

Security dangers of the NIST curves

D. J. Bernstein

University of Illinois at Chicago &
Technische Universiteit Eindhoven

Joint work with:

Tanja Lange

Technische Universiteit Eindhoven

The NIST curves were designed
to make DLP difficult.

Or were they?

“ECC Brainpool Standard Curves and Curve Generation version 1.0”, 2005.10.19: “The choice of the seeds from which the curve parameters have been derived is not motivated leaving an essential part of the security analysis open.”

“ECC Brainpool Standard Curves and Curve Generation version 1.0”, 2005.10.19: “The choice of the seeds from which the curve parameters have been derived is not motivated leaving an essential part of the security analysis open.”

Bruce Schneier, “NSA surveillance: A guide to staying secure”, The Guardian, 2013.09.06: “Prefer conventional discrete-log-based systems over elliptic-curve systems; the latter have constants that the NSA influences when they can.”

But that's not our main point.
As far as we know today,
NIST-curve DLP is secure.

But that's not our main point.

As far as we know today,

NIST-curve DLP is secure.

Here's our main point:

**NIST-curve ECC is much less
secure than NIST-curve DLP.**

But that's not our main point.
As far as we know today,
NIST-curve DLP is secure.

Here's our main point:

**NIST-curve ECC is much less
secure than NIST-curve DLP.**

If you use the NIST curves,
you're probably doing it wrong.

Your code produces incorrect
results for some rare curve points;
leaks secret data when the input
isn't a curve point; leaks secret
data through cache timing; etc.

These problems are
exploitable by attackers.

These attacks are against
real protocols, not against DLP.

DLP is non-interactive;
computes nP correctly;
reveals only nP .

Real protocols
handle attacker-controlled input;
have failure cases;
reveal timing.

Attacker exploits these gaps.

Can NIST-curve ECC be safe?
Theoretically, but hard to do;
highly fragile; unintelligent use
of limited security resources.

Can NIST-curve ECC be safe?
Theoretically, but hard to do;
highly fragile; unintelligent use
of limited security resources.

Sensible security engineering:

**Design curves for ECC security,
not just for DLP security.**

Can NIST-curve ECC be safe?
Theoretically, but hard to do;
highly fragile; unintelligent use
of limited security resources.

Sensible security engineering:
**Design curves for ECC security,
not just for DLP security.**

Detailed analysis online now
(+ white paper coming soon):
[cr.yp.to/talks/2013.05.31
/slides-dan+tanja
-20130531-4x3.pdf](http://cr.yp.to/talks/2013.05.31/slides-dan+tanja-20130531-4x3.pdf)

Can NIST-curve ECC be safe?
Theoretically, but hard to do;
highly fragile; unintelligent use
of limited security resources.

Sensible security engineering:
**Design curves for ECC security,
not just for DLP security.**

Detailed analysis online now
(+ white paper coming soon):
[cr.yp.to/talks/2013.05.31
/slides-dan+tanja
-20130531-4x3.pdf](http://cr.yp.to/talks/2013.05.31/slides-dan+tanja-20130531-4x3.pdf)

⇒ Use Curve25519.

Can NIST-curve ECC be safe?
Theoretically, but hard to do;
highly fragile; unintelligent use
of limited security resources.

Sensible security engineering:
**Design curves for ECC security,
not just for DLP security.**

Detailed analysis online now
(+ white paper coming soon):
[cr.yp.to/talks/2013.05.31
/slides-dan+tanja
-20130531-4x3.pdf](http://cr.yp.to/talks/2013.05.31/slides-dan+tanja-20130531-4x3.pdf)

⇒ Use Curve25519. Or $x^2 + y^2 = 1 + 3617x^2y^2 \pmod{2^{414} - 17}$.