B lack H ole A strophysics in A dS B ranew orlds

R oberto Emparan^{a;1}, Juan G arc a Bellido² and N em an a K a loper^{c;3}

^a Theory D ivision, CERN, CH-1211 G eneva 23, Sw itzerland

 $^{\rm b}$ D epartam ento de F sica Teorica C-XI, Universidad Autonom a de M adrid, Cantoblanco, 28049 M adrid, Spain

c D epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of C alifornia, D avis, CA 95616, U SA

ABSTRACT

We consider astrophysics of large black holes localized on the brane in the in nite Randall-Sundrum model. Using their description in terms of a conformal eld theory (CFT) coupled to gravity, deduced in Ref. $[1]$, we show that they undergo a period of rapid decay via H aw king radiation of CFT m odes. For exam ple, a black hole of m ass few $\mathbb M$ 10^5 years if the AdS radius is L would shed most of its mass in $10⁴$ 10^{-1} mm. currently the upper bound from table-top experim ents. Since this is within them ass range of X-ray binary system s containing a black hole, the evaporation enhanced by the hidden sector CFT m odes could cause the disappearance of X-ray sources on the sky. This would be a striking signature of RS2 with a large AdS radius. A ltematively, for shorter AdS radii, the evaporation would be slower. In such cases, the persistence of X-ray binaries w ith black holes already in plies an upper bound on the AdS radius of L \leq 10 2 mm, an order of m agnitude better than the bounds from table-top experiments. The observation of primordial black holes with a m ass in the MACHO range M $0:1$ $0:5M$ and an age com parable to the age of the universe would further strengthen the bound on the AdS radius to $L <$ few 10^{-6} mm.

¹roberto.emparan@cern.ch

²bellido@mail.cern.ch

³kaloper@physics.ucdavis.edu

1 Introduction

In recent work $[1]$ two of us (together with A. Fabbri) have considered black holes localized on branes in A nti-deSitter (A dS) braneworlds, as proposed by R andall and Sundrum $(R S2)$ [2]. O ur m ain result was deducing a connection between the classical bulk dynam ics of black holes localized on branes in AdS_{D+1} and the sem iclassical description of black holes in the dual CFT + gravity theory in one dim ension fewer¹. This connection is sum m arized in our conjecture $[1]$ that the black hole solutions localized on the brane, found by solving the classical bulk equations in AdS_{D+1} with brane boundary conditions, correspond to quantum -corrected black holes in D dim ensions, rather than classical ones. This follows naturally from the A dS/CFT correspondence adapted to A dS braneworlds. R ef. [1] provides a substantial am ount of evidence supporting this result.

An imm ediate application of this conjecture determ ines the evolution of black holes in the R S2 m odel. The evolution of black holes larger than the A dS radius L can be fully described either by the classical bulk dynam ics or by the sem iclassical large N dynam ics of the dual CFT + gravity. R esorting to the latter, we can see that the presence of a large num ber of CFT degrees of freedom accelerates the decay of a black hole via H awking radiation.This hasalso been noticed in R ef.[6].

This is qualitatively dierent from black hole evaporation in braneworld models where the curvature of extra dim ensions can be ignored, as studied in [7]. O ur picture applies only to m odels which adm it a dualCFT + gravity description, which exists when the bulk is asym ptotically A dS as in R S2 branew orlds (unlike, e.g. A DD scenarios $[8]$). Further, black holes m ust be larger than the asym ptotic A dS radius L. Then, as long as the $CFT+$ gravity dual is valid, regardless of how cold a black hole is, it can always access a large num ber of CFT m odes, because the asym ptotic A dS geom etry in the bulk m eans that there is no gap to suppress the CFT em ission. From the bulk point of view, this e ect is a classicalone, which arises because a black hole stuck on a brane is accelerating through the AdS bulk. The classicalem ission of bulk radiation by these large black holes willovershadow the quantum radiation considered in [7]. The radiation we are considering only looks quantum once one turns to the dual CFT + gravity, where the largesse of this e ect com pared to the em ission of branem odes is due to the huge num ber of CFT degrees of freedom { which is in turn the dual of the huge ratio $(L=L_{P\ 4})^2$.

B lack holes which are sm aller than the A dS radius should radiatem ore slowly. Indeed, the dual CFT + gravity description breaks down, because the bulk graviton m odes are needed to describe the black hole geom etry itself and cannot be interpreted as dualCFT degrees of freedom [1]. The evolution of sm all black holes can still be described by a sem iclassical bulk theory without evoking the dual CFT + gravity. This description is very sensitive to the details of the UV completion of the bulk theory. Still, one sees from it that the rate of H aw king evaporation dim inishes signi cantly, as suggested by the classicalbulk picture argum ent. A sm allblack hole H awking-radiates m ostly along the brane, since the dom inant s-wave channel cannot discrim inate between the bulk and the

¹T he basic rules of this duality have been considered in, for exam ple, [3, 4, 5].

localized gravity [7]. This evaporation ism uch slower than the em ission of a large num ber ofCFT m odes.

A com plete picture of the evolution of black holes in R S2 braneworlds which start out larger than the A dS radius therefore com prises of two stages: a period of rapid m ass loss, during which the CFT + gravity description rem ains valid, and a period of slow evaporation, during which the black hole continues to lose m ass at a much lower rate. The latter stage can last a very long time if the A dS radius is close to the observational bounds. H owever, these black holes are so sm all that their observational signatures are weak and could only becom e im portant due to the cum ulative e ect of exciting too much relativistic CFT after in ation. We will therefore focus on the eects of large black holes in what follows.

The m ostdram atic observable consequence ofthe classicalpicture ofblack hole evaporation is that the black hole lifetim e can be trem endously shortened. In this article we will exploit the consequences of this observation for two com plem entary purposes: (1) deriving bounds on the A dS radius L in R S2 braneworlds from the observation of astrophysical black holes, and, som ewhat m ore speculatively, (2) proposing new ways for observing large, or in nite warped extra dim ensions. We nd that the black hole lifetime provides them ost sensitive probe of the num ber of CFT degrees of freedom. In the form er case, they lead to bounds on L which are 3 to 5 orders of m agnitude stronger than the table-top and cosm ological lim its, which at present constrain L to less than about 10 1 m $\lbrack 9,10,11\rbrack$. In the latter case, they lead to a rapid m ass loss of large black holes, which could deplete the population of super-solarm ass black holes in the universe.

2 A Q ualitative P icture of the B lack H ole E volution

The evaporation time of a black hole larger than the AdS_5 radius L in the RS2 setup is easily derived using the dual 4D CFT + gravity description. As a rst estimate of their lifetime, to be re ned below, recall that in the presence of quantum elds with a num ber g oflightdegrees offreedom ,a black hole willevaporate in a tim e roughly given by

$$
\frac{10^{64}}{g} \frac{M}{M}^{13} yr:
$$
 (1)

The num ber of CFT degrees of freedom is related to the bulk A dS radius L by g $(L=L_{P\,4})^2$ where $L_{P\,4}$ is the 4D P lanck length, so g 10^{64} (L=1m m)² and

$$
\frac{M}{M} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\ln m}{L} \gamma r
$$
 (2)

H ence, as described above, the decay is extrem ely rapid when com pared to m ore conventional cases, such as the Standard M odel (SM) coupled to $4D$ gravity, with a num ber of m atter degrees of freedom $\qquad 0 \, (100) \, [12,13]$. For example, in the case of SM + gravity

it would take an age of the universe for a black hole the size of M ount Everest, of m ass M 10^{15} g, and size R 10^{-15} m, to com pletely disappear [12], whereas in R S2 with $L < 0.1$ mm, a black hole of a few solar m asses m ight evaporate m ost of its m ass in a tim e of the order of millenia. Note that our approach is valid for $G_4M > L$, which is satis ed by m ost astrophysically relevant black holes. Indeed,

$$
M > 10^{-6} \frac{L}{1mm} M / 10^{51} \frac{L}{1mm} G eV ; \qquad (3)
$$

so we see that, even with the current upper bound from table-top experim ents lim it $[9]$, $L < 0.1$ mm, the dual CFT + gravity description of black holes applies to most of the interesting cases for astrophysical considerations, the m ore so the sm aller L is. Thus, black holes in the range (3) , including quite heavy ones, would evaporate away m ost of their m ass very quickly. If this process actually takes place, it can signi cantly deplete the population of large, solar m ass black holes in the universe. Hence, observations of such black holes would im ply the absence of this e ect and enable us to derive an upper bound on the num ber of CFT degrees of freedom g, which translates into a bound on the bulk AdS radius L. A lternatively, one m ight under special circum stances end up with a situation in which allthe observed black holes com e from black hole progenitors that have m anaged to accrete enough m ass from the environm ent to com pensate for the evaporation. In this case, one could search for the disappearance of X -ray sources on the sky, corresponding to the transition of a black hole from being larger to being sm aller than the AdS radius, i.e. sm aller than the bound (3) .

W enote that recently an attem ptwasm ade to study the evolution of prim ordialblack holes (PBH s) in RS2 $[14]$. H owever $[14]$ does not take into account the eects we study here, and therefore m isses the m ost relevant physics for black holes larger than the A dS radius. We now turn to the m ore precise determ ination of the evaporation time.

3 T he E vaporation T im e ofLarge B lack H oles

W e now m ake form ula (2) m ore precise. The rate of m ass loss for a neutral, non-rotating black hole in vacuum due to H awking evaporation is

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}M}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{(G_A M)^2} \tag{4}
$$

where is a factor that accounts for the Stefan-Boltzm ann factors and greybody corrections for the particles of each spin that are em itted,

$$
= n_0 \t n_1 + n_2 \t 1 = 2 + n_1 \t 1:
$$
 (5)

H ere n_0 , $n_{1=2}$ and n_1 are the num bers of real scalars, W eyl (or M a prana) fem ions, and gauge vectors, respectively. The coe cients shave been computed as²

$$
0 = 7.0 \quad 10^{-5}; \quad 1 = 2 = 8.0 \quad 10^{-5}; \quad 1 = 3.4 \quad 10^{-5} \tag{6}
$$

The speci c m atter content, n_s , of the dual CFT depends on the details of the UV completion of the theory, e.g. on how the model is embedded into string theory (or any consistent quantum gravity theory). In the absence of a concrete embedding, we will use the simplest case where the full space is the AdS_5 S^5 background of IIB string theory. This is dual to $N = 4$ supersymmetric SU(N) Yang-M ills theory, which for a large N yields $n_0 = 6N^2$, $n_{1=2} = 4N^2$ and $n_1 = N^2$. On the other hand, AdS/CFT gives $N^2 = L^3 = 2G_5$ [17], while RS2 in plies $G_5 = LG_4$, so $N^2 = L^2 = 2G_4$. Thus one obtains

$$
\frac{dM}{dt} = 2.8 \quad 10^{-3} \quad \frac{M}{M} \quad \frac{2}{1m m} \quad \frac{L}{M} \quad \gamma r^{-1} : \tag{7}
$$

Integrating this equation and dropping the corrections of order of the criticalm ass of Eq. (3) , gives the time within which a large black hole initially of a mass M will shrink to below the size of L:

which is larger than the estimate (2) , that neglected greybody corrections and other factors. From Eq. (8) , we note that in the extreme case where L ' 0.1 mm, a black hole of a m ass $M < 50$ M which could have form ed by the collapse of early stars would have evaporated by today down to a sm all m ass below the bound of Eq. (3) . Thus the strongest bounds and signatures will come from either primordial or stellar-mass black holes.

The assumption that the matter content of the dual CFT is that of $N = 4$ SU (N) SYM is not essential. For other embeddings of AdS_5 the nalresult Eqs. (7) and (8) m ay be m odi ed only by factors of order unity: whenever the bulk geometry is asymptotically AdS₅, the dual theory will contain a large number $(L=L_{P4})^2$ of light elds. Any such theory must reproduce exactly the same W eyl anom aly, regardless of its matter content, so the nal result must always be quite close to the above. This picture would not apply if the AdS_5 geom etry were modied at some distance away from the brane such that the dual theory develops a m ass gap greater than the black hole tem perature³. O bserve that, from the CFT + gravity view point, the quickened evaporation rate is entirely due to the vast increase in the phase space available to black hole em ission. The tem perature of black holes that are larger than L is essentially una ected by the presence of the extra dimensions, taking the usual form

 $2_{1=2}$ and 1 were computed num erically in [13], while 0 was obtained in the optical approximation in [15]. See also [16].

 3 This is one of the reasons why our argum ents do not apply to ADD.

which is extremely small for astrophysical black holes. However, if the bulk is modied far from the brane, the dual theory has a gap and ceases to be a CFT in the $\mathbb R$. The gap would suppress the em ission of H aw king radiation from black holes whose tem perature is below it. In such instances our constraints could be signi cantly weakened, depending on the scale of the gap.

Them ain practical obstacle for deriving the bounds com es from the fact that realistic black holes do not live in a vacuum. They are surrounded by a distribution of matter, such as the them al background photons and the interstellar medium for isolated black holes, and even more importantly, the dense environm ent for black holes inside galaxies. Exam ples are the gas of a companion star in the case of X-ray binaries, which typically fom accretion disks, like in m icroquasars [19], and the halo of ionized infalling m atter stripped from nearby stars, in the case of large quiescent black holes in the centers of galaxies. Any black hole colder than the environm ent will absorb energy from its surroundings and accrete m ass onto itself [20]. The rate at which a black hole accretes m ass depends very much on its environment and ranges from 10^{-9} M α yr $^{-1}$, for isolated black holes and low m ass X-ray binaries, to M v γ for high redshift quasars.

The processes of evaporation and accretion compete with each other. However they work quite di erently: while the evaporation rate decreases with the black hole m ass as M $^{-2}$, the accretion grows proportionally to the horizon area and hence to M 2 . The dynam ical law describing the rate of m ass change of a black hole with accretion included $is [20]$

$$
M = (G_4 M)^2 \frac{1}{(G_4 M)^2}
$$
 (10)

where the rst term encodes the accretion of matter with energy density surrounding the black hole and the second term encodes H aw king evaporation (7). Here is a num erical coe cient m easuring the e ciency of accretion, which m ay depend on the velocity of the black holem oving through the intergalactic medium. Ignoring details we will assume that it is of order unity. Now, the accretion will be smaller when the evaporation proceeds faster, i.e. when the black hole is sm aller. From (10) we see that this will happen when (G $_4$ M)⁴ < 10⁶⁴ (L=1m m)². For example, m assive black holes in the core of AGNs have accretion rates⁴ of order 1 10^{-4} M yr¹, which completely overwhelm the evaporation rate (7) .

For stellarm ass black holes the situation is more complicated, but it is expected that the typical rate at which a norm al star is dum ping gas onto a companion black hole is around 10 $\rm{^9M}$ yr ¹. This gives a rather wide m argin for the evaporation to dom inate. Thus, isolated or sm aller black holes, while harder to detect, will end up being dom inated by the evaporation into CFT modes. In this instance, it is straightforward to check that the accretion of CM B photons is negligible, even if the CM B is much hotter than the black hole. For example, for a solarmass black hole in theories where L $0:1 \text{ mm}$, the m ass increase due to the accretion of CM B photons at tem peratures below the M eV is negligible compared to them ass bss coming from CFT Hawking evaporation. Thus even

 $4E$ stim ated from their observed lum inosity, see e.g. Ref. [21].

prim ordial black holes will end up mostly evaporating CFT throughout their lifetime. This allows us to place bounds on L, and also arque for new ways of looking for the signatures of RS2. We now turn to the best sources of the bounds.

4 The Bounds on L

Sub-solar-m ass black holes and M ACHOs.

It is clear from the argum ents above that CFT evaporation a ects m ost dram atically $\mathfrak m$ aller black holes, whose horizon exceeds the AdS radius L just barely, such that they are the hottest black holes allow ing dual CFT + gravity description. Speci cally, the subsolar-mass black holes will yield the strongest bounds. They could not have formed by collapse of m atter because of the Oppenheim er-Volko bounds, and hence m ust be prim ordial, form ing during some violent process in the very early universe [22, 23, 24]. Such black holes must have lived at least as long as the age of the Universe, $_U$ 14 G vr. An observation of a single such black hole would thus strongly constrain the parameter L. From this $_U$ and Eq. (8) we obtain

$$
L \leq 10^{-4} \frac{M}{M} \cdot \frac{3=2}{mm} \text{mm} \tag{11}
$$

Hence any evidence for a sm all black hole with m ass in the range $M = 0.1$ M would im pose a bound on L that m ay be as tight as

$$
L \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle <}{\scriptscriptstyle \sim} \text{few} \qquad 10^{-6} \text{mm}; \qquad (12)
$$

which is some ve orders of magnitude stronger than the current limits from table-top experiments and cosm ology $[9, 10, 11]$.

W hat are the chances of observing such black holes? It has been argued recently that they m ight actually comprise a signi cant portion of the dark m atter in the galaxy halo, to avoid problem swith having too m any baryons if the MACHO swere all brown dwarfs [25]. If this is true, the most obvious di culty for their detection is to distinguish the PBH MACHOs from other compact, nonlum inous objects in the same mass range. At present, the best strategy for identifying such light objects as black holes is to search for the gravitational waves em itted during the m erger phase of a coalescing binary containing a black hole [26]. This challenge has apparently been taken by the GEO -600 collaboration, which has plans to search for binaries containing black holes in the MACHO range [27].

Isolated few -solar-m ass black holes.

CFT em ission could have played a signi cant role for black holes with a m ass in the range 1 100M , form ed by stellar collapse, if they were old enough. If the AdS radius L is su ciently large, say, m ore than a fraction of a m icron, this will be the case for

black holes form ed in the supernova explosion of rst-generation stars, $t = 5 \cdot 10^9$ yr ago. An additional requirem ent is that the black hole be isolated, so it does not accrete from a com panion star. W hile their detection is dicult, it is possible in principle, through the em ission of X-rays via accretion of gas from the interstellar m edium (ISM), see R ef. [28]. It has been argued by Brown and Bethe $[29]$ that there m ust be a large population (10^9) of black holes in our galaxy with a m ass around 1:5M \cdot If so, there should be m any m icrolensing events with such a m ass towards the galactic bulge. In the future such black hole candidates spotted with m icrolensing can be checked with X -ray m easurem ents by XMM and Chandra, which could give crucial inform ation about the low-m ass black holes in the galaxy. A s a rst step, recently both M ACHO and OGLE collaborations have claim ed discovery ofnearby black holesthrough long-lasting m icrolensing events[30,31].

Isolated black holes are expected to accrete ISM m atterat a very low rate $[28]$, M_{mocr} 1:5 10 11 M yr 1 , and therefore their rate of evaporation into CFT m odes (7) would be signi cantly larger M_{evap} j 1:2 10³ (L=1m m)²M yr¹, unless the AdS radius is $L < 10^{-4}$ m m \cdot In this case a few-solar-m ass black hole could retain m ost of its m ass for few billion years. Verifying the existence of a population of black holes with m asses of few M would therefore in pose a stringent bound on L ,

$$
L \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle <}{\scriptscriptstyle \sim} \text{few} \qquad 10^{-4} \text{mm}; \qquad (13)
$$

about three orders of m agnitude stronger than the lim its from table-top experim ents [9].

B lack holes in X -ray binaries.

The best observational candidates for black holes are X-ray binaries. They come in two classes, low m ass (LM X B) and high m ass (HM X B) X-ray binaries, depending on the m ass of the companion, which can be determ ined reliably from the orbital properties of the companion in a binary system. Most of the best known black holes, with masses m easured to better than 10% error, correspond to LM X B and, m ore precisely, to the so called Soft X-ray Transients, also known as X-ray N ovae [32]. A dozen of them are known to date, with their orbital properties and m asses detailed in Table $1.$ of Ref. [33]. Their average m ass is M_i i 7M, but the dispersion is large, since the m asses range from 3M to 14M . M ost of these LM XB were formed when the heavy star of the binary exploded in a supernova, without completely blowing the system apart, and leaving behind a black hole. Their typical accretion rates from their companion stars are of order of $M_{\text{corr}} = 10^{-10}$ M yr $^{-1}$ [32]. This accretion rate sets a benchm ark with which to com pare the em ission of H aw king radiation in the CFT sector.

Unfortunately, less is known about the lifetim es of X -ray binaries. M ost black holes in binaries were form ed from heavy stars in the disk of the galaxy, so their lifetime is estim ated to be $t < t_{disk}$ 10 G yr. H owever, reliable ages are dicult to determine, and there are very few exam ples of reliable estim ates. These involve young binary system s, such as the X-ray transient XTE J1118+480 and the m icroquasar GRO J1655-40 (also known as N ova Scorpii 1994). The m ass of the black hole in XTE J1118+480 is $M =$ 6:8 0:4M, and its age has been estim ated by using the properties of its eccentric halo

orbit which happened to pass close to Earth. This led to the suggestion that this black hole m ay have originated in the galaxy disk 240 M yr ago and went out of the plane due to a supernova explosion [34]. Substituting the m ass and the age in Eq. (8) , we nd the bound on L to be

$$
L \leq 13 \quad 10^{2} \text{mm} \tag{14}
$$

already an order ofm agnitude better than table-top experim ents. A system atic uncertainty in the age of the black hole towards larger values [34] could give a som ewhat stronger constraint on L. This alone would m ake it hard for table-top experim ents to detect the subleading corrections to 4D gravity in R S2. W e note that the age estim ate of XTE J1118+480 has been questioned in $[34,35]$, where the possibility was raised that the black hole m ay have originated in a globularcluster7 G yrago and followed a path in the halo characteristic of globular clusters. This would signi cantly im prove the bound on the AdS radius, leading to

$$
L \leq 2.4 \quad 10^{-4} \text{mm} \tag{15}
$$

which is three orders of m agnitude better than the bounds from table-top experim ents.

The GRO J1655-40 m icroquasar has a black hole whose m ass is $M = 5:4$ 0:3M [36]. Its age can be estim ated from a peculiar chem ical abundance of the surface of the com panion, with elem ents that can be traced back to a supernova explosion of type Π . Since the rate at which the companion loses m atter towards the accretion disk is known, one can place the lim it of $\leq 10^6$ yr on the age of the black hole [37,38]. Very recently, m ultiple wavelength observations ofG RO J1655-40 were used to determ ine its velocity and possible origin in the sky [39]. From these observations, providing the rst direct evidence for stellar black hole form ation in supernovae explosions, one can estim ate the age of the black hole to be 0.7 M yr $[39]$. A ltogether this gives only a m ild bound on $L < 0.2$ m m, com parable to table-top experim ents.

Futurem easurem ents of the dozen or so X -ray novae with XMM and Chandra satellites m ay revealtheir origin and their age. A ny black hole as old as the galaxy would then im pose a strong bound on the A dS radius, of order

$$
L \leq f \text{few} \qquad 10^{-3} \text{mm} \tag{16}
$$

Im proved bounds from rotating black holes.

So far we have been considering evaporating black holes which are static, without any angular m om entum . This is probably unrealistic: m ost black holes are expected to be spinning, some with angular m om enta close to the unattainable extrem al lim it a J^2 =M 2 = a_{max} = 1 in geometric units. There is some observationalevidence for black holes in the stellarm ass range with spins up to $a = 0.94$, and also of galactic black holes with sim ilarly large spins [40,41].

A black hole loses its angularm om entum by H awking em ission of particles with both orbitaland intrinsic angularm om entum [42]. Indeed, a quickly rotating black hole ism ore likely to em it particles of higher spin, an am pli cation closely related to superradiance

[43,44,45]. Explicit calculations show that the addition ofsom e spin to the black hole does not, in fact, change its lifetim e by m ore than a factor of about 2 [42]. H ence our estim ates above which ignored the e ects of spin are still reliable.

H owever, there rem ains the possibility that measurem ents of the spin of a black hole, rather than of the m ass, m ay yield im proved bounds on the num ber of CFT m odes, and thus on the curvature scale L of the extra dim ension. A quickly spinning black hole, $a \leq 1$, will shed \circ a large fraction of its spin quite fast until it is reduced to m ore m odest values of a. In our context, the enhanced evaporation rate into CFT m odes will am plify this e ect and prevent a black hole from retaining a large angularm om entum for a long tim e. From the analysis in [42]we infer that a black hole with an angular m om entum above $a = 0.9$ will spin-down below this value in a time equal to around $1/50$ of its total lifetim e. Thus, the existence of angularm om enta in the range 0.9 a < 1 that have been held for a su ciently long time will further im prove the bounds on L by as much as an order of m agnitude beyond those obtained from the total lifetime of the black hole. As an exam ple, if the candidate spinning black hole of R ef. [40,41] is as old as 10^9 yr, then

$$
L < 10^{-4} \text{mm} \tag{17}
$$

5 B lack H ole D etonations as a Signature ofR S2

So farwe have been focusing on the bounds on the A dS radius which come from requiring that black holes with m asses \leq few \leq M evaporate suciently slowly to be around today, even if they were form ed billions of years ago. H owever, the num bers of the observational black hole candidates in thism ass range are in the teens. Thus while it m ay not be very likely, it is possible that those which really are black holes ended up surviving for so long because of accretion, that m ight have won over fast CFT em ission. This would give rise to an exciting possibility for very signicant signatures ofRS2 with as large an A dS radius as currently allowed by observations, given by our Eq. (14) , L \leq 0.01 mm.

In this instance, H aw king evaporation of CFT m odes from a black hole with a m ass close to a solar m ass in a X -ray binary in the last stages of evaporation would lead to a decay time of order of few m illion years, rendering the m ass time-dependent, M (t) = M $_{\rm BH}$ (1 $\,$ t= $\,$) $^{1=3}$. In the $\,$ nalstages of the evaporation into the CFT $\,$ sector, the m ass of the black hole would change rapidly: it would reduce to one-tenth of its initial value in the nalm illenium, and to one-hundredth in the last year, and so forth. Since these tim e scales arem uch shorter than any dynam ical (gravitationalcollapse) tim e scales, the potential well holding the rotating accretion disk together (as well as the com panion star in its orbit) would disappear suddenly, and the disk would y apart by the action of centrifugal forces, sending the com panion outward like a slingshot. No events of this kind have been observed yet. H ow ever, if the initialm ass function for stellar form ation favors the form ation of lower-m ass objects, there could be a large population $[29]$ of solar m ass black holes ready to go away. An observation of such a dram atic event would im m ediately suggest sudden black hole evaporation. O ne could also im agine searching for stars that

have been catapulted in the past from their place of origin, eq , away from the plane of the galaxy, and estim ate the force required. If it exceeds the force which could have been exerted by a supernova, it m ight suggest an event of the sudden evaporation of a solar m ass black hole which ejected its companion. This could be taken as an indication of a gapless CFT in the hidden sector, as in the RS2 fram ework. We note how ever that even a slight in provem ent of our bound (14) would prolong the decay time of such black holes, deferring the detonations to much larger tim escales which would make their observations much less likely.

Sum m ary and Future D irections 6

In this article we have discussed phenomenological and astrophysical aspects of black holes in the in nite Randall-Sundrum model [2]. Our analysis is based on the picture proposed in $[1, 6]$, whereby a black hole larger than the AdS radius, which is stuck on the brane, is described in the dual CFT + gravity as a copious source of H awking CFT radiation. This will in general shorten the lifetime of a black hole, and speci cally, it will m ake large black holes lose a huge part of their m ass very quickly. We have shown that because of this e ect black holes are the m ost sensitive indicator of the presence of a large num ber of CFT degrees of freedom in the hidden sector. A black hole with a m ass < M would in pose stronger constraints on the AdS radius L than the table-top experiments [9] and cosm ology [10, 11]. A lready at the m om ent, X-ray binaries require that L \leq 10 2 mm . The bounds could be as strong as L \leq few 10⁹ m if MACHO s are proven to be prim ordial black holes. We note that it is quite fascinating that the bounds are still fairly weak, in spite of the fact that the ensuing number of CFT degrees of freedom may be huge, as large as $\leq 10^{60}$. This is a consequence of the weakness of gravity and the weakness of direct couplings of CFT to the visible sector $[2, 46]$. We have also seen that there rem ains a less likely, but exciting prospect of black hole detonations as a signature of RS2, in the case when the AdS radius is close to the observational bounds.

We close with some remarks on cosmological implications of black holes in RS2 and m ore generally in any theory with a large number of CFT degrees of freedom in the hidden sector in IR. B lack hole physics in such m odels can lead to stringent bounds on the m odels of the early universe in theories with a large number of CFT degrees of freedom. Namely the hidden sector CFT and the visible sector (including the Standard M odel) interact with each other only very w eakly, w ith direct couplings that are w eaker than gravitational in the infrared. B lack holes provide for a stronger channel of interaction between these two sectors. Indeed, because CFT and CMB couple only very weakly, they can be viewed essentially as two separate vessels of gas, insulated from each other. A black hole acts as a pipe connecting these two vessels. The gas can ow through the pipe from the hotter into the colder vessel as long as there is a tem perature gradient, or until the ow of heat deteriorates the pipe, i.e. when the black hole completely evaporates away. If the universe starts mostly populated by the visible sector particles, ie CM B, black holes will mostly

accrete hot CM B, and will m ostly evaporate colder CFT, which has m any m ore avors to take away the entropy. Thus black holes will eventually lead to the heating of the CFT sector in the universe.

On the other hand, having a realistic cosm ology requires that there was very little production of CFT radiation in the early universe, to avoid disturbing the ne balances required for nucleosynthesis. As shown in $[10, 11]$, the weak direct CFT-visible sector couplings lead to a very slow production of the CFT radiation, consistent with nucleosynthesis, as long as $L < 1$ mm. Black holes can accelerate the conversion of the visible sector into CFT radiation if their prim ordial abundances were large. Thus requiring that the initialabundance ofprim ordialblack holes is low enough so that the CFT radiation rem ains cold enough during nucleosynthesis willgive new constraints on the early cosm ology of R S2 with a large L . There m ay also arise bounds from structure form ation, because CFT would behave as hot dark m atter (a possibility touched upon in $[46]$). H owever, we refrain from a detailed investigation of these issues here because the new bounds will depend on the speci cs of the early universe evolution and on the details of CFT self-interactions. They m ay be a useful quide for building the early universe models in R S2.

A cknow ledgem ents

W e gratefully acknowledge usefuldiscussions with Jorge Casares, John M arch-R ussell, Felix M irabel, Lisa R andall, R obert W agoner and Jun'ichi Y okoyam a. N K . and $J G - B$. would like to thank the Theory D ivision at CERN for hospitality while this work was being initiated. The work of R.E.has been partially supported by $CICYT$ grants A EN -99-0315 and FPA -2002-02037. The work of $J G - B$. has been partially supported by a CICYT grant FPA $-2000-980$. The work of N K. has been supported in part by UCD, in part by an award from the R esearch Corporation and in part by the N SF G rant PH Y -9870115 to the Stanford ITP.

R eferences

- $[1]$ R. Emparan, A. Fabbri and N. K aloper, JH EP 0208 (2002) 043 [arX iv: hepth/0206155].
- [2] L.R andalland R.Sundrum, Phys.R ev.Lett.83 (1999) 4690 [arX iv:hep-th/9906064].
- [3] J.M aldacena, unpublished; E.W itten, unpublished.
- [4] H. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. B 580 (2000) 264 [arX iv: hep-th/9906182].
- $[5]$ N. A rkani-H am ed, M. Porratiand L. R andall, JH EP 0108 (2001) 017 [arX iv: hepth/0012148].
- [6] T.Tanaka, arX iv: pr-qc/0203082.
- [7] R . Em paran, G . T. H orowitz and R . C. M yers, Phys. R ev. Lett.85 (2000) 499 $[$ arX iv: hep-th/0003118].
- [8] N . A rkani-H am ed, S. D im opoulos and G . D vali, Phys. Lett. B 429 (1998) 263 $[$ arX iv:hep-ph/9803315]; Phys. R ev. D 59 (1999) 086004 $[$ arX iv:hep-ph/9807344]; I. A ntoniadis, N. A rkani-H am ed, S. D im opoulos and G. D vali, Phys. Lett. B 436 (1998)257 [arX iv:hep-ph/9804398].
- [9] E. G. A delberger [EOT-W A SH G roup Collaboration], arX iv: hep-ex/0202008; $C.D.$ H oyle et al., Phys. R ev. Lett. 86 (2001) 1418 [arX iv:hep-ph/0011014].
- [10] S.S.G ubser, Phys.R ev.D 63 (2001)084017 [arX iv:hep-th/9912001].
- $[11]$ A. H ebecker and J. M arch-Russell, Nucl. Phys. B 608 (2001) 375 [arX iv: hepph/0103214].
- [12] S.W .H awking,N ature 248 (1974)30;Com m un.M ath.Phys.43 (1975)199.
- [13] D.N.Page, Phys.R ev.D 13 (1976) 198.
- [14] R.G uedens, D.C lancy and A.R.Liddle, Phys.R ev.D 66 (2002)043513 [arX iv: astroph/0205149];Phys.R ev.D 66 (2002)083509 [arX iv:astro-ph/0208299].
- [15] B.S.deW itt, Phys. Rept. 19 (1975) 295.
- [16] C.Vaz, Phys.R ev.D 39 (1989) 1776.
- $[17]$ O. A harony, S.S.G ubser, J.M.M aldacena, H.O oquriand Y.O z, Phys. Rept. 323 (2000)183 [arX iv:hep-th/9905111].
- [18] L.R andalland R .Sundrum ,Phys.R ev.Lett.83 (1999)3370[arX iv:hep-ph/9905221].
- [19] I.F.M irabeland L.F.R odr quez, N ature 392 (1998) 673.
- [20] B.J.Carrand S.W.H awking, M on.N ot.R oy.A stron.Soc.168 (1974) 399.
- [21] S.K.Chakrabarti, Phys.R ept. 266 (1996) 229 [arX iv: astro-ph/9605015].
- $[22]$ J. G arc a-Bellido, A.D. Linde and D. W ands, Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 6040 $[$ arX iv $:$ astro-ph/9605094].
- [23] J.Yokoyam a, A stron. and A strophys. 318 (1997) 673.
- [24] K. Jedam zik, Phys. R ev. D 55 (1997) 5871 [arX iv astro-ph/9605152].
- $[25]$ B.D. Fields, K. Freese and D.S. Gra, New Astron. 3 (1998) 347 $[ax]$ is astroph/9804232].
- $[26]$ T. N akam ura, M . Sasaki, T. Tanaka and K.S. Thorne, A strophys. J. 487 (1997) L139 [arX iv: astro-ph/9708060].
- [27] B.F.Schutz, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 136 (1999) 168 [arX iv: gr-qc/9910033].
- [28] E.A goland M .K am ionkowski, M N R A S 334 (2002) 553 [arX iv: astro-ph/0109539].
- [29] G.E.Brown and H.Bethe, A strophys.J.423 (1998) 659.
- [30] D. P. Bennett et al. (the MACHO Collaboration), A strophys. J. 579 (2002) 639 $[axX]$ iv: astro-ph/0109467].
- $[31]$ S. M ao et al. (the OGLE Collaboration), MNRAS 329 (2002) 349 $[axx]$ is astroph/0108312].
- $[32]$ J.Casares, \X -ray B inaries and B lack H ole candidates: A review of optical properties," Lecture notes in physics, Vol. 563. Physics and A stronom y online library. Berlin, Springer (2001), p. 277.
- [33] J.A.O rosz, arX iv:astro-ph/0209041.
- [34] I.F.M irabel,V .D hawan,R .P.M ignani,I.R odrigues and F.G uglielm etti,N ature 413 (2001) 139 [arX iv:astro-ph/0109098].
- [35] Felix M irabel, private com m unication.
- [36] M.E.Beerand P.Podsiasd bwski,M N R A S 331 (2002) 351 [arX iv:astro-ph/0109136].
- $[37]$ G. Israelian, R. Rebolo, G. Basri, J. Casares, E. L. M artn, N ature 401 (1999) 142.
- [38] J.A .Com bi,G .E.R om ero,P.Benaglia and I.F.M irabel,Astron.and A strophys. 370 (2001) L5 [arX iv:astro-ph/0103406].
- [39] I.F.M irabel,R .M ignani,I.R odrigues,J.A .Com bi,L.F.R odriguezand F.G uglielm etti, A stron. and A strophys. 395 (2002) 595.
- [40] K. Iwasawa etal., M NRAS 282 (1996) 1038 [arX iv:astro-ph/9606103];Y . D abrowski et al., M N R A S 288 (1997) L 11 [arX iv: astro-ph/9704177].
- [41] J. W ilm s et al., M NRAS 328 (2001) L27 [arX iv:astro-ph/0110520]; R. V. W aqoner, A . S. Silbergleit and M . O rtega-R odriguez, A strophys. J. 559 (2001) L25 $\left[$ arX iv $\arctan\phi$ -ph/0107168].
- [42] D.N.Page, Phys.R ev.D 14 (1976) 3260.
- [43] Ya.B. Zeldovich, JETP Lett. 14 (1971) 270.
- [44] A.A. Starobinsky, JETP 37 (1973) 28; A.A. Starobinsky and S.M. Churilov, JETP 38 (1973) 1.
- [45] W.G.Unruh, Phys.Rev.D 10 (1974) 3194.
- [46] S.D im opoulos, S.K achru, N.K aloper, A.E.Lawrence and E.Silverstein, Phys.R ev. D 64 (2001) 121702 [arX iv:hep-th/0104239]; arX iv:hep-th/0106128.