15-213

Code Optimization II
October 2, 2001

Topics

- **Machine-Dependent Optimizations**
  - Pointer code
  - Unrolling
  - Enabling instruction level parallelism
- **Understanding Processor Operation**
  - Translation of instructions into operations
  - Out-of-order execution of operations
- **Branches and Branch Prediction**
- **Advice**

Previous Best Combining Code

```
void combine4(vec_ptr v, int *dest)
{
  int i;
  int length = vec_length(v);
  int *data = get_vec_start(v);
  int sum = 0;
  for (i = 0; i < length; i++)
    sum += data[i];
  *dest = sum;
}
```

Task

- Compute sum of all elements in vector
- Vector represented by C-style abstract data type

General Forms of Combining

```
void abstract_combine4(vec_ptr v, data_t *dest)
{
  int i;
  int length = vec_length(v);
  data_t *data = get_vec_start(v);
  data_t t = IDENT;
  for (i = 0; i < length; i++)
    t = t OP data[i];
  *dest = t;
}
```

Data Types

- Use different declarations for `data_t`
- `int`
- `float`
- `double`

Operations

- Use different definitions of `OP` and `IDENT`
- + / 0
- * / 1

Machine Independent Opt. Results

Optimizations

- **Reduce function calls and memory references within loop**

Method	Integer		Floating Point	
	+	*	+	*
Abstract -g	42.06	41.86	41.44	160.00
Abstract -O2	31.25	33.25	31.25	143.00
Move vec_length	20.66	21.25	21.15	135.00
data access	6.00	9.00	8.00	117.00
Accum. in temp	2.00	4.00	3.00	5.00

Performance Anomaly

- **Computing FP product of all elements exceptionally slow.**
- **Very large speedup when accumulate in temporary**
- **Caused by quirk of IA32 floating point**
  - Memory uses 64-bit format, register use 80
  - Benchmark data caused overflow of 64 bits, but not 80

Pointer Code

```
void combine4p(vec_ptr v, int *dest)
{
  int length = vec_length(v);
  int *data = get_vec_start(v);
  int *dend = data+length;
  int sum = 0;
  while (data < dend) {
    sum += *data;
    data++;
  }
  *dest = sum;
}
```

Optimization

- **Use pointers rather than array references**
- **CPE: 3.00 (Compiled -O2)**
  - Oops! We're not making progress here!

***Warning*: Some compilers do better job optimizing array code**

Pointer vs. Array Code Inner Loops

Array Code

```
.L24:                           # Loop:
  addl (%eax,%edx,4),%ecx       # sum += data[i]
  incl %edx                     # i++
  cmpl %esi,%edx                # i:length
  jl .L24                       # if < goto Loop
```

Pointer Code

```
.L30:                   # Loop:
  addl (%eax),%ecx      #  sum += *data
  addl $4,%eax          #  data ++
  cmpl %edx,%eax        #  data:dend
  jb .L30               #  if < goto Loop
```

Performance

- Array Code: 4 instructions in 2 clock cycles
- Pointer Code: Almost same 4 instructions in 3 clock cycles

Modern CPU Design



CPU Capabilities of Pentium III

Multiple Instructions Can Execute in Parallel

- 1 load
- 1 store
- 2 integer (one may be branch)
- 1 FP Addition
- 1 FP Multiplication or Division

Some Instructions Take > 1 Cycle, but Can be Pipelined

Instruction	Latency	Cycles/Issue
Load / Store	3	1
Integer Multiply	4	1
Integer Divide	36	36
Double/Single FP Multiply	5	2
Double/Single FP Add	3	1
Double/Single FP Divide	38	38

Instruction Control



Grabs Instruction Bytes From Memory

- **Based on Current PC + Predicted Targets for Predicted Branches**
- **Hardware dynamically guesses whether branches taken/not taken and (possibly) branch target**

Translates Instructions Into *Operations*

- **Primitive steps required to perform instruction**
- **Typical instruction requires 1–3 operations**

Converts Register References Into *Tags*

- **Abstract identifier linking destination of one operation with sources of later operations**

Translation Example

Version of Combine4

- Integer data, multiply operation

```
.L24:                              # Loop:
  imull (%eax,%edx,4),%ecx         # t *= data[i]
  incl %edx                        # i++
  cmpl %esi,%edx                   # i:length
  jl .L24                          # if < goto Loop
```

Translation of First Iteration

```
.L24:
  imull (%eax,%edx,4),%ecx

  incl %edx
  cmpl %esi,%edx
  jl .L24
```

```
load (%eax,%edx.0,4)  → t.1
imull t.1, %ecx.0     → %ecx.1
incl %edx.0
cmpl %esi, %edx.1     → cc.1
jl-taken cc.1
```

UnderstandingTranslation Example

```
imull (%eax,%edx,4),%ecx
```

```
load (%eax,%edx.0,4) → t.1
imull t.1, %ecx.0    → %ecx.1
```

- **Split into two operations**
  - `load` reads from memory to generate temporary result `t.1`
  - Multiply operation just operates on registers
- **Operands**
  - Registers `%eax` does not change in loop. Values will be retrieved from register file during decoding
  - Register `%ecx` changes on every iteration. Uniquely identify different versions as `%ecx.0`, `%ecx.1`, `%ecx.2`, …
    - » Register *renaming*
    - » Values passed directly from producer to consumers

```
incl %edx
```

```
incl %edx.0    → %edx.1
```

- **Register `%edx` changes on each iteration. Rename as `%edx.0`, `%edx.1`, `%edx.2`, …**

UnderstandingTranslation Ex. (cont)

```
cmpl %esi,%edx
```

```
cmpl %esi, %edx.1   → cc.1
```

- **Condition codes are treated similar to registers**
- **Assign tag to define connection between producer and consumer**

```
jl .L24
```

```
jl-taken cc.1
```

- **Instruction control unit determines destination of jump**
- **Predicts whether will be taken and target**
- **Starts fetching instruction at predicted destination**
- **Execution unit simply checks whether or not prediction was OK**
- **If not, it signals instruction control**
  - Instruction control then “invalidates” any operations generated from misfetched instructions
  - Begins fetching and decoding instructions at correct target

Visualizing Operations




```
load (%eax,%edx,4)  → t.1
imull t.1, %ecx.0   → %ecx.1
incl %edx.0
cmpl %esi, %edx.1   → cc.1
jl-taken cc.1
```

Operations

- **Vertical position denotes time at which executed**
  - Cannot begin operation until operands available
- **Height denotes latency**

Operands

- **Arcs shown only for operands that are passed within execution unit**

Visualizing Operations (cont.)




```
load (%eax,%edx,4)  → t.1
iaddl t.1, %ecx.0   → %ecx.1
incl %edx.0
cmpl %esi, %edx.1   → cc.1
jl-taken cc.1
```

Operations

- Same as before, except that add has latency of 1

3 Iterations of Combining Product



Unlimited Resource Analysis

- Assume operation can start as soon as operands available
- Operations for multiple iterations overlap in time

Performance

- Limiting factor becomes latency of integer multiplier
- Gives CPE of 4.0

4 Iterations of Combining Sum



Unlimited Resource Analysis

Performance

- **Can begin a new iteration on each clock cycle**
- **Should give CPE of 1.0**
- **Would require executing 4 integer operations in parallel**

Combining Sum: Resource Constraints



- **Only have two integer functional units**
- **Some operations delayed even though operands available**
- **Set priority based on program order**

Performance

- **Sustain CPE of 2.0**

Loop Unrolling

```
void combine5(vec_ptr v, int *dest)
{
  int length = vec_length(v);
  int limit = length-2;
  int *data = get_vec_start(v);
  int sum = 0;
  int i;
  /* Combine 3 elements at a time */
  for (i = 0; i < limit; i+=3) {
    sum += data[i] + data[i+2]
           + data[i+1];
  }
  /* Finish any remaining elements */
  for (; i < length; i++) {
    sum += data[i];
  }
  *dest = sum;
}
```

Optimization

- Combine multiple iterations into single loop body
- Amortizes loop overhead across multiple iterations
- Finish extras at end
- Measured CPE = 1.33

Visualizing Unrolled Loop

- Loads can pipeline, since don't have dependencies
- Only one set of loop control operations




```
load (%eax,%edx.0,4)    → t.1a
iaddl t.1a, %ecx.0c     → %ecx.1a
load 4(%eax,%edx.0,4)   → t.1b
iaddl t.1b, %ecx.1a     → %ecx.1b
load 8(%eax,%edx.0,4)   → t.1c
iaddl t.1c, %ecx.1b     → %ecx.1c
iaddl $3,%edx.0         → %edx.1
cmpl %esi, %edx.1       → cc.1
jl-taken cc.1
```

Executing with Loop Unrolling



- **Predicted Performance**
  - Can complete iteration in 3 cycles
  - Should give CPE of 1.0
- **Measured Performance**
  - CPE of 1.33
  - One iteration every 4 cycles

Effect of Unrolling

Unrolling Degree		1	2	3	4	8	16
Integer	Sum	2.00	1.50	1.33	1.50	1.25	1.06
Integer	Product	4.00					
FP	Sum	3.00					
FP	Product	5.00					

- **Only helps integer sum for our examples**
  - Other cases constrained by functional unit latencies
- **Effect is nonlinear with degree of unrolling**
  - Many subtle effects determine exact scheduling of operations

Parallel Loop Unrolling

```
void combine6(vec_ptr v, int *dest)
{
  int length = vec_length(v);
  int limit = length-1;
  int *data = get_vec_start(v);
  int x0 = 1;
  int x1 = 1;
  int i;
  /* Combine 2 elements at a time */
  for (i = 0; i < limit; i+=2) {
    x0 *= data[i];
    x1 *= data[i+1];
  }
  /* Finish any remaining elements */
  for (; i < length; i++) {
    x0 *= data[i];
  }
  *dest = x0 * x1;
}
```

Code Version

- **Integer product**

Optimization

- **Accumulate in two different sums**
  - Can be performed simultaneously
- **Combine at end**
- **Exploits property that integer addition & multiplication are associative & commutative**
- **FP addition & multiplication not associative, but transformation usually acceptable**

Visualizing Parallel Loop

- Two multiplies within loop no longer have data depency
- Allows them to pipeline




```
load (%eax,%edx.0,4)     → t.1a
imull t.1a, %ecx.0       → %ecx.1
load 4(%eax,%edx.0,4)    → t.1b
imull t.1b, %ebx.0       → %ebx.1
iaddl $2,%edx.0          → %edx.1
cmpl %esi, %edx.1        → cc.1
jl-taken cc.1
```

Executing with Parallel Loop



- **Predicted Performance**
  - Can keep 4-cycle multiplier busy performing two simultaneous multiplications
  - Gives CPE of 2.0

Optimization Results for Combining

Method	Integer		Floating Point	
	+	*	+	*
Abstract -g	42.06	41.86	41.44	160.00
Abstract -O2	31.25	33.25	31.25	143.00
Move vec_length	20.66	21.25	21.15	135.00
data access	6.00	9.00	8.00	117.00
Accum. in temp	2.00	4.00	3.00	5.00
Pointer	3.00	4.00	3.00	5.00
Unroll 4	1.50	4.00	3.00	5.00
Unroll 16	*1.06*	4.00	3.00	5.00
2 X 2	1.50	2.00	2.00	2.50
4 X 4	1.50	*2.00*	*1.50*	2.50
8 X 4	1.25	*1.25*	1.50	*2.00*
Theoretical Opt.	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00
Worst : Best	*39.7*	*33.5*	*27.6*	*80.0*

Limitations of Parallel Execution

Need Lots of Registers

- **To hold sums/products**
- **Only 6 usable integer registers**
  - Also needed for pointers, loop conditions
- **8 FP registers**
- **When not enough registers, must *spill* temporaries onto stack**
  - Wipes out any performance gains
- **Not helped by renaming**
  - Cannot reference more operands than instruction set allows

Example

- **8 X 8 integer product**
- **7 local variables share 1 register**

```
.L165:
        imull (%eax),%ecx
        movl -4(%ebp),%edi
        imull 4(%eax),%edi
        movl %edi,-4(%ebp)
        movl -8(%ebp),%edi
        imull 8(%eax),%edi
        movl %edi,-8(%ebp)
        movl -12(%ebp),%edi
        imull 12(%eax),%edi
        movl %edi,-12(%ebp)
        movl -16(%ebp),%edi
        imull 16(%eax),%edi
        movl %edi,-16(%ebp)
      …
        addl $32,%eax
        addl $8,%edx
        cmpl -32(%ebp),%edx
        jl .L165
```

What About Branches?

Challenge

- **Instruction Control Unit must work well ahead of Exec. Unit**
  - To generate enough operations to keep EU busy

```
80489f3:  movl   $0x1,%ecx
80489f8:  xorl   %edx,%edx
80489fa:  cmpl   %esi,%edx
80489fc:  jnl    8048a25
80489fe:  movl   %esi,%esi
8048a00:  imull  (%eax,%edx,4),%ecx
```



- **When encounters conditional branch, cannot reliably determine where to continue fetching**

Branch Outcomes

- **When encounter conditional branch, cannot determine where to continue fetching**
  - Branch Taken: Transfer control to branch target
  - Branch Not-Taken: Continue with next instruction in sequence
- **Cannot resolve until outcome determined by branch/integer unit**



Branch Prediction

Idea

- **Guess which way branch will go**
- **Begin executing instructions at predicted position**
  - But don't actually modify register or memory data



Branch Prediction Through Loop

```
80488b1:   movl   (%ecx,%edx,4),%eax
80488b4:   addl   %eax,(%edi)
80488b6:   incl   %edx
80488b7:   cmpl   %esi,%edx
80488b9:   jl     80488b1
```

i = 98

```
80488b1:   movl   (%ecx,%edx,4),%eax
80488b4:   addl   %eax,(%edi)
80488b6:   incl   %edx
80488b7:   cmpl   %esi,%edx
80488b9:   jl     80488b1
```

i = 99

```
80488b1:   movl   (%ecx,%edx,4),%eax
80488b4:   addl   %eax,(%edi)
80488b6:   incl   %edx
80488b7:   cmpl   %esi,%edx
80488b9:   jl     80488b1
```

i = 100

```
80488b1:   movl   (%ecx,%edx,4),%eax
80488b4:   addl   %eax,(%edi)
80488b6:   incl   %edx
80488b7:   cmpl   %esi,%edx
80488b9:   jl     80488b1
```

i = 101

Assume vector length = 100

Predict Taken (OK)

Predict Taken (Oops)

Read invalid location



Branch Misprediction Invalidation



Branch Misprediction Recovery

```
80488b1:   movl   (%ecx,%edx,4),%eax
80488b4:   addl   %eax,(%edi)
80488b6:   incl   %edx
80488b7:   cmpl   %esi,%edx
80488b9:   jl     80488b1
```

i = 98

Assume vector length = 100

Predict Taken (OK)

```
80488b1:   movl   (%ecx,%edx,4),%eax
80488b4:   addl   %eax,(%edi)
80488b6:   incl   %edx
80488b7:   cmpl   %esi,%edx
80488b9:   jl     80488b1
80488bb:   leal   0xffffffe8(%ebp),%esp
80488be:   popl   %ebx
80488bf:   popl   %esi
80488c0:   popl   %edi
```

i = 99

Definitely not taken

Performance Cost

- **Misprediction on Pentium III wastes ~14 clock cycles**
- **That's a lot of time on a high performance processor**

Results for Alpha Processor

Method	Integer		Floating Point	
	+	*	+	*
Abstract -g	40.14	47.14	52.07	53.71
Abstract -O2	25.08	36.05	37.37	32.02
Move vec_length	19.19	32.18	28.73	32.73
data access	6.26	12.52	13.26	13.01
Accum. in temp	1.76	9.01	8.08	8.01
Unroll 4	1.51	9.01	6.32	6.32
Unroll 16	1.25	9.01	6.33	6.22
4 X 2	1.19	4.69	4.44	4.45
8 X 4	1.15	*4.12*	*2.34*	*2.01*
8 X 8	*1.11*	4.24	2.36	2.08
Worst : Best	*36.2*	*11.4*	*22.3*	*26.7*

- Overall trends very similar to those for Pentium III.
- Even though very different architecture and compiler

Machine-Dependent Opt. Summary

Pointer Code

- **Look carefully at generated code to see whether helpful**

Loop Unrolling

- **Some compilers do this automatically**
- **Generally not as clever as what can achieve by hand**

Exposing Instruction-Level Parallelism

- **Very machine dependent**

Warning:

- **Benefits depend heavily on particular machine**
- **Best if performed by compiler**
  - But GCC on IA32/Linux is not very good
- **Do only for performance-critical parts of code**

Role of Programmer

How should I write my programs, given that I have a good, optimizing compiler?

Don't: Smash Code into Oblivion

- **Hard to read, maintain, & assure correctness**

Do:

- **Select best algorithm**
- **Write code that's readable & maintainable**
  - Procedures, recursion, without built-in constant limits
  - Even though these factors can slow down code
- **Eliminate optimization blockers**
  - Allows compiler to do its job

Focus on Inner Loops

- **Do detailed optimizations where code will be executed repeatedly**
- **Will get most performance gain here**