## *Please do not damage the Address Label*

To *: I. Moussali,* PSDivision

From

Please send me copy(ies) of the following Note.

LHC Note 213 PS/DI/Note 92-14 Rev. 2 December 1992

## **Partial Test of the PS Complex as LHC Proton Injector**

R. Bossard, R. Cappi, G. Daems, R. Garoby, B. Godenzi, S. Hancock, K. Hübner, H. Koziol, A. Krusche, M. Martini, K.D. Metzmacher, J.P. Riunaud, K. Schindl (editor), H. Schönauer, C. Steinbach, P. Têtu, M. Thivent, H. Ullrich, M. Vretenar, D.∫. Warner.

#### **Abstract**

The production of the high-brilliance proton beam for the LHC implies major hardware changes in the PS Complex. Results of recent Machine Study sessions suggest that a beam of the required transverse density may be achieved, in spite of severe space-charge limitations in both PSB and PS machines. However, as none of the hardware additions is yet implemented, an uncomfortably large degree of extrapolation and scaling is required which render the positive results rather uncertain. Therefore a dedicated Machine Study is proposed, with most of the new hardware items provisionally available (such as prototypes, ad-hoc modifications to be undone afterwards). In particular, this Machine Study will provide an early opportunity to test, with the nominal LHC beam available from Linac2 equipped with an RFQ:

- acceleration in the PSB with RF systems (h=1, h=2) as foreseen for LHC filling to 1.4 GeV;
- two-batch filling of the PS at 1.4 GeV with the first batch dwelling for 1.2 sec at DQ (space charge)  $-0.2$ ;
- emittance conservation during PS acceleration.

The beam will be ejected to TT2 where its final transverse emittances will be measured; note that it will not have the longitudinal bunch spacing of 15 or 25 ns requested by the LHC. The test will require two weeks of dedicated (that is no other user) machine time, preferably at the end of a year, possibly in December 1993.

Distribution: CERN Scientific Staff

LHC Note 213 PS/DI/Note 92-14 Rev. 2 December 1992

## **Partial Test of the PS Complex as LHC Proton Injector**

P. Bossard, R. Cappi, G. Daems, R. Garoby, B. Godenzi, S. Hancock, K. Hübner, H. Koziol, A. Krusche, M. Martini, K.D. Metzmacher, J.P. Riunaud, K. Schindl (editor), H. Schonauer, C. Steinbach, P. Têtu, M. Thivent, H. Ullrich, M. Vretenar, D.J. Warner.

#### **Abstract**

The production of the high-brilliance proton beam for the LHC implies major hardware changes in the PS Complex. Results of recent Machine Study sessions suggest that a beam of the required transverse density may be achieved, in spite of severe space-charge limitations in both PSB and PS machines. However, as none of the hardware additions is yet implemented, an uncomfortably large degree of extrapolation and scaling is required which render the positive results rather uncertain. Therefore a dedicated Machine Study is proposed, with most of the new hardware items provisionally available (such as prototypes, ad-hoc modifications to be undone afterwards). In particular, this Machine Study will provide an early opportunity to test, with the nominal LHC beam available from Linac2 equipped with an RFQ:

- acceleration in the PSB with RF systems  $(h=1, h=2)$  as foreseen for LHC filling to 1.4 GeV;
- two-batch filling of the PS at 1.4 GeV with the first batch dwelling for 1.2 sec at DQ (space charge)  $~1$   $-$  0.2;
- emittance conservation during PS acceleration.

The beam will be ejected to TT2 where its final transverse emittances will be measured; note that it will not have the longitudinal bunch spacing of 15 or 25 ns requested by the LHC. The test will require two weeks of dedicated (that is no other user) machine time, preferably at the end of a year, possibly in December 1993.

LHC Note 213 PS/DI/Note 92-14 rev. 2. December 1992

# **Partial Test of the PS Complex as LHC Proton Injector**

P.Bossard, R.Cappi, G.Daems, R-Garoby, B-Godenzi, S-Hancock, K.Hübner, H.Koziol, A.Krusche, M.Martini, K.D.Metzmacher, J.P.Riunaud, K.Schindl (editor), H.Schönauer, C.Steinbach, P.Tetu, M.Thivent, H.Ullrich, M.Vretenar, D.J.Warner

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

In order to test the concept of PS proton operation for LHC, a *Demonstration Experiment* with a beam from one PSB ring is proposed to be carried out towards the end of 1993.

A single bunch, created in PSB ring 3, is accelerated to about 1.4 GeV and transferred to the PS. After dwelling during 1.2 sec on the PS front porch at 1.4 GeV, a second bunch from PSB ring 3 is injected (double-batch filling). These two bunches are accelerated to 26 GeV∕c, followed by ejection into the transfer channel towards the SPS (TT2) where their intensity and emittance would be measured.

Some minor modifications will enable the PSB main magnet supply as well as the PSB-PS beam transport elements (kickers, septa) to be ramped to about 1.4 GeV because of the *low duty cycle envisaged:* just two cycles per supercycle would be used. The PSB ring 3 is chosen because it is at the same level as the PS. Hence, the beam transport does not require operation of vertical deflection magnets at 1.4 GeV (nor between Linac2 and PSB at 50 MeV).

The single bunches are generated and accelerated in PSB ring 3 by prototype h=l and h=2 RF systems; acceleration in the PS is performed with the standard 3-10 MHz RF systems on h=8. These are the RF harmonics foreseen for the LHC filling scheme.

The low duty cycle operation of the PSB (and beam transfer equipment) precludes delivering proton beams to any other PS client during this experiment, which would last about two weeks including all the hardware adjustments and concomitant technical tests. The experiment will require full concentration of the competent specialists in order to have a chance to succeed, therefore even delivery of leptons during this test cannot be envisaged. In view of all this, it is proposed to schedule this experiment for two weeks at the end of 1993, just before the annual shutdown.

Although this test does not yet provide the 140 (84) bunches of 15 (25) ns spacing finally required by the LHC, it permits checking most of the ingredients of the PS upgrading for the future LHC filling scheme, and in particular measuring the achievable transverse beam brightness.

The proposed PS upgrading for the nominal LHC proton filling scheme is briefly recalled in Chap.2, and Chap.3 deals with experimental data obtained so far. A more detailed description of the proposed beam test is presented in Chap.4 (comparison with the full scheme), Chap.5 (required hardware modifications), Chap.6 (schedule of the MD).

#### **2. UPGRADING OF THE PS COMPLEX FOR LHC PROTON FILLING**

The proton beam characteristics requested by the LHC are, at PS extraction (26 GeV∕c) [1]:



Obviously the PS will require a 66.8 (40.0) MHz system to provide the bunch spacing. All the other ingredients of the PS upgrade [2],[3] aim at a 2-3 fold increase in beam brightness ( $N_b/ \epsilon^*$ ) in the PS with respect to the beams available at present.

#### **2.1. Brighter beams from Linac2**

A new RFQ, nominal current 200 mA, will be installed in front of Linac2 in the 1993 winter shut-down, in replacement of the 750 kV Cockcroft-Walton preaccelerator. This should enable Linac 2 to deliver 180 mA (possibly after a minor upgrade of the 200 MHz RF chains) instead of 140 mA to the PSB.

#### **2.2 Reduction ofspace charge in the PSB by double-batch filling of the PS**

In the "20-bunch-mode" filling scheme of the PS used at present, one PSB batch (four rings, 5 bunches each) fills the PS (20 bunches) (Fig. la). With only one bunch in each PSB ring, the timings of the PSB-PS transport kickers can be adjusted so as to squeeze the four bunches into half the PS circumference; the second half is then filled by a second batch (Fig. lb). With this technique, the intensity per PSB burst is halved and the space-charge tune shift at 50 MeV is reduced from  $\Delta Q \sim 0.8$  (unmanageable) to  $\sim$  0.4. The necessity of double-batch filling is illustrated by Fig.2 where the horizontal densities at PSB injection of presently operational beams are compared to the one required by the LHC. Three-turn injection will be used for LHC operation, unless a breakthrough in Linac2 performance with RFQ2 will provide the necessary margin for single-turn injection.

#### **2.3. Reduction of space charge in the PS by increasing the PSB energy**

The double-batch filling of the PS has a major drawback: the first of the two PSB batches has to dwell during 1.2 sec on the PS front porch while awaiting the injection of the second batch. If this were at 1 GeV, the Laslett tune shift  $\Delta Q$  would amount to >0.3, which cannot be sustained in this storage mode without damage to the beam brightness (see chap.3 for experimental evidence). Hence it is proposed to increase the PSB output energy from 1 to 1.4 GeV, to reduce  $\Delta Q$  to  $\sim 0.2$ .

### **3. EXPERIMENTAL DATA AVAILABLE**

Although none of the devices needed for filling the LHC with protons is yet installed in the PS complex, and hence the nominal beam cannot be produced, meaningful beam experiments have been performed recently.

#### **3.1. How to create the high-brilliance beam**

A first series of tests in the Linac2 - PSB - PS chain dealt with ways to produce a beam with the highest possible brilliance  $N_b/\varepsilon^*$  as well as the measurement of transverse beam profiles with various intruments at <sup>1</sup> GeV [4]. In these tests, the Linac2 current was raised, for short pulses  $(< 20 \text{ }\mu\text{s})$ , from 130 to 165 mA by increasing the source current and the acceptance of the low-energy beam transport (LEBT), and setting the RF power levels in the Linac tanks beyond their operational limits. This beam was injected into the PSB by 3-tum betatron stacking, a process which makes full use of its dense core; it has to sustain a vertical space-charge Qspread of 0.4 for a short time near 50 MeV. Initially, the most promising scheme to generate a bright beam in the PSB was thought to be single-turn injection, but in experiments the beam suffered from excessive transverse blow-up (yet unexplained), incomnatible with LHC Snecifications (see Fig.2).

#### **3.2. Emittance measurements and cross-checking of beam profile monitors**

Four different devices are used to measure transverse beam profiles:

(i) BEAMSCOPE in the PSB which determines the amplitude distribution in either plane (here on the 1 GeV PSB flat top);

(ii) three SEMgrids in the PSB "Measurement Line" (at <sup>1</sup> GeV), and

(iii) three SEMgrids in the PS ring, both monitoring emittance and matching on the beam trajectory, by means of (standardised) detectors, read-out electronics, software; (iv) a fast wire scanner in the PS which may be triggered at various instants along the

<sup>1</sup> GeV front porch.

Results for a beam with LHC-type transverse emittances are compiled in Fig.3 (ε\* vs. device). The error bars shown are an educated guess of the uncertainties and inherent measurement errors:

- BEAMSCOPE measures amplitude distribution, whereas the other devices measure projected density profiles; the transformation from one representation to the other is a non-trivial exercise (in particular in presence of non-zero lattice dispersion) and gives rise to errors.

- Beam sizes are much smaller than usual, hence only a few SEMgrid wires are covered, increasing the uncertainties.

- The dispersion, together with the (unknown) correlation between betatron amplitude and momentum, plays a non-negligible role for these small beams.

Nevertheless, the emittances determined with the four devices agree rather well (Fig.3). Some of the wire scanner measurements were done at the end of the PS flat top, showing that there is virtually no blow-up during 1.2 sec for this test beam (1.8 10<sup>12</sup> p in 5 bunches of 53 ns length,  $ε*$  ~ 2.5 μm, the nominal emittance at PS injection). This beam apparently sustains an incoherent space charge de-tuning of  $\Delta Q$  $\sim$  0.2 without loss in brightness.

#### **3.3. Increase of PSB output energy: how much is required?**

In a very recent experiment, the space-charge tune spread the nominal LHC beam would experience at energies between <sup>1</sup> GeV and 1.4 GeV in the PS was simulated at 1 GeV by changing the bunching factor  $B_f$ .

A round beam ( $\varepsilon^*$  equal in both planes) with betatron tunes  $Qx \sim Qy$  ( $\sim 6.25$  in PS) and N protons in the PS ring if it were completely filled, experiences a tune spread of

$$
\Delta Q = -\frac{N r_{\rm p}}{8 \pi B_{\rm f} \beta \gamma^2 \epsilon^*} F
$$

where  $F \sim 1$  is a form factor due to image effects. In this formula, the particle distribution in the real x-y plane is assumed uniform. For a bunch of length  $\tau$  in a stationary bucket of length  $L<sub>b</sub>$  and parabolic line density, the bunching factor

$$
B_f = \frac{\text{average line density}}{\text{peak line density}} = \frac{2 \tau}{3 L_b}
$$

To illustrate the relevance of the beam tests performed (chaps 3.1, 3.2), the scaling between the test beam and the nominal beam for LHC is given below, showing that the  $\Delta Q$  was virtually the same in the tests as in the nominal LHC scheme.



From the table one concludes that there will be no transverse blow-up of the nominal LHC beam at 1.4 GeV in the PS since the test beam did not change its transverse emittance during the 1.2 sec PS front porch. But maybe a more modest PSB energy increase would do as well?

This uncertainty could be largely removed with the following experiment:  $\Delta Q$  at an energy lower than 1.4 GeV is simulated by decreasing  $B_f$  by means of bunch shortening, which in turn is readily obtained by increasing the RF voltage on the 1.2 sec PS front porch at <sup>1</sup> GeV. For this experiment, the beam characteristics were quite similar to the "test LHC" beam compiled in the table above. The following parameters were varied in the PS:

- the RF voltage between 10 and 200 kV, for 800 ms

- the vertical betatron tune  $Q_v$ .

The transverse blow-up was monitored by wire scanner measurements after the beam had dwelled for 1.2 sec.

Fig.4 shows the results:  $(\varepsilon_{x}^{*} + \varepsilon_{y}^{*})/2$  obtained at the end of the flat top is plotted against the relative  $\Delta Q_y$  (reference: nominal LHC beam at 1.4 GeV = 1). Also shown is the energy which corresponds to the same  $\Delta Q_y$  scaled with  $\beta \gamma^2$ . Note that indicating a relative value for  $\Delta Q$  rather than an absolute one removes uncertainties about the particular transverse distribution. On the figure, one sees that the beam experiences blow-up for any  $\Delta Q$  higher than anticipated for the nominal LHC beam; marginally higher tune spreads may be accomodated by raising  $Q_v$ .

The conclusion of this experiment is: **To avoid blow-up of the first PSB burst in the PS, the PSB energy has to be increased to 1.4 GeV.**

#### **3.4. A historical note**

It may be worth recalling that the PSB energy was already raised once from 0.8 to <sup>1</sup> GeV [5] in 1987, motivated primarily by the high brilliance of the antiproton production beam presented to the PS after funnelling bunches from two pairs of PSB rings. The stronger space charge effects in the PS called for an increase of the PSB energy. In fact, this upgrade proved also beneficial to the other high intensity beam (SPS fixed target physics) where new record intensities were achieved.

#### **4. THE 1993 BEAM TEST**

These machine studies, together with appropriate scaling of space-charge effects in the PS, suggest that the proposed LHC filling scheme (PS part) will work. However, the beam behaviour after the forthcoming modifications in the PS complex opens up "uncharted territory", in particular:

(i) Behaviour and optimisation of Linac2 with some 200 mA from the newly installed RFQ2 (to be studied in Spring 1993);

(ii) new cavities h=l (and h=2 for bunch flattening) in the PSB, changing the bunch spectrum and instabilities; their immunity against beam loading;

(iii) beam behaviour in the PSB between <sup>1</sup> and 1.4 GeV;



Table 1: Ingredients of the LHC filling scheme vs. the proposed beam test 1993

(iv) two-batch filling of the PS, with concomitant difficulties in the timing and computer control systems;

(v) conservation of transverse beam brightness during the 1.2 sec PS front porch (scaling correct?);

(vi) acceleration in the PS on a new harmonic h=8, conservation of transverse beam emittance during first part of acceleration (specially tailored dB∕dt);

(vii) measurement of the very small beam at 26 GeV/c with new SEMgrids in TT2.

Table <sup>1</sup> gives a comparison of the proposed beam test with the nominal LHC filling scheme; the PSB-PS recombination scheme for the test is shown in Fig.lc.

Most of the unknown issues have a chance to be explored during the proposed Machine Development (MD) session lasting about two weeks[6]. It should be emphasised, however, that some of the territory will remain uncharted:

(i) Beam performance with h=1/h=2 and in the new energy range 1 to 1.4 GeV of any PSB ring other than 3;

(ii) behaviour of the full beam intensity (8 bunches of 1.8  $10^{12} = 1.44$   $10^{13}$  p/pulse) on the PS front porch and during PS acceleration. This may give rise to additional modes of coupled-bunch instabilities and more beam loading on the cavities.

(iii) De-bunching (from h=8) and re-bunching (h=140 or 84) at 26 GeV/c.

#### **5. REQUIRED HARDWARE MODIFICATIONS**

Most of the hardware modifications will be performed *ad hoc* for the test and put back to the initial state afterwards. For this reason, the proposed MD session should be dedicated to the test (no other PS user), and should be scheduled at the end of a run, preferably at the end of 1993. During the test, the PS complex will be operating with a 14.4 sec supercycle, out of which only the first two  $(1.2 \text{ sec})$  cycles are active, while the non-active part of the supercycle serves as cool-down time for otherwise overheated equipment. The following hardware will have to undergo modifications:

*(i) PSB Main Supply: the increase from <sup>1</sup> to 1.4 GeV (26% in Bp)* implies raising the dipole field from 6870 to 8670 G (no sign of saturation yet expected) which in turn requires increasing the peak current from  $\sim$ 3200 to  $\sim$ 4000 A. This will be done by switching a fourth rectifier-inverter group (now spare) in series with the other three. The average power and thus the dissipation will be kept low because of the special supercycle. Several smaller adjustments and the installation of a new DCCT for precise current measurement are foreseen.

*(ii) A prototype*  $h=1$  *(0.6 - 1.7 MHz) cavity* will be installed in PSB ring 3 during the 1993 Winter shut-down, enabling (hopefully) the system to be run-in with beam before the test.

(iii) The frequency range of the existing h=5 cavity in ring 3 will be lowered by adding capacitance in parallel to the accelerating gap; *the cavity will then operate at h=2* for bunch flattening in the 50-100 MeV range. Tests with beam on the modified cavity may possibly be performed during 1993.

*(iv) PSB-PS transport DC magnets for level 3 and their power supplies:* All field levels have to be raised by 26%. BESMH, BT3DVT10,20, BTBHZ10, PISMH42 have to operate beyond their rating. The technique proposed there is to use "trapezoid" ramping of these supplies: high currents during 1.2 sec (just for the two

PSB cycles), followed by a much lower current during the non-active part of the supercycle. The rise times of the ramps are not below 0.4 sec so as to avoid adverse eddy current effects in some of the magnets with non-laminated yokes. Forthcoming tests on BESMH, the most critical element, will allow assessing the proposed technique.

*(v) PSB ejection (BE3KFA) and PS injection (PIKFA45) kickers:* BE3KFA power supply and magnets will not support the 26% higher charging voltage. A development pulse generator, under test at present, will be used to pulse the magnet. When shortcircuited, it will provide the necessary kick at the expense of an increase in rise time from 60 to ~100 ns. One of the four modules of PIKFA45 will also be short-circuited to double the module's kick angle. The PSB ejection bumper magnet (BEBSW) current can be increased by 10% only; several ways of compensating this shortcoming are feasible (local or global additional orbit displacement, etc).

*(vi) Timing and Controlsfor double-batchfilling:* Will certainly require some change for the test, but details remain to be studied.

The costs of the test proposed, in terms of manpower and funds, are summarised in Table 2. Some of the modifications are only temporary and cannot be kept for LHC operation; they are summarised as cost "a fonds perdu".

	<b>Total Cost (kFr)</b>		Cost "a fonds perdu"	
	kFr	man-months	kFr	man-months
PSB Main Supply upgrading to 1.4 GeV	70	5	35	2.5
RF $h=1$ , $h=2$ cavities in PSB ring 3	100-150	18	50	
PSB-PS transfer (level 3) supplies upgrading $+26\%$	15	4	15	
Kickers (BE3KFA10)	10	$\overline{2}$	10	
Effort during the test		4		
<b>Total Test</b>	195-235	33	110	19.9

Table 2: Resources for the Beam Test

Considerable cost and effort have already been invested for indispensible improvements of instrumentation:

*(i) A second pair offlying wires,* enabling profiles to be measured twice during the PS cycle, featuring new electronics and software, will become available by mid-1993.

*(U) High resolution SEM"fils" in TT2:* the rather small beam size to be monitored for the LHC requires many (48) thin wires with small spacing (0.25 to 0.5 mm). Installation in TT2 is scheduled for mid-1993.

#### **6. TENTATIVE SCHEDULE FOR A TWO-WEEK TEST[7]**

As a working hypothesis, it is assumed that the test will be scheduled for the end of 1993 and last two weeks. This has the advantage that all the initial ad-hoc hardware modifications have not to be undone hastily at the end of the test but rather in the following long shut-down. A draft schedule (obviously subject to changes which in

tum depend on the outcome of forthcoming equipment tests) is sketched Fig.5. The PSB tunnel will be accessible for the first 36 hours, followed by hardware changes outside the tunnel lasting for 72 hours. The PS is scheduled to receive beam about 132 hours after the start of the MD session: **During this 5-day period, antiproton transfers from AA to LEAR may continue,** but obviously no replenishing of the stack in AA will be possible.

### **7. CONCLUSIONS**

The proposed dedicated machine study provides an early, comprehensive test of the nominal PS acceleration scheme for LHC with a minimum of modifications and, hence, of resources.

In particular, it will be the first time that we test with a nominal LHC beam injected from Linac2 equipped with an RFQ

- the acceleration in the PSB with RF systems as foreseen for LHC operation;
- two-batch filling of the PS at 1.4 GeV with the first batch dwelling for 1.2 sec at  $\Delta Q \sim 0.2$  and, hence, whether 1.4 GeV is the adequate PS injection energy;
- emittance conservation during PS acceleration.

Although the ejected beam will not yet have the longitudinal bunch spacing as required for LHC, this test with one PSB ring will provide fundamental insights and verify our approach at an early stage.

#### **8. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors wish to acknowledge the very active participation of many colleagues in the R&D programme preparing the PS complex as LHC proton injector.

#### **9. REFERENCES**

[1] The LHC Study Group, Design Study of the LHC, CERN 91-03

[2] R.Cappi, R.Garoby, S.Hancock, M.Martini, N.Rasmussen, T.Risselada, J.P.Riunaud, K.Schindl, H.Schδnauer and E.J.N.Wilson, The PS Complex as part of the LHC injector chain, CERN PS/91-07 (PA), LHC Note 144

[3] R.Cappi, R.Garoby, S.Hancock, M.Martini, N.Rasmussen, T.Risselada, J.P.Riunaud, K.Schindl, H.Schönauer and E.J.N.Wilson, The CERN PS Complex as part of the LHC injector chain, CERN PS/91-26 (PA), IEEE 1991 Part.Acc.Conf., San Francisco, May 1991

[4] R.Cappi, R.Garoby, M.Martini, J.P.Riunaud, K.Schindl, H.Schönauer, Production and measurement in the PS Complex of a high-brilliance proton beam in view of the LHC, CERN PS∕92-52(PA), LHC Note 209

[5] R.Cappi, R.Garoby, N.Rasmussen, J.P.Riunaud, K.Schindl and H.Schönauer, Upgrading the CERN PS Booster to <sup>1</sup> GeV for improved antiproton production, CERN PS/89-26, IEEE 1989 Part.Acc.Conf., Chicago, 1989

[6] M.Martini, PS/LHC Min. 92-02, April 1992

[7] D.Manglunki, PS/PPC Minutes 92-06, October 1992.







Fig.2: Normalised Horizontal r.m.s. Emittance in PSB vs. Number of protons per Ring **Fig.2: Normalised Horizontal r.m.s. Emittance in PSB vs. Number of protons per Ring**







in relative units, with the nominal LHC beam at 1.4 GeV as reference. AQ is varied by changing the bunch length (RF voltage) ande hence the bunching factor  $B_f$ , for 800 ms during the front porch. The beam intensity is 1.6 10<sup>12</sup> in 5 bunches. The transverse normalised r.m.s. emittances Fig. 4: Emittance growth vs. incoherent space-charge tune spread  $\Delta Q$  of a LHC test beam on PS 1 GeV front porch of 1.2 sec length.  $\Delta Q$  is given Fig. 4: Emittance growth vs. incoherent space-charge tune spread ΔQ of a LHC test beam on PS 1 GeV front porch of 1.2 sec length. ΔQ is given in relative units, with the nominal LHC beam at 1.4 GeV as reference. ΔQ is varied by changing the bunch length (RF voltage) ande hence the bunching factor  $B_f$ , for 800 ms during the front porch. The beam intensity is 1.6 10<sup>12</sup> in 5 bunches. The transverse normalised r.m.s. emittances are derived from flying-wire measurements near the end of the front porch, when the bunch length is brought back to its initial value of 50 ns. are derived from flying-wire measurements near the end of the front porch, when the bunch length is brought back to its initial value of 50 ns.

