Complexity news:
discrete logarithms in
multiplicative groups of
small-characteristic finite fields—
the algorithm of Barbulescu,
Gaudry, Joux, Thomé

D. J. BernsteinUniversity of Illinois at Chicago &Technische Universiteit Eindhoven

Advertisement, maybe related: iml.univ-mrs.fr/ati/geocrypt2013/2013.10.07-11, Tahiti.
Submit talks this month!

Also somewhat related:

I'm starting to analyze cost of NFS + CVP for class groups, unit groups, short generators of ideals, etc.; exploiting subfields (find short *norms* first), small Galois groups, etc. Anyone else working on this?

Cryptanalytic applications: attack NTRU, Ring-LWE, FHE. I think NTRU should switch to random prime-degree extensions with big Galois groups.

# Discrete logarithms

Goal: Compute some group isomorphism

$$\mathbf{F}_q^* o \mathbf{Z}/(q-1)$$
,

represented in the usual way.

Algorithm input:

$$h_1, h_2, \ldots \in \mathbf{F}_q^*$$
.

Algorithm output:

$$\log_g h_1, \log_g h_2, \ldots \in \mathbf{Z}/(q-1)$$
 for some  $g$ .

" $\log_g$ " means the isomorphism  $g\mapsto 1$ , if it exists.

"Generic"  $\log_g$  algorithms: on average  $q^{1/2+o(1)}$  operations uniform,  $q^{1/3+o(1)}$  non-uniform. Want something faster.

"Generic"  $\log_g$  algorithms: on average  $q^{1/2+o(1)}$  operations uniform,  $q^{1/3+o(1)}$  non-uniform. Want something faster.

"Basic index calculus": 1968 Western-Miller, 1979 Merkle, 1979 Adleman, 1983 Hellman-Reyneri, 1984 Blake—Fuji-Hara— Mullin-Vanstone, 1985 ElGamal, 1986 Coppersmith-Odlyzko-Schroeppel, 1991 LaMacchia-Odlyzko, 1993 Adleman-DeMarrais, 1995 Semaev, 1998 Bender-Pomerance.

"NFS": 1991 Schirokauer, 1993 Gordon, 1993 Schirokauer, 1994 Odlyzko, 1996 Schirokauer— Weber—Denny, 1996 Weber, 1998 Weber—Denny, 2001 Joux— Lercier, 2006 Joux—Lercier— Smart—Vercauteren.

"FFS": 1984 Coppersmith, 1985 Coppersmith–Davenport, 1985 Odlyzko, 1990 McCurley, 1992 Gordon–McCurley, 1994 Adleman, 1999 Adleman–Huang, 2001 Joux–Lercier, 2006 Joux–Lercier, 2010/2012 Hayashi–Shinohara– Wang–Matsuo–Shirase–Takagi. "FFS", continued: 2012 Hayashi—Shimoyama—Shinohara—Takagi, 2012.10 Barbulescu—Bouvier—Detrey—Gaudry—Jeljeli—Thomé—Videau—Zimmermann, 2013.04 Barbulescu—Bouvier—Detrey—Gaudry—Jeljeli—Thomé—Videau—Zimmermann.

"FFS", continued: 2012 Hayashi—Shimoyama—Shinohara—Takagi, 2012.10 Barbulescu—Bouvier—Detrey—Gaudry—Jeljeli—Thomé—Videau—Zimmermann, 2013.04 Barbulescu—Bouvier—Detrey—Gaudry—Jeljeli—Thomé—Videau—Zimmermann.

"Not your grandpa's FFS":
2012.12 Joux, 2013.02 Joux,
2013.02 Göloğlu-GrangerMcGuire-Zumbrägel, 2013.05
Göloğlu-Granger-McGuireZumbrägel, 2013.06 BarbulescuGaudry-Joux-Thomé.

FFS costs  $\leq T$  where  $\log T \in (\log q)^{1/3+o(1)}$ .

FFS costs  $\leq T$  where  $\log T \in (\log q)^{1/3+o(1)}$ .

2013.02 Joux algorithm:  $\log T \in (\log q)^{1/4+o(1)}$ .

FFS costs 
$$\leq T$$
 where  $\log T \in (\log q)^{1/3+o(1)}$ .

2013.02 Joux algorithm:

$$\log T \in (\log q)^{1/4+o(1)}.$$

2013.06 Barbulescu–Gaudry–Joux–Thomé algorithm:  $\log T \in (\log \log q)^{2+o(1)}$ .

FFS costs 
$$\leq T$$
 where  $\log T \in (\log q)^{1/3+o(1)}$ .

2013.02 Joux algorithm:

$$\log T \in (\log q)^{1/4 + o(1)}.$$

2013.06 Barbulescu-Gaudry-

Joux-Thomé algorithm:

$$\log T \in (\log \log q)^{2+o(1)}.$$

1994 Shor algorithm:

$$\log T \in (\log \log q)^{1+o(1)}$$
, proven;

but needs a quantum computer.

#### Field construction

I'll make simplifying assumption:

$$q = p^{2n}$$
 where

p is an odd prime power,

$$n \in \mathbf{Z}$$
,  $\sqrt{p} \leq n \leq p$ .

Most interesting:  $n \approx p$ .

Example: p = 1009, n = 997.

(Can you find all primes dividing

$$p^{2n}-1=(p^n-1)(p^n+1)?)$$

Find "random" poly in  $\mathbf{F}_{p^2}[x]$  with an irreducible divisor  $\varphi$  of degree n.

Construct  $\mathbf{F}_q$  as  $\mathbf{F}_{p^2}[x]/\varphi$ .

How many polys to try? What's chance that  $r \in \mathbf{F}_{p^2}[x]$  has an irreducible divisor  $\varphi$  of degree n?

For  $n \leq \deg r < 2n$ : express each successful runiquely as  $\varphi$  · cofactor.  $\approx (p^2)^{\deg r+1}$  polys r,  $\approx (p^2)^n/n$  monic irreds  $\varphi$ ,  $\approx (p^2)^{\deg r-n+1}$  cofactors  $\Rightarrow$  chance  $\approx 1/n$  that r works.

Similar story for  $\deg r \geq 2n$ .

Factoring r is fast.  $\Rightarrow$  Quickly find r,  $\varphi$ .

Don't use random polys! (Starting now: abandon proofs.)

Find  $oldsymbol{arphi}$  dividing  $oldsymbol{x}^p-oldsymbol{x}^2-oldsymbol{eta}$  for some  $oldsymbol{eta}\in \mathbf{F}_{p^2}$ . Then  $oldsymbol{x}^p=oldsymbol{x}^2+oldsymbol{eta}$  in  $oldsymbol{\mathsf{F}}_{a}$ .

 $p^2$  choices of  $\beta \in \mathbf{F}_{p^2}$ , so overwhelmingly likely that at least one works. e.g.  $p=1009,\ n=997$ : can have  $\beta^2+92\beta+447=0$ .

Easily generalize: e.g., take  $x^p=x^2+\beta x+\gamma$  or  $x^p=(x+\beta)/(x+\gamma).$  But larger degrees are slower.

### Low-degree discrete logs

First step of algorithm: build table of  $h \mapsto \log_g h$  for each small  $h \in \mathbf{F}_{p^2}[x] - \varphi \mathbf{F}_{p^2}[x]$ . Easily choose g at same time.

"Small h":  $\deg h \leq D$ . Choose  $D \geq 1$ ;  $D \in O(\log n / \log \log n)$ .

## Low-degree discrete logs

First step of algorithm: build table of  $h \mapsto \log_g h$  for each small  $h \in \mathbf{F}_{p^2}[x] - \varphi \mathbf{F}_{p^2}[x]$ . Easily choose g at same time.

"Small h":  $\deg h \leq D$ . Choose  $D \geq 1$ ;  $D \in O(\log n / \log \log n)$ .

Non-uniform approach: algorithm  $A_q$  knows table!

## Low-degree discrete logs

First step of algorithm: build table of  $h \mapsto \log_g h$  for each small  $h \in \mathbf{F}_{p^2}[x] - \varphi \mathbf{F}_{p^2}[x]$ . Easily choose g at same time.

"Small 
$$h$$
":  $\deg h \leq D$ . Choose  $D \geq 1$ ;  $D \in O(\log n / \log \log n)$ .

Non-uniform approach: algorithm  $A_q$  knows table!

Two reasons to be more explicit:

- 1. Want A with q as an input.
- 2. Method to build table will be reused for larger h.

### The first relation for D = 1

$$\prod_{lpha \in \mathsf{F}_{\mathcal{D}}} (x - lpha) \equiv x^2 - x + \beta.$$

"
$$\equiv$$
" for  $\mathbf{F}_{p^2}[x]$ : equal mod  $x^p-x^2-oldsymbol{eta}$ ; forces  $=$  in  $\mathbf{F}_q$ .

Hope that  $x^2 - x + \beta$  splits in  $\mathbf{F}_{p^2}[x]$ , say as  $f_1 \cdot f_2$ . Not an unreasonable hope:  $\approx 50\%$  of quadratics split.

Then 
$$\log_g f_1 + \log_g f_2 = \sum_{lpha \in \mathbf{F}_p} \log_g (x - lpha).$$

This is a "relation" among discrete logs of monic linear polys.

#### More relations for D=1

For  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{F}_{p^2}$ :

$$(cx+d)\prod_{lpha\in {\sf F}_p}(ax+b-lpha(cx+d))\ lpha\in {\sf F}_p = (cx+d)(ax+b)^p \ -(ax+b)(cx+d)^p = (cx+d)(a^px^p+b^p) \ -(ax+b)(c^px^p+d^p) = (cx+d)(a^p(x^2+eta)+b^p) \ -(ax+b)(c^p(x^2+eta)+d^p).$$

Left side is product of linear polys in  $\mathbf{F}_{p^2}[x]$ . Often right side is too.

$$\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{p^2}^*, M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^2})$$
  
 $\Rightarrow M, \lambda M$  are redundant.

$$m \in GL_2(\mathbf{F}_p), M \in GL_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^2})$$
  
 $\Rightarrow M, mM$  are redundant.

No other obvious redundancies.

Is there a nice way to represent the set of cosets of  $PGL_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$  in  $PGL_2(\mathbf{F}_{p^2})$ ? Best hints so far: Cremona points me to  $\mathbf{F}_{p^4}^*/\mathbf{F}_{p^2}^*$ ; Bartel gives solution for  $GL_2$ .

Mindless enumeration of cosets is not a real bottleneck here but want fast multipoint eval.

 $p^3+p$  potential relations, conjecturally pprox independent. Each succeeds with chance pprox 1/6.

Only  $p^2$  monic linear polys. Expect enough relations to determine their logs (or *most* logs: ok to miss a few), unless p is very small.

BGJT say sparse linear algebra; but fast matrix multiplication gives better const in exponent.

(How to avoid annihilating  $\mathbf{F}_{p^2}^*$ ? Maybe cleanest:  $x^p = \beta x^2 + 1$ , where  $oldsymbol{eta}$  generates  $\mathbf{F}_{p^2}^*$ .)

### More relations for arbitrary D

For each small  $h \in \mathbf{F}_{p^2}[x]$ :

$$(ch+d)\prod (ah+b-lpha(ch+d)) \ lpha\in F_p \ = (ch+d)(ah+b)^p \ - (ah+b)(ch+d)^p \ = (ch+d)(a^ph^p+b^p) \ - (ah+b)(c^ph^p+d^p) \ \equiv (ch+d)(a^ph(x^2+eta)+b^p) \ - (ah+b)(c^ph(x^2+eta)+d^p).$$

Left side is product of small polys; sometimes right side is too.

 $\approx$ 5% as  $D \to \infty$ . BGJT say 1/6.

# Larger discrete logs

What if  $D < \deg h \le 2D$ ?

Use same equation:

$$(ch+d)\prod(ah+b-lpha(ch+d)) \ lpha\in \mathsf{F}_p \ \equiv (ch+d)(a^ph(x^2+eta)+b^p) \ - (ah+b)(c^ph(x^2+eta)+d^p).$$

Occasionally right side is product of small polys.

We now know those discrete logs.

Left side is product on new factor base:  $\{h+\gamma:\gamma\in \mathbf{F}_{p^2}\}.$  Solve for each  $\log_g(h+\gamma).$ 

For deg  $h \leq (u/3)D$ :

D-smoothness chance  $pprox u^{-u}$  so  $pprox u^{-u}p^3$  relations.

Need  $\approx p^2$  relations.

Note free relations: smooth  $h + \gamma$ .

Works for  $u \approx \log p / \log \log p$ .

Reminiscent of linear sieve

(1977 Schroeppel):

$$(\lceil \sqrt{q} \rceil + a)(\lceil \sqrt{q} \rceil + b)$$
  
 $\equiv (a+b)\lceil \sqrt{q} \rceil + ab + \lceil \sqrt{q} \rceil^2 - q$   
mod large prime  $q$ .

Factor base in linear sieve:

$$\{\lceil \sqrt{q} \rceil + a\} \cup \{\text{small primes}\}.$$

## Arbitrary discrete logs

For 
$$(u/3)D < \deg h \le (u/3)^2D$$
:

Use same equation

$$(ch+d)\prod (ah+b-lpha(ch+d)) \ lpha\in \mathsf{F}_p \ \equiv (ch+d)(a^ph(x^2+eta)+b^p) \ - (ah+b)(c^ph(x^2+eta)+d^p).$$

Occasionally (u/3)D-smooth right side; again  $\{h+\gamma\}$  for left side. Have seen subroutine to compute (u/3)D-smooth discrete logs.

 $p^{O(1)}$  subroutine calls, of which  $\Theta(p^2)$  are important.

For larger *h*: recurse.

Reach degree n-1 using

$$\frac{\log n}{\log(u/3)} \in \Theta\Big(\frac{\log n}{\log\log n}\Big)$$

levels of recursion.

Total cost  $p^{\Theta(\log n/\log\log n)}$ 

$$= \exp \Theta \Big( \frac{(\log n)^2}{\log \log n} \Big)$$

$$= \exp \Theta \left( \frac{(\log \log q)^2}{\log \log \log q} \right).$$

What about  $p^{2n}$  with p < n? Embed into an extension field. Can also use  $x^{\rm char}$  etc.