Smooth trends in fermium charge radii and the impact of shell effects

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-08062-z

```
Received: 20 December 2023
```

Accepted: 17 September 2024

Published online: 30 October 2024

Open access

Check for updates

Jessica Warbinek^{1,2,3,28 \infty}}, Elisabeth Rickert^{1,2,3}, Sebastian Raeder^{1,3 \infty}} Thomas Albrecht-Schönzart⁴, Brankica Andelic^{1,3,5}, Julian Auler², Benjamin Bally⁶, Michael Bender⁷, Sebastian Berndt², Michael Block^{1,2,3}, Alexandre Brizard⁸, Pierre Chauveau^{1,3}, Bradley Cheal⁹, Premaditya Chhetri^{1,3,10}, Arno Claessens¹⁰, Antoine de Roubin¹⁰, Charlie Devlin⁹, Holger Dorrer², Christoph E, Düllmann^{1,2,3}, Julie Ezold¹¹, Rafael Ferrer¹⁰, Vadim Gadelshin¹², Alyssa Gaiser^{13,14}, Francesca Giacoppo^{1,3}, Stephane Goriely¹⁵, Manuel J. Gutiérrez^{1,3}, Ashley Harvey¹¹, Raphael Hasse¹², Reinhard Heinke¹², Fritz-Peter Heßberger¹, Stephane Hilaire^{16,17}, Magdalena Kaja¹², Oliver Kaleja^{1,18}, Tom Kieck^{1,2,3}, EunKang Kim², Nina Kneip¹², Ulli Köster¹⁹, Sandro Kraemer¹⁰, Mustapha Laatiaoui², Jeremy Lantis², Nathalie Lecesne⁸, Andrea Tzeitel Loria Basto^{2,3}, Andrew Kishor Mistry^{1,20,21}, Christoph Mokry^{2,3}, Iain Moore²², Tobias Murböck^{1,3}, Danny Münzberg^{1,2,3}, Witold Nazarewicz^{13,23}, Thorben Niemeyer¹², Steven Nothhelfer^{1,2,3}, Sophie Péru^{16,17}, Andrea Raggio²², Paul-Gerhard Reinhard²⁴, Dennis Renisch^{2,3}, Emmanuel Rey-Herme²⁵, Jekabs Romans¹⁰, Elisa Romero Romero², Jörg Runke^{1,2}, Wouter Ryssens¹⁵, Hervé Savajols⁸, Fabian Schneider², Joseph Sperling⁴, Matou Stemmler¹², Dominik Studer^{1,3,12}, Petra Thörle-Pospiech^{2,3}, Norbert Trautmann², Mitzi Urquiza-González^{26,27}, Kenneth van Beek²¹, Shelley Van Cleve¹¹, Piet Van Duppen¹⁰, Marine Vandebrouck²⁵, Elise Verstraelen¹⁰, Thomas Walther²¹, Felix Weber¹² & Klaus Wendt¹²

The quantum-mechanical nuclear-shell structure determines the stability and limits of the existence of the heaviest nuclides with large proton numbers $Z \ge 100$ (refs. 1–3). Shell effects also affect the sizes and shapes of atomic nuclei, as shown by laser spectroscopy studies in lighter nuclides⁴. However, experimental information on the charge radii and the nuclear moments of the heavy actinide elements, which link the heaviest naturally abundant nuclides with artificially produced superheavy elements, is sparse⁵. Here we present laser spectroscopy measurements along the fermium (Z = 100) isotopic chain and an extension of data in the nobelium isotopic chain (Z = 102) across a key region. Multiple production schemes and different advanced techniques were applied to determine the isotope shifts in atomic transitions, from which changes in the nuclear mean-square charge radii were extracted. A range of nuclear models based on energy density functionals reproduce well the observed smooth evolution of the nuclear size. Both the remarkable consistency of model prediction and the similarity of predictions for different isotopes suggest a transition to a regime in which shell effects have a diminished effect on the size compared with lighter nuclei.

The heaviest nuclei known so far owe their existence to quantummechanical nuclear-shell effects. These increase the stability of nuclei against spontaneous fission, enabling the formation of superheavy nuclei¹⁻³. At specific numbers of protons (*Z*) or neutrons (*N*), so-called magic numbers, nucleonic shells show large energy gaps⁶, resulting in increased nuclear stability. This is analogous to the closed electron shells of noble gases resulting in their chemical inertness. The heaviest known nucleus with a magic number for both protons (Z = 82) and neutrons (N = 126) is ²⁰⁸Pb, a spherical nucleus. The location of the next spherical shell gap beyond ²⁰⁸Pb is yet unknown; nuclear models predict it most frequently at Z = 114, Z = 120 or Z = 126, and N = 172 or N = 184 (refs. 2,7). This variation in the predictions is primarily, among other factors, owing to a large single-particle level density in the heaviest nuclei⁷.

¹GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung, Darmstadt, Germany. ²Department Chemie, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, Mainz, Germany. ³Helmholtz-Institut Mainz, Mainz, Germany. ⁴Department of Chemistry, Nuclear Science & Engineering Center, Colorado School of Mines, Golden, CO, USA. ⁵University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands. ⁶ESNT, IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France. ⁷Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS/IN2P3, IP21, UMR 5822, Villeurbanne, France. ⁸GANIL, Caen, France. ⁹University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK. ¹⁰Department of Physics and Astronomy, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium. ¹¹Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, USA. ¹²Institut für Physik, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, Mainz, Germany. ¹³Facility for Rare Isotope Beams, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA. ¹⁴Department of Chemistry, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA. ¹⁴Department of Chemistry, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA. ¹⁵Université de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium. ¹⁶CEA, DAM, DIF, Arpajon, France. ¹⁷Université Paris-Saclay, CEA LMCE, Bruyères-le-Châtel, France. ¹⁸Universität Greifswald, Gerefswald, Geremany. ¹⁹Institut Laue-Langevin, Grenoble, France. ²⁰Helmholtz Forschungsakademie Hessen für FAIR (HFHF), GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung, Darmstadt, Germany.
 ²¹TU Darmstadt, Darmstadt, Germany. ²²University of Jyväskylä, Finland. ²³Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA. ¹⁴Universite Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France. ²⁰Divison HÜBNER Photonics, HÜBNER, Kassel, Germany. ²⁷University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden.
 ²⁸Present address: Experimental Physics Department, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland. ⁵⁶e-mail: jessica.warbinek@cern.ch; s.raeder@gsi.de



Fig. 1|**Overview of the investigated isotopes.** Top: cut-out of the chart of nuclides in the heavy actinide region. The neutron shell gap parameter δ_{2n} , calculated from experimental binding energies reported in ref. 53, as analogously deduced in ref. 11, is presented with colour coding. The isotopes studied in this work by laser spectroscopy are labelled, with nuclides studied on-line (red frames) and those studied off-line (orange frames). The black solid arrows indicate the α -decay path (α) and the dashed arrows indicate the

Nuclei with proton numbers residing between magic numbers are expected to have deformed shapes owing to the nuclear Jahn-Teller effect^{8,9}. The stabilization of deformed nuclei can be associated with the reduced density of the deformed single-particle levels of the nuclear mean field⁶. In the region of heavy nuclei beyond ²⁰⁸Pb, a deformed subshell at N = 152 was early identified through irregularities in the systematics of the α -decay energies of californium (Z = 98) isotopes, deviating from spherical shell model considerations¹⁰. Recently, precise mass measurements enabled a direct investigation of the N = 152neutron shell gap in nobelium (No. Z=102) and lawrencium (Lr. Z=103) isotopes. The size of this subshell was determined from the experimental binding energies to be about a factor of four weaker than in ²⁰⁸Pb (refs. 11–13). As illustrated in Fig. 1 (top), the N = 152 gap gradually decreases in the lighter isotones. This result, consistent with spectroscopic studies¹⁴ and the recent analysis of experimental and theoretical binding energies¹⁵, confirms the local nature of this shell effect.

From in-beam γ -ray spectroscopy experiments, a large prolate deformation for the N = 152 nucleus ²⁵²Fm with a quadrupole deformation parameter $\beta \approx 0.3$ was established from the observed rotational band structure, along with K isomers in this region^{16–18}.

Nuclear charge radii, measured along a series of isotopes, offer another powerful probe of shell effects^{19,20}, as they are sensitive to changes in the nuclear size and in nuclear deformation^{21,22}. A prominent kink in the nuclear size evolution is often observed across spherical shell closures²³⁻²⁶. Here laser spectroscopy studies can be decisive in determining the trends in differential nuclear mean-square charge radii $\delta\langle r^2\rangle$ along an isotopic chain by measuring isotope shifts in atomic transitions in a nuclear model-independent manner. Hyperfine splittings of atomic energy levels additionally give access to nuclear moments, providing insight into the nature of isomeric and ground-state nuclear configurations, for instance, shown in ref. 27. Such studies have already been showcased for many short-lived and rare lighter nuclides close to and far from stability^{4,28}. In previous measurements applying laser

electron-capture decay path (ec) utilized in the respective indirect production schemes. The orange arrows show the reactor-breeding path for fermium isotopes studied off-line (Methods). Bottom: on-line data on ²⁵⁵No (left) complemented by off-line data on ²⁵⁵Fm (right) with error bars showing statistical uncertainties (one standard deviation). The horizontal markers indicate a 10-GHz width. The red solid lines show fits to the data. a.u., arbitrary units.

spectroscopy around N = 32 in neutron-rich potassium isotopes²⁰, the weak subshell closure was found to not manifest itself in the charge radii. In the region of nickel isotopes around the N = 40 subshell gap, a weak localized effect was identified in the charge radius evolution relative to the droplet-model trend^{4,29}. This raises the question whether the N = 152 gap, which is reflected in nuclear binding energies, affects also the size evolution.

However, laser spectroscopy of the heaviest actinide elements and beyond is limited by production capabilities and sparse information on atomic energy levels⁵. Therefore, experimental information on the evolution of charge radii around deformed shell gaps in the heavy element region is scarce, and their description is challenging for nuclear models.

The development of the Radiation Detected Resonance Ionization Spectroscopy (RADRIS) method^{30,31} enabled such measurements, as demonstrated in laser spectroscopy of nobelium with atom-at-a-time production yields³². Isotope shifts in ^{252,253,254}No up to N= 152 were investigated to deduce changes in $\langle r^2 \rangle$ and to obtain the electromagnetic moments of ²⁵³No. These experimental results are in good agreement with the predictions based on energy density functional (EDF) models, indicating a central depression by about 10% in the proton density distribution of ²⁵⁴No (ref. 33).

In this work, the combination of more recent advancements of the on-line (accelerator-based production) RADRIS method and highly sensitive off-line measurements enabled the determination of the isotope shift for an atomic transition in 8 fermium (Fm, Z=100) isotopes across N=152, probing the influence of the deformed N=152 shell gap on $\langle r^2 \rangle$. Complementary to our fermium studies, we extended the isotope-shift measurements in nobelium isotopes with RADRIS beyond the shell gap, giving insight into the impact of the shell gap along another close lying isotopic chain.

The isotopes studied on-line were available through the following schemes: direct production of ^{245,246}Fm in fusion-evaporation reactions,

Table 1 | Summary of laser resonance centroid wavenumbers (in argon buffer-gas environment), corresponding isotope shifts and evaluated changes in $\langle r^2 \rangle$

Fermium Z = 100						
A	Centroid (cm ⁻¹⁾	δv ^{250,A} (GHz)	$\delta \langle r^2 \rangle^{250,A}$ (fm ²)			
245	25 113.81(7)	30.4(2.2)	-0.323(24)[33]			
246	25 113.50(5)	21.0(1.7)	-0.223(19)[23]			
248	25 113.11(3)	9.5(1.0)	-0.101(10)[10]			
249	25 112.99(11)	5.8(3.4)	-0.062(36)[6]			
250	25 112.80(3)	0	0			
254	25 111.90(4)	-27.0(1.4)	0.286(15)[29]			
255	25 111.75(2)	-31.5(0.9)	0.335(10)[34]			
257	0.420(25)[42]					
Nobeliur	n <i>Z</i> =102					
A	Centroid (cm ⁻¹)	δv ^{254,A} (GHz)	$\delta \langle r^2 \rangle^{254,A}$ (fm ²)			
255	29 961.20(3)	-7.7(0.8)	0.080(8)[6]			
Isotope sh measured	ifts are denoted by $\delta v^{250,A}$ for m relative to 254 No. Statistical unc	easured shifts relative to ² certainties (one standard c	250 Fm and $\delta v^{254,A}$ for those deviation) are given in			

measured relative to ²⁵⁴No. Statistical uncertainties (one standard deviation) are given in parentheses and systematic uncertainties are included in square brackets. The data analysis procedure is described in Methods.

indirect production of ^{248,249,250,254}Fm via the decay of directly produced ^{252,253,254}No, and indirect production of ²⁵⁵No via the electron-capture decay branch of ²⁵⁵Lr. These indirect production schemes evolved from recent methodical advancements that gave access to previously inaccessible isotopes³⁴ (for details, see Methods). However, the isotopes ^{251–253}Fm are currently not accessible by this technique, not least owing to long half-lives of more than 5 hours up to several days.

Directly produced isotopes were separated from the primary beam by the recoil separator SHIP (Separator for Heavy Ion reaction Products) at GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung^{35,36} and transmitted to the gas-filled RADRIS stopping cell. Fusion-evaporation products were thermalized in the gas cell and collected and neutralized on a catcher filament. Pulse heating of the filament led to desorption of the atoms, followed by resonant laser ionization. The resulting ions were identified via their characteristic α -decay energy. This technique was recently improved for higher sensitivity³⁷, which enabled laser resonance ionization spectroscopy with rates down to one particle every 100 seconds in the gas cell for ²⁴⁶Fm (Methods).

Off-line laser spectroscopy was accomplished at the RISIKO mass separator (Resonance Ionization Spectroscopy in Collinear geometry) on macroscopic sample sizes of neutron-rich fermium isotopes³⁸⁻⁴⁰. Reactor breeding of heavy actinides at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory's High Flux Isotope Reactor produced samples of ²⁵⁴Es (half-life $t_{1/2}$ = 275 d) and femtogram amounts of ²⁵⁷Fm ($t_{1/2}$ = 100 d) (Fig. 1). The ²⁵⁴Es fraction was re-irradiated in the high-flux reactor at Institut Laue-Langevin, producing ²⁵⁵Es, which undergoes β -decay leading to ²⁵⁵Fm. Every few days, fermium was chemically separated from einsteinium (Es, *Z* = 99), yielding these ²⁵⁵Fm samples to be used at RISIKO. Here fermium atoms were ionized in a hot-cavity laser ion source, and the resulting ions were accelerated and separated with a dipole magnet and then counted⁴¹ (Methods).

For studies in fermium, the known atomic transition from the $5f^{12}7s^2$ ³H₆ atomic ground state to the excited level $5f^{12}7s7p$ ⁵G₅^o (refs. 42,43) was probed as the first excitation step in a two-step laser ionization scheme by registering ions from resonance ionization as a function of laser frequency detuning, as shown in Fig. 1 (bottom). Limited information on atomic levels in fermium was available from previous studies on ²⁵⁵Fm (refs. 42,43) and in nobelium from previous on-line studies with RADRIS³². Isotope shifts measured for the mentioned ground-state transition relative to the reference isotopes ²⁵⁰Fm and ²⁵⁴No, combined



Fig. 2 | Comparison of experimental mean-square charge radii data with different nuclear model predictions. a, Experimental results of $\delta \langle r^2 \rangle$ of fermium isotopes as a function of *N* relative to ²⁵⁰Fm (red) and of nobelium isotopes relative to ²⁵⁴No (blue). The error bars show statistical uncertainties (one standard deviation) and the red shaded bands represent systematic uncertainties in the prediction of the atomic parameters (Methods). The observed smooth trend along the isotopic chain is independent of the atomic parameters as their uncertainty is only of a systematic nature, thus affecting all extracted $\delta \langle r^2 \rangle$ in the same manner. Predictions of the spherical droplet model are shown with dashed lines for comparison with the experimental data. **b**,**c**, $\delta \langle r^2 \rangle$ for fermium (**b**) and nobelium (**c**) predicted by five theoretical models relative to the droplet-model (DM) reference. Experimental data (red and blue solid symbols) are compared with predictions of different models.

with input from atomic calculations^{33,44}, allowed the extraction of changes in $\langle r^2 \rangle$ (Methods). The results are summarized in Table 1.

To interpret the measured values, nuclear calculations were carried out using several EDF-based models, including Skyrme-type (SV-min, SLyMR1 and BSkG2), Gogny-type (D1M) and Fayans-type (Fy(IVP)) EDFs. The computational frameworks range from single-reference calculations (SV-min and Fy(IVP)), to calculations including the configuration mixing of symmetry-restored reference states (SLyMR1), with two other methods in between (BSkG2 and D1M) that include beyond-mean-field corrections to a varying degree (Methods). Figure 2 shows the experimental data and predictions on the differential mean-square charge radii $\delta\langle r^2 \rangle$ in fermium and nobelium isotopic chains. Figure 2a compares measured $\delta\langle r^2 \rangle$ values with predictions of a simple spherical droplet model^{45,46}, and Fig. 2b,c compares the deviations of the experimental



Fig. 3 | **Comparison of different model predictions for multipole proton radial densities of**²⁴⁶**Fm and**²⁵⁴**Fm. a**–**d**, Monopole radial densities (**a**), *r*⁴-weighted difference between monopole radial densities of²⁵⁴**Fm** and ²⁴⁶**Fm** (**b**), quadrupole radial densities (**c**) and hexadecapole radial densities (**d**). The maximum range of model predictions is marked by bands in **a**, **c** and **d**; the solid lines represent the average of the models.

values and the EDF calculations from the droplet-model reference. The statistical uncertainties of charge radii stemming from the model calibration^{47,48} of Fy(IVP) and SV-min are marked. Similar uncertainty bands can be assumed for the other models.

Discussion

As seen in Fig. 2, for the neutron-deficient even–even isotopes 246,248,250 Fm, the experimental data agree with the predicted trend of the spherical droplet model. The heavier isotopes 254,255,257 Fm, however, exceed the droplet-model trend. From the observed evolution of $\delta\langle r^2 \rangle$ in nobelium, a smooth trend consistent with the droplet-model predictions is extracted with no obvious kink at N=152.

Within their uncertainties, all model predictions are strikingly consistent with the experimental data for fermium and nobelium, and with each other. These findings would suggest that in fact shell effects are smeared out in the heavy actinide nuclei^{2,7} and, hence, nuclear charge radii are expected to be primarily governed by bulk effects.

A good agreement is found between the experimental data for the neutron-deficient isotopes and most theoretical models. Larger discrepancies arise for heavier isotopes with *N* > 152. Here, Fy(IVP), owing to a generally steeper predicted incline, explains this local increase fairly well in terms of an interplay between a deformed neutron shell gap and reduced pairing. However, it is to be noted that all these small variations of the predictions are of the order of current theoretical uncertainties for charge radii.

The agreement between the different predictions is highlighted in the inter-model comparison of the multipole proton radial density distributions $\rho_{\ell}(r)$ calculated for ²⁴⁶Fm and ²⁵⁴Fm in Fig. 3 (see Methods for details). The radial densities ρ_0 in Fig. 3a show most pronounced variations at r = 0, owing to slightly varying contributions from $\ell = 0$ orbitals resulting in a small bump, a weak shell effect. For r > 2 fm, the radial densities steadily increase towards the surface as expected from the large Coulomb repulsion^{2,33}. For radii r > 5 fm, which is the essential region contributing to $\langle r^2 \rangle$, the radial densities are very similar for the different models. The agreement of the weighted densities $\rho_0 r^4$ between ²⁴⁶Fm and ²⁵⁴Fm in Fig. 3b reflects the similarity in predicted charge radii for these isotopes, despite their rather different neutron number. The quadrupole radial density $\rho_2(r)$ in Fig. 3c shows a weak model dependence. This is consistent with similar predicted quadrupole deformations along the fermium isotope chain⁴⁹. The hexadecapole radial densities $\rho_4(r)$ shown in Fig. 3d show appreciable differences between ²⁴⁶Fm and ²⁵⁴Fm, which are consistent with different predicted hexadecapole deformations β_4 in ²⁴⁶Fm and ²⁵⁴Fm and similar to decreasing hexadecapole moments along other actinide chains⁵⁰.

In summary, a smooth trend in differential mean-square charge radii $\delta \langle r^2 \rangle$ has been observed. This is in agreement with our EDF calculations.

Conclusion

The combination of highly sensitive laser spectroscopy techniques with multiple production schemes used in this work enabled the extraction of isotope shifts along the chain of deformed fermium isotopes and extended the isotope-shift data in the nobelium chain. The combined data on fermium and nobelium differential mean-square charge radii, interpreted by several theoretical nuclear models, suggest that the weak shell effects in this region do not influence the charge radii. This confirms theoretical expectations of the transition towards a bulk behaviour with increasing nuclear mass^{2.51}.

Our results and experimental methodological advances offer good prospects for further laser spectroscopy measurements in the heaviest nuclei. The combination of the presented production schemes with anticipated measurements using the in-gas jet laser spectroscopy technique52, featuring a spectral resolution comparable to the resolution obtained for ²⁵⁵Fm in this work, even for isotopes accessible only on-line, will enable high-precision hyperfine structure measurements in fermium and nobelium. This will provide an experimental access to the nuclear electromagnetic moments and the spin. With respect to charge radii information for other elements and, with increased precision of experimental data, higher-order nuclear-structure effects hidden in the mean-square charge radii, such as the variations in the odd-even staggering, will be within experimental reach. Data on charge radii and on nuclear moments of heavier nuclei will be paramount to further probe the transition to the macroscopic regime and calibrate microscopic models of heavy nuclei.

Online content

Any methods, additional references, Nature Portfolio reporting summaries, source data, extended data, supplementary information, acknowledgements, peer review information; details of author contributions and competing interests; and statements of data and code availability are available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-08062-z.

- 1. Nazarewicz, W. The limits of nuclear mass and charge. Nat. Phys. 14, 537–541 (2018).
- Giuliani, S. A. et al. Colloquium: Superheavy elements: Oganesson and beyond. *Rev.* Mod. Phys. 91, 011001 (2019).
- Smits, O. R., Düllmann, Ch. E., Indelicato, P., Nazarewicz, W. & Schwerdtfeger, P. The quest for superheavy elements and the limit of the periodic table. *Nat. Rev. Phys.* 6, 86–98 (2024).
- Yang, X., Wang, S., Wilkins, S. & Ruiz, R. G. Laser spectroscopy for the study of exotic nuclei. Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 129, 104005 (2022).
- Block, M., Laatiaoui, M. & Raeder, S. Recent progress in laser spectroscopy of the actinides. Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 116, 103834 (2021).
- Brack, M. et al. Funny hills: the shell-correction approach to nuclear shell effects and its applications to the fission process. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 44, 320–405 (1972).
- Bender, M., Nazarewicz, W. & Reinhard, P. G. Shell stabilization of super-and hyperheavy nuclei without magic gaps. *Phys. Lett. B* 515, 42–48 (2001).
 Beinhard, P. G. & Otten, F. Transition to deformed shapes as a nuclear Jahn-Teller effect.
- Reinhard, P. G. & Otten, E. Transition to deformed shapes as a nuclear Jahn-Teller effect. Nucl. Phys. A 420, 173–192 (1984).
- Nazarewicz, W. Microscopic origin of nuclear deformations. Nucl. Phys. A 574, 27-49 (1994).
- Ghiorso, A., Thompson, S. G., Higgins, G. H., Harvey, B. G. & Seaborg, G. T. Evidence for subshell at N = 152. Phys. Rev. 95, 293–295 (1954).
- Minaya-Ramirez, E. et al. Direct mapping of nuclear shell effects in the heaviest elements. Science 337, 1207–1210 (2012).
- Kaleja, O. et al. Direct high-precision mass spectrometry of superheavy elements with SHIPTRAP. Phys. Rev. C 106, 054325 (2022).

- Ito, Y. et al. First direct mass measurements of nuclides around Z = 100 with a multireflection time-of-flight mass spectrograph. Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 152501 (2018).
- Makii, H. et al. Z dependence of the N = 152 deformed shell gap: in-beam γ-ray spectroscopy of neutron-rich ^{245,246}Pu. Phys. Rev. C 76, 061301 (2007).
- Buskirk, L., Godbey, K., Nazarewicz, W. & Satuła, W. Nucleonic shells and nuclear masses. Phys. Rev. C 109, 044311 (2024).
- Herzberg, R. D. & Cox, D. M. Spectroscopy of actinide and transactinide nuclei. Radiochim. Acta 99, 441–457 (2011).
- Theisen, C., Greenlees, P., Khoo, T. L., Chowdhury, P. & Ishii, T. In-beam spectroscopy of heavy elements. Nucl. Phys. A 944, 333–375 (2015).
- Dobaczewski, J., Afanasjev, A., Bender, M., Robledo, L. & Shi, Y. Properties of nuclei in the nobelium region studied within the covariant, Skyrme, and Gogny energy density functionals. *Nucl. Phys. A* **944**, 388–414 (2015).
- Bissell, M. L. et al. Cu charge radii reveal a weak sub-shell effect at N = 40. Phys. Rev. C 93, 064318 (2016).
- Koszorús, Á. et al. Charge radii of exotic potassium isotopes challenge nuclear theory and the magic character of N = 32. Nat. Phys. 17, 439–443 (2021).
- Cheal, B. et al. Nuclear spins and moments of Ga isotopes reveal sudden structural changes between N = 40 and N = 50. Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 252502 (2010).
- Marsh, B. et al. Characterization of the shape-staggering effect in mercury nuclei. Nat. Phys. 14, 1163–1167 (2018).
- Kreim, K. et al. Nuclear charge radii of potassium isotopes beyond N = 28. Phys. Lett. B 731, 97–102 (2014).
- Gorges, C. et al. Laser spectroscopy of neutron-rich tin isotopes: a discontinuity in charge radii across the N = 82 shell closure. Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 192502 (2019).
- Reponen, M. et al. Evidence of a sudden increase in the nuclear size of proton-rich silver-96. Nat. Commun. 12, 4596 (2021).
- Goodacre, T. D. et al. Laser spectroscopy of neutron-rich ²⁰⁷²⁰⁸Hg isotopes: illuminating the kink and odd-even staggering in charge radii across the N = 126 shell closure. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 032502 (2021).
- Vernon, A. R. et al. Nuclear moments of indium isotopes reveal abrupt change at magic number 82. Nature 607, 260–265 (2022).
- Campbell, P., Moore, I. & Pearson, M. Laser spectroscopy for nuclear structure physics. Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 86, 127–180 (2016).
- Malbrunot-Ettenauer, S. et al. Nuclear charge radii of the nickel isotopes ^{58-68,70}Ni. Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 022502 (2022).
- 30. Backe, H. et al. Towards optical spectroscopy of the element nobelium (*Z* = 102) in a buffer gas cell: first on-line experiments on ¹⁵⁵Yb at the velocity filter SHIP with a novel ion collection and atom re-evaporation method of high efficiency. *Eur. Phys. J. D* **45**, 99–106 (2007).
- Lautenschläger, F. et al. Developments for resonance ionization laser spectroscopy of the heaviest elements at SHIP. Nucl. Instrum. Methods B 383, 115–122 (2016).
- Laatiaoui, M. et al. Atom-at-a-time laser resonance ionization spectroscopy of nobelium. Nature 538, 495–498 (2016).
- Raeder, S. et al. Probing sizes and shapes of nobelium isotopes by laser spectroscopy. Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 232503 (2018).
- Raeder, S. et al. Opportunities and limitations of in-gas-cell laser spectroscopy of the heaviest elements with radris. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods B* 541, 370–374 (2023).
- Münzenberg, G. et al. The velocity filter SHIP, a separator of unslowed heavy ion fusion products. Nucl. Instrum. Methods 161, 65–82 (1979).
- Block, M., Giacoppo, F., Heßberger, F. P. & Raeder, S. Recent progress in experiments on the heaviest nuclides at SHIP. *Riv. Nuovo Cimento* 45, 279–323 (2022).

- Warbinek, J. et al. Advancing radiation-detected resonance ionization towards heavier elements and more exotic nuclides. Atoms 10, 41 (2022).
- Schneider, F. et al. Resonance ionization of holmium for ion implantation in microcalorimeters. Nucl. Instrum. Methods B 376, 388–392 (2016).
- Kron, T., Liu, Y., Richter, S., Schneider, F. & Wendt, K. High efficiency resonance ionization of palladium with Ti:sapphire lasers. J. Phys. B 49, 185003 (2016).
- Nothhelfer, S. et al. Nuclear structure investigations of ^{253,254,255}Es by laser spectroscopy. Phys. Rev. C 105, 1021302 (2022).
- Kieck, T. et al. Highly efficient isotope separation and ion implantation of ¹⁶³Ho for the ECHo project. Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 945, 162602 (2019).
- Sewtz, M. et al. First observation of atomic levels for the element fermium (Z = 100). Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 163002 (2003).
- Backe, H. et al. Laser spectroscopic investigation of the element fermium (Z = 100). Hyperfine Interact. 162, 3–14 (2005).
- Allehabi, S. O., Li, J., Dzuba, V. & Flambaum, V. Theoretical study of electronic structure of erbium and fermium. J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf. 253, 107137 (2020).
- Myers, W. D. & Schmidt, K. H. An update on droplet-model charge distributions. *Nucl. Phys. A* 410, 61–73 (1983).
- Berdichevsky, D. & Tondeur, F. Nuclear core densities, isotope shifts, and the parametrization of the droplet model. Z. Phys. A 322, 141–147 (1985).
- Dobaczewski, J., Nazarewicz, W. & Reinhard, P. G. Error estimates of theoretical models: a guide. J. Phys. G 41, 074001 (2014).
- Erler, J. & Reinhard, P. G. Error estimates for the Skyrme–Hartree–Fock model. J. Phys. G arge
 42, 034026 (2015).
 - Cwiok, S., Heenen, P. H. & Nazarewicz, W. Shape coexistence and triaxiality in the superheavy nuclei. *Nature* 433, 705–709 (2005).
 - Zumbro, J. et al. E2 and E4 deformations in ²³²Th and ^{239,240,242}Pu. Phys. Lett. B 167, 383–387 (1986).
 - Reinhard, P. G., Bender, M., Nazarewicz, W. & Vertse, T. From finite nuclei to the nuclear liquid drop: leptodermous expansion based on self-consistent mean-field theory. *Phys. Rev.* C 73, 014309 (2006).
 - 52. Ferrer, R. et al. Towards high-resolution laser ionization spectroscopy of the heaviest elements in supersonic gas jet expansion. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 14520 (2017).
 - Wang, M., Huang, W., Kondev, F., Audi, G. & Naimi, S. The AME 2020 atomic mass evaluation (II). Tables, graphs and references. *Chin. Phys. C* 45, 030003 (2021).

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate

credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024

Methods

Experimental techniques

The long chain of fermium isotopes studied in this work was measured by combining different production schemes along with two laser spectroscopy techniques for the respective measurements. Spectroscopy of the isotopes ^{245,246,248,249,250,254}Fm was performed via the RADRIS technique^{30,31}, with the set-up located behind the velocity filter SHIP at the GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung in Darmstadt, Germany^{35,36}. Further details on RADRIS and the latest developments introduced to the set-up are given in refs. 34.37.54. For the on-line measurements of fermium with RADRIS, a 1 × 0.025 mm² hafnium-strip filament was used for collection and neutralization of directly produced nuclei entering the buffer-gas cell filled with 95-mbar high-purity argon gas. A heat-pulse temperature of 1,450 °C was applied to desorb accumulated fermium ions from the filament as neutral atoms for subsequent laser spectroscopy. For the measurements on ²⁵⁵No, a 125-µm-diameter tantalum-wire filament and desorption temperatures of 1,100 °C were used.

The long-lived fermium isotopes ²⁵⁵Fm and ²⁵⁷Fm produced by neutron capture in the nuclear reactor became accessible by in-source hot-cavity laser spectroscopy at the RISIKO mass separator at Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz^{41,55,56}. Here, the sample was placed in a heated reservoir, with a temperature of up to 1,600 K, and the atom vapour was probed by lasers for resonant ionization. The resulting ions were extracted by an electric potential of 30 kV and mass separated using a magnetic dipole to separate the species of interest from unwanted surface ions.

Laser set-up for in-gas-cell laser spectroscopy at RADRIS. Laser spectroscopy of fermium was performed by exciting from the $5f^{12}7s^{23}H_{6}$ atomic ground state to the known $5f^{12}7s^{7}p^{5}G_{5}^{0}$ atomic level around 25,111.8 cm⁻¹ (refs. 42,43). For nobelium, the excitation occurred from the $5f^{14}7s^{2}$ ¹S₀ ground state to the recently identified excited level $5f^{14}7s7p^{1}P_{1}^{0}$ at 29,961.457 $^{+0.041}_{-0.007}$ cm $^{-1}$ for 254 No (ref. 32). A dye laser (Lambda Physics, FL series) pumped by a Xe:Cl excimer laser (Lambda Physik, LPX240) with 5-ns pulse length and 100-Hz repetition rate was used for laser spectroscopy with up to 500 µJ average energy per pulse and a spectral linewidth of 1.5 GHz using an intracavity etalon for narrow spectral linewidth operation. The laser wavelength was continuously monitored with a wavelength meter (HighFinesse-Ångstrom, WS/7-UVU) that was calibrated to an internal neon lamp. The laser light was transported to the buffer-gas cell using ultraviolet-grade optical fibres and was shaped to illuminate an area of about 3 cm² around the filament. The average energy of the laser pulse at the cell was kept in a range of 70-120 µJ for the scanning laser for fermium, matching the reported saturation intensity given in ref. 42, and about 10 µJ for nobelium, in accordance with the measurements presented in ref. 33 on lighter nobelium isotopes. The pump laser for the first excitation step dye laser and the Xe:F excimer laser (Lambda Physik, LPX220), the latter providing the laser light for subsequent photoionization, were synchronized with excimer laser synchronization units (Lambda Physik, LPA 97). The ionizing laser featured about 30 mJ average energy per pulse at the cell after beam transport with mirrors. Both lasers had pulse lengths of about 18 ns.

Laser set-up for in-source laser spectroscopy at RISIKO. The laser system for the hot-cavity in-source laser spectroscopy of fermium isotopes at RISIKO consisted of nanosecond-pulsed titanium:sapphire lasers, pumped by two frequency-doubled neodymium-doped yttrium aluminium garnet lasers with a 10-kHz repetition rate. The titanium:sapphire lasers can be equipped with either a grating or a birefringent-etalon combination as a frequency-selective element and featured an internal second harmonic generation. One titanium:sapphire laser with an average power of up to 1.2 W was used for photoionization. A high ionization efficiency was achieved by exploiting an auto-ionizing resonance at 52.166 cm⁻¹. For detailed laser spectroscopy of the first excitation step at 25,111.8 cm⁻¹ in ²⁵⁷Fm, one grating-tuned titanium:sapphire laser was equipped with an additional etalon, which reduced the spectral linewidth to about 1 GHz (ref. 57), while the average laser power resulted in about 200 mW. Both laser beams were overlapped anti-collinearly with the ion beam in the hot cavity via a viewport at the bending magnet. For spectroscopy of ²⁵⁵Fm, the Perpendicularly-Illuminated Laser Ion Source Trap (PI-LIST) was employed using the atomic vapour effusing from the hot cavity and a perpendicular overlap of a narrow-linewidth laser to the atomic beam as discussed in more detail in ref. 55. Here, an injection-locked titanium:sapphire laser, seeded by a continuous-wave titanium:sapphire laser⁵⁸ and equipped with an external single-pass second-harmonic-generation unit⁵⁹ provided laser light with a band spectral linewidth of 20 MHz and an average power of 100 mW. A laser pulse length of 40 ns was maintained for all lasers and pulse synchronization was achieved by external triggering of the pump lasers with a pulse delay generator. The laser wavenumber of the spectroscopic transition was monitored using two commercial wavelength meters (High Finesse, WS7 and WSU), which were regularly calibrated with a laser locked to a rubidium reference cell⁶⁰.

Isotope production

Different production schemes were applied to access the investigated isotopes in this work for laser spectroscopy studies.

Direct production on-line. The isotopes ²⁴⁵Fm ($t_{1/2} = 5.6$ s) and ²⁴⁶Fm ($t_{1/2} = 1.54$ s) were produced at the velocity filter SHIP, using the fusionevaporation reactions ²⁰⁸Pb(⁴⁰Ar, 3n and 2n)^{245,246}Fm with reported cross-sections of 32 nb for ²⁴⁵Fm (ref. 61) and 10 nb for ²⁴⁶Fm (ref. 62). An ⁴⁰Ar⁸⁺ primary beam featuring a macro-pulse structure of 5 ms beam-on and 15 ms beam-off periods, with a beam energy of 185 MeV for ²⁴⁶Fm and 193 MeV for ²⁴⁵Fm, and average intensities of 2 particle microampere (1.2×10^{13} ions per second) was provided by the linear accelerator UNILAC. This primary beam impacted thin lead-sulfide (PbS) targets with an areal density of typically 470 µg cm⁻² for PbS on a 30 µg cm⁻² carbon backing and with a 10 µg cm⁻² carbon cover layer, the latter side facing SHIP. The targets were manufactured at the GSI target laboratory and mounted on a rotating target wheel to distribute the heat from the energy loss of the primary beam over a large area.

Indirect production on-line. The isotopes²⁴⁸Fm ($t_{1/2}$ = 34.5 s),²⁴⁹Fm ($t_{1/2}$ = 2.6 m),²⁵⁰Fm ($t_{1/2}$ = 30 m) were obtained from the α-decay of the isotopes^{252,253,254}No, directly produced in the fusion-evaporation reactions ^{206,207,208}Pb(⁴⁸Ca, 2n)^{252,253,254}No with respective cross-sections of 0.5 µb, 1.3 µb and 2 µb (ref. 63).²⁵⁴Fm was obtained from the radioactive decay of ²⁵⁴No using the 10% electron-capture branch to ²⁵⁴Md ($t_{1/2}$ = 10 m), which then decays exclusively by electron capture to ²⁵⁴Fm ($t_{1/2}$ = 3.24 h). The nobelium isotope ²⁵⁵No ($t_{1/2}$ = 3.52 m) was similarly obtained indirectly via the electron-capture branch (<30% (ref. 64), and evaluation of previous data taken at SHIP published in ref. 65) of ²⁵⁵Lr ($t_{1/2}$ = 3.1.1 s).

The primary ⁴⁸Ca¹⁰⁺ beam was delivered with average intensities of 0.8 particle microampere (5 × 10¹² ions per second), impinging on thin ^{206,207,208}PbS targets. The collection cycle of RADRIS was adapted to breed the fermium decay-daughter isotopes on the filament³⁴. Accumulation was done for 25 s (²⁴⁸Fm), 295 s (²⁴⁹Fm) and 355 s (²⁵⁰Fm), before evaporation of collected atoms from the filament followed by resonance ionization laser spectroscopy. For ²⁵⁴Fm, the long lifetime of the intermediate isotope ²⁵⁴Md necessitated a collection time of 3,600 s. This indirect isotope breeding reduced the total efficiency due to decay-branching ratios, recoil implantation into the filament material, and the half-life of mother and daughter nuclide, respectively. The effective yield was especially impacted in the case of ²⁴⁹Fm, which features a similar lifetime to its mother nuclide ²⁵³No (*T*_{1/2} = 1.62 m) and an α -branching ratio of 55%. For the longer-lived ²⁵⁴Fm, a dedicated rotatable detection set-up consisting of three silicon detectors was used to enable longer counting times of accumulated laser ions parallel to a new collection of laser ions (see ref. 37).

Production off-line. For the production of ²⁵⁷Fm, a ²⁴⁸Cm target was irradiated in the High Flux Isotope Reactor at Oak Ridge National Laboratory, USA^{66,67}. The fermium fraction of this sample, containing remaining einsteinium⁴⁰, was first used for studies at Florida State University and then made available for Mainz University for further investigations. For production of 255 Fm, a sample of 290 pg (1.3 × 10¹⁴ atoms)²⁵⁴Es provided by Oak Ridge National Laboratory and Florida State University, USA, was encapsulated in a quartz ampule inside a titanium cylinder and shipped to the high-flux research reactor at the Institut Laue-Langevin in Grenoble, France, for a neutron irradiation of 7 days duration. After a cool-down period of 4 days and shipping to Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, Germany, the sample contained 7.5×10^{10} atoms of ²⁵⁵Es ($t_{1/2}$ = 39.8 d) as determined by α -decay spectroscopy. This provided a generator system for the β^- decay daughter ²⁵⁵Fm $(t_{1/2} = 20.1 \text{ h})$ present in secular equilibrium. A chemical separation of fermium was performed four times in appropriate intervals to allow ingrowth of ²⁵⁵Fm into the ²⁵⁵Es fraction between individual separations. This procedure was based on an α -hydroxyisobutyrate separation by cation exchange on a Mitsubishi CK10Y resin. The α -hydroxyisobutyrate complex was converted to a nitrate form; the final sample was obtained after cation exchange separation on an AG50WX8 column, placed on a zirconium metal foil of 10×10 mm², which promotes the release of neutral atoms⁶⁸, and evaporated to dryness. With this method, 255 Fm samples of about 7 × 10⁸ atoms and one 257 Fm sample with at most 5×10^7 atoms were available for laser spectroscopy.

Data analysis

Events from resonant laser ionization were recorded as a function of the set wavenumber to analyse the respective transition resonance centre value and thus extract the isotope shift. In the on-line measurements, the α -decay events from the ions were registered and an α -energy range of interest was selected in the analysis. To account for unavoidable fluctuations in the primary beam intensity, extracted event rates were normalized to the accumulated primary beam charge integral on the beam dump of SHIP. For the off-line measurements, the ions were detected with an ion detector after acceleration and mass separation. Gating the signal on the time-of-flight structure of the resonantly produced ions improved the signal-to-noise ratio. To average over signal variations, the laser wavenumber was scanned slowly and repetitively over the resonance multiple times and the obtained counts were binned and normalized according to the time spent at the respective wavenumber. Observed laser resonances for fermium are presented in Extended Data Fig. 1 and the resonance of ²⁵⁵No is shown in Fig. 1 (bottom).

Centroid position. The centroid wavenumbers of the individual measured resonances in the obtained spectra were determined via a fit of a Voigt profile to the data for all even-A isotopes (A denoting the atomic mass number). The odd-mass-number isotopes feature a hyperfine structure splitting of more than 20 lines due to (tentatively assigned) nuclear spins of I = 7/2 for ^{249,255}Fm (refs. 69,70) and I = 9/2for ²⁵⁷Fm (ref. 71), which could be only partly resolved for ²⁵⁵Fm. This spectrum was analysed using the SATLAS Python package^{72,73}. Owing to the broadening mechanisms inherent in the spectral linewidth of ^{245,249,257}Fm from the environmental conditions of the gas cell and the hot cavity, the hyperfine structure could not be resolved. A Gaussian fit to the data for ²⁵⁷Fm and a Voigt fit for ^{245,249}Fm were used to extract the centre of the structures. The choice of fit profile was connected to the main broadening mechanisms dominating the lineshape in the respective measurement. For the analysis of ²⁵⁵No, with observed underlying hyperfine structure, a nuclear spin of I = 1/2 was assumed for the fit, as tentatively assigned from α -decay hindrance factor systematics⁷⁴. The results on transition resonance wavenumbers and extracted isotope shifts are summarized in Extended Data Table 1.

The RADRIS measurements were performed inside a cell filled with 95-mbar argon buffer gas and are thus affected by a pressure shift and broadening. The broadening is effectively taken into account in the fitting routine, while the pressure shift, equivalent across all RADRIS measurements, effectively does not contribute to the isotope-shift measurement. For comparison with the off-line measurements, the pressure shift had to be evaluated. In the element erbium, a pressure shift of 4(1) MHz mbar⁻¹ was recently reported⁷⁵, which is in line with observations in actinium⁷⁶. Therefore, a shift of about –400(300) MHz can be inferred for the in-gas-cell laser spectroscopy measurements, with a 3 times larger uncertainty assumed for the application to fermium.

The off-line measurements were performed inside the hot cavity with an anti-collinearly propagating laser beam for ²⁵⁷Fm and ²⁵⁵Fm, and with a perpendicular arrangement of the laser beam and the atomic beam for ²⁵⁵Fm. The latter measurement corresponds to the rest frame of the atom in vacuum conditions. The Doppler shift from the moving ensemble of atoms in the hot cavity can be determined from the ²⁵⁵Fm measurements (anti-collinear and perpendicular) to –100(100) MHz, in agreement with observations reported in californium⁵⁵. For comparison with the gas-cell measurements, with ²⁵⁰Fm being the reference for isotope-shift measurements, the obtained resonance frequencies for ²⁵⁵Fm and ²⁵⁷Fm, which were measured in vacuum conditions, have therefore to be shifted by –400(300) MHz and –300(400) MHz, respectively.

The individual experimental cycle of the RADRIS technique (for details, see refs. 30,31) adapted to each on-line studied isotope leads to a suppression of known isomers in isotopes ^{248,250}Fm, which are shorter lived than the ground state. This ensured that purely the nuclear ground state was probed.

The accuracies of the extracted centroid wavenumbers are mainly limited by broadening processes. Pressure broadening is the dominant mechanism for all measurements performed in the gas cell. For ²⁵⁷Fm, Doppler broadening owing to the high temperature in the hot-cavity environment needs to be considered. Power broadening mechanisms only had a role in the case of ²⁵⁰Fm, for which an increased laser power of 150 µJ per pulse was utilized. The origins of effects contributing to the isotope-shift uncertainty are summarized in Extended Data Table 2. This includes the accuracy in the wavenumber measurement. The fit uncertainty for extraction of the centroid position in the analysis is included. As the granularity of data points and counting statistics is small for the on-line investigated isotopes, an uncertainty of half the mean-step size in these measurements can be considered instead, to avoid underestimating the centroid uncertainty. Both factors are included in the table; the respective larger contribution was considered for the total accuracy of the isotope shift.

To account for the model uncertainty for the odd–even isotopes ^{245,249,257}Fm by choosing a single line profile for the extraction of the resonance centroid, one-third of the full-width at half-maximum of the fit profile was considered in the uncertainty analysis. For ²⁵⁵Fm, the hyperfine structure was resolved, and thus the uncertainty in the determination of the hyperfine parameters was used to determine the model uncertainty in the centre of gravity.

Determination of $\delta\langle r^2 \rangle$ **.** The changes in the mean-square charge radius $\delta\langle r^2 \rangle^{A,A'}$ relative to a reference isotope *A* can be extracted from the measured isotope shifts via the relation

$$\delta v^{A,A'} = \frac{m^{A'} - m^A}{m^{A'}m^A} M + F \delta \langle r^2 \rangle^{A,A'}, \qquad (1)$$

with the measured isotope shift $\delta v^{A,A'} = v^{A'} - v^A$ in the atomic transition of isotopes with mass number *A* and *A'*, the mass-shift constant

 $M = M_{\text{NMS}} + M_{\text{SMS}}$, with normal mass shift (NMS) and specific mass shift (SMS), and the field-shift constant *F*.

Recently published results from atomic model calculations provided the field-shift constant *F* for fermium⁴⁴ to evaluate the changes in the nuclear mean-square charge radii. This was performed analogously for nobelium in ref. 33, which was also used for the evaluation of ²⁵⁵No. Here, an uncertainty of 0.007 cm⁻¹ of the reference isotope ²⁵⁴No in the argon buffer-gas atmosphere as stated in ref. 32 was assumed to contribute to the isotope-shift measurement's uncertainty.

The error on $\delta \langle r^2 \rangle^{A,A'}$ results from a propagation of the isotope-shift uncertainty, whereas the uncertainty from the atomic coupling factors is included as a systematic uncertainty. For the field-shift constant, which was predicted with F = -3.14 cm⁻¹ fm⁻², the uncertainty evaluated from the atomic calculations amounts to 10%.

Although the mass shift can be neglected for the calculation of $\delta \langle r^2 \rangle^{A,A'}$, a contribution of the mass shift to the final uncertainty is nevertheless considered.

The NMS can be calculated to $M_{\rm NMS} = m_{\rm e} v \approx 0.4$ THz × u with the transition frequency v and the electron mass $m_{\rm e}$. So far, the SMS contribution can be only estimated. For s-p transitions as in our case, the SMS is usually on the order of the NMS. For transitions including orbitals with a higher main quantum number, it can be more than ten times larger. Therefore, a value of 2 THz × u, 5 times larger than the NMS, is considered as a conservative estimate for the contribution to the total systematic uncertainty in the change in mean-square charge radius⁷⁷.

The additional effect of the isotope shift depending on the nuclear deformation proposed in ref. 44 was investigated. With the available information on the expected deformation change, this proposed additional effect amounts to -0.003 fm², which is small compared with the uncertainties and is thus neglected.

Nuclear-structure models

Below, we provide a brief description of the models used to interpret experimental findings. All our models are based on the nuclear EDF approach. For a detailed discussion of EDF, see refs. 78,79.

In this study, calculations using six different EDF models were performed: Fy(IVP) (P.-G.R. & W.N., manuscript in preparation), D1M⁸⁰, BSkG2⁸¹, SV-min⁸² and SLyMR1⁸³ (note that this model is called SLyMR1_{3b} in ref. 83). This selection aims to represent current EDF models. Concerning the functional form, SV-min and BSkG2 are based on standard Skyrme functionals and density-dependent contact pairing interactions. SLvMR1 uses an extended Skyrme functional, where the density dependences are replaced by an explicit three-body interaction⁸⁴. D1M is based on the Gogny functional. Finally, Fy(IVP) uses the Fayans functional^{85,86}. SV-min and Fy(IVP) are based on a single-reference approach, whereas D1M and BSkG2 also include approximated beyond-mean-field corrections. SLyMR1 involves explicit configuration mixing and restoration of particle-number and angular-momentum symmetries⁸⁷⁻⁸⁹, hence it is a multi-reference approach. The models were calibrated on experimental ground-state properties of finite nuclei but differ in the choice of the calibration data. SV-min and Fy(IVP) include a statistical analysis of the underlying parameterizations. This allows predictions to be given together with a statistical calibration^{47,48}.

To assess the predictive power of the theory frameworks, we computed three-point differences

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}^{(3,2)} = \frac{\mathcal{O}(Z,N+2) - 2\mathcal{O}(Z,N) + \mathcal{O}(Z,N-2)}{2}$$

in the binding energy ($\mathcal{O} = E$) or squared charge radius ($\mathcal{O} = r^2$). For N = 82, N = 126 and N = 152, Extended Data Fig. 2 shows predictions of the SV-min and Fy(IVP) models compared with experimental values.

Both EDF frameworks reproduce the trends in the shell gaps and agree with the data that the N = 152 gap is weak. As discussed in ref. 3, the size of this shell gap strongly depends on model details, see, for

example, ref. 18 for the predictions of this subshell with different models. In particular, the Fy(IVP) model reproduces the experimental values for ¹³²Sn and ²⁰⁸Pb, giving confidence also in the value for ²⁵²Fm. This is in agreement with the reported smooth trends along the isotopic chains.

Treatment of odd-A nuclei. EDF calculations for odd-mass nuclei are not straightforward: solving the self-consistent equations requires the creation of a one-quasiparticle excitation on top of a reference state that is typically associated with an even-even nucleus. For each iteration, identifying the physically relevant quasiparticle while guaranteeing convergence of the self-consistent procedure is a non-trivial task. Most calculations for odd-mass nuclei in this study concern the predicted ground state. In the case of SV-min and Fy(IVP), all one-quasiparticle configurations below 1-MeV excitation energy have been investigated. and the states with lowest energy for each angular-momentum projection K have been examined. It became apparent that the radii vary little with K (variance about 0.001 fm), such that taking the minimal-energy state is an acceptable choice. The other exception is SLyMR1: among the several many-body states with different angular momenta that result from symmetry restoration, we select those states matching the (often tentative) experimental quantum numbers. Although the blocking strategy is common to all models, they differ in their treatment of the blocked quasiparticle. D1M relies on the equal filling approximation⁹⁰, a computationally efficient approximation that includes the blocking effect of the odd nucleon(s), but ignores the effect of any time-odd currents or densities that might develop due to the polarization effects⁹¹. The calculations with BSkG2, Fy(IVP), SLyMR1 and SV-min invoke no approximations in this respect.

Nuclear-matter properties of EDF-based models. The leading properties of our models can be characterized in terms of the infinite nuclear-matter properties shown in Extended Data Table 3: saturation density ρ_{sat} , binding energy per particle *E/A*, incompressibility *K*, (isoscalar) effective mass m^*/m , symmetry energy at saturation *J*, and slope of symmetry energy *L*. The isoscalar effective mass m^*/m shows the largest variation. This parameter impacts the single-particle level density around the Fermi level and hence the magnitude of shell effects. The other matter parameters show fewer variations.

Predicted charge radii. In SV-min and Fy(IVP), the charge radii were calculated directly from the charge form factor that contains the proton form factor folded with the intrinsic form factors of the free nucleons, relativistic corrections and the centre-of-mass correction⁹². A similar procedure is followed for BSkG2 and D1M but without relativistic corrections. It is noted that D1M also adds a quadrupole correction estimated by solving the collective Schrödinger equation with the five-dimensional collective Hamiltonian to the absolute charge radii, as described in ref. 80. In SLyMR1 calculations, the charge radii were computed from the expectation value of the squared point-proton radius operator at the beyond-mean-field level corrected for the finite size of the protons and neutrons⁸⁸.

To check that our models produce sensible results for the total charge radii in the heavy actinides, Extended Data Table 4 compares our predictions with the measured radii for ²³²Th, ²³⁸U and ²⁴⁴Pu. Given the high computational cost of multi-reference calculations, for SLyMR1, we report only the value for ²³⁸U. The errors given for SV-min and Fy(IVP) are the estimated extrapolation errors from statistical analysis of the underlying χ^2 fits. The predictions are in good agreement with available data within uncertainties. This instills confidence in the validity of predictions for fermium and nobelium isotopes.

The predicted root-mean-square charge radii for fermium and nobelium isotopes are shown in Extended Data Fig. 3. Prediction uncertainties are indicated for SV-min. Unlike for differential radii, the results for the total radii show a larger spread between the models. This complies with the observation that the isoscalar matter for parameters in Extended Data Table 3 differ outside error bands. Following the discussion in ref. 93, one would expect that the radii would be sorted according to saturation densities in Extended Data Table 3. This is not necessarily the case as the data on charge radii were used in the calibration of individual models and this spoils the correlation⁹³. Still, the inter-model similarity of charge radii is related to similar saturation densities of our models.

Multipole decomposition of densities. The fermium isotopes under consideration are all deformed in shape, and hence their intrinsic densities are non-spherical. To make an inter-model comparison of proton densities $\rho_{\rm n}$, we carry out a multipole decomposition. To this end, we define a radial proton density $\rho_{p,\ell m}(r)$ as an angular average:

$$\rho_{\mathrm{p},\ell m}(r) = \int Y_{\ell m}(\Omega) \rho_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathbf{r}) \,\mathrm{d}\Omega. \tag{2}$$

Here Y_{lm} is the spherical harmonics of degree l and order m and Ω represents angular coordinates. For an axially deformed nucleus, m = 0 and we denote $\rho_{p,\ell} \equiv \rho_{p,\ell m=0}$. These radial densities are related by a Fourier transformation to the radial scattering form factors typically discussed in the context of electron scattering⁹⁴. The root-mean-square point-proton radius can be obtained from the monopole component of the proton density:

$$\langle r_{\rm p}^2 \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{4\pi}}{A} \int dr \, r^4 \rho_{\rm p,00}(r),$$
 (3)

where A is the mass number of the nucleus.

Higher-multipolarity radial densities define axial shape deformation parameters:

$$\beta_{\ell} = 4\pi \frac{\langle r^{\ell} Y_{\ell 0} \rangle}{3ZR^{\ell}} = 4\pi \frac{\int dr \ r^{\ell+2} \rho_{p,\ell 0}(r)}{3ZR^{\ell}}, \tag{4}$$

where $R = 1.2A^{1/3}$ fm. The calculated guadrupole ($\ell = 2$) and hexadecapole $(\ell = 4)$ radial densities are shown in Fig. 3.

For the nuclei we study here, single-reference models predict nuclear densities that are deformed but retain both reflection symmetry and axial symmetry. The multi-reference techniques used in SLyMR1 render the comparison with the other models slightly more intricate. For comparison purposes, we use the multipole decomposition of the density of the deformed reference state with lowest particle-number restored energy. This deformed state also breaks axial symmetry. However, the triaxial components are small compared with the axial ones, as also found within the D1M and BSkG2 calculations.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available on Zenodo at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13342174 (ref. 95). Source data are provided with this paper.

Code availability

The code used to analyse the data supporting the findings of this study is available on Zenodo at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13342174 (ref. 95).

- 54. Murböck, T. et al. Filament studies for laser spectroscopy on lawrencium. Hyperfine Interact. 241, 35 (2020).
- Weber, F. et al. Nuclear moments and isotope shifts of the actinide isotopes 249-253 Cf 55. probed by laser spectroscopy. Phys. Rev. C 107, 034313 (2023).
- 56. Weber, F. et al. Probing the atomic structure of californium by resonance ionization spectroscopy. Atoms 10, 51 (2022).
- Sonnenschein, V., Moore, I. D., Khan, H., Pohjalainen, I. & Reponen, M. Characterization of 57. a dual-etalon Ti:sapphire laser via resonance ionization spectroscopy of stable copper isotopes. Hyperfine Interact. 227, 113-123 (2014).

- 58. Sonnenschein, V., Ohashi, M., Tomita, H. & Jguchi, T. A direct diode pumped continuous wave Ti:sapphire laser as seed of a pulsed amplifier for high-resolution resonance ionization spectroscopy. Nucl. Instrum. Methods B 463, 512-514 (2020).
- 59. Sonnenschein, V. et al. Characterization of a pulsed injection-locked Ti:sapphire laser and its application to high resolution resonance ionization spectroscopy of copper. Laser Phys. 27, 085701 (2017).
- 60. Verlinde, M. et al. On the performance of wavelength meters: Part 1-consequences for medium-to-high-resolution laser spectroscopy. Appl. Phys. B 126, 1-14 (2020).
- Khuyagbaatar, J. et al. α decay of ²⁴³Fm₁₄₃ and ²⁴⁵Fm₁₄₅ and of their daughter nuclei. *Phys.* 61. Rev. C 102, 044312 (2020).
- 62. Piot, J. et al. In-beam spectroscopy with intense ion beams: evidence for a rotational structure in ²⁴⁶Fm. Phys. Rev. C 85, 041301 (2012).
- 63. Oganessian, Y. T. et al. Measurements of cross sections for the fusion-evaporation reactions ^{204,206,207,208}Pb + ⁴⁸Ca and ²⁰⁷Pb + ³⁴S: decay properties of the even-even nuclides ³Cf and ²⁵⁰No. Phys. Rev. C **64**, 054606 (2001).
- 64. Eskola, K., Eskola, P., Nurmia, M. & Ghiorso, A. Studies of lawrencium isotopes with mass numbers 255 through 260, Phys. Rev. C 4, 632–642 (1971).
- 65. Antalic, S. et al. Decay studies of neutron-deficient lawrencium isotopes. Eur. Phys. J. A 38, 219-226 (2008).
- Roberto, J. et al. Actinide targets for the synthesis of super-heavy elements. Nucl. Phys. A 66. 944 99-116 (2015)
- 67. Robinson, S. M. et al. Production of Cf-252 and other transplutonium isotopes at Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Radiochim. Acta 108, 737-746 (2020).
- 68. Eichler, B. et al. An atomic beam source for actinide elements: concept and realization. Radiochim, Acta 79, 221-234 (1997).
- Heßberger, F. et al. Alpha-gamma decay studies of 251,253 No and their daughter products 69. 247,249 Fm. Eur. Phys. J. A **22**, 417–427 (2004).
- 70. Asaro, F., Bjørnholm, S. & Perlman, I. Decay scheme of Fm²⁵⁵. Phys. Rev. 133, B291-B300 (1964).
- 71
- Ahmad, I. & Horwitz, E. P. Alpha decay of ²⁵⁷₁₀₀Fm. Nucl. Phys. A **373**, 434–444 (1982).
 Gins, W. et al. Analysis of counting data: development of the SATLAS Python package 72. Comput. Phys. Commun. 222, 286-294 (2018).
- Gins, W., van den Borne, B., de Groote, R. & Neyens, G. SATLAS2: an update to the 73 package for analysis of counting data. Comput. Phys. Commun. 297, 109053 (2024).
- Heßberger, F. et al. Alpha–gamma decay studies of ²⁵⁵No. *Eur. Phys. J. A* **29**, 165–173 (2006). 74 Ajayakumar, A. et al. In-gas-jet laser spectroscopy with S3-LEB. Nucl. Instrum. Methods B 75. 539, 102-107 (2023).
- 76. Granados, C. et al. In-gas laser ionization and spectroscopy of actinium isotopes near the N = 126 closed shell, Phys. Rev. C 96, 054331 (2017).
- 77. Heilig, K. & Steudel, A. Changes in mean-square nuclear charge radii from optical isotope shifts. Atom. Data Nucl. Data 14, 613-638 (1974).
- 78 Bender, M., Heenen, P. H. & Reinhard, P. G. Self-consistent mean-field models for nuclear structure. Rev. Mod. Phys. 75, 121-180 (2003).
- 79 Schunck, N. (ed.) Energy Density Functional Methods for Atomic Nuclei 2053-2563 (IOP Publishing, 2019); https://doi.org/10.1088/2053-2563/aaeOed.
- 80. Goriely, S., Hilaire, S., Girod, M. & Péru, S. First Gogny-Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov nuclear mass model. Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 242501 (2009).
- Ryssens, W., Scamps, G., Goriely, S. & Bender, M. Skyrme-Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov mass models on a 3D mesh: II. Time-reversal symmetry breaking. Eur. Phys. J. A 58, 246 (2022)
- 82. Klüpfel, P., Reinhard, P. G., Bürvenich, T. J. & Maruhn, J. A. Variations on a theme by Skyrme: a systematic study of adjustments of model parameters. Phys. Rev. C 79, 034310 (2009).
- Jodon, R. Ajustements de Fonctionnelles de Skyrme Généralisées. PhD thesis, Univ. 83. Claude Bernard - Lyon 1 (2014): https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-01158085.
- 84 Sadoudi, J., Duquet, T., Mever, J. & Bender, M. Skyrme functional from a three-body pseudopotential of second order in gradients: formalism for central terms. Phys. Rev. C 88.064326 (2013)
- 85. Favans, S., Tolokonnikov, S., Trykov, E. & Zawischa, D. Nuclear isotope shifts within the local energy-density functional approach, Nucl. Phys. A 676, 49-119 (2000).
- 86. Reinhard, P. G. & Nazarewicz, W. Toward a global description of nuclear charge radii: exploring the fayans energy density functional. Phys. Rev. C 95, 064328 (2017).
- 87. Bally, B. & Bender, M. Projection on particle number and angular momentum: example of
- triaxial Bogoliubov quasiparticle states. *Phys. Rev. C* **103**, 024315 (2021). Bally, B., Giacalone, G. & Bender, M. Structure of ^{128,120,130}Xe through multi-reference 88 energy density functional calculations. Eur. Phys. J. A 58, 187 (2022).
- 89. Bally, B., Giacalone, G. & Bender, M. The shape of gold. Eur. Phys. J. A 59, 58 (2023). Perez-Martin, S. & Robledo, L. M. Microscopic justification of the equal filling 90.
 - approximation. Phys. Rev. C 78, 014,304 (2008).
- 91 Péru, S., Hilaire, S., Goriely, S. & Martini, M. Description of magnetic moments within the Gogny Hartree-Fock-Bogolyubov framework: application to Hg isotopes. Phys. Rev. C 104, 024328 (2021).
- 92. Reinhard, P. G. & Nazarewicz, W. Nuclear charge densities in spherical and deformed nuclei: toward precise calculations of charge radii. Phys. Rev. C 103, 054310 (2021).
- 93. Reinhard, P. G. & Nazarewicz, W. Nuclear charge and neutron radii and nuclear matter: trend analysis in Skyrme density-functional-theory approach. Phys. Rev. C 93, 051303 (2016).
- Rosen, M., Raphael, R. & Überall, H. Generalized Helm model for transverse 94. electroexcitation of nuclear levels. Phys. Rev. 163, 927-934 (1967).
- 95. Warbinek, J. & Fermium Collaboration. Dataset: Laser spectroscopy of fermium isotopes probing trends in nuclear charge radii. Zenodo https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13342174 (2024).
- Angeli, I. & Marinova, K. Table of experimental nuclear ground state charge radii: an 96 update. Atom. Data Nucl. Data 99, 69-95 (2013).

Acknowledgements The on-line measurements presented here were performed at the velocity filter SHIP at the GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung, Darmstadt

(Germany) in the frame of FAIR Phase-Q. We thank the GSI ion source and accelerator operation groups for the preparation of a stable ⁴⁸Ca and ⁴⁰Ar beam, and the GSI target laboratory for providing high-quality targets. The isotopes used in this research were provided by the US Department of Energy Office of Science Isotope R&D and Production Program. The ^{253,254,255}Es and ^{255,257}Fm were supplied to Florida State University and the University of Mainz via the processing at the Radiochemical Engineering Development Center at Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Separation of the einsteinium and fermium at ORNL was supported in part by the US DOE Office of Science, Heavy Element Chemistry programme. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement number 861198-LISA-H2020-MSCA-ITN-2019 and under grant agreement number 654002 (ENSAR2). P. Chhetri acknowledges funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 programme under the Marie Skłodowsk-Curie grant agreement number 1010267662. E.K., E.R.R. and M.L. acknowledge funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement number 819957). This work has been supported by the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF, Germany) under project numbers 05P18UMCIA and 05P21UMEN3, T.W. acknowledges funding from the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF. Germany) under grant number 05P21RDEN1, C.E.D., H.D. and T.K. acknowledge funding by DFG Research Unit for 2202 (ECHo) with financial support under the contracts DU 1334/1-1 and DU 1334/1-2. The SLyMR1 calculations were performed by using high-performance computing resources from GENCI-TGCC, France (contract number A0110513012) and the CC-IN2P3 of the CNRS, M. Bender acknowledges support by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche, France, grant number 19-CE31-0015-01 (NEWFUN). P.-G.R. acknowledges support through the high-performance computers of the RRZE (Erlangen, Germany). S.G. acknowledges financial support from F.R.S.-FNRS (Belgium). S.G. and W.R. are research associates of the F.R.S.-FNRS. The present research benefited from computational resources made available on the Tier-1 supercomputers Zenobe and Lucia of the Fédération Wallonie-Bruxelles, infrastructure funded by the Walloon Region under grant agreement number 1117545. Further computational resources have been provided by the clusters Consortium des Équipements de Calcul Intensif (CÉCI), funded by F.R.S.-FNRS under grant number 2.5020.11 and by the Walloon Region. P.V.D., A.C., R.F., A.d.R, E.V., S.K., P. Chhetri, J. Romans, S.G. and W.R. acknowledge funding from the Research Foundation - Flanders (FWO) and from the EOS (number 30468642) project of the FWO and F.R.S.-FNRS under the

Excellence of Science (EOS) programme. I.M. received funding from the Academy of Finland under project number 339245. T.A.-S. and the team at Florida State University and Colorado School of Mines are supported by the US Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Heavy Element Chemistry programme under award number DE-SC0023693. W.N. acknowledges support from the US Department of Energy under award numbers DOE-DE-NA0004074 (NNSA, the Stewardship Science Academic Alliances programme), DE-SC0013365 (Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics) and DE-SC0023175 (Office of Science, Office of Advanced Scientific Computing Research and Office of Nuclear Physics, Scientific Discovery through Advanced Computing programme). This publication is funded by the Open Access Publishing Fund of GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung. The results presented in this work are part of the PhD theses of J.W. and E.R.

Author contributions S.R. proposed the experiments. J.W., E.R., S.R., B.A., J.A., S.B., M. Block, A.B., P. Chauveau, B.C., P. Chhetri, A.C., A.d.R, C.D., C.E.D., R.F., V.G., A.G., F.G., M.J.G., R.Hasse, R. Heinke, F.-P.H., M.K., O.K., T.K., E.K., N.K., S.K., M.L., J.L., N.L., A.K.M., I.M., T.M., D.M., T.N., S.N., A.R., E.R.-H., J. Romans, E.R.R., H.S., F.S., J.S., M.S., D.S., M.U.-G., K.V.B., PV.D., M.V., E.V., TW, F.W. and K.W. contributed to the experiments. T.A.-S., S.B., H.D., C.E.D., J.E., A.G., A.H., U.K., ATLL-B, C.M., D.R., J. Runke, J.S., P.T.-P., N.T. and S.V.C. provided the off-line fermium samples. J.W., E.R. and S.R. analysed the experimental data. B.B., M. Bender, S.G., S.H., W.N., S.P., P.-G.R. and W.R. performed the nuclear density functional theory analysis. J.W. prepared the paper with input from all authors, especially E.R., S.R., M. Block and W.N. All authors reviewed the paper.

Funding Open access funding provided by GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Jessica Warbinek or Sebastian Raeder.

Peer review information Nature thanks John Foster, Thomas Immel and Juha Vierinen for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permissions information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints.





alpha events for the on-line investigated isotopes are normalized to the respective primary beam charge integral in units of 0.01 C. A more detailed zoom into the spectrum of 255 Fm is shown as an inset in Fig. 1 in the main text.



Extended Data Fig. 2 | Three-point differences in the binding energy (left) and squared charge radius (right) of experimental values and calculations with SV-min and Fy(IVP). The data for binding energies were taken from ref. 53, for the charge radii from refs. 24,96.



Extended Data Fig. 3 | **Absolute charge r.m.s. radii as predicted by the different investigated nuclear EDFs.** Uncertainties (one standard deviation) for the fermium isotopes are shown for SV-min by error bars. They can be considered to be typical for most models.

Extended Data Table 1 | Summary of laser resonance centroid wavenumbers in argon buffer gas environment together with the corresponding isotope shifts and the evaluated changes in mean-square charge radii

A	Centroid	$\delta u^{250,A}$	$\delta \langle r^2 \rangle^{250,A}$	DM	$\delta \langle r^2 \rangle^{250,A}$ -DM
	$[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}]$	[GHz]	$[\mathrm{fm}^2]$	$[\mathrm{fm}^2]$	$[\mathrm{fm}^2]$
Fern	nium				
245	25113.81(7)	30.4(2.2)	-0.323(24)[33]	-0.279	-0.0438
246	25113.50(5)	21.0(1.7)	-0.223(19)[23]	-0.223	-0.0004
248	25113.11(3)	9.5(1.0)	-0.101(10)[10]	-0.111	0.0100
249	25112.99(11)	5.8(3.4)	-0.062(36)[6]	-0.055	-0.0063
250	25112.80(3)	0	0	0	0
254	25111.90(4)	-27.0(1.4)	0.286(15)[29]	0.220	0.0663
255	25111.75(2)	-31.5(0.9)	0.335(10)[34]	0.275	0.0601
257	25111.48(8)	-39.5(2.4)	0.420(25)[42]	0.383	0.0365
Nob	elium				
252	29961.793(23)	10.08(69)	-0.105(7)[7]	-0.111	0.0058
253	29961.681(6)	6.72(18)	-0.070(2)[5]	-0.055	-0.0147
254	29961.457(7)	0	0	0	0
255	29961.20(3)	-7.7(0.8)	0.080(8)[6]	0.055	0.0250

Statistical uncertainties (one standard deviation) are given in parentheses, systematic uncertainties are included in brackets. Values for the isotopes ²⁵²⁻²⁵⁴No are taken from the literature^{32,33}. The absolute values are additionally affected by the pressure shift, which cancels for the determination of the isotope shifts. Predictions by the spherical DM and relative differences to the experimental data are included.

Extended Data Table 2 | Individual contributions summed up quadratically to the total statistical uncertainty on the investigated $5f^{12}7s^2$ ³H₆ to $5f^{12}7s7p$ ⁵G₅^o transition for different fermium isotopes

Fm isotope	245	246	248	249	250	254	255	257
Centroid correction [GHz]								
Pressure or Doppler shift	0	0	0	0	0	0	-0.4	-0.3
Centroid uncertainty [GHz]								
Fit uncertainty	(0.4)	(0.5)	(0.2)	1.4	(0.2)	(0.3)	0.005	0.3
Measurement granularity	1.5	1.5	0.5	(0.5)	0.5	1.0		
Model uncertainty	1.4			2.8			0.5	2.2
Wavenumber measurement	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.3	0.5	0.5	0.06	0.4
Pressure or Doppler shift	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.3	0.4

Contributions in parentheses were not considered for the determination of the final uncertainty. For details see text.

Extended Data Table 3 | Nuclear matter properties of the EDF-based models used in this study

	$\rho_{\rm sat} \; [{\rm fm}^{-3}]$	$E/A \; [MeV]$	$K \; [MeV]$	m^*/m	$J \; [\text{MeV}]$	L [MeV]
Fy(IVP)	0.1648(14)	-15.827(30)	211(11)	1.0	27.7(1.2)	43.0(14.1)
SV-min	0.1611(12)	-15.910(30)	222(8)	0.952(69)	30.7(1.7)	44.8(24.6)
BSkG2	0.1577	-16.070	238	0.860	32.0	53.0
SLyMR1	0.1549	-16.103	277	0.528	32.9	38.9
D1M	0.1647	-16.026	225	0.746	28.6	24.8

Uncertainties are indicated in parentheses for Fy(IVP) and SV-min. See text for details.

Extended Data Table 4 | Comparison of experimental 96 and theoretical charge rms radii (all in fm) of 232 Th, 238 U, and 244 Pu

Nucleus	Exp.	SV-min	Fy(IVP)	D1M	BSkG2	SLyMR1
232 Th	5.7848(124)	5.7791(84)	5.7773(58)	5.780	5.798	
$^{238}\mathrm{U}$	5.8571(33)	5.8426(89)	5.8411(58)	5.845	5.856	5.823
244 Pu	5.8948(382)	5.8943(96)	5.8913(86)	5.885	5.909	

Uncertainties are given in parentheses.