N on-com mutative tachyon action and D -brane geom etry

M anfred Herbst, $\#$ A lexander K ling, 2 M axim ilian K reuzer

Theory D ivision, CERN

CH-1211, G eneva 23, Sw itzerland

 $2\,$ Institut fur T heoretische P hysik, U niversitat H annover,

Appelstra e 2, D-30167 H annover, G em any

Institut fur Theoretische Physik, Technische Universitat Wien,

W iedner Hauptstra e 8-10, A -1040 V ienna, Austria

A bstract

We analyse open string correlators in non-constant background elds, including the m etric q, the antisymm etric $B - eH$, and the gauge $eH A$. W orking with a derivative expansion for the background elds, but exact in their constant parts, we obtain a tachyonic on-shell condition for the inserted functions and extract the kinetic term for the tachyon action. The 3-point correlator yields a non-commutative tachyon potential. We also nd a rem arkable feature of the di erential structure on the D-brane: A lthough the boundary m etric G plays an essential role in the action, the natural connection on the D-brane is the same as in closed string theory, i.e. it is compatible with the bulk metric and has torsion $H = dB$. This means, in particular, that the parallel transport on the brane is independent of the gauge eld A.

K eywords: Bosonic Strings, D -branes, N on-com mutative G eom etry

 * e-m ail: M anfred H erbst@cem.ch

 2 e-m ail: kling@ itp uni-hannoverde

em ail: kreuzer@hep.itp.tuwien.ac.at

$\mathbf{1}$ Introduction

The geometry on a D-brane has recently attracted much attention, as it turned out to involve noncommutative structures that depend on the gauge invariant combination $F = B + (2 \t 0)dA$ of the bulk $B - eB$ and the boundary $A - eB$. A bt of work was done in exam in ing the e ect of a constant $B - eB$ in a topological decoupling $\lim_{x \to a} f(5)$.

A generalization to non-constant elds was given through the deform ation quantization of Poisson m anifolds. In that case, a non-comm utative product can be constructed to allorders of derivatives out of the Poisson structure and it represents them ost general form being associative [6]. In open string theory this product appears in the decoupling lim it when the $B - eB$ (or equivalently F) is closed [7].

W hile the closure condition is necessary for associativity, it is not required by string theory and one m ay ask how far one can relax it in order to obtain a reasonable product. In [8] the non-commutative product was extracted from open string o -shell correlators with insertions on the boundary of the disk. It turned out that one has to abandon the decoupling lim it in order to retain a consistent setup. The only physical condition on the non-commutative parameter in rst derivative order of the background elds is the on-shell condition for the open string gauge eld A on the D-brane, i.e. the generalized M axwell equation; see also [9{12] for other attem pts of treating a general background ek B.

Im posing this equation has the follow ing consequences for the product in rst derivative order [8]. F irstly, the non-comm utative product of two functions equals the ordinary product under the integral.¹ Secondly, the product is associative up to a surface term. A s an imm ediate consequence, the product of an arbitrary number of functions is invariant under cyclic permutations under the integral up to a possible change in the bracket structure). The integration measure plays an important role in this respect and is given by a B om-Infebl m easure, $\frac{p}{\det(q - F)}$. A ssociativity cannot be m aintained and m ust be replaced by an A_1 -structure [9,14]. Both properties are necessary to construct a reasonable action and a variation principle in term s of the non-commutative product, the second one, to adjust the position of the variation of the eld and the rst one, to rem ove all derivatives from the variation.

So far only the non-comm utative product arising in this generalized setting was considered. It can be extracted purely from o -shell correlation functions. However, since string vacua correspond to 2-d conform al eld theories, the correlators must nally take shape of the usual simple on-shell form [15]. There is some interesting information which one can gain from passing to on-shell correlators and it is the intention of this article to work out this inform ation.

For this purpose we will use several results from [8] and, therefore, inherit the general setting of the m odel considered there. The open strings m ove in a background including a general metric g and a nontrivial $B - eB$ in the bulk and a gauge eB A on the boundary of the world sheet. The world sheet is taken to be the upper half com plex plane. A ll inform ation is extracted from correlation functions using a derivative expansion of the background elds, where the expansion is restricted to rst derivative order, but exact to all orders in the constant part of F.

¹ In [8] it was only shown that \overline{f} $\overline{g} = \overline{g}$ f, but it is easily checked that, in fact, \overline{f} $\overline{g} = \overline{g}$ fq.

W hat can we learn from the on-shell correlators?

(i) A s the insertions at the boundary of the disk are taken to be ordinary functions of the target space coordinates X we expect that the on-shell condition is tachyonic. Furtherm ore, the equation of m otion is linear in the tachyonic eld since the insertions represent asym ptotic states. We willdeduce this linear equation from the requirem ent that the on-shell correlators m ust have the CFT form and thus obtain the kinetic term for the e ective action of the tachyon.

(ii) The explicit form of the on-shell three-point function then gives us the cubic interaction of the open string tachyon potential. H igher n-point correlators are di cult to m anage. N evertheless, in view of the cyclicity of the product under the integral, we are able to discuss som e im plications for the structure of higher order interactions.

(iii) W orking in rst derivative order of the background elds is already sucient to extract inform ation about the dierential structure from the tachyon equation ofm otion. A san interesting and som ew hat surprising result we anticipate that, using the generalized M axwell equation, the covariant derivative on the D -brane turns out to be the same as that \circ the brane, i.e. it is the connection com patible w ith the bulk m etric and w ith torsion $H = dB$.

The organisation of the paper is as follow s. W e start in section 2 w ith the introduction of some notation and review the properties of the non-com m utative product. In section [3](#page-3-0) we calculate the full two-and three-point o -shell correlators using results from $[8]$. Thereafter, in section 4 , we show that conform al invariance requires a tachyonic on-shell condition for the insertions of the correlators and the use of the M axwell equation for the background elds. Eventually, we investigate the potential and the dierential structure of the tachyonic action in section [5](#page-8-0) and close with a general discussion ofour results in section [6.](#page-10-0)

2 The non-commutative product

On the D-brane we have in addition to the so called bulk m etric q , which enters in the sigm a m odelaction, the boundary metric G $\;$. Two metrics arise because of the fact that on the brane one has to consider the com bination $M = q + F$ rather than the separate quantities q and F. Consequently, one can split the inverse of M into the symmetric and antisymmetric part, i.e. M $= M^{-1} = G +$, and obtains the second metric G and the antisymmetric part, which turns out to be the non-com m utativity param eter.²

The product found in [\[8\]](#page-11-0) is given to all orders in and to rst derivative order in the background elds.It reads

f(x)
$$
g(x) = f g \frac{1}{12} \quad \text{(a)} \quad \text{(b)} \quad \text{(c)} \quad \text{(d)} \quad \text{(e)} \quad \text{(f)} \quad \text{(g)} \quad \text{(h)} \tag{1}
$$

w here ' 'denotes the M oyal contribution to the product. The on-shell condition for the gauge eld A

 2^2W e use the convention M 1 M = .

on the D -brane is

G D F
$$
\frac{1}{2}
$$
 H F = 0; (2)

where D is the C hristoel connection of q , or equivalently,

$$
\mathbf{Q} \quad \mathbf{P} \quad \frac{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{G} \quad \mathbf{F}} \quad = \quad 0 \tag{3}
$$

Im posing this equation of m otion one nds that the product of two functions equals the ordinary product under the integral,

$$
Z \t B \t H \t H
$$

and that it is associative up to a surface term,

Z d ^D x p det(gF) (f g) h = Z d ^D x p det(gF)f (g h): (5)

T he trace property

Z d ^D x p det(gF) (:::(f¹ :::)) ⁿf¹ fⁿ = Z d ^D x p det(gF) fⁿ (:::(f¹ :::)) fⁿ ¹ : (6)

follow s im m ediately. A lthough the integration m easure plays an important role in order to derive these properties we will subsequently use the abbreviation R $x =$ R $d^D x^P$ det(g F) for the integral. T hese results w illextensively be used in sections [\(4](#page-5-0)) and [\(5](#page-8-0)).

3 O -shellcorrelators

We are now going to use several results of the appendix of [\[8](#page-11-0)] to calculate the full o-shell twoand three-point correlators of ordinary functions of target space coordinates X . The insertions are ordered at the boundary of the upper half plane, so that $1 < 2 < 3$.

T he tw o-point correlatorwasalready given in [\[8\]](#page-11-0)and we repeatthe expression in a m orecom pact form .To this end we use the relation

$$
2G \tG = G \tG G \tG G \tG G \tG G ; \t(7)
$$

to introduce the C hristoel connection com patible w ith G . W ith the abbreviation ($i_j = i_j$)

$$
f \t g = \frac{x^{1}}{n!} \frac{1}{2}^{n} \ln^{n} \frac{2}{21} G^{n} D_{n} f D_{n} g
$$
 (8)

where the upper subindices of the indices m ean a product of derivatives, D n = D₍₁:::D_{n)} = @ ⁿ f n(n 1) 2 (¹ ² @ ³ :::@ ⁿ)@ f + O (@ ²)and a productofm etricsG n n = G ¹ ¹ :::G ⁿ ⁿ . T he sym m etrization of the derivatives D in D n is autom atic in rst order, because the partial derivatives

contracted with G symmetrize the -term on the other side, i.e. G D fD $g = G$ @ fD $g +$ G D f @ $g + O$ ($@²$). We can then write the full two-point correlator as

Z

h:
$$
f[X (1)]::g[X (2)]::i = f g
$$

\n
$$
+\frac{i}{4} \ln \frac{1}{2!} \qquad \text{if } G \text{ if } G
$$

T he three-point correlator is m uch m ore com plicated. It is a rather tedious but straightforward work to collect all the relevant term s from the appendix in [\[8](#page-11-0)]. In order to realize the structure m ore clearly we rst consider the two cases, = 0 and ± 1 (or, equivalently, G ± 0). For = 0 , the covariant derivative D again appears, now in the combination

D n D m f =
$$
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n(1) & 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}
$$

\n
\n $\begin{pmatrix} n(m) & 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$
\n $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$:: $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f + f \end{pmatrix}$
\n
\n $\begin{pmatrix} n(m) & 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$
\n $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$:: $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
\n $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$:: $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

T he correlator is given as

$$
h: f[X (1]): g[X (2)]:: h[X (3)]:: i = 0 = (f; g; h) + O (0^{2}) =
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(i)} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} h^{i}} \frac{1}{2i} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{h^{i}}{3i} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{1}{3i} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{1}{3i} \frac{1}{3i} \frac{1}{n!}
$$
\n
$$
F = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(i)} \frac{1}{2i} \frac{1}{n!} \
$$

The triangle functional (f;g;h) in the rst line is a generalization of ([8\)](#page-3-0) and was introduced for later reference. In case of G ! 0 the correlator can be expressed solely through the non-com m utative product (1) (1) (cf. also $[9]$)

h:
$$
f[X_1]: g[X_2]: h[X_3]: i_{G=0} = \int_{x=0}^{Z} \frac{1}{2}^{n} (f(g) h + f(g h))
$$

+ L(m) f₁ (f₂ f₃) (f₁ f₂) f₃)
+ O (0²):

L(m) = $\frac{6}{2}$ (Li2(m) Li2(1 m)) is an antisymmetric combination of dilogarithms Li2(m) with the lim its L(0) = 1 and L(1) = 1. The m odulus m = $_{21}$ = $_{31}$ can take the values 0 m 1.

Since we take into account only term s to rst derivative order these two results can easily be com pleted to the general case. In equations (11) and (12) we have included the G lG - and the lG term s, respectively. In the full correlator these two results combine in a natural way and add up with the rem aining G@ and @G parts, so that we nd

h:
$$
f[X (1)]::g[X (2)]::h[X (3)]::i = F[GGG; e] + F[Ge; GG] + O(e^{2})
$$
 (13)

w here

$$
F[G @G; @] = \frac{X}{I J J K} \frac{h^I_{21} h^J_{31} h^K_{32}}{I J K !}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{I + J + K} \frac{Z}{X} G^{I I} G^{J J} G^{K K} \frac{1}{2} D_{I J} f D_{I K} g D_{J K} h + (D_{I J} f D_{I K} g) D_{J K} h
$$
\n
$$
+ L (m) D_{I J} f D_{I K} g D_{J K} h) (D_{I J} f D_{I K} g) D_{J K} h
$$
\n
$$
(14)
$$

and, with θ f = f,

$$
F[\mathbb{G} \circ \mathbb{G}] =
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{2!} \quad \mathbb{G} \left[(\mathbb{f} \text{ is } \mathbb{F}) \right] \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{f} \text{ is } \mathbb{F}) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{f} \text{ is } \mathbb{F})]
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{3!} \quad \mathbb{G} \left[(\mathbb{f} \text{ is } \mathbb{F}) \right] + (\mathbb{f} \text{ is } \mathbb{F}) \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{f} \text{ is } \mathbb{F}) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{f} \text{ is } \mathbb{F})
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{3!} \quad \mathbb{G} \left[(\mathbb{f} \text{ is } \mathbb{F}) \right] + (\mathbb{f} \text{ is } \mathbb{F}) \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{f} \text{ is } \mathbb{F}) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{f} \text{ is } \mathbb{F}) \right]
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{2!} \quad \mathbb{G} \left[(\mathbb{F} \text{ is } \mathbb{F}) \right] \times
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{3!} \quad \mathbb{G} \left[(\mathbb{F} \text{ is } \mathbb{F}) \right] \times
$$
\n
$$
+ (\mathbb{F} \text{ is } \mathbb{F}) \right] \times
$$
\n
$$
+ (\mathbb{F} \text{ is } \mathbb{F}) \times
$$
\n
$$
+ (\mathbb{F} \text{ is } \mathbb{F}) \times
$$
\n
$$
+ (\mathbb{F} \text{ is } \mathbb{F}) \times
$$
\n
$$
+ (\mathbb{F} \text{ is } \mathbb{F}) \times
$$
\n
$$
+ (\mathbb{F} \text{ is } \mathbb{F}) \times
$$
\n
$$
+ (\mathbb{F} \text{ is } \mathbb{F}) \times
$$
\n
$$
+ (\mathbb{F} \text{ is } \mathbb{F}) \times
$$
\n
$$
+ (\mathbb{F
$$

The symbol $(f;g;h)$ is dened in equation (11).

0 n-shell correlators 4

In the previous section the insertions on the disk as well as the background elds are completely general, they do not satisfy any on-shell conditions, which are determ ined by the conform al invariance of the theory. The equations of m otion for the background elds are given by the -functions of the world sheet theory whereas the equations of m otion for the insertions are determ ined by the conform all transform ation properties of the correlation functions.

The correlators of the CFT on the disk must be invariant under the global conform al group SL(2;R). In particular, the 2-point correlator with insertions at the boundary is

$$
\langle f_1 | X(\tau_1) | f_2 | X(\tau_2) \rangle = \frac{C_{12}}{(\tau_2)^{2h}}; \tag{16}
$$

where h is the conform alweight of both f_1 and f_2 . The correlator for operators with dierent weights vanishes. The 3-point correlator is

$$
\langle f_1 | X(\tau_1) | f_2 | X(\tau_2) | f_3 | X(\tau_3) | \rangle = \frac{C_{123}}{\frac{h_1 + h_2 h_3 h_3 + h_1 h_2 h_2 + h_3 h_1}{31}} \tag{17}
$$

The constants C₁₂ and C₁₂₃ are functionals of $f_i(x)$, independent of the positions $\frac{1}{1}$ and invariant under cyclic perm utation of indices. For physical elds which should carry $h = 1$ we have

$$
\langle f_1 | X_1 | f_2 | X_2 \rangle = \frac{C_{12}}{(1 - z)^2}; \tag{18}
$$

$$
\langle f_1[X_1]f_2[X_2]f_3[X_3] \rangle = \frac{C_{123}}{21 \cdot 31 \cdot 32}; \qquad (19)
$$

On the other hand, the \circ -shell correlators in the open string background, [\(9\)](#page-4-0) and [\(13\)](#page-4-0), can be w ritten in the follow ing way,

$$
\langle f_{1}[X_{1}]f_{2}[X_{2}] \rangle = \frac{\dot{X}^{1} \underline{h}^{T} \underline{2}_{1}^{2}}{I!} F_{I}[f_{i}](i)
$$
\n
$$
\langle f_{1}[X_{1}]f_{2}[X_{2}]f_{3}[X_{3}] \rangle = \frac{\dot{X}^{1} \underline{h}^{T} \underline{2}_{1}^{2} \underline{h}^{3} \underline{1} \underline{h}^{N} \underline{3}_{1}^{1} \underline{h}^{K} \underline{3}_{2}^{1}}{I J I K I} F_{IJK}[f_{i}](i)
$$
\n
$$
\langle f_{1}[X_{1}]f_{2}[X_{2}]f_{3}[X_{3}] \rangle = \frac{\dot{X}^{1} \underline{h}^{T} \underline{2}_{1}^{1} \underline{h}^{3} \underline{1} \underline{h}^{K} \underline{3}_{2}^{1} \underline{1} \underline{h}^{K} \underline{1}}{I J I K I} F_{IJK}[f_{i}](i)
$$
\n
$$
\langle f_{1}[X_{1}]f_{2}[X_{2}]f_{3}[X_{3}] \rangle = \frac{\dot{X}^{1} \underline{h}^{T} \underline{2}_{1}^{1} \underline{h}^{3} \underline{1} \underline{h}^{K} \underline{3}_{2}^{1} \underline{1} \underline{h}^{K} \underline{1}}{I J I K I} F_{IJK}[f_{i}](i)
$$
\n
$$
\langle f_{1}[X_{1}]f_{2}[X_{2}]f_{3}[X_{3}] \rangle = \frac{\dot{X}^{1} \underline{h}^{T} \underline{2}_{1}^{2} \underline{1} \underline{h}^{K} \underline{1}}{I J K I K I} F_{IJK}[f_{i}](i)
$$
\n
$$
\langle f_{1}[X_{1}]f_{2}[X_{2}]f_{3}[X_{3}] \rangle = \frac{\dot{X}^{1} \underline{h}^{T} \underline{2}_{1}^{1} \underline{h}^{K} \underline{1}}{I J K I K I} F_{IJK}[f_{i}](i)
$$
\n
$$
\langle f_{1}[X_{1}]f_{2}[X_{2}]f_{3}[X_{3}] \rangle = \frac{\dot{X}^{1} \underline{h}^{T} \underline{2}_{1}^{1} \underline{h}^{3} \underline{1}}{I J K I K I} F_{IJK}[f_{i}](
$$

w here $F_I[f_i](i)$ and F_{IJK} $[f_i](i)$ are functionals of f_i and functions of i . The i -dependence arises from the dilogarithm in [\(14](#page-5-0)) as well as from the sign function ($_{ij}$) which accompanies every (cf. [\[8\]](#page-11-0)). In fact, we do not see the sign function because of our choice of ordering, $1 \leq 2 \leq 3$.

T herefore, if

$$
\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{I}}\left[\mathbf{f}_{i}\right](i) = \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{I}}\left[\mathbf{f}_{i}\right](i)
$$
\n(21)

$$
F_{IJK} [f_i](i) = F_{(I\ 1)JK} [f_i](i) = F_{I(J\ 1)K} [f_i](i) = F_{IJ(K\ 1)} [f_i](i)
$$
\n(22)

is fulled, one can reduce all functionals in the sum to $F_0[f_i]$ (i) and $F_{000}[f_i]$ (i), respectively. Furtherm ore, in order to reproduce the behaviour (18) and (19), $F_0[f_i]$ (i) and $F_{000}[f_i]$ (i) m ust be constants and then determ ine C₁₂ = $F_0[f_1]$ and C₁₂₃ = $F_{000}[f_1]$. However, this does not work o -shell and has to be accom plished by certain on-shell conditions in posed on the insertions (and of course on the background elds). We proceed in two steps and rst show the following theorem:

R elations (21) and (22) require that the insertions satisfy the tachyonic equation ofm otion

$$
f_i \quad (2) f_i = \frac{1}{\det(g - F)} e^{-\frac{F}{\det(g - F)G}} \quad (2) f_i = 0: \tag{23}
$$

Proof: W e start w ith the 2-point correlator. In order to get a scalar equation of the insertions one has to integrate by part. On the other hand, if we look at S-m atrix calculations [\[15](#page-11-0)] the m om entum conservation (D (k)) com es from the integration over zero modes. Here we do not have a at background and we cannot perform the integration in that way. N ow the integration by part is the analog of them om entum conservation in position space. Furtherm ore, one can separate the functionals F_I into two distinct parts, $F_I = F_I [d] + F_I [G dG; G d]$, dG]. The form er term com es from the rst line of (9) , but w ithout the C hristoels sym bols, the latter arises from the rest of (9) .

We take $F_I[d]$ and integrate by part in the following way

$$
F_{I}[d] = \frac{1}{2} I^{2} G^{I} e_{I} f_{1} \t e_{I} f_{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} I^{2} G^{I} e_{I} f_{1} \t e_{I} f_{1} \t e_{I} f_{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} I^{2} G^{I} e_{I} f_{1} \t e_{I} f_{1} \t e_{I} f_{2}
$$
\n
$$
+ F_{I}^{0}[G dG; G d; dG]:
$$
\n(24)

The last expression $F_I^0[G dG; G d$; dG] combines with $F_I[G dG; G d$; dG]. Analogously, using integration by part in $F_T^{00} = F_T^{00}$ G dG ; G d ; dG] + F _I [G dG ; G d ; dG], the di erential operator as it appears in (24) arises now in zero derivative order of the background elds, i.e. $f_i = G^{-1}$ ¹ θ_{i} , θ_{i} , f_i , because F_1^0 contains only term s of rst derivative order. Now F_{I1} [d] is equal to the rst two lines of (24) if equation (23) holds. Proceeding along the same lines one can show that F_{I_1} [GdG ; Gd ; dG] = F_{T}^{0} , again using (23). In fact, the 2-point function does not x the tachyonic equation uniquely. One can add A^{2n+1} (e_{2n+1}f_i, where n 2 Z and A o ((e). By means of partial integration such term s would mutually cancel in the second and third line of (24). However, we will see that this ambiquity is xed by the 3-point correlator.

The calculation for the 3-point correlator is similar. First we make the split $F_{IJK} = F_{IJK}$ [d]+ F_{IJK} [GdG ;Gd ; dG]. Let us again bok at the S-m atrix calculation. There one uses the relation $k_1k_2 = \frac{1}{4}(k_1 \ k_2 \ k_3)(k_2 \ k_1 \ k_3) = \frac{1}{2}(k_3^2 \ k_1^2 \ k_2^2)$. W ith the analogous transform at ion in term s of partial integrations we obtain from (13) and (14)

Since the dilogarithm is term is of rst derivative order in background elds there are no contributions thereof in F_{IJK}^0 [GdG;Gd; dG]. The same procedure as above shows that in view of (23) the rst part of (25) equals $F_{(I\ 1)JK}$ [d] and F_{IJK}^0 [G dG ;G d ; dG] + F_{IJK} [G dG ;G d ; dG] = $F_{(I\ 1)JK}$ [G dG ;G d ; dG]. But now term slike A $^{2n+1}$ @ $_{2n+1}$ f_1 would not cancel in (25), so that tachyonic equation of motion [\(23](#page-6-0)) is unique. Relations $F_{IJK} = F_{I(J-1)K}$ and $F_{IJK} = F_{I(J(K-1))}$ can be show n analogously.

W ith this result we can write the correlators as

$$
\langle f_1[X_1]f_2[X_2] \rangle = \frac{F_0[f_1] \left(\begin{array}{c} i \\ 2 \end{array} \right)}{21^2}
$$
\n
$$
\langle f_1[X_1]f_2[X_2]f_3[X_3] \rangle = \frac{F_{000}[f_1] \left(\begin{array}{c} i \\ 2 \end{array} \right)}{21 \cdot 31 \cdot 32}
$$
\n(26)

w ith

$$
F_0[f_i](i) = \begin{cases} Z & (f_1 \ f_2) ; \\ Z^x_{1}n & (27) \end{cases}
$$

$$
F_{000}[f_{i}](i) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{11} f_{1} & (f_{2} - f_{3}) + (f_{1} - f_{2}) & f_{3} + (f_{3} - f_{3}) & (28) \\ f_{1} & (f_{2} - f_{3}) + (f_{1} - f_{2}) & (f_{1} - f_{2}) + (f_{3} - f_{3}) & (28) \end{cases}
$$

Indeed, (27) and (28) are not yet position independent and invariant under cyclic exchange of the functions f_i . Putting also the background elds on shell, i.e. using the M axwell equation [\(3\)](#page-3-0), we can take advantage of the relations (4) and (5) . So, we reach the nal result

$$
\langle f_1[X_1]f_2[X_2] \rangle = \frac{1}{2i^2} \int_{x}^{Z} f_1 f_2 = \frac{1}{2i^2} \int_{x}^{Z} f_1 f_2 = \frac{1}{2i^2} \int_{x}^{Z} f_1 f_2
$$
 (29)

$$
\langle f_1[X_1]f_2[X_2]f_3[X_3] \rangle = \frac{1}{2i^2} \int_{x}^{Z} f_1 f_2 f_2
$$

We close this section with a rem ark on the ghost elds, which we have totally excluded from our discussion so far. On the disc we have three conform alkilling vectors (form ing the M obius group $SL(2;R)$) and therefore three of the vertices in a correlator can be xed in position and m ust be accom panied by a ghost eld $c(j)$, the others being integrated over the world sheet. The 2-point correlator has too few insertions in order to give a non-vanishing result in the ghost sector, i.e. hc($_1$)c($_2$)i_{gh} = 0. The 3-point ghost am plitude, hc($_1$)c($_2$)c($_3$)i_{gh} = c_{gh} $_{21}$ 31 32, exactly cancels the position dependence of the correlator [\(19](#page-6-0)). M oreover, the M obius group preserves the cyclic order of the insertions and so we m ust sum over inequivalent orderings in the 3-point am plitude, so that we $obtain³$ \overline{z}

$$
lcf_1[X_1]cf_2[X_2]cf_3[X_3]i + (f_2 \S f_3) = c \int_{X}^{Z} f_1(f_2 f_3 + f_3 f_2) : \qquad (30)
$$

5 Tachyonic action

T he results [\(23\)](#page-6-0) and (30) enable us to reconstruct the kinetic term and the cubic potentialof the open string tachyon. The value for the coupling constant is recovered from consistency w ith S-m atrix calculations, as discussed e.g. in [\[15\]](#page-11-0), by taking the lim it $\;$! 0 and g =

$$
S = \frac{1}{2g_0^2} \frac{1}{d^D x} \frac{p}{g} \frac{1}{F} n_G \qquad \text{or} \qquad \frac{1}{0} T \frac{r}{g} \frac{1}{g} n \qquad (T \quad T): \qquad (31)
$$

 3 U ntil now we have chosen the norm alization of the correlators such that it reduces to an integral over the ordinary product of functions for F = 0. W e reintroduce a norm alization constant $c = c_X c_{gh}$ where c_X and c_{gh} are the nom alizations for the m atter and the ghost contribution, respectively. c is xed by unitarity [\[15](#page-11-0)].

It would be more natural if we write the action totally in term s of the non-commutative product (1) , ie.

$$
S = \frac{1}{2g_0^2} \frac{Z}{d^D x} \frac{P}{g F} \frac{n}{F} G \qquad \text{or} \qquad T = \frac{1}{0} T T \frac{F}{g} T T \frac{Q}{g} T \qquad (32)
$$

The kinetic term of this action generates the equation of motion

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{P \frac{1}{G F}} \quad \text{e} \quad \frac{P}{G} \quad \text{F} \quad \text{(G)} \quad \text{C} \quad \text{T} + \text{C} \quad \text{T} \quad \text{G} \quad \text{h} + \frac{1}{2} \text{T} = 0 \tag{33}
$$

which reduces to equation (23) because $\frac{1}{2}$ (G θ T + θ T G) = G θ T + O (θ ²G). This means that the question whether one has to put the non-commutative product into the kinetic term or not cannot be decided at rst derivative order.

If we in pose the background eld on-shell condition (3) , the kinetic term of (23) reveals a rem arkable feature of the geometry on the D-brane. Equation (3) in plies also $\ell \frac{p}{g}$ FG $) =$ $p\frac{1}{\text{d F}}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ H), and we are able to rew rite (23) as $\left($

> M r r T (2) T = 0; (34)

where we have introduced the connection r that is compatible with the bulk metric and has torsion H

$$
r = \emptyset \qquad \frac{1}{2}H \qquad ; \qquad (35)
$$

This is exactly the connection that appears in closed string theory and it is independent of the gauge eld A.

F inally, we m ake a rem ark on higher order interactions in the tachyonic potential. Since each term in the potential is a power of the eld T , it is a very symmetric expression and one m ay ask if m ore brackets than the outem ost can be omitted and, if ∞ , how m any. For Γ ⁿ w ith n = 4;5 it is easy
to show that all brackets can be left out, i.e. Γ ⁴ = Γ ⁴ = Γ T and Γ ⁵ = Γ T T T T T T. W hat happens if we vary these expressions? To this end one has to select an arbitrary choice for the brackets in T^{-4} and T^{-5} . Independently of that choice the variation gives the sum over all bracket arrangem ents for three and four functions,

$$
T^{4} = T T (T T)) + ((T T) T)
$$
\n
$$
Z^{x} = Z^{x}
$$
\n
$$
T^{5} = T T (T (T T)) + T (T T) T) + (T T) (T T) +
$$
\n
$$
+ (T (T T)) T + ((T T) T) T
$$
\n(37)

For higher powers the behaviour is dievent. For instance, in case of $n = 6$ the follow ing four expressions have di erent variations: \overline{Z}

$$
T = (T (T T)) ((T T) T);
$$

\n
$$
Z^{X}
$$

\n
$$
T (T (T T)) (T (T T));
$$

\n
$$
Z^{X}
$$

\n
$$
T (T T) (T T) (T T);
$$

\n
$$
Z^{X}
$$

\n
$$
T (T T) (T T);
$$

\n
$$
T = T;
$$

\n
$$
T =
$$

A ny other bracket arrangem ents can be converted to one of these possibilities by m eans of equations [\(4](#page-3-0)) and [\(5\)](#page-3-0). The variations of [\(38](#page-9-0)) give a sum of $6,3,3$, and 2 dierent term s, respectively.⁴ T herefore, for higher powers than ve we have distinct expressions, where either one could arise in the tachyon potential, possibly w ith dierent weights.

6 D iscussion

In view of the quantity M and its inverse, the two m etrics, g and G, seem to be on equal footing on the D-brane. For instance, the integration m easure can be written as $\frac{p}{4}$ $\frac{p}{9}$ G. However, in the e ective eld theory on the brane they play dierent roles. G appears in the kinetic term of the action and, therefore, one expects that it is the preferred m etric on the brane. H owever, as we have seen in section [\(5](#page-8-0)), the natural connection on the D -brane is com patible w ith g and has torsion $H = dB$. This has an interesting consequence. The connection and the parallel transport is independent of the open string gauge eld A and depends only on bulk quantities. So we have gained som e insight into the dierential structure on a D -brane and it would be even m ore interesting to see how this extends to second derivative order. The correlation functions of open string photon vertices instead of tachyon vertices would be another source of inform ation. They should give rise to a gauge theory in a general non-com m utative background.

W e have seen that the form of the term s in the tachyonic potential is determ ined for powers lower than six. For higher powers of the tachyon eld one has to calculate the corresponding correlators in order to decide w ith w hich relative weight the distinct subsets (e.g. (38)) appear. O fcourse, a sum over all bracket arrangem ents would be a natural choice. But in fact, to nd out w hether this guess is correct, one needs a better understanding of the underlying A_1 -structure (cf. [\[9,14\]](#page-11-0)).

Since our results are consequences of restricting o -shell correlators to the know n structure of conform al eld theory it would be interesting to com pare our results with other o-shell approaches, e.g. background independent open string eld theory $[16{19}]$.⁵ C learly we expect that the tachyonic onshell condition obtained in this paper is equivalent to the consistency condition for a string propagating in nontrivial background elds, i.e. the W eyl invariance condition of the underlying 2-d non-linear sigm a m odel. Furtherm ore, the relation to previous work on the appearance of a non-com m utative tachyon action, m ostly considered in the lim it of a strong m agnetic eld $[20,21]$, needs clari cation. The exact non-com m utative tachyon potential should be given by the well know n expression obtained from BSFT butw ith ordinary products replaced w ith the generalized non-com m utative product found in [\[8\]](#page-11-0).

A cknow ledgm ents. This work was supported in part by the A ustrian R esearch Funds FW F under grants Nr. P14639-T PH and P15553, and by the city of V ienna under grant Nr. H-85/2001.

 4 The totalnum ber of term s is always 14, which is the num ber of bracket arrangem ents for ve functions.

 $5BST$ requires a xed conform al background in the bulk, but allows for arbitrary boundary interactions. Our approach does notm ake reference to such a background and is closer to the spirit of the sigm a m odel approach to string theory [\[22](#page-11-0)].

R eferences

- [1] V . Schom erus, \D -branes and deform ation quantization," JH EP 9906 (1999) 030 [\[hep](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9903205)[th/9903205\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9903205).
- [2] N .Seiberg and E.W itten,\String theory and noncom m utative geom etry," JH EP 9909 (1999) 032 [\[hep-th/9908142](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9908142)].
- [3] F.A rdalan,H .A rfaeiand M .M .Sheikh-Jabbari,\N oncom m utative geom etry from strings and branes," JH EP 9902 (1999) 016 [\[hep-th/9810072](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9810072)].
- [4] F.A rdalan,H .A rfaeiand M .M .Sheikh-Jabbari,\D irac quantization ofopen strings and noncom m utativity in branes," N ucl.Phys.B 576 (2000) 578 [\[hep-th/9906161](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9906161)].
- [5] C .C hu and P.H o,\N oncom m utative open string and D -brane," N ucl.Phys.B 550 (1999) 151 [\[hep-th/9812219](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9812219)].
- [6] M .K ontsevich,\D eform ation quantization ofPoisson m anifolds,I," [q-alg/9709040](http://arxiv.org/abs/q-alg/9709040).
- [7] A .S.C attaneo and G .Felder, \A path integralapproach to the K ontsevich quantization form ula," C om m un.M ath.Phys.212 (2000) 591 [\[m ath.qa/9902090](http://arxiv.org/abs/math/9902090)].
- [8] M . H erbst, A . K ling and M . K reuzer, \Star products from open strings in curved backgrounds," JH EP 0109 (2001) 014 [arX iv hep-th/0106159].
- [9] L.C ornalba and R.Schiappa, \N onassociative star product deform ations for D-brane worldvolum es in curved backgrounds," C om m un.M ath. Phys. 225 (2002) 33 [arX iv: hep-th/0101219].
- [10] P.H o and Y .Yeh,\N oncom m utative D -brane in non-constantN S-N S B eld background," Phys. R ev.Lett.85 (2000) 5523 [\[hep-th/0005159](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0005159)].
- [11] C .C hu and P.H o, \C onstrained quantization of open string in background B eld and noncom m utative D -brane," N ucl.Phys.B 568 (2000) 447 [\[hep-th/9906192](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9906192)].
- [12] P.Ho, \M aking non-associative algebra associative," [hep-th/0103024](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0103024).
- [13] P.Ho and S.M iao, \N oncom m utative dierential calculus for D-brane in non-constant B eld background," [hep-th/0105191.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0105191)
- [14] J.D. Stashe, \On the hom otopy associativity of H-spaces, I. & II.," Trans. Am er. M ath. Soc. 108 (1963) 275 & 293.
- [15] J.Polchinski,\String theory.Vol.1:A n introduction to thebosonicstring," C am bridgeU niversity Press (1998).
- [16] E.W itten, \On background independent open string eld theory," Phys.Rev.D 46 (1992) 5467 [\[arX iv:hep-th/9208027](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9208027)].
- [17] E.W itten,\Som e com putations in background independent o-shellstring theory," Phys.R ev. D 47 (1993) 3405 [arX iv: hep-th/9210065].
- [18] S.L.Shatashvili,\C om m ent on the background independentopen string theory," Phys.Lett.B 311 (1993) 83 [arX iv: hep-th/9303143].
- $[19]$ S.L.Shatashvili, \Diamond n the problem sw ith background independence in string theory," arX iv hep[th/9311177.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9311177)
- [20] L.C omalba, \T achyon condensation in large m agnetic elds w ith background independent string eld theory," Phys. Lett. B 504 (2001) 55 [arX iv: hep-th/0010021].
- [21] K.O kuyam a, \N oncom m utative tachyon from background independent open string eld theory," Phys. Lett. B 499 (2001) 167 [arX iv: hep-th/0010028].
- [22] A.A.T seytlin, \Sigm a M odelA pproach To String Theory," Int.J.M od.Phys.A 4 (1989) 1257.