# Helicity Formalism for Spin-2 Particles

#### Tanju Gleisberg

Institut fur Theoretische Physik, TU Dresden, D-01062 Dresden, Germ any, and Physics Department, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA E-mail: tanju@theory.phy.tu-dresden.de

#### Frank K rauss

Theory Division, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland E-m ail: frank.krauss@cern.ch

Konstantin T.M atchev

Physics Department, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA, and LEPP, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA E-mail: matchev@phys.ufl.edu

Andreas Schalicke

Institut fur Theoretische Physik, TU Dresden, D-01062 Dresden, Germ any E-m ail: dreas@theory.phy.tu-dresden.de

#### Ste en Schum ann

Institut fur Theoretische Physik, TU Dresden, D-01062 Dresden, G erm any E-m ail: steffen@theory.phy.tu-dresden.de

#### Gerhard So

Institut fur Theoretische Physik, TU Dresden, D-01062 Dresden, Germ any E-m ail: soff@physik.tu-dresden.de

A bstract: W e develop the helicity form alism for spin-2 particles and apply it to the case of gravity in at extra dimensions. W e then implement the large extra dimensions scenario of ArkaniHamed, D im opoulos and D vali in the program AMEGIC++, allowing for an easy calculation of arbitrary processes involving the emission or exchange of gravitons. W e complete the set of Feynman rules derived by Han, Lykken and Zhang, and perform several consistency checks of our implementation.

Keywords: Extra Large D in ensions, M atrix E lement Generation, Helicity Am plitudes.

W ork supported in part by the EC 5th Fram ework Program m e under contract number HPM F-CT-2002-01663.

### C ontents

| ⊥. | Inti                                | roduct | cion  | T  |
|----|-------------------------------------|--------|---|----|
| 2. | The Helicity Method                 |        |   |    |
|    | 2.1 Spinor basis                    |        |   | 3  |
|    | 2.2 Fermion lines                   |        | 4   |    |
|    | 2.3 External gauge bosons           |        | 5   |    |
|    |                                     | 2.3.1  | Replacing polarization vectors by spinor products | 5  |
|    |                                     | 2.3.2  | Polarization basis                                | 6  |
|    | 2.4                                 | 6      |   |    |
|    | 2.5                                 | 8      |   |    |
|    | 2.6 Construction of building blocks |        |   | 9  |
| 3. | Im plem entation of the ADD M odel  |        |   |    |
|    | 3.1 Summation of the KK states      |        |   | 11 |
|    |                                     | 3.1.1  | VirtualKK particles                               | 11 |
|    |                                     | 3.1.2  | ExternalKK particles                              | 12 |
|    | 3.2                                 | Verte  | x Feynm an Rules                                  | 13 |
| 4. | Tes                                 | ts     |   | 14 |
| 5. | Conclusions 1                       |        |   | 16 |
| Α. | App                                 | pendix | : Vertex Functions                                | 16 |

## 1. Introduction

W e have learned from string theory that extra spatial dimensions are the price for unifying the Standard M odelw ith gravity. Yet we are practically ignorant about how and why these extra dimensions are hidden from our world. W ill they appear at the electroweak scale? A re they bosonic or ferm ionic? W e hope that the answers to these puzzling questions are awaiting us in the next round of collider experiments at the Tevatron, LHC, and, possibly, at the next linear collider.

If spatial extra dimensions exist and are accessible to at least some of the Standard M odel eds, they have to be very small,  $O(TeV^{-1})$ . However, it is conceivable that all Standard M odel particles are conned to a brane, and only gravity (which is rather poorly tested at short distances) is allowed to permeate the bulk. This is the classic scenario of large extra dimensions [1,2], from now on referred to as ADD. In ADD the experimental

lim its on the size of the extra dimensions are much weaker. For recent compilations of various experimental probes of large extra dimensions, see e.g. [3{5].

Such scenarios o er an alternative solution to the gauge hierarchy problem and new avenues for unifying the Standard M odel interactions with gravity. They also predict a variety of novel signals, which are already testable at high-energy colliders, see [6] and references therein. G enerally speaking, there are two classes of signatures: real graviton production [7{12] and virtual graviton exchange [8,9,13]. G ravitons have enorm ous lifetim e and decay o the brane, hence real graviton production results in generic m issing energy signatures. V irtual graviton exchange m ay generate num erous higher dim ension operators, contributing to the production of ferm ion [8,9,13,14], gauge boson [15{17] or H iggs boson [18,19] pairs, as well as m ore com plicated nal states with m ore than two particles [20,21].

The phenom enology of ADD extra dimensions has been studied rather extensively, and num erous experim ental bounds from LEP and the Tevatron on the scale of extra dimensions M<sub>s</sub> have been set, yet none of the existing general purpose M onte C arb event generators has fully and consistently in plan ented the ADD model. For example, PYTHIA [22] now allows only for the production of narrow graviton resonances as in Randall{ Sundrum -type models [23,24]. Virtual graviton exchange is not im plemented. For Run I analyses [25{27] of large extra dimensions, the Tevatron collaborations have been using an uno cialversion of PYTHIA, where ADD graviton production has been in plemented as an external process [28]. The situation with HERWIG [29] is very similar { narrow graviton resonances are included [30,31], but not much else. ISAJET [32] has recently added the process of real ADD graviton production in association with a jet or a photon [33] and the implementation is analogous to [28]. PANDORA [34] has implemented virtual graviton exchange for  $e^+e^-$ ! ff; ;ZZ; W<sup>+</sup>W with the contact interaction of [13] as well as e<sup>+</sup>e ! G as in [7]. Finally, program s such as Madgraph [35] or COMPHEP [36], although highly autom ated, do not contain spin-2 particles and thus cannot be applied for graviton studies in their present form. Given all this, the pressing need for an autom ated event generator, capable of studying ADD phenom enology in its full generality, becom es quite evident.

The ADD Feynman rules have appeared in  $[8,9]^1$ , so it may seem that in plementing the ADD model in one of the above-mentioned event generators is rather straightforward. However, apart from a few simple cases of two-body nal states, the calculations involve a large number of diagrams, whose evaluation through the standard textbook methods of squaring the amplitudes, employing the completeness relations and taking the traces, becomes prohibitively di cult. This problem is considerably alleviated when the helicity method is used, which avoids lengthy matrix multiplications stemming from the notorious chains of D irac matrices. First ideas pointing into this direction can be found in [37,38]; later on, di erent approaches were formulated in [39[49] and further rened in [50[52]. Thanks to their easy in plementation and automatization (see for instance [53[55]) helicity methods have become a cornerstone for modern program s dealing with the evaluation of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See, how ever, section 3.2.

cross sections for multi-particle nal states. Exam ples for such program s are the multipurpose matrix element generators GRACE [56], HELAC [57], O'MEGA [58], AMEGIC++ [59], ALPGEN [60], and Madgraph [35]; furtherm ore there is a large number of more specialized codes such as those described in [61{63].

The main purpose of this paper is to develop the helicity form alism for spin-2 particles and to implement the ADD scenario in AMEGIC++ (A Matrix Element Generator In C++). Previous studies of ADD extra dimensions using helicity amplitudes can be found in [12,34].

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we review the basics of the helicity form alism and discuss som e ideas (2.4 and 2.5) that allow a general extension to the case of spin-2 particles (gravitons). In Section 3 we specify to the case of ADD and highlight som e features of the speci c in plem entation in AMEGIC++. For the main part we follow the conventions of [9]. We describe both external and virtual graviton processes and list a couple of Feynm an rules that had not been published before. Section 4 com pares this in plem entation to som e existing results in the literature, while Section 5 contains our conclusions. Several novel studies of ADD phenom enology at current and future colliders will be presented in a separate publication [64].

### 2. The Helicity Method

The basic idea behind the helicity m ethod is to replace D irac matrices by suitable spinor products, and to use the fact that expressions like

are simple scalar functions of the helicities and the momenta p of the spinors.

#### 2.1 Spinor basis

The spinor basis used in AMEGIC++ follows closely the de nitions of [51,52], where spinors are de ned in such a way that they satisfy the D irac equations

$$pu(p) = +m \quad u(p); \quad pv(p) = m \quad v(p);$$
 (2.1)

w ith

$$p^2 = p^2 = m^2$$
;

where m<sup>2</sup> is not constrained to be positive. For space-like p, i.e.  $p^2 < 0$ , m is purely in aginary, and the resulting phase degeneracy can be lifted by considering eigenstates of  ${}_{5}$ s. W ith the polarization vector s satisfying

$$s p = 0; ^{2}s = 1;$$
 (2.2)

this translates into xing the degeneracy by the conditions

$$_{5}su(p;) = u(p;); _{5}sv(p;) = v(p;):$$
 (2.3)

Spinors can now be constructed explicitly along the lines of [47]. Dening two orthogonal auxiliary vectors  $k_{0,1}$  with

$$k_0^2 = 0$$
;  $k_1^2 = 1$ ;  $k_0 = \frac{1}{k} = 0$ ; (2.4)

massless spinors w can be de ned through

$$w(k_0; )w(k_0; ) = \frac{1+5}{2} k_0;$$
 (2.5)

and the relative phase between spinors with di erent helicity is given by

$$w(k_0;) = k_1 w(k_0;)$$
 (2.6)

Spinors for an arbitrary four-m om entum p w ith  $p^2 = m^2$  can be obtained from the massless ones with the help of

$$u(p; ) = \frac{p+m}{2p-k} w(k_0; ); v(p; ) = \frac{p-m}{2p-k} w(k_0; );$$
(2.7)

both satisfying Eqs. (2.1) and (2.3)  $^2$ . In this approach, conjugate spinors are de ned to satisfy relations sim ilar to those of Eq. (2.3),

$$u(p; )_{5} = u(p; ); v(p; )_{5} = v(p; );$$
 (2.8)

and their relation to the massless spinors is given by

$$u(p; ) = w(k_0; ) p \frac{p+m}{2p-k}; v(p; ) = w(k_0; ) p \frac{m}{2p-k}:$$
 (2.9)

This guarantees the orthogonality of the spinors and after norm alizing

$$\frac{u(p; )u(p; )}{2m} = 1; \frac{v(p; )v(p; )}{2m} = 1;$$
(2.10)

the following com pleteness relation holds true:

$$L = \frac{x}{2m} \frac{u(p; )u(p; ) v(p; )v(p; )}{2m};$$
(2.11)

2.2 Ferm ion lines

In particular, the num erators of ferm ion propagators experience the follow ing m an ipulation

$$(p+m) = \frac{1}{2}^{X} \qquad 1 + \frac{m}{p^{2}} \quad u(p; )u(p; ) + 1 \quad \frac{m}{p^{2}} \quad v(p; )v(p; ) ; (2.12)$$

which is valid also for space-like p, i.e. for  $p^2 < 0$ . For a m ore detailed derivation, see [52]. This replacement allows us to \cut" diagrams into pieces with no internal ferm ion lines.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  In [65] spinors for massive ferm ions have been proposed which are directly related to physical polarization, is spin, states.

### 2.3 External gauge bosons

For spin-1 bosons there are two di erent strategies to dealwith the polarization vectors:

- 1. either replace them by spinor products, or
- 2. de ne explicit polarization 4-vectors.

Both options are available in AMEGIC++.

2.3.1 Replacing polarization vectors by spinor products

In this section, the replacement of polarization vectors of external massless or massive spin-1 bosons by spinor products is brie y summarized.

M assless spin-1 bosons on their m ass-shell have two physical degrees of freedom ; hence their polarization vectors satisfy

where p and are the four-m om entum and the polarization label of the boson, respectively. In the axial gauge the polarization sum reads

$$(p; ) (p; ) = + \frac{pq + pq}{pq};$$
 (2.14)

where the auxiliary vector q, p and denotes the at m etric tensor. Then, it can be shown that the replacement of the polarization vectors through

$$(p; ) = \frac{1}{\frac{4p}{4p}} u(q; ) u(p; )$$
 (2.15)

satis es all relations of Eqs. (2.13) and (2.14) and is thus a good choice.

For massive vector bosons one chooses a similar strategy to construct a spinor expression replacing the polarization vectors. However, massive spin-1 bosons on their mass-shell have an additional degree of freedom, the two transverse polarizations are supplemented with a longitudinal one with = 0. This state obeys

(p;0)p = 0; (p;0) (p; ) = 0; (p;0) (p;0) = 1; (2.16)

and the corresponding spin sum becomes

X  
(p; ) (p; ) = 
$$+\frac{p p}{m^2}$$
; (2.17)  
= ;0

where p and m are the four-m om entum and the m ass of the boson. In general, there is no sim ple replacem ent for the polarization vectors as for the m assless case. However, for unpolarized cross sections, the only relevant requirem ent is that Eq. (2.17) be reproduced. This can be simply achieved by introducing vectors and kinematics related to a pseudo-decay of the boson into two massless spinors with momenta  $r_{1,2}$  satisfying

$$r_1^2 = r_2^2 = 0$$
;  $r_1 + r_2 = p$ : (2.18)

O by iously the quantity

$$a = u(r_2; ) u(r_1; )$$
 (2.19)

projects out any scalar o -shell polarization. This quantity can be used to replace the polarization vector, because the integral over the solid angle of  $r_1$  available for the decay leads, up to a constant norm alization factor, to the spin sum of Eq. (2.17),

$$\frac{3}{8 m^2} daa = + \frac{p p}{m^2}:$$
 (2.20)

Therefore, replacing

! a; 
$$X = \frac{3}{8 m^2} daa$$
 (2.21)

leads to the desired result. A st discussion of these ideas can be found in [45].

#### 2.3.2 Polarization basis

It often turns out to be more convenient to keep the polarizations explicitly. A possible choice for an on-shell vector boson with momentum

$$p = (p_0; jejsin \cos'; jejsin sin'; jejcos)$$

is

$$(p) = \frac{p_{2}^{1}}{p_{2}^{2}} (0;\cos \cos' \quad i\sin';\cos \sin' \quad i\cos'; \sin)$$

$$^{0}(p) = \frac{p_{1}^{1}}{p_{2}^{2}} \quad jp_{j};p_{0}\frac{p}{jp_{j}} : \qquad (2.22)$$

For the special choice of  $q = (p_0; p)$  this satis es Eq. (2.13) and Eq. (2.14) for a massless boson; for massive bosons, also Eq. (2.16) and Eq. (2.17) are fulled.

Note that from now on we will label the polarization mode with an upper index. To avoid confusion, Lorentz indices are always denoted by ;; or , while and are used to indicate a vector polarization mode.

#### 2.4 G auge boson propagators

Sim ilar to the external vector boson treatment, there are two strategies to deal with gauge  $boson propagators^3$ :

 $<sup>^3\,\</sup>mathrm{T}\,\mathrm{h}\,\mathrm{is}$  refers to the soon-to-be released version 2.0 of AMEGIC++.

Structures in Feynman amplitudes with internal boson lines are calculated as one function (composite building block), depending just on the spinors at its edges. (External vector bosons are replaced as in 2.3.1.)

The num erator of vector boson propagators can be replaced by products of polarization vectors sim ilar to the completeness relations in Eq. (2.14) and Eq. (2.17). This allow s us to \cut" internal boson lines.

The replacem ent of the num erator for a massive spin-1 boson in unitary gauge reads

- -

X  
(p) (p) = 
$$+ \frac{p p}{m^2}$$
; (2.23)  
= t<sub>1</sub>, t<sub>2</sub>; l<sub>2</sub>s

where  $p = (p_0; j_2) \sin \cos'; j_2 \sin \sin'; j_2 \cos )$  can now be o -shell. The corresponding polarization vectors are

$${}^{t_{1}}(p) = (0;\cos \cos ';\cos \sin '; \sin );$$

$${}^{t_{2}}(p) = (0; \sin ';\cos ';0);$$

$${}^{1}(p) = \frac{p}{p} \frac{1}{p^{2}} \quad j = j; p_{0} \frac{p}{j = j};$$

$${}^{s}(p) = \frac{p^{2} m^{2}}{p^{2} m^{2}} p;$$

$$(2.24)$$

with the physical boson mass m. To simplify the implementation we choose a basis with linear polarization vectors  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  for the transverse modes. Together with the longitudinal mode 1 these vectors yield Eq. (2.17) for particles on their mass-shell. They are normalized and orthogonal. The scalar polarization s rejects the o-shellness" of the propagating particle. The orthogonality to all other polarization vectors is obvious, since it is proportional to p. Note that in Eq. (2.23) there is no complex conjugation in the polarization product. This avoids an extra sign discussion for space-like p, where 1 and s may become imaginary. The above replacement is also valid for vector bosons with a width, if m 2 is substituted by m 2 + im.

A simple inspection of Eq. (2.23) shows that a similar relation for massless vector bosons can be obtained by taking the limit m ! 1 . Then, only  $^{\rm s}$  is a ected and turns out to be

$$s_0(p) = \frac{r}{\frac{1}{p^2}}p$$
: (2.25)

By contracting the corresponding Lorentz index in each of the neighbouring vertices with a polarization vector and summing over the degrees of freedom, the Lorentz structure of the propagator is absorbed.

### 2.5 Spin-2 bosons

In this section we will show how the above ideas can be extended to deal with spin-2 particles. We follow the conventions and Feynman rules derived in [9]. W ith som eminimal changes, this can be applied to other models involving quantum gravity as well [23,24].

The propagator for a KK-graviton with mass m is

$$i4_{f}g;f_g(p) = \frac{iB_{f}(p)}{p^2 m^2 + i''};$$
 (2.26)

where

B ; (p) = 
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
  $\frac{p p}{m^2}$   $\frac{p p}{m^2}$  +  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{p p}{m^2}$   $\frac{p p}{m^2}$   
 $\frac{1}{3}$   $\frac{p p}{m^2}$   $\frac{p p}{m^2}$  : (2.27)

Em ploying Eq. (2.23) for each term in parentheses, we obtain

Since the graviton couples to the energy m om entum tensor, all resulting vertices are sym – metric in  $\$ . U sing this sym metry the above equation can be simplied to

$$B_{i} = \frac{X_{i}}{\sum_{j=t_{1}, t_{2}, l_{2}}} = \frac{1}{3} : (2.29)$$

The polarization vectors have been de ned in the previous section. To absorb the Lorentz structure of a spin-2 propagator into the vertices, their Lorentz indices now have to be contracted by two polarization vectors and the double sum in Eq. (2.29) has to be perform ed.

External spin-2 particles can be described by polarization tensors. A possible choice is given in [9]  $^4$ :

$$^{k} = ^{+ +}; \frac{1}{2} + ^{0} + ^{0} + ; \frac{1}{2} + ^{+} + ^{+} 2^{0}; \frac{1}{2} + ^{0} + ^{0}; :$$

(2.30)

Again applying the 's symmetry in the vertices, this simplies to

k

Here, <sup>10</sup> are the usual polarization vectors for massive vector bosons, which were de ned in Section 2.3.2. All polarization tensors are normalized and orthogonal, and they full the completeness relation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>N otice that our norm alization convention di ers from the last version of [9] by a factor of  $\frac{p}{2}$ . We chose to do this in order for the polarization sum s to be norm alized to 1, as in Eq. (A.3) in the published version of [9].

| 1 2 | Y ( $p_1$ ; _1; $p_2$ ; _2; $c_L$ ; $c_R$ ) | 1 2 | Y ( $p_1$ ; _1; $p_2$ ; _2; $c_L$ ; $c_R$ ) |
|-----|---|-----|---|
| + + | C <sub>R 1 2</sub> + C <sub>L 2 1</sub>     | +   | ⊊S(+;p₁;p₂)                                 |

Table 1: Y -functions for di erent helicity combinations. M issing combinations can be obtained through the replacements + and L R.

#### 2.6 Construction of building blocks

U tilizing the manipulations discussed above, all possible Feynman amplitudes can be built up from a small set of basic building blocks. Denoting all spinors by u, de ning chirality projectors  $P_{L,R}$  through

$$P_{L,R} = \frac{1-5}{2}$$
(2.33)

and de ning

$$\mathbf{p}_{i} = \frac{p}{2p_{i}} \frac{m_{i}}{k}; \quad \mathbf{p} = \frac{m_{i}}{i}; \quad (2.34)$$

where + = refers to particle/antiparticle, the basic building blocks are as follows:

1. Basic functions are direct products of massless spinors of the form

$$S(;p_1;p_2) u(p_1;)u(p_2;):$$
 (2.35)

Expressed through the auxiliary vectors  $k_{0\,\text{;l}}$  , they read

$$S(;p_{1};p_{2}) = 2 \frac{(p_{1} \neq 0)(p_{2} \neq 0)(p_{2} \neq 0)(p_{2} \neq 0)(p_{2} \neq 0)(p_{1} p_{1}p_{2}k_{0}k_{1}}{12};$$

$$S(;p_{1};p_{2}) = S(;p_{1};p_{1}):$$
(2.36)

2. The sim plest structure is the direct product of two (possibly massive) spinors of the form

$$Y (p_1; _1; p_2; _2; c_R; c_L) u(p_1; _1) [c_R P_R + c_L P_L] u(p_2; _2); \qquad (2.37)$$

which emerges for instance through couplings of scalar bosons to a ferm ion current. The results for this function are summarized in Table 1.

3. The next basic structure is the product of two spinors with a D irac m atrix in between. This emerges for instance when external gauge bosons couple to ferm ion lines with the polarization vector of the gauge bosons expressed explicitly or from terms pp of m assive gauge boson propagators. This building block is called X -function and reads

$$X (p_1; _1; p_2; p_3; _3; c_L; c_R) = u(p_1; _1) p_2 [c_L P_L + c_R P_R] u(p_3; _3): (2.38)$$

Only the restriction  $k_0p_2 \notin 0$  has to be applied by a suitable choice for  $k_0$ . The results for this function are summarized in Table 2.

| 1 3 | X ( $p_1$ ; _1; $p_2$ ; $p_3$ ; _3; $c_L$ ; $c_R$ )  |
|-----|--|
| + + | $1  3  {}^{2}_{2}C_{L} +  {}^{2}_{2}  1  3C_{R} + C_{R} \ S(+;p_{1};p_{2})S(;p_{2};p_{3})$ |
| +   | $c_{2}$ 1 2S(+; $p_{2}$ ; $p_{3}$ )+ $c_{R}$ 3 2S(+; $p_{1}$ ; $p_{2}$ )                   |

Table 2: X -functions for di erent helicity combinations. M issing combinations can be obtained through the replacements + and L R.

| 1234    | Z (p <sub>1</sub> ; 1;p <sub>2</sub> ; 2;p <sub>3</sub> ; 3;p <sub>4</sub> ; 4; $c_{L}^{12}$ ; $c_{R}^{12}$ ; $c_{R}^{34}$ ; $c_{R}^{34}$ ) |
|---------|---|
| + + + + | 2 S (+ ; $p_3$ ; $p_1$ )S ( ; $p_2$ ; $p_4$ ) $c_R^{12}c_R^{34}$ + 1 2 3 $4c_L^{12}c_R^{34}$ + 3 4 1 $2c_R^{12}c_L^{34}$                    |
| + + +   | $2 \ _{2}c_{R}^{12}$ S (+ ;p <sub>1</sub> ;p <sub>4</sub> ) $_{3}c_{L}^{34}$ + S (+ ;p <sub>1</sub> ;p <sub>3</sub> ) $_{4}c_{R}^{34}$      |
| + + +   | $2_{1}c_{R}^{12}$ S(; ; p_{3}; p_{2}) $_{4}c_{L}^{34}$ + S(; ; p_{4}; p_{2}) $_{3}c_{R}^{34}$   |
| + +     | $2S(+;p_4;p_1)S(;p_2;p_3)c_R^{12}c_L^{34} + 1 2 3 4c_L^{12}c_L^{34} + 3 4 1 2c_R^{12}c_R^{34}$  |
| + ++    | $2_{4}c_{R}^{34}$ S (+ ;p <sub>1</sub> ;p <sub>3</sub> ) $_{2}c_{R}^{12}$ + S (+ ;p <sub>2</sub> ;p <sub>3</sub> ) $_{1}c_{L}^{12}$         |
| + +     | 0   |
| + +     | $2_{1} 4_{2} 3C_{L}^{12}C_{L}^{34} + 2_{3} 1_{4}C_{R}^{12}C_{R}^{34} + 1_{3} 2_{4}C_{L}^{12}C_{R}^{34} + 2_{1} 3C_{R}^{12}C_{L}^{34}$       |
| +       | $2_{3}c_{L}^{34}$ S (+ ;p <sub>4</sub> ;p <sub>2</sub> ) $_{1}c_{L}^{12}$ + S (+ ;p <sub>1</sub> ;p <sub>4</sub> ) $_{2}c_{R}^{12}$         |

Table 3: Z-functions for di erent helicity combinations. M issing combinations can be obtained through the replacements + and L R.

4. The last structure to be discussed is

$$Z (p_{1}; _{1}; p_{2}; _{2}; p_{3}; _{3}; p_{4}; _{4}; c_{L}^{12}; c_{R}^{12}; c_{L}^{34}; c_{R}^{34}) = u(p_{1}; _{1}) [c_{L}^{12}P_{L} + c_{R}^{12}P_{R}]u(p_{2}; _{2})u(p_{3}; _{3}) [c_{L}^{34}P_{L} + c_{R}^{34}P_{R}]u(p_{4}; _{4}); (2.39)$$

which stems, for example, from terms in gauge boson propagators between ferm ion lines. Results for this function can be found in Table 3.

### 3. Im plem entation of the ADD M odel

In our implementation of the ADD model we have applied the formalism developed in [9]. We assume n at extra dimensions of common size R, compactiled on an n-dimensional torus. While the size R is unambiguous, it has become custom any in the literature to quote bounds on the size of the extra dimensions in terms of an energy scale M, for which there are several popular conventions [8,9,13]. We choose to work with the scale  $M_s$  as defined in the revised version of [9]:

$$R^{n} = \frac{(4)^{n=2} (n=2)}{2M_{s}^{n+2}G_{N}}; \qquad (3.1)$$

where  $G_N = 1 = (8 M_P^2)$  is the 4-dimensional Newton's constant, with  $M_P = 2.4 10^{18}$  GeV the reduced Planck scale. The other commonly used scale,  $M_D$ , introduced in [8] is related to  $M_S$  as

$$M_{s} = 2^{p} [(n=2)]^{1=(n+2)} M_{D}$$
: (3.2)

V intual graviton exchange processes are sometimes parametrized in terms of higher dimension operators whose coeccients are suppressed by a scale  $_{\rm T}$  [8] or M  $_{\rm S}^{\rm JH}$  [13]. The relation to M  $_{\rm S}$  can be obtained, comparing (3.9) with (3.10) and (3.11).

#### 3.1 Sum mation of the KK states

W hile the coupling of matter particles to a single graviton is suppressed by the inverse of the 4-dimensional Planck mass M  $_{\rm P}$ , the amplitude of any given process involving gravitons is enhanced by the multiplicity of graviton states. After summing over the tower of the graviton mass states, the elective suppression is only of order M  $_{\rm S}$ .

#### 3.1.1 Virtual K K particles

The amplitude for any process involving a virtual graviton exchange contains the sum

$$D(s) = \sum_{n}^{X} \frac{i}{s m_{n}^{2} + i''}; \qquad (3.3)$$

which is most easily calculated by replacing the sum over states of discrete mass

$$m_{n}^{2} = \frac{4^{2}n^{2}}{R^{2}}$$
(3.4)

by an integral over a continuous mass distribution  $^5$  [9]. The result for time-like propagators reads

$$D(s) = \frac{s^{n=2}}{2M_{s}^{n+2}G_{N}} + 2iI(M_{s} = \frac{p}{s}); \qquad (3.5)$$

and for space-like propagators it is given by

$$D_{E}(t) = \frac{t_{s}^{n=2}}{2M_{s}^{n+2}G_{N}} (2i) E (M_{s} = \frac{p_{s}}{t_{s}}); \qquad (3.6)$$

w ith

$$I(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 8 & n\overline{\mathbf{x}}^{2-1} \frac{1}{2k} \mathbf{x}^{2k} & \frac{1}{2} \log \mathbf{x}^{2} & 1 & n = \text{even} \\ & & & \\ &$$

 $<sup>^5</sup>N$  ote that in principle there is an additional dependence on m $_{\rm n}^2$  due to the mass-dependent terms in Eq. (2.27). However, these mass-dependent terms vanish completely if the particles interacting with the graviton are all on-shell. Even if this is not the case, the error resulting from neglecting the additional m $_{\rm n}$  dependence in Eq. (3.3) is rather small ( our num erical tests showed deviations mostly of the order of the num erical precision (  $10^{14}$ ) and in some extrem e cases up to  $10^{5}$ . An elective mass could be chosen in such a way that the contribution of the  $\frac{1}{m^2}$  term is correct.

$$I_{E}(\mathbf{x}) = \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} 8 & 2 & 3 \\ \frac{8}{2} & (1)^{n=2+1} 4 & \frac{n\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{2-1}}{2k} & (1)^{k} \mathbf{x}^{2k} + \frac{1}{2} \log \mathbf{x}^{2} + 15 & n = \text{even} \\ 2^{k=1} & 3 & (3.8) \\ \frac{8}{2} & (1)^{n-1} + 24 & \frac{2^{k-1}}{2k} & \frac{2^{k-1}}{2k} \mathbf{x}^{2k-1} + \tan^{-1}(\mathbf{x})^{5} & n = \text{odd}: \end{array}}{(3.8)$$

In Eqs. (3.5) and (3.6) above, we have used Eq. (3.1). In both cases, the leading order terms for M  $_{\rm s}^2$  s are:

$$D_{E}(j; s) \quad D(s) \stackrel{\text{R}}{\underset{\text{M}}{\overset{4}{\underset{\text{S}}{\text{G}}}} introduction \frac{M_{s}^{2}}{s} \quad n = 2$$

$$\stackrel{\text{M}}{\underset{\text{M}}{\overset{4}{\underset{\text{S}}{\text{G}}}} \frac{i}{n} \frac{2}{n} \quad n > 2:$$

$$(3.9)$$

By default, we have in plemented in the program the complete expressions  $(3.5\{3.8)$ . However, for the sake of comparison with previous work on the subject, we have also im – plemented the leading order approximation (3.9), as well as the two other scale conventions mentioned earlier. In the GRW convention [8], the sum (3.3) amounts to

$$D = \frac{i}{2 \frac{4}{T} G_{N}}; \qquad (3.10)$$

while in the Hewett convention [13], it reads

$$D = \frac{i}{(M_{S}^{JH})^{4}G_{N}}; \qquad (3.11)$$

where the unspecied sign of the amplitude rejects the arbitrariness in the regularization procedure employed in obtaining (3.5-3.8).

### 3.1.2 ExternalKK particles

For real graviton production processes, we must sum over all possible nal state gravitons. A single mass state is labeled by the n-dimensional vector  $n = (n_1; n_2; ...; n_n)$ , where the  $n_i$  are integer values. The corresponding mass  $m_n$  is given by Eq. (3.4). The total number of accessible graviton mass states in the KK tower is

$$\frac{1}{n} \frac{m^{n}}{M_{s}^{n+2} G_{N}}; \qquad (3.12)$$

with  $\hat{m}$  the maximal kinematically allowed graviton mass. The scale M<sub>S</sub> is dened by Eq. (3.1). Since this number is enormous, it is impractical to introduce a separate particle label in the program for each graviton of denite mass. Instead, it is easier to treat the graviton tower as a single particle of variable mass, and then add an extra summation over the graviton mass [28,33]. In this respect, real graviton production is unlike any of the conventional processes implemented in the general purpose event generators, where the particle masses are held xed.

The sum mation over the graviton mass can be easily carried out by the M onte C arlo phase-space integrator used in [59]. Any given scattering event produces a single graviton whose mass  $m_n$  is picked at random from a uniform distribution of n. Thus, to get the total cross section, the weight of every phase space point has to be re-weighted by the factor (3.12).

#### 3.2 Vertex Feynm an Rules

We have implemented all three- and some of the four-point vertices from [9] for both the spin-2 gravitons and the spin-0 dilaton. We also included a triple-Higgs{KK vertex, which together with a four-Higgs{KK vertex, was not previously listed in the literature, to the best of our know ledge. The Feynman rules for these two additional vertices are shown in Figs. 1 and 2.



Figure 1: The triple-Higgs{KK vertex.



Figure 2: The four-Higgs{KK vertex.

Appendix A contains a list of all in plem ented vertex Feynm an rules, translated into the functions de ned as building blocks in Section 2.6.

Note that we de ne the coupling constants and ! som ewhat di erently from [9]. This should not become an issue, since and ! are auxiliary variables, and the ADD model is de ned entirely through M<sub>S</sub> and n. In the revised version of [9], the spin-2 propagator and the norm alization of the polarization tensors have been changed by a factor of 2, which has been absorbed into . We have also rescaled ! by an extra factor of  $p = \frac{p}{n} = 1$ , which



Figure 3: The AMEGIC++ result for  $e^+e^-$ ! , for centre-of-m ass energy  $E_{CM} = 500 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $M_S^{JH} = 1500 \text{ GeV}$ , and = +1 (crosses) or = -1 (squares). The solid histogram is the pure Standard M odel result.

typically arises from the multiplicity of the spin-0 KK particles

$$= {}^{p} \frac{1}{32 \ G_{N}}; \quad ! = \frac{n \ 1}{3(n+2)}; \quad (3.13)$$

W ith these de nitions the vertex Feynman rules can be simply read o from either the published or revised version of [9] and we do not repeat them here.

#### 4. Tests

As a test of our implementation we performed a number of checks, comparing AMEGIC++ results with independent analytical calculations in the literature:

In order to test 2-ferm ion production processes mediated by virtual graviton exchange, we calculated the angular distributions for  $e^+e^-$ !  $e^+e^-$ ! bb and  $e^+e^-$ ! cc. We found each one in good agreement with the original work of [13]. Fig. 3 shows the AMEGIC++ result for  $e^+e^-$ !  $e^+$ , for a centre-of-m ass energy  $E_{CM} = 500 \text{ GeV}$ . We ran AMEGIC++ in the electrice operator mode corresponding to the Hewett convention and chose M  $_{S}^{JH} = 1500 \text{ GeV}$ . Fig. 3 displays our results for both = +1 (crosses) and = -1 (squares), as well as the pure Standard M odel result (solid histogram). We see perfect agreement with Fig. 1 of the archived version of [13]. We also ran AMEGIC++ in its default mode, choosing the appropriate M  $_{S}$  according to Eq. (3.11) and recovered the curve corresponding to = +1, thus con ming the result of [3].



Figure 4: The total cross-section for  $e^+e^-!$  G as a function of the centre-of-m assenergy  $E_{CM}$ , for  $M_S = 2500 \text{ GeV}$ , n = 2 and photon energy and angular acceptance cuts of  $E^- > 10 \text{ GeV}$  and jcos j< 0.9, respectively. C om pare with Fig. 3 of [10].

The unpolarized angular distribution for e ! W W was calculated and found to be consistent with the results of [17].

All of our results on ! hh were found to be in perfect agreem ent with those of R ef. [19].

The total cross sections for ! and the ! were calculated and compared with the results of [16]. We ind agreement, except for the case n = 2 in !. We believe that the reason for the deviation is that [16] used the approximation  $t_j$  is for t-channel diagram s, as in Eq. (3.9), while the more general t-channel result is given by Eq. (3.6).

We tested real graviton production through e ! G. Our results for the total cross section as a function of the centre-of-m ass energy  $E_{CM}$  are shown in Fig. 4 for M<sub>S</sub> = 2500 GeV, n = 2, and for photon energy and angular acceptance cuts of E > 10 GeV and jcos j< 0.9, correspondingly. Fig. 4 agrees very well with the analogous Fig. 3 of [10]<sup>6</sup>.

Finally, we calculated the decay widths of massive KK particles. For spin-2 KK states we agree with the results of [9]. For spin-0 particles we agree with the results in the

 $<sup>^{6}</sup>$ N ote that the calculation in [10] is based on Feynm an rules from an earlier version of [9], leading to an interm ediate result that is too sm all by a factor of 1=2 [7,66]. However, along with the correction of that factor of 1=2, the revised version of [9] also rede ned the scale M<sub>S</sub> in such a way that the physical cross sections for spin-2 K K gravitons remained unchanged (after integration over the K K tower). Thus, Fig. 3 of [10] can be safely used for com parison purposes here.

original printed version of [9], while in its revised version the widths are too large by a factor of 2.

This implementation of the ADD model will be available in the upcoming version 2.0 of AMEGIC++.

## 5.Conclusions

The idea that quantum gravity can be relevant already at the TeV scale is extrem ely attractive { to theorists and experim entalists alike. Since gravity is universal, there exist m any potential graviton signatures, but so far only the sim plest ones have been explored. The calculations become prohibitively complicated and the need for automation soon becom es evident. Thus the main purpose of this paper was to develop the helicity form alism for spin-2 particles, which could then be used in an automatic event generator, capable of calculating arbitrary processes with gravitons.

Having com pleted this rst task, we proceeded to im plem ent the ADD model of large extra dimensions in AMEGIC++. The large extra dimensions paradigm will soon be tested in collider experiments at the Tevatron, LHC and NLC. We believe that having a simulation tool like AMEGIC++ at our disposal will greatly facilitate the study of new physics related to quantum gravity or extra dimensions. With the general form alism at hand, it is now possible to generalize our im plementation to models with warped extra dimensions as well.

## A cknow ledgm ents

W e thank T.Han, J.Lykken, M.Perelstein, D.Rainwater and F.Tikhonin for comments. TG wants to thank the DAAD for funding and the Physics D epartment of the University of Florida for warm hospitality and additional support. FK gratefully acknow ledges funding through the EC 5th Framework Program meunder contract number HPM F-CT-2002-01663. The work of KM is supported by the US D epartment of Energy under grant DE-FG 02-97ER 41209. AS thanks DESY/BMBF for nancial support. SS wants to express his gratitude to the GSID arm stadt for funding.

## A. Appendix: Vertex Functions

The following shorthands are used:

$$Y_{0} = Y (k_{0}; {}_{0}; k_{0}^{0}; {}_{0}^{0}; c_{L}; c_{R});$$

$$X_{01} = X (k_{0}; {}_{0}; k_{1}; k_{0}^{0}; {}_{0}^{0}; c_{L}; c_{R});$$

$$X_{0} = X (k_{0}; {}_{0}; ; k_{0}^{0}; {}_{0}^{0}; c_{L}; c_{R});$$

$$Z_{01} = Z (k_{0}; {}_{0}; k_{0}^{0}; {}_{0}^{0}; k_{1}; {}_{1}; k_{1}^{0}; {}_{1}^{0}; c_{0}^{0}; c_{R}^{0}; c_{L}^{1}; c_{R}^{1}):$$

All spin-2 propagators are  $\langle cut''$  (see 2.4, 2.5), while the spin-1 propagators are attached to a ferm ion line. For the case when they are attached to something else in a diagram (and for

m assive vector bosons), they must be  $\langle cut''$  as well. This is done by simple replacements (e.g. to cut the boson line with momentum  $p_0$ ):

where labels the polarization modes of the cut line.





$$FFT = X_{0} \quad k_{0} \qquad k_{0}^{0} + X_{0} \quad k_{0} \qquad k_{0}^{0} \qquad ;$$

$$2 \qquad X \quad k_{0}; k_{0}; k_{0}^{0} \qquad X \quad k_{0}; k_{0}^{0}; k_{0}^{0} \qquad 2m \quad Y_{0} \qquad (A.1)$$

$$FFGS = X \quad k_0; k_0; k_0^0 \qquad X \quad k_0; k_0^0; k_0^0 \qquad \frac{8}{3}m \quad Y_0:$$
 (A.2)



Figure 6: The two-gauge-boson {KK vertex.

$$VVT = m_{A}^{2} + p_{0} p_{A} X_{0} X_{1} + X_{1} X_{0} Z_{01}$$

$$+ (X_{01} + X_{00}) (X_{10} + X_{11}) + Z_{01} p_{0} p_{1} + p_{1} p_{0}$$

$$(X_{01} + X_{00}) X_{1} p_{0} + X_{1} p_{0}$$

$$(X_{10} + X_{11}) X_{0} p_{1} + X_{0} p_{1} ; \qquad (A.3)$$

$$VVGS = m_{A}^{2} Z_{01} + X_{00} X_{12} + X_{02} X_{11} ; \qquad (A.4)$$



Figure 7: The scalar{scalar{KK vertex.

$$SST = m^{2} + p_{0} p_{1} p_{2} p_{0} p_{1} p_{1} p_{0} ;$$
 (A.5)  
 $SSGS = 2m^{2} + p_{0} p_{1} p_{2}$  (A.6)



Figure 8: The ferm ion {ferm ion {gauge{boson {KK vertex.

$$FFVT = X_0 X_1 + X_1 X_0 2 Z_{01};$$
(A.7)  

$$FFVGS = Z_{01}:$$
(A.8)



Figure 9: The triple{gauge{boson{KK vertex.

 $VVVT = A_{012} + A_{120} + A_{210}$ ;

(A.9)





Figure 10: The triple{scalar{KK vertex.

### R eferences

- [1] N.ArkaniHamed, S.D in opoulos and G.R.Dvali, Phys.Lett. B 429, 263 (1998)
   [arX iv hep-ph/9803315].
- [2] I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. D in opoulos and G. R. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B 436, 257 (1998) [arX iv hep-ph/9804398].
- [3] G.Landsberg, \Extra dim ensions and m ore", arX iv hep-ex/0105039.
- [4] J.Hewett and M. Spiropulu, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 52, 397 (2002) [arX iv hep-ph/0205106].
- [5] J.Hewett and J.M arch-Russell, Phys.Rev.D 66,010001 (2002).
- [6] K .Cheung, C ollider phenom enology for models of extra dimensions", arX iv hep-ph/0305003.

- [7] E.A.M irabelli, M. Perelstein and M.E. Peskin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2236 (1999)[arX iv hep-ph/9811337].
- [8] G.F.G iudice, R.Rattazzi, J.D.W ells, Nucl. Phys. B 544, 3 (1999) [arX iv:hep-ph/9811291].
- [9] T.Han, J.D.Lykken, R.Zhang, Phys. Rev. D 59, 105006 (1999) [arXiv hep-ph/9811350].
- [10] K ingm an Cheung, W ai-Yee K eung Phys. Rev. D 60, 112003 (1999) [arX iv hep-ph/9903294].
- [11] C.Balazs, H.J.He, W.W. Repko, C.P.Yuan and D.A.Dicus, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 2112 (1999) [arX iv hep-ph/9904220].
- [12] T.Han, D.Rainwater and D.Zeppenfeld, Phys. Lett. B 463, 93 (1999) [arX iv hep-ph/9905423].
- [13] J.L.Hewett, Phys.Rev.Lett. 82, 4765 (1999) [arX iv hep-ph/9811356].
- [14] See, e.g. P. M athew s, S. R aychaudhuri and K. Sridhar, Phys. Lett. B 450, 343 (1999) [arX iv hep-ph/9811501];
  T. G. R izzo, Phys. Rev. D 59, 115010 (1999) [arX iv hep-ph/9901209];
  P. M athew s, S. R aychaudhuri and K. Sridhar, JH EP 0007, 008 (2000) [arX iv hep-ph/9904232];
  G. Shiu, R. Shrock and S. H. Tye, Phys. Lett. B 458, 274 (1999) [arX iv hep-ph/9904262];
  K. Cheung, Phys. Lett. B 460, 383 (1999) [arX iv hep-ph/9904510];
  D. Bourilkov, JH EP 9908, 006 (1999) [arX iv hep-ph/9907380];
  K. Cheung and G. Landsberg, Phys. Rev. D 62, 076003 (2000) [arX iv hep-ph/9909218].
  [15] See, e.g. K. Agashe and N. G. Deshpande, Phys. Lett. B 456, 60 (1999)
- [arX iv hep-ph/9902263];
  T.G.R izzo, Phys.Rev.D 60,115010 (1999) [arX iv hep-ph/9904380];
  H.Davoudiasl, Phys.Rev.D 60,084022 (1999) [arX iv hep-ph/9904425];
  D.A twood, S.Bar-Shalom and A.Soni, Phys.Rev.D 61,054003 (2000)
  [arX iv hep-ph/9906400];
  O.J.Eboli, T.Han, M.B.M agro and P.G.M ercadante, Phys.Rev.D 61,094007 (2000)
  [arX iv hep-ph/9908358];
  S.M ele and E.Sanchez, Phys.Rev.D 61,117901 (2000) [arX iv hep-ph/9909294].
- [16] Kingman Cheung, Phys. Rev. D 61,015005 (2000) [arXiv hep-ph/9904266].
- [17] K ang Young Lee, H.S. Song and JeongH yeon Song, Phys. Lett. B 464, 82 (1999) [arX iv hep-ph/9904355].
- [18] T.G.Rizzo, Phys. Rev. D 60, 075001 (1999) [arX iv hep-ph/9903475].
- [19] X iao-G ang He, Phys. Rev. D 60, 115017 (1999) [arX iv hep-ph/9905295].
- [20] E.Dvergsnes, P.O sland and N.O zturk, Phys. Rev. D 67, 074003 (2003) [arX iv hep-ph/0207221].
- [21] N.G.Deshpande and D.K.Ghosh, \Higgs pair production in association with a vector boson at e<sup>+</sup> e colliders in theories of higher dimensional gravity", arX iv hep-ph/0301272.
- [22] T.Sjostrand, L.Lonnblad and S.M renna, \PYTHIA 6.2: Physics and m anual", arX iv hep-ph/0108264.

- [23] L.Randalland R.Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3370 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9905221].
- [24] L.Randalland R.Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 4690 (1999) [arX iv hep-th/9906064].
- [25] D. Acosta et al. [CDF Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 281801 (2002) [arX iv hep-ex/0205057].
- [26] V.M. A bazov et al. [D 0 C ollaboration], \Search for large extra dimensions in the monojet + m issing  $E_T$  channel at D 0", arX iv hep-ex/0302014.
- [27] D.Acosta et al. [CDF Collaboration], "Search for Kaluza-K lein G raviton Em ission in pp Collisions at p = 1.8 TeV using the M issing Energy Signature", to be submitted to Phys. Rev.Lett. (2003).
- [28] Joseph Lykken and Konstantin Matchev, unpublished.
- [29] G.Corcella et al., \HERW IG 6.5 release note", arX iv:hep-ph/0210213.
- [30] B.C.Allanach, K.Odagiri, M.A.Parker and B.R.W ebber, JHEP 0009, 019 (2000) [arX iv hep-ph/0006114].
- [31] B.C.Allanach, K.Odagiri, M.J.Palmer, M.A.Parker, A.Sabetfakhri and B.R.Webber, JHEP 0212,039 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0211205].
- [32] H.Baer, F.E.Paige, S.D. Protopopescu and X.Tata, \ISAJET 7.48: A Monte Carlo event generator for p p, anti-p p, and e+ e-reactions", arX iv hep-ph/0001086.
- [33] L.Vacavant and I.Hinchli e, J. Phys.G 27, 1839 (2001).
- [34] M.E.Peskin, \Pandora: An object-oriented event generator for linear collider physics", arX iv hep-ph/9910519.
- [35] F.Maltoniand T.Stelzer, JHEP 0302, 027 (2003) [arX iv hep-ph/0208156].
- [36] A. Pukhov et al., \C om pHEP: A package for evaluation of Feynm an diagram s and integration over multi-particle phase space. U ser's m anual for version 33", arX iv hep-ph/9908288.
- [37] M. Jacob and G. C. Wick, Annals Phys. 7,404 (1959) [Annals Phys. 281,774 (2000)].
- [38] J.D.B jorken and M.C.Chen, Phys. Rev. 154 (1966) 1335.
- [39] P.DeCausmaecker, R.Gastmans, W. Troost and T.T.Wu, Phys. Lett. B 105, 215 (1981).
- [40] P.DeCausmaecker, R.Gastmans, W. Troost and T.T.Wu, Nucl. Phys. B 206, 53 (1982).
- [41] F.A.Berends, R.K leiss, P.DeCausm aecker, R.Gastmans, W. Troost and T.T.Wu, Nucl. Phys. B 206, 61 (1982).
- [42] R.Gastmans and T.T.Wu, \The Ubiquitous Photon: Helicity Method For QED And QCD", Oxford, UK: Clarendon (1990).
- [43] M.Ca o and E.Rem iddi, Helv. Phys. Acta 55, 339 (1982).
- [44] G.Passarino, Phys. Rev. D 28, 2867 (1983).
- [45] G.Passarino, Nucl. Phys. B 237, 249 (1984).
- [46] F.A.Berends, P.H.Daverveldt and R.Kleiss, Nucl. Phys. B 253, 441 (1985).
- [47] R.K leiss and W.J.Stirling, Nucl. Phys. B 262, 235 (1985).

- [48] R.Kleiss and W.J.Stirling, Phys.Lett. B 179, 159 (1986).
- [49] K.Hagiwara and D.Zeppenfeld, Nucl. Phys. B 274, 1 (1986).
- [50] A.Ballestrero, E.Maina and S.Moretti, Nucl. Phys. B 415, 265 (1994). [arX iv hep-ph/9212246].
- [51] A.Ballestrero, E.M aina and S.M oretti, \H eavy quark production at e<sup>+</sup> e colliders in multi-jet events and a new m ethod of com puting helicity am plitudes", arX iv hep-ph/9405384.
- [52] A.Ballestrero and E.Maina, Phys.Lett.B 350, 225 (1995) [arXiv:hep-ph/9403244].
- [53] H. Tanaka, Com put. Phys. Com m un. 58, 153 (1990).
- [54] H.M urayam a, I.W atanabe and K.Hagiwara, \HELAS: HELicity Amplitude Subroutines for Feynm an diagram evaluations," KEK-91-11.
- [55] T.Stelzer and W.F.Long, Com put. Phys. Com m un. 81, 357 (1994) [arX iv hep-ph/9401258].
- [56] F.Yuasa et al., Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 138, 18 (2000) [arX iv hep-ph/0007053].
- [57] A.Kanakiand C.G.Papadopoulos, Comput. Phys. Commun. 132, 306 (2000) [arX iv hep-ph/0002082].
- [58] T.Ohl, \O M ega: An optim izing matrix element generator", arX iv hep-ph/0011243.
- [59] F.Krauss, R.Kuhn and G.So, JHEP 0202,044 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0109036].
- [60] M.L.Mangano, M.Moretti, F.Piccinini, R.Pittau and A.D.Polosa, \ALPGEN, a generator for hard multiparton processes in hadronic collisions", arX iv hep-ph/0206293.
- [61] F.A.Berends, R.Pittau and R.Kleiss, Comput. Phys. Commun. 85, 437 (1995) [arX iv hep-ph/9409326].
- [62] E.Accom ando, A.Ballestrero and E.Maina, Comput. Phys. Commun. 150, 166 (2003) [arX iv:hep-ph/0204052].
- [63] S.D ittm aier and M.Roth, Nucl. Phys. B 642, 307 (2002) [arX iv hep-ph/0206070].
- [64] T.Gleisberg, F.Krauss, K.Matchev, A.Schalicke, S.Schumann and G.So, \Multi-Higgs Signals of Large Extra D in ensions", in preparation.
- [65] V.V.Andreev, Phys. Rev. D 62, 014029 (2000) [arX iv hep-ph/0101140]
- [66] F.F.Tikhonin, \An insight into the anatom y of electro-gravitational interactions," arX iv hep-ph/0201215.