

A Precision Measurement of the ${}^7\text{Be}(p,\gamma){}^8\text{B}$ Cross section with an Implanted ${}^7\text{Be}$ Target

L. T. Baby¹, C. Bordeanu^{1*}, G. Goldring¹, M. Hass¹, L. Weissman², V.N. Fedoseyev³, U. Köster³, Y.Nir-El⁴, G. Haquin⁴, H.W. Gäggeler⁵, R. Weinreich⁵ and the ISOLDE collaboration

1. *Department of Particle Physics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel*
2. *NSCL, Michigan State University, East Lansing, USA*
3. *ISOLDE, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland*
4. *Soreq Research Centre, Yavne, Israel*
5. *Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen, Switzerland*

(Dated: December 23, 2013)

The ${}^7\text{Be}(p,\gamma){}^8\text{B}$ reaction plays a central role in the evaluation of solar neutrino fluxes. We report on a new precision measurement of the cross section of this reaction, following our previous experiment with an implanted ${}^7\text{Be}$ target, a raster-scanned beam and the elimination of the backscattering loss. The new measurement incorporates a more abundant ${}^7\text{Be}$ target and a number of improvements in design and procedure. The point at $E_{\text{lab}} = 991$ keV was measured several times under varying experimental conditions, yielding a value of $S_{17}(E_{\text{c.m.}} = 850 \text{ keV}) = 24.0 \pm 0.5$ eV b. Measurements were carried out at lower energies as well. Due to the precise knowledge of the implanted ${}^7\text{Be}$ density profile it was possible to reconstitute both the off- and on- resonance parts of the cross section and to obtain from the entire set of measurements an extrapolated value of $S_{17}(0) = 21.2 \pm 0.7$ eV b.

PACS numbers: PACS 26.20+f, 26.65+t, 25.40Lw

The study of fusion reactions in the sun, relevant to the observed solar neutrino shortfall, has been the subject of intensive research [1, 2]. Recently, this subject has acquired additional significance with the new results of the Super-Kamiokande [3] and SNO [4] experiments. The ${}^7\text{Be}(p,\gamma){}^8\text{B}$ reaction and the accurate determination of the astrophysical $S_{17}(0)$ factor is of great importance to these and other astrophysical studies [5, 6, 7] since ${}^8\text{B}$ is the major source of the high-energy solar neutrinos. In previous publications [8, 9] we have demonstrated a new method for measuring the cross section of the ${}^7\text{Be}(p,\gamma){}^8\text{B}$ reaction by overcoming several of the recognized potential systematic errors in earlier measurements (see, e.g., [1]). Our method involved a small diameter implanted ${}^7\text{Be}$ target from ISOLDE(CERN), incorporating the elimination of back-scattering loss of ${}^8\text{B}$ through the use of an implanted target, and a raster scanned beam over an area larger than the target spot, avoiding the difficulties encountered with targets of poorly known areal distribution. Several experiments [10, 11, 12] have recently published $S_{17}(0)$ values of (3-10)% accuracy, two of those [11, 12] using similar methods to [9]. However, there still exist large, up to 20% discrepancies among experimental results as well as the extracted $S_{17}(0)$ values of these measurements. The present work has been undertaken in order to address these discrepancies and to provide a new, firm input for the determination of this

cross section by exploiting fully the advantages of the implanted target: full knowledge of the target composition and the ${}^7\text{Be}$ profile, target robustness and the ability to produce a secondary target of reduced activity to improve the conditions for the γ calibration of the target. Another feature of the present work is a thin α detector and a relatively large solid angle, providing clean α spectra.

The general scheme of the experiment follows that of our previous publications [8, 9]. For the case of a homogeneous beam impinging on a target smaller than the beam, the reaction yield Y , in terms of the cross section σ , is given by:

$$Y = \sigma \frac{dn_b}{dS} n_t \quad (1)$$

where dn_b/dS is the beam density and n_t is the total number of ${}^7\text{Be}$ atoms in the target spot. The target was switched periodically between a position in the proton beam and a position in front of the β delayed- α detector. The counting efficiency for the entire cycle is $\eta_{\text{cycle}} = 0.390 \pm 0.001$. In terms of the experimental parameters, this can be written as:

$$\sigma(E_{\text{c.m.}}) = \frac{N_\alpha}{n_t} \left(\frac{A}{N_p} \right) \frac{1}{\eta_{\text{Be}} \cdot \eta_{\text{cycle}}} \quad (2)$$

where N_α is the number of measured α particles, N_p/A is the integrated current density through a collimator hole of area A and η_{Be} is the geometrical detection efficiency of ${}^8\text{Be}$, which is twice the detection efficiency of α 's: $\eta_{\text{Be}} = \frac{2 \cdot \Omega_\alpha}{4\pi}$.

*On leave from Horia Hulubei Institute, Bucharest, Romania.




FIG. 1: The ${}^7\text{Be}$ target activity monitored by a Ge detector as a function of the accumulated integral charge on target, normalized to the total No. of ${}^7\text{Be}$ just after implantation at ISOLDE and corrected for the decay of ${}^7\text{Be}$. The open circles are scaled by a factor of 0.918 (see text).

The proton beam from the Weizmann Institute 3 MV Van de Graaff accelerator was scanned by an electronically controlled electrostatic raster scanner over a rectangular area in order to get a beam of uniform areal density [13] passing through a 3 mm collimator placed in front of the target. A vacuum of $\approx 6 \cdot 10^{-7}$ mbar was maintained in the chamber. A liquid nitrogen cooled cryo-finger was used to reduce carbon build-up. The 2 mm diameter target spot was aligned with a set of interchangeable collimators downstream from the target, used to measure the beam density and homogeneity. A 150 mm^2 , $25 \mu\text{m}$ silicon surface barrier detector recorded the β delayed α -particles from the decay of ${}^8\text{B}$. The target was mounted on an arm which was periodically rotated by a micro-step motor out of the beam to face the detector at distances of 7-10 mm. The time sequence of the cycle was similar to the one used in [9]. The scanned beam density dn_b/dS , typically of about $1\text{-}1.5 \mu\text{A}$ through a 2 mm aperture, was measured by integrating the beam in an electron suppressed Faraday cup. The current was digitized and recorded in a gated scaler. Beam integration with and without suppression yielded results similar to a fraction of a percent. The beam homogeneity was checked by measuring the α yield from the ${}^7\text{Li}(d,p){}^8\text{Li}$ reaction versus integrated beam current for various downstream collimators. This procedure was repeated at various energies to obtain the corresponding scan voltage. The ${}^7\text{Li}(d,p){}^8\text{Li}$ reaction was also used to determine the solid angle of the Si detector by comparing the α yield of the ${}^7\text{Li}(d,p){}^8\text{Li}$ measurement ('close' geometry) with the yield in a 'far' geometry where the detector was collimated and far removed from the target for the solid angle to be established directly. The proton energy from the Van de Graaff accelerator was calibrated with the help of the known resonances of the ${}^{27}\text{Al}(p,\gamma){}^{28}\text{Si}$ reaction at energies 991.2, 773.7, 632.6 and 504.9 keV.

A high activity ${}^7\text{Be}$ target was prepared at ISOLDE

(CERN) in a manner similar to that described in [9] by direct implantation of ${}^7\text{Be}$ at 60 keV in a copper substrate. The main new component in the present implantation was the primary source of ${}^7\text{Be}$ for ISOLDE: a graphite target from the Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI) [14], used routinely at PSI for the production of π -mesons. Large number of spallation products are accumulated in the target, including ${}^7\text{Be}$. The graphite target was placed inside an ISOLDE ion-source canister and brought to ISOLDE for an off-beam implantation. The number of ${}^7\text{Be}$ atoms at production was $1.17 \cdot 10^{16}$ on an implantation spot of 2 mm in diameter. The major characteristics of such a target- the deposition depth of 1220 nm, the stability of the Cu-Be matrix and the negligible back-scattering loss are described in [9]. In order to test the simulated profile of the Be implants, we measured the resonance curve in the ${}^7\text{Be}(p,\gamma){}^8\text{B}$ reaction. The position of the resonance peak indicated a proton energy of $E_{\text{lab}} = 741$ keV, consistent with the energy loss in 1220 \AA of Cu, the mean deposition depth obtained from simulation. The results, summarized in Fig. 3, yield $\Gamma_{\text{c.m.}} = 35 \pm 3$ keV for the resonance width, in agreement with the value 37 ± 5 keV of Ref. [15]. The full width at half maximum of the ${}^7\text{Be}$ simulated profile is 1200 \AA and the second moment is $2.5 \cdot 10^5 \text{\AA}^2$.

The γ activity of the ${}^7\text{Be}$ target was too intense to be assayed in a standard γ calibration set-up due to the problems associated with large dead times in the γ counting. We therefore prepared a target identical to that used in the experiment but ≈ 300 times weaker. An accurate measurement of the relative intensities of the 478 keV γ -rays from the decay of ${}^7\text{Be}$ for the two targets was carried out at the low background γ counting laboratory of NRC-Soreq by placing both at the same distance from the Ge counter, yielding a ratio of 317.8 ± 0.8 . This ratio was determined several times with consistent results. The absolute intensity of the weak target was measured at NRC-Soreq and also at Texas A&M University. Both measurements followed calibration procedures involving up to thirteen high-precision standard sources of ten radio-nuclides. The Texas A&M procedure is discussed in detail in Ref. [16]. These two determinations yield a (weak) target ${}^7\text{Be}$ atom number of $(2.667 \pm 0.018) \cdot 10^{13}$ and $(2.650 \pm 0.018) \cdot 10^{13}$, respectively. We determine the number of ${}^7\text{Be}$ nuclei in the target at a date corresponding to the end of implantation at ISOLDE to be $n_t = (1.168 \pm 0.008) \cdot 10^{16}$. The branching ratio for γ emission in the decay of ${}^7\text{Be}$ was taken to be $(10.52 \pm 0.06)\%$ [17] and the half-life 53.29 ± 0.07 days [18]. The activity of the Be target was monitored throughout the experiment and revealed that there was no significant loss of ${}^7\text{Be}$ over the entire measurement (Fig.1). A conservative limit on the loss is: $\Delta({}^7\text{Be}) < 1\%/C$ of integrated beam flux, except for a specific event in the last phase of the experiment (see below). The ${}^7\text{Li}$ content of the target also exhibited a similar long term stability.




FIG. 2: Top: An α spectrum obtained at $E_{\text{lab}} = 991$ keV. Middle: An expanded view of the top panel. This spectrum was collected over a time of ≈ 80 hours. Bottom: A background spectrum collected for ≈ 65 hours with the target in the counting position and without beam. The top and middle panels show the clear separation between the α and noise part of the spectrum. The noise cut-off is at E_{α} of ≈ 525 keV.

The $25 \mu\text{m}$ thick Si detector provided a sufficient depletion layer to stop the α particles from the reaction but minimized the interaction with γ rays from the ${}^7\text{Be}$ activity. Fig. 2 shows an α spectrum at $E_{\text{lab}} = 991$ keV. The α counts, N_{α} were obtained by integrating the spectrum of Fig. 2 in the region of interest. The number of α counts in the region of the fast rising noise peak is very small. For the data at $E_{\text{lab}} = 991$ keV (table I) this contribution has been determined as $(0.3 \pm 0.3)\%$ to $(0.8 \pm 0.8)\%$ of the total.

Background spectra were collected throughout the experiment with the target in the counting position, both with beam-on and beam-off and also with the target in the beam-through (current integration) position. The background consists of four parts, the electronic noise, pile up events from γ -activity, multiple scattering of the beam and general background (no beam, no target). The electronic noise was appreciably reduced by water cooling the detector and it yielded no contribution to the recorded spectra. The contribution to the background from multiple scattering was minimized by tight shielding of both the detector and the proton beam path. It was determined to be negligibly small in a number of measurements, e.g., one in which the target was replaced by a blank target. The general background was measured frequently; and the individual rates range from 0.4 to $0.6 \pm 0.06/\text{h}$. The α counts from the reaction ranged from $100/\text{h}$ to $6/\text{h}$.

TABLE I: The measured $S_{17}(E)$ values along with the details of the estimated error. The * indicates the set of measurements carried out at $E_{\text{lab}} = 991$ keV; the slightly different values of $E_{\text{c.m.}}$ are due to gradual carbon build-up, monitored by repeated measurements of the resonance. Points indicated by ** were measured after the thermal episode as described in the text. Columns 3-7 represent the contributions to the error from counting statistics, background, beam energy, correction for α loss below the region of interest, and a set of common errors: the error on the number of ${}^7\text{Be}$ atoms, solid angle, timing efficiency, and the area of the beam collimator.

$E_{\text{c.m.}}$ (keV)	$S_{17}(E)$ (eV b)	Stat.	B.G	Energy	α -Cutoff	Common
1078	25.5 ± 0.9	0.49	0.08	0.08	0.20	0.46
856*	24.3 ± 0.6	0.29	0.07	0.07	0.12	0.39
853*	23.8 ± 0.6	0.24	0.07	0.07	0.12	0.43
849*	$23.8 \pm 0.8^{**}$	0.44	0.07	0.10	0.19	0.49
844*	$23.6 \pm 0.8^{**}$	0.54	0.07	0.10	0.19	0.39
415	$20.2 \pm 1.6^{**}$	1.36	0.41	0.36	0.1	0.42
356	$18.8 \pm 1.2^{**}$	0.90	0.47	0.37	0.09	0.39
302	$18.1 \pm 2.0^{**}$	1.50	0.54	0.80	0.09	0.40

The cross section measurements were carried out at the energies of $E_{\text{c.m.}} = 1078, 850, 415, 356$ and 302 keV and also around the 633 keV resonance. The carbon buildup on the target and the subsequent (small) energy degradation was monitored throughout the course of the experiment by repeating the resonance measurement at regular intervals. The energy point at $E_{\text{c.m.}} = 850$ keV, corresponding to the ${}^{27}\text{Al}(p,\gamma){}^{28}\text{Si}$ resonance at $E_p = 991.2$ keV, was measured several times in the course of this experiment under varying conditions of solid angle and target strength in order to serve as a benchmark (see Table I).

In the last phase of the measurements the target was subjected to a thermal episode and was heated to a high temperature. Following this event the ${}^7\text{Be}$ content of the target dropped to 0.918 ± 0.003 of the pre-event value. Before and after the event the values were constant (taking into account the ${}^7\text{Be}$ decay). A ${}^7\text{Be}(p,\gamma){}^8\text{B}$ resonance curve measurement revealed that the second moment of the ${}^7\text{Be}$ distribution was broadened by the ‘event’ by a factor of 9. For most of our measurements the target is sufficiently thin so that the integration of the cross section over the density distribution can be inferred to the requisite accuracy by correlating each measurements with the proton energy at the centroid of the ${}^7\text{Be}$ distribution. This energy was derived from the measurement of the peak of the 633 keV resonance. Only at the 302 keV energy, there is an additional increase in the cross section of 0.8% because of the broadening.

The measured $S_{17}(E)$ values are shown in Fig. 3 and in




FIG. 3: Top:-The measured resonance at 633 keV. The points are the measured cross section after subtraction of the non-resonant part. The energy axis gives an expanded view of the resonance in comparison to the middle panel. The two symbols represent the resonance measurements carried out at two different times. The continuous curve represents the convolution of a Breit-Wigner resonance with the simulated ${}^7\text{Be}$ distribution in Cu. Middle:- $S_{17}(E)$ at different energies. The continuous line shows the scaled function of Descouvemont and Baye [DB] [19] plus a Breit-Wigner resonance with an energy dependent width. The dashed line shows the scaled DB model. Bottom:- An expanded view of the middle figure.

table I. The four measurements at $E_{\text{lab}} = 991$ keV, with the corresponding η_{Be} values of 0.1783, 0.2879, 0.2324, 0.1752, yield an average value of $S_{17} = 24.0 \pm 0.5$ eV b at the nominal energy of $E_{\text{c.m.}} = 850$ keV. This value provides a reference, regardless of possible extrapolation uncertainties, for comparison to other measurements.

The standard procedure at present is to employ theoretical models for the extrapolation of $S_{17}(E)$ to solar (“zero”) energy. This procedure is reasonable, as the nuclear physics processes involved are rather simple and well understood. The practice of employing a generally adopted extrapolation model is supported by the observation that the disagreements among experiments are mostly in factors of proportionality in the cross section while the measured energy dependence is largely consistent. The present experiment utilizes a thin target with a quite accurately known density profile. We have therefore adopted the procedure of including all measurements, off and on the resonance, in a fit with the values of σ_{max} and Γ of the resonance and the overall normalization of the generally used Descouvemont-Baye (DB) [19] theory as free parameters (Fig. 3). We arrive in this way at a value

of $S_{17}(0) = 21.2 \pm 0.7$ eV b. We quote for completeness also the value derived from the low energy points (below the resonance) only as: $S_{17}(0) = 20.8 \pm 1.3$ eV b. The quoted $S_{17}(0)$ values of all recent direct capture measurements using a ${}^7\text{Be}$ target are : 20.3 ± 1.2 eV·barn, 18.8 ± 1.7 eV·barn, 22.3 ± 0.7 eV·barn and 18.4 ± 1.6 eV·barn (Refs. [9, 10, 11, 12], respectively). The mean of these values is: $S_{17}(0) = 21.1 \pm 0.4$ eV b with $\chi^2/\nu = 2.0$, suggesting a discrepancy. If we omit the value of ref. [11] (which is being revised) from the list we get a mean value: $S_{17}(0) = 20.5 \pm 0.5$ eV b with $\chi^2/\nu = 1.2$. If we add to this in quadrature an ‘error in theory’ of (± 0.5) , as suggested in ref. [11], we get a consistent common value: $S_{17}(0) = 20.5 \pm 0.7$ eV b.

A full account of this work will be published elsewhere.

We wish to thank the technical staff of ISOLDE(CERN), PSI, and Y. Shachar and the technical staff of the Accelerator Laboratory of the Weizmann Institute. We acknowledge with gratitude the help of J.C. Hardy and V.E. Iacob with the intensity calibration of the ${}^7\text{Be}$ target. We thank Prof. K.A. Snover for a fruitful exchange of information regarding the results of [11] and work in progress. This work was supported in part by the Israel-Germany MINERVA Foundation.

-
- [1] E.G. Adelberger et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. **70**, 1265 (1998).
 - [2] J.N. Bahcall, P.I. Krastev, and A. Yu. Smirnov., Phys. Rev. **D 58**, 096016 (1998).
 - [3] S. Fukuda et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 5656 (2001).
 - [4] Q.R. Ahmad et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 071301 (2001); Q.R. Ahmad et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 011301 (2002).
 - [5] G. Fiorentini and B. Ricci, Phys. Lett. **B 526**, 186 (2002).
 - [6] V. Barger, D. Marfatia and K. Whisnant, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 011302 (2002).
 - [7] I.P. Lopes and J. Silk, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 151303 (2002).
 - [8] L. Weissman et al., Nucl. Phys. **A630**, 678 (1998).
 - [9] M. Hass et al., Phys. Lett. **B 462**, 237 (1999).
 - [10] F. Hammache et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 3985 (2001).
 - [11] A.R. Junghans et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 041101 (2002). The cross section values of this paper are currently being revised; K.A. Snover, private communication.
 - [12] F. Strieder et al., Nucl. Phys. **A696**, 219 (2001).
 - [13] L. Weissman, M. Hass, V. Popov, Nucl. Instrum. Methods, **A 400**, 409 (1999).
 - [14] U. Köster et al., EMIS-14, (2002); to be published in Nucl. Instr. Meth. B.
 - [15] B.W. Filippone et al., Phys. Rev. **C28**, 2222 (1983).
 - [16] J.C. Hardy et al., Appl. Radiation and Isotopes, **56**, 65 (2002).
 - [17] S.Y.F. Chu, L.P. Ekstrom and R.B. Firestone: nuclear-data.nuclear.lu.se/nucleardata/toi/.
 - [18] Nuclear Wallet Cards, 6th Edition, 2000, Editor J.K. Tuli.
 - [19] P. Descouvemont and D. Baye, Nucl. Phys. **A567**, 341 (1994).