M IT -C T P -3101 C E R N -T H /2001-076 hep-th/0103177

O rientifold dual for stuck N S5 branes

Bo Feng, Yang-HuiHe, Andreas Karch

Center for Theoretical Physics, MIT, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA yhe@grendelmit.edu; fengb, karch@ctpmit.edu

AngelM .Uranga

TH Division, CERN, CH-1211 Geneve 23, Switzerland Angel.Uranga@cern.ch

A bstract. We establish T-duality between NS5 branes stuck on an orientifold 8plane in type I' and an orientifold construction in type IIB with D7 branes intersecting at angles. Two applications are discussed. For one we obtain new brane constructions, realizing eld theories with gauge group a product of sym plectic factors, giving rise to a large new class of conform alN = 1 theories embedded in string theory. Second, by studying a D2 brane probe in the type I' background, we get som e inform ation on the still elusive (0,4) linear sigm a model describing a perturbative heterotic string on an ADE singularity.

1. Introduction

Supersymmetric gauge theories can be embedded into string theory via intersecting branes and branes ending on branes, following the pioneering work of [1]. This approach proved powerful in predicting moduli spaces, global symmetries and dualities of the theories engineered that way. Even these days, now that with the advent of A dS/CFT duality we have more rened tools to actually study dynamics, brane setups are still quite popular to help getting intuitive pictures. This is facilitated by the fact that many brane setups can be related by T-duality to branes moving on a singular geometry. In particular, dualization into orbifold and orientifold backgrounds proves useful, since this way one can employ perturbative string techniques to calculate and derive the rules governing the brane setup. These T-dualities follow from the duality between K aluza-K lein monopoles and NS5 branes [2]. In [3, 4] it was rst used to relate 6d H anany-W itten (HW) setups to D 5 branes on orbifold singularities. Sim ilar relations were found for N = 2 theories in 4d [5, 6], D-brane probes of the conifold [7, 8] and other CY singularities [9, 10].

In this paper we will study T-duality relations for NS5 branes in type I' theory. The building blocks are the NS5 branes along 012345, the dening orientifold 08 planes and the corresponding D8 branes along 012345789. In addition one may introduce D6 branes along 0123456, that is, stretching along the direction 6 which is taken to be a compact interval. This is the background geometry and it preserves 8 supercharges. On this background we are going to consider various probes preserving 4 supercharges, in particular a D2 brane along 016 or a D4 brane along 01237.

The low energy dynamics of the background is described by an N = (1;0) gauge theory in 6 dimensions. There are two dimensions, shown in Fig. 1: the NS5 branes can either be free to move in pairs in the bulk, their 6 position being the scalar in a tensor multiplet, or they can be stuck on one of the 0.8 planes, with positions encoded in the scalars of hypermultiplets. Taking the direction 6 compact, the former setup is easily T-dualized along it into a type IIB orientifold on an ALE spacez of the kind studied in [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. In this T-dual orientifold picture the spectrum and interactions on the branes can be reliably calculated. Due to the extra tensorm ultiplets, it is well known that this setup does not correspond to a perturbative compactication of ten-dimensional type I theory. In the S-dual heterotic SO (32) theory, we are describing a non-perturbative phase with small instantons (T dual to the D 6 branes) on an ALE singularity (T dual to the NS5 branes). The requirement that the NS5 branes are moving in pairs translates into the statement that some of the singularities are frozen and cannot be blown up (for every NS5 brane pair we are always left with at least an A₁ singularity).

The other phase is when the NS5 branes are stuck on the orientifold, as studied in [17]. This of course can still be T-dualized in the same way, however the T-dual is no longer a free orbifold conform all eld theory, so we no longer have a perturbative description. In this phase all the tensors are frozen while all the NS5 branes can move independently, hence it describes type I on the ALE space. However we are no longer presented with a calculational tool to construct the spectrum on the probe branes.

W e w ill present another T -duality, along the 7 direction, that transform s the setup w ith the stuck NS5 branes into a perturbative orientifold of IIB w ith 0 7 planes and D 7 branes intersecting at angles. This is a non-com pact version of the m odels introduced in [18]. As an application and check we w ill consider introducing D 2 brane and D 4 brane probes in this background. The D 4 brane realizes a new class of N = 1 theories in four dim ensions, including several conform al exam ples. The D 2 brane corresponds to a D 1 brane probe in type I theory on an ALE singularity, hence our construction provides som e inform ation on the so far elusive (0,4) LSM [19] describing the heterotic string on an ALE space or, once we include the D 6 branes, on the ADHM constructions of SO (32) instantons on an ALE space.

In the next section we will review the type I' background, describe the T-duality along the 6 direction and review the problem's associated with stuck NS5 branes. In

z M ore precisely, on a Taub-NUT (TN) space. The eld theory data, however, depend only on the geometry near the centers, which can be approximated by an ALE geometry.

section 3 we introduce the orientifold construction and give evidence that it is indeed the T-dual after dualizing along 7. In the following two sections we then present as applications and checks the theory on the D 4 brane probe and the D 2 brane probe.



F igure 1: Examples of the two di erent phases in the type I'setup. Tensor multiplets correspond to motion on the compact 6 interval, hyper-multiplets to motion in the transverse 789 space. The m referred to the cosm ological constant set up in the bulk.

2. The type I' background and T-duality to type I

2.1. T-duality along the interval direction

W e start by reviewing the T-duality of type I' theory with 0.8 planes (along 012345789), NS5 branes (along 012345), and D 6 branes (spanning 0123456) along the compact 6 interval, as described in the introduction. First let us discuss the case that corresponds to a perturbative orientifold of type IIB on an ALE space, of the kind discussed in [11,12,13,14,15,16]. In the type I' dual the NS5 branes are out in the bulk, half the hypers (corresponding to 789 positions) are frozen and we have extra tensors from the 6 position of the NS5 branes [3,4]. Turning on W ilson lines on the IIB side x m aps in type I' to m oving the D 8 branes into the bulk. For a Z_k singularity with odd k on the IIB side, one NS5 brane is stuck on an 0.8 plane and the others move in the bulk in pairs. For even k, we can have $\frac{k}{2}$ pairs or one NS5 brane stuck at each of the 0.8 planes and the rest m oving in pairs. The stuck NS5 branes correspond to m onopoles living in the D 8 gauge group [17]. In the literature, e.g. [16], latter m odels are often referred to as 'w ithout vector structure'.

Of course this IIB orientifold is not type I on the ALE space. In order to obtain type I we have to mod out by world-sheet parity . The action reverses the sign of the NS-NS B-eld, and hence is a symmetry of type IIB string theory only if all the NS-NS B-elds are turned o . Since in the free world-sheet orbifold CFT of type IIB on ALE space the twisted sector NS-NS B-elds are non-zero, in this theory can not be gauged. Instead we would have to orientifold the interacting ALE conformal eld theory at B = 0.

In the perturbative orientifolds with non-zero B-elds of [11, 12, 13, 16] is combined with a space-time action [20] exchanging oppositely twisted sectors. The

x M ore accurately, turning on asymptotically at self-dual gauge backgrounds in the Taub-NUT geometry. We denote the asymptotic connections by 'W ilson lines', and point out that in the ALE lim it they correspond to choices of D brane Chan-Paton factors for the orbifold group.

resulting models involve tensorm ultiplets and correspond to new phases of the heterotic string. The only example without extra tensors (the orientifold of $C^2=Z_2$ in [11,12,13]) corresponds to a bundle without vector structure [21], which hence also describes a compactication of the SO (32) string with a non-trivial gauge background turned on. In type I' the corresponding con guration has one NS5 brane stuck at each 08 plane.

Unless we turn W ilson lines to the SO (16) SO (16) point, additional D 6 branes are required in the bulk, due to charge conservation in the background of the non-trivial cosm ological constant [3, 4]. We are always free to add an arbitrary equal number of D 6-branes on each interval, corresponding to adding sm all instantons (that is D 5 branes) on the IIB side.

2.2. SO (32) strings on a sm ooth ALE

In order to study the heterotic string with a trivial bundle on an ALE, we have to mod out IIB just by (without geom etric action) and study the D-string in this background. As argued above, we would have to orientifold the theory at B = 0. Let us once more analyze the T-dual type I' language. Since in this phase the twisted sector tensors are projected out, the NS5 branes must be stuck on the orientifold planes, with their positions within the 08 plane parametrized by scalars in the hypermultiplets. If we realize the SO (32) by putting all D 8 branes on (say) the right O 8, in order to have a trivial bundle we should boate all the NS5 branes at the left 08 plane. Having all the D 8 branes on one side sets up a cosm ological constant in the bulk. A NS5 brane in such a background cosm ological constant would have to be connected with 8 D 6 branes to the right 08 plane. So without extra (fractional) sm all instantons present (D6 branes along 0123456), the bulk cosm ological constant does not allow the NS5 branes to wander o into the bulk. There is no phase transition trading hypermultiplets for tensors [17]. For a generic choice of W ilson lines, the NS5 branes are stuck in a similar fashion on the less occupied 08 plane. They can be interpreted as monopoles of the D8 brane world-volum e gauge theory. Only at the SO (16) SO (16) point NS5 branes m ay m ove around freely, since the bulk cosm ological constant vanishes.

W e will show that in order to describe the phase with stuck NS branes, another T-duality can be employed. In the type I' language this is a duality along the direction 7. This duality can be established when we take the 6 direction to be non-compact, that is we study a single 0.8 with the stuck NS5 branes, while compactifying the 7 direction. So this is not really the T-dual of the situation we want to study, with 7 non-compact and 6 compact. However when interested in the gauge theory on a probe, all the interesting dynam ics are determined locally by the interplay of probe branes and orientifold and NS5 branes. The new T-duality gives us a calculational tool to describe a single 0.8 plane with an arbitrary number of D.8 branes and stuck NS5 branes, and probes on this background.

3. A new T-duality into a orientifold with branes at angles

3.1. A non-com pact orientifold with branes at angles

A coording to [2], k NS5 branes on a circle are T-dual to an ALF space with a C²=Z_k singularity at the origin. Positions of the NS5 brane in the transverse space map to the 3 blow up parameters associated with each of the (k 1) hom obgically non-trivial 2-spheres, and positions along the compact direction map to the NSNS 2-form eld uxes through the spheres. Note that having the NS5 branes stuck on the 0.8 freezes one of the 3 blow up modes (the 6 position). Instead we have a 10 position on the M-theory circle, which corresponds to a RR 2-form ux in the IIB orientifold.

In our con guration of Figure 1, considering the direction 7 compact and T-dualizing along it, the T-dual is an k-center Taub-NUT (TN) space, with the circle ber parametrized by 7^0 , the T-dual of the 7 direction, and the base parametrized by 689. Our purpose is to identify the geometry of the T-dual of the type I'O 8/D 8 system. The original O 8 planes and D 8 branes were wrapped in the 7 direction, hence they should correspond to D 7 branes not wrapped on the circle ber of TN. In a suitable complex structure, the TN can be described by the hypersurface in C³

$$UV = Z^{k}; (1)$$

and the circle corresponds to the U (1) orbit (U;V)! (e^{i} U; e^{i} V), with real ranging from 0 to 2. Near the core of the TN space, the geometry is locally that of a C²=Z_k singularity, with the generator of Z_k acting as

$$: \frac{z_1 + e^{2 - i = k} z_1}{z_2 + e^{2 - i = k} z_2};$$
(2)

where one can take e.g. $z_1 \coloneqq x^6 + ix^8$ and $z_2 \coloneqq x_7 + ix_9$. These coordinates are related to the above ones by $U = z_1^k$, $V = z_2^k$, $Z = z_1 z_2$.



Figure 2: On the covering space the orbifold action acts on the branes/planes by rotation. Including all the mirror in ages under the orbifold action, we are required to include branes intersecting at angles.

So in order to T-dualize the 08 plane we are boking for an orientifold action in the Taub-NUT geometry, with sets of xed points (O planes) not wrapping the S¹ ber. An action with the correct properties is given by R ($)^{F_L}$, where is world-sheet parity, and R is the spacetime action

$$R : \begin{array}{c} \stackrel{\circ}{\underset{z_2}{\overset{z_1}{\vdots}}} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_2 \\ \vdots \\ z_2 \end{array}$$
(3)

The xed set of R is $z_1 = \overline{z}_1$, $z_2 = \overline{z}_2$, which is a special Lagrangian cycle. Hence the corresponding 0.7 plane preserves the correct number of supersymmetries. Notice that the full orientifold group contains di erent elements R ^a, whose sets of xed points lead to a set of k 0.7 planes at angles, as shown in Figure 2. Speci cally, the curves wrapped by the 0.7 planes are given by

$$z_1 = e^{2 i \frac{a}{k}} \overline{z}_1 \quad ; \quad z_2 = e^{2 i \frac{a}{k}} \overline{z}_2 :$$
(4)

with $a = 0; \dots; k$ 1. These orbifold and orientifold actions have been considered in [18], in the context of compact toroidal orbifolds.

Clearly, the T-duals of the D8 branes correspond to Z_k invariant sets of D7 branes at angles wrapped on curves of the type described, see Figure 2. To see this, for example, if we start with a D7 brane wrapped on $z_1 = \overline{z_1}$; $z_2 = \overline{z_2}$, after the action of ^b we get a D7 brane wrapped on $e^{i\frac{2-b}{k}}z_1 = e^{-i\frac{2-b}{k}}\overline{z_1}$ and $e^{-i\frac{2-b}{k}}\overline{z_2} = e^{i\frac{2-b}{k}}\overline{z_1}$. Rewriting this we have $z_1 = e^{-i\frac{4-b}{k}}\overline{z_1}$ and $z_2 = e^{i\frac{4-b}{k}}\overline{z_1}$, which is a curve of the kind in (4) for a = 2b. Furtherm ore, this calculation shows that there is a di erence between the k odd case and k even case. For odd k a Z_k invariant con guration of D7 branes is given by k sets of D7 branes wrapped on the curves above. For even k, how ever, Z_k does not relate curves with even and odd a. Hence it is possible to construct Z_k invariant com binations of D7 branes w ith only P = k=2 sets, related by Z_P , and the orbifold action contains an additional Z_2 acting within each stack. Even though there is no inconsistency in such possibilities, we will be interested in con gurations with local charge cancellation (see Section 3.4). Such con gurations involve k sets of D7 branes on the k curves above, namely two Z_k invariant sets of D7 branes, wrapped on the even and odd a curves, respectively.

A sim ilar construction can be made for orbifold CY 3-folds. In this case the branes will wrap special Lagrangian 3-cycles. C om pact versions of such models have appeared in [22, 23]. Non-compact orbifolds with branes at angles (in the absence of orientifold projection) have been considered in [24].

3.2. The closed string spectrum and the worldvolum e theory on the 7-branes

3.2.1. The closed string spectrum Besides the usual matter from the untwisted sector, the closed string twisted sectors contain, before the orientifold projection, k 1 hyper and tensor multiplets of 6d (1;0) susy. The orientifold projection above maps each twisted sector to itself, and can be seen to project out the tensor multiplets, leading to k 1 hypermultiplets, in agreement with the result in the type I' construction. This result generalizes to arbitrary Z_k the closed string spectrum for crystallographic twists in [18].

An alternative derivation is to follow the analysis of [25], which deals with the related orientifold action \mathbb{R}^0 with $\mathbb{R}^0 : (z_1^0; z_2^0) ! (z_2^0; z_1^0)$, and leads to k 1 tensor multiplets and no hypermultiplets. This is similar to our action \mathbb{R} if we rewrite \mathbb{R} by expressing the same ALE in a dierent preferred complex structure, by de ning $z_1^0 = z_1 + z_2, z_2^0 = z_2 + z_1$, where we have $\mathbb{R} : (z_1^0; z_2^0) ! (z_2^0; z_1^0)$. This diers from \mathbb{R}^0 in

just one sign, which can be seen to ip the orientifold action in the twisted sectors to yield k 1 hypermultiplets and no tensors.

3.2.2. The worldvolum e theory on the D 7 branes In order to calculate the worldvolum e theory we have to specify the Z_k action on the D 7 brane indices. Starting with the odd k case, and labeling the k stacks of n D 7-branes by a Chan-Paton index a = 1; :::;k, the action of the generator of Z_k is to map the a^{th} to the $(a + 1)^{th}$ stack. Hence we have

which we write as $(_{i^7})_{ab} = _{ba+1}1_n$. Notice that upon diagonalization, this matrix is equivalent to the more familiar

$$_{j7} = diag(1_n; ! 1_n; ...; !^{k-1} 1_n)$$
(6)

where $! = e^{2} = e^{2}$. However, working on the basis in (5) is more convenient.

The orientifold projection is represented by the matrices

$$R_{i7} = \operatorname{diag}(A_n; :::; A_n)$$
(7)

w ith

$$A_n = 1_N \tag{8}$$

or

$$A_{n} = \begin{array}{c} 0 & 1_{n=2} \\ 1_{n=2} & 0 \end{array}$$
(9)

corresponding to the choice of 0.8^+ or 0.8^- plane on the T-dual side respectively.

For even k = 2P, we consider congurations with two Z_k invariant set (a total of k stacks), which we treat independently. Each contains P stacks of n D 7-branes, labeled by a = 1; :::; P. The Z_k action is represented by the P P block matrix

$${}_{,7} = \bigcup_{\substack{R \\ R \\ R \\ R \\ R \\ R \\ M_n}}^{M_n} M_n = \text{diag}(e^{i=k} 1_{n=2}; e^{i=k} 1_{n=2})$$
(10)

namely ($_{i7}$)_{ab} = $_{ba+1}M_n$. The orientifold action is given by

$$R_{,7} = \operatorname{diag}(A_n; :::; A_n)$$
(11)

w ith

$$A_{n} = \begin{array}{c} 0 & \text{il}_{n=2} \\ \text{il}_{n=2} & 0 \end{array}$$
(12)

or

$$A_{n} = \begin{array}{c} 0 & 1_{n=2} \\ 1_{n=2} & 0 \end{array}$$
(13)

corresponding to the 0.8^+ or 0.8^- plane on the T-dual side.

Let us discuss the spectrum after the orbifold projection, but before the orientifold projection. The results are shown in the rst half of table 1. Recall that we start with a Z_k action and have to distinguish the case of even and odd k. For odd k we have k sets of n branes, which we denote as D 7_a -branes. For even k = 2P we have two Z_k -unrelated families of P sets.

The matter content consists of an 8D piece and som e matter localized at the 6D intersection. For the purposes of discussion, let us momentarily pretend that the D 7 branes are somehow 'compacti ed' and phrase the spectrum in terms of D = 6 N = 1 SUSY. Namely we discuss the structure of the zero modes of the 8d elds in the bulk of the D 7 branes. In the $7_a 7_a$ sectors, we start with a gauge group U (n)^k and adjoint superpartners. For odd k, the Z_k sim ply maps one set of branes to the next, and so reduces the group to just one U (n) and the matter to just one adjoint hypermultiplet. For even k = 2P, the Z_k projection on the original U (n)^P U (n)^P vector plus adjoint hypermultiplets can be regarded as acting in two steps. First, the Z_P projection reduces to U (n) U (n). Next, the remaining Z₂ m apseach stack to itself, and projects the vector multiplets to [U (n=2) U (n=2)]², and the adjoint hypers to hypers in two copies of the (n=2;n=2;1;1)+ (1;1;n=2;n=2). These spectra are easily obtained using the projection multiplets of U (n)^k.

Let us turn to the $7_a 7_b$ sectors, for a 6 b. From each such sector we obtain one half-hypermultiplet (the other half comes from the $7_b 7_a$ sector, which we count as a di erent one for the moment) in the bifundamental of U (n)_a U (n)_b. For odd k we get k(k 1)=2 hypermultiplets in such representations, which are projected by Z_k to (k 1)=2 hypermultiplets in the adjoint of the surviving U (n). For even k, open strings within each Z_k invariant set give P (P 1)=2 hypers in bifundamental representations. The Z_P projection would have (P 1)=2 full hypers in the adjoint of each U (n), which are projected down to (P 1) full hypers in the (n=2;n=2) of each U (n=2) U (n=2) by the additional Z_2 projection. Open strings stretched between the two Z_k invariant sets give P² bifundamental hypers, which are projected down to P hypers in the bifundamental of U (n)² by the Z_P projection. The additional Z_2 projection haves P hypers in the (n=2;1;n=2;1) + (1;n=2;1;n=2) of U (n=2)² U (n=2)².

Let us now consider introducing the orientifold projection, associated for example to an 0.8 plane in the type I'T-dual. The result is shown in the second half of table 1. Let us again rst book at the $7_a 7_a$ sector. For odd k the orientifold projects the single U (n) down to SO (n), and the matter to a hypermultiplet in the adjoint. For even k, within each Z_k invariant set the orientifold projection relates the two U (n=2) factors. For each we obtain one U (n=2) gauge group, and two hypers in two-index antisymm etric representation.

In the $7_a 7_b$ sector for a 6 b, in posing the orientifold projections for odd k projects the (k 1)=2 adjoint hypermultiplets of U (n) to adjoints of SO (n). For even k = 2P, orientifolding of open strings within each Z_k invariant set leads to (P 1) full hypers in the two-index antisym m etric representation of U (n=2). The projection on the spectrum of open strings stretched between di erent invariant sets yields P hypermultiplets in the bifundam ental of U (n=2)².

6d (1,0) multiplet		Vector	7 _a 7 _a hyper	7 _a 7 _b hyper
0 rbifold	k odd	U (n)	Adj.	<u>k_1</u> Adj.
	k even	U (n=2) ²	2 (□;□; 1;1)	(P 1)[□;□; 1;1)+(1;1; □; □)]
		U (n=2) ²	+ 2(1;1; [;])	P [□;1;□;1)+ (1; □;1;□)]
0 rientifold	k odd	SO (n)		$\frac{k-1}{2}$
	k even	U (n=2) ²	2 (;1) + 2 (1;)	(P 1)[(-;1)+(1;-)]
				P (];])

Table 1. Spectrum on D7-branes at angles in orbifold and orientifold singularities.



Figure 3: The 8d m odulus for k = 3.



Figure 4: The 8d m odulus for k = 5. The two kinds of intersections lead to two hypermultiplets.

For a single brane the interpretation of the scalar moduli in these multiplets is as follows: The scalars in the D7 brane bulk correspond to the motion of the D7 brane away from the xed points. Due to the orbifold symmetry all the mirror in ages have to move as well, so that afterwards the branes form a regular k-gon, as displayed for k = 3 in Fig 3 and for k = 5 in Fig 4. In this con guration every brane still intersects every other brane. At each intersection there lives one of the hypermultiplets. For instance, the case k = 5 in Fig 4 contains two kinds of intersections, associated to two hypermultiplets in the $7_a 7_b$ sectors. Turning on the vevs for such hypermultiplets

corresponds to deform ing the intersecting 7-brane con guration into a sm ooth curve, as in [10]. All intersections that are m apped into each other under the orbifold sym m etry of course have to be turned on simultaneously, giving a nice geom etric interpretation of the above counting of multiplets.

Let us conclude by mentioning that the six-dimensional chiral ferm ions localized at the intersections lead to an anomaly, which is nevertheless canceled by an anomaly in ow mechanism from the bulk of the D 7 branes [26, 27, 28]

3.3. C losed string - open string duality

As already noted in early references [29, 30, 11, 12], an important restriction on open string con gurations is the requirement that open and closed strings couple in a consistent fashion. By this we mean that the annulus, M objus strip and K lein bottle amplitudes, computed in the open channel as 1-loop, should admit a consistent description in the closed channel as tree-level propagation between boundary and/or crosscap states.

This requirem ent has been studied in setups with branes at angles in [18], where strong consistency conditions were derived. The case addressed in [18] was on com pact orbifold models and the above requirements imposed non-trivial restrictions on the choice of compactication lattices and orientifold actions on them. Our case is noncompact, and there is no such freedom as choosing a compactication lattice, hence one might worry about consistency of the models. In this section we show that the resulting models satisfy the requirements of open-closed duality.

Let us brie y go through the general procedure for the cylinder am plitude, which is enough to illustrate the point. We also restrict to odd k for sim plicity. The cylinder am plitude in the open string channel is obtained by tracing over the open string spectrum and performing the orbifold and GSO projections. The result in our present context, for open strings stretching between the ath and (a + r)th stack of D 7-branes, is easily obtained following the indications in [18]

$$A_{r} = c(1 \quad 1)_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{dtn^{2}}{t^{4}} \frac{ \begin{pmatrix} & & & \\ & & \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}}{t^{4}} \frac{dtn^{2}}{2} \frac{ \begin{pmatrix} & & & \\ & & \\ 1 = 2 \end{pmatrix}}{t^{4}} \frac{1 = 2 \\ 1 = 2 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1 = 2}{t^{2}} \frac{1 = 2}{t^$$

where the theta and eta functions have argument $q = e^{2t}$. The constant c encodes numerical factors irrelevant to our analysis. Also, for r = 0 one should include momentum states, and some theta functions actually become eta functions, but we ignore this point to avoid cluttering.

In [18] the above am plitude was multiplied by a num erical factor corresponding to the intersection number of the D 7 brane stacks. These factors played a crucial role in satisfying open-closed duality. Here we show that, even though our models do not contain such factors (there is only one intersection), a consistent am plitude is obtained in the tree channel. Going to the tree channel by a modular transformation, $t = \frac{1}{2l}$, the resulting amplitude is

$$A_{r}^{r} = c(1 \quad 1)_{0}^{Z_{1}} d\ln^{2} \frac{\# 1 = 2 \quad \# 1 = 2 \quad \# 1 = 2 \quad \# 1 = 2}{\sqrt{6} \quad \# 1 = 2 \quad r = k}$$
(15)

where the modular functions # and ~ are the usual # and but with argum ent $q = e^{4}$. This should admit the interpretation of tree-level closed string exchange between D7 brane boundary states, schem atically,

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{r} \quad h \mathbb{D} \ 7_{a} \, \dot{\mathbf{g}}^{\mathrm{L}_{0}} \, \overline{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{L}_{0}} \, \mathcal{D} \ 7_{a+r} \, \dot{\mathbf{1}} \, \mathbf{:} \tag{16}$$

C learly, the result is independent of a since only the relative angle between D7 branes is relevant. Since the rotated state $\bigcirc 7_{a+r}i$ is simply obtained by applying ^r to $\bigcirc 7_ai$, we may write

$$A_{r}^{\sim} hD 7 \dot{g}^{L_{0}} \overline{q}^{L_{0}} r D 7 \dot{i}:$$
(17)

with bra and ket representing states of parallel D 7 branes. The am plitude (15) is easily seen to have the right structure: the num erator (resp. denom inator) represents sum m ing over the ferm ionic (resp. bosonic) oscillator states excited by the D 7 brane boundary state, with the shifted lower characteristics in the theta functions corresponding to $^{\rm r}$ insertions. However, there is an important num erical factor that should also m atch. This factor appears because the theta functions of (15) have upper characteristic 1=2, and have a product expansion

$$\# \begin{array}{c} 1=2 \\ 1=2 \\ 1=2 + \end{array} = 2\sin() \left[() \frac{1}{q^2} \int_{n=1}^{\frac{1}{2}} (1+q^n e^{2i})(1+q^n e^{2i}) \right] : (18)$$

For theta functions in the numerator the factor $2\sin()$ is expected, since it is associated to the trace of r over ferm ion zero modes. For theta functions in the denom inator such a factor does not arise in the trace over bosonic oscillators, and hence our tree-level result obtained from the loop channel by duality appears with an additional factor of $1=(4\sin^2 r=k)$. A sm entioned above, in [18] the original loop am plitude had an additional multiplicity from multiple intersections, which (along with some numerical factors from the structure of the lattice) canceled the problem atic factor, leading to correct tree channel am plitudes.

O urm odels are nevertheless consistent, because there is indeed an explanation for this factor in the tree am plitude. It arises from tracing over them om entum states excited by the D 7 brane boundary state. Their contribution can be evaluated in analogy with a similar trace computed in section 4.3 of [15]. Exchange in the tree channel involves momentum states, which form a continuum in the non-compact limit. In [15] the trace of r over a continuum of momentum states in the four non-compact dimensions of $C^2=Z_k$ yielded $1=(4\sin^2(r=k))^2$. In our case, momentum states excited by the D 7 brane boundary state correspond to only two directions, hence give only 'half' of the

contribution, $1=(4\sin^2(r=k))$, explaining that the factor implicit in (15) is actually correct.

M ore speci cally, the trace of $\ ^{\rm r}$ over a continuum of m om entum m odes is, in position space

$$dx_6 dx_8 hx_6; x_8 j^r jx_6 x_8 i;$$
 (19)

which, de ning
$$z_1 = x_6 + ix_7$$
, $z_2 = x_8 + ix_9$, is equal to

$$d^2 z_1 d^2 z_2 (x_7) (x_9) h z_1; z_2 j e^{2 i r = k} z_1; e^{2 i r = k} z_2 i$$

$$= d^2 z_1 d^2 z_2 (x_7) (x_9)^{(2)} ((1 e^{2 i r = k}) z_1)^{(2)} ((1 e^{2 i r = k}) z_2)$$

$$= 1 = (4 \sin^2(r = k)):$$
(20)

It is interesting to com pare our result with the large volum e limit of a com pact example, of the type studied in [18]. In the com pact case, there are precisely $4\sin^2(r=k)^{-r}$ - xed points per complex plane, which is integer for crystallographic Z_k (k = 2;3;4;6). Hence there is a cancellation of contributions in the integral from each xed point with their total number, whereby giving no net multiplicity. In our non-compact case of C²= Z_k , the unique xed points gives the factor 1=($4\sin^2(r=k)$) in the tree channel amplitude, consistently with the open string loop result.

3.4. Tadpoles

Consistency of the con guration would require cancellation of RR tadpoles which are not volum e suppressed, i.e. RR charges whose ux cannot escape to in nity. As usual, untwisted tadpoles are not required to cancel, since they are volum e suppressed and we work on non-com pact setups. On the other hand, twisted RR tadpoles arising from disks associated to D 7-branes, or from crosscaps associated to the orientifold projection would, if non-zero, lead to an inconsistency, since the sources for the corresponding charge ll all non-twisted non-com pact directions, leading to no volum e suppression.

Fortunately there are no such twisted tadpoles. Following [18] one can see that in either the K lein bottle, M oebius strip, or cylinder, only untwisted modes propagate in the tree channel am plitude (as can be seen in (15) for the cylinder). By factorization, this means that the branes and the orientifold planes do not carry charges under twisted modes. Hence the models are consistent without any constraint on the brane content of the theory.

For future application, it is however interesting to study con gurations where untwisted tadpoles associated to D7 branes do cancel. We emphasize again that this is not required for consistency. However, it leads to the interesting property that the closed string elds have at proles in the resulting con guration. When som eD brane probe is introduced in the theory, like D3 branes in Section 4, varying proles correspond to running coupling constants (see e.g. [5, 31]), and at proles correspond to theories with no running, namely nite theories. The value of the untwisted tadpoles associated to the D 7 branes can be extracted from the analysis of [18], and gives the expected answer. For either odd or even k, each of the k 0 7 planes has charge 8 (counted in D 7 brane charge units, in the covering space), as usual. Hence cancellation of untwisted tadpoles is achieved for n = 8, namely eight D 7 branes on top of the each 0 7 plane.

Note that our construction seem ingly leads to a puzzle. In the original HW type I' picture we have one 0.8 plane along 012345789 and several NS branes along 012345, with 7 a compact coordinate. T-dualizing along 7 we obtain a Taub-NUT space, with k coincident centers, so that locally we have $C^2=Z_k$. One would expect that after T duality, the 0.8 plane would map into two 0.7 planes sitting at opposites sides of the S¹ ber in the TN space. Our proposed T-dual is however (centering on odd k for sim plicity) just one 0.7 plane along $z_1 = z_1$, $z_2 = z_2$, and its orbifold in ages. Another related puzzle is that in the original type I' local charge cancellation is achieved for 16 D 8 branes overlapping with the 0.8 plane, whereas in our type IIB picture it is achieved by 8 D 7 branes overlapping the 0.7 planes.

These puzzles are solved because the T-dual description we are using is valid only in the near center region of Taub-NUT space, whereas the intuition about what the T-dual should be is good far from it, where the geom etry splits as a (twisted) product of R³ and S¹. In order to extrapolate our description of the T-dual orientifold planes to the region far from the TN core, and compare with the intuitive expectations, the simplest way is to identify the S¹ orbit in C²=Z_k and count the number of intersections with the 07 plane. The S¹ is the U (1) orbit (eⁱ U; eⁱ V), for from 0 to 2, in UV = Z^k. The 07 plane wraps the curve U = U, V = V, which can be param etrized by taking realU, V. This 2-cycle intersects the U (1) orbit at two opposite points. Hence by continuously deform ing the S¹ ber to the region far from the TN core, we learn that our single 07 plane looks like two 07 planes sitting at opposite points in S¹ in the asym ptotic geom etry. Sim ilarly, the single set of 8 D 7 brane in the near center region looks in the asym ptotic region like 16 D 7 branes, in two sets at opposite sides of S¹. Hence our construction works just as required to reproduce the intuitive T-dual picture.

4. New brane constructions of 4d N = 1 theories

As a rst application of our type IIB orientifold construction, let us study a D 3 brane probe on the orientifold geom etry. In type I' this is a D 4 brane along 01237, embedded in the O 8 plane and stretched in between the stuck NS5 branes.



Figure 5: The D 4 brane probe in type I'.

4.1. The brane and eld theory calculations

As pointed out in [32], in addition to the standard branes for realizing N = 1 theories in 4 dimensions (see [33]), one may introduce one more component, a D 8 brane in which the D 4 brane is embedded. In our type I' picture this is realized when we introduce a D 4 brane probe oriented along 01237. In the T-dual picture this corresponds to introducing a D 3 brane probe along 0123. We will analyze the matter content expected from eld theory and HW construction considerations, and then compare this with the actual calculation on the IIB side.

Let us analyze rst the gauge theory on a D 4 em bedded in a D 8 brane. As a second step we will introduce the O 8 plane. Both are well known SU SY gauge theories with 8 supercharges. The nal step is to study the gauge theories of D 4 branes ending on N S5 branes, with the whole setup em bedded inside the D 8/0.8 system.

A stack of N D 4 branes inside n D 8 branes on the compact 7 circle has an N = 2 SUSY SU (N) gauge theory on the 4d non-compact piece of their worldvolum e. The matter content is that of the N = 4 SUSY theory on the D4 brane (a N = 2 vector and ad joint hypermultiplet) plus n additional hypermultiplets in the fundam ental representation. The two adjoint scalars in the vector multiplet parameterize motion away from the D8 branes, and the four ad joint scalars in the hypermultiplet correspond to motion within the D8 brane. Turning on vevs for the n extra hypers resolves the D4 branes into instantons in the D8 brane gauge group. Adding an O8 plane, one obtains an N = 2 SUSY USp(N) gauge theory with a hypermultiplet in the antisym m etric tensor representation and n=2 additional fundam ental hypermultiplets, with SO (n) global symmetry. Again the two scalars in the adjoint in the vector multiplet param eterizem otion away from the 08 plane, while the four scalars in the antisymm etric tensor hypermultiplet parameterize motions within the 08 plane, and the fundamentals resolve the D4 branes into instantons. Sim ilarly, introducing instead an O8⁺ plane, we can achieve an SO (N) gauge theory with a symmetric tensor hypermultiplet and n=2fundam entals, with USp(n) global symmetry.

Now consider N D 4 branes with k NS5 branes embedded in n D 8 branes, rst in the absence of orientifold projection. The resulting theory has N = 1 SUSY in 4d. The

gauge group is SU (N)^k. Each gauge factor has n fundam ental and n antifundam etal chiralm ultiplets Q_i, Q_i (i = 1;:::;k) from 4-8 strings. In addition we have the standard bifundam ental chiral multiplets $F_{i;i+1}$, $F_{i;i+1}$ from strings stretching across the NS5 branes. The adjoint hypermultiplet which corresponded to motions in 789 and to the W ilson line along 6 is eliminated by the NS brane boundary condition. However, there remains the adjoint chiralm ultiplet X_i from the N = 2 vectorm ultiplet, param eterizing the 45 motion. The 1-bop beta function of a given factor is proportional to

$$3_{adj} = m_{atter} = 3(2N) 2N 2 N (1+1) n (1+1) = 2n;$$
 (21)

leading to an asymptotically non-free theory due to the extra D8 brane matter. The superpotential is

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^{X} F_{i;i+1}X_{i}F_{i;i+1} + F_{i-1;i}X_{i}F_{i-1;i} + i + Q_{i}F_{i;i+1}Q_{i+1} + Q_{i}F_{i;i+1}Q_{i+1} :$$
(22)

The rst two terms are the relics of the N = 2 system form ed by the NS and D4 branes. The last two terms are allowed by gauge invariance, and should be included in order to break the global symmetry from the D8 branes from SU (n)^k down to SU (n).

Including the 08 plane, we obtain a $U \operatorname{Sp}(N)^k$ gauge group with an antisym metric chiral multiplet A_i , one set of bifundamentals $F_{i;i+1}$, and n extra fundamentals Q_i in each group factor. The global symmetry is SO (n), and the superpotential reads as above:

$$W = \sum_{i}^{X} [F_{i;i+1}A_{i}F_{i;i+1} + F_{i-1;i}A_{i}F_{i-1;i} + Q_{i}F_{i;i+1}Q_{i+1}]:$$
(23)

For n = 8 we should obtain a nite theory. Indeed the 1-loop beta function is

$$3_{adj} = 3(N + 2) (N - 2) 2N = 8 n;$$
 (24)

which vanishes for n = 8. In order to check whether the theory is actually nite to all orders we perform an analysis following Leigh and Strassler [34]. Namely we show that the requirement that all (exact) beta functions vanish actually leads to linearly dependent equations, generically leading to lines of solutions instead of isolated solutions in coupling space. Since in our scenario all superpotential term s are cubic and the 1-loop beta vanishes, this line will pass through the origin of coupling space, i.e. weak coupling. Hence, along the line not only beta functions, but also the anom alous dimensions, will vanish and the theory is indeed nite.

The beta functions for the gauge coupling and the three terms in the superpotential are proportional to

$$\begin{array}{rcl} g_{i} & N & F_{i;i+1} + N & F_{i-1;i} + (N & 2) & A_{i} + 8 & Q_{i} \\ & W_{i}^{1} & 2 & F_{i;i+1} + & A_{i} \\ & W_{i}^{2} & 2 & F_{i;i-1} + & A_{i} \\ & W_{i}^{3} & F_{i;i+1} + & Q_{i} + & Q_{i+1} \end{array}$$

Dening $_{F} = {P \atop i \ F_{i;i+1}}$, $_{A} = {P \atop i} A_{i}$, $_{g} = {P \atop i \ g_{i}}$ we see that these equations can be sum m ed to obtain

$$g = 2N_{F} + (N_{2})_{A} + 8_{Q}$$

$$W^{1} = W^{2} + 2_{F} + A_{M}$$

$$W^{3} = F + 2_{Q}$$

Since W¹ and W² are derived from the sam eN = 2 relicwe should put the corresponding couplings equal, as rejected in above. The equations above easily lead to the linear relation

$$_{q} = 4_{W^{3}} + (N 2)_{W^{1}};$$

which shows the existence of the desired line of RG xed points.

4.2. The spectrum from the orientifold calculation

Let us reproduce the above results by performing the calculation in the T-dual type IIB orientifold construction. Locating N k D 3 branes at the origin in C², strings stretching am ong D 3 branes lead to an N = 1 vector multiplet V with group U (N k), and three adjoint chiral multiplets X¹, X², X³, associated e.g. to the positions in $z_1^0 = z_1 + i\overline{z}_2$, $z_2^0 = \overline{z}_1 + iz_2$ and $z_3 = x^4 + ix^5$, respectively. Strings stretched between D 3 branes and each D T_a brane stack lead to chiral multiplets H^{1/2} in the corresponding bifundam ental representations.

In order to reproduce a nite theory, there should not exist D3 brane twisted tadpoles. This requires the action of Z_k on D3 branes to be represented by a matrix

$$_{3} = diag(1_{N}; ! 1_{N}; :::; !^{k-1} 1_{N}):$$
 (25)

with $! = e^{2 i=k}$. Representations other than the regular are consistent, but lead to non-nite theories.

The orientifold action maps each eigenspace of $_{\beta}$ to itself. It is possible to show, following an analysis similar to section 2.2 of [25], that the symmetry of $_{R,\beta}$ is equal in all subspaces. Hence, the projection corresponding to, for example an 0.8 plane in the T-dual is

$${}_{R,3} = \text{diag}(M_N; M_N; \dots; M_N); \text{ with } M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{1_N}{2} \\ 1_N \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = M^{-1} (26)$$

The orbifold projection reads

$$V = {}_{\beta}V {}_{\beta}^{1}$$

$$X^{1} = {}_{\beta}X^{1} {}_{\beta}^{1} ; H^{1} = {}_{\beta}H^{1} {}_{\beta}^{1}$$

$$X^{2} = {}_{\beta}X^{2} {}_{\beta}^{1} ; H^{2} = {}_{\beta}H^{2} {}_{\beta}^{1}$$

$$X^{3} = {}_{\beta}X^{3} {}_{\beta}^{1}$$
(27)

The 3-3 spectrum after the orbifold projection contains N = 1 vector multiplets of U (N)^k (as usual, the U (1) factors are expected to disappear by the T-dual of the bending

m echanism in [35]), chiralmultiplets $F_{i;i+1}$, $F_{i;i+1}$ in bifundam ental representations, and X_i in the adjoint.

In the 37 + 73 sector, for odd k, the orbifold projection simply identies the sets of D 7_a branes and splits the D 3 brane group. So after the orbifold projection we get chiral multiplets Q_i, Q_i in representations (N_i;n). For even k = 2P, the Z_P projection leads to a D 3 brane group U (2N)^P, and leads to two sets of chiral multiplets in the (2N_i;n;1) + (2N_i;1;n). The additional Z₂ projections breaks the D 3 group down to U (N)^k, and the D 7 group to U (n=2)² U (n=2)², and leads to two sets of chiral multiplets in the (N_{2i};n=2;1;1) + (N_{2i+1};1;n=2;1) + (N_{2i+1};1;n=2).

The orientifold projection is

$$V = {}_{R,3} V^{T} {}_{R,3}^{1}$$

$$X^{1} = {}_{R,3} (X^{2})^{T} {}_{R,3}^{1} ; H^{1} = {}_{R,3} (H^{2})^{T} {}_{R,7}^{1}$$

$$X^{2} = {}_{R,3} (X^{1})^{T} {}_{R,3}^{1} ; H^{2} = {}_{R,7} (H^{1})^{T} {}_{R,3}^{1}$$

$$X^{3} = {}_{R,3} (X^{3})^{T} {}_{R,3}^{1}$$
(28)

The 3-3 spectrum is as follows: there are vector multiplets of $USp(n)^k$, chiral multiplets A_i in the antisymmetric representation, and one set of chiral multiplets $F_{i;i+1}$ in bifundamentals.

In the mixed sector, the orientifold projection relates the 37 and 73 sectors. For odd k we obtain one set of chiralmultiplets in representations (N_i;n) of the ith USp(N) factor in the D3 branes and the D7 brane SO (n) group. The case n = 8 leads to 8 fundam ental avours for each sym plectic factor, and corresponds to a nite theory, as anticipated from the untwisted tadpole computation in section 3.4. For even k we obtain chiralmultiplets in the representations (N_i;n=2;1) + (N_i;1;n=2) of the ith USp(N) D3 brane factor, and the D7 brane U (n=2)². Again, for n = 8 we obtain 8 chiralmultiplets in the fundam ental of USp(N_i), as required for niteness.

Hence the corresponding spectra agree with those obtained in the HW brane construction. Superpotential interactions are also easily seen to agree with (23). This provides a check and a nice application of our proposed T duality for stuck NS branes.

5. The heterotic string on an ADE singularity

5.1. The problem

In the linear sigm a model (LSM) approach of [36], instead of directly writing down the conform ally invariant non-linear sigm a model describing the propagation of a string in a given background, one starts with a 2d gauge theory, which in the UV is just a free theory. Under the renormalization group ow the couplings evolve and settle to their conform alvalues. As shown in [36, 37], as long as we ensure the existence of an anomaly free R-symmetry, we can expect a non-trivial CFT in the IR.O therwise the model will just ow to a massive and hence free theory.

D 1 branes naturally provide us with 2d gauge theories. At strong coupling, that is in the deep ${\rm I\!R}$, these D-brane gauge theories $\,$ ow to the non-linear sigm a model of

the fundam ental string in an S-dual background. In this way the IIB string can be constructed as the N = (8;8) SU SY theory of the D1 brane in IIB, the (0,8) theory of the D1 string in type I gives us the heterotic string [38] and the C oulom b branch of the D1 D 5 system describes fundam ental strings propagating in the torsionalm etric set up by NS5 branes [39].

In the same spirit we would like to study the LSM living on the worldvolum e of a D1 brane in type I on an ALE space. In the T-dual type I' picture, the linear sigm a model lives on a D2 brane along 016 stretched on the interval between two 08 planes. The linear sigm a model on the D2 brane has (0,4) supersymmetry. In the phase with the NS5 branes out in the bulk the worldvolum e theory is easily determined by using the T-duality along 6. The gauge group consists of a (4,4) supersymmetric sector, which is a quiver like theory with bifundamentalmatter and gauge group

SO(1) U(1) U(1) ::: U(1) SO(1)

for a Z_{2P} singularity and a vector bundle with vector structure, and

with extra 'symmetric tensors', i.e. singlets, in the two factors at the end of the chain for a Z_{2P} singularity and a vector bundle with vector structure, and

with an extra singlet in the last U (1) for Z_{2P+1} . In addition we couple to the (0,8) matter sector from the D 8 branes, breaking the SUSY down to (0,4). These matter elds encode the gauge bundle.

Heterotic string theory in this phase has marginal operators corresponding to blowing up P of the 2-spheres, to NS-NS uxes through these spheres, and to turning on the vevs for P tensor multiplets (P 2 for the case with two stuck NS5 branes). In the linear sigm a model these correspond to FI terms, theta angles and ratios of gauge couplings. W hile the form er two are marginal couplings of the CFT we ow to in the IR, the role of the latter is not clear. In the analog (4,4) situation, type IIB D1 string probe on ALE spaces, the ratio of gauge couplings correspond to RR 2-form uxes through the spheres of the ALE space. It can be shown [40] that the ratios are actually irrelevant on the Higgs branch theory which ows to the NLSM describing the IIB string on the ALE space, and that they are only marginal on the Coulom b branch. This is in agreem ent with the fact that CFT is mostly insensitive to RR potential backgrounds, which only modify the one-point function on the disk. It would be interesting to study if the situation changes in the (0,4) context k.

Instead, we would like to understand the LSM on the D2 brane in the other phase, that is with stuck NS branes leading to hypermultiplet moduli, and no tensor multiplets. This provides the LSM for heterotic string theory on the ALE space. Inclusion of D6 branes then yields a LSM model realizing an ADHM construction for S0 instantons on the ALE space.

5.2. Using the new T-duality

A s has been discussed above, one can obtain som e inform ation of the D1 brane probe in type I theory on an ALE space by considering a D2 brane probe (along 017) in the type I'm odel with NS branes stuck at one of the O8 planes. We consider the situation with the dimension 7 compactied on a circle, and study the system after T-dualizing along that direction. We also momentarily consider the dimension 6 to be non-compact. As shown in section 3, the set of NS branes transforms into a k-centered TN space (dimension from the original one in type I), and the O8 plane maps into 07 planes corresponding to orientifold actions of type (3).

The location of the TN centers in 89 correspond to the original 89 locations of the NS branes. We are interested in the case of coinciding centers, and the geometry near the TN core is that of $C^2=Z_k$. On the other hand, positions of NS branes in 7 correspond to NS-NSB uxes on the collapsed two-cycles, hence the perturbative orbifold description, where all B - elds are equal, forces us to consider the NS branes equally distributed on the 7 circle. This im plies that in the original type I theory we are studying the theory at B = 0, but with non-zero blow-ups in the direction 7. Hence, the information we can obtain from the T-dual picture actually is associated to the LSM for the case of blow n-up ALE, as we will see below.



Figure 6: The 2-cycles in the Taub-NUT geometry, for D 7/07 and for D 3 branes.

Let us discuss the T duality on a D 2 brane ending on a NS brane. The type I'D 2 brane is not wrapped on the 7 circle, hence we expect it to m ap to a D 3 brane wrapped on a two-cycle in the TN geometry. The T-duality of this object is very similar to that of D 6 branes ending on NS branes, analyzed in [41], except that we are using a di erent preferred complex structure. In our case, the corresponding 2-cycle is a special Lagrangian cycle wrapped along the S¹ ber in TN. In fact, in the situation with the dimension 6 is non-compact, 'half' D 2 branes ending on a NS brane, extending along either positive or negative values of x^6 , m ap to di erent 2-cycles. M oreover, since the orientifold action ips the sign of x^6 , it exchanges both kinds of half branes, hence in the T-dual picture R should exchange the two 2-cycles.

This determ ines that the two 2-cycles are described, in the covering space of the C $^2\text{=}Z_k$ orbifold, as

$$z_1 = i\overline{z}_2; \ \overline{z}_1 = iz_2 \text{ and } z_1 = i\overline{z}_2; \ \overline{z}_1 = iz_2$$
 (29)

notice that they are related by an SU (2) rotation to the 2-cycle associated to D 7/07 (e.g. $z_1 = \overline{z}_1, z_2 = \overline{z}_2$) hence they preserve the correct number of supersymmetries. Finally, since the above curves are invariant under the orbifold, we need not include Z_k in age D 3 branes. The 2-cycles associated to the D 7/07 system, and to the D 3 branes are depicted in Fig.6

When the direction 6 is considered compact, the T-dual geometry is an 'ALG space', with two asymptotically compact directions, which can be constructed as an in nite (periodic in 6) array of k-centered TN spaces. In this situations, the two above 2-cycles are in fact joined smoothly in the x^6 region opposite the TN core. This is in analogy with the way the two half D 2 branes are smoothly joined on the side of the 6 circle opposite to the location of the NS brane in the type I' picture.

Let us compute the spectrum and low energy e ective action on such D3 brane probe. It is useful to rst consider the situation without orientifold projection, and also with non-compact 6. This exercise is analogous to that perform ed in [41] for sets of half D6-branes.

First, notice that D 3 branes wrapped on the 2-cycles (29), subsequently denoted D 3 and D 3' branes, are xed by the orbifold action, hence we have the possibility of specifying a non-trivial action of the Chan-Paton indices. The general choice would be

$$_{3} = \operatorname{diag}(1_{n_{0}}; ...; !^{k-1} 1_{n_{k-1}})$$
(30)

and a similar expression for D3' branes. Geometrically, these matrices specify at connections on the D brane bundles on the asym ptotic region of $C^2=Z_k$, or, in the context of TN (rather than ALE) geometry, asym ptotic W ilson lines along the S¹ ber. They hence correspond to di erent positions in 7 in the type I' picture. D i erent fractional D 3, D 3' branes correspond to half D 2 branes ending on the di erent NS branes, located at di erent 7 positions. O ur probe is a particular case of one pair of fractional D 3, D 3' branes, with equal eigenvalue, specifying on which NS brane the T dual half D 2 brane is ending. Notice that the W ilson line degree of freedom is to be considered a dynam ical m odulus in the nal eld theory, and in that sense allows to continuously interpolate between di erent choices of fractional D 3, D 3' branes.

Centering on this particular case of a single probe, we set without loss of generality $n_0 = n_0^0 = 1$, and $n_i = n_i^0 = 0$ for $i \in 0$, and compute the spectrum. The 33 spectrum is obtained by the familiar projection, and leads to a U (1) gauge theory with 8 supersymmetries. Expressing it in the language of 2d (4,A) susy (namely working only with zero modes of the 4d elds), we get a (4,A) U (1) vector multiplet. Its four scalars parameterize the location of the D3 brane (or the T dual D2 brane) in 2345. The 3'3' spectrum leads to a similar spectrum. If 6 is non-compact, they are independent elds, but with compact 6 they correspond to the same degrees of freedom and we get only one copy of this spectrum. The 33' spectrum gives rise to one hypermultiplet in the bifundam ental representation. Since the D3 and D3' branes have equal eigenvalue, it survives the orbifold projection. W hen the direction 6 is taken com pact, the bifundam ental collapses to an adjoint representation. The scalars in this

eld param eterize the recombination of the two intersecting slags into a single smooth one, very much like in [10, 42]. Concretely, the deform ed curve reads

$$(\mathbf{z}_1 \quad \mathbf{i} \mathbf{\overline{z}}_2)(\mathbf{z}_2 \quad \mathbf{i} \mathbf{\overline{z}}_1) = \tag{31}$$

and splits into two intersecting 2-cycles for = 0. Hence the full 2d spectrum on our probe is a $(4,4) \cup (1)$ vector multiplet and one (4,4) adjoint, namely neutral, hypermultiplet. For N overlapping probes, the gauge symmetry is enhanced to U (N).

The orientifold projection is easily analyzed. It maps D3 to D3' branes and vice versa, reducing the spectrum (for compact 6) as follows. The (4A)U(N) vector multiplet is projected down to a (0A) SO (N) vector multiplet, and a (0A) chiral multiplet (containing four real scalars and four MW right-handed ferm ions) in the symmetric. The (4A) hypermultiplet is projected down to a (0A) chiral multiplet in the two-index symmetric representation, and a (0A) 'Ferm i' multiplet (containing four MW left ferm ions) in the antisymmetric representation. For N = 1 we just get two (0A) chiral multiplets.

Notice that the SO (N) theory we have described would su er from 2d gauge anomalies. However, one should recall that the full 2d theory also contains states from strings stretched between the D 2 and the D 8 branes in the type I' picture. They can be easily read out from this picture to correspond to 32 MW left ferm ions in the fundamental of SO (N), and cancel the 2d anomaly. A lternatively, one can do the equivalent computation in the type IIB orbifold picture, by introducing D 7 branes at angles, like in section 3, and computing the spectrum of strings between the D 3 and D 7 branes. The cancellation of anomalies is a non-trivial check that our procedure or reading the piece of the spectrum associated to the intersection of D 2, NS branes and O 8 planes by using a T dual orbifold construction is indeed consistent.

It is time to ask for what physics the 2d LSM is describing. In particular if our computation has captured the LSM of the type ID1 brane in ALE space, the Higgs moduli space of our theory should reproduce the background geometry felt by the type ID1 brane, a k-center Taub-Nut (or ALE) space (di erent from the one in the type IIB orientifold description). Unfortunately this seems not to be the case.

In fact, there are several hints suggesting that our 2d eld theory does not really describe the propagation of a string in ALE space. In fact, since the D3 brane probe in the type IIB orientifold is fractional, it couples to at most one blow-up parameter, which can be shown to appear as a FI term in the eld theory as usual. This suggests that the D-brane probe is sensitive to the geometry of just one TN center, not all k of them. Another piece of evidence comes from the actual 2d spectrum, which is exactly that of a D1 brane probe in at space. This suggests that the moduli space of the theory is smooth, again suggesting the brane is sensitive to just one TN center. Finally, this can be blam ed, using the T-dual type I' picture, to the fact that the D2 brane probe is sitting at a de nite position in 7 and the NS branes are located at di erent positions in 7. Hence, the D2 brane can be m ade to coincide with at most one NS brane. States that would becom em assless when the D2 brane approaches other centers are generically

m assive. This fact is geom etrically manifest in the type I' picture, but not in the type IIB orientifold setup, where it is how ever correctly recovered when one computes the spectrum on the probe.

This should be understood as follows. By construction, the D1 brane probe in type I must have full ALE geometry as its target space. Its worldvolum e theory has to contain parameters corresponding to all the blow-up modes. However, since the D1 string m aps into a single D 2 brane in type I' and the single D 2 m aps only to a single fractional brane in our T-dual picture, our calculation produces a eld theory that is only sensitive to a single blow-up. The issue is that in our calculation we have only evaluated the massless sector. In order to probe the presence of the other NS5 branes, we would have to include states whose mass is roughly \pm wice the distance" to the other branes. Our perturbative orientifold is only valid if the NS5 branes are equally spaced, so this distance is just $R_7 = k \cdot W$ hile one can in principle calculate the massive spectrum in the orientifold as well, the problem is that the orientifold only captures the near-core region of the full T-dual Taub-Nut geometry, so we are neglecting states of mass R₇ anyway (which would come from strings winding around the circle at in nity). The orientifold hence does not encode the right spectrum at the massive level. So in order to get the ADHM construction we were looking for, more re ned tools to evaluate the spectrum are necessary. We however hope that the T-dual picture we have described is useful in further developm ents on this issue.

A cknow ledgem ents

W e would like to thank O fer A harony, G erardo A blazabal, R alph B lum enhagen, M ike D ouglas, Sebastian Franco, Luis Ibanez, Boris K ors, R aul R abadan for very useful discussions, and especially M ina Aganagic for m any helpful questions and comments. A.M.U. thanks M.G onzalez for kind encouragement and support. This work was partially supported by the U.S.D epartment of Energy under contract # DE-FC 02-94ER 40818.Y H.H. is also supported in part by the Presidential Fellow ship of M IT.

- [1] A. Hanany and E.W itten, \Type IIB superstrings, BPS m onopoles, and three-dimensional gauge dynam ics," Nucl. Phys. B 492 (1997) 152{190, hep-th/9611230.
- [2] H.Ooguriand C.Vafa, \Two-dimensionalblack hole and singularities of CY manifolds," Nucl. Phys. B 463 (1996) 55{72, hep-th/9511164.
- [3] I. Brunner and A. Karch, \Branes at orbifolds versus H anany W itten in six-dimensions," JHEP 03 (1998) 003, hep-th/9712143.
- [4] A. Hanany and A. Za aroni, \Branes and six-dimensional supersymmetric theories," Nucl. Phys. B 529 (1998) 180, hep-th/9712145.
- [5] A.Karch, D.Lust, and D.Sm ith, \Equivalence of geometric engineering and hanany-witten via fractional branes," Nucl. Phys. B 533 (1998) 348, hep-th/9803232.
- [6] J.Park and A.M. Uranga, \A note on superconform aln = 2 theories and orientifolds," Nucl. Phys. B 542 (1999) 139{156, hep-th/9808161.

- [7] A.M. Uranga, \Brane con gurations for branes at conifolds," JHEP 01 (1999) 022, hep-th/9811004.
- [8] K.Dasgupta and S.Mukhi, Brane constructions, conifolds and M theory," hep-th/9811139.
- [9] A. Hanany and A. M. Uranga, \Brane boxes and branes on singularities," JHEP 05 (1998) 013, hep-th/9805139.
- [10] M .Aganagic, A .K arch, D .Lust, and A .M iem iec, \M irror sym m etries for brane con gurations and branes at singularities," hep-th/9903093.
- [11] M. Bianchi and A. Sagnotti, \On the system atics of open string theories," Phys. Lett. B 247 (1990) 517{524.
- [12] M. Bianchi and A. Sagnotti, \Twist symmetry and open string wilson lines," Nucl. Phys. B 361 (1991) 519{538.
- [13] E.G.G im on and J.Polchinski, \Consistency conditions for orientifolds and D-M anifolds," Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 1667{1676, hep-th/9601038.
- [14] A.Dabholkar and J.Park, \Strings on orientifolds," Nucl. Phys. B 477 (1996) 701{714, hep-th/9604178.
- [15] E.G.G im on and C.V.Johnson, \K 3 orientifolds," Nucl. Phys. B 477 (1996) 715{745, hep-th/9604129.
- [16] J.D.Blum and K. Intriligator, \New phases of string theory and 6d RG xed points via branes at orbifold singularities," Nucl. Phys. B 506 (1997) 199, hep-th/9705044.
- [17] A.Hanany and A.Za aroni, M onopoles in string theory," hep-th/9911113.
- [18] R.Blum enhagen, L.G orlich, and B.K ors, \Supersymmetric orientifolds in 6d with d-branes at angles," Nucl. Phys. B 569 (2000) 209, hep-th/9908130.
- [19] E.W itten, \Heterotic string conform al eld theory and A-D-E singularities," hep-th/9909229.
- [20] J.Polchinski, \Tensors from K 3 orientifolds," Phys. Rev. D 55 (1997) 6423{6428, hep-th/9606165.
- [21] M. Berkooz et. al., \A nom alies, dualities, and topology of d= 6 n= 1 superstring vacua," Nucl. Phys. B 475 (1996) 115{148, hep-th/9605184.
- [22] R. Blum enhagen, L. Gorlich, and B. Kors, \Supersymmetric 4d orientifolds of type iia with d6-branes at angles," JHEP 01 (2000) 040, hep-th/9912204.
- [23] S.Forste, G.Honecker, and R.Schreyer, \Supersymmetric z(n) x z(m) orientifolds in 4d with d-branes at angles," Nucl. Phys. B 593 (2001) 127{154, hep-th/0008250.
- [24] B. Craps and M. R. Gaberdiel, \Discrete torsion orbifolds and d-branes. ii," hep-th/0101143.
- [25] A.M.Uranga, \A new orientifold of c**2/z(n) and six-dimensionalrg xed points," Nucl. Phys. B 577 (2000) 73, hep-th/9910155.
- [26] M.B.Green, J.A.Harvey, and G.Moore, \I-brane in ow and anom abus couplings on d-branes," Class. Quant. Grav. 14 (1997) 47{52, hep-th/9605033.
- [27] J.Bogdan Stefanski, \G ravitational couplings of d-branes and o-planes," Nucl. Phys. B 548 (1999) 275{290, hep-th/9812088.
- [28] J.F.M orales, C.A. Scrucca, and M. Serone, \A nom alous couplings for d-branes and o-planes," Nucl. Phys. B 552 (1999) 291{315, hep-th/9812071.
- [29] A. Sagnotti, \O pen strings and their sym m etry groups,". Talk presented at the C argese Sum m er Institute on N on-Perturbative M ethods in Field Theory, C argese, France, Jul 16-30, 1987.
- [30] G . Pradisiand A . Sagnotti, \Open string orbifolds," Phys. Lett. B 216 (1989) 59.
- [31] R.G. Leigh and M. Rozali, \Brane boxes, anom alies, bending and tadpoles," Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 026004, hep-th/9807082.
- [32] O.A harony and A.Hanany, \Branes, superpotentials and superconform al xed points," Nucl. Phys. B 504 (1997) 239, hep-th/9704170.
- [33] A.G iveon and D.Kutasov, \Brane dynam ics and gauge theory," Rev.M od.Phys.71 (1999) 983, hep-th/9802067.
- [34] R.G.Leigh and M.J.Strassler, \Exactly m arginal operators and duality in four-dimensional n=1 supersymmetric gauge theory," Nucl. Phys. B 447 (1995) 95{136,hep-th/9503121.

- [35] E.W itten, \Solutions of four-dimensional eld theories via M theory," Nucl. Phys. B 500 (1997) 3, hep-th/9703166.
- [36] E.W itten, \Phases of N = 2 theories in two-dimensions," Nucl. Phys. B 403 (1993) 159{222, hep-th/9301042.
- [37] E.Silverstein and E.W itten, \G lobalU(1) R symmetry and conformal invariance of (0,2) m odels," Phys. Lett. B 328 (1994) 307{311, hep-th/9403054.
- [38] J.Polchinski and E.W itten, \Evidence for heterotic type I string duality," Nucl. Phys. B 460 (1996) 525{540, hep-th/9510169.
- [39] E.W itten, \Som e comments on string dynamics," hep-th/9507121.
- [40] O.Aharony and M.Berkooz, \Ir dynamics of d = 2, n = (4,A) gauge theories and dlog of 'little string theories'," JHEP 10 (1999) 030, hep-th/9909101.
- [41] J.Park, R. Rabadan, and A. M. Uranga, \N = 1 type iia brane con gurations, chirality and tduality," Nucl. Phys. B 570 (2000) 3{37, hep-th/9907074.
- [42] M .Aganagic and C .Vafa, \M irror sym m etry, d-branes and counting holom orphic discs," hep-th/0012041.