EUROPEAN ORGANISATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH (CERN)

Correlations between flow and transverse momentum in Xe+Xe and Pb+Pb collisions at the LHC with the ATLAS detector: a probe of the heavy-ion initial state and nuclear deformation

The ATLAS Collaboration

The correlations between flow harmonics v_n for $n = 2, 3$ and 4 and mean transverse momentum $[p_T]$ in ¹²⁹Xe+¹²⁹Xe and ²⁰⁸Pb+²⁰⁸Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.44 TeV and 5.02 TeV, respectively, are measured using charged particles with the ATLAS detector. The correlations are potentially sensitive to the shape and size of the initial geometry, nuclear deformation, and initial momentum anisotropy. The effects from nonflow and centrality fluctuations are minimized, respectively, via a subevent cumulant method and an event-activity selection based on particle production at very forward rapidity. The $v_n-[p_T]$ correlations show strong dependencies on centrality, harmonic number n , p_T and pseudorapidity range. Current models qualitatively describe the overall centrality- and system-dependent trends but fail to quantitatively reproduce all features of the data. In central collisions, where models generally show good agreement, the $v_2-[p_T]$ correlations are sensitive to the triaxiality of the quadruple deformation. Comparison of the model with the Pb+Pb and Xe+Xe data confirms that the 129 Xe nucleus is a highly deformed triaxial ellipsoid that has neither a prolate nor oblate shape. This provides strong evidence for a triaxial deformation of the ¹²⁹Xe nucleus from high-energy heavy-ion collisions.

© 2022 CERN for the benefit of the ATLAS Collaboration. Reproduction of this article or parts of it is allowed as specified in the CC-BY-4.0 license.

1 Introduction

Heavy-ion collisions at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) and the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) produce quark–gluon plasma (QGP) whose space-time evolution is well described by relativistic viscous hydrodynamics [\[1–](#page-17-0)[3\]](#page-17-1). Driven by the large pressure gradients, the QGP expands rapidly in the transverse plane, and converts the spatial anisotropy in the initial state into momentum anisotropy in the final state. The collective expansion in each event is quantified by Fourier expansions of particle distributions in azimuth given by $dN/d\phi = (N/2\pi)(1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n \cos n(\phi - \Psi_n))$, where v_n and Ψ_n represent the amplitude and phase of the nth-order azimuthal flow vector, $V_n = v_n e^{in\Psi_n}$. The V_n are determined by the hydrodynamic response to the initial spatial anisotropy, characterized by eccentricity vectors $\mathcal{E}_n = \varepsilon_n e^{in\Phi_n}$ [\[4,](#page-17-2) [5\]](#page-17-3). Model calculations show that the V_n values are approximately proportional to \mathcal{E}_n for $n = 2$ and 3, as well as for $n = 4$ in central collisions [\[4,](#page-17-2) [6,](#page-17-4) [7\]](#page-17-5). The measurements of v_n and Ψ_n [\[8](#page-18-0)[–14\]](#page-18-1) have placed important constraints on the properties of the medium and on the initial-state density fluctuations [\[5](#page-17-3)[–7,](#page-17-5) [15–](#page-18-2)[17\]](#page-18-3) in high-energy nuclear collisions.

In addition to generating anisotropic flow, the hydrodynamic response to the fluctuations in the overall size of the overlap region also leads to fluctuations in the "radial flow", reflected by the average transverse momentum of particles in each event, $[p_T]$.^{[1](#page-1-0)} In particular, events with similar total energy but smaller transverse size in the initial state are expected to have a stronger radial expansion and therefore a larger [p_T] [\[18,](#page-18-4) [19\]](#page-18-5). Furthermore, correlations between the \mathcal{E}_n and the size in the initial state are expected to generate dynamical correlations between v_n and $[p_T]$ in the final state. A Pearson coefficient has been proposed to study these correlations [\[20\]](#page-18-6),

$$
\rho_n = \frac{\langle \langle v_n^2 \delta p_T \rangle \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle v_n^4 \rangle - \langle v_n^2 \rangle^2} \sqrt{\langle \langle \delta p_T \delta p_T \rangle \rangle}}, \qquad (1)
$$

where $\delta p_T = p_T - [p_T]$, the " $\langle \langle \rangle$ " denotes averaging over all particle pairs or triplets for events with comparable particle multiplicity, and the " $\langle \rangle$ " denotes an averaging over events. ATLAS published a measurement of ρ_n for $n = 2$, 3 and 4 in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV [\[21\]](#page-18-7), which was followed by a similar measurement from ALICE [\[22\]](#page-18-8) in Pb+Pb and Xe+Xe collisions. The results show positive correlations for all harmonics, except in the peripheral region, where ρ_2 is negative. These behaviors have been qualitatively reproduced by recent initial-state model and hydrodynamic model calculations [\[23,](#page-19-0) [24\]](#page-19-1). The centrality dependences of the ρ_n values are found to mainly reflect the fluctuations in the initial-state geometry, as well as the radial profile of the nucleon, e.g. its root-mean-square size [\[25\]](#page-19-2). The final-state effects play a smaller role, as reflected by the modest dependence of ρ_n on p_T [\[21\]](#page-18-7). In peripheral collisions, ρ_2 may be larger in the presence of initial-state momentum anisotropies associated with gluon saturation effects [\[26\]](#page-19-3).

Recent studies show that the v_n , $[p_T]$ and $v_n-[p_T]$ correlations in central collisions are also sensitive to the shape of atomic nuclei [\[27](#page-19-4)[–31\]](#page-19-5). Most nuclei are more or less deformed into an ellipsoidal shape, for which the nuclear surface of the nucleon distribution can be described by [\[32\]](#page-19-6),

$$
R(\theta, \phi) = R_0 \left(1 + \beta [\cos \gamma Y_{2,0} + \sin \gamma Y_{2,2}] \right),
$$
 (2)

 $¹$ ATLAS uses a right-handed coordinate system with its origin at the nominal interaction point (IP) in the center of the detector</sup> and the z -axis along the beam pipe. The x-axis points from the IP to the center of the LHC ring, and the y -axis points upward. Cylindrical coordinates (r, ϕ) are used in the transverse plane, ϕ being the azimuthal angle around the beam pipe. The pseudorapidity is defined in terms of the polar angle θ as $\eta = -\ln \tan(\theta/2)$.

where R_0 is the nuclear radius, $Y_{l,m}$ are spherical harmonics, β , and γ are quadrupole deformation parameters. The parameter β is the magnitude of the deformation, with typical values of 0.1–0.4 [\[33\]](#page-19-7), while the angle γ , in the range $0 \le \gamma \le 60^{\circ}$, describes the length imbalance of the three semi-axes r_1, r_2, r_3 of the ellipsoid, also known as triaxiality. The values $\gamma = 0^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 60^{\circ}$, and $\gamma = 30^{\circ}$ correspond to the prolate $(r_1 = r_2 < r_3)$, oblate $(r_1 < r_2 = r_3)$ and maximum triaxiality $(2r_2 = r_1 + r_3)$ cases. Traditionally, the shapes of nuclei are inferred from low-energy spectroscopic measurements, which determine the shape parameters (β , γ) for even–even nuclei such as ²⁰⁸Pb [\[34\]](#page-19-8). The shape of odd-mass nuclei such as ¹²⁹Xe can only be calculated using nuclear structure models that have been tuned to describe the even–even nuclei data. In this sense, flow measurements in high-energy heavy-ion collisions serve as a new tool to probe the nuclear shape, in particular for odd-mass nuclei. Recent model studies show that the v_2 and ρ_2 follow a simple parametric form [\[31,](#page-19-5) [35\]](#page-19-9),

$$
v_2^2 \approx a + b\beta^2, \ \rho_2 \approx a' + b' \cos(3\gamma)\beta^3 \ . \tag{3}
$$

The parameters a and a' represent values for collisions of spherical nuclei. They are the smallest in central collisions, whereas the parameters b and b' are nearly independent of centrality. Therefore, the impact of nuclear deformation is expected to be largest in central collisions. A large quadruple deformation for ^{129}Xe of $\beta_{Xe} \approx 0.16$ –0.2 was extracted from the enhanced ratio $v_{2,Xe}/v_{2,Pb}$ in central collisions [\[36–](#page-19-10)[38\]](#page-19-11). The measurement of ρ_2 here can then be used to further constrain the triaxiality of ¹²⁹Xe.

This paper studies the centrality and system-size dependences of ρ_n in ¹²⁹Xe+¹²⁹Xe and ²⁰⁸Pb+²⁰⁸Pb collisions to shed light on the effects of initial-state geometry and nuclear deformation. The measurements are performed in several ranges of p_T and η to quantify the influence of final-state effects [\[23\]](#page-19-0). The ρ_n values are also influenced by nonflow effects from resonance decays and jets, which can be suppressed using the "subevent method" [\[39,](#page-20-0) [40\]](#page-20-1), where the correlations are constructed by using particles from different subevents separated in η . The previous ALICE measurement of ρ_2 in Xe+Xe collisions [\[22\]](#page-18-8) was performed in wide centrality ranges with limited statistical precision. The larger acceptance of the ATLAS detector and a factor of ten more Xe+Xe events enable more precise measurements of ρ_2 in finer centrality ranges. A comparison of results in Xe+Xe and Pb+Pb collisions and model predictions then provides insight into the nuclear deformation and the nature of the initial sources responsible for harmonic flow and radial flow.

This paper also explores the issue of "centrality fluctuations", which refers to the fact that an experimental centrality definition based on the final-state particle multiplicity in an η range is subject to smearing due to fluctuations in the particle production process. Such centrality fluctuations, also known as volume fluctuations [\[41,](#page-20-2) [42\]](#page-20-3), have been shown to affect flow fluctuations [\[43,](#page-20-4) [44\]](#page-20-5) and ρ_n values [\[23,](#page-19-0) [29,](#page-19-12) [45\]](#page-20-6). This analysis explores the influence of centrality fluctuations on ρ_n using two reference event-activity estimators: the total transverse energy ΣE_T in the forward pseudorapidity range 3.2 < $|\eta|$ < 4.9 and the number of reconstructed charged particles N_{ch}^{rec} in the mid-rapidity range $|\eta| < 2.5$. Previous measurements of flow fluctuations show that $\Sigma E_{\rm T}$ has better centrality resolution than $N_{\rm ch}^{\rm rec}$ [\[44\]](#page-20-5). This conclusion was also reached by model investigations of the forward–backward multiplicity correlation in Pb+Pb collisions [\[46,](#page-20-7) [47\]](#page-20-8). Therefore, the default results are obtained using ΣE_T , while those based on N_{ch}^{rec} give a sense of the extent of centrality fluctuations.

The paper is organized as follows. Sections [2](#page-3-0) and [3](#page-3-1) describe details of the detector, event, and track selections. Section [4](#page-4-0) introduces the observables and subevent methods used in this analysis. The correlation analysis and systematic uncertainties are described in Sections [5](#page-6-0) and [6,](#page-6-1) respectively. Section [7](#page-7-0) presents the results of ρ_n in the two collision systems, and discusses the role of nonflow and centrality fluctuations. Section [8](#page-13-0) compares the results with model predictions. A summary is given in Section [9.](#page-16-0)

2 ATLAS detector and trigger

The ATLAS detector [\[48\]](#page-20-9) provides nearly full solid-angle coverage with tracking detectors, calorimeters, and muon chambers, and is well suited for measurements of multiparticle correlations over a large pseudorapidity range. The measurements are performed using the trigger system, the inner detector (ID), the forward calorimeters (FCal), and the zero-degree calorimeters (ZDC). The ID detects charged particles within $|\eta|$ < 2.5 using a combination of silicon pixel detectors, silicon microstrip detectors (SCT), and a straw-tube transition-radiation tracker, all immersed in a 2 T axial magnetic field. The FCal consists of three sampling layers, longitudinal in shower depth, and covers $3.2 < |\eta| < 4.9$. The ZDC are positioned at ± 140 m from the IP, detecting neutrons and photons with $|\eta| > 8.3$. An extensive software suite [\[49\]](#page-20-10) is used in the reconstruction and analysis of real and simulated data, in detector operations, and in the trigger and data acquisition systems of the experiment.

The ATLAS trigger system [\[50\]](#page-20-11) consists of a level-1 (L1) trigger implemented in dedicated electronics and programmable logic, and a high-level trigger (HLT) which uses software algorithms similar to those applied in the offline event reconstruction. During Xe+Xe data-taking, the minimum-bias trigger selected events with either more than 4 GeV of transverse energy recorded in the whole calorimeter system at L1 $(E_{\rm T}^{\rm L1})$ or a reconstructed track with $p_{\rm T} > 0.2$ GeV at the HLT. In the Pb+Pb data-taking, the minimum-bias trigger required either $E_T^{L1} > 50$ GeV or the presence of at least one neutron on both sides of the ZDC and a track identified by the HLT. To enhance the number of recorded events for ultracentral Pb+Pb collisions, a dedicated trigger selected on the E_T^{L1} and the total transverse energy in the FCal, ΣE_T , at the HLT. The combined trigger selects events with ΣE_T larger than one of the three threshold values: 4.21 TeV, 4.37 TeV, and 4.54 TeV. The ultracentral trigger has a sharp turn-on as a function of ΣE _T, and for these thresholds the trigger is fully efficient for the 1.3%, 0.5%, and 0.1% of events in centrality percentile to be defined below, respectively. The fraction of events containing more than one inelastic interaction (pileup) is around 0.003 in Pb+Pb data and around 0.0002 in Xe+Xe data.

3 Event and track selection

The analysis is based on ATLAS datasets corresponding to integrated luminosities of 3 μ b⁻¹ of minimumbias Xe+Xe data recorded at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.44 TeV in 2017 and 22 μ b⁻¹ of minimum-bias and 470 μ b⁻¹ of ultracentral Pb+Pb data recorded at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV in 2015. The offline event selection requires a reconstructed primary vertex with its z position satisfying $|z_{\text{vtx}}| < 100$ mm. For the Pb+Pb dataset, pileup events are suppressed by exploiting the expected correlation between the estimated number of neutrons in the ZDC and N_{ch}^{rec} . For both the Pb+Pb and Xe+Xe datasets, a requirement is also imposed on the correlation between ΣE_{T} and $N_{\text{ch}}^{\text{rec}}$ to further reduce the number of background events. The events are classified into centrality intervals based on the ΣE_T in the FCal. A Glauber model [\[51,](#page-20-12) [52\]](#page-20-13) is used to parameterize the $\Sigma E_{\rm T}$ distribution and provide a correspondence between the $\Sigma E_{\rm T}$ distribution and the sampling fraction of the total inelastic Pb+Pb or Xe+Xe cross-section, allowing centrality percentiles to be set. This analysis is restricted to the 0–84% most central collisions, where the triggers are fully efficient and the contamination from photonuclear processes is small [\[53\]](#page-20-14). This centrality range corresponds to $\Sigma E_{\rm T} > 0.042$ TeV in Pb+Pb collisions and $\Sigma E_{\rm T} > 0.03$ TeV in Xe+Xe collisions.

Charged-particle tracks [\[54\]](#page-21-0) are reconstructed from hits in the ID, and are subsequently used to construct the primary vertices. Tracks are required to have $p_T > 0.5$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ in Pb+Pb collisions and $p_T > 0.3$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ in Xe+Xe collisions. They are required to satisfy the "loose" selection criteria, which require at least one pixel hit, with the additional requirement of a hit in the first pixel layer when one is expected, and at least six SCT hits. In addition, the distances of closest approach of the track to the primary vertex in both the transverse and longitudinal directions, $|d_0|$ and $|z_0 \sin \theta|$, are required to be less than 1.5 mm [\[55\]](#page-21-1). For evaluation of systematic uncertainties, the "tight" selection criteria are used, which further require at least two pixel hits, eight SCT hits, no missing hits in the pixel or SCT layers, and $|d_0|$ and $|z_0 \sin \theta|$ values both smaller than 1 mm.

The efficiency, $\epsilon(p_{\rm T}, \eta)$, of the track reconstruction and track selection criteria is evaluated using Pb+Pb and Xe+Xe Monte Carlo events produced with the HIJING event generator [\[56\]](#page-21-2). The generated particles in each event are rotated in azimuthal angle according to the procedure described in Ref. [\[57\]](#page-21-3) to produce a harmonic flow consistent with the previous ATLAS measurements [\[10,](#page-18-9) [55\]](#page-21-1). The response of the detector is simulated using GEANT4 [\[58,](#page-21-4) [59\]](#page-21-5) and the resulting events are reconstructed with the same algorithms as applied to the data. At mid-rapidity ($|\eta| < 1$), the efficiency for central Pb+Pb collisions is about 67% at 0.5 GeV and increases to 71% at higher p_T , while the efficiency for central Xe+Xe collisions is about 61% at 0.3 GeV and increases to 73% at higher p_T [\[38\]](#page-19-11). For $|\eta| > 1$, the efficiency decreases to about 40–60% depending on the p_T and centrality. The rate of falsely reconstructed ("fake") tracks is also estimated and found to be significant only at $p_T < 1$ GeV in central collisions, where it ranges from 2% for $|\eta| < 1$ to 8% at larger $|\eta|$. The fake rate drops rapidly for higher p_T and for more peripheral collisions. The fake rate is accounted for in the tracking efficiency correction following the procedure in Ref. [\[60\]](#page-21-6).

4 Observables

In the experimental analysis, the measurement of ρ_n in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-1) requires a calculation of the individual components in the numerator and denominator. For this purpose, Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-1) is re-expressed as

$$
\rho_n = \frac{\text{cov}_n}{\sqrt{\text{var}(v_n^2)}\sqrt{c_k}} \ , \ \text{cov}_n = \left\langle \left\langle v_n^2 \delta p_\text{T} \right\rangle \right\rangle \ , \ \text{var}(v_n^2) = \left\langle v_n^4 \right\rangle - \left\langle v_n^2 \right\rangle^2 \ , \ c_k = \left\langle \left\langle \delta p_\text{T} \delta p_\text{T} \right\rangle \right\rangle \ . \tag{4}
$$

The covariance cov_n is a three-particle correlator, which is obtained by averaging over unique triplets in each event and then over all events in an event-activity class based on N_{ch}^{rec} or ΣE_T :

$$
cov_n = \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i,j,k,i \neq j \neq k} w_i w_j w_k e^{in(\phi_i - \phi_j)} (p_{T,k} - \langle [p_T] \rangle)}{\sum_{i,j,k,i \neq j \neq k} w_i w_j w_k} \right\}.
$$

In the above formula, the indices i , j , and k loop over distinct charged particles to account for all unique triplets. The particle weight w is constructed to correct for both detector nonuniformities and tracking inefficiency as explained in Section [5.](#page-6-0) The above expression for cov_n can be expanded algebraically within the cumulant framework [\[39,](#page-20-0) [40,](#page-20-1) [61,](#page-21-7) [62\]](#page-21-8) into a polynomial function of flow vectors and momentum-scalar quantities,

$$
\mathbf{q}_{n;k} = \frac{\sum_{i} w_i^k e^{in\phi_i}}{\sum_{i} w_i^k}, \ p_{m;k} = \frac{\sum_{i} w_i^k (p_{\mathrm{T},i} - \langle [p_{\mathrm{T}}] \rangle)^m}{\sum_{i} w_i^k}, \ [p_{\mathrm{T}}] = \frac{\sum_{i} w_i p_{\mathrm{T},i}}{\sum_{i} w_i}, \tag{5}
$$

with k and m being integer powers. Details of this expansion can be found in Ref. [\[63\]](#page-21-9).

In order to reduce short-range nonflow correlations from resonance decays and jets, pseudorapidity gaps are often explicitly required between the particles in each triplet. This analysis uses the standard, two-subevent, and three-subevent methods to explore the influence of nonflow correlations [\[39,](#page-20-0) [63\]](#page-21-9). In the standard method, all charged particles within $|\eta| < 2.5$ are used. In the two-subevent method, triplets are constructed by combining particles from two subevents labeled a and c , separated by a $\Delta \eta$ gap in between to reduce nonflow effects: $-2.5 < \eta_a < -0.75$, 0.75 < $\eta_c < 2.5$. The two particles contributing to the flow vector are chosen as one particle each from a and c , while the third particle providing the p_T is taken from either *a* or *c*. In the three-subevent method, three nonoverlapping subevents *a*, *b*, and *c* are chosen as $-2.5 < \eta_a < -0.75$, $|\eta_b| < 0.5$, $0.75 < \eta_c < 2.5$. The particles contributing to flow are chosen from subevents a and c while the third particle is taken from subevent b .

In the large collision systems considered in this analysis, the nonflow effects are important only in peripheral collisions, where the statistical uncertainties of cov_n are also large. The cov_n values obtained from the twoand three-subevent methods agree within a few percent in mid-central and central collisions but show some differences in the peripheral region. In that region, however, only cov₂ has enough statistical precision to detect differences between the two- and three-subevent methods. Therefore, the final results for \cos are obtained from the three-subevent method, while the final results for \cos_3 and \cos_4 are obtained using triplets from both the two- and three-subevent methods, referred to as the "combined-subevent" method.

The statistical uncertainty of the measurement is obtained using a standard Poisson bootstrap method commonly employed in cumulant analyses [\[64,](#page-21-10) [65\]](#page-21-11). Thirty pseudo-datasets were generated by assigning to each event a random Poisson weight with a mean of one, and the quantities in Eq. [\(4\)](#page-4-1) are calculated for each pseudo-dataset. This method is mathematically justified when the number of events in a given event-activity class is sufficiently large. The standard deviations of the thirty values for each quantity were taken as the statistical uncertainties in the final result.

To obtain the Pearson coefficient in Eq. [\(4\)](#page-4-1), one also needs to calculate the variances c_k and var (v_n^2) . The former, $c_k = \left\langle \sum_{i,j,i\neq j} w_i w_j (p_{\text{T,i}} - \langle [p_{\text{T}}] \rangle)(p_{\text{T,j}} - \langle [p_{\text{T}}] \rangle)/\sum_{i,j,i\neq j} w_i w_j \right\rangle$, is obtained using all the pairs in the full event, i.e. within $|\eta| < 2.5$. The latter is calculated in terms of the two-particle cumulant $c_n{2} \equiv \langle v_n^2 \rangle$ and four-particle cumulant $c_n{4} \equiv \langle v_n^4 \rangle - 2 \langle v_n^2 \rangle^2$ [\[66\]](#page-21-12),

$$
\text{var}(v_n^2) = c_n \{4\}_{\text{standard}} + c_n \{2\}_{\text{two-sub}}^2.
$$

The c_n {4}, being four-particle correlators, are known to be relatively insensitive to nonflow correlations but usually have poor statistical precision [\[61\]](#page-21-7). Therefore, they are obtained from the standard method in the full event. On the other hand, the two-particle cumulants $c_n\{2\}$ are more susceptible to nonflow correlations but at the same time provide better statistical precision. Therefore, the $c_n\{2\}$ are calculated from the two-subevent method with the η choices discussed above. The calculation of $c_n\{2\}$ and $c_n\{4\}$ follow the procedure used in previous analyses [\[39,](#page-20-0) [61\]](#page-21-7), i.e. they are expressed in terms of flow vectors $q_{n;k}$ defined in Eq. [\(5\)](#page-4-2).

The default η ranges for the standard and subevent methods discussed above are listed in Table [1.](#page-6-2) In addition to these default values, the analysis is also repeated for η ranges that are closer to mid-rapidity in order to study the impact of nonflow and longitudinal dynamics. This choice, listed in Table [1](#page-6-2) as "Alternative η " selection", could also be useful when comparing the results of this analysis with other experiments.

The charged particles used in this analysis are selected from some predefined p_T ranges similar to those in a previous measurement [\[21\]](#page-18-7). For the analysis of Pb+Pb data, two ranges, $0.5 < p_T < 5$ GeV and $0.5 < p_T < 2$ GeV, are used. For the analysis of Xe+Xe data, one additional range with a lower threshold, $0.3 < p_T < 2$ GeV is used. However, the primary results are based on the range $0.5 < p_T < 5$ GeV, which has the best statistical precision.

Method	Default η selection	Alternative η selection			
Standard	$ \eta $ < 2.5	$ \eta $ < 1			
Two-subevent	$0.75 < -\eta_a, \eta_c < 2.5$	$0.35 < -\eta_a, \eta_c < 1$			
Three-subevent	$0.75 < -\eta_a, \eta_c < 2.5, \eta_b < 0.5$	$0.35 < -\eta_a, \eta_c < 1, \eta_b < 0.3$			
Combined-subevent	average of two-subevent and three-subevent results				
	p_T selection for Xe+Xe	p_T selection for Pb+Pb			
	$0.3 < p_T < 2$ GeV, $0.5 < p_T < 5$ GeV, $0.5 < p_T < 2$ GeV	$0.5 < p_T < 5$ GeV, $0.5 < p_T < 2$ GeV			

Table 1: The η and p_T ranges chosen for the standard and subevent methods.

5 Analysis procedure

The measurement of cov_n, var(v_n^2), and c_k follows a procedure similar to that detailed in Refs. [\[21,](#page-18-7) [67\]](#page-21-13) that consists of three steps. In the first step, these correlators are calculated in each event as the average over all combinations among particles from an η range and a p_T range listed in Table [1.](#page-6-2) In the second step, the values obtained in each event are averaged over events with comparable multiplicity, defined as events with either similar $\Sigma E_{\rm T}$ values ($|\Delta \Sigma E_{\rm T}| < 0.002$ TeV) or the same $N_{\rm ch}^{\rm rec}$. They are then combined in broader multiplicity ranges of the event ensemble to obtain statistically more precise results. The Pearson coefficients ρ_n are then obtained via Eq. [\(4\)](#page-4-1). This event-averaging procedure is necessary to reduce the effects of centrality fluctuations for each event-activity estimator [\[23,](#page-19-0) [43,](#page-20-4) [45\]](#page-20-6).

In the third step, the N_{ch}^{rec} dependence is converted to a centrality percentile dependence for each observable [\[21\]](#page-18-7). This is accomplished by calculating the average ΣE_T for each given N_{ch}^{rec} , which is then mapped to the centrality percentile. The mapping procedure is necessary such that results obtained for ΣE_{T} and $N_{\text{ch}}^{\text{rec}}$ can be directly compared using a common x-axis.

In order to account for detector inefficiencies and nonuniformities, particle weights defined in Section [4](#page-4-0) are calculated as

$$
w(\phi, \eta, p_{\rm T}) = d(\phi, \eta)/\epsilon(\eta, p_{\rm T}) \ . \tag{6}
$$

The additional weight factor $d(\phi, \eta)$ accounts for nonuniformities in the azimuthal acceptance of the detector as a function of η and amounts to a 5–20% variation. To determine it, all reconstructed charged particles for a given p_T selection are entered in a two-dimensional histogram $N(\phi, \eta)$, and the weight factor is then obtained as $d(\phi, \eta) \equiv \langle N(\eta) \rangle / N(\phi, \eta)$, where $\langle N(\eta) \rangle$ is the track density averaged over ϕ in the given η bin. This procedure removes most ϕ -dependent nonuniformity from the track reconstruction [\[68\]](#page-21-14), and the resulting flow vectors $\mathbf{q}_{n:k}$ in Eq. [\(5\)](#page-4-2) should ideally be uniformly distributed in azimuthal angle. Any residual offsets are then subtracted by an event-averaged offset $\mathbf{q}_{n;k} - \langle \mathbf{q}_{n;k} \rangle_{\text{evts}}$ [\[13\]](#page-18-10), which was implemented as an improvement over the previous measurement [\[21\]](#page-18-7).

6 Systematic Uncertainties

The systematic uncertainties in this analysis arise from track selection, reconstruction efficiency, acceptance reweighting and centrality selection, and are evaluated for each observable: cov_n , $var(v_n^2)$, c_k and ρ_n . Systematic uncertainties from many sources enter the analysis through the particle weights in Eq. [\(5\)](#page-4-2). The uncertainties partially cancel out between the numerator and denominator in constructing ρ_n . The

uncertainties quoted below are for the 0–50% centrality range, and they are generally comparable between Xe+Xe and Pb+Pb. In the peripheral collisions, systematic uncertainties are smaller than the statistical uncertainties; they are evaluated but not quoted below because ρ_n values are often very close to zero, and quoting the uncertainties as percentages is not very meaningful. The uncertainty contributions from different sources are described below with a focus of their impact on ρ_n .

From previous measurements [\[10\]](#page-18-9), the v_n signal has been shown to have a strong dependence on p_T but relatively weak dependence on η . Therefore, a p_T -dependent uncertainty in the track reconstruction efficiency $\epsilon(\eta, p_T)$ could affect the measured signal through the particle weights. The uncertainties in the track reconstruction efficiency are due to differences in the detector conditions and known differences in the material between data and simulations. The uncertainties in detector efficiency vary in the range 1–4%, depending on η and p_T [\[38,](#page-19-11) [69\]](#page-22-0). The systematic uncertainties for each observable are evaluated by repeating the analysis with the tracking efficiency increased and decreased by its corresponding uncertainty. The resulting uncertainties in ρ_n are less than 2% for $n = 2$ and 4, but increase to 6% for $n = 3$ because the cov³ values decrease towards zero in the peripheral region.

The contamination from fake tracks varies with the tracking selection. To assess how the fake tracks change the results, the requirements imposed on the reconstructed tracks are varied from those in the default track selection. The loose and tight track selections discussed in Section [3](#page-3-1) are used for this purpose. The differences are largest in the most central and peripheral collisions, where the correlation signals are smaller and the influence of fake tracks is thus higher. In Pb+Pb collisions, the differences are up to 3%, 6%, and 9% for $n = 2, 3$, and 4, respectively. The uncertainties are smaller in Xe+Xe collisions due to lower rates of fake tracks, except in peripheral collisions for $n = 3$ and 4.

The effect of detector azimuthal nonuniformity is accounted for by the weight factor $d(\eta, \phi)$ in Eq. [\(6\)](#page-6-3). The effect of reweighting is studied by setting the weight to one and repeating the analyses with the residual offset correction still applied to the flow vectors. The unweighted results generally agree with the weighted results within 1–3%, except for peripheral Xe+Xe collisions, where the uncertainties are larger.

The centrality definitions used to classify the events into centrality percentiles in the 0–84% range have a 1% uncertainty, due to an inefficiency in selecting minimum-bias collisions. The impact of this uncertainty is evaluated by varying the centrality interval definitions by $\pm 1\%$, and recalculating all the observables. The impact for all observables is small in central and mid-central collisions, but becomes the dominant uncertainty in the more peripheral region. This type of uncertainty is only used when results are presented as a function of centrality percentiles. The uncertainties are $0-3\%$ in central and mid-central collisions and increase to $2-8\%$ in the more peripheral collisions depending on the harmonic number *n* and collision system.

The systematic uncertainties from the different sources described above are added in quadrature to give the total systematic uncertainty for each observable. These uncertainties for ρ_n are summarized in Table [2.](#page-8-0) The relative uncertainties are larger in central and peripheral collisions, where the values of ρ_n are small relative to their statistical uncertainties.

7 Results

The values of c_k , var(v_n^2), and cov_n are calculated and combined to obtain ρ_n for each choice of p_T and η ranges in Pb+Pb and Xe+Xe collisions as defined in Table [1.](#page-6-2) In each case, they can be obtained with the event-averaging procedure in intervals of either ΣE_T or N_{ch}^{rec} and those intervals are translated to average

Centrality	Sources	$Pb+Pb$			$Xe+Xe$			
		ρ_2 [%]	ρ_3 [%]	ρ_4 [%]	ρ_2 [%]	ρ_3 [%]	ρ_4 [%]	
	Efficiency	0.9	2.2	1.5	0.5	1.4	1.2	
	Track quality	0.5	0.6	9	0.6	4.9	0.7	
$0 - 10\%$	ϕ nonuniformity	0.5	${}< 0.5$	0.9		${}< 0.5$	2.3	
	Centrality	${}< 0.5$	< 0.5	1.5	~< 0.5	< 0.5	0.5	
	Total	$\overline{2}$	3	10	2	6	3	
$20 - 30\%$	Efficiency	1.2	3	0.9	1.0	3.2	0.8	
	Track quality	1.6	3.6	1.5	${}< 0.5$	2.3	2.5	
	ϕ nonuniformity	~< 0.5	~< 0.5	0.6	~< 0.5	1.5	${}< 0.5$	
	Centrality	${}< 0.5$	3	1.5	~< 0.5	1.5	0.6	
	Total	2	6	3	$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$	4	3	
$40 - 50\%$	Efficiency	1.3	6	0.8	~< 0.5	15	15	
	Track quality	1.9	7.5	1.1	1.2	15	0.6	
	ϕ nonuniformity	${}< 0.5$	${}< 0.5$	${}< 0.5$	${}< 0.5$	1.5	7	
	Centrality	1.4	1.5	0.8	1.8	6	< 0.5	
	Total	3	10	2	3	22	18	

Table 2: Sources of systematic uncertainty in percentage on the measured ρ_n values.

centrality values. The default event-averaging procedure is based on ΣE _T. As described in Section [4,](#page-4-0) the primary results shown are calculated for charged particles in the range $0.5 < p_T < 5$ GeV, using the three-subevent method for ρ_2 and the combined-subevent method for ρ_3 and ρ_4 .

7.1 Dependence on method and collision systems

Figure [1](#page-9-0) shows the ρ_n values obtained from the standard, two-subevent, and three-subevent methods for charged particles with $0.5 < p_T < 5$ GeV in Pb+Pb and Xe+Xe collisions. They are obtained using the event-averaging procedure based on ΣE_T and plotted as a function of centrality. The results are close to each other in central and mid-central collisions. In peripheral collisions beyond 60% centrality, the values from the standard method are significantly larger than those obtained from the subevent methods. This is consistent with the significant nonflow correlations arising from resonance decays and jets, which give positive contributions to both v_n and $[p_T]$ in the standard method. The nonflow effects in the two-subevent method, reflected by the difference from the three-subevent method, are also visible beyond 70% centrality. Smaller differences, albeit weakly dependent on centrality, are also observed between the two-subevent method and the three-subevent method in mid-central and central collisions. These differences are expected because the v_n signal, as well as the decorrelations of v_n and $[p_T]$, depend on the chosen η intervals and $\Delta \eta$, which differ between the two methods [\[20,](#page-18-6) [70,](#page-22-1) [71\]](#page-22-2).

The influence of nonflow effects was investigated recently in models [\[63,](#page-21-9) [72\]](#page-22-3). The ρ_2 obtained from the HIJING model, which generates only nonflow correlations, shows a similar ordering between the three methods, as seen in Figure [1.](#page-9-0) In particular, the values of ρ_2 from the three-subevent method are closer to zero in the multiplicity range corresponding to the centrality range shown in Figure [1.](#page-9-0) The ρ_2 signal in the peripheral region cannot be reproduced by the Hijing model, which only includes nonflow correlations.

Figure 1: The centrality dependence of ρ_n for $n = 2$ (left), 3 (middle), and 4 (right) in Pb+Pb (top) and Xe+Xe (bottom) collisions calculated for the standard, two-subevent, and three-subevent methods. They are calculated using the event-averaging procedure based on ΣE _T. The error bars and shaded boxes represent statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively. To reduce the statistical fluctuations in the Xe+Xe data, wider centrality binning is used in the bottom row. The Pb+Pb ρ_2 data are also compared with HIJING calculations from Ref. [\[63\]](#page-21-9), which includes only nonflow correlations.

The results from the subevent methods show similar centrality dependences between the Pb+Pb and Xe+Xe: the ρ_2 values reach a minimum in the peripheral collisions, increase to a positive maximum value and then decrease in the most central collisions; the ρ_3 values show a mild increase towards central collisions; the ρ_4 values show an increase then a gradual decrease towards central collisions.

In the ultracentral collision region, all the ρ_n show a sharp decrease towards the most central collisions. This decrease is much clearer in the Pb+Pb system due to its superior statistical precision and better centrality resolution than in the Xe+Xe system. This sharp decrease starts at around 1.6% in centrality in Pb+Pb, which matches approximately to the location of the knee in the minimum-bias ΣE_T distribution [\[44\]](#page-20-5). For events having $\Sigma E_{\rm T}$ values beyond the knee, essentially all nucleons participate in the collision. As a result, geometric fluctuations that enhance the ρ_n values are suppressed. A similar suppression of fluctuations has also been observed for other flow observables [\[44\]](#page-20-5).

Figure [2](#page-10-0) provides a direct comparison of the Pb+Pb and Xe+Xe ρ_n values as a function of centrality (top) and ΣE_{T} (bottom). These two different choices for the x-axis test whether the system-size dependence of ρ_n scales with centrality or event multiplicity. When compared at the same centralities, the Xe+Xe ρ_2 values are everywhere smaller than the Pb+Pb values. However, when compared using ΣE _T, the Pb+Pb and Xe+Xe ρ_2 values agree for small ΣE_T values (ΣE_T < 0.5 TeV) but differ for larger ΣE_T . When plotted as a function of ΣE_T , the ρ_3 values in Pb+Pb and Xe+Xe collisions are similar only at low ΣE_T , while they are similar over the full range when plotted as a function of centrality. The ρ_4 values for the two systems

are similar when plotted as a function of centrality in the 0–40% centrality range, but not when plotted as a function of ΣE _T.

Figure 2: The centrality (top) and ΣE_T (bottom) dependences of ρ_n for $n = 2$ (left), 3 (middle) and 4 (right) in Pb+Pb and Xe+Xe collisions. They are calculated using the event-averaging procedure based on ΣE_T . The error bars and shaded boxes represent statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively.

7.2 Dependence on the p_T **and** n **ranges**

Figure [3](#page-11-0) shows the centrality dependence of ρ_n in two p_T ranges for Pb+Pb collisions and three p_T ranges for Xe+Xe collisions. It is observed that the ρ_n values for $0.5 < p_T < 2$ GeV are smaller than those for $0.5 < p_T < 5$ GeV in both systems, but the overall centrality dependence remains similar. In Xe+Xe collisions, the ρ_n values obtained for a lower p_T range of 0.3 < $p_T < 2$ GeV are found to be close to those obtained for $0.5 < p_T < 2$ GeV. This is expected since the collective behavior of the bulk particles in the $0.5 < p_T < 2$ GeV range reflects mainly hydrodynamic response, so including more particles by further lowering the p_T threshold does not significantly change the p_n . This is an important observation for comparison with other experiments or model calculations, where different p_T ranges are often used.

The analysis is also repeated for the η range closer to mid-rapidity, $|\eta| < 1$, as listed in Table [1.](#page-6-2) Figure [4](#page-12-0) compares the centrality dependence of ρ_n and cov_n for the two η ranges. The results for cov_n are almost in agreement with each other, except for $n = 2$ and 4 in peripheral collisions. In contrast, the results for ρ_n are systematically lower for $|\eta| < 1$ than for $|\eta| < 2.5$. This implies that the difference arises from the η dependence of the var(v_n^2) and c_k values used to calculate ρ_n via Eq. [\(5\)](#page-4-2). The values of cov₂ and ρ_2 for centrality above 70% are larger for $|\eta| < 1$, likely due to larger residual nonflow effects associated with a smaller η range.

Figure 3: The centrality dependence of ρ_n for two p_T ranges in Pb+Pb collisions (top) and three p_T ranges in Xe+Xe collisions (bottom) for $n = 2$ (left), 3 (middle) and 4 (right). They are obtained via the event-averaging procedure based on ΣE _T. The error bars and shaded boxes represent statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively.

7.3 Effects of centrality fluctuations

As discussed in the introduction, due to the finite resolution of an event-activity estimator used to characterize the event centrality, the multiparticle cumulants for flow and $[p_T]$ fluctuations are sensitive to the multiplicity observable used in the event-averaging procedure. The results for ρ_n as a function of centrality in Pb+Pb and Xe+Xe collisions are shown in Figure [5.](#page-13-1) Large differences between the ρ_2 values are observed in central collisions and in peripheral collisions: compared to results based on ΣE_T , the results based on $N_{\text{ch}}^{\text{rec}}$ are larger in central collisions (0–40% range) and smaller in peripheral collisions (beyond 50% centrality). Differences between the two event activities are also observed for ρ_3 and ρ_4 .

The influence of centrality fluctuations on ρ_n was recently studied in a transport model framework [\[29\]](#page-19-12), albeit at RHIC energies of $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 0.2 TeV. That study found that the ρ_2 values based on particle multiplicity at mid-rapidity are different from those based on particle multiplicity at forward rapidity. These differences are qualitatively similar to those observed in Figure [5.](#page-13-1) The ρ_2 values obtained using event activity at forward rapidities were also found to be more consistent with results obtained using the number of participating nucleons [\[29\]](#page-19-12). That finding reinforces the notion that the event-activity estimator in ATLAS based on ΣE_{T} has better centrality resolution than the estimator based on $N_{\text{ch}}^{\text{rec}}$.

Recently, it was argued that ρ_2 is a sensitive probe of the nature of collectivity in small collision systems and peripheral heavy-ion collisions, in particular for isolating the contribution from initial momentum anisotropy in a gluon saturation picture [\[24\]](#page-19-1). The hydrodynamic expansion in the final state produces a negative (positive) ρ_2 in peripheral (nonperipheral) collisions [\[23,](#page-19-0) [24,](#page-19-1) [45\]](#page-20-6), while the initial momentum anisotropy is expected to give a large positive contribution in the most peripheral collisions [\[26\]](#page-19-3). Therefore,

Figure 4: The centrality dependence of ρ_n (top) and cov_n (bottom) for $n = 2$ (left), 3 (middle), and 4 (right) in Pb+Pb collisions compared between the two choices for the η ranges from Table [1.](#page-6-2) They are calculated using the event-averaging procedure based on ΣE _T. The error bars and shaded boxes represent statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively.

the centrality dependence of ρ_2 , after considering both the initial-state and final-state effects, is predicted to exhibit an increasing trend toward the most peripheral centrality [\[26\]](#page-19-3). However, Figure [5](#page-13-1) shows that the trends of ρ_2 in peripheral collisions could still be modified by the centrality fluctuations.

Figure [6](#page-13-2) compares the centrality dependence of ρ_2 in $|\eta| < 2.5$ and $|\eta| < 1$ based on ΣE_T and N_{ch}^{rec} in more detail over the 60–84% centrality range. It is shown separately for the standard method and subevent methods in order to better separate the influence of nonflow effects from other effects. The successive reduction of the ρ_2 from the standard method in the left panel, to the two-subevent method in the middle panel, and to the three-subevent method in the right panel is a robust feature of suppression of the nonflow correlations [\[63\]](#page-21-9). In the right panel, where the residual nonflow is the smallest, two interesting features can be observed: 1) the ρ_2 values obtained for the narrow $|\eta| < 1$ range are much larger than those for $|\eta|$ < 2.5, suggesting that the results obtained in $|\eta|$ < 1 still have significant nonflow contributions; 2) the differences between the ρ_2 values from the two event-activity estimators are large for both η ranges, reflecting the impact of centrality fluctuations. The results from this measurement do not show clear evidence for initial-state momentum anisotropy. Future more detailed studies of the behavior of ρ_2 in very peripheral collisions, including smaller pp and p +Pb collision systems, will be useful to disentangle the effects of nonflow, centrality fluctuations, and initial momentum anisotropy.

Figure 5: The centrality dependence of ρ_n in Pb+Pb (top) and Xe+Xe (bottom) collisions for $n = 2$ (left), 3 (middle), and 4 (right), compared between the N_{ch}^{rec} -based event-averaging procedure (solid squares) and the ΣE_T -based event-averaging procedure (solid circles). The results are calculated using charged particles with $0.5 < p_T < 5$ GeV. The error bars and shaded boxes represent statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively.

Figure 6: The centrality dependence of ρ_2 in Pb+Pb collisions in the peripheral region of 60–84% for the standard method (left), two-subevent method (middle) and three-subevent method (right), compared between the $N_{\text{ch}}^{\text{rec}}$ -based and ΣE _T-based event-averaging procedures and two η ranges. The error bars and shaded boxes represent statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively.

8 Comparison with theory

After the ρ_n observable was proposed [\[20\]](#page-18-6), several model predictions became available with different assumptions about the initial condition and final-state dynamics. Models that consider only the initial condition, such as Glauber or Trento models [\[73\]](#page-22-4), rely on a linear response relation between flow and

eccentricity, $v_n \propto \varepsilon_n$, and between $[p_T]$ and the ratio of initial energy to initial entropy, E/S [\[23,](#page-19-0) [74\]](#page-22-5). From ε_n and E/S , which can be calculated for each event without running the full hydrodynamic model simulation, the authors construct an estimator for the ρ_n values. More realistic hydrodynamic models start from the Glauber or Trento model, and the system is evolved according to either two-dimensional (2D) boost-invariant or IP-Glasma full three-dimensional (3D) hydrodynamic equations. These models include the v-USPhydro model [\[24\]](#page-19-1), the Trajectum model [\[75\]](#page-22-6), and the IP-Glasma+MUSIC model [\[23,](#page-19-0) [26\]](#page-19-3). The first two are 2D hydrodynamic models based on a Trento initial condition and the third is a 3D hydrodynamic model based on a 3D initial condition that is dynamically generated from gluon saturation models. The latter has an option to include the contribution from initial momentum anisotropy (ϵ_n). For comparison with the data, the predictions of IP-Glasma+MUSIC both with and without ϵ_p are included. Most of these models also include the effects of nuclear quadrupole deformation with different values of the deformation parameters (β, γ) in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-2). The chosen β_{Xe} value is 0.2 in Trento, 0.16 in Trajectum, and 0.18 in IP-Glasma+MUSIC. The chosen β_{Pb} value is 0.06 in Trento, but zero in other models. The default triaxiality value is chosen to be $\gamma_{Xe} = 30^\circ$ and $\gamma_{Pb} = 27^\circ$ in Trento and zero in other models. The choice of deformation parameter values in the Trento model is motivated by state-of-the-art nuclear energy-density functional calculations [\[30,](#page-19-13) [76\]](#page-22-7), which predict the most probable values of $(\beta_{Xe}, \gamma_{Xe}) \approx (0.2, 27°)$ and $(\beta_{\text{Pb}}, \gamma_{\text{Pb}}) \approx (0.06, 27^{\circ})$. However, these calculations also predict that the shapes of Pb and Xe nuclei are not fixed, and can fluctuate over a broad range of γ values.

Figure 7: The ρ_2 (left) and ρ_3 (right) values in Pb+Pb (top) and Xe+Xe (bottom) collisions in two p_T ranges and $|\eta| < 2.5$ compared with various models: Trento [74] and Trajectum [75] models in solid lines and v Figure 7: The ρ_2 (left) and ρ_3 (right) values in Pb+Pb (top) and Xe+Xe (bottom) collisions in two p_T ranges and $|\eta| < 2.5$ compared with various models: Trento [74] and Trajectum [75] models in solid lines and v Figure 7: The ρ_2 (left) and ρ_3 (right) values in Pb+Pb (top) and Xe+Xe (bottom) collisions in two p_T ranges and $|\eta|$ < 2.5 compared with various models: Trento [74] and Trajectum [75] models in solid lines and v-USPhydro [24] and IP-Glasma+MUSIC [26] hydrodynamic models in shaded bands, which represent the statistical uncertainties of the model calculations.

Figure [7](#page-14-0) shows the ρ_2 and ρ_3 values for two p_T ranges in Pb+Pb (top) and Xe+Xe (bottom) collisions. They are compared with the various models described above.

In the 0–10% centrality interval, where the effects of nuclear deformation are important, all models generally show reasonable agreement with each other and with the data. In particular, the Trajectum model quantitatively reproduces the ordering between $0.5 < p_T < 2$ GeV and $0.5 < p_T < 5$ GeV. In central Xe+Xe collisions, however, the Trajectum model underestimates the ρ_2 values, probably due to the smaller value of β_{Xe} used. In noncentral collisions, these models show significant differences from each other, which were recently shown to mainly reflect the different parameter values for the initial condition, in particular the nucleon size [\[25\]](#page-19-2). In the peripheral collisions, all model predictions for ρ_2 show a sharp decrease and a sign-change, qualitatively consistent with the ATLAS data. The Trento model, which includes only initial-state effects, by construction has no p_T or η dependencies for ρ_2 . The results from this model underestimate the values of ρ_2 in all p_T ranges, describe the values of ρ_3 for 0.5 < p_T < 5 GeV, and overestimate the values of ρ_3 in other p_T ranges. The v-USPhydro and Trajectum models significantly underestimate both the ρ_2 and ρ_3 values in noncentral collisions. IP-Glasma+MUSIC model predictions, both with and without ϵ_p included, are above the data in mid-central collisions (30–60% centrality), but are below the data in more peripheral collisions. The IP-Glasma+MUSIC model predicts the location for the sign change but overestimates the data in mid-central collisions. The IP-Glasma+MUSIC model with ϵ_p shows differences from the model without ϵ_p in peripheral collisions beyond 70% centrality, where the current data have limited precision. More detailed experimental measurements in that region, including smaller pp and $p+Pb$ collision systems, are needed to clarify the role of initial momentum anisotropy.

Figure [8](#page-15-0) compares ρ_2 data in the 0–20% centrality range with the Trento model calculations to investigate the influence of triaxiality $[30]$. Because of the large quadrupole deformation of the ^{129}Xe nucleus, $\beta_{Xe} \sim 0.2$, the ρ_2 should be sensitive to the triaxiality parameter γ_{Xe} . This expectation is confirmed in the Trento model which produces significantly different trends for ρ_2 as a function of centrality for different γ_{Xe} values. However, comparisons between the Trento model and data require care as the p_T dependence of ρ_n is absent in the Trento model.

Figure 8: Comparison of ρ_2 in Xe+Xe and Pb+Pb collisions with the Trento model for various quadrupole deformation parameter values [\[30\]](#page-19-13) in $0.5 < p_T < 2$ GeV (left) and $0.5 < p_T < 5$ GeV (right) as a function of centrality. The same Trento results are used in both panels, and they are connected by lines for better visualization.

Figure 9: Comparison of ρ_2 ratios, $\rho_{2,Xe+Xe}/\rho_{2,Pb+Pb}$, with the Trento model for various quadrupole deformation parameter values $[30]$ in two p_T ranges. The Trento model results are connected by lines for better visualization.

In order to cancel out the p_T dependence in the data, ratios of ρ_2 values between Xe+Xe and Pb+Pb are calculated for the two p_T ranges and compared with the ratios obtained in the Trento model in Figure [9.](#page-16-1) The ratio of the ρ_2 values is found to be approximately 0.7, and it is slightly lower in 0.5 < $p_T < 2$ GeV than in $0.5 < p_T < 5$ GeV. In the 10–20% centrality range, where the triaxiality plays a minor role, the model calculation is very close to the data ratio. In the 0–10% centrality range, where the predicted ρ_2 values show significant dependence on the triaxiality, the comparison between the model and data favors a $\gamma_{Xe} \sim 30^{\circ}$. This comparison provides clear evidence that flow measurements in central heavy-ion collisions can be used to constrain the quadrupole deformation, including the triaxiality, of the colliding nuclei. A future detailed comparison of the ρ_2 ratio with precision state-of-the-art hydrodynamic model calculations for different values of the deformation parameters would be needed to extract the most probable β_{Xe} and γ_{Xe} values.

9 Conclusion

This paper presents a measurement of the correlation between harmonic flow and the mean transverse momentum using 22 (470) μ b⁻¹ of minimum-bias (ultracentral) Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV and 3 μ ⁵⁻¹ of minimum-bias Xe+Xe collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.44 TeV recorded by the ATLAS detector at the LHC. The correlation between v_n^2 and the event-by-event $[p_T]$ is quantified using the Pearson correlation coefficient ρ_n , which is potentially sensitive to the shape and size of the initial geometry, nuclear deformation, and initial momentum anisotropy. Results are obtained for several p_T and η selections as a function of centrality, characterized by either N_{ch}^{rec} , the number of reconstructed charged particles in $|\eta| < 2.5$, or ΣE _T, the total transverse energy in the forward pseudorapidity range 3.2 < $|\eta|$ < 4.9. A comparison of results between these two event-activity estimators reveals the effects of centrality fluctuations.

The influence of nonflow contributions is studied using comparison between the standard, two-subevent,

and three-subevent cumulant methods. The comparison between the three cumulant methods implies that the nonflow contribution has very little influence in the 0–70% centrality range in both systems when using the subevent method. However, the results obtained from a smaller η range, e.g. $|\eta| < 1$, using the subevent method may still have significant nonflow contributions in the peripheral collisions. The results show significant differences between the two event-activity estimators. In central collisions, the ρ_n values obtained with the N_{ch}^{rec} -based event-activity estimator are larger than those obtained with the ΣE_T -based estimator for all harmonics, while the opposite trend is observed in peripheral collisions. These differences can be attributed to the relatively poorer centrality resolution associated with the $N_{\text{ch}}^{\text{rec}}$ -based event-activity selection, which was also observed in previous flow measurements [\[44\]](#page-20-5). Therefore, results based on $\Sigma E_{\rm T}$ are chosen as default results to be compared with models.

The results are compared with the Trento model calculation, which considers effects associated with the initial-state geometry and nuclear deformation, and three hydrodynamic models, v-USPhydro, Trajectum, and IP-Glasma+MUSIC, which consider the full space-time dynamics. The IP-Glasma+MUSIC model also has the option to include the contribution from the initial momentum anisotropy. All these models qualitatively describe the overall centrality- and system-dependent trends but fail to quantitatively reproduce all features of the data. In the peripheral collisions, the interpetation of ρ_2 in terms of initial momentum anisotropy is complicated by possible residual nonflow and centrality fluctuations. In the mid-central collisions, the IP-Glasma+MUSIC model overestimates the ρ_2 and ρ_3 values in data, while other models underestimate them. In the central collisions, most models show good agreement with the ρ_2 values in data. A comparison of the ratio $\rho_{2,XeXe}/\rho_{2,PbPb}$ with the Trento model implies that the ¹²⁹Xe nucleus is a highly deformed triaxial ellipsoid, corresponding to a triaxiality value of $\gamma \sim 30^{\circ}$. This provides strong evidence of triaxial deformation of the ¹²⁹Xe nucleus using high-energy heavy-ion collisions.

References

- [1] C. Gale, S. Jeon and B. Schenke, *Hydrodynamic Modeling of Heavy-Ion Collisions*, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X13400113) **28** (2013) 1340011, arXiv: [1301.5893 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.5893).
- [2] U. Heinz and R. Snellings, *Collective Flow and Viscosity in Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collisions*, [Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-nucl-102212-170540) **63** (2013) 123, arXiv: [1301.2826 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.2826).
- [3] P. Romatschke and U. Romatschke, *Relativistic Fluid Dynamics In and Out of Equilibrium*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, 2019, isbn: 978-1-108-48368-1, 978-1-108-75002-8, arXiv: [1712.05815 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.05815).
- [4] F. G. Gardim, F. Grassi, M. Luzum and J.-Y. Ollitrault, *Mapping the hydrodynamic response to the initial geometry in heavy-ion collisions*, Phys. Rev. C **85** [\(2012\) 024908,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.024908) arXiv: [1111.6538 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1111.6538).
- [5] C. Gale, S. Jeon, B. Schenke, P. Tribedy and R. Venugopalan, *Event-by-event Anisotropic Flow in Heavy-ion Collisions from Combined Yang-Mills and Viscous Fluid Dynamics*, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.012302) **110** (2013) 012302, arXiv: [1209.6330 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1209.6330).
- [6] D. Teaney and L. Yan, *Triangularity and dipole asymmetry in relativistic heavy ion collisions*, Phys. Rev. C **83** [\(2011\) 064904,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.83.064904) arXiv: [1010.1876 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1010.1876).
- [7] H. Niemi, G. S. Denicol, H. Holopainen and P. Huovinen, *Event-by-event distributions of azimuthal asymmetries in ultrarelativistic heavy-ion collisions*, Phys. Rev. C **87** [\(2013\) 054901,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.87.054901) arXiv: [1212.1008 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1212.1008).
- [8] PHENIX Collaboration,
	- *Measurements of Higher-Order Flow Harmonics in Au+Au Collisions at* $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 *GeV*, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.252301) **107** (2011) 252301, arXiv: [1105.3928 \[nucl-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1105.3928).
- [9] ALICE Collaboration, *Higher Harmonic Anisotropic Flow Measurements of Charged Particles in Pb-Pb Collisions at* $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 *TeV*, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.032301) **107** (2011) 032301, arXiv: [1105.3865 \[nucl-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1105.3865).
- [10] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of the azimuthal anisotropy for charged particle production in* $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 *TeV lead-lead collisions with the ATLAS detector*, Phys. Rev. C 86 [\(2012\) 014907,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.86.014907) arXiv: [1203.3087 \[hep-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1203.3087).
- [11] CMS Collaboration, *Measurement of higher-order harmonic azimuthal anisotropy in PbPb collisions at* $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV, Phys. Rev. C 89 [\(2014\) 044906,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.89.044906) arXiv: [1310.8651 \[nucl-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1310.8651).
- [12] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of the distributions of event-by-event flow harmonics in lead-lead collisions at* $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 *TeV with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, JHEP **11** [\(2013\) 183,](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2013)183) arXiv: [1305.2942 \[hep-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1305.2942).
- [13] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of event-plane correlations in* $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ *TeV lead-lead collisions with the ATLAS detector*, Phys. Rev. C **90** [\(2014\) 024905,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.90.024905) arXiv: [1403.0489 \[hep-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1403.0489).
- [14] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of the correlation between flow harmonics of different order in lead-lead collisions at* [√] *=2.76 TeV with the ATLAS detector*, Phys. Rev. C **92** [\(2015\) 034903,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.92.034903) arXiv: [1504.01289 \[hep-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1504.01289).
- [15] M. Luzum and J.-Y. Ollitrault, *Extracting the shear viscosity of the quark-gluon plasma from flow in ultra-central heavy-ion collisions*, [Nucl. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2013.02.028) **904–905** (2013) 377, arXiv: [1210.6010 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1210.6010).
- [16] Z. Qiu and U. Heinz, *Hydrodynamic event-plane correlations in Pb+Pb collisions at* [√] = 2.76*ATeV*, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.09.030) **717** (2012) 261, arXiv: [1208.1200 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.1200).
- [17] D. Teaney and L. Yan, *Event-plane correlations and hydrodynamic simulations of heavy ion collisions*, Phys. Rev. C **90** [\(2014\) 024902,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.90.024902) arXiv: [1312.3689 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.3689).
- [18] P. Bozek and W. Broniowski, *Transverse-momentum fluctuations in relativistic heavy-ion collisions from event-by-event viscous hydrodynamics*, Phys. Rev. C **85** [\(2012\) 044910,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.044910) arXiv: [1203.1810 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1203.1810).
- [19] P. Bozek, W. Broniowski and S. Chatterjee, *Transverse Momentum Fluctuations and Correlations*, [Acta Phys. Polon. Supp.](https://doi.org/10.5506/APhysPolBSupp.10.1091) **10** (2017) 1091, arXiv: [1707.04420 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.04420).
- [20] P. Bozek, *Transverse-momentum–flow correlations in relativistic heavy-ion collisions*, Phys. Rev. C **93** [\(2016\) 044908,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.93.044908) arXiv: [1601.04513 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1601.04513).
- [21] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of flow harmonics correlations with mean transverse* ATLAS Conasoration, *measurement of flow narmontes corretations with mean transverse*
momentum in lead-lead and proton-lead collisions at √s_{NN} = 5.02 TeV with the ATLAS detector, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7489-6) **79** (2019) 985, arXiv: [1907.05176 \[nucl-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.05176).
- [22] ALICE Collaboration, *Characterizing the initial conditions of heavy-ion collisions at the LHC with mean transverse momentum and anisotropic flow correlations*, (2021), arXiv: [2111.06106 \[nucl-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.06106).
- [23] B. Schenke, C. Shen and D. Teaney, *Transverse momentum fluctuations and their correlation with elliptic flow in nuclear collision*, Phys. Rev. C **102** [\(2020\) 034905,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.102.034905) arXiv: [2004.00690 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.00690).
- [24] G. Giacalone, F. G. Gardim, J. Noronha-Hostler and J.-Y. Ollitrault, *Correlation between mean transverse momentum and anisotropic flow in heavy-ion collisions*, Phys. Rev. C **103** [\(2021\) 024909,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.103.024909) arXiv: [2004.01765 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.01765).
- [25] G. Giacalone, B. Schenke and C. Shen, *Constraining the Nucleon Size with Relativistic Nuclear Collisions*, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.042301) **128** (2022) 042301, arXiv: [2111.02908 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.02908).
- [26] G. Giacalone, B. Schenke and C. Shen, *Observable Signatures of Initial State Momentum Anisotropies in Nuclear Collisions*, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.192301) **125** (2020) 192301, arXiv: [2006.15721 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.15721).
- [27] G. Giacalone, *Observing the deformation of nuclei with relativistic nuclear collisions*, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.202301) **124** (2020) 202301, arXiv: [1910.04673 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.04673).
- [28] G. Giacalone, *Constraining the quadrupole deformation of atomic nuclei with relativistic nuclear collisions*, Phys. Rev. C **102** [\(2020\) 024901,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.102.024901) arXiv: [2004.14463 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.14463).
- [29] J. Jia, S. Huang and C. Zhang, *Probing nuclear quadrupole deformation from correlation of elliptic flow and transverse momentum in heavy ion collisions*, Phys. Rev. C **105** [\(2022\) 014906,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.105.014906) arXiv: [2105.05713 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.05713).
- [30] B. Bally, M. Bender, G. Giacalone and V. Somà, *Evidence of the Triaxial Structure of Xe129 at the Large Hadron Collider*, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.082301) **128** (2022) 082301, arXiv: [2108.09578 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.09578).
- [31] J. Jia, *Probing triaxial deformation of atomic nuclei in high-energy heavy ion collisions*, (2021), arXiv: [2109.00604 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.00604).
- [32] A. Bohr and B. R. Mottelson, eds., *Nuclear Structure*, World Scientific, 1998, isbn: 978-981-238-660-1.
- [33] P. Möller, A. J. Sierk, T. Ichikawa and H. Sagawa, *Nuclear ground-state masses and deformations: FRDM(2012)*, [Atom. Data Nucl. Data Tabl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adt.2015.10.002) **109-110** (2016) 1, arXiv: [1508.06294 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1508.06294).
- [34] S. Raman, C. W. Nestor Jr and P. Tikkanen, *Transition probability from the ground to the first-excited 2+ state of even-even nuclides*, [Atom. Data Nucl. Data Tabl.](https://doi.org/10.1006/adnd.2001.0858) **78** (2001) 1.
- [35] G. Giacalone, *Elliptic flow fluctuations in central collisions of spherical and deformed nuclei*, Phys. Rev. C **99** [\(2019\) 024910,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.99.024910) arXiv: [1811.03959 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.03959).
- [36] ALICE Collaboration, *Anisotropic flow in Xe-Xe collisions at* $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.44$ *TeV*, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.06.059) **784** (2018) 82, arXiv: [1805.01832 \[nucl-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.01832).
- [37] CMS Collaboration, *Charged-particle angular correlations in XeXe collisions at* $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.44$ TeV, Phys. Rev. C **100** [\(2019\) 044902,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.100.044902) arXiv: [1901.07997 \[hep-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.07997).
- [38] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of the azimuthal anisotropy of charged-particle production in* $Xe+Xe$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.44 *TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Rev. C* 101 [\(2020\) 024906,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.101.024906) arXiv: [1911.04812 \[nucl-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.04812).
- [39] J. Jia, M. Zhou and A. Trzupek, *Revealing long-range multiparticle collectivity in small collision systems via subevent cumulants*, Phys. Rev. C **96** [\(2017\) 034906,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.96.034906) arXiv: [1701.03830 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.03830).
- [40] P. Huo, K. Gajdošová, J. Jia and Y. Zhou, *Importance of non-flow in mixed-harmonic multi-particle correlations in small collision systems*, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2017.12.035) **777** (2018) 201, arXiv: [1710.07567 \[nucl-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.07567).
- [41] V. Skokov, B. Friman and K. Redlich, *Volume Fluctuations and Higher Order Cumulants of the Net Baryon Number*, Phys. Rev. C **88** [\(2013\) 034911,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.034911) arXiv: [1205.4756 \[hep-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1205.4756).
- [42] X. Luo, J. Xu, B. Mohanty and N. Xu, *Volume fluctuation and auto-correlation effects in the moment analysis of net-proton multiplicity distributions in heavy-ion collisions*, J. Phys. G **40** [\(2013\) 105104,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/40/10/105104) arXiv: [1302.2332 \[nucl-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1302.2332).
- [43] M. Zhou and J. Jia, *Centrality fluctuations in heavy-ion collisions*, Phys. Rev. C **98** [\(2018\) 044903,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.98.044903) arXiv: [1803.01812 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.01812).
- [44] ATLAS Collaboration, *FILAS* Conasoration,
Fluctuations of anisotropic flow in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV with the ATLAS detector, JHEP **01** [\(2020\) 051,](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2020)051) arXiv: [1904.04808 \[nucl-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.04808).
- [45] P. Bozek and H. Mehrabpour, *Correlation coefficient between harmonic flow and transverse momentum in heavy-ion collisions*, Phys. Rev. C **101** [\(2020\) 064902,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.101.064902) arXiv: [2002.08832 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.08832).
- [46] J. Jia, C. Zhang and J. Xu, *Centrality fluctuations and decorrelations in heavy-ion collisions in a Glauber model*, [Phys. Rev. Res.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.023319) **2** (2020) 023319, arXiv: [2001.08602 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.08602).
- [47] K. V. Yousefnia, A. Kotibhaskar, R. Bhalerao and J.-Y. Ollitrault, *Bayesian approach to long-range correlations and multiplicity fluctuations in nucleus-nucleus collisions*, Phys. Rev. C **105** [\(2022\) 014907,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.105.014907) arXiv: [2108.03471 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.03471).
- [48] ATLAS Collaboration, *The ATLAS Experiment at the CERN Large Hadron Collider*, JINST **3** [\(2008\) S08003.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08003)
- [49] ATLAS Collaboration, *The ATLAS Collaboration Software and Firmware*, ATL-SOFT-PUB-2021-001, 2021, url: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2767187>.
- [50] ATLAS Collaboration, *Performance of the ATLAS trigger system in 2015*, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4852-3) **77** (2017) 317, arXiv: [1611.09661 \[hep-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.09661).
- [51] M. L. Miller, K. Reygers, S. J. Sanders and P. Steinberg, *Glauber Modeling in High-Energy Nuclear Collisions*, [Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nucl.57.090506.123020) **57** (2007) 205, arXiv: [nucl-ex/0701025](https://arxiv.org/abs/nucl-ex/0701025).
- [52] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of the centrality dependence of the charged particle pseudorapidity distribution in lead-lead collisions at* $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 *TeV with the ATLAS detector*, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.02.045) **710** (2012) 363, arXiv: [1108.6027 \[hep-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1108.6027).
- [53] ATLAS Collaboration, *boson production in Pb+Pb collisions at* $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV measured by the ATLAS experiment, Phys. Lett. B **802** [\(2020\) 135262,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135262) arXiv: [1910.13396 \[nucl-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.13396).
- [54] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of charged-particle spectra in Pb+Pb collisions at* √ $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 *TeV with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, JHEP 09 [\(2015\) 050,](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2015)050) arXiv: [1504.04337 \[hep-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1504.04337).
- [55] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of the pseudorapidity and transverse momentum dependence of the elliptic flow of* α charged particles in lead-lead collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.12.056) **707** (2012) 330, arXiv: [1108.6018 \[hep-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1108.6018).
- [56] M. Gyulassy and X.-N. Wang, *HIJING 1.0: A Monte Carlo program for parton and particle production in high-energy hadronic and nuclear collisions*, [Comput. Phys. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-4655(94)90057-4) **83** (1994) 307, arXiv: [nucl-th/9502021](https://arxiv.org/abs/nucl-th/9502021).
- [57] M. Masera, G. Ortona, M. G. Poghosyan and F. Prino, *Anisotropic transverse flow introduction in Monte Carlo generators for heavy ion collisions*, Phys. Rev. C **79** [\(2009\) 064909.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.79.064909)
- [58] S. Agostinelli et al., *GEANT4 – a simulation toolkit*, [Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8) **506** (2003) 250.
- [59] ATLAS Collaboration, *The ATLAS Simulation Infrastructure*, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1429-9) **70** (2010) 823, arXiv: [1005.4568 \[physics.ins-det\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1005.4568).
- [60] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of flow harmonics with multi-particle cumulants in Pb+Pb collisions at* $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 *TeV with the ATLAS detector*, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-3157-z) 74 (2014) 3157, arXiv: [1408.4342 \[hep-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1408.4342).
- [61] A. Bilandzic, R. Snellings and S. Voloshin, *Flow analysis with cumulants: Direct calculations*, Phys. Rev. C **83** [\(2011\) 044913,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.83.044913) arXiv: [1010.0233 \[nucl-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1010.0233).
- [62] A. Bilandzic, C. H. Christensen, K. Gulbrandsen, A. Hansen and Y. Zhou, *Generic framework for anisotropic flow analyses with multiparticle azimuthal correlations*, Phys. Rev. C **89** [\(2014\) 064904,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.89.064904) arXiv: [1312.3572 \[nucl-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.3572).
- [63] C. Zhang, A. Behera, S. Bhatta and J. Jia, *Non-flow effects in correlation between harmonic flow and transverse momentum in nuclear collisions*, Phys. Lett. B **822** [\(2021\) 136702,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136702) arXiv: [2102.05200 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.05200).
- [64] B. Efron, *Bootstrap Methods: Another Look at the Jackknife*, Ann. Statist. **7** (1979) 1.
- [65] ATLAS Collaboration, *Evaluating statistical uncertainties and correlations using the bootstrap method*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2021-011, 2021, url: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2759945>.
- [66] N. Borghini, P. M. Dinh and J.-Y. Ollitrault, *A New method for measuring azimuthal distributions in nucleus-nucleus collisions*, Phys. Rev. C **63** [\(2001\) 054906,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.63.054906) arXiv: [nucl-th/0007063](https://arxiv.org/abs/nucl-th/0007063).
- [67] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of long-range multiparticle azimuthal correlations with the subevent cumulant method* \dot{p} *in* p *p* and p + Pb collisions with the ATLAS detector at the CERN Large Hadron Collider, Phys. Rev. C **97** [\(2018\) 024904,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.97.024904) arXiv: [1708.03559 \[hep-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.03559).
- [68] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of multi-particle azimuthal correlations in pp, p+Pb and low-multiplicity Pb*+*Pb collisions with the ATLAS detector*, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4988-1) **77** (2017) 428, arXiv: [1705.04176 \[hep-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.04176).
- [69] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of the azimuthal anisotropy of charged particles produced in* $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV Pb+Pb collisions with the ATLAS detector, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-6468-7) 78 (2018) 997, arXiv: [1808.03951 \[nucl-ex\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.03951).
- [70] J. Jia and P. Huo, *Forward-backward eccentricity and participant-plane angle fluctuations and their influences on longitudinal dynamics of collective flow*, Phys. Rev. C **90** [\(2014\) 034915,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.90.034915) arXiv: [1403.6077 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1403.6077).
- [71] L.-G. Pang, H. Petersen, G.-Y. Qin, V. Roy and X.-N. Wang, *Decorrelation of anisotropic flow along the longitudinal direction*, [Eur. Phys. J. A](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2016-16097-x) **52** (2016) 97, arXiv: [1511.04131 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1511.04131).
- [72] S. H. Lim and J. L. Nagle, *Exploring origins for correlations between flow harmonics and transverse momentum in small collision systems*, Phys. Rev. C **103** [\(2021\) 064906,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.103.064906) arXiv: [2103.01348 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.01348).
- [73] J. S. Moreland, J. E. Bernhard and S. A. Bass, *Alternative ansatz to wounded nucleon and binary collision scaling in high-energy nuclear collisions*, Phys. Rev. C **92** [\(2015\) 011901,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.92.011901) arXiv: [1412.4708 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.4708).
- [74] G. Giacalone, *A matter of shape: seeing the deformation of atomic nuclei at high-energy colliders*, (2021), arXiv: [2101.00168 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.00168).
- [75] G. Nijs and W. van der Schee, *Predictions and postdictions for relativistic lead and oxygen collisions with Trajectum, (2021),* arXiv: [2110.13153 \[nucl-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.13153).
- [76] A. I. Budaca and R. Budaca, *Triaxiality and state-dependent shape properties of Xe isotopes*, Phys. Rev. C **101** [\(2020\) 064318.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.101.064318)