MULTIPLE MUONS FROM NEUTRINO-INITIATED MULTI-W(Z) PRODUCTION*

D.A. Morris^{1†} and A. Ringwald^{2‡}

¹ University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90024, U.S.A. ² CERN, CH-1211, Geneva 23, Geneva, Switzerland

ABSTRACT

Current underground detectors can search for multiple muons from multi-W(Z) production initiated by ultrahigh energy neutrinos from active galactic nuclei. $O(\mu b)$ cross sections give rise to downward going muon bundles whose features differ from those of atmospheric muon bundles.

1. INTRODUCTION

A variety of recent theoretical results has suggested the intriguing possibility that the cross section for the nonperturbative production of $O(\alpha_W^{-1}) \simeq 30$ weak gauge bosons (W,Z) may be as large as $O(100 \text{ pb} - 10 \mu \text{b})$ above a parton-parton center-of-mass threshold in the range 2.4–30 TeV [Ri90,Es90, Mc90,Co90,Ri91a]. Unfortunately, the theoretical evidence is largely circumstantial and so it remains an open question as to whether or not large cross sections for multi-W(Z) production are realized in Nature. Though the SSC, LHC and Eloisatron can address this issue conclusively[Fa90,Ri91b], it is natural to ask whether ultrahigh energy cosmic rays can preemptively confront these conjectures.

1.1 Proton and Neutrino Induced Interactions

If nonperturbative multi-W(Z) production exists, it can be induced by energetic collisions between any two weakly interacting partons (*e.g.*, q, e, ν). Characteristic byproducts of multi-W(Z) processes are energetic prompt muons from W(Z) decays. For example, if 30 W bosons are produced then one can expect $O(30 \times Br(W \rightarrow \mu\nu_{\mu})) \simeq 3$ prompt muons which may be observed in deep underground detectors.

Multi-W(Z) production induced by cosmic protons is plagued by small rates and poor signatures due to competing generic processes with O(40 mb) cross sections[Mo93]. By contrast, multi-W(Z) production induced by ultrahigh energy neutrinos competes only with relatively small O(nb) charged-current reactions. If the multi-W(Z) contribution to the neutrino-nucleon total inelastic cross section $\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{\nu N}$ is also of O(nb), then near-horizontal muon bundles provide a signature of neutrino-induced multi-W(Z) production in the rock surrounding underground detectors[Mo91,Be92]. Large underwater detectors like DUMAND and NESTOR would also be

^{*}Paper presented at 23rd Int. Cosmic Ray Conf., July 21-30 1993, Calgary, Canada

[†]email: morris@uclahep.physics.ucla.edu

[‡]email: ringwald@cernvm.cern.ch

sensitive to such signals[Mo91,Be92,De92]. In this paper we broaden the prospects for detecting or constraining neutrino-induced multi-W(Z) phenomena in underground detectors by suggesting searches for neutrino-induced muon bundles away from the horizontal direction.

To be quantitative we adopt a working hypothesis[Ri91b] which parameterizes the sudden nonperturbative onset of multi-W(Z) production in parton-parton subprocesses by

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\mathsf{multi-W}} = \hat{\sigma}_0 \,\Theta(\sqrt{\hat{s}} - \sqrt{\hat{s}_0}). \tag{1}$$

For purposes of illustration we will consider the production of 30 W bosons by exploring partonparton center-of-mass thresholds in the range $\alpha_W^{-1}M_W \simeq 2.4$ TeV $<\sqrt{\hat{s}_0} < 30$ TeV and point cross sections 100 pb $<\hat{\sigma}_0 < 10 \ \mu$ b.

2. MULTI-W MUON BUNDLES INDUCED BY NEUTRINOS FROM AGN

2.1 Constraints on Multi-W Phenomena

Apart from the speculative nature of multi-W production, we must also contend with a lack of knowledge of the flux of ultrahigh energy cosmic neutrinos. A quark-neutrino center-of-mass threshold of 2.4 TeV corresponds to a neutrino energy of ~ 3 PeV where a recent models have predicted a sizeable neutrino flux from active galactic nuclei[St91,St92]. Regardless of any model, the Fly's Eye array puts upper limits[Ba85] on the product of the flux times total cross section for weakly interacting particles (which we will assume are neutrinos) in the range $10^8 \text{ GeV} \leq E_{\nu} \leq 10^{11} \text{ GeV}$ if such particles initiate extensive air showers deep in the atmosphere. The limit applies only for $\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{\nu N}(E_{\nu}) \leq 10 \ \mu$ b since the possibility of flux attenuation is neglected.

Explicit parameterizations of the Fly's Eye limits, which we denote by $(j_{\nu}\sigma_{tot}^{\nu N})_{FE}(E_{\nu})$, may be found in Refs. [Ma90,Mo91]. If one considers a particular flux model $j_{\nu}^{model}(E_{\nu})$ then in the $(E_{\nu}, \sigma_{tot}^{\nu N})$ plane the Fly's Eye excludes regions bounded by

10⁸ GeV <
$$E_{\nu}$$
 < 10¹¹ GeV, $\frac{(j_{\nu}\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{\nu N})_{\text{FE}}(E_{\nu})}{j_{\nu}^{\text{model}}(E_{\nu})} < \sigma_{\text{tot}}^{\nu N}(E_{\nu}) < 10 \ \mu\text{b}.$ (2)

These inequalities may be translated into a corresponding excluded region in $(\sqrt{\hat{s}_0}, \hat{\sigma}_0)$ space which parameterizes multi-W phenomena. Fig. 1 shows the excluded region of multi-W parameter space for the (revised) flux of Stecker *et al.*[St91]; also indicated are the contours of constant detection rates of multi-W muon bundles containing two or more muons. These rates are integrated over all zenith angles assuming standard muon energy-range relations with a detector depth of 3700 hg/cm² and an idealized spherical Earth[Mo93].

For a 72 m × 12 m × 4.8 m detector (MACRO) a vertical flux of 10^{-13} cm⁻² s⁻¹ corresponds to 26 events per year. Consider two scenarios within reach of such a detector: $\hat{\sigma}_0 = 10$ nb, 10 µb for a common threshold of $\sqrt{\hat{s}_0} = 2.4$ TeV. These cases correspond to total bundle detection rates of 1.6×10^{-15} cm⁻² s⁻¹ and 3.2×10^{-13} cm⁻² s⁻¹ respectively. As may be inferred from Fig. 2a, as $\hat{\sigma}_0$ increases, the zenith angle distribution of muon bundles becomes less pronounced in the near-horizontal direction and becomes more like the distribution of background atmospheric bundles. However, as seen in Fig. 2b, the relatively small pairwise separation between multi-W muons may distinguish them from atmospheric muons which have much larger separation [Be89,Ah92].

Fig. 1: Region of multi-W parameter space excluded (shaded) by Fly's Eye assuming the flux of Stecker *et al.* [St91]. Dashed lines indicate constant multi-W muon bundle flux (in $cm^{-2} s^{-1}$) for detector depth of 3700 hg/cm².

Another feature of prompt muons from multi-W(Z) processes is their large energy. The average muon energy at the detector (depth 3700 hg/cm²) is 50 TeV (150 TeV) for $\hat{\sigma}_0 = 10 \ \mu b$ (10 nb). Muons of this energy have a large probability of undergoing catastrophic energy loss as they pass through underground detectors[Al92, Me92]. In view of these characteristics, current underground experiments should not constrain their searches for AGN neutrinos to looking only in the near-horizontal direction: they can also search for multi-W interactions by looking for energetic, spatially compact muon bundles closer to the zenith.

An additional technique for discriminating multi-W muon bundles from generic muon bundles exploits the presence/absence of associated extensive air showers. Surface arrays like those at EAS-TOP and Soudan-II can furnish valuable information in this context. Even for the largest $O(10 \ \mu b)$ cross sections we contemplate, over 99% of the corresponding vertical muon bundles originate from multi-W interactions in the Earth. Hence energetic muon bundles without an associated air shower provides an especially convincing signature. The limiting factor in such searches is the solid angle subtended by a surface array.

Fig. 2: Multi-W muon bundles detected at a depth of 3700 hg/cm² assuming the flux of Stecker *et al.* [St91]. Shown are curves for $\hat{\sigma}_0 = 10$ nb (solid) and 10 μ b (dashed) for a common threshold of $\sqrt{\hat{s}_0} = 2.4$ TeV. a) Zenith angle distribution of bundles integrated with respect to $\cos \theta$. b) Distribution of pairwise muon separation. The solid histogram corresponds to normalized MACRO data (from two supermodules) from Fig. 4 of Ref. [Ah92]. Roughly 10% of the bundles are dimuons and 90% are trimuons.

3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to acknowledge illuminating discussions with M. Goodman and H. Meyer. D.A.M. is supported by the Eloisatron project; he thanks the CERN theory group for its hospitality while this work was being completed.

REFERENCES

Ahlen, S. *et al.* (MACRO): 1992, Phys. Rev., D46, 4836 Allison, W.W.M. *et al.* (Soudan II): 1992, Argonne preprint ANL-HEP-CP-92-39 Baltrusaitis, R. *et al.* (Fly's Eye): 1985, Phys. Rev., D31, 2192 Berger, Ch. *et al.* (Frejus): 1989, Phys. Rev., D40, 2163 Bergström L.,Liotta, R. and Rubinstein, H.: 1992, Phys. Lett., B276, 231 Cornwall, J.M.: 1990, Phys. Lett., B243, 271 Dell'Agnello L. et al.: 1992, INFN preprint DFF 178/12/1992

Espinosa, O.: 1990, Nucl. Phys., B343, 310

Farrar, G.R. and Meng, R.: 1990, Phys. Rev. Lett., 65, 3377

MacGibbon, J.H. and Brandenberger, R.: 1990, Nucl. Phys., B331, 153

Meyer, H. (Frejus): 1992, Proc. of XXVIIth Recontre de Moriond, Les Arcs, Gif-sur-Yvette, Ed. Frontieres, p. 169

McLerran, L., Vainshtein, A. and Voloshin, M.: 1990, Phys. Rev., D42, 171

Morris, D.A. and Ringwald, A.: 1993, CERN preprint CERN-TH.6822/93

Morris, D.A. and Rosenfeld, R.: 1991, Phys. Rev., D44, 3530

Ringwald, A.: 1990, Nucl. Phys., B330, 1

Ringwald, A., Schrempp, F. and Wetterich, C.: 1991, Nucl. Phys., B365, 3

Ringwald, A. and Wetterich, C.: 1991, Nucl. Phys., B353, 303

Stecker, F. et al.: 1991, Phys. Rev. Lett., 66, 2697; 1992: 69, 2738 (erratum)

Stenger, V.J.: 1992, DUMAND preprint DUMAND-9-92



Fraction of Flux Below $\cos\theta$