Track Reconstruction for the ATLAS Detector's Phase II Trigger and Data Acquisition System using Graph Neural Networks AI @ Royal Holloway *Sudha Ahuja on behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration, CERN*

HOLLOWAY ITk Data Routing PCle Int Jecoding & Clu

References: Graph Neural Networks for Particle Reconstruction in High Energy Physics detectors (2003.11603(2020)) [1] [Novel deep learning methods for track reconstruction, arXiv 1810.06111 2018](https://cds.cern.ch/record/2285584) [2] Graph Neural Networks for Charged Particle Tracking on FPGAs. doi: 10.3389/fdata.2022.828666 [3] Track reconstruction for the ATLAS Phase-II Event Filter using GNNs on FPGAs (ATL-DAQ-PROC-2023-006/ ATL-DAQ-PROC-2023-014) [4] ITk (Inner Tracker) dataset -<https://gitlab.cern.ch/gnn4itkteam/acorn> [5],Track ML DataSet -<https://www.kaggle.com/c/trackml-particle-identification>[6] <https://github.com/Xilinx/brevitas> [7]

Particle Track reconstruction involves precisely measuring the trajectory and the properties of charged particles (reconstruction) in the Tracking detector by identifying which of the isolated measurements (hits) belong to the same particle. A GNN approach to charge particle tracking has been proposed [1][2], while studying Deep Neural Networks for Track reconstruction. In this approach, each graph node represents a sparse measurement (hit), with edges connecting pairs of hits based on geometrically plausible relationships.

High Luminosity Large Hadron Collider (HL-LHC)

Track Reconstruction using Graph Neural Networks (GNN) & Implementation on FPGA's

Pattern Recognition (from the pipeline) being studied as a geometric deep learning problem. Achieved by representing Inner Tracker data as a graph and training the model to classify its connections.

ce Point / Stub Find **Slicing Engir** PGA Pattern Recognition (HT Fake Re Coarse Track F PCIe Interfa Precision Track Fi Output Tracks & Clustered Hits

Application of Graph Neural Networks on FPGAs is under investigation for the Event Filter Tracking system for the HL-LHC. Model resource optimization studies are ongoing, as the pipeline is primarily occupied by the Machine Learning components. Initial model compression using QAT and pruning showed promising resource reduction while maintaining performance. Further studies are ongoing in various aspects (using the realistic ITk simulation samples, comparing MLP and Module Map techniques, segmenting the Graph into detector regions, etc.) and the final goal is to have a fully running GNN-based track reconstruction pipeline on an FPGA.

Resource Optimisation for the Graph Reconstruction Step

Summary and Outlook

HL-LHC will deliver an order-of-magnitude increase in integrated luminosity and amount of data produced per event.

Challenging environment with high background pileup noise & particle production rate.

Major upgrades are foreseen for the ATLAS detector and the Trigger and Data Acquisition System (TDAQ).

The TDAQ system consists of a Level-0 hardware trigger, a data acquisition and an an Event Filter system.

The Event Filter system is exploring a heterogeneous computing farm comprising CPUs, and potentially GPUs and/or FPGAs.

Event Filter Tracking

Track reconstruction is the most computationally intensive process, due to combinatorics, within the *Event Filter* system.

Tracking predominantly influences the Event Filter system requirements.

Exploring novel track reconstruction algorithms and modern machine learning techniques with heterogeneous computing architecture (CPUs + GPUs +FPGAs)

GNN based pipeline:

- 1. Graph reconstruction aims to maximize efficiency of true edges while limiting the amount of false edges. Can be done in two ways:
	- Metric Learning: Construct a graph using available information (r, phi, z), then use a Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) to map hits into a latent space. Create edges between hits lying within a circle of radius r. Network learns to push same-track hits within this circle. Trade-off between efficiency and purity by adjusting the radius threshold.
	- Module Map: Uses geometric observables for construction.
- 2. Edge Labeling: Implements interaction network to model the relationship between graph objects. GNN assigns an edge classification score to each edge to recognise edges originating from true track particles.
- 3. Graph Segmentation: Threshold applied to the edge score to remove false edges from the graph. Track candidates are then formed by segmenting the graph into connected components, using a walkthrough algorithm to resolve multiple paths for specific nodes. These track candidates inputted into track-fitting algorithms.

Performance Metrics for Graph Construction (step 1)

True edges in the graph $Efficiency =$ Total true edges True edges in the graph $purity =$ Total edges in the graph

Resource Estimation on FPGAs

GNN Tracking on FPGAs [3] can simultaneously provide parallelization with low energy consumption (compared to CPUs and GPUs).

For FPGA deployment, converted the algorithm to Hardware Descriptive language (HDL). Used HLS4ML for high-level synthesis of the ML component.

• **Using ITk Dataset [5]**: Trained the algorithm with PyTorch and converted it to ONNX. Used HLS4ML to generate HDL code and Quartus RTL compilation to estimate resources on the Intel S10 GX device.

Model Compression

The Tracker is expected to generate hundreds of thousands of parameters, posing a challenge to reduce resource usage while maintaining efficiency and purity. Various ML model compression techniques have been studied:

- 1. Quantization Aware Training (QAT) Trained parameter representation using fixed-point instead of floating-point. Defined bit-widths for weights and activation before training. Implemented QAT with BREVITAS [7] integrated into PyTorch.
- 2. Pruning Iteratively removed non-contributing weights from the network, leveraging sparse matrices to speed up computation.

Model performance measured by computing purity at fixed (98%) efficiency vs model size given in Bit Operations (BOPs) per hit cluster. Three QAT models are chosen and pruned iteratively.

Results (Trained & tested with the TrackML Dataset [6])

Applied QAT and model pruning to the MLP during the graph construction stage, using heterogeneous bit widths for weights and activations. Integrated Batchnorm for FPGA-specific requirements. Pruned 10% of weights every 180 epochs.

Event Filter Tracking Pipeline

The three QAT models shown here achieved pruning While the pruned PyTorch reference model drops only at pruning factors larger than 90% .