

#### A SCALING PROPERTY OF SHRINKING DIFFRACTION PEAKS

G. Auberson and S.M. Roy\*)
CERN - Geneva

#### ABSTRACT

$$b(s) \equiv \frac{d}{dt} \ln \left( \frac{d\sigma^{A}(s,t)}{dt} \right) \leq \frac{1}{4t_{o}} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{s^{2}}{d\sigma^{A}(s,o)} \right) \right],$$

where  $(\mathrm{d}\sigma/\mathrm{d}t)^{A}(s,t)$  is the absorptive contribution to the elastic unpolarized differential cross-section for particles with arbitrary spins at c. m. energy and momentum transfer  $\sqrt{s}$ ,  $\sqrt{-t}$ , and t is the right extremity of its Lehmann-Martin ellipse for  $s\to\infty$ . If this inequality is saturated apart from a constant factor, then there must exist sequences of  $s_n\to\infty$  such that

$$\lim_{S_{n}\to\infty} \left[ \frac{d\sigma^{A}}{dt} (s_{n}, t = -\frac{\tau}{\xi(s_{n})}) / \frac{d\sigma^{A}}{dt} (s_{n}, 0) \right] = f(\tau)$$

where  $f(\tau)$  is an entire function of order  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

<sup>\*)</sup> On leave from Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, India



### 1. - INTRODUCTION

There is considerable interest in the possibility that the elastic diffraction peak possesses a scaling property at high energies  $^{1),2)$ . The first rigorous results on this question were obtained by Auberson, Kinoshita and Martin  $^{3)}$  for a class of scattering amplitudes including those saturating the Froissart bound, and slightly generalized by Cornille and Simao  $^{4)}$  and Roy  $^{5)}$ . Substantially new results have been obtained recently by Cornille and Martin  $^{6)}$ .

Here we obtain from unitarity and axiomatic analyticity properties an asymptotic upper bound on

$$\delta(s) = \frac{d}{dt} \ln \frac{d\sigma^{-A}(s,+)}{dt} \Big|_{t=0}$$

where  $(d\sigma/dt)^A(s,t)$  is the absorptive contribution to the elastic differential cross-section for particles of arbitrary spin at c.m. energy and momentum transfer  $\sqrt{s}$  and  $\sqrt{-t}$ , respectively. We show that if this bound is saturated apart from a constant factor,  $(d\sigma/dt)^A$  must have a non-trivial scaling property with a scaling variable  $\tau \equiv -t$  b(s). In the case of dominantly absorptive amplitudes the present results (i) represent a substantial generalization of those of Ref. 3), and (ii) imply a non-trivial scaling property of the differential cross-section provided the diffraction peak width shrinks as  $1/(\ln s)^2$  for  $s \to \infty$ . Such a shrinkage is compatible with, but not implied by, the present high energy data 7.

#### 2. - BASIC RESULTS

Our starting point is the partial wave expansion, for arbitrary spins,

$$\frac{d\sigma^{A}}{dt}(s,t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) \sigma_{l}(s) P_{l}(1 + \frac{t}{2k^{2}})$$
(2)

which converges for physical s for t within the Lehmann-Martin ellipse  $^{8)}$  of right-extremity  $t_{o}(s)$ , with

$$t_0 \equiv \lim_{s \to \infty} t_o(s) \tag{3}$$

(e.g.,  $t_0 = 4m_\pi^2$  for  $\pi\pi$  and  $\pi N$  scattering), k being the c.m. momentum. A fundamental consequence of unitarity proved only recently by Mahoux <sup>9)</sup> generalizing an earlier result of Cornille and Martin <sup>6)</sup> is that

$$\P(s) > 0$$
, for  $l = 0, 1, 2, ...$ 

It is known in the spinless case that

$$\frac{2}{9} \left[ \frac{\sigma_{\text{tot}}^2}{4\pi\sigma_{\text{L}}} - \frac{1}{k^2} \right] \leq 6(s) \leq \frac{1}{2(t_0 - \epsilon)} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{s}{\sigma_{\text{tot}}} \right) \right], \epsilon > 0,$$
 (5)

where the left-hand side is due to McDowell and Martin  $^{10}$  and the right-hand side due to Singh  $^{11}$ ) is an improvement of previous results  $^{12}$ ). The left-hand side has been shown by Cormille and Martin  $^{6}$  to be valid for arbitrary spins provided that the factor  $^{2/9}$  is replaced by  $^{1/8}$ ; they also show that if  $s \sigma_{tot}^{2}/\sigma_{el} \rightarrow \infty$ , and if the left-hand side of (5) is saturated apart from a constant factor,  $(d\sigma/dt)^{A}$  must have a "weak scaling" property. Here we generalize to arbitrary spins the right-hand side of the bound (5) and prove that a "strong scaling" property must hold if the resulting bound is saturated apart from a constant factor. Our main results are summarized by the following theorems, valid for elastic scattering of particles with arbitrary spin;  $\varepsilon$  will denote a positive number which can be chosen arbitrarily small.

Theorem 1 Upper bound on  $(d\sigma/dt)^A(s,t)$  for complex t For  $|t| < t_0$ ,

$$\left| \frac{d\sigma^{\Lambda}(s,+)}{dt} \right| \leq I_{o} \left( \sqrt{\frac{|t|}{t_{o}-\epsilon}} \omega(s) \right), \tag{6}$$

where I is the modified Bessel function of order zero, and

$$\omega(s) \equiv \ln \left[ \frac{s^2}{\frac{d\sigma^A(s,0)}{dt}} \right]. \tag{7}$$

Theorem 2 Upper bound on b(s)

$$l(s) \leq \frac{\left[\omega(s)\right]^2}{4(t_0 - \epsilon)} = l_{Max}^{(s)}$$
(8)

Theorem 3 Bound on curvature of diffraction peak

$$\frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}} \ln \left[ \frac{d\sigma^{A}(s,t)}{dt} \right] \leq \frac{b(s) \left[ \omega_{k}(s) \right]^{2}}{8(t_{o}-\epsilon)}$$
(9)

where

$$\omega_{l}(s) = \ln \left[ \frac{s^{2}}{\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{d\sigma^{A}(s,+)}{dt} \right) \right]}$$
(10)

Remark

Theorems 1 to 3 are generalizations to arbitrary spin of Singh's results in the spinless case  $^{11),13}$ . Note that  $\omega(s)\sim const.$  In s, for  $s\to \infty$ , because the lower bound of Jin, Martin and Cornille  $^{14}$ ) which readily generalizes to arbitrary spins using the amplitudes of Mahoux and Martin  $^{8)}$ , gives

$$\frac{d\sigma^{A}(s,o)}{dt} \gg \frac{\sigma_{tot}}{16\pi} \gg const. s^{-12}$$
(11)

and hence

$$(2-\epsilon)\ln s \leq \omega(s) \leq 14 \ln s$$

$$s \to \infty \qquad s \to \infty \qquad (12)$$

# Theorem 4 Bounds on physical region cross-sections

For  $-4k^2 \le t \le 0$  we have

$$1 + tb(s) \leq \frac{d\sigma^{A}(s,t)}{\frac{d\sigma}{\partial t}(s,0)} \leq 1 + tb(s) + \frac{t^{2}b(s)[\omega_{1}(s)]^{2}}{16(t_{0}-\epsilon)}$$
(13)

#### Remark

The left-hand side of this inequality is due to Cornille and Martin  $^{6}$ ); the right-hand side is presumably new.

## Theorem 5 Strong scaling theorem

Tet.

$$f(s,\tau) \equiv \frac{d\sigma^{A}(s,t=-\frac{\tau}{b(s)})}{dt} / \frac{d\sigma^{A}(s,o)}{dt}$$

Ιf

$$b(s)/b_{Max}(s) \gtrsim b_0 \neq 0$$
,
$$(15)$$

where  $b_{max}(s)$  is defined by Eq. (8), then every sequence  $s_n \to \infty$  must contain a subsequence  $s_n \to \infty$  such that

$$\lim_{s_{n}\to\infty}f(s_{n},\tau)=f(\tau)$$
(16)

where (i) the limit is uniform in any bounded set of the complex  $\tau$  plane, (ii)  $f(\tau)$  is an entire function of order half obeying f(0) = 1, f'(0) = -1 and the representation

$$f(\tau) = \int_{\lambda=0}^{2/\sqrt{\xi_0}} d\mu(\lambda) J_0(\lambda \sqrt{\tau}), \qquad (17)$$

where 
$$d\mu(\lambda)$$
 is a positive measure obeying  $2/\sqrt{6}$ ,  $d\mu(\lambda) = 1$ ,  $d\mu(\lambda) \lambda^2 = 4$ . (18)

#### Remarks

- (i) In Ref. 3), an analogous scaling property has been proved under a condition, which for purely absorptive amplitudes reads  $\sigma_{\rm tot}$  > const(ln s)<sup>2</sup>; then the McDowell-Martin bound implies that the condition (15) for validity of Theorem 5 also holds. On the other hand, the condition (15) places no restriction on the behaviour of  $\sigma_{\rm tot}$  allowing  $\sigma_{\rm tot} \sim \bar{s}^{\gamma}$ , ( $\gamma > 0$ ), as well as  $\sigma_{\rm tot} \sim (\ln s)^2$ . Thus, for purely absorptive amplitudes, Theorem 5 is of more general applicability.
- (ii) The scaling variable  $\tau = -t$  b(s) is not necessarily a constant multiple of  $t \left( \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \right)^A(s,0) / \sigma_{e\ell}$  because the condition (15) allows  $b(s)\sigma_{e\ell}/(d\sigma/dt)^A(s,0) \to \infty$  for  $s \to \infty$ . Correspondingly, the asymptotic behaviour of our scaling function can be quite different from that in Refs. 3), 6), as discussed later.
  - (iii) As in Ref. 3), uniqueness of the scaling function is not proved.
- Theorem 6 Upper bound on  $d\sigma/dt^A(s,t)$  at finite energies in terms of  $\sigma_{e\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad d\sigma/dt^A(s,0)$  For any physical s and for  $-1 \le \cos\theta \equiv 1 + t/2k^2 \le 1$ ,

$$\frac{d\sigma^{A}}{dt}(s,t) \leq \frac{\sigma_{el}}{4k^{2}} \left[ \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} (2\ell+1) \left( 1 + \ell(\ell+1) A \sin^{2}\theta \right) + (2L+1) \epsilon_{L} \left( 1 + L(L+1) A \sin^{2}\theta \right) \right]$$
(19)

where the integer L and the fraction  $\varepsilon_{T_i}$  are given by

$$\frac{d\sigma^{A}}{dt}(s,0) = \frac{\sigma_{el}}{4R^{2}} \left[ \sum_{o}^{L-1} (2l+1) + (2L+1) \epsilon_{L} \right], 0 \leq \epsilon_{L} \leq 1.$$
(20)

Further, if  $s(d\sigma/dt)^A(s,0)/\sigma \xrightarrow[el s\to\infty]{} \infty$ , then we have the asymptotic bound

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\Lambda}(s,t)}{\frac{d\sigma^{\Lambda}(s,0)}{\frac{d\sigma^{\Lambda}(s,0)}{\frac{s\to\infty}{\tau^{l}fixed}}} \leq \frac{(1+4\tau')^{3/4}-1}{3\tau'}, \text{ for } \tau' \gamma 0,$$
(21)

where

$$\tau' = (-t) \frac{d\sigma(s,0)}{dt} / \sigma_{el}$$
(22)

#### 3. - PROOF OF THEOREMS 1 TO 4

To prove Theorem 1, we pose the problem of finding an upper bound on  $\left(\text{d}\sigma/\text{d}t\right)^A(s,t)$  for t within the Lehmann-Martin ellipse (in particular  $|t| < t_o - \varepsilon$ ), given  $\left(\text{d}\sigma/\text{d}t\right)^A(s,0)$ , and the information that  $\sigma_{\ell} \geq 0$  and

$$\frac{d\sigma^{A}(s, t_{o}-\epsilon)}{dt} < const. s^{2}.$$
(23)

Using the facts that  $|P_{\ell}(1+(t/2k^2))| < P_{\ell}(1+(|t|/2k^2))$ ,  $P_{\ell}(1+(|t|/2k^2))$  increases with  $\ell$ , and  $P_{\ell}(1+(|t|/2k^2))/P_{\ell}(1+(|t|-\epsilon)/2k^2))$  decreases with increasing  $\ell$  for  $|t| < t_0 - \epsilon$ 

$$\left|\frac{d\sigma^{A}(s,t)}{dt}\right| \leq \sum_{l=0}^{L(s)} (2l+1)\sigma(s) P_{\varrho}(1+\frac{|t|}{2k^{2}}) + \sum_{l=L(s)+1}^{\infty} (2l+1)\sigma(s) P_{\varrho}(1+\frac{|t|}{2k^{2}})$$

$$\leq P_{L(s)}(1+\frac{|t|}{2k^{2}})\frac{d\sigma^{A}}{dt}(s,o) + P_{L(s)+1}(1+\frac{|t|}{2k^{2}})\frac{d\sigma^{A}}{dt}(s,t_{o}-\epsilon)/P(1+\frac{t_{o}-\epsilon}{2k^{2}})$$

and, since 
$$P_{\ell}(z) \leq I_{0}(Q\ell+1)\sqrt{\frac{2-1}{2}}$$

for  $z \ge 1$ ,  $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  Ref. 5), p. 193

$$\left|\frac{d\sigma^{A}}{dt}(s,t)\right| \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\left(2L(s)+t\right)\sqrt{\frac{H}{4k^{2}}}\right) \frac{d\sigma^{A}}{dt}(s,0) \left[1+o(1)\right], L(s) = \frac{\sqrt{s} \omega(s)}{2\sqrt{\xi_{0}-2\xi}}$$

which is equivalent to Theorem 1. Theorems 2 and 3 follow exactly similarly, and we omit their proof. For theorem 4, we use the inequality, valid for  $-1 \le \cos \le 1$ ,  $\ell = 0$ , 1, 2,...,

$$1 + (\cos \theta - 1) \left[ P_{\ell}(\cos \theta) \right] \leqslant P_{\ell}(\cos \theta) \leqslant 1 + (\cos \theta - 1) P_{\ell}(\cos \theta - 1) + (\cos \theta - 1) \left[ P_{\ell}(\cos \theta - 1) \right] + (\cos \theta - 1)^{2} P_{\ell}(\cos \theta - 1)$$

$$(25)$$

(whose left-hand side is due to Singh 11) and right-hand side to Cornille 6), and obtain, after inserting Theorem 3, the desired result.

#### 4. - PROOF OF THEOREM 5

From Theorem 1 and assumption (15), we see that for S large enough,  $\{f(s,\tau)|s>S\}$  is a family of analytic functions of  $\tau$  in the disc  $|\tau|< b_O(\ln S)^2$ , uniformly bounded in this disc by

$$|f(s,\tau)| \leq S \quad I_o\left(2\sqrt{\frac{rr}{6_o}}\right)$$
(26)

We may thus repeat the arguments of Ref. 3) to conclude that every sequence  $s_n^! \to \infty$  must contain a subsequence  $s_n^! \to \infty$  such that  $f(s_n^!, \tau)$  converges (uniformly in any bounded region of the  $\tau$  plane) to an entire function  $f(\tau)$  of order  $\leq \frac{1}{2}$ . We know from the uniformity of the convergence, and from Theorem 4 that f(0) = 1, and

$$1-\tau \leq f(\tau) \leq 1-\tau + \frac{\tau^2}{4b_o}, \text{ for } \tau \gg 0,$$

and hence  $f(\tau)$  cannot be identically equal to one. Further, from analyticity inside a circle C of radius R, around  $\tau=0$ ,

$$\left|\frac{df(t)}{d\tau} - \frac{df(s_n, \tau)}{d\tau}\right| = \left|\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{C} d\tau' \frac{f(\tau') - f(s_n, \tau')}{\tau'^2}\right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{R} \frac{Max}{\tau' \in C} \left|f(\tau') - f(s_n, \tau')\right| \xrightarrow{S_n \to \infty} 0$$
(28)

Hence  $f'(\tau=0)=-1$ ; further, since  $|P_{\ell}(\cos\theta)| \le 1$  for  $-1 \le \cos\theta \le 1$ 

$$f(s,\tau) \leq 1$$
, and  $f(\tau) \leq 1$ , for all  $\tau \gg 0$ .

If the order of  $f(\tau)$  were less than half, the Phragmén-Lindelöf theorem <sup>15</sup>) and Eq. (29) would imply that  $f(\tau)$  is bounded everywhere and hence a constant; this is not the case. Hence  $f(\tau)$  must be of order half.

#### Integral representation

As in proof of Theorem 1, we show easily that, uniformly for  $-T \leq \tau \leq 0$ , for  $s \to \infty$ ,

$$f(s,\tau) = o(1) + \sum_{\ell=0}^{L(s)} (2\ell+1) \sigma_{\ell}(s) P_{\ell}(1 - \frac{\tau}{2k^{2}\ell(s)}) / \sum_{\ell'=0}^{L(s)} (2\ell'+1) \sigma_{\ell'}(s),$$
(30)

with L(s) given by Eq. (24); further for  $\ell \leq L(s)$ ,  $z = 1 - (\tau/2k^2b(s))$ , [see Ref. 5), p. 193]

$$0 \leq I_{0}((2l+1)\sqrt{\frac{2}{2}}) - I_{2}(z) \leq I_{0}((2l+1)\sqrt{\frac{2}{2}}) - I_{0}(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{2}}}{2+\sqrt{\frac{2}{2}}})$$

$$\leq \left[ (2l(s)+1)\sqrt{\frac{2}{2}} - \frac{L(s)\sqrt{\frac{2}{2}}}{2+\sqrt{\frac{2}{2}}} \right] I_{0}((2L(s)+1)\sqrt{\frac{2}{2}}) = o(1)$$

uniformly for  $-T \le \tau \le 0$ . Hence we may approximate  $P_{\ell}(z)$  by  $I_0((2\ell+1)\sqrt{(z-1)/2})$  to obtain

$$f(s,\tau) = \int_{\lambda=0}^{2/\sqrt{L_o}} d\mu_s(\lambda) I_o(\lambda\sqrt{-\tau}) + o(1), -\tau \leq \tau \leq 0, s \to \infty,$$
(31)

$$d\mathcal{U}_{s}(\lambda) = \frac{d\lambda}{\sum_{\substack{l(s)\\\ell'=0}}^{L(s)}} \sum_{\substack{l(s)\\2l'+1)}}^{L(s)} \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{l(s)\\2l'\neq 0}}^{L(s)}}_{\substack{l(s)\\2l'\neq 0}} \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{l(s)\\2l'\neq 0}}^{L(s)}}_{\substack{l(s)\\2l'\neq 0}}}\underbrace{\sum_{\substack{l(s)\\2l'\neq 0}}^{L(s)}}_{\substack{l(s)\\2l'\neq 0}} \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{l(s)\\2l'\neq 0}}^{L(s)}}_{\substack{l(s)\\2l'\neq 0}}}\underbrace{\sum_{\substack{l(s)\\2l'\neq 0}}^{L(s)}}_{\substack{l(s)\\2l'\neq 0}}\underbrace{\sum_{\substack{l(s)\\2l'\neq 0}}^{L(s)}}_{\substack{l(s)\\2l'\neq 0}}\underbrace{\sum_{\substack{l(s)\\2l'\neq 0}}^{L(s)}}_{\substack{l(s)\\2l'\neq 0}}\underbrace{\sum_{\substack{l(s)\\2l'\neq 0}}^{L(s)}}_{\substack{l(s)\\2l'\neq 0}}\underbrace{\sum_{\substack{l(s)\\2l'\neq 0}}^{L(s)}}_{\substack{l(s)\\2l'\neq 0}}\underbrace{\sum_{\substack{l(s)\\2l'\neq 0}}^{L(s)}}_{\substack{l(s)\\2l'\neq 0}}\underbrace{\sum_{\substack{l(s)\\2l'\neq 0}}^{L(s)}}_{\substack{l(s)\\2l'\neq 0}}\underbrace$$

Consider a sequence  $s_n^{\dagger} \to \infty$  such that  $f(s_n^{\dagger}, \tau) \to f(\tau)$ . It is known that for every sequence of positive measures  $d\mu_{s_n^{\dagger}}(\lambda)$  of unit norm on  $\lambda = \left[0, 2 / \sqrt{b_0}\right]$ , there exists a subsequence  $s_n$  and a positive measure  $d\mu_{(\lambda)}$  of unit norm such that, for every continuous function  $g(\lambda)$ ,

of unit norm such that, for every continuous function 
$$g(\lambda)$$
,

$$\lim_{S_{n}\to\infty}\int_{0}^{2/\sqrt{\delta_{0}}}d\mu_{S_{n}}(\lambda)g(\lambda) = \int_{0}^{2/\sqrt{\delta_{0}}}d\mu(\lambda)g(\lambda). \tag{34}$$

Thousing 
$$g(\lambda) = I_o(\lambda\sqrt{-\tau})$$
, we have  $2/\sqrt{\epsilon_o}$ 

$$f(\tau) = \lim_{S_n \to \infty} \int_0^{2/\sqrt{\epsilon_o}} d\mu_{S_n}(\lambda) I_o(\lambda\sqrt{-\tau}) = \int_0^{1} d\mu_{S_n}(\lambda) I_o(\lambda\sqrt{-\tau}), \quad (35)$$

first for  $-T \le \tau \le 0$ , and by analytic continuation, for all complex  $\tau$ . Finally, f'(0) = -1 yields Eq. (18).

# 5. - PROOF OF THEOREM 6

$$\sigma_{\ell}(s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} d(\cos\theta) P_{\ell}(\cos\theta) \frac{d\sigma}{dt} (s, t = -2k^{2}(1-\cos\theta)),$$
(36)

the positivity of  $(d\sigma/dt)^{A}(s,t)$ , and  $\left|P_{\ell}(\cos\theta)\right| \leq 1$ , we have

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{g}}(s) \leqslant \sigma_{\mathbf{o}}(s) = \frac{\sigma_{\mathbf{e}l}^{A}}{4k^{2}} \leqslant \frac{\sigma_{\mathbf{e}l}}{4k^{2}},$$
(37)

where  $\sigma_{e\ell}^{A}$  denotes the absorptive contribution to  $\sigma_{e\ell}$ . Further from 17)

$$|P_{k}(\cos\theta)| \leq [1 + 1(l+1)\sin^{2}\theta]^{-1/4}, -1 \leq \cos\theta \leq 1,$$
(38)

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt}^{A}(s,t) \leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (2\ell+1)\sigma_{\ell}(s) \left[1 + \ell(\ell+1) \sin^{2}\theta\right]^{-1/4}$$
(39)

We seek then an upper bound on the right-hand side of this equation given  $(d\sigma/dt)^A(s,0)$ , and the constraints  $0 \le \sigma_\ell(s) \le \sigma_{e\ell}/(4k^2)$ , and readily derive Theorem 6.

# 6. - ZEROS AND ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR

- A) Exactly as in Ref. 3), we deduce that  $f(\tau)$  has infinitely many zeros in a small neighbourhood of the positive  $\tau$  axis (i.e., negative taxis).
- B) Unlike Ref. 3), our assumption (15) allows the left-hand side of the equation . 42%(s)

$$l_{s}(s) \sigma_{s}^{A} / d\sigma_{dt}^{A}(s,0) = \int_{0}^{4k^{2}l_{s}(s)} d\tau f(s,\tau)$$
(40)

to be unbounded for  $s \to \infty$ , and hence allows  $f(\tau)$  to be non-integrable in  $\tau = [0,\infty]$ . This is most easily seen from the following example in the spinless case, with  $a_{\ell}(s)$  denoting partial waves of the absorptive part:  $a_{\ell}(s) = 1$ ,  $\ell = (0,L_1)$  and  $(L_2,L_3)$ ;  $a_{\ell}(s) = 0$  otherwise.

$$L_1 = \sqrt{s} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{16\pi}(1 - \frac{\sigma}{2c^2})}, L_2 = c\sqrt{s} \ln s \left[1 - \frac{\sigma b}{64\pi c^4} \frac{1}{(\ln s)^2}\right],$$
 $L_3 = c\sqrt{s} \ln s, b < 2c^2, c < 1/(2\sqrt{t_0})$ 
(41)

Then, for 
$$s \to \infty$$
,
$$\sigma_{el}^{A}(s) = \sigma_{tot}(s) \to \sigma, \quad \frac{l_{s}(s)}{(l_{ns})^{2}} \to l_{s}, \quad \frac{l_{s}(s)}{(l_{ns})^{2}} \to \frac{l_{s}(s)}{\sigma_{tot}} \to \frac{l_{s}(s)}{\sigma_{t$$

Thus  $f(\tau)$  can approach a constant for  $\tau \to \infty$ .

For comparison, note that in the (spinless) strong scaling case of Ref. 3),  $f(\tau)$  is not only integrable on  $\tau = [0,\infty]$  but obeys the local bound  $|f(\tau)| < c /\!\!/ \tau$  for  $\tau \to \infty$ .

In the weak scaling case of Ref. 6), it was shown that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} d\tau' f(\tau') < \text{const}, \ \tau' = -t \frac{d\sigma}{dt} f(s, 0) / \sigma_{el}$$
(43)

From unitarity,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} d\tau' f^{2}(\tau') \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} d\tau' f(\tau') \leq Combt.$$
(44)

and hence we have the Plancherel formula 3)

$$f(\tau') = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} du h(u) J_{0}(\sqrt{\tau'u}); \int_{0}^{\infty} du h'(u) = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\tau' f'(\tau') < Corot.$$
(45)

Further, from Theorem 6, we deduce that

$$f(\tau') < \frac{(1+4\tau')^{3/4}-1}{3\tau'}, \tau' > 0$$

Here

$$f(\tau') < const.(\tau')^{-1/4}, frr \tau' \rightarrow \infty$$

Thus the Hankel transform representation of our scaling function  $f(\tau)$  and its asymptotic behaviour are quite different from the previously known cases of strong and weak scaling.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We wish to thank A. Martin for useful discussions.

j No

#### REFERENCES

- 1) J. Dias de Deus Nuclear Phys. <u>B59</u>, 231 (1973); V. Singh and S.M. Roy - Phys. Rev. <u>1D</u>, 2638 (1970).
- 2) V. Barger Proceedings XVII International Conference on High Energy Physics, London (1974);
  - V. Barger, J. Luthe and R.J.N. Phillips Nuclear Phys. <u>B88</u>, 237 (1975);
  - P.P. Divakaran and A.D. Gangal T.I.F.R. Preprint (June 1976).
- 3) G. Auberson, T. Kinoshita and A. Martin Phys. Rev. D3, 3185 (1971).
- 4) H. Cornille Nuovo Cimento Letters 4, 267 (1970); H. Cornille and F.R.A. Simao Nuovo Cimento 5A, 138 (1971).
- 5) S.M. Roy Physics Reports <u>50</u>, No 3 (1972).
- 6) H. Cornille and A. Martin CERN Preprint TH. 2130 (1976);
  H. Cornille Saclay Preprint D.Ph.T. 76/14 (Phys.Rev.D, to be published);
  H. Cornille and A. Martin Saclay Preprint D.Ph.T. 76/72.
- 7) G. Giacomelli Physics Reports <u>C23</u>, 123 (1976).
- 8) H. Lehmann Nuovo Cimento 10, 579 (1958);
  A. Martin Nuovo Cimento 42, 930 (1966).

  For arbitrary spin case, see: G. Mahoux and A. Martin Phys.Rev.

  174, 2140 (1968).
- 9) G. Mahoux to be published.
- 10) S.W. McDowell and A. Martin Phys. Rev. 135B, 960 (1964).
- 11) V. Singh Phys.Rev.Letters <u>26</u>, 530 (1971).
- 12) T. Kinoshita Lectures at the Boulder Summer School (1966); J.D. Bessis - Nuovo Cimento 45A, 974 (1966).
- 13) V. Singh Ann. Phys. 92, 377 (1975).
- 14) Y.S. Jin and A. Martin Phys.Rev. <u>135</u>, B1369 (1964); H. Cornille - Nuovo Cimento <u>4A</u>, 549 (1971).
- 15) R.P. Boas "Entire Functions", Academic Press, N.Y. (1954), p. 4.
- This follows directly from the compactness of the set of positive measures with norm ≤1 in the vague topology. See, e.g.:

  M. Reed and B. Simon "Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, I: Functional Analysis", Academic Press, N.Y. and London (1972), Section IV.5.
- 17) A. Martin Phys. Rev. 129, 1432 (1963).