# Black Hole Astrophysics in AdS Braneworlds

Roberto Em paran<sup>a;1</sup>, Juan Garc a-Bellido<sup>;2</sup> and Nem anja Kaloper<sup>c;3</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Theory Division, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

<sup>b</sup> Departamento de F sica Teorica C-XI, Universidad Autonoma de Madrid, Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid, Spain

° Department of Physics, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

#### ABSTRACT

We consider astrophysics of large black holes localized on the brane in the in nite R and all-Sundrum model. Using their description in terms of a conformal eld theory (CFT) coupled to gravity, deduced in R ef. [1], we show that they undergo a period of rapid decay via Hawking radiation of CFT modes. For example, a black hole of mass few М  $10^5$  years if the AdS radius is L would shed most of its mass in  $10^{4}$ 10<sup>1</sup> mm, currently the upper bound from table-top experiments. Since this is within the mass range of X -ray binary system s containing a black hole, the evaporation enhanced by the hidden sector CFT modes could cause the disappearance of X-ray sources on the sky. This would be a striking signature of RS2 with a large AdS radius. A lternatively, for shorter AdS radii, the evaporation would be slower. In such cases, the persistence of X-ray binaries with black holes already in plies an upper bound on the AdS radius of L  $< 10^{-2}$  mm, an order of m agnitude better than the bounds from table-top experiments. The observation of primordial black holes with a mass in the MACHO range M 0:1 0:5 M and an age com parable to the age of the universe would further strengthen the bound on the AdS radius to L < few  $10^{6}$  mm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>roberto.emparan@cern.ch

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>bellido@mail.cern.ch

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>kaloper@physics.ucdavis.edu

### 1 Introduction

In recent work [1] two of us (together with A. Fabbri) have considered black holes localized on branes in Anti-deSitter (AdS) braneworlds, as proposed by R andall and Sundrum (RS2) [2]. Our main result was deducing a connection between the classical bulk dynam ics of black holes localized on branes in  $AdS_{D+1}$  and the sem iclassical description of black holes in the dual CFT + gravity theory in one dimension fewer<sup>1</sup>. This connection is sum marized in our conjecture [1] that the black hole solutions localized on the brane, found by solving the classical bulk equations in  $AdS_{D+1}$  with brane boundary conditions, correspond to quantum -corrected black holes in D dimensions, rather than classical ones. This follows naturally from the AdS/CFT correspondence adapted to AdS braneworlds. R ef. [1] provides a substantial am ount of evidence supporting this result.

An immediate application of this conjecture determines the evolution of black holes in the RS2 model. The evolution of black holes larger than the AdS radius L can be fully described either by the classical bulk dynamics or by the semiclassical large N dynamics of the dual CFT + gravity. Resorting to the latter, we can see that the presence of a large number of CFT degrees of freedom accelerates the decay of a black hole via Hawking radiation. This has also been noticed in Ref. [6].

This is qualitatively di erent from black hole evaporation in braneworld models where the curvature of extra dimensions can be ignored, as studied in [7]. Our picture applies only to models which adm it a dual CFT + gravity description, which exists when the bulk is asymptotically AdS as in RS2 braneworlds (unlike, e.g. ADD scenarios [8]). Further, black holes must be larger than the asymptotic AdS radius L. Then, as long as the CFT + gravity dual is valid, regardless of how cold a black hole is, it can always access a large number of CFT modes, because the asymptotic AdS geometry in the bulk means that there is no gap to suppress the CFT emission. From the bulk point of view, this e ect is a classical one, which arises because a black hole stuck on a brane is accelerating through the AdS bulk. The classical emission of bulk radiation by these large black holes will overshadow the quantum radiation considered in [7]. The radiation we are considering only boks quantum once one turns to the dual CFT + gravity, where the largesse of this e ect com pared to the emission of brane modes is due to the huge number of CFT degrees of freedom { which is in turn the dual of the huge ratio  $(L=L_{P4})^2$ .

B lack holes which are smaller than the AdS radius should radiatem ore slow ly. Indeed, the dual CFT + gravity description breaks down, because the bulk graviton modes are needed to describe the black hole geometry itself and cannot be interpreted as dual CFT degrees of freedom [1]. The evolution of small black holes can still be described by a sem iclassical bulk theory without evoking the dual CFT + gravity. This description is very sensitive to the details of the UV completion of the bulk theory. Still, one sees from it that the rate of H aw king evaporation dim inishes signi cantly, as suggested by the classical bulk picture argument. A small black hole H aw king-radiates mostly along the brane, since the dom inant s-wave channel cannot discrim inate between the bulk and the

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ The basic rules of this duality have been considered in, for example, [3, 4, 5].

localized gravity [7]. This evaporation is much slower than the emission of a large number of CFT modes.

A complete picture of the evolution of black holes in RS2 braneworlds which start out larger than the AdS radius therefore comprises of two stages: a period of rapid m ass boss, during which the CFT + gravity description remains valid, and a period of slow evaporation, during which the black hole continues to lose m ass at a much lower rate. The latter stage can last a very long time if the AdS radius is close to the observational bounds. However, these black holes are so small that their observational signatures are weak and could only become important due to the cumulative e ect of exciting too much relativistic CFT after in ation. We will therefore focus on the elects of large black holes in what follows.

The most dram atic observable consequence of the classical picture of black hole evaporation is that the black hole lifetim e can be trem endously shortened. In this article we will exploit the consequences of this observation for two complementary purposes: (1) deriving bounds on the AdS radius L in RS2 braneworlds from the observation of astrophysical black holes, and, som ewhat more speculatively, (2) proposing new ways for observing large, or in nite warped extra dimensions. We not that the black hole lifetime r case, they lead to bounds on L which are 3 to 5 orders of magnitude stronger than the table-top and cosm ological limits, which at present constrain L to less than about 10<sup>-1</sup> mm [9, 10, 11]. In the latter case, they lead to a rapid mass loss of large black holes, which could deplete the population of super-solar mass black holes in the universe.

## 2 A Qualitative Picture of the Black Hole Evolution

The evaporation time of a black hole larger than the  $AdS_5$  radius L in the RS2 setup is easily derived using the dual 4D CFT + gravity description. As a rst estimate of their lifetime, to be rened below, recall that in the presence of quantum elds with a number g of light degrees of freedom, a black hole will evaporate in a time roughly given by

$$\frac{10^{64}}{g} = \frac{M}{M} = {}^{13}$$
 yr: (1)

The number of CFT degrees of freedom is related to the bulk AdS radius L by g  $(L=L_{P4})^2$  where  $L_{P4}$  is the 4D Planck length, so g  $10^{64}$   $(L=1mm)^2$  and

$$\frac{M}{M} \stackrel{!_3}{\longrightarrow} \frac{1 m m}{L} \stackrel{2}{yr} : \qquad (2)$$

Hence, as described above, the decay is extrem ely rapid when compared to more conventional cases, such as the Standard Model (SM) coupled to 4D gravity, with a number of matter degrees of freedom 0 (100) [12, 13]. For example, in the case of SM + gravity

it would take an age of the universe for a black hole the size of M ount Everest, of m ass M  $10^{15}$  g, and size R  $10^{15}$  m, to completely disappear [12], whereas in RS2 with L < 0:1 mm, a black hole of a few solar masses might evaporate most of its mass in a time of the order of millenia. Note that our approach is valid for G<sub>4</sub>M > L, which is satis ed by most astrophysically relevant black holes. Indeed,

$$M > 10^{6} \frac{L}{1mm} M \prime 10^{51} \frac{L}{1mm} G eV;$$
 (3)

so we see that, even with the current upper bound from table-top experiments limit [9], L < 0.1 mm, the dual CFT + gravity description of black holes applies to most of the interesting cases for astrophysical considerations, the more so the smaller L is. Thus, black holes in the range (3), including quite heavy ones, would evaporate away most of their mass very quickly. If this process actually takes place, it can significantly deplete the population of large, solar mass black holes in the universe. Hence, observations of such black holes would im ply the absence of this elect and enable us to derive an upper bound on the number of CFT degrees of freedom g, which translates into a bound on the bulk AdS radius L. A lternatively, one might under special circum stances end up with a situation in which all the observed black holes come from black hole progenitors that have managed to accrete enough mass from the environment to compensate for the evaporation. In this case, one could search for the disappearance of X-ray sources on the sky, corresponding to the transition of a black hole from being larger to being sm aller than the bound (3).

W e note that recently an attem pt wasm ade to study the evolution of prim ordial black holes (PBHs) in RS2 [14]. However [14] does not take into account the e ects we study here, and therefore m isses the most relevant physics for black holes larger than the AdS radius. W e now turn to the more precise determ ination of the evaporation time.

## 3 The Evaporation Time of Large Black Holes

W e now make form ula (2) more precise. The rate of mass loss for a neutral, non-rotating black hole in vacuum due to Hawking evaporation is

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = \frac{1}{(G_4M)^2}$$
(4)

where is a factor that accounts for the Stefan-Boltzm ann factors and greybody corrections for the particles of each spin that are emitted,

$$= n_0 _0 + n_{1=2} _{1=2} + n_1 _1 :$$
 (5)

Here  $n_0$ ,  $n_{1=2}$  and  $n_1$  are the num bers of real scalars, W eyl (or M a jorana) ferm ions, and gauge vectors, respectively. The coe cients  $_s$  have been computed as<sup>2</sup>

$$_{0} = 7:0 \quad 10^{5}; \quad _{1=2} = 8:0 \quad 10^{5}; \quad _{1} = 3:4 \quad 10^{5}:$$
 (6)

The speci c m atter content,  $n_s$ , of the dual CFT depends on the details of the UV completion of the theory, e.g. on how the model is embedded into string theory (or any consistent quantum gravity theory). In the absence of a concrete embedding, we will use the simplest case where the full space is the  $AdS_5 = S^5$  background of IIB string theory. This is dual to N = 4 supersymmetric SU (N) Yang-M ills theory, which for a large N yields  $n_0 = 6N^2$ ,  $n_{1=2} = 4N^2$  and  $n_1 = N^2$ . On the other hand, AdS/CFT gives  $N^2 = L^3 = 2G_5$  [17], while RS2 im plies  $G_5 = LG_4$ , so  $N^2 = L^2 = 2G_4$ . Thus one obtains

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = 2.8 \quad 10^{-3} \quad \frac{M}{M} \quad \frac{^2}{1mm} \quad \frac{L}{1mm} \quad M \quad yr^{-1}:$$
(7)

Integrating this equation and dropping the corrections of order of the critical mass of Eq. (3), gives the time within which a large black hole initially of a mass M will shrink to below the size of L:

$$' 1:2 \quad 10^2 \quad \frac{M}{M} \stackrel{i^{3}}{=} \frac{1 \text{mm}}{L} \stackrel{2}{=} \text{yr}; \qquad (8)$$

which is larger than the estimate (2), that neglected greybody corrections and other factors. From Eq. (8), we note that in the extreme case where L ' 0:1 mm, a black hole of a mass M < 50 M which could have formed by the collapse of early stars would have evaporated by today down to a small mass below the bound of Eq. (3). Thus the strongest bounds and signatures will come from either primordial or stellar-mass black holes.

The assumption that the matter content of the dual CFT is that of N = 4 SU (N) SYM is not essential. For other embeddings of AdS<sub>5</sub> the nalresult Eqs. (7) and (8) may be modiled only by factors of order unity: whenever the bulk geometry is asymptotically AdS<sub>5</sub>, the dual theory will contain a large number  $(L=L_{P4})^2$  of light eds. Any such theory must reproduce exactly the same W eyl anomaly, regardless of its matter content, so the nalresult must always be quite close to the above. This picture would not apply if the AdS<sub>5</sub> geometry were modiled at some distance away from the brane such that the dual theory develops a mass gap greater than the black hole temperature<sup>3</sup>. O beerve that, from the CFT + gravity view point, the quickened evaporation rate is entirely due to the vast increase in the phase space available to black hole emission. The temperature of black holes that are larger than L is essentially unal ected by the presence of the extra dimensions, taking the usual form

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  <sub>1=2</sub> and <sub>1</sub> were computed numerically in [13], while <sub>0</sub> was obtained in the optical approximation in [15]. See also [16].

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ T his is one of the reasons why our argum ents do not apply to ADD .

which is extremely small for astrophysical black holes. However, if the bulk is modied far from the brane, the dual theory has a gap and ceases to be a CFT in the IR. The gap would suppress the emission of Hawking radiation from black holes whose temperature is below it. In such instances our constraints could be signicantly weakened, depending on the scale of the gap.

The main practical obstacle for deriving the bounds comes from the fact that realistic black holes do not live in a vacuum. They are surrounded by a distribution of matter, such as the thermal background photons and the interstellar medium for isolated black holes, and even more in portantly, the dense environment for black holes inside galaxies. Examples are the gas of a companion star in the case of X-ray binaries, which typically form accretion disks, like in microquasars [19], and the halo of ionized infalling matter stripped from nearby stars, in the case of large quiescent black holes in the centers of galaxies. Any black hole colder than the environment will absorb energy from its surroundings and accrete mass onto itself [20]. The rate at which a black hole accretes mass depends very much on its environment and ranges from 10  $^9$  M yr  $^1$ , for isolated black holes and low mass X-ray binaries, to M yr  $^1$  for high redshift quasars.

The processes of evaporation and accretion compete with each other. However they work quite di erently: while the evaporation rate decreases with the black hole mass as M  $^2$ , the accretion grows proportionally to the horizon area and hence to M  $^2$ . The dynam ical law describing the rate of mass change of a black hole with accretion included is [20]

$$M_{-} = (G_{4}M)^{2} \frac{}{(G_{4}M)^{2}}; \qquad (10)$$

where the rst term encodes the accretion of matter with energy density surrounding the black hole and the second term encodes Hawking evaporation (7). Here is a numerical coe cient measuring the e ciency of accretion, which may depend on the velocity of the black hole moving through the intergalactic medium. Ignoring details we will assume that it is of order unity. Now, the accretion will be smaller when the evaporation proceeds faster, i.e. when the black hole is smaller. From (10) we see that this will happen when  $(G_4M)^4 < 10^{64} (L=1mm)^2$ . For example, massive black holes in the core of AGNs have accretion rates<sup>4</sup> of order 1 10 <sup>4</sup>M yr <sup>1</sup>, which completely overwhelm the evaporation rate (7).

For stellar m ass black holes the situation is m ore complicated, but it is expected that the typical rate at which a norm all star is dumping gas onto a companion black hole is around 10  $^{9}$ M yr  $^{1}$ . This gives a rather wide m argin for the evaporation to dominate. Thus, isolated or sm aller black holes, while harder to detect, will end up being dominated by the evaporation into CFT m odes. In this instance, it is straightforward to check that the accretion of CMB photons is negligible, even if the CMB is much hotter than the black hole. For example, for a solar m ass black hole in theories where L 0:1 m m, the m ass increase due to the accretion of CMB photons at temperatures below the M eV is negligible compared to the m ass loss com ing from CFT H aw king evaporation. Thus even

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Estimated from their observed lum inosity, see e.g. Ref. [21].

prim ordial black holes will end up mostly evaporating CFT throughout their lifetime. This allows us to place bounds on L, and also argue for new ways of looking for the signatures of RS2. We now turn to the best sources of the bounds.

### 4 The Bounds on L

Sub-solar-m ass black holes and M ACHOs.

It is clear from the arguments above that CFT evaporation a ects most dram atically smaller black holes, whose horizon exceeds the AdS radius L just barely, such that they are the hottest black holes allowing dual CFT + gravity description. Speci cally, the subsolar-mass black holes will yield the strongest bounds. They could not have formed by collapse of matter because of the Oppenheimer-Volko bounds, and hence must be primordial, forming during some violent process in the very early universe [22, 23, 24]. Such black holes must have lived at least as long as the age of the Universe,  $_{\rm U}$  14 G yr. An observation of a single such black hole would thus strongly constrain the parameter L. From this  $_{\rm U}$  and Eq. (8) we obtain

$$L < 10^{-4} \frac{M}{M} mm$$
 (11)

Hence any evidence for a small black hole with mass in the range M 0:1 1M would impose a bound on L that may be as tight as

$$L < few 10^{6} mm;$$
 (12)

which is some ve orders of magnitude stronger than the current limits from table-top experiments and  $\cos m$  ology [9, 10, 11].

W hat are the chances of observing such black holes? It has been argued recently that they m ight actually comprise a signi cant portion of the dark matter in the galaxy halo, to avoid problem s w ith having too m any baryons if the MACHO s were all brown dwarfs [25]. If this is true, the most obvious di culty for their detection is to distinguish the PBH MACHOs from other compact, nonlum inous objects in the same mass range. At present, the best strategy for identifying such light objects as black holes is to search for the gravitational waves em itted during the merger phase of a coalescing binary containing a black hole [26]. This challenge has apparently been taken by the GEO -600 collaboration, which has plans to search for binaries containing black holes in the MACHO range [27].

Isolated few -solar-m ass black holes.

CFT emission could have played a signi cant role for black holes with a mass in the range 1 100M , form ed by stellar collapse, if they were old enough. If the AdS radius L is su ciently large, say, more than a fraction of a micron, this will be the case for

black holes form ed in the supernova explosion of rst-generation stars, t  $5 \, 10^9$  yr ago. An additional requirem ent is that the black hole be isolated, so it does not accrete from a companion star. W hile their detection is di cult, it is possible in principle, through the em ission of X-rays via accretion of gas from the interstellar medium (ISM), see Ref. [28]. It has been argued by Brown and Bethe [29] that there must be a large population ( $10^9$ ) of black holes in our galaxy with a mass around 1:5M. If so, there should be many microlensing events with such a mass towards the galactic bulge. In the future such black hole candidates spotted with microlensing can be checked with X-ray measurem ents by XMM and Chandra, which could give crucial information about the low-mass black holes in the galaxy. As a rst step, recently both MACHO and OG LE collaborations have claim ed discovery of nearby black holes through long-lasting microlensing events [30, 31].

Isolated black holes are expected to accrete ISM matter at a very low rate [28],  $M_{-accr}$ 1:5 10 <sup>11</sup> M yr <sup>1</sup>, and therefore their rate of evaporation into CFT modes (7) would be signil cantly larger  $M_{-evap}$  j 1:2 10 <sup>3</sup> (L=1mm)<sup>2</sup> M yr <sup>1</sup>, unless the AdS radius is L < 10 <sup>4</sup> mm. In this case a few-solar-mass black hole could retain most of its mass for few billion years. Verifying the existence of a population of black holes with masses of few M would therefore in pose a stringent bound on L,

$$L \leq few 10^4 mm;$$
 (13)

about three orders of m agnitude stronger than the limits from table-top experiments [9].

B lack holes in X -ray binaries.

The best observational candidates for black holes are X-ray binaries. They com e in two classes, low mass (LM XB) and high mass (HM XB) X-ray binaries, depending on the mass of the companion, which can be determined reliably from the orbital properties of the companion in a binary system. Most of the best known black holes, with masses measured to better than 10% error, correspond to LM XB and, more precisely, to the so called Soft X-ray Transients, also known as X-ray Novae [32]. A dozen of them are known to date, with their orbital properties and masses detailed in Table 1. of Ref. [33]. Their average mass is hM i 7M, but the dispersion is large, since the masses range from 3M to 14M. Most of these LM XB were form ed when the heavy star of the binary exploded in a supernova, without completely blowing the system apart, and leaving behind a black hole. Their typical accretion rates from their companion stars are of order of M<sub>-accr</sub> = 10<sup>10</sup> M yr <sup>1</sup> [32]. This accretion rate sets a benchm ark with which to compare the em ission of Hawking radiation in the CFT sector.

Unfortunately, less is known about the lifetim es of X -ray binaries. M ost black holes in binaries were form ed from heavy stars in the disk of the galaxy, so their lifetim e is estim ated to be t <  $t_{disk}$  10 G yr. However, reliable ages are di cult to determ ine, and there are very few examples of reliable estim ates. These involve young binary systems, such as the X-ray transient XTE J1118+ 480 and the m icroquasar GRO J1655-40 (also known as Nova Scorpii 1994). The mass of the black hole in XTE J1118+ 480 is M = 6:8 0:4M , and its age has been estim ated by using the properties of its eccentric halo

orbit which happened to pass close to Earth. This led to the suggestion that this black hole m ay have originated in the galaxy disk 240 M yr ago and went out of the plane due to a supernova explosion [34]. Substituting the m ass and the age in Eq. (8), we nd the bound on L to be

$$L < 1.3 \quad 10^{-2} mm;$$
 (14)

already an order of m agnitude better than table-top experiments. A systematic uncertainty in the age of the black hole towards larger values [34] could give a somewhat stronger constraint on L. This alone would make it hard for table-top experiments to detect the subleading corrections to 4D gravity in RS2. We note that the age estimate of X T E J1118+ 480 has been questioned in [34, 35], where the possibility was raised that the black hole may have originated in a globular cluster 7 G yr ago and followed a path in the halo characteristic of globular clusters. This would signi cantly in prove the bound on the AdS radius, leading to

$$L < 2:4 \quad 10^{4} mm;$$
 (15)

which is three orders of magnitude better than the bounds from table-top experiments.

The GRO J1655-40 m icroquasar has a black hole whose mass is M = 5:4 0:3M [36]. Its age can be estimated from a peculiar chemical abundance of the surface of the companion, with elements that can be traced back to a supernova explosion of type II. Since the rate at which the companion loses matter towards the accretion disk is known, one can place the limit of  $< 10^6$  yr on the age of the black hole [37, 38]. Very recently, multiple wavelength observations of GRO J1655-40 were used to determ ineits velocity and possible origin in the sky [39]. From these observations, providing the rst direct evidence for stellar black hole formation in supernovae explosions, one can estimate the age of the black hole to be 0.7 M yr [39]. A ltogether this gives only a mild bound on L < 0.2 mm, comparable to table-top experiments.

Futurem easurem ents of the dozen or so X -ray novae with XMM and C handra satellites m ay reveal their origin and their age. Any black hole as old as the galaxy would then im pose a strong bound on the AdS radius, of order

$$L \le few = 10^{-3} mm$$
: (16)

Im proved bounds from rotating black holes.

So far we have been considering evaporating black holes which are static, without any angular momentum. This is probably unrealistic: most black holes are expected to be spinning, some with angular momenta close to the unattainable extrem al limit  $J^2=M^2 = a_{max} = 1$  in geometric units. There is some observational evidence for black holes in the stellar mass range with spins up to a = 0.94, and also of galactic black holes with similarly large spins [40, 41].

A black hole loses its angularm on entum by Hawking emission of particles with both orbital and intrinsic angularm on entum [42]. Indeed, a quickly rotating black hole is more likely to emit particles of higher spin, an amplication closely related to superradiance [43, 44, 45]. Explicit calculations show that the addition of some spin to the black hole does not, in fact, change its lifetime by more than a factor of about 2 [42]. Hence our estimates above which ignored the elects of spin are still reliable.

However, there remains the possibility that measurements of the spin of a black hole, rather than of the mass, may yield improved bounds on the number of CFT modes, and thus on the curvature scale L of the extra dimension. A quickly spinning black hole, a < 1, will shed o a large fraction of its spin quite fast until it is reduced to more modest values of a. In our context, the enhanced evaporation rate into CFT modes will amplify this e ect and prevent a black hole from retaining a large angular momentum for a long time. From the analysis in [42] we infer that a black hole with an angular momentum above a = 0.9 will spin-down below this value in a time equal to around 1/50 of its total lifetime. Thus, the existence of angular momenta in the range 0.9 a < 1 that have been held for a su ciently long time will further improve the bounds on L by as much as an order of magnitude beyond those obtained from the total lifetime of the black hole. As an example, if the candidate spinning black hole of R ef. [40, 41] is as old as  $10^9$  yr, then

$$L < 10^{4} mm$$
: (17)

# 5 Black Hole Detonations as a Signature of RS2

So far we have been focusing on the bounds on the AdS radius which come from requiring that black holes with masses < few M evaporate su ciently slow by to be around today, even if they were form ed billions of years ago. How ever, the num bers of the observational black hole candidates in this mass range are in the teens. Thus while it may not be very likely, it is possible that those which really are black holes ended up surviving for so long because of accretion, that might have won over fast CFT emission. This would give rise to an exciting possibility for very signi cant signatures of RS2 with as large an AdS radius as currently allowed by observations, given by our Eq. (14), L < 0.01 mm.

In this instance, Hawking evaporation of CFT modes from a black hole with a mass close to a solar mass in a X-ray binary in the last stages of evaporation would lead to a decay time of order of few million years, rendering the mass time-dependent, M (t) = M<sub>BH</sub> (1 t= )<sup>1=3</sup>. In the nal stages of the evaporation into the CFT sector, the mass of the black hole would change rapidly: it would reduce to one-tenth of its initial value in the nalm illenium, and to one-hundredth in the last year, and so forth. Since these time scales are much shorter than any dynam ical (gravitational collapse) time scales, the potential well holding the rotating accretion disk together (as well as the companion star in its orbit) would disappear suddenly, and the disk would y apart by the action of centrifugal forces, sending the companion outward like a slingshot. No events of this kind have been observed yet. How ever, if the initial mass function for stellar form ation favors the form ation of low er-mass objects, there could be a large population [29] of solar mass black holes ready to go away. An observation of such a dram atic event would immediately suggest sudden black hole evaporation. O ne could also in agine searching for stars that have been catapulted in the past from their place of origin, e.g. away from the plane of the galaxy, and estim ate the force required. If it exceeds the force which could have been exerted by a supernova, it m ight suggest an event of the sudden evaporation of a solar m ass black hole which ejected its companion. This could be taken as an indication of a gapless CFT in the hidden sector, as in the RS2 fram ework. We note how ever that even a slight im provem ent of our bound (14) would prolong the decay time of such black holes, deferring the detonations to m uch larger timescales which would make their observations m uch less likely.

## 6 Summary and Future Directions

In this article we have discussed phenom enological and astrophysical aspects of black holes in the in nite Randall-Sundrum model [2]. Our analysis is based on the picture proposed in [1, 6], whereby a black hole larger than the AdS radius, which is stuck on the brane, is described in the dual CFT + gravity as a copious source of Hawking CFT radiation. This will in general shorten the lifetim e of a black hole, and speci cally, it will m ake large black holes lose a huge part of their m ass very quickly. We have shown that because of this e ect black holes are the most sensitive indicator of the presence of a large num ber of CFT degrees of freedom in the hidden sector. A black hole with a mass < M would in pose stronger constraints on the AdS radius L than the table-top experiments [9] and cosm ology [10, 11]. A lready at the m om ent, X -ray binaries require that L  $< 10^{-2}$ mm. The bounds could be as strong as L  $\,^<\,$  few  $\,$  10  $^9$  m if MACHOs are proven to be prim ordial black holes. We note that it is quite fascinating that the bounds are still fairly weak, in spite of the fact that the ensuing num ber of CFT degrees of freedom may be huge, as large as  $< 10^{60}$ . This is a consequence of the weakness of gravity and the weakness of direct couplings of CFT to the visible sector [2, 46]. We have also seen that there remains a less likely, but exciting prospect of black hole detonations as a signature of RS2, in the case when the AdS radius is close to the observational bounds.

We close with some remarks on cosm ological in plications of black holes in RS2 and more generally in any theory with a large number of CFT degrees of freedom in the hidden sector in IR. Black hole physics in such models can lead to stringent bounds on the models of the early universe in theories with a large number of CFT degrees of freedom. Namely the hidden sector CFT and the visible sector (including the Standard Model) interact with each other only very weakly, with direct couplings that are weaker than gravitational in the infrared. Black holes provide for a stronger channel of interaction between these two sectors. Indeed, because CFT and CMB couple only very weakly, they can be viewed essentially as two separate vessels of gas, insulated from each other. A black hole acts as a pipe connecting these two vessels. The gas can ow through the pipe from the hotter into the colder vessel as long as there is a tem perature gradient, or until the universe starts mostly populated by the visible sector particles, ie CMB, black holes will mostly accrete hot CMB, and will mostly evaporate colder CFT, which has many more avors to take away the entropy. Thus black holes will eventually lead to the heating of the CFT sector in the universe.

On the other hand, having a realistic cosm ology requires that there was very little production of CFT radiation in the early universe, to avoid disturbing the ne balances required for nucleosynthesis. As shown in [10, 11], the weak direct CFT -visible sector couplings lead to a very slow production of the CFT radiation, consistent with nucleosynthesis, as long as L < 1 mm. B lack holes can accelerate the conversion of the visible sector into CFT radiation if their prim ordial abundances were large. Thus requiring that the initial abundance of prim ordial black holes is low enough so that the CFT radiation rem ains cold enough during nucleosynthesis will give new constraints on the early cosm ology of RS2 with a large L. There m ay also arise bounds from structure formation, because CFT would behave as hot dark matter (a possibility touched upon in [46]). How ever, we refrain from a detailed investigation of these issues here because the new bounds will depend on the speci cs of the early universe evolution and on the details of CFT self-interactions. They may be a useful guide for building the early universe m odels in RS2.

#### A cknow ledgem ents

W e gratefully acknow ledge useful discussions with Jorge Casares, John M arch-R ussell, Felix M irabel, Lisa R andall, R obert W agoner and Jun'ichi Yokoyam a. N K. and JG-B. would like to thank the Theory D ivision at CERN for hospitality while this work was being initiated. The work of R E. has been partially supported by CICYT grants AEN-99-0315 and FPA-2002-02037. The work of JG-B. has been partially supported by a CICYT grant FPA-2000-980. The work of N K. has been supported in part by UCD, in part by an award from the Research Corporation and in part by the NSF G rant PHY-9870115 to the Stanford ITP.

#### R eferences

- [1] R. Emparan, A. Fabbri and N. Kaloper, JHEP 0208 (2002) 043 [arXiv:hep-th/0206155].
- [2] L.R andalland R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 4690 [arX iv:hep-th/9906064].
- [3] J.M aldacena, unpublished; E.W itten, unpublished.
- [4] H. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. B 580 (2000) 264 [arX iv:hep-th/9906182].
- [5] N. Arkani-Hamed, M. Porrati and L. Randall, JHEP 0108 (2001) 017 [arXiv:hepth/0012148].
- [6] T. Tanaka, arX iv gr-qc/0203082.

- [7] R. Emparan, G. T. Horowitz and R. C. Myers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000) 499 [arXiv:hep-th/0003118].
- [8] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B 429 (1998) 263
  [arX iv hep-ph/9803315]; Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 086004 [arX iv hep-ph/9807344];
  I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B 436 (1998) 257 [arX iv hep-ph/9804398].
- [9] E. G. Adelberger [EOT-WASH Group Collaboration], arXiv:hep-ex/0202008; C.D.Hoyle et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 1418 [arXiv:hep-ph/0011014].
- [10] S.S.Gubær, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 084017 [arX iv hep-th/9912001].
- [11] A. Hebecker and J. March-Russell, Nucl. Phys. B 608 (2001) 375 [arXiv:hepph/0103214].
- [12] S.W. Hawking, Nature 248 (1974) 30; Commun.Math.Phys. 43 (1975) 199.
- [13] D.N.Page, Phys. Rev. D 13 (1976) 198.
- [14] R.Guedens, D.C lancy and A.R.Liddle, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 043513 [arX iv astroph/0205149]; Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 083509 [arX iv astro-ph/0208299].
- [15] B.S.deW itt, Phys. Rept. 19 (1975) 295.
- [16] C.Vaz, Phys. Rev. D 39 (1989) 1776.
- [17] O.Aharony, S.S.Gubser, J.M.Maldacena, H.Ooguri and Y.Oz, Phys. Rept. 323 (2000) 183 [arX iv:hep-th/9905111].
- [18] L.Randalland R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 3370 [arX iv hep-ph/9905221].
- [19] I.F.M irabel and L.F.R odr guez, Nature 392 (1998) 673.
- [20] B.J.Carr and S.W. Hawking, Mon. Not. Roy. A stron. Soc. 168 (1974) 399.
- [21] S.K. Chakrabarti, Phys. Rept. 266 (1996) 229 [arX iv astro-ph/9605015].
- [22] J. Garc a-Bellido, A. D. Linde and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 6040 [arX iv astro-ph/9605094].
- [23] J.Yokoyama, Astron. and Astrophys. 318 (1997) 673.
- [24] K. Jedam zik, Phys. Rev. D 55 (1997) 5871 [arX iv astro-ph/9605152].
- [25] B.D.Fields, K.Freese and D.S.Gra, New Astron. 3 (1998) 347 [arXiv:astroph/9804232].

- [26] T. Nakamura, M. Sasaki, T. Tanaka and K. S. Thome, A strophys. J. 487 (1997) L139 [arX ivastro-ph/9708060].
- [27] B.F. Schutz, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 136 (1999) 168 [arX iv gr-qc/9910033].
- [28] E.Agoland M.Kamionkowski, MNRAS 334 (2002) 553 [arXivastro-ph/0109539].
- [29] G.E.Brown and H.Bethe, Astrophys. J. 423 (1998) 659.
- [30] D. P. Bennett et al. (the MACHO Collaboration), Astrophys. J. 579 (2002) 639 [arX iv astro-ph/0109467].
- [31] S. Mao et al. (the OGLE Collaboration), MNRAS 329 (2002) 349 [arXivastroph/0108312].
- [32] J. Casares, \X-ray Binaries and Black Hole candidates: A review of optical properties," Lecture notes in physics, Vol. 563. Physics and Astronom y online library. Berlin, Springer (2001), p. 277.
- [33] J.A. Orosz, arX iv astro-ph/0209041.
- [34] I.F.M irabel, V.Dhawan, R.P.M ignani, I.Rodrigues and F.Guglielm etti, Nature 413 (2001) 139 [arX iv astro-ph/0109098].
- [35] Felix M irabel, private communication.
- [36] M.E.Beer and P.Podsiasd low ski, MNRAS 331 (2002) 351 [arX iv astro-ph/0109136].
- [37] G. Israelian, R. Rebolo, G. Basri, J. Casares, E. L. Mart n, Nature 401 (1999) 142.
- [38] J.A. Combi, G.E. Romero, P. Benaglia and I.F. Mirabel, Astron. and Astrophys. 370 (2001) L5 [arX iv astro-ph/0103406].
- [39] I.F.M irabel, R.M ignani, I.R odrigues, J.A.Com bi, L.F.R odriguez and F.G uglielm etti, A stron. and A strophys. 395 (2002) 595.
- [40] K. Iwasawa et al., MNRAS 282 (1996) 1038 [arX ivastro-ph/9606103]; Y. D abrowski et al., MNRAS 288 (1997) L11 [arX ivastro-ph/9704177].
- [41] J. W ilm s et al., MNRAS 328 (2001) L27 [arXivastro-ph/0110520]; R. V. W agoner, A. S. Silbergleit and M. Ortega-Rodriguez, Astrophys. J. 559 (2001) L25 [arXivastro-ph/0107168].
- [42] D.N.Page, Phys. Rev. D 14 (1976) 3260.
- [43] Ya.B. Zeblovich, JETP Lett. 14 (1971) 270.

- [44] A.A. Starobinsky, JETP 37 (1973) 28; A.A. Starobinsky and S.M. Churilov, JETP 38 (1973) 1.
- [45] W .G.Unruh, Phys. Rev. D 10 (1974) 3194.
- [46] S.D in opoulos, S.Kachru, N.Kaloper, A.E.Law rence and E.Silverstein, Phys. Rev. D 64 (2001) 121702 [arXiv:hep-th/0104239]; arXiv:hep-th/0106128.