

EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

CERN/PS 92-15 (AR)

PERFORMANCE UPDATE OF LEAR

E. Asseo, S. Baird, J. Bosser, M. Chanel, P. Lefevre, R. Ley,
R. Maccaferri, D. Manglunki, D. Möhl, G. Molinari,
J.-C. Perrier, Th. Pettersson, G. Tranquille, D. Vandeplassche, D.-J. Williams

ABSTRACT

A description is given of the recent performance of the LEAR storage ring. This includes the improvements made on the ultra-slow extraction (time structure, flux limitation), the progress on the semi-slow extraction ($\sim 500 \mu\text{s}$) at 61.2 MeV/c, and the results obtained from internal jet target operation at momenta higher than 800 MeV/c.

Paper presented at the
European Particle Accelerator Conference
Berlin, March 24 - 28, 1992

Geneva, Switzerland
March 1992

PERFORMANCE UPDATE OF LEAR

E. Asseo, S. Baird, J. Bosser, M. Chanel, P. Lefevre, R. Ley,
R. Maccaferri, D. Manglunki, D. Möhl, G. Molinari,
J.-C. Perrier, Th. Pettersson, G. Tranquille, D. Vandeplassche, D.-J. Williams

CERN - PS Division
CH - 1211 Geneva 23

Abstract

A description is given of the recent performance of the LEAR storage ring. This includes the improvements made on the ultra-slow extraction (time structure, flux limitation), the progress on the semi-slow extraction ($\sim 500 \mu\text{s}$) at 61.2 MeV/c, and the results obtained from internal jet target operation at momenta higher than 800 MeV/c.

1. INTRODUCTION

Since 1982, LEAR has been running with ultra-slow extraction providing fluxes of $3 \cdot 10^3$ to $1 \cdot 10^6$ antiprotons per second to physics experiments. The new experiments installed since 1988 asked for fluxes of more than 10^6 antiprotons per second with a better duty factor ($> 95\%$). The results obtained by adding a small air core quadrupole in the machine to counteract the horizontal tune fluctuations at harmonics of 50 Hz are described. As the fine structure (in the nanosecond range) is also important, some measurements are also reported. One of the major improvements of the machine is the deceleration and extraction of antiprotons at 61.2 MeV/c (2 MeV kinetic energy). A special semi-slow extraction set-up ($500 \mu\text{s}$ corresponding to the post decelerator pulse) is presented. The first test made with protons and the expectations for the future are reviewed. Finally an internal jet target has been installed in LEAR at momenta between 1 and 2 GeV/c. The implication for the machine, the results of the first year of operation and the improvements foreseen are shown.

2. THE ULTRA-SLOW EXTRACTION

This extraction is of the resonant type, where the third order resonance $3 Q_H = 7$ is used. The centre of the beam stack is tuned to $Q_H = 2.325$ and the horizontal chromaticity to 0.6. The particles are driven to the resonance by applying an RF noise signal to the beam, with a well defined bandwidth around an harmonic of the revolution frequency.

This noise covers the resonance frequency and the upper frequency of the distribution of the circulating particles [1]. The lower side of the noise moves into the stack with a speed depending on the extraction time needed, the width and shape of the beam distribution. At first, this distribution was made rectangular prior to extraction to obtain a quasi constant extracted flux over the whole spill. As the fluxes now required by the users have increased drastically, the number of particles in the stack has also increased. At low momenta ($< 309 \text{ MeV/c}$) diffusion processes such as intra-beam scattering alter the distribution and increase transverse emittances during the spill. These phenomena make it difficult to maintain a constant spill over one hour and reduce the overall extraction efficiency due to losses of circulating particles. To avoid such losses, stochastic cooling was applied in all three planes during extraction. The sweep speed must then follow a special function taking into account the instantaneous distribution. This function is programmed using the observed form of the previous spill. Around 10 spills are needed to obtain a constant extracted flux of particles. Some improvements are foreseen to make the generation of the sweep function automatic.

In addition to maintaining a constant flux the coarse structure at harmonics of the mains (generally called ripple) must be minimized. The quality of the coarse structure is measured by the so-called duty factor which is defined as the square of the mean flux $\langle \Phi \rangle^2$ divided by the mean flux squared $\langle \Phi^2 \rangle$. The Fourier analysis of the particle flux (integration of number of extracted particles during $100 \mu\text{s}$) can be written as :

$$\Phi = \alpha_0 + \sum_i \alpha_i \cos(\omega t + \varphi)$$

and consequently the duty factor is :

$$DF = \frac{\alpha_0^2}{\alpha_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \alpha_i^2}$$

To reduce the ripple a strong RF noise called the chimney [2] was applied near the extraction resonance making the particles' diffusion much

The second method consists of accelerating the beam close to the-resonance, and then applying a small transverse kick which brings the particles outside the stable area in the phase space diagram. Then, the particles diffuse to the electrostatic septum with a speed depending on their position after the kick. In this way, a 200 μ s burst was obtained (50 turns in the machine) with a good efficiency.

In 1992, the second method will be used with some improvements on the kicker pulse (4 μ s instead of 1 μ s actually) to allow for the extraction of the whole beam in one shot.

4. THE INTERNAL JET TARGET

An experiment called Jetset is now installed in the straight section 2 of LEAR. It uses a horizontal hydrogen jet target and requires small vertical emittances and adjustment of the vertical beam position [7]. Up to now we have used a single injection into LEAR of one 0.2 eVs bunch from AA.

Stacks of $3 \cdot 10^{10}$ particles are stored in LEAR. Transfer efficiencies from AA are better than 60%. The beam is cooled by stochastic cooling before acceleration to final momentum (between 609 MeV/c and 2000 MeV/c). Then further stochastic cooling is applied in all three planes to counteract multiple scattering by the jet target.

Due to the horizontal orientation of the jet, the vertical emittance has to be kept small to get the best possible luminosity. Values better than 2π mmmrad (95% of beam) were obtained for $2 \cdot 10^{10}$ particles circulating in the presence of the jet. The beam instabilities which start to occur at this high beam density are damped by an active feedback system.




Figure 4 Evolution of the vertical emittance (solid) and elastics counting rate in jet set (dashed) with stochastic cooling ON and OFF at $p = 1500$ MeV/c and with $18 \cdot 10^9$ antiprotons.

Measurements of the evolution of the luminosity and of the vertical emittances are reported

in figure 4. The measured blow up rate correspond to a luminosity around $6 \cdot 10^{29} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, for a jet density of $6 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ atom} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$. This is confirmed by the measured beam loss rate.

The jet density will be increased by a factor 4 by approaching the source and the recuperation closer to the vacuum chamber. We intend also to increase the number of circulating particles (up to $5 \cdot 10^{10}$) using multi-batch injection (topping-up) in the longitudinal phase plane as was done in LEAR with oxygen ions [8].

5. CONCLUSION

The characteristics of the LEAR beam are as follow:

Beam	Type	Momentum	Flux
LEAR external beam	ultra-slow extraction	0.06-2.0 GeV/c	$\leq 3 \cdot 10^6 \bar{p}$ for 1 hour spill. \cdot spill from 15' to 4 hours.
	semi-slow extraction = 500 μ s	61.2-105 MeV/c Other momenta possible	one shot: $3 \cdot 10^8 \bar{p}$ $5 \times 3 \cdot 10^7 \bar{p}$ /shot
	fast extraction = 50 . . 400 ns multishot	61.2-200 MeV/c	$\sim 10^7$ to $10^9 \bar{p}$ /shot
LEAR internal beam	Internal gas jet target	0.6-2 GeV/c	$3 \cdot 10^{10} \bar{p}$ circulating
	Other possible internal targets	Low momentum = 200-300 MeV/c	$10^{10} \bar{p}$ circulating.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] P. Lefèvre, "LEAR, present status and future developments", Proc. of 4th LEAR workshop, Harwood Academic Publisher, 1987, p 19-30.
- [2] W. Hardt, "Moulding the noise spectrum for much better ultraslo extraction", PL/DL/LEAR Note 84-2.
- [3] C. Fernandez-Figueroa and G. Molinari, "New diagnostic devices to monitor extraction from LEAR", this conference.
- [4] A. Schempp, H. Deitinghoff, A. Firjahn, H. Wormann, M. de Saint Simon, J. Y. Emery, C. Thibault and F. Botlo-Pilat, Proc. of 1991 IEEE Part. Accel. Conf., p 3041-43.
- [5] J. Bosser, M. Chanel, R. Ley and G. Tranquille, "The operation with the variable energy electron cooling at LEAR", this conference.
- [6] M. Chanel and D. Manglunki, "Methods for semi-slow extraction at LEAR", PS/AR/Note 92-02.
- [7] S. Baird, J. Bengtsson, M. Chanel, R. Giannini, P. Lefèvre and D. Möhl, id. [1] p 91-94.
- [8] S. Baird, J. Bosser, M. Chanel, C. Hill, D. Krämer, R. Ley, D. Manglunki, D. Möhl, P. Tetu and G. Tranquille, Proc. of the 1989, IEEE Part. Accel. Conf., p 645-647.

The second method consists of accelerating the beam close to the-resonance, and then applying a small transverse kick which brings the particles outside the stable area in the phase space diagram. Then, the particles diffuse to the electrostatic septum with a speed depending on their position after the kick. In this way, a 200 μ s burst was obtained (50 turns in the machine) with a good efficiency.

In 1992, the second method will be used with some improvements on the kicker pulse (4 μ s instead of 1 μ s actually) to allow for the extraction of the whole beam in one shot.

4. THE INTERNAL JET TARGET

An experiment called Jetset is now installed in the straight section 2 of LEAR. It uses a horizontal hydrogen jet target and requires small vertical emittances and adjustment of the vertical beam position [7]. Up to now we have used a single injection into LEAR of one 0.2 eVs bunch from AA.

Stacks of $3 \cdot 10^{10}$ particles are stored in LEAR. Transfer efficiencies from AA are better than 60%. The beam is cooled by stochastic cooling before acceleration to final momentum (between 609 MeV/c and 2000 MeV/c). Then further stochastic cooling is applied in all three planes to counteract multiple scattering by the jet target.

Due to the horizontal orientation of the jet, the vertical emittance has to be kept small to get the best possible luminosity. Values better than 2π mmmrad (95% of beam) were obtained for $2 \cdot 10^{10}$ particles circulating in the presence of the jet. The beam instabilities which start to occur at this high beam density are damped by an active feedback system.




Figure 4 Evolution of the vertical emittance (solid) and elastic counting rate in jet set (dashed) with stochastic cooling ON and OFF at $p = 1500$ MeV/c and with $18 \cdot 10^9$ antiprotons.

Measurements of the evolution of the luminosity and of the vertical emittances are reported

in figure 4. The measured blow up rate correspond to a luminosity around $6 \cdot 10^{29} \text{ cm}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, for a jet density of $6 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ atom} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$. This is confirmed by the measured beam loss rate.

The jet density will be increased by a factor 4 by approaching the source and the recuperation closer to the vacuum chamber. We intend also to increase the number of circulating particles (up to $5 \cdot 10^{10}$) using multi-batch injection (topping-up) in the longitudinal phase plane as was done in LEAR with oxygen ions [8].

5. CONCLUSION

The characteristics of the LEAR beam are as follow:

Beam	Type	Momentum	Flux
LEAR external beam	ultra-slow extraction	0.06-2.0 GeV/c	$\leq 3 \cdot 10^6 \bar{p}$ for 1 hour spill. spill from 15' to 4 hours.
	semi-slow extraction = 500 μ s	61.2-105 MeV/c Other momenta possible	one shot: $3 \cdot 10^8 \bar{p}$ $5 \times 3 \cdot 10^7 \bar{p}$ /shot
	fast extraction = 50 ... 400 ns multishot	61.2-200 MeV/c	$\sim 10^7$ to $10^9 \bar{p}$ /shot
LEAR internal beam	Internal gas jet target	0.6-2 GeV/c	$3 \cdot 10^{10} \bar{p}$ circulating
	Other possible internal targets	Low momentum = 200-300 MeV/c	$10^{10} \bar{p}$ circulating.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] P. Lefèvre, "LEAR, present status and future developments", Proc. of 4th LEAR workshop, Harwood Academic Publisher, 1987, p 19-30.
- [2] W. Hardt, "Moulding the noise spectrum for much better ultraslow extraction", PL/DL/LEAR Note 84-2.
- [3] C. Fernandez-Figueroa and G. Molinari, "New diagnostic devices to monitor extraction from LEAR", this conference.
- [4] A. Schempp, H. Deitinghoff, A. Firjahn, H. Wormann, M. de Saint Simon, J. Y. Emery, C. Thibault and F. Botlo-Pilat, Proc. of 1991 IEEE Part. Accel. Conf., p 3041-43.
- [5] J. Bosser, M. Chanel, R. Ley and G. Tranquille, "The operation with the variable energy electron cooling at LEAR", this conference.
- [6] M. Chanel and D. Manglunki, "Methods for semi-slow extraction at LEAR", PS/AR/Note 92-02.
- [7] S. Baird, J. Bengtsson, M. Chanel, R. Giannini, P. Lefèvre and D. Möhl, id. [1] p 91-94.
- [8] S. Baird, J. Bosser, M. Chanel, C. Hill, D. Krämer, R. Ley, D. Manglunki, D. Möhl, P. Tetu and G. Tranquille, Proc. of the 1989, IEEE Part. Accel. Conf., p 645-647.