

Beyond "naive" factorization in exclusive radiative *B*-meson decays

Thorsten Feldmann*†

Institut für Theoretische Physik E, RWTH Aachen, 52056 Aachen, Germany E-mail: attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namemailto:feldmann@physik.rwth-aachen.de.9 .9 0 Ofeldmann@physik.rwth-aachen.de

ABSTRACT: We apply the QCD factorization approach to exclusive, radiative B meson decays in the region of small invariant photon mass. We calculate factorizable and non-factorizable corrections to leading order in the heavy quark mass expansion and next-to-leading order in the strong coupling constant. Phenomenological consequences for the $B \to K^* \gamma$ decay rate and the $B \to K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$ forward-backward asymmetry are discussed.

Radiative *B*-meson decays provide an important tool to test the standard model of electroweak interactions and to constrain various models of new physics. The theoretical description of *exclusive* channels has to deal with hadronic uncertainties related to the binding of quarks in the initial and final states. For the decays $B \to K^* \gamma$ and $B \to K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$, that we are focusing on here, this is usually phrased as the need to know the hadronic form factors for the $B \to K^{(*)}$ transition, but there also exist "non-factorizable" strong interaction effects that do not correspond to form factors. They arise from the matrix elements of purely hadronic operators in the weak effective Hamiltonian with a photon radiated from one of the internal quarks. In Ref. [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namebib1.9 .9 0 01] we have computed these non-factorizable corrections and demonstrated that exclusive, radiative decays can be treated in a similarly systematic manner as their inclusive counterparts. As a result we obtain the branching fractions for $B \to K^* \gamma$ and $B \to K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$ for small invariant mass of the lepton pair to next-to-leading logarithmic (NLL) order in renormalization-group improved perturbation theory.

In the "naive" factorization approach, exclusive radiative B decays are described in terms of hadronic matrix elements of the electromagnetic penguin operator \mathcal{O}_7 and the semi-leptonic operators $\mathcal{O}_{9,10}$ [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namebib2.9 .9 0 02]. These are parametrized in terms of the corresponding tensor, vector and axial-vector $B \to K^*$ transition form factors $(T_{1,2,3}(q^2), V(q^2), A_{0,1,2}(q^2))$. Factorizable quark-loop contributions

 $^{^*}Speaker.$

[†]Based on work together with M. Beneke and D. Seidel [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namebib1.9 .9 0 01].



namefigure1 fith

Figure 1: LO contributions to $\langle \gamma^* \bar{K}^* | H_{\text{eff}} | \bar{B} \rangle$. The circled cross marks the possible insertions of the virtual photon line. In (a) and (b) the spectator line is not shown.

(Fig. attr/Border [0 0 0] goto nameref-fig1.9 .9 0 01b) with the four-quark operators \mathcal{O}_{1-6} are taken into account by using "effective" Wilson-coefficients, $C_7 \to C_7^{\text{eff}}$, $C_9 \to C_9^{\text{eff}}(q^2)$, renormalized at the scale $\mu = m_b$.

In order to include non-factorizable contributions as in Fig. attr/Border [0 0 0] goto nameref-fig1.9 .9 0 01c and Fig. attr/Border [0 0 0] goto nameref-fig2.9 .9 0 02 it is convenient to introduce generalized form factors $\mathcal{T}_i(q^2)$ for the transition into a *virtual* photon $B \to K^* \gamma^*$ as follows,

$$\langle \gamma^{*}(q,\mu)\bar{K}^{*}(p',\varepsilon^{*})|H_{\text{eff}}|\bar{B}(p)\rangle = -\frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}}V_{ts}^{*}V_{tb}\frac{ig_{\text{em}}m_{b}}{4\pi^{2}} \\ \left\{ 2\,\mathcal{T}_{1}(q^{2})\,\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\varepsilon_{\nu}^{*}\,p_{\rho}p_{\sigma}'-i\,\mathcal{T}_{2}(q^{2})\left[(M_{B}^{2}-m_{K^{*}}^{2})\,\varepsilon^{*\mu}-(\varepsilon^{*}\cdot q)\,(p^{\mu}+p'^{\mu})\right] \\ -i\,\mathcal{T}_{3}(q^{2})\,(\varepsilon^{*}\cdot q)\left[q^{\mu}-\frac{q^{2}}{M_{B}^{2}-m_{K^{*}}^{2}}(p^{\mu}+p'^{\mu})\right] \right\}.nameref-caltdeffith$$
(1)

In the "naive" factorization approach these new functions reduce to $\mathcal{T}_i(q^2) = C_7^{\text{eff}} T_i(q^2) + \dots$ Following the QCD factorization approach to exclusive *B* decays [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namebib3.9 .9 0 03], factorizable and non-factorizable radiative corrections are calculable in the heavy quark mass limit and for small photon virtualities (in practice $q^2 < 4m_c^2$).

At leading order (LO) in the strong coupling constant, the generalized form factors read

$$nameref - firstTfith\mathcal{T}_{1}(q^{2}) = C_{7}^{\text{eff}} T_{1}(q^{2}) + Y(q^{2}) \frac{q^{2}}{2m_{b}(M_{B} + m_{K^{*}})} V(q^{2}),$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{2}(q^{2}) = C_{7}^{\text{eff}} T_{2}(q^{2}) + Y(q^{2}) \frac{q^{2}}{2m_{b}(M_{B} - m_{K^{*}})} A_{1}(q^{2}),$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{3}(q^{2}) = C_{7}^{\text{eff}} T_{3}(q^{2}) + Y(q^{2}) \left[\frac{M_{B} - m_{K^{*}}}{2m_{b}} A_{2}(q^{2}) - \frac{M_{B} + m_{K^{*}}}{2m_{b}} A_{1}(q^{2}) \right]$$

$$-e_{q} (C_{3} + 3C_{4}) \frac{8\pi^{2}M_{B}f_{B}f_{K^{*}}m_{K^{*}}}{N_{C}m_{b}(M^{2} - q^{2})} \int d\omega \frac{\phi_{B,-}(\omega)}{\omega - q^{2}/M - i\epsilon}.nameref - lastTf(\mathcal{D})$$

The function $Y(q^2)$, which is usually absorbed into $C_9^{\text{eff}}(q^2)$, arises from the quark loop in Fig. attr/Border [0 0 0] goto nameref-fig1.9 .9 0 01b. The last, "non-factorizable" term in $\mathcal{T}_3(q^2)$ comes from the annihilation graph in Fig. attr/Border [0 0 0] goto nameref-fig1.9 .9 0 01c when the photon is emitted from the light spectator in the *B* meson (all other graphs



name figure 2 fith

Figure 2: Non-factorizable NLO contributions to $\langle \gamma^* \bar{K}^* | H_{\text{eff}} | \bar{B} \rangle$. Diagrams that follow from (c) and (e) by symmetry are not shown.



namefigure3 fith

Figure 3: Factorizable NLO corrections to the $B \to K^*$ form factors.

are sub-leading in the $1/m_b$ expansion). It introduces a new non-perturbative ingredient, namely one of the two light-cone distribution amplitudes of the *B* meson, $\phi_{B,\pm}(\omega)$, see [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namebib1.9 .9 0 01, attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namebib4.9 .9 0 04] for details. Furthermore, for the considered values of q^2 , the recoil-energy of the out-going K^* meson is large, and the seven independent $B \to K^*$ form factors can be described in terms of only two universal form factors [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namebib5.9 .9 0 05], which we denote as $\xi_{\perp}(q^2)$ and $\xi_{\parallel}(q^2)$ for transversely and longitudinally polarized K^* mesons, respectively [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namebib4.9 .9 0 04].

Factorizable next-to-leading order (NLO) form factor corrections are derived from Fig. attr/Border [0 0 0] goto nameref-fig3.9 .9 0 03 after the corresponding infra-red divergent pieces are absorbed into the *soft* universal form factors ξ_{\perp} and ξ_{\parallel} , see [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namebib4.9 .9 for details. The non-factorizable vertex corrections (Fig. attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namereffig2.9 .9 0 02c-e), are similar to the NLO calculation for the *inclusive* $b \rightarrow s\gamma^*$ transition, and the result for the two-loop diagrams in Fig. attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namereffig2.9 .9 0 02d+e are taken from Ref. [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namebib6.9 .9 0 06]. For the vertex corrections we chose a renormalization scale $\mu = \mathcal{O}(m_b)$. The non-factorizable hard-scattering corrections in Fig. attr/Border [0 0 0] goto nameref-fig2.9 .9 0 02a+b and Fig. attr/Border [0 0 0] goto nameref-fig1.9 .9 0 01c involve the light-cone distribution amplitudes of both, *B* and K^* mesons. (For $q^2 = 0$ diagrams of this form have already been considered in [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namebib7.9 .9 0 07], but using bound state model wave-functions, rather than light-cone distribution amplitudes.) Since in these class of diagrams the typical quark- and gluon-virtuality is of order $\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}m_b}$ we chose a different renormalization scale μ' of that order. In principle, we also have to consider NLO order corrections to the annihilation graph in Fig. attr/Border [0 0 0] goto nameref-fig1.9 .9 0 01c. However, since this term is suppressed by small Wilson coefficients C_3 and C_4 and numerically small already at LO, we have neglected these effects. Notice however, that the annihilation topology is numerically more important for $B \to \rho \gamma$ decays [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namebib8.9 .9 0 08, attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namebib9.9 .9 0 09].

The $B \to K^* \gamma$ decay rate is proportional to the function $|\mathcal{T}_1(0)|^2 = |\mathcal{T}_2(0)|^2$. In order to study the effect of NLO corrections it is convenient to define a generalized exclusive "Wilson" coefficient $\mathcal{C}_7 \equiv \mathcal{T}_1(0)/\xi_{\perp}(0)$. In Fig. attr/Border [0 0 0] goto nameref-fig4.9 .9 0 04 we have shown the μ -dependence of $|\mathcal{C}_7|^2$ at leading order (LO), including only next-to-leading order vertex corrections (NLO₁), and including all next-to-leading order corrections (NLO). As expected, the NLO₁ vertex corrections cancel the renormalizationscale dependence of the LO result to a great extent. (The hard-scattering corrections, arising at order α_s reintroduce a mild scale-dependence.) Most importantly, we observe that the NLO corrections significantly increase the theoretical prediction for $|\mathcal{C}_7|^2$. Numerically, we have $|\mathcal{C}_7|^2_{\text{NLO}} \simeq 1.78 \cdot |\mathcal{C}_7|^2_{\text{LO}}$. From this we predict the branching ratio as

$$Br(\bar{B} \to \bar{K}^* \gamma) = (7.9^{+1.8}_{-1.6}) \cdot 10^{-5} \left(\frac{\tau_B}{1.6 \text{ps}}\right) \left(\frac{m_{b,\text{PS}}}{4.6 \text{ GeV}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\xi_{\perp}(0)}{0.35}\right)^2 \tag{3}$$

Comparing with the current experimental averages [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namebib10.9 .9 0 010] Br $(\bar{B}^0 \to \bar{K}^{*0}\gamma)_{exp} = (4.54\pm0.37)\cdot10^{-5}$, Br $(B^- \to \bar{K}^{*-}\gamma)_{exp} = (3.81\pm0.68)\cdot10^{-5}$, and using the value $\xi_{\perp}(0) = 0.35$ from QCD sum rules [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namebib11.9 .9 0 011], we observe that the central value of the theoretical prediction overshoots the data by nearly a factor of two. (An equivalent analysis with similar conclusions can be found in Ref. [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namebib9.9 .9 0 09].) Possible explanations for this discrep-



namefigure4 fith

Figure 4: $|C_7|^2$ as a function of the renormalization scale μ , see text.

ancy are: i) new physics contributions (this is rather unlikely because of the good agreement between NLO theory and experiment for the *inclusive* counterpart, $B \to X_s \gamma$), ii) sizeable $1/m_b$ power-corrections ("chirally enhanced" corrections play a role for decays into light pseudoscalars [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namebib12.9 .9 0 012]; in our case, however, we expect a less dramatic effect), iii) an insufficient understanding of the $B \to K^*$ form factors



name figure 5 fith

Figure 5: The FB asymmetry as a function of q^2 (left). The Wilson-coefficient C_9 as a function of the FB asymmetry zero (right). The error band refers to a variation of all input parameters and changing the renormalization scale between $m_b/2$ and $2m_b$. The dashed line is obtained from using the complete form factors from [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namebib11.9 .9 0 011], see text. The grey band indicates the standard model value.

(a fit to the experimental data on the basis of our formalism yields a somewhat smaller value, $\xi_{\perp}(0) = 0.24 \pm 0.06$).

A quantity that is less sensitive to the precise value of $\xi_{\perp}(q^2)$ is provided by the $B \to K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$ forward-backward asymmetry \mathcal{A}_{FB} . At LO the position of the asymmetry zero q_0^2 is determined by the implicit relation

$$C_9 + \operatorname{Re}(Y(q_0^2)) = -\frac{2M_B m_b}{q_0^2} C_7^{\text{eff}} , \qquad (4)$$

and does not depend on form factors at all [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namebib13.9 .9 0 013]. As illustrated in Fig. attr/Border [0 0 0] goto nameref-fig5.9 .9 0 05 NLO corrections shift the position of the asymmetry zero from $q_0^2 = 3.4_{-0.5}^{+0.6}$ GeV² at LO to $q_0^2 = 4.39_{-0.35}^{+0.38}$ GeV². (A slightly different value $q_0^2 = 3.94$ GeV² is found if one takes the complete form factors from QCD sum rules [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namebib11.9 .9 0 011], instead of ξ_{\perp} and the factorizable NLO corrections from [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namebib4.9 .9 0 04]). In any case, a measurement of the forward-backward asymmetry zero provide a clean test of the Wilson-coefficient C_9 in the standard model with a rather small theoretical uncertainty of about 10%.

In summary, we have shown that a systematic improvement of the theoretical description of exclusive radiative B meson decays is possible. This is because in the heavy quark limit decay amplitudes factorize into perturbatively calculable hard-scattering kernels and universal soft form factors or light-cone distribution amplitudes, respectively. The next-to-leading order corrections increase the branching ratio for the decay $B \to K^* \gamma$ by almost a factor of two (which is at variance with the current experimental data if "standard" values for the soft form factors are used). They also shift the position of the forward-backward asymmetry in the decay $B \to K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$ towards $q_0^2 = 4.2 \pm 0.6 \text{ GeV}^2$ in the standard model.

In this case the precision of the prediction is sufficient to test the Wilson coefficient C_9 with only 10% theoretical uncertainty.

References

- namebib1 fith[1] M. Beneke, T. Feldmann, and D. Seidel, attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namehttp://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/0106067.9 .9 0 0hep-ph/0106067 (to appear in Nucl. Phys. B).
- namebib2 fith[2] G. Buchalla, A. J. Buras, and M. E. Lautenbacher, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 68 (1996) 1125–1144.
- namebib3 fith[3] M. Beneke, G. Buchalla, M. Neubert, and C. T. Sachrajda, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 83 (1999) 1914, [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namehttp://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/9905312.9 .9 0 0hep-ph/9905312]; *Nucl. Phys.* B591 (2000) 313-418, [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namehttp://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/0006124.9 .9 0 0hep-ph/0006124].
- namebib4 fith[4] M. Beneke and T. Feldmann, Nucl. Phys. B592 (2000) 3–34, [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namehttp://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/0008255.9 .9 0 0hep-ph/0008255];
 T. Feldmann, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 93 (2001) 99–102, [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namehttp://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/0008272.9 .9 0 0hep-ph/0008272].
- namebib5 fith[5] J. Charles, A. L. Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pène, and J. C. Raynal, *Phys. Rev.* D60 (1999) 014001, [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namehttp://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/9812358.9 .9 0 0hep-ph/9812358].
- namebib6 fith[6] H. H. Asatryan, H. M. Asatrian, C. Greub, and M. Walker, *Phys. Lett.* B507 (2001) 162–172, [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namehttp://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/0103087.9.9 0 0hep-ph/0103087].
- namebib7 fith[7] H. H. Asatryan, H. M. Asatrian, and D. Wyler, *Phys. Lett.* B470 (1999) 223, [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namehttp://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/9905412.9 .9 0 0hep-ph/9905412].
- namebib8 fith[8] A. Ali and V. M. Braun, *Phys. Lett.* B359 (1995) 223–235, [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namehttp://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/9506248.9 .9 0 0hep-ph/9506248];
 B. Grinstein and D. Pirjol, *Phys. Rev.* D62 (2000) 093002, [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namehttp://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/0002216.9 .9 0 0hep-ph/0002216];
 M. Beyer, D. Melikhov, N. Nikitin, and B. Stech, attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namehttp://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/0106203.9 .9 0 0hep-ph/0106203.
- namebib9 fith[9] S. W. Bosch and G. Buchalla, attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namehttp://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/0106081.9 .9 0 0hep-ph/0106081.
- namebib10 fith[10] CLEO Collaboration, T. E. Coan et. al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 (2000) 5283–5287, [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namehttp://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ex/9912057.9 .9 0 0hep-ex/9912057]; V. Brigljevic [BaBar Collaboration], at 36th Rencontres de Moriond, March 2001, Les Arcs, France; G. Taylor [Belle Collaboration], at 36th Rencontres de Moriond, March 2001, Les Arcs, France.
- namebib11 fith[11] P. Ball and V. M. Braun, *Phys. Rev.* D58 (1998) 094016, [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namehttp://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/9805422.9 .9 0 0hep-ph/9805422].
- namebib12 fith[12] M. Beneke, G. Buchalla, M. Neubert, and C. T. Sachrajda, attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namehttp://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/0104110.9 .9 0 0hep-ph/0104110.

namebib13 fith[13] G. Burdman, *Phys. Rev.* D57 (1998) 4254–4257, [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namehttp://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/9710550.9 .9 0 0hep-ph/9710550];
A. Ali, P. Ball, L. T. Handoko, and G. Hiller, *Phys. Rev.* D61 (2000) 074024, [attr/Border [0 0 0] goto namehttp://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-ph/9910221.9 .9 0 0hep-ph/9910221].