EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE POUR LA RECHERCHE NUCLEAIRE

CERN - PS DIVISION

PS/ CA/ Note 97-06

REPAIR AND MODIFICATION OF SMH92

J. Borburgh and J.C. Dubois

Geneva, Switzerland 4 April 1997

1. Introduction

Following the breakdown of septum magnet smh74 in the PS accelerator and the replacement by its spare in July 1996, no spare magnet was available anymore, not for the smh74 nor for the smh92 since these magnets are symmetrical and share the spare magnet. In September 1996 septum magnet smh92 started leaking water from its cooling circuit into the vacuum chamber. A roughing pump was installed on the water circuit to pump it under a primary vacuum, and by restricting operation to one pulse per supercycle of 14.4 s and by avoiding of loosing the beam on the septum blade, operation could be continued until the annual shutdown in December 1996.

By January 1997 the radiation level of the smh74, that was taken out of the machine in July 1996, was almost halved. Work was started to repair it with newly manufactured coils, and convert it into a smh92 to replace the one in the PS that was operating without water cooling. Before installation it was necessary to test the magnet at its nominal and maximum current to establish whether the new coil didn't adversely affect the magnet's performance. Tests were carried out to measure the magnetic performance and to compare with the calculated design values. In this report the differences between the new and the old coil will be presented as well as the results of the tests carried out.

2. Coil design

The original coil design square copper tubes 2 mm exterior 1 mm interior, brazed in the septum blade and the return conductor. The water pressure used on these magnets is approximately 15 bar, and after 10 years of reliable operation, one after the other magnet started leaking, and coils needed to be replaced.

When new coils were to be manufactured, a slightly different design was ordered, to improve its reliability. Instead of brazing thin walled copper tubes everywhere in the coil, the septum blade was cooled with embedded thin walled round stainless steel tubes (\emptyset 2 x 1.5 mm), and the return conductor as well as the arms were constructed with thick walled square tubes (\emptyset 6 mm exterior, \emptyset 2.2 mm interior), see Figure 1. These modifications necessitated different connecting boxes between septum and rear conductor as well as between the arms and the rear conductor, but the external dimensions of the coil with connecting boxes was still within the limits the yoke allowed for, so no modifications are necessary to the yoke to install the new coil.



Old CoilNew CoilFigure 1: cross sections of rear conductor and septum blade of the old and new coil

An important difference with the old coil is the current distribution in the septum blade. Except for the water passage the old septum blade was all copper, so the current distribution was disturbed by a 1 mm square hole. In the new coil the water tube is 1.5 mm interior, but since the tube doesn't conduct the current as well as copper, it can be considered as not conducting as well, therefore the entire cross section of 2 mm diameter isn't carrying any current. An inhomogenous current distribution and the play between septum blade and yoke, are the two most important factors for the existence of the fringe field. Magnetic measurements of the fringe field will have to confirm these modifications.

By increasing the cross sections of the tubes, with the same water pressure on the coil as previously, the water flow will increase and the coil will be cooled slightly more as before. Since the Eletta flow meter were operating at the lower limit of their operating range with the old coils, this can be seen as an additional advantage.

3. Magnetic field measurements

Using the PS/PO power supply in the lab with a pulse repetition rate of approximately 1.2 seconds a series of measurements were recorded in order to determine the magnetic field in the gap, and the fringe field. The field in the gap was measured to determine the actual punctual values and also the integrated field (Bdl) with which we can calculate the equivalent magnetic length of the magnet. Two coils were used: for punctual field values, coil 1, for Bdl measurements, coil 2.

In Figure 2 the positions are indicated where the different magnetic measurements were taken.



Figure 2: magnetic measurement positions

The measuring equipment used was:

Current Transformer Digitiser Data handling Measurement coil 1 Measurement coil 2 Scope Pearson Model 1423 (1 V/kA) Tektronix 7612D 486 p.c. with Labview $s = 0.03693m^2$; $\phi = 5 \text{ mm}$ $s = 0.0755m^2$; l = 1.30 m; width = 10 mm H.P. Model 54601 A With the small measuring coil (coil 1) the field was measured in the middle of the gap and at one quarter of the length of the septum. With the program 'fringefield' running under Labview, the fields were measured. This measurement system is described in note PS/PA 95-13.

3.1 Measurements inside the magnet gap

In this paragraph tables and diagrams are shown of the field in the gap, measured with coil 1, and the integrated field through the gap measured over more than the full length of the magnet to obtain the equivalent length of the magnet. The results for magnet smh74/92-1 are shown in Table 1.

Magnet	Bdl (mT.m)	Bo (T)	L equiv.
current (kA)			(mm)
10.7	303.6	0.735	413
13.0	369.4	0.900	411

Table 1: Magnetic measurements inside the gap of SMH74/92-1



Figure 3: B₀ as function of the current

What can be noticed from Figure 3 is that no measurable saturation occurs.

Also the equivalent length (L_{equiv}) is tabulated in the table. This length is calculated by dividing the integrated field by the corresponding field strength. This length is equivalent to the length of a theoretical magnet with field strength B_0 and no field outside the magnet. The expected equivalent magnetic length is 413 mm and the measured length is within acceptable tolerance of this expectation.

3.2 Measurement of the fringe field next to the septum

Measurements were carried out to determine the magnitude of the integrated fringe field parallel and close to the septum blade in order to assess the effect on the orbiting beam. The results were taken at several separate distances from the septum with results presented in table 2. The relative values of these results are graphically shown in Figure 4.

Distance from	Bdl (T.m)	Bdl (T.m)
septum blade	(at 10.7 kA)	(at 13.0 kA)
(mm)		
25	2.75e-4	4.27e-4
35	1.86e-4	2.65e-4
45	1.20e-4	1.91e-4
55	9.39e-5	1.46e-4
65	6.95e-4	1.15e-4

Table 2: Fringe field measurements on SMH74/92-1



Figure 4: integrated fringe field as function of the distance to the septum blade

4. Miscellaneous modifications

Apart from the repair op the magnet by replacing its coil, thermocouples, and their feedthrough were installed on either extremity of the magnet yoke, on the upside of the outer laminations. These will allow monitoring the temperature of the yoke while baking out the magnet.

Also a deflector was installed between the infra red bake out lamp and the tank wall to reduce the heating of the tank and increasing the efficiency to heat up the magnet. Since the lamp is very close to a 'wheeler' type flange and its 'Helicoflex' seal, this should reduce the risk of overheating the seal and creating a leak.

5. Conclusions

The new coil used to replace the existing coil doesn't change the magnet characteristics very much. The field in the gap is as expected, as well as the magnetic length. The fringe field next to the septum blade of the new magnet is low, already lower then 1% at 25 mm distance from the septum.

The cooling requirements for septum 74/92 are modest. With the new coil the design of the water circuit has been increased, to make the coil less vulnerable for possible small particles in the cooling water. Also thicker walls of the tubing and the use of stainless steel embedded tube should make the coil less vulnerable, hence more reliable.

A deflector has been installed on the bake out lamp to reduce overheating the 'Helicoflex' seal and increase its efficiency to heat up the magnet. To monitor the temperature of the magnet during bake out, thermocouples have been installed on the yoke.

Appendix I: Magnetic measurement results

smh 74/92-1 with coil 74.2-09

date measurement 23/1/97

pulse half sine, 2.68 ms, repetition rate 1.2 s measurements done with pc labview digitiser chain. Coils as on btsmv20 measurement

GAP

l (kA)	Bo (T)	Bdl (mT.m)	Leq (m)
0	0	0	
10.7	0.735	303.6	0.413
13.0	0.900	369.4	0.411

FRINGEFIELD

	l =10.7 Ka		l = 13 kA	
D (mm				
from				
septum)	Bdl (Tm)	% Bdl gap	Bdl (Tm)	% Bdl gap
25	2.75E-04	0.091	4.27E-04	0.115
35	1.86E-04	0.061	2.65E-04	0.072
45	1.20E-04	0.040	1.91E-04	0.052
55	9.39E-05	0.031	1.46E-04	0.040
65	6.95E-05	0.023	1.15E-04	0.031





Appendix II: Magnet calculations

smh 74

.

particularités

DONNEES			RESULTATS	ELECTRONS	
particules electrons : e protons : p	е		Masse au repos mo	0.000512	Gev/c2
quant.mouvt : MV Energie cin. : EC	ec		Energie cinétique	0.6000	GeV
			Quantité de mouvement	0.6005	Gev/c
Energie cinétique Ec =	0.6	GeV	beta	1.0000	
			gamma	1172.8750	
Déflexion requise	154	mrad	beta*gamma	1172.8746	
Epaisseur du septum	4	mm	Déplact. après espace de gliss	31.801	mm
Hauteur du Gap	18	mm			
Profondeur du Gap	80	mm	Champ intégré B*L	0.308	T.m
Longueur magnetique equivalent	413	mm	Induction dans le Gap	0.746	т
Espace de glissement	0	m	Champ magn. H=B/uo	5.94E+05	A/m
Monospire donc	1	spire	Courant nécessaire	10691	A
Epaisseur cond. retour	6	mm	Valeur efficace du courant	402	А
Hauteur de conducteur retour	18	mm	densité de courant eff.	5.88	A/mm2
Résistivité du cuivre (1.72E-2	0.0172	mO.mm	Résistance de l'aimant	0.210	mOhms
module d'Elasticité (12500	12500	daN/mm2	Inductance de l'aimant	2.16	uΗ
Forme de l'impulsion			Puissance dissipée	0.034	кW
DC ,1/2 sinus:S ,trapèze :T	S		Energie stockée	124	J
1/2 période de l'impulsion	3.4	ms			
Période de récurence (cycle tot.	1.2	S			
taux de répétition de l'impulsion de co	urant			80	p en mm
Systeme de refroidissement				18	h en mm
pression différentielle	12	bar			
nombre du circuits	2			115.1	L en mm
septum					
forme element de refroidissement	circ			88.2	H en mm
Cote horizontal	4	mm			
Cote vertical	9	mm			
forme du passage d'eau	circ		Débit d'eau total	2.51	1/min
Diametre trou	1.5	mm	Débit dans chaque spire	1.25	l/min
			vitesse de l'eau dans septum	11.83	m/s
conducteur retour			dT total d'eau	0.22	К
forme element de retroidissement	rec			164 70	doN
Cote norizontal (mm)	0	mm	Force septum /cond fond	164.79	uain
Cote vertical (mm)	9	mm	Fleche max : septum (appul	0.000	mm•daN
forme du passage d'eau	100		moment liech.max. (appul	0.90	
	2.2 2.2	inm mm	Manaa aulaasa (sasa sauta	0.34	
Cole venical	۷.۷	111111	wasse culasse (sans poure	20	лA
Matiere			section cond. septum	68.46570826	mm2
maximum admissible champ dans le f	1.7	T	Section refroidissement septur	3.534291735	mm2

smh 74

particularités

DONNEES particules electrons : e protons : p е quant.mouvt : MV Energie cin. : EC ec 0.6 Energie cinétique Ec = GeV Déflexion requise 180 mrad 4 Epaisseur du septum mm 18 Hauteur du Gap mm 80 Profondeur du Gap mm Longueur magnetique equivalent 413 mm Espace de glissement 0 m Monospire donc 1 spire 6 Epaisseur cond. retour mm Hauteur de conducteur retour 18 mm Résistivité du cuivre (1.72E-2 0.0172 mO.mm 12500 daN/mm2 module d'Elasticité (12500 Forme de l'impulsion DC , 1/2 sinus : S , trapèze : T S 3.4 1/2 période de l'impulsion ms 1.2 Période de récurence (cycle tot. s taux de répétition de l'impulsion de courant Systeme de refroidissement pression différentielle 12 bar 2 nombre du circuits septum forme element de refroidissement circ Cote horizontal 4 mm 9 Cote vertical mm forme du passage d'eau circ Diametre trou 1.5 mm conducteur retour forme element de refroidissement rec Cote horizontal (mm) 6 mm 9 Cote vertical (mm) mm forme du passage d'eau rec Cote horizontal 2.2 mm Cote vertical 2.2 mm Matiere 1.7 Т maximum admissible champ dans le fe

RESULTATS

ELECTRONS

Masse au repos mo Energie cinétique	0.000512 0.6000	Gev/c2 GeV
Quantité de mouvement	0.6005	Gev/c
beta	1.0000	
gamma	1172.8750	
beta*gamma	1172.8746	
Déplact. après espace de gliss	37.17	mm
Champ intégré B*L	0.360	T.m
Induction dans le Gap	0.872	т
Champ magn. H=B/uo	6.94E+05	A/m
Courant nécessaire	12496	А
Valeur efficace du courant	470	A
densité de courant eff	6.87	A/mm2
densite de codram. en.	0.07	, on the
Résistance de l'aimant	0.210	mOhms
Inductance de l'aimant	2.16	υH
Puissance dissipée	0.046	kW
Energie stockée	169	J
	80 18 121.1 100.1	p en mm h en mm L en mm H en mm
Débit d'eau total	2.51	l/min
Débit dans chaque spire	1.25	l/min
vitesse de l'eau dans septum	11.83	m/s
d⊤ total d'eau	0.30	к
Force septum /cond fond	225.13	daN
Flèche max . septum (appui	0.001	mm
moment flech.max. (appui	1.23	mm*daN
contrainte maxi <5 (appui	0.46	daN/mm2

Н

section cond. septum	68.46570826	mm2
Section refroidissement septur	3.534291735	mm2

Masse culasse (sans poutre

32

kg

Appendix III: Bake out instructions for the SMH 74/92

Consigne pour l'étuvage des septa smh74 / 92

SMH 74/92 -1

1 lampe infra rouge + déflecteur installée : 70 V_{rms} pendant 60 heures (donnant 170 - 120 °C sur les deux extrémités de l'aimant.) Bride 'wheeler' chauffer avec résistance à 80 °C

SMH 74/92 -3

2 lampes infra rouges sans déflecteurs installées: 60 V_{rms} pendant 60 heures (donnant 180 - 200 °C sur les deux extrémités de l'aimant) Bride 'wheeler' chauffer avec résistance à 80 °C

Traversée 10 pin installée sur les tanks, avec câble d'adaptation, terminant sur burndy 12 pin.

Traversée Varian	G	Η	Α	В
	+	-	+	-
(Amphénol)	G	Η	Α	В
	+	-	+	-
Burndy 12	1	2	3	4
	+	-	+	-

Utiliser un Voltmètre 'True RMS', pour vérifier la tension sur les lampes. Il y a un différence d'à peu près un facteur 2 avec un voltmètre basé sur la valeur moyenne.

Distribution:

Jean Pierre Riunaud PS/CA/Septa

•