manifold learning with applications to object recognition

Advanced Perception David R. Thompson



agenda

1. why learn manifolds?

- 2. Isomap
- 3. LLE
- 4. applications



types of manifolds



exhaust manifold



low-D surface embedded in high-D space



Sir Walter Synnot Manifold 1849-1928

manifold learning

Find a low-D basis for describing high-D data.

 $X \rightarrow X' S.T.$ dim(X') << dim(X)

uncovers the intrinsic dimensionality (invertible)



manifolds in vision

plenoptic function / motion / occlusion



manifolds in vision

appearance variation



images from hormel corp.

manifolds in vision

deformation



images from www.golfswingphotos.com

why do manifold learning?

- 1. data compression
- 2. "curse of dimensionality"
- 3. de-noising
- 4. visualization
- 5. reasonable distance metrics *









linear interpolation



manifold interpolation

agenda

1. why learn manifolds?

- 2. Isomap
- 3. LLE
- 4. applications



For *n* data points, and a distance matrix D,



...we can construct a *m*-dimensional space to preserve inter-point distances by using the top eigenvectors of D scaled by their eigenvalues.

$$y_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_{1}}v_{1}^{i}, \sqrt{\lambda_{2}}v_{2}^{i}, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_{m}}v_{m}^{i} \end{bmatrix}$$

Infer a distance matrix using distances along the manifold.



1. Build a sparse graph with K-nearest neighbors



2. Infer other interpoint distances by finding shortest paths on the graph (Dijkstra's algorithm).





3. Build a low-D embedded space to best preserve the complete distance matrix.



Solution – set points Y to top eigenvectors of D_g

shortest-distance on a graph is easy to compute

Dijkstra's algorithm



Isomap results: hands



Isomap: pro and con

- preserves global structure
- few free parameters
- sensitive to noise, noise edges
- computationally expensive (dense matrix eigen-reduction)

Locally Linear Embedding

Find a mapping to preserve local linear relationships between neighbors



Locally Linear Embedding



1. Find weight matrix W of linear coefficients:

$$\mathcal{E}(W) = \sum_{i} \left| \vec{X}_{i} - \sum_{j} W_{ij} \vec{X}_{j} \right|^{2}$$

Enforce sum-to-one constraint with the Lagrange Multiplier: $1 - \sum_{ik} C_{ik}^{-1} (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{\eta}_k)$

$$w_{j} = \sum_{k} C_{jk}^{-1} (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{\eta}_{k} + \lambda)$$

2. Find projected vectors Y to minimize reconstruction error

$$\Phi(Y) = \sum_{i} \left| \vec{Y}_{i} - \sum_{j} W_{ij} \vec{Y}_{j} \right|^{2}$$

must solve for whole dataset simultaneously

$$\Phi(Y) = \sum_{i} \left| \vec{Y}_{i} - \sum_{j} W_{ij} \vec{Y}_{j} \right|^{2}$$

We add constraints to prevent multiple / degenerate solutions:

$$\Sigma_{i}\vec{Y}_{i} = \vec{0}$$

$$\frac{1}{N}\Sigma_{i}\vec{Y}_{i} \otimes \vec{Y}_{i} = I$$

cost function becomes:

$$M_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - W_{ij} - W_{ji} + \sum_{k} W_{ki} W_{kj}$$

the optimal embedded coordinates are given by bottom m+1 eigenvectors of the matrix M



preserves local topology



LLE: pro and con

- no local minima, one free parameter
- incremental & fast
- simple linear algebra operations
- can distort global structure

Others you may encounter

- Laplacian Eigenmaps (Belkin 2001)
 - spectral method similar to LLE
 - better preserves clusters in data
- Kernel PCA
- Kohonen Self-Organizing Map (Kohonen, 1990)
 - iterative algorithm fits a network of predefined connectivity
 - simple, fast for on-line learning
 - local minima
 - lacking theoretical justification

No Free Lunch

the "curvier" your manifold, the denser your data must be



Manifold learning is a key tool in your object recognition toolbox

A formal framework for many different ad-hoc object recognition techniques