. Dissent and dissatisfaction had begun to take new and more emphatic forms in society. "The conference passed a resolution drafted by Rodwell, his partner Fred Sargeant, Broidy and Linda Rhodes to move the demonstration from July 4 in Philadelphia to the last weekend in June in New York City, as well as proposing to "other organizations throughout the country... suggesting that they hold parallel demonstrations on that day" to

Image source: Vaswa

• BERT: A model to extract *contextualized* word embedding



• BERT: A model to extract *contextualized* word embedding



• BERT: A model to extract *contextualized* word embedding



• Use BERT for sentence classification







Huge improvements over SOTA on 12 NLP task

System	MNLI-(m/mm)	QQP	QNLI	SST-2	CoLA	STS-B	MRPC	RTE	Average
	392k	363k	108k	67k	8.5k	5.7k	3.5k	2.5k	-
Pre-OpenAI SOTA	80.6/80.1	66.1	82.3	93.2	35.0	81.0	86.0	61.7	74.0
BiLSTM+ELMo+Attn	76.4/76.1	64.8	79.9	90.4	36.0	73.3	84.9	56.8	71.0
OpenAI GPT	82.1/81.4	70.3	88.1	91.3	45.4	80.0	82.3	56.0	75.2
BERT _{BASE}	84.6/83.4	71.2	90.1	93.5	52.1	85.8	88.9	66.4	79.6
BERTLARGE	86.7/85.9	72.1	91.1	94.9	60.5	86.5	89.3	70.1	81.9

Table 1: GLUE Test results, scored by the GLUE evaluation server. The number below each task denotes the number of training examples. The "Average" column is slightly different than the official GLUE score, since we exclude the problematic WNLI set. OpenAI GPT = (L=12, H=768, A=12); BERT_{BASE} = (L=12, H=768, A=12); BERT_{LARGE} = (L=24, H=1024, A=16). BERT and OpenAI GPT are single-model, single task. All results obtained from https://gluebenchmark.com/leaderboard and https://blog.openai.com/language-unsupervised/.





- Model architecture:
 - A big Transformer Encoder (240M free parameters)
- Dataset:
 - Wikipedia (2.5B words) + a collection of free ebooks (800M words)



- Model architecture:
 - A big Transformer Encoder (240M free parameters)
- Dataset:
 - Wikipedia (2.5B words) + a collection of free ebooks (800M words)
- Training procedure
 - masked language model (masked LM)
 - Masks some percent of words from the input and has to reconstruct those words from context





Use the output of the masked word's position to predict the masked word

Randomly mask

15% of tokens

Input



stick

Let's

[CLS]

to improvisation in

skit

this

- Model architecture:
 - A big Transformer Encoder (240M free parameters)
- Dataset:
 - Wikipedia (2.5B words) + a collection of free ebooks (800M words)
- Training procedure
 - masked language model (masked LM)
 - Masks some percent of words from the input and has to reconstruct those words from context
 - Two-sentence task
 - To understand relationships between sentences
 - Concatenate two sentences A and B and predict whether B actually comes after A in the original text







- BERT is trained on 4 TPU pods (=256 TPU chips) in 4 days
 TPU: a matrix multiplication engine
- = 64 V100 GPUs, Infiniband network, 5.3 days
- = a standard 4 GPU desktop with RTX 2080Ti, 99 days

Word Embedding on Texar



• A general-purpose text generation toolkit on TensorFlow

. . .

Applications											
Library APIs							Model templates + Config files				
Training			Evaluation				Prediction				
Models							Data		Trainer		
Architectures			Losses			MonoText	PairedText	Executor	Optimizer		
Encoder	Decoder	Embedder	Classifier	(Seq) Maxl	₋ikelihood	Adversarial	Dialog	Numerical	Seq/Episod	lic RL Agent	
Memory	Connector	Policy	QNet	Rewards	RL-relate	d Regularize	Multi-field	/type Parallel	lr decay / g	ırad clip /	

Texar stack

. . .



. . .

. . .



```
• Word2vec, Glove
```

```
import texar as tx
 1
 2
 3
    # Load data and pre-trained word embedding matrix
    data = tx.data.MonoTextData(hparams=config.data)
 4
    iterator = tx.data.DataIterator(data)
 5
    data_batch = iterator.get_next()
 6
 7
 8
    # Create and initialize word embedder
 9
    embedder = texar.modules.WordEmbedder(
        init_value=data.embedding_init_value, hparams=config.emb)
10
11
12
    # Embed text into vectors
    data_embed = embedder(data_batch)
13
14
15
    # Downstream tasks
    classifier = tx.modules.Conv1DClassifier(hparams=config.clas)
16
    logits, pred = classifier(input=data_embed)
17
```



```
21 · config.data = {
22 · "embedding_init": {
23     "file": "word2vec.pretrain.dat"
24     "read_fn": "load_word2vec" # "load_glove"
25     }
26 }
```





• Word2vec, Glove

• BERT

```
import texar as tx
 1
 2
 3
    # Load data and pre-trained word embedding matrix
    data = tx.data.MonoTextData(hparams=config.data)
 4
    iterator = tx.data.DataIterator(data)
 5
    data_batch = iterator.get_next()
 6
 7
                                                             # Create BERT embedder
                                                          29
 8
    # Create and initialize word embedder
                                                          30
                                                              embedder = tx.modules.TransformerEncoder(hparams=bert_config)
 9
    embedder = texar.modules.WordEmbedder(
                                                          31
                                                              # Initialize BERT embedder
        init_value=data.embedding_init_value, hparams=co
10
                                                          32
                                                               texar.init_bert_checkpoint("./bert.ckpt")
11
                                                          33
12
    # Embed text into vectors
                                                          34
                                                              # Embed text into vectors
13
    data_embed = embedder(data_batch)
                                                          35
                                                               data_embed = embedder(data_batch)
14
15
    # Downstream tasks
```

16 classifier = tx.modules.Conv1DClassifier(hparams=config.clas)

17 logits, pred = classifier(input=data_embed)





Seq2seq Attention on Texar

1 # Read data

1	
2	dataset = PairedTextData(data_hparams)
3	batch = DataIterator(dataset).get_next()
4	
5	# Encode
6	embedder = WordEmbedder(dataset.vocab.size, hparams=embedder_hparams)
7	encoder = TransformerEncoder(hparams=encoder_hparams)
8	enc_outputs = encoder(embedder(batch['source_text_ids']),
9	batch['source_length'])
10	
11	# Decode
12	decoder = AttentionRNNDecoder(memory=enc_outputs,
13	hparams=decoder_hparams)
14	outputs, length, _ = decoder(inputs=embedder(batch['target_text_ids']),
15	seq_length=batch['target_length']-1)
16	
17	# Loss
18	loss = sequence_sparse_softmax_cross_entropy(
19	labels=batch['target_text_ids'][:,1:], logits=outputs.logits, seq_length=length)
20	



Seq2seq Attention on Texar

1	# Read data		
2	dataset = PairedTextData(data_hparams)		
3	batch = DataIterator(dataset).get_next()		
4			
5	# Encode		
6	embedder = WordEmbedder(dataset.vocab.size, hparams=embedder_hparams)		
7	encoder = TransformerEncoder(hparams=encoder_hparams)	4	less les les serves d'
8	enc_outputs = encoder(embedder(batch['source_text_ids']),	1 -	<pre>- aecoaer_nparams = {</pre>
9	batch['source_length'])	2 *	<pre>'rnn_cell': { ltsmclullSTMCclll</pre>
10		3	type
11	# Decode	4 5	'num lavers': 2.
12	decoder = AttentionRNNDecoder(memory=enc.outputs	6 -	<pre>- 'attention': {</pre>
12	hparams=decoder_hparams)	7	'type': 'LuongAttention
1.4	outputs longth = docodor(inputs=omboddor(batch['target_text_ide'])	- 8 -	<pre> 'kwargs': {</pre>
14	outputs, length, decoder(inputs-embedder(batch[target_text_ids]),	9	'num_units': 256,
15	seq_length=batch['target_length']-1)	10	}
16		11	}
17	# Loss	12	}
18	loss = sequence_sparse_softmax_cross_entropy(
19	labels=batch['target_text_ids'][:,1:], logits=outputs.logits, seq_length=length)		
20			© Eric Xing @ CMU, 2005-2019

,



- Convolutional Networks (ConvNets)
- Recurrent Networks (RNNs)
 - LSTM designed for long-range dependency, vanishing gradients
 - RNNs not only for sequence data, but also 2D sequences, Trees, graphs
- Attention Mechanisms
 - Three core elements: (Query, Key, Value)
 - Many variants based on alignment score functions
 - Attention on Text and Images
- Transformers: Multi-head Attention
 - Transformer: encoder-decoder
 - BERT: pre-trained text representation
 - GPT-2: pre-trained language model



