PS/AA/ME/Note 60

EXPERIMENT: Test of Stack-Tail Cooling with Design Flux of<br/>Protons. Second round.EXPERIMENTERS: R. Johnson, J. Marriner.DATE: 16 March 1983.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

### Introduction

The method and intent of this experiment are well described in ME Note  $n^{O}$  41. The main difference (of which we are aware) is that the stack tail now has an improved noise figure - cold resistors and new amplifiers.

### Method

The number of injected protons was measured with the program (VDM) INJM. (To keep the spectrum analyzer from saturating the reference level was changed to 2 mV). For a typical pulse it was found :  $2.41 \times 10^7$  protons injected,  $2.14 \times 10^7$  after precooling, and  $0.33 \times 10^7$  left on the precooling orbit after r.f. stacking. Therefore,  $1.81 \times 10^7$  were moved to the stacking orbit.

The number of injected protons seemed to be stable but was not measured pulse by pulse. The magnet currents for the injection line are shown in Table I. The r.f. program is shown in Table II. The status of the cooling systems is shown in Table III. Some of the precooling amplifiers were not working (according to the computer) as shown in Table III.

The stack tail system was gated for 2 s/injected pulse or alternatively run ungated. The high frequency system was always ungated. The AA took 1/6 PS cycles. Stack tail horizontal and vertical systems were off.

### Results

The stacking rate is shown in Fig. 1. With the stack tail system gated, 95% of the  $1.8 \times 10^7$  protons deposited are cooled into the stack tail. In the gated mode 64% of the protons are cooled into the stack tail. Recall that about 20% were lost in the precooling process. The gated stacking rate is equivalent to  $1.8 \times 10^{10}$  per hour for 6/6 PS pulses. This rate can be compared to rates reported in ME n<sup>o</sup> 41:  $1.26 \times 10^7$  with a 340 Hz r.f. bucket and  $0.93 \times 10^7$  with 625 Hz bucket.

#### Conclusion

It is possible to stack  $1.8 \times 10^{10}$  particles per hour with the current stack tail system. As usual, we must add the caveat that the performance could possibly have been better if more time were available for optimization.

Reported by J. Marriner

## TABLE I

1983-83-16-86:24:07 INJECTION BEFORE TARGET. PROTON

		REF.	REQUIRED	HEAS.	
£00005	$\boxtimes$	267.2	32.3	9	
3TI0010	BT	368.9	45.3	45.2	NO
QF00015	$\overline{\diamond}$	336.7	28.0	28.0	ON
DYT0025	M	12.8	3.1	3.1	ON
QDE0030	$\overline{\Sigma}$	354.9	38.9	38.9	ON
QF00035	$\diamond$	118.9	33.3	33.2	ON
BYT0040	BY	375.2	48.5	48.4	ON
DHZ0045	H	-30.8	-14.7	-14.7	ON
QF00050	$\overline{\diamond}$	1881	0	0	TI.
QDE0052	$\sim$	3600	1869	159	TI:
QF00055	$\diamond$	1100	298	0	TI

1983-03-16-06:24:50 INJECTION AFTER TARGET, PROTONS

		REF.	REQUIRED	HEAS.	
9DE0070	$\diamond$	244.7	244.6	9	
2F09971	$\bowtie$	231.2	231.2	9	<u>e</u> ee
BHZ0072	BH	346.8	346.7	346.7	ON
QDE0075	$\overline{\diamond}$	120.3	120.3	0	
QF00080	$\bowtie$	76.48	76.48	0	OFF
DHZ0081	Ĥ	3.1	3.0	3.0	ON
QF00085	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	-64.68	64.68	.01	DEE
DVT0089	M	0	0	0	ON
QDE0090	$\overline{\diamond}$	.99.89	99.89	0	OFF
DHZ0094	A	7.0	6.9	6.9	ON
QF00095	$\overline{\bowtie}$	73.44	143.44	143.40	ON
DYT0096	9	.79	.78	.79	ON

# TABLE II

## 1 STACKING FUNCTION - FAST DEPOSIT

### 83**-8**3-**1**5 83**-83-**

10 PHASE LOCK

→ 1Hz=.00917e s

			VALUES AT END OF SEQUENCE					
5EQUENC	ETYPE	۵t	t-FPA		A Hz	ŗ		
INITIA	IL.		2.49	1846.1	23	Ø	5	
1	TPP	.18	2.67	1846.1	620	0	335	
2	MAT	.03	2.7	1850.01	620	3	11791	
З	MOY	.004	2.704	1851.6	620	3	11283	
4	DEP	.079	2.784	1852.18	13	3	5	
5	DHS	.01	2.794	1846.1	23	ଷ	5	

