### THE CPS CONTRIBUTION TO MULTIBATCH FILLING OF THE SPS

D. Boussard, D. Fiander, B. Godenzi, R. Gouiran, H. Koziol, A. Krusche,
D. Möhl, G. Nassibian, C. Steinbach

#### Summary

This note describes the modifications which have to be made to the CPS to allow it to perform as an efficient injector for the transfer of up to 5 CPS batches per SPS filling.

The first proposed modification is to reduce to 0.6 - 0.65s the present CPS cycle of 1.2s for 10 GeV/c beams. This will be brought about by changes to the PSB main and auxiliary power supplies and by alteration of the PS main magnet inter-cycle excitation. The estimated cost of this cycle time reduction is about 5 MF.

The second proposed modification is to complement the existing Continuous Transfer system with a faster fast bump. This will allow high efficiency shaving extraction over one or two revolutions, and used in conjunction with the CPS full aperture kicker will furnish beams for 3 and 5 batch filling. The estimated cost for this additional ejection equipment is about 3 MF.

#### Introduction

The performance expected from the CPS when it was chosen as the injector for the SPS was the transfer of a single 10-12 GeV/c beam of up to 10<sup>13</sup> particles, "continuously" extracted over 10 CPS revolutions. The Continuous Transfer (CT) system was designed accordingly. The CPS cycle time to accelerate the beam for the SPS influenced the amount of time remaining for 26 GeV/c physics but had no direct bearing upon the SPS cycle time; the then attainable time of 1.2s was considered satisfactory.

That the CPS met the performance requirements asked of it should not be regarded as defining its performance limits. The CPS can just as well serve as an injector for multibatch filling of the SPS but to be efficient in this new rôle, from the standpoints of both SPS filling time and time available for 25 GeV physics, it is necessary to bring about a substantial reduction in the cycle time to furnish 10 GeV/c beams. Furthermore, it is necessary to complement the existing CT system with new equipment designed to extract the beam over fewer CPS revolutions.

This note summarizes the actions required at the CPS both in respect of reduction in cycle time to 0.6 - 0.65s and modifications to the ejection system to cover up to 5 batch filling of the SPS. For more detailed information reference should be made to the listed technical papers published by the PS Groups concerned. The note concludes with a budget estimate for the PS contribution; this amounts to 8 MF at 1977 prices. The divisional effort required to execute the project is estimated at 25 man-years, spread over 3 years.

## 2. Reduction of CPS Cycle Time

# 2.1 Overall Situation

The CPS comprises three inter-linked machines, the 50 MeV Linac, the 800 MeV Booster and the 26 GeV PS. The cycle times of the Linac and

Booster are not dependent on the peak energy to which the beam is accelerated whereas the PS cycle time is directly influenced. The design energy for CPS-SPS transfer is 10-14 GeV/c but in practice all transfers are made at 10 GeV/c; for the purposes of this proposal it is assumed that the transfer energy will be fixed at 10 GeV/c. With this limitation and with modification of the main PS magnet inter-cycle field it is possible to obtain a main field cycle time of 0.6 - 0.65s. Any further reduction would involve major and costly changes to the power supply. Fortunately, this cycle time is sufficiently short to permit the transfer of up to 5 batches without extending the waiting time which in any case must be respected in the SPS cycle when operating at top energy and with long slow spill times.

Most of the remaining PS equipment is able to follow so that the work required to bring the PS machine to this cycle time is minimal. In order to obtain a CPS cycle time of 0.6 - 0.65s it is necessary that both Linac and Booster have the same or shorter cycle times. Concerning the Linac, there is no problem because the new machine under construction, and due to enter service in 1979, has a design cycle time of 0.5s. The Booster, at present, has a cycle time of 1.2s which cannot be reduced to 0.6 - 0.65s without substantial investment.

# 2.2 Changes Envisaged

#### 2.2.1 Booster

The implications of the shorter cycle time on the magnet supplies and magnets, both main and auxiliary, have been given detailed study (1). The main magnet voltage must be raised by using an extra rectifier-inverter group, the rating of the a.c. filter capacitor must be increased, the higher harmonic filters must be modified and, above all, a new reactive power compensator must be added. These changes will ensure that the main supply can operate at the higher repetition rate with the

same high reliability and low disturbance to the CERN 18kV network as at present. The main magnet can operate at the higher repetition rate with an appropriate cycle shape. A number of auxiliary power supplies require reinforcement or replacement but the magnets which they feed are adequately rated. Modifications are also necessary to the Booster timing, controls, instrumentation equipment and data treatment. Possibly some modifications may be necessary to the cooling system. A building of light construction (300m<sup>2</sup>), sited on top of the Booster Power House B271, is required for the new compensator.

The effect of the higher repetition rate on the Booster RF system has been examined  $^{(2)}$ . The conclusion drawn is that no additional cavity is necessary for Booster cycles of 0.6s and beam intensities corresponding to a CPS-SPS transfer of up to  $10^{13}$  protons per batch.

As the Booster main magnet is excited by a static power supply connected to the 130 kV Services Industriels de Genève (SIG) network, the Booster repetition rate can influence the power transients on rotating plant connected to this network. Tests have been performed (3) in conjunction with the SIG to determine the influence which Booster repetition rates up to 1.8Hz would have on the water wheel alternators at Verbois. These have shown a transient loading of no more than 1.5% of the nominal machine rating, this being negligibly small compared with the transients arising from synchronization or turbine cavitation at light load. The conclusion drawn at CERN from these tests is that there would be no power supply network problem arising from a Booster cycle time of 0.6s - formal SIG confirmation on this point is still outstanding.

# 2.2.2 PS

The PS main magnet cycle can be shortened to 0.6 - 0.65s for 10 GeV/c cycles  $^{(4)}$ . Typical magnet voltage and field diagrams for a

supercycle containing 0.6s 10 GeV/c cycles are given in Fig. 1. An expansion of the start of a 0.6s 10 GeV/c cycle is shown in Fig. 2, together with the actual form for a 1.2s cycle. The shorter cycle time is achieved by raising the minimum field between cycles to 400 gauss and minimizing the dead time. On the basis of computations, minimum cycle times between 0.6 and 0.65s can be expected. The final value will be determined experimentally. No additional heavy hardware is necessary for either the PS main magnet or its power supply; modifications would be limited to the power supply controls and the peaking strip which gives the cycle start pulse.

The mixing of 10 and 25 GeV/c cycles within a super cycle perturbs the regularity of the magnetization curve and the magnet injection conditions. This could lead to a small deterioration of the injected beam in some CPS sub-cycles. Further studies are necessary to evaluate this problem and determine the solution.

Not all the auxiliary magnet power supplies of the PS are able to cope with this higher repetition rate. Certain of the capacitor discharge type must be rebuilt or replaced. Modifications are also needed to the septum magnet 16 power supply.

Some additional spares must be provided for PS components which will pulse more frequently or receive more radiation damage as a result of multi-batch filling of the SPS. This principally concerns ejection septa, both magnetic and electrostatic.

# 3. The Ejection System

The CT ejection system was foreseen for single batch transfer of a PS beam extracted over 10 revolutions. During the commissioning of the SPS the transfer of two batches of PS beam extracted over 5 revolutions has been made successfully; it is considered that the existing CT equipment can operate reliably in the one and two batch modes for beams up to  $10^{13}$  protons per batch.

The requirement to transfer 3 and 5 batches to the SPS is too onerous for the present fast bump of the CT system on account of the high absolute and inter-step voltages which would arise in the pulse generator. Furthermore, the relatively slow rise time of the fast bump, whilst acceptable for ejection over 10 revolutions, would give excessive amplitude modulation for ejection over 3 or 2 revolutions. The only solution is to complement the existing system with new hardware to produce a faster fast bump for 3 and 5 batch transfers. In order to limit the quantity of hardware and avoid having to enlarge the horizontal aperture of the "shaving" electrostatic septum magnet, it is proposed to make the 3 and 5 batch transfers as "mixed" ejections. The definition of a "mixed" ejection is one in which (n-1) revolutions of the ejection are shaved by the CT scheme and the n<sup>th</sup> revolution is fast extracted without passing through the shaving electrostatic septum. Tests have been carried out with the present CT system, but at reduced PS intensity, to prove the validity of this principle (5).

On the assumption that the "mixed" transfer is adopted, the new hardware is restricted to faster transmission line type dipoles and 2-step pulse generators, which can also be readily converted to single step (6). This hardware would follow closely the well-tried design of the PS full aperture kicker and associated pulse generators.

Straight section space can be found in the PS for the faster dipoles compatible with the present CT installation except that one quadrupole must be added. Some small rearrangement of auxiliary magnet locations is necessary to make room for one of the new fast dipoles. An extension of approximately 150m<sup>2</sup> is necessary to the Centre Building Hall B359 for the installation of the 2-step pulse generator.

The synchronization of the CPS and SPS for multibatch continuous transfer presents little difficulty. When mixed ejections are employed there will be some small beam loss (\frac{1}{2}\%2) on the ejection septum 16 and some beam (1%) will remain in the PS due to the rise time of the fast extraction kicker. At this stage these losses are considered acceptable.

If, however, operating experience shows it desirable that they should be suppressed, then a hole must be created in the PS beam for the kicker rise. The synchronization of the two machines is then more difficult. Two possibilities are considered to exist; these are either a controlled radial beam displacement in the PS, or a homing system which predicts the evolution of the revolution frequency (7).

# 4. Cost and Effort

Table 1 gives a summary of the estimated costs; the total is 8 MF.

The costs are grouped according to the two main activities, namely reduction of cycle time and beam extraction. The costs are budget estimates in 1977 prices and, in general, are not based on firm offers from industry or other CERN Divisions.

The PS effort is estimated to be about 25 man-years; about one third of this effort would be engineering staff and the rest technical/manual staff. In addition some 12 man-years of temporary labour support would be required (the cost is included in the materials budget).

The overall time scale for implementation depends on factors exterior to the project itself such as divisional staffing and parallel work load. The minimum time scale is about 2½ years, determined by the sequential effects of delivery delays from industry and scheduling of machine shutdowns for installation.

TABLE 1

Task	Item	Costs KFs		
		PSB	PS	Total
Faster Cycling	Power supplies	1650	800	
	Magnets		150	
	Timing, controls, instrumenta- tion	350	150	
	Cabling, cooling, miscell. installations	500	100	
	Temporary labour	450	120	
	Civil engineering	400		
	Contingencies	300	80	
		3650	1400	5050
Beam Extrac- tion	Pulse generators		1250	
	Pulsed magnets		650	
	Septa		180	
	Timing and controls		<b>7</b> 0	
	Miscell. installations		90	
	Temporary labour		125	
	Civil engineering		465	
	Contingencies		120	
			2950	2950
			Total	8000
				<b>.</b>

## References

- 1. The PSB Magnet Current Supplies: Implications of a Shorter Pulse Repetition Time. F. Völker, PS/BR/Note 76-25.
- Beam Dynamics Constraints on Booster Cycle Time. F.J. Sacherer, CERN/PS/BR 76-8
- Essais de pulsation de l'alimentation Booster à des taux de répétition jusqu'à 1,82 Hz (ffects sur les machines de Verbois)
   B. Godenzi, F. Völker, CERN/ S/BR 76-17
- 4. Interim Report of PS Multip 1sing Working Group, D. Boussard et al. PS/AE/Note 76-11
- 5. Status of the Multipulsing Investigation. D. Fiander, PS/AE/Note 76-17
- 6. A Design Proposal for a 2-St p Fast Bump for a Multipulsing Project.
  D. Fiander, PS/AE/Note 77-1
- 7. Synchronization and Tolerances for Multipulse Injection PS-SPS. D. Möhl



