Tests of Lepton Universality Using $B^0 \to K_S^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$ and $B^+ \to K^{*+}\ell^+ \ell^-$ Decays

R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration)

(Received 19 October 2021; accepted 29 March 2022; published 11 May 2022)

Tests of lepton universality in $B^0 \to K_S^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$ and $B^+ \to K^{*+} \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays where ℓ is either an electron or a muon are presented. The differential branching fractions of $B^0 \to K_S^0 e^+ e^-$ and $B^+ \to K^{*+} e^+ e^-$ decays are measured in intervals of the dilepton invariant mass squared. The measurements are performed using proton-proton collision data recorded by the LHCb experiment, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 9 fb[−]¹ . The results are consistent with the standard model and previous tests of lepton universality in related decay modes. The first observation of $B^0 \to K_S^0 e^+ e^-$ and $B^+ \to K^{*+} e^+ e^-$ decays is reported.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.191802](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.191802)

The $B^0 \to K_S^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$ and $B^+ \to K^{*+} \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays, where ℓ refers to either an electron or a muon, are flavor-changing neutral current (FCNC) transitions involving the transformation of a beauty quark into a strange quark [\[1\]](#page-6-0). These decays proceed via higher order electroweak processes in the standard model (SM) due to the absence of first order FCNC transitions, making them highly suppressed. Therefore, these decays may receive significant contributions from new quantum fields that lie beyond the standard model (BSM) and, hence, are promising laboratories for new physics (NP) searches.

In recent years, studies of similar $b \to s\ell^+\ell^-$ transitions, most prominently $B^+ \to K^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$ and $B^0 \to K^{*0} \ell^+ \ell^$ decays, have revealed tensions with the SM predictions. Deviations have been seen in ratios of branching fractions

$$
R_H \equiv \frac{\int_{q_{\min}^2}^{q_{\max}^2} \frac{d\mathcal{B}(B \to H\mu^+\mu^-)}{dq^2} dq^2}{\int_{q_{\min}^2}^{q_{\max}^2} \frac{d\mathcal{B}(B \to He^+e^-)}{dq^2} dq^2},\tag{1}
$$

where B denotes a B^+ or a B^0 meson, H is either a K or a K^* meson, and q^2 is the dilepton invariant mass squared. In the SM, the charged leptons have identical interaction strengths, which is referred to as lepton universality. The only exception is their interaction with the Higgs field, which determines their differing masses. Therefore, these ratios are predicted to be very close to unity [\[2](#page-6-1)], with corrections from QED up to $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$ [\[3,](#page-6-2)[4](#page-6-3)] and further small corrections due to the muon-electron mass difference. Furthermore, these ratios benefit from precise cancellation of the hadronic uncertainties that affect predictions of the branching fractions and angular observables, which affect the ratios at $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$ [\[5\]](#page-6-4). Therefore, significant deviation from unity in such ratios would constitute unambiguous evidence of BSM physics.

The ratio $R_{K^{*0}}$, measured by the LHCb collaboration using the data collected in the q^2 regions $0.045 < q^2 <$ 1.1 GeV²/c⁴ and $1.1 < q^2 < 6.0$ $1.1 < q^2 < 6.0$ $1.1 < q^2 < 6.0$ GeV²/c⁴ [6], is in tension with the SM predictions at 2.2–2.4 and 2.4–2.5 standard deviations (σ) , respectively, where the ranges are due to the use of different standard model predictions. A measurement of R_{K^+} performed in the region 1.1 $\lt q^2$ \lt 6.0 GeV²/ $c⁴$ deviates from the SM by 3.1 standard deviations [\[7\]](#page-6-6). The analogous ratio measured using $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow$ $pK^{-}e^{+\}e^{-}$ decays, R_{pK} , is consistent with the SM within 1 standard deviation [[8\]](#page-6-7). All four measurements show a deficit of $b \to s\mu^+\mu^-$ decays with respect to $b \to s e^+e^$ decays.

In addition, angular observables [[9](#page-6-8)–[20\]](#page-6-9) and branching fractions [[21](#page-6-10)–[24](#page-6-11)] of $b \rightarrow s\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ decays have been measured, with several in tension with the SM. However, the extent to which they may be affected by residual quantum chromodynamics contributions remains uncertain [[25](#page-6-12)–[35](#page-7-0)].

Intriguingly, it is possible to account for all these anomalies simultaneously through the modification of the $b \rightarrow s$ coupling in a model-independent way [\[36](#page-7-1)– [47\]](#page-7-2). Such a modification can be generated by the presence of a heavy neutral boson [\[48](#page-7-3)–[64](#page-7-4)] or a leptoquark [[65](#page-8-0)–[91](#page-8-1)], as well as in models with supersymmetry [\[92](#page-8-2)–[94](#page-8-3)], extra dimensions [\[95\]](#page-8-4), and extended Higgs sectors [[96](#page-8-5)–[100](#page-8-6)].

The $B^0 \to K_S^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$ and $B^+ \to K^{*+} \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays are the isospin partners of $B^+ \to K^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$ and $B^0 \to K^{*0} \ell^+ \ell^$ decays and are expected to be affected by the same NP contributions. Therefore, testing lepton universality by measuring the ratios $R_{K^0_S}$ and $R_{K^{*+}}$ can provide important

^{*} Full author list given at the end of the article. This paper is dedicated to the memory of our friend and colleague Sheldon Stone.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

additional evidence for or against NP. However, while these decays have similar branching fractions to their isospin partners, $\mathcal{O}(10^{-6})$ to $\mathcal{O}(10^{-7})$, they suffer from a reduced experimental efficiency at LHCb due to the presence of a long-lived K_S^0 meson in the final state. These ratios have previously been measured by the BABAR [[101\]](#page-8-7) and Belle [[102](#page-8-8)[,103\]](#page-9-0) collaborations. The differential branching fractions of the muon modes, $B^0 \to K_S^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B^+ \to K^{*+} \mu^+ \mu^-$, were found to be lower although still consistent with predictions at low q^2 in a measurement performed by the LHCb collaboration [\[23\]](#page-6-13). No single experiment has unambiguously observed the electron decay modes to date.

In this Letter, measurements of the ratios R_{K^0} and $R_{K^{*+}}$ and the differential branching fractions of $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 e^+ e^$ and $B^+ \rightarrow K^{*+}e^+e^-$ decays are presented. The measurements are performed using proton-proton (pp) collision data corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 9 fb⁻¹ recorded by the LHCb experiment in 2011, 2012 (run 1), and 2016–2018 (run 2) at center-of-mass energies of 7, 8, and 13 TeV, respectively. The K_S^0 and K^{*+} mesons are reconstructed in the π^+ π^- and K_S^0 π^+ final states, respectively. The ratio $R_{K^0_s}$ and the branching fraction $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K_S^0 e^+e^-)$ are measured in the region $1.1 < q^2 <$ 6.0 GeV²/ c^4 , while $R_{K^{*+}}$ and $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+}e^+e^-)$ are determined in the range $0.045 < q^2 < 6.0 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$. A wider range is used in the case of the B^+ decay, the differential branching fraction of which is enhanced at low q^2 by the photon pole, since the K^{*+} is a vector meson. Splitting the q^2 range into two bins at 1.1 GeV²/ c^4 , as was done in the $R_{K^{*0}}$ measurement, is not possible due to the limited data sample.

The analysis is designed to minimize systematic uncertainties, particularly those associated with differences in the detector response between electrons and muons. The ratios and differential branching fractions are normalized to the control modes, $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(e^+e^-)K^0_S$, $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)K^0_S$, $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi (e^+e^-)K^{*+}$, and $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi (\mu^+\mu^-)K^{*+}$, the branching fractions of which are known to respect lepton universality to an excellent approximation [[104](#page-9-1)] and are taken to be equal for the muon and the electron decays of a given *B* meson. The parameters $R_{K_S^0}^{-1}$ and $R_{K^{*+}}^{-1}$ are measured as double ratios

$$
R_{K^{(*)}}^{-1} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B \to K^{(*)}e^+e^-)}{\mathcal{B}[B \to J/\psi(e^+e^-)K^{(*)}]} \bigg/ \frac{\mathcal{B}(B \to K^{(*)}\mu^+\mu^-)}{\mathcal{B}[B \to J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)K^{(*)}]}
$$

$$
= \left(\frac{N_{\text{sig}}^{ee} \epsilon_{\text{con}}^{ee}}{\epsilon_{\text{sig}}^{ee} N_{\text{con}}^{ee}}\right) \bigg/ \left(\frac{N_{\text{sig}}^{\mu\mu} \epsilon_{\text{con}}^{\mu\mu}}{\epsilon_{\text{sig}}^{\mu\mu} N_{\text{con}}^{\mu\mu}}\right), \tag{2}
$$

where $K^{(*)}$ is either a K_S^0 or K^{*+} meson, N is the measured yield, and ϵ is the total efficiency for signal (sig) and control (con) decays. The inverse ratio $R_{K^{(*)}}^{-1}$ is measured as its uncertainty better represents a Gaussian distribution due to the low yield of the electron decay mode. Many sources of systematic bias cancel in the ratio between the signal and control modes. The differential branching fractions of the signal electron modes are measured as

$$
\frac{d\mathcal{B}(B \to K^{(*)}e^+e^-)}{dq^2} = \frac{N_{\text{sig}}^{ee} \epsilon_{\text{con}}^{ee} \mathcal{B}[B \to J/\psi(e^+e^-)K^{(*)}]}{\epsilon_{\text{sig}}^{ee} N_{\text{con}}^{ee} \mathcal{A}_{\text{max}}^2 - q_{\text{min}}^2}.
$$
\n(3)

The LHCb detector is a single-arm forward spectrometer covering the pseudorapidity range $2 < \eta < 5$, described in detail in Refs. [[105,](#page-9-2)[106](#page-9-3)]. The simulated events used in this analysis are produced with the software described in Refs. [[107](#page-9-4)–[111\]](#page-9-5). In particular, final-state radiation is simulated using PHOTOS [\[112](#page-9-6)].

The candidates used in the analysis must first pass a hardware trigger, which requires the presence of at least one muon with high transverse momentum, p_T , in the case of $B \to K^{(*)} \mu^+ \mu^-$ candidates, or in the case of $B \to K^{(*)} e^+ e^$ candidates, at least one electron or hadron with large energy deposits in the electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL) or hadronic calorimeter, respectively. Further $B \to K^{(*)}e^+e^$ candidates are selected where the hardware trigger requirements are satisfied by objects from the underlying pp collision that do not form part of the reconstructed candidate. Candidates are then required to pass a software trigger, the first stage of which requires the presence of at least one track with high p_T that is well separated from the primary pp interaction vertex (PV), followed by a second stage that imposes topological requirements on the finalstate tracks to determine whether they are consistent with the decay of a b hadron.

Muons are initially identified from tracks that penetrate the calorimeters and the iron absorber plates of the muon system and are further separated from hadrons (primarily pions and kaons) by a multivariate classifier that combines information from the other subdetectors. Electrons are identified from tracks with an associated deposit of energy in the ECAL, and separated from hadrons using a similar multivariate classifier.

Because of their small mass, electrons lose energy via bremsstrahlung radiation as they traverse the detector material, leading to a degradation in their energy and momentum resolution. A bremsstrahlung recovery procedure is used to identify energy deposits in the ECAL that are consistent with photons radiated from electron candidate tracks upstream of the magnet. This is done by extrapolating the direction of the electron track before the magnet to a position in the ECAL and then searching for energy deposits without associated tracks at that location. When such a deposit is identified, its energy is used to correct the electron's energy and momentum. This leads to an improvement in the B candidate invariant mass resolution, although the resolution for electronic modes remains larger than for the equivalent muonic channels.

Candidate K_S^0 mesons are reconstructed from two oppositely charged tracks identified as pions, using either a pair of tracks that originate in the vertex locator (long tracks) or two tracks that originate downstream of the vertex locator in the first silicon-strip detector (downstream tracks). Around a third of reconstructed K_S^0 mesons are formed from long tracks. Candidate $B^0 \to K_S^0 e^+ e^-$ decays are formed from two oppositely charged tracks identified as either muons or electrons combined with a candidate K_S^0 meson. In the case of $B^+ \to K^{*+} \ell^+ \ell^-$ candidates, the additional charged pion is required to result in a $K^0_S \pi^+$ mass within 300 MeV/ c^2 of the K^{*+} mass [\[104](#page-9-1)]. An estimated S-wave contribution in this K^{*+} mass window of approximately 22% based on previous studies of $B^0 \rightarrow$ $K^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$ decays by the LHCb collaboration [\[21\]](#page-6-10) is included in the analysis. When measuring the $B^+ \rightarrow$ $K^{*+}e^+e^-$ differential branching fraction, the $B^+ \rightarrow$ $J/\psi(e^+e^-)K^{*+}$ control mode is selected with a $K^0_S\pi^+$ mass in the range 792–992 MeV/ c^2 in order to be consistent with the selection used in previous measurements of $B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^{*+})$ [[113](#page-9-7)[,114\]](#page-9-8), the world average of which is taken as external input [[104\]](#page-9-1). Background is further suppressed by requirements on the quality of the B decay vertex, the flight distance significance of the B candidate, how consistent the B candidate is with having originated at the PV, the invariant masses of the K_S^0 , K^{*+} , and B candidates, and the p_T and separation from the PV of the final-state tracks. In an additional step, the invariant masses of *B* candidates are recalculated with the K_S^0 meson mass constrained to its measured value [\[104\]](#page-9-1), leading to an improvement in the B mass resolution.

Various requirements on decay kinematics, decay time, and particle identification (PID) information are used to reject potential background originating from misidentified b-hadron (H_b) decays. Background to $B^0 \to K_S^0 \ell^+ \ell^$ decays includes $H_b \to hh' \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays (where h refers to a hadron), $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda \ell^+ \ell^-$ and $B^0 \to D^-(K_S^0 X)Y$ decays, where both X and Y represent either an electron-neutrino pair or a pion. Background to $B^+ \to K^{*+} \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays includes $B^0 \to K_S^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays combined with a random additional pion, $B^+ \to J/\psi(\ell^+\ell^-)K^{*+}$ and $B^+ \to$ $\psi(2S)(\ell^+\ell^-)K^{*+}$ decays where the companion pion from the K^{*+} is swapped with a lepton from the J/ψ or $\psi(2S)$ meson, $H_b \to hh'\pi\ell^+\ell^-$ decays, decays with Λ baryons in the final state, and $B^+ \to \bar{D}^0(K_S^0 \pi^+ X)Y$ decays. These selection requirements reduce all these background sources to levels that have a negligible (subpercent) effect on the measured signal yields. The $B^0 \to K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $B^+ \to$ $K^{*+}\pi^+\pi^-$ decays, where the pions are misidentified as electrons, are significantly reduced by the electron particle identification requirements and included as components in the mass fits.

Multivariate classifiers based on boosted decision tree (BDT) algorithms [[115](#page-9-9)] are used to suppress background from coincidental track combinations (combinatorial background). Separate classifiers are trained for each signal decay mode, the two data-taking periods (run 1, run 2) and whether the K_S^0 meson was reconstructed from long or downstream tracks. Each classifier is trained on a signal sample of simulated $B \to K^{(*)} \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays, and a background sample taken from data with a reconstructed invariant B mass greater than 5500 MeV/ c^2 and q^2 regions consistent with either a J/ψ or $\psi(2S)$ meson removed. The classifiers combine information on the B candidate's fit quality, distance of closest approach to the PV, flight distance, p_T and decay time, the decay time of the dilepton pair, the p_T and decay time of the K_S^0 candidate, how isolated the B, dilepton, and K_S^0 candidates are from other tracks in the event, and the distance of closest approach to the PV of long tracks forming the K_S^0 candidate. Requirements on the classifier outputs are optimized to provide the maximum signal significance, defined as $S/\sqrt{S+B}$, where S is the expected signal calculated from the control mode yield in data, the signal-to-control mode efficiency ratio from simulation and the signal-to-control mode branching fraction ratio $[104]$ $[104]$ $[104]$, and B is the background yield in the signal region, extrapolated from a fit to the data mass sidebands.

The muon and electron control modes are selected in the ranges of $8.98 < q^2 < 10.21 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$ and $6.0 < q^2 <$ 11.0 GeV²/ $c⁴$, respectively, and the spectra of their invariant masses $m(J/\psi K_S^0)$ and $m(J/\psi K_S^0 \pi^+)$ are shown in Fig. [1.](#page-3-0) Their yields are determined using fits to the B candidate mass, calculated with the masses of the K_S^0 and J/ψ mesons constrained to their measured values [\[104](#page-9-1)], improving the resolution. The mass distribution of each control mode is modeled with a sum of two Crystal Ball functions [\[116\]](#page-9-10) with common mean and opposite-side power law tails (referred to as a double Crystal Ball or DCB), with parameters fixed to values obtained from fits to simulated events. The muon control modes are modeled using a single DCB function, while the electron control modes are modeled using a sum of three DCB functions, where the shape of each component and their relative fractions are determined from fits to simulation in three different categories according to the number of bremsstrahlung photons added to the candidate's electrons: 0, 1, or \geq 2. In the fit to data, shifts in the DCB means and widths are allowed to vary freely with respect to those obtained from simulation in order to accommodate datasimulation differences in the mass scale and resolution. Combinatorial background is modeled with an exponential function. In the case of the B^0 control modes, $B_s^0 \rightarrow$ $J/\psi(\ell^+\ell^-)K^0_S$ decays are modeled with the B^0 DCB function with its mean offset by the measured $m_{B_s^0}$ – m_{B^0} mass difference [\[104\]](#page-9-1) and with its yield allowed to

FIG. 1. Distributions of (top left) $J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)K^0_S$ mass, (top right) $J/\psi(e^+e^-)K^0_S$ mass, (bottom left) $J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)K^0_S\pi^+$ mass and (bottom right) $J/\psi(e^+e^-)K^0_S\pi^+$ mass with the fit models used to determine the control mode yields.

vary freely. The lower limit of the mass range excludes partially reconstructed background from higher K^* resonances, which are not modeled. The structures visible in the range 5400 MeV/ $c^2 < m(J/\psi K_S^0) < 5500$ MeV/ c^2 are due to small amounts of residual contamination from Λ_b^0 decays, which have a negligible effect on the measured yields of $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)K^0_S$ and $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(e^+e^-)K^0_S$ decays. The results of the fit are shown in Fig. [1](#page-3-0) and the yields of $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)K^0_S$, $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(e^+e^-)K^0_S$, $B^+ \to J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)K^{*+}$, and $B^+ \to J/\psi(e^+e^-)K^{*+}$ decays are found to be $118\,750 \pm 360$, $21\,080 \pm 170$, 75 420 \pm 290, and 14 330 \pm 170, respectively.

The spectra of the invariant masses $m(K_S^0\mu^+\mu^-)$, $m(K_S^0 e^+ e^-)$, $m(K_S^0 \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)$, and $m(K_S^0 \pi^+ e^+ e^-)$ of the muon and electron signal modes are shown in Fig. [2.](#page-5-0) The yields of $B^0 \to K_S^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B^+ \to K^{*+} \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays are determined using fits to the $K_S^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $K_S^0 \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ mass distributions. The $B^0 \to K_S^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B^+ \to K^{*+} \mu^+ \mu^$ signal decays are modeled using DCB functions where the shape parameters are determined from fits to simulation, with shifts in their means and widths taken from the corresponding control mode fits to data. Combinatorial background is modeled using an exponential function, while partially reconstructed background is excluded by the lower mass limit.

The ratios and branching fractions are determined using fits to $K_S^0 e^+ e^-$ and $K_S^0 \pi^+ e^+ e^-$ mass spectra, with the K_S^0 candidate's mass constrained to its measured value. The $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 e^+ e^-$ and $B^+ \rightarrow K^{*+} e^+ e^-$ signal decays are modeled using the sum of three DCB functions, each corresponding to different numbers of recovered bremsstrahlung photons. The DCB parameters are taken from simulation with shifts in the means and widths taken from the control mode fits to data without a J/ψ mass constraint. Partially reconstructed background from higher K^* resonances is modeled using a DCB function with shape parameters constrained from simulation in the $B^0 \rightarrow$ $K_S^0e^+e^-$ fit, and Gaussian kernel density estimations (KDEs) determined from simulation in the $B^+ \rightarrow$ $K^{*+}e^+e^-$ fit, with their yields allowed to vary freely. Leakage from the J/ψ control modes into the signal region is modeled using KDE functions, with their yields constrained based on the control mode fits and the efficiency in simulation. Residual contamination from $B^0 \to K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^$ and $B^+ \to K^{*+} \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays is modeled in each fit by a DCB function determined from simulated events, with its yield constrained using the control mode yields, the control mode and background branching fractions, and the efficiencies taken from simulation. Combinatorial background is modeled with an exponential function.

The efficiencies used in the measurements of the ratios and differential branching fractions are calculated using simulation, to which various corrections are applied to improve the agreement with data. The PID efficiencies for each channel are calculated from calibration data samples of electrons, muons, and pions and are applied as percandidate weights to the simulation. Similarly, the electron tracking efficiency is corrected using calibration samples. The p_T and pseudorapidity of the B mesons generated by PYTHIA8 [[107\]](#page-9-4) and the occupancy of the underlying events are corrected by comparing their distributions between data and simulation using the muon control modes to calculate per-candidate weights, which are applied to both electron and muon samples. Similarly, the trigger efficiency is corrected by comparing the efficiency as a function of the p_T of the muons, the transverse energy of the electrons and pions, and the p_T of the B meson between control mode data and simulation. Further weights are applied to correct any residual mismodeling of the BDT classifier response. Finally, the simulated q^2 distribution is corrected using control mode data to account for the larger observed resolution in data.

Multiple sources of systematic uncertainty are evaluated, the largest of which comes from the statistical uncertainties of the efficiencies due to the sizes of the available samples of simulated events. These affect the $R_{K^{(*)}}^{-1}$ ratios and the differential branching fractions at 2%–3%. The next largest are associated with the mass fit models, in particular, the limited size of the simulation samples used to determine the shape parameters and the choices of models used for the partially reconstructed and J/ψ leakage background, which affect the observables by 1%–2%. The remaining sources of systematic uncertainty are found to be close to or below the 1% level. These include: the limited amount of data and simulation used to calculate correction weights, the choices of binning schemes used to evaluate the PID efficiency weights and potential biases in this procedure due to correlations in the PID response between the two electrons, the choice of methods used to calculate the trigger efficiency, imperfect modeling of the muon track reconstruction efficiency, residual mismodeling of the BDT classifier response in simulation, residual bias in the fitting procedure evaluated using pseudoexperiments, and residual contamination from $B^0 \to D^{-}(K_S^0 X)Y$ and $B^+ \to \bar{D}^0(K^0_S \pi^+ X)Y$ decays. Other sources of residual background contamination were found to have a negligible effect on the measurements. All systematic uncertainties, as well as the statistical precision of the efficiencies and various parameters used in the maximum likelihood fits, are included as Gaussian constraints in the fit. Finally, the statistical precision on each observable is scaled by factors determined from pseudoexperiments (with values in the range 1.01–1.02), in order to guarantee proper coverage.

A number of checks are performed to ensure that efficiencies are accurately estimated. The ratios

 $R^{-1}_{\psi(2S)K^{(*)}}$, where the signal modes in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) are substituted for $B \to \psi(2S)(\mu^+\mu^-)K^{(*)}$ and $B \to \psi(2S)(e^+e^-)K^{(*)}$ decays, selected in the q^2 ranges [12.86, 14.33] GeV²/c⁴ and [11.0, 15.0] GeV²/ $c⁴$, respectively, are found to be $R_{\psi(2S)K_S^0}^{-1} = 1.014 \pm 0.030 \text{(stat)} \pm 0.020 \text{(syst)}$ and $R_{\psi(2S)K^{*+}}^{-1} = 1.017 \pm 0.045 \text{(stat)} \pm 0.023 \text{(syst)}, \text{ consistent}$ with unity as expected due to lepton universality in J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ decays [[7](#page-6-6)]. Additionally, the single ratios

$$
r_{J/\psi K^{(*)}}^{-1} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}[B \to J/\psi(e^+e^-)K^{(*)}]}{\mathcal{B}[B \to J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)K^{(*)}]} = \frac{N_{\text{con}}^{ee} \epsilon_{\text{con}}^{\mu\mu}}{N_{\text{con}}^{\mu\mu} \epsilon_{\text{con}}^{ee}}, \quad (4)
$$

which do not benefit from cancellation of systematic biases between signal and control modes and, therefore, are a stringent check of the efficiencies, are found to be $r_{J/\psi K^0_S}^{-1} =$ $0.977 \pm 0.008(\text{stat}) \pm 0.027(\text{syst})$ and $r_{J/\psi K^{*+}}^{-1} = 0.965 \pm$ $0.011(stat) \pm 0.032(syst)$, again consistent with unity in both cases. Furthermore, differential measurements of $r_{J/\psi K^{(*)}}$ as functions of a range of variables that are differently distributed in the signal and control decays are performed. The most powerful of these tests measures $r_{J/\psi K^{(*)}}$ as a function of the response of a BDT classifier trained on simulated candidates to distinguish signal and control decays. All these differential distributions are found to be flat within the statistical precision, providing further confidence that the yields and efficiencies are well estimated [\[117](#page-9-11)].

In order to avoid experimenters bias, the results of the analysis and the electron signal mode mass spectra were not examined until the full procedure had been finalized and checks had been performed to ensure that the muon signal mode branching fractions were in agreement between the different data-taking years and, also, with the results of the previous measurements made by LHCb [\[23\]](#page-6-13). The fits to the $B^0 \to K_S^0 e^+ e^-, B^0 \to K_S^0 \mu^+ \mu^-, B^+ \to K^{*+} e^+ e^-$ and $B^+ \to$ $K^{*+}\mu^+\mu^-$ invariant-mass spectra are shown in Fig. [2](#page-5-0). The fitted yields of $B^0 \to K_S^0 e^+ e^-$, $B^0 \to K_S^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$, $B^+ \to$ $K^{*+}e^+e^-$, and $B^+ \to K^{*+} \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays are 45 ± 10 , 155 ± 15 , 67 ± 13 , and 221 ± 17 , respectively. The ratios $R_{K^0_S}^{-1}$ and $R_{K^{*+}}^{-1}$ are measured to be

$$
R_{K_S^0}^{-1} = 1.51^{+0.40}_{-0.35} \text{(stat)}_{-0.04}^{+0.09} \text{(syst)},
$$

$$
R_{K^{*+}}^{-1} = 1.44^{+0.32}_{-0.29} \text{(stat)}_{-0.06}^{+0.09} \text{(syst)},
$$

in the q^2 ranges [1.1, 6.0] GeV²/ c^4 and [0.045, 6.0 GeV²/ $c⁴$, respectively. These ratios are consistent with the SM at 1.5 and 1.4 standard deviations, respectively, evaluated using Wilks' theorem [\[118\]](#page-9-12). To aid comparison with other lepton-universality ratios, $R_{K^0_S}$ and $R_{K^{*+}}$ are calculated by inverting the results above, yielding

FIG. 2. Distributions of (top left) $K_S^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$ and (top right) $K_S^0 e^+ e^-$ mass with the fit models used to determine the $B^0 \to K_S^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$ yield and $R_{K_5^0}^{-1}$, and (bottom left) $K_5^0 \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ and (bottom right) $K_5^0 \pi^+ e^+ e^-$ mass with the fit models used to determine the $B^+ \to K^{*+} \mu^+ \mu^$ yield and $R_{K^{*+}}^{-1}$.

$$
R_{K_5^0} = 0.66_{-0.14}^{+0.20} \text{(stat)}_{-0.04}^{+0.02} \text{(syst)},
$$

$$
R_{K^{*+}} = 0.70_{-0.13}^{+0.18} \text{(stat)}_{-0.04}^{+0.03} \text{(syst)}.
$$

The differential branching fractions of the signal electron decays are found to be

$$
\frac{d\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^0 e^+ e^-)}{dq^2}
$$

= [2.6 \pm 0.6(stat) \pm 0.1(syst)] \times 10^{-8} GeV^{-2}c^4,

$$
\frac{d\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^{*+} e^+ e^-)}{dq^2}
$$

= [9.2_{-1.8}^{+1.9}(stat)_{-0.6}^{+0.8}(syst)] \times 10^{-8} GeV^{-2}c^4,

in the q^2 ranges [1.1, 6.0] GeV²/ c^4 and [0.045, 6.0 GeV²/ c^4 , and where the significances of the $B^0 \rightarrow$ $K_S^0 e^+ e^-$ and $B^+ \to K^{*+} e^+ e^-$ decays evaluated using Wilks' theorem [[118\]](#page-9-12) are 5.3σ and 6.0σ , respectively. Since the control mode branching fraction of $B^0 \rightarrow$ $J/\psi K^0$ decays $(8.91 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-4}$ [[104](#page-9-1)] is used, the differential branching fraction of $B^0 \to K^0 e^+ e^-$, instead of $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 e^+ e^-$, decays is reported. A combination of the $R^{-1}_{K^0_S}$ and $R^{-1}_{K^{*+}}$ measurements is performed using the FLAVIO software package [[119\]](#page-9-13) to fit for a single muon-specific Wilson coefficient $C_9^{\text{NP}} = -C_{10}^{\text{NP}}$, while fixing all other Wilson coefficients to their SM values. This scenario is used in several existing fits to $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ data, and is specifically chosen as the ratios $R_{K^{(*)}}$ have poor sensitivity in discriminating between the Wilson coefficients C_9 and C_{10} . The fit results in $C_9^{\text{NP}} = -C_{10}^{\text{NP}} = -0.8_{-0.3}^{+0.4}$ and a significance of 2.0 standard deviations with respect to the SM under this specific scenario. It should be noted that this fit is model dependent, and the result could change if data-driven estimates of the hadronic uncertainties were used.

These measurements constitute the most precise tests of lepton universality in $B^0 \to K_S^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$ and $B^+ \to K^{*+} \ell^+ \ell^$ decays to date, the most precise measurements of their differential branching fractions at low q^2 , and the first observations of $B^0 \to K_S^0 e^+ e^-$ and $B^+ \to K^{*+} e^+ e^$ decays. While these measurements are individually consistent with the SM, the central values exhibit the same deficit of muonic decays relative to electronic decays as seen in the other lepton universality tests performed by the LHCb collaboration [[6](#page-6-5)–[8](#page-6-7)].

We express our gratitude to our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC. We thank the technical and administrative staff at the LHCb institutes. We acknowledge support from CERN and from the national agencies: CAPES, CNPq, FAPERJ, and FINEP (Brazil); MOST and NSFC (China); CNRS/ IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and MPG (Germany); INFN (Italy); NWO (Netherlands); MNiSW and NCN (Poland); MEN/IFA (Romania); MSHE (Russia); MICINN (Spain); SNSF and SER (Switzerland); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE NP and NSF (USA). We acknowledge the computing resources that are provided by CERN, IN2P3 (France), KIT and DESY (Germany), INFN (Italy), SURF (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), GridPP (United Kingdom), RRCKI and Yandex LLC (Russia), CSCS (Switzerland), IFIN-HH (Romania), CBPF (Brazil), PL-GRID (Poland), and NERSC (USA). We are indebted to the communities behind the multiple open-source software packages on which we depend. Individual groups or members have received support from ARC and ARDC (Australia); AvH Foundation (Germany); EPLANET, Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions, and ERC (European Union); A*MIDEX, ANR, IPhU and Labex P2IO, and Région Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes (France); Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences of CAS, CAS PIFI, CAS CCEPP, Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, and the Sci. and Tech. Program of Guangzhou (China); RFBR, RSF, and Yandex LLC (Russia); GVA, XuntaGal, and GENCAT (Spain); the Leverhulme Trust, the Royal Society and UKRI (United Kingdom).

- [1] Charge conjugate processes are implied throughout.
- [2] G. Hiller and F. Krüger, More model-independent analysis of $b \to s$ processes, Phys. Rev. D 69[, 074020 \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.69.074020)
- [3] M. Bordone, G. Isidori, and A. Pattori, On the Standard Model predictions for R_K and R_{K^*} , [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4274-7) 76, 440 [\(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4274-7)
- [4] G. Isidori, S. Nabeebaccus, and R. Zwicky, OED corrections in $\bar{B} \to \bar{K} \ell^+ \ell^-$ at the double-differential level, [J. High Energy Phys. 12 \(2020\) 104.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2020)104)
- [5] C. Bobeth, G. Hiller, and G. Piranishvili, Angular distributions of $\bar{B} \to \bar{K} \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays, [J. High Energy Phys. 12](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/12/040) [\(2007\) 040.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/12/040)
- [6] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Test of lepton universality with $B^0 \to K^{*0} \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2017)055) [Phys. 08 \(2017\) 055.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2017)055)
- [7] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Test of lepton universality in beauty-quark decays, [Nat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-021-01478-8) 18, 277 [\(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-021-01478-8)
- [8] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Test of lepton universality using $\Lambda_b^0 \to pK^-\ell^+\ell^-$ decays, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2020)040) [Phys. 05 \(2020\) 040.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2020)040)
- [9] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Angular Analysis of the $B^+ \to K^{*+} \mu^+ \mu^-$ Decay, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.161802) 126, 161802 [\(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.161802)
- [10] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Measurement of CP -Averaged Observables in the $B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ Decay, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.011802) Rev. Lett. 125[, 011802 \(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.011802).
- [11] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Angular analysis of the $B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay using 3 fb⁻¹ of integrated luminosity, [J. High Energy Phys. 02 \(2016\) 104.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2016)104)
- [12] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Angular analysis of the rare decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$, [J. High Energy Phys. 11](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2021)043) [\(2021\) 043.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2021)043)
- [13] M. Aaboud et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), Angular analysis of $B_d^0 \to K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} =$ 8 TeV with the ATLAS detector, [J. High Energy Phys. 10](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2018)047) [\(2018\) 047.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2018)047)
- [14] B. Aubert et al. (BABAR Collaboration), Measurements of branching fractions, rate asymmetries, and angular distributions in the rare decays $B \to K\ell^+\ell^-$ and $B \to K^*\ell^+\ell^-$, Phys. Rev. D 73[, 092001 \(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.092001).
- [15] J. P. Lees et al. (BABAR Collaboration), Measurement of angular asymmetries in the decays $B \to K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.052015) Rev. D 93[, 052015 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.052015).
- [16] J.-T. Wei et al. (Belle Collaboration), Measurement of the Differential Branching Fraction and Forward-Backward Asymmetry for $B \to K^{(*)} \ell^+ \ell^-$, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.171801) 103, [171801 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.171801)
- [17] S. Wehle et al. (Belle Collaboration), Lepton-Flavor-Dependent Angular Analysis of $B \to K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.111801) Rev. Lett. 118[, 111801 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.111801).
- [18] T. Aaltonen et al. (CDF Collaboration), Measurements of the Angular Distributions in the Decays $B \to K^{(*)} \mu^+ \mu^-$ at CDF, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108[, 081807 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.081807)
- [19] V. Khachatryan et al. (CMS Collaboration), Angular analysis of the decay $B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ from pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.12.020) 753, 424 (2016).
- [20] A. M. Sirunyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), Measurement of angular parameters from the decay B⁰ \rightarrow K^{*0} $\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.04.030) 781[, 517 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.04.030).
- [21] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Measurements of the S-wave fraction in $B^0 \to K^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$ decays and the $B^0 \to$ $K^*(892)^0\mu^+\mu^-$ differential branching fraction, [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2016)047) [Energy Phys. 11 \(2016\) 047;](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2016)047) [04 \(2017\) 142\(E\).](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2017)142)
- [22] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Branching Fraction Measurements of the Rare $B_s^0 \to \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B_s^0 \to$ $f'_{2}(1525)\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ Decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. 127[, 151801 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.151801)
- [23] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Differential branching fractions and isospin asymmetries of $B \to K^{(*)} \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays, [J. High Energy Phys. 06 \(2014\) 133.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2014)133)
- [24] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Differential branching fraction and angular analysis of $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays, [J. High Energy Phys. 06 \(2015\) 115;](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2015)115) [09 \(2018\) 145.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2018)145)
- [25] S. Descotes-Genon, L. Hofer, J. Matias, and J. Virto, Global analysis of $b \rightarrow s\ell\ell$ anomalies, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2016)092) [Phys. 06 \(2016\) 092.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2016)092)
- [26] S. Jäger and J. Martin Camalich, Reassessing the discovery potential of the $B \to K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays in the large-recoil region: SM challenges and BSM opportunities, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.014028) D 93[, 014028 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.014028)
- [27] J. Lyon and R. Zwicky, Resonances gone topsy turvy—the charm of QCD or new physics in $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$?, [arXiv:](https://arXiv.org/abs/1406.0566) [1406.0566.](https://arXiv.org/abs/1406.0566)
- [28] A. Khodjamirian, T. Mannel, and Y.M. Wang, $B \rightarrow$ $K\ell^+\ell^-$ decay at large hadronic recoil, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2013)010) [Phys. 02 \(2013\) 010.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2013)010)
- [29] A. Khodjamirian, T. Mannel, A. A. Pivovarov, and Y.-M. Wang, Charm-loop effect in $B \to K^{(*)} \ell^+ \ell^-$ and $B \to K^* \gamma$, [J. High Energy Phys. 09 \(2010\) 089.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2010)089)
- [30] S. Descotes-Genon, L. Hofer, J. Matias, and J. Virto, On the impact of power corrections in the prediction of $B \rightarrow$ $K^*\mu^+\mu^-$ observables, [J. High Energy Phys. 12 \(2014\) 125.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2014)125)
- [31] R. R. Horgan, Z. Liu, S. Meinel, and M. Wingate, Calculation of $B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B^0_s \to \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$ Observables Using Form Factors from Lattice QCD, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.212003) Lett. 112[, 212003 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.212003).
- [32] F. Beaujean, C. Bobeth, and D. van Dyk, Comprehensive Bayesian analysis of rare (semi)leptonic and radiative B decays, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-2897-0) 74, 2897 (2014); 74[, 3179\(E\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-3179-6) [\(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-3179-6)
- [33] C. Hambrock, G. Hiller, S. Schacht, and R. Zwicky, $B \rightarrow$ K^* form factors from flavor data to QCD and back, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.074014) Rev. D 89[, 074014 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.074014).
- [34] W. Altmannshofer and D.M. Straub, New physics in $B \to K^* \mu \mu$?, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2646-9) **73**, 2646 (2013).
- [35] C. Bobeth, M. Chrzaszcz, D. van Dyk, and J. Virto, Longdistance effects in $B \to K^* \ell \ell$ from analyticity, [Eur. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5918-6) J. C 78[, 451 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5918-6).
- [36] M. Ciuchini, M. Fedele, E. Franco, A. Paul, L. Silvestrini, and M. Valli, Lessons from the $B^{0,+} \to K^{*0,+} \mu^+ \mu^-$ angular analyses, Phys. Rev. D 103 [\(2021\) 015030.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.015030)
- [37] K. Kowalska, D. Kumar, and E. M. Sessolo, Implications for new physics in $b \rightarrow s \mu \mu$ transitions after recent measurements by Belle and LHCb, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7330-2) 79, [840 \(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7330-2).
- [38] M. Algueró, B. Capdevila, A. Crivellin, S. Descotes-Genon, P. Masjuan, J. Matias, and J. Virto, Emerging patterns of new physics with and without lepton flavour universal contributions, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7216-3) 79, 714 (2019); [80](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8018-3), [511\(A\) \(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8018-3).
- [39] T. Hurth, F. Mahmoudi, and S. Neshatpour, Implications of the new LHCb angular analysis of $B \to K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$: Hadronic effects or new physics?, Phys. Rev. D 102[, 055001 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.055001)
- [40] M. Ciuchini, A. M. Coutinho, M. Fedele, E. Franco, A. Paul, L. Silvestrini, and M. Valli, New physics in $b \rightarrow$ $s\ell^+\ell^-$ confronts new data on lepton universality, [Eur.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7210-9) Phys. J. C 79[, 719 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7210-9)
- [41] J. Aebischer, W. Altmannshofer, D. Guadagnoli, M. Reboud, P. Stangl, and D. M. Straub, B-decay discrepancies after Moriond 2019, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7817-x) 80, 252 (2020).
- [42] A. K. Alok, A. Dighe, S. Gangal, and D. Kumar, Continuing search for new physics in $b \rightarrow s \mu \mu$ decays: Two operators at a time, [J. High Energy Phys. 06 \(2019\) 089.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2019)089)
- [43] W. Altmannshofer and P. Stangl, New physics in rare B decays after Moriond 2021, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09725-1) 81, 952 [\(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09725-1)
- [44] L.-S. Geng, B. Grinstein, S. Jager, S. Y. Li, J. M. Camalich, and R. X. Shi, Implications of new evidence for leptonuniversality violation in $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ decays, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.035029) 104[, 035029 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.035029).
- [45] C. Cornella, D. A. Faroughy, J. Fuentes-Martín, G. Isidori, and M. Neubert, Reading the footprints of the B-meson flavor anomalies, [J. High Energy Phys. 08 \(2021\) 050.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2021)050)
- [46] M. Algueró et al., $b \rightarrow s\ell\ell$ global fits after Moriond 2021 results, in 55th Rencontres de Moriond on QCD and High Energy Interactions (2021), [arXiv:2104.08921](https://arXiv.org/abs/2104.08921).
- [47] T. Hurth, F. Mahmoudi, D. M. Santos, and S. Neshatpour, More indications for lepton nonuniversality in $b \rightarrow$ $s\ell^+\ell^-$, Phys. Lett. B **824**[, 136838 \(2022\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136838).
- [48] W. Altmannshofer, S. Gori, M. Pospelov, and I. Yavin, Quark flavor transitions in $L_u - L_\tau$ models, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.095033) 89[, 095033 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.095033)
- [49] A. Crivellin, G. D'Ambrosio, and J. Heeck, Explaining $h \to \mu^{\pm} \tau^{\mp}$, $B \to K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B \to K \mu^+ \mu^- / B \to K e^+ e^$ in a Two-Higgs-Doublet Model with Gauged $L_u - L_{\tau}$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114[, 151801 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.151801)
- [50] A. Celis, J. Fuentes-Martin, M. Jung, and H. Serodio, Family nonuniversal Z' models with protected flavorchanging interactions, Phys. Rev. D 92[, 015007 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.015007)
- [51] A. Falkowski, M. Nardecchia, and R. Ziegler, Lepton flavor non-universality in B-meson decays from a $U(2)$ flavor model, [J. High Energy Phys. 11 \(2015\) 173.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2015)173)
- [52] B. C. Allanach, J. M. Butterworth, and T. Corbett, Collider constraints on Z' models for neutral current B-anomalies, [J. High Energy Phys. 08 \(2019\) 106.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2019)106)
- [53] B. C. Allanach and J. Davighi, Naturalising the third family hypercharge model for neutral current B-anomalies, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7414-z) 79, 908 (2019).
- [54] J. Kawamura, S. Raby, and A. Trautner, Complete vectorlike fourth family and new $U(1)$ ' for muon anomalies, Phys. Rev. D 100[, 055030 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.055030)
- [55] S. Dwivedi, D. Kumar Ghosh, A. Falkowski, and N. Ghosh, Associated Z' production in the flavorful $U(1)$ scenario for $R_{K^{(*)}}$, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7810-4) 80, 263 (2020).
- [56] Z.-L. Han, R. Ding, S.-J. Lin, and B. Zhu, Gauged $U(1)_{L_u-L_x}$ scotogenic model in light of $R_{K^{(*)}}$ anomaly and AMS-02 positron excess, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7526-5) 79, 1007 [\(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7526-5)
- [57] B. Capdevila, A. Crivellin, C. A. Manzari, and M. Montull, Explaining $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ and the Cabibbo angle anomaly with a vector triplet, Phys. Rev. D 103[, 015032 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.015032).
- [58] W. Altmannshofer, J. Davighi, and M. Nardecchia, Gauging the accidental symmetries of the standard model, and implications for the flavor anomalies, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.015004) 101, [015004 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.015004)
- [59] S.-L. Chen, A. D. Banik, Z. Kang, Q. Qin, and Y. Shigekami, Signatures of a flavor changing Z' boson in $B_q \to \gamma Z'$, Nucl. Phys. **B962**[, 115237 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2020.115237)
- [60] A. Carvunis, D. Guadagnoli, M. Reboud, and P. Stangl, Composite dark matter and a horizontal symmetry, [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2021)056) [Energy Phys. 02 \(2021\) 056.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2021)056)
- [61] A. Karozas, G. K. Leontaris, I. Tavellaris, and N. D. Vlachos, On the LHC signatures of $SU(5) \times U(1)$ ['] F-theory motivated models, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-08794-y) 81, 35 (2021).
- [62] D. Borah, L. Mukherjee, and S. Nandi, Low scale $U(1)_X$ gauge symmetry as an origin of dark matter, neutrino mass and flavour anomalies, [J. High Energy Phys. 12](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2020)052) [\(2020\) 052.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2020)052)
- [63] B. C. Allanach, $U(1)_{B_3-L_2}$ explanation of the neutral current B —anomalies, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-08855-w) 81, 56 (2021); 81[, 321\(E\) \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09100-0).
- [64] J.-H. Sheng, The analysis of $b \to s\ell^+\ell^-$ in the family nonuniversal Z' model, [Int. J. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10773-020-04654-3) 60, 26 (2021).
- [65] G. Hiller and M. Schmaltz, R_K and future $b \rightarrow s \ell \ell$ physics beyond the standard model opportunities, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.054014) 90, [054014 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.054014)
- [66] L. Di Luzio, A. Greljo, and M. Nardecchia, Gauge leptoquark as the origin of B-physics anomalies, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.115011) Rev. D 96[, 115011 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.115011).
- [67] A. Greljo and B. A. Stefanek, Third family quark– lepton unification at the TeV scale, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.05.033) 782, [131 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.05.033).
- [68] B. Gripaios, M. Nardecchia, and S. A. Renner, Composite leptoquarks and anomalies in B-meson decays, [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2015)006) [Energy Phys. 05 \(2015\) 006.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2015)006)
- [69] I. de Medeiros Varzielas and G. Hiller, Clues for flavor from rare lepton and quark decays, [J. High Energy Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2015)072) [06 \(2015\) 072.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2015)072)
- [70] R. Barbieri, C. W. Murphy, and F. Senia, B-decay anomalies in a composite leptoquark model, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4578-7) 77, 8 [\(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4578-7)
- [71] M. Bordone, C. Cornella, J. Fuentes-Martín, and G. Isidori, Low-energy signatures of the $PS³$ model: From B-physics anomalies to LFV, [J. High Energy Phys. 10](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2018)148) [\(2018\) 148.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2018)148)
- [72] B. Fornal, S. A. Gadam, and B. Grinstein, Left-right SU(4) vector leptoquark model for flavor anomalies, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.055025) D 99[, 055025 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.055025)
- [73] S. Balaji and M. A. Schmidt, Unified SU(4) theory for the $R_{D^{(*)}}$ and $R_{K^{(*)}}$ anomalies, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.015026) 101, 015026 [\(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.015026)
- [74] C. Cornella, J. Fuentes-Martin, and G. Isidori, Revisiting the vector leptoquark explanation of the B-physics anomalies, [J. High Energy Phys. 07 \(2019\) 168.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2019)168)
- [75] A. Datta, D. Sachdeva, and J. Waite, Unified explanation of $b \rightarrow s\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ anomalies, neutrino masses, and $B \rightarrow \pi K$ puzzle, Phys. Rev. D 100[, 055015 \(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.055015).
- [76] O. Popov, M. A. Schmidt, and G. White, R_2 as a single leptoquark solution to $R_{D^{(*)}}$ and $R_{K^{(*)}}$, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.035028) 100, [035028 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.035028)
- [77] I. Bigaran, J. Gargalionis, and R. R. Volkas, A nearminimal leptoquark model for reconciling flavour anomalies and generating radiative neutrino masses, [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2019)106) [Energy Phys. 10 \(2019\) 106.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2019)106)
- [78] J. Bernigaud, I. de Medeiros Varzielas, and J. Talbert, Finite family groups for fermionic and leptoquark mixing patterns, [J. High Energy Phys. 01 \(2020\) 194.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2020)194)
- [79] L. Da Rold and F. Lamagna, A vector leptoquark for the Bphysics anomalies from a composite GUT, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2019)112) [Phys. 12 \(2019\) 112.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2019)112)
- [80] J. Fuentes-Martín, M. Reig, and A. Vicente, Strong CP problem with low-energy emergent QCD: The 4321 case, Phys. Rev. D 100[, 115028 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.115028)
- [81] C. Hati, J. Kriewald, J. Orloff, and A.M. Teixeira, A. nonunitary interpretation for a single vector leptoquark combined explanation to the B-decay anomalies, [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2019)006) [Energy Phys. 12 \(2019\) 006.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2019)006)
- [82] A. Datta, J. L. Feng, S. Kamali, and J. Kumar, Resolving the $(g - 2)$ _u and B anomalies with leptoquarks and a dark Higgs boson, Phys. Rev. D 101[, 035010 \(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.035010).
- [83] A. Crivellin, D. Müller, and F. Saturnino, Flavor phenomenology of the leptoquark singlet-triplet model, [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2020)020) [Energy Phys. 06 \(2020\) 020.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2020)020)
- [84] C. Borschensky, B. Fuks, A. Kulesza, and D. Schwartländer, Scalar leptoquark pair production at hadron colliders, Phys. Rev. D 101[, 115017 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.115017)
- [85] S. Saad, Combined explanations of $(g-2)_u$, $R_{D^{(*)}}$, $R_{K^{(*)}}$ anomalies in a two-loop radiative neutrino mass model, Phys. Rev. D 102[, 015019 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.015019)
- [86] J. Fuentes-Martín and P. Stangl, Third-family quark-lepton unification with a fundamental composite Higgs, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135953) Lett. B **811**[, 135953 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135953)
- [87] P. S. Bhupal Dev, R. Mohanta, S. Patra, and S. Sahoo, Unified explanation of flavor anomalies, radiative neutrino masses, and ANITA anomalous events in a vector leptoquark model, Phys. Rev. D 102[, 095012 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.095012)
- [88] B. Fornal, Gravitational wave signatures of lepton universality violation, Phys. Rev. D 103[, 015018 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.015018)
- [89] J. Davighi, M. Kirk, and M. Nardecchia, Anomalies and accidental symmetries: charging the scalar leptoquark under $L_u - L_z$, [J. High Energy Phys. 12 \(2020\) 111.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2020)111)
- [90] K. S. Babu, P. S. B. Dev, S. Jana, and A. Thapa, Unified framework for *B*-anomalies, muon $q - 2$ and neutrino masses, [J. High Energy Phys. 03 \(2021\) 179.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2021)179)
- [91] A. Angelescu, D. Becirevic, D. A. Faroughy, F. Jaffredo, and O. Sumensari, Single leptoquark solutions to the B-physics anomalies, Phys. Rev. D 104[, 055017 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.055017)
- [92] S. Trifinopoulos, B-physics anomalies: The bridge between R-parity violating supersymmetry and flavored dark matter, Phys. Rev. D 100[, 115022 \(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.115022).
- [93] Q.-Y. Hu and L.-L. Huang, Explaining $b \to s\ell^+\ell^-$ data by sneutrinos in the R -parity violating MSSM, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.035030) 101[, 035030 \(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.035030).
- [94] Q.-Y. Hu, Y.-D. Yang, and M.-D. Zheng, Revisiting the B-physics anomalies in R-parity violating MSSM, [Eur.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7940-8) Phys. J. C 80[, 365 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7940-8)
- [95] A. Shaw, Looking for $B \to X_s \ell^+ \ell^-$ in a nonminimal universal extra dimensional model, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.115030) 99, [115030 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.115030)
- [96] B. Barman, D. Borah, L. Mukherjee, and S. Nandi, Correlating the anomalous results in $b \rightarrow s$ decays with inert Higgs doublet dark matter and muon $(g - 2)$, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.115010) Rev. D 100[, 115010 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.115010)
- [97] P. Arnan, A. Crivellin, M. Fedele, and F. Mescia, Generic loop effects of new scalars and fermions in $b \to s\ell^+\ell^-$, $(g - 2)$ _μ and a vector-like 4th generation, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2019)118) [Phys. 06 \(2019\) 118.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2019)118)
- [98] L. Delle Rose, S. Khalil, S. J. D. King, and S. Moretti, R_K and R_{K^*} in an aligned 2HDM with right-handed neutrinos, Phys. Rev. D 101[, 115009 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.115009)
- [99] A. Ordell, R. Pasechnik, H. Serôdio, and F. Nottensteiner, Classification of anomaly-free 2HDMs with a gauged U(1)' symmetry, Phys. Rev. D 100[, 115038 \(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.115038).
- [100] C. Marzo, L. Marzola, and M. Raidal, Common explanation to the $R_{K^{(*)}}$, $R_{D^{(*)}}$ and ϵ'/ϵ anomalies in a 3HDM + ν_R and connections to neutrino physics, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.055031) 100, [055031 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.055031)
- [101] J. P. Lees et al. (BABAR Collaboration), Measurement of branching fractions and rate asymmetries in the rare decays $B \to K^{(*)} l^+ l^-$, Phys. Rev. D 86[, 032012 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.032012)
- [102] A. Abdesselam et al. (Belle Collaboration), Test of Lepton-Flavor Universality in $B \to K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$ Decays at Belle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126[, 161801 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.161801)
- [103] S. Choudhury et al. (Belle Collaboration), Test of lepton flavor universality and search for lepton flavor violation in $B \rightarrow K \ell \ell$ decays, [J. High Energy Phys. 03 \(2021\) 105.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2021)105)
- [104] P.A. Zyla et al. (Particle Data Group), Review of particle physics, [Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptaa104) 2020, 083C01 [\(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptaa104)
- [105] A. A. Alves Jr. et al. (LHCb Collaboration), The LHCb detector at the LHC, J. Instrum. 3[, S08005 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08005)
- [106] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), LHCb detector performance, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X15300227) 30, 1530022 (2015).
- [107] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, A brief introduction to PYTHIA8.1, [Comput. Phys. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2008.01.036) 178, [852 \(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2008.01.036).T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, PYTHIA6.4 physics and manual, [J. High Energy Phys. 05](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/026) [\(2006\) 026.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/026)
- [108] I. Belyaev et al., Handling of the generation of primary events in Gauss, the LHCb simulation framework, [J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/331/3/032047) Conf. Ser. 331[, 032047 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/331/3/032047)
- [109] D. J. Lange, The EvtGen particle decay simulation package, [Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(01)00089-4) 462, 152 [\(2001\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(01)00089-4)
- [110] J. Allison et al. (Geant4 Collaboration), GEANT4 developments and applications, [IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TNS.2006.869826) 53, 270 [\(2006\);](https://doi.org/10.1109/TNS.2006.869826) S. Agostinelli et al. (Geant4 Collaboration), GEANT4: A simulation toolkit, [Nucl. Instrum. Methods](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8) [Phys. Res., Sect. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8) 506, 250 (2003).
- [111] M. Clemencic, G. Corti, S. Easo, C. R. Jones, S.Miglioranzi, M. Pappagallo, and P. Robbe, The LHCb simulation

application, Gauss: Design, evolution and experience, [J. Phys. Conf. Ser.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/331/3/032023) 331, 032023 (2011).

- [112] N. Davidson, T. Przedzinski, and Z. Was, PHOTOS interface in C++: Technical and physics documentation, [Comput. Phys. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2015.09.013) 199, 86 (2016).
- [113] B. Aubert et al. (BABAR Collaboration), Measurement of Branching Fractions and Charge Asymmetries for Exclusive B Decays to Charmonium, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.141801) 94, [141801 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.141801)
- [114] K. Abe et al. (Belle Collaboration), Measurements of branching fractions and decay amplitudes in $B \to J/\psi K^*$ decays, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(02)01969-X) 538, 11 (2002).
- [115] L. Breiman, J. H. Friedman, R. A. Olshen, and C. J. Stone, Classification and Regression Trees (Wadsworth International Group, Belmont, California, 1984).
- [116] T. Skwarnicki, A study of the radiative cascade transitions between the Upsilon-prime and Upsilon resonances, Ph.D. thesis, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Krakow, 1986, DESY-F31-86-02.
- [117] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.191802) [supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.191802](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.191802) for further details.
- [118] S. S. Wilks, The large-sample distribution of the likelihood ratio for testing composite hypotheses, [Ann. Math. Stat.](https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177732360) 9, [60 \(1938\)](https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177732360).
- [119] D. M. Straub, Flavio: A Python package for flavour and precision phenomenology in the Standard Model and beyond, [arXiv:1810.08132.](https://arXiv.org/abs/1810.08132)

R. Aaij,³² A. S. W. Abdelmotteleb,⁵⁶ C. Abellán Beteta,⁵⁰ F. Abudinén,⁵⁶ T. Ackernley,⁶⁰ B. Adeva,⁴⁶ M. Adinolfi,⁵⁴ H. Afsharnia,⁹ C. Agapopoulou,¹³ C. A. Aidala,⁸⁷ S. Aiola,²⁵ Z. Ajaltouni,⁹ S. Akar,⁶⁵ J. Albrecht,¹⁵ F. Alessio,⁴⁸ M. Alexander,⁵⁹ A. Alfonso Albero,⁴⁵ Z. Aliouche,⁶² G. Alkhazov,³⁸ P. Alvarez Cartelle,⁵⁵ S. Amato,² J. L. Amey,⁵⁴ Y. Amhis, 11 L. An, 48 L. Anderlini, 22 N. Andersson, 50 A. Andreianov, 38 M. Andreotti, 21 F. Archilli, 17 A. Artamonov, 44 M. Artuso,⁶⁸ K. Arzymatov,⁴² E. Aslanides,¹⁰ M. Atzeni,⁵⁰ B. Audurier,¹² S. Bachmann,¹⁷ M. Bachmayer,⁴⁹ J. J. Back,⁵⁶ P. Baladron Rodriguez,⁴⁶ V. Balagura,¹² W. Baldini,²¹ J. Baptista Leite,¹ M. Barbetti,^{2[2,b](#page-14-0)} R. J. Barlow,⁶² S. Barsuk,¹¹ W. Barter, ⁶¹ M. Bartolini, ⁵⁵ F. Baryshnikov, ⁸³ J. M. Basels, ¹⁴ S. Bashir, ³⁴ G. Bassi, ²⁹ B. Batsukh, ⁶⁸ A. Battig, ¹⁵ A. Bay, ⁴⁹ A. Beck,⁵⁶ M. Becker,¹⁵ F. Bedeschi,²⁹ I. Bediaga,¹ A. Beiter,⁶⁸ V. Belavin,⁴² S. Belin,²⁷ V. Bellee,⁵⁰ K. Belous,⁴⁴ I. Belov,⁴⁰ I. Belyaev,⁴¹ G. Bencivenni,²³ E. Ben-Haim,¹³ A. Berezhnoy,⁴⁰ R. Bernet,⁵⁰ D. Berninghoff,¹⁷ H. C. Bernstein,⁶⁸ C. Bertella,⁶² A. Bertolin,²⁸ C. Betancourt,⁵⁰ F. Betti,⁴⁸ Ia. Bezshyiko,⁵⁰ S. Bhasin,⁵⁴ J. Bhom,³⁵ L. Bian,⁷³ M. S. Bieker,¹⁵ N. V. Biesuz,²¹ S. Bifani,⁵³ P. Billoir,¹³ A. Biolchini,³² M. Birch,⁶¹ F. C. R. Bishop,⁵⁵ A. Bitadze,⁶² A. Bizzeti,^{2[2,c](#page-14-1)} M. Bjørn,⁶³ M. P. Blago,⁴⁸ T. Blake,⁵⁶ F. Blanc,⁴⁹ S. Blusk,⁶⁸ D. Bobulska,⁵⁹ J. A. Boelhauve,¹⁵ O. Boente Garcia,⁴⁶ T. Boettcher,⁶⁵ A. Boldyrev, 82 A. Bondar, 43 N. Bondar, $38,48$ S. Borghi, 62 M. Borisyak, 42 M. Borsato, 17 J. T. Borsuk, 35 S. A. Bouchiba, 49 T. J. V. Bowcock,^{60,48} A. Boyer,⁴⁸ C. Bozzi,²¹ M. J. Bradley,⁶¹ S. Braun,⁶⁶ A. Brea Rodriguez,⁴⁶ J. Brodzicka,³⁵ A. Brossa Gonzalo,⁵⁶ D. Brundu,²⁷ A. Buonaura,⁵⁰ L. Buonincontri,²⁸ A. T. Burke,⁶² C. Burr,⁴⁸ A. Bursche,⁷² A. Butkevich,³⁹ J. S. Butter,³² J. Buytaert,⁴⁸ W. Byczynski,⁴⁸ S. Cadeddu,²⁷ H. Cai,⁷³ R. Calabrese,^{21[,d](#page-14-2)} L. Calefice,^{15,13} S. Cali,²³ R. Calladine,⁵³ M. Calvi,^{26[,e](#page-14-3)} M. Calvo Gomez, ⁸⁵ P. Camargo Magalhaes,⁵⁴ P. Campana,²³ A. F. Campoverde Quezada,⁶ S. Capelli,^{26[,e](#page-14-3)} L. Capriotti,^{20[,f](#page-14-4)} A. Carbone,^{2[0,f](#page-14-4)} G. Carboni,^{31[,g](#page-14-5)} R. Cardinale,^{2[4,h](#page-14-6)} A. Cardini,²⁷ I. Carli,⁴ P. Carniti,^{2[6,e](#page-14-3)} L. Carus,¹⁴ K. Carvalho Akiba,³² A. Casais Vidal,⁴⁶ R. Caspary,¹⁷ G. Casse,⁶⁰ M. Cattaneo,⁴⁸ G. Cavallero,⁴⁸ S. Celani,⁴⁹ J. Cerasoli,¹⁰ D. Cervenkov,⁶³ A. J. Chadwick,⁶⁰ M. G. Chapman,⁵⁴ M. Charles,¹³ Ph. Charpentier,⁴⁸ G. Chatzikonstantinidis,⁵³ C. A. Chavez Barajas,⁶⁰ M. Chefdeville,⁸ C. Chen,³ S. Chen,⁴ A. Chernov,³⁵ V. Chobanova, ⁴⁶ S. Cholak, ⁴⁹ M. Chrzaszcz, ³⁵ A. Chubykin, ³⁸ V. Chulikov, ³⁸ P. Ciambrone, ²³ M. F. Cicala, ⁵⁶ X. Cid Vidal, ⁴⁶ G. Ciezarek,⁴⁸ P. E. L. Clarke,⁵⁸ M. Clemencic,⁴⁸ H. V. Cliff,⁵⁵ J. Closier,⁴⁸ J. L. Cobbledick,⁶² V. Coco,⁴⁸ J. A. B. Coelho,¹¹

J. Cogan, ¹⁰ E. Cogneras, ⁹ L. Cojocariu, ³⁷ P. Collins, ⁴⁸ T. Colombo, ⁴⁸ L. Congedo, ^{1[9,i](#page-14-7)} A. Contu, ²⁷ N. Cooke, ⁵³ G. Coombs, ⁵⁹ I. Corredoira,⁴⁶ G. Corti,⁴⁸ C. M. Costa Sobral,⁵⁶ B. Couturier,⁴⁸ D. C. Craik,⁶⁴ J. Crkovská,⁶⁷ M. Cruz Torres,¹ R. Currie,⁵⁸ C. L. Da Silva,⁶⁷ S. Dadabaev,⁸³ L. Dai,⁷¹ E. Dall'Occo,¹⁵ J. Dalseno,⁴⁶ C. D'Ambrosio,⁴⁸ A. Danilina,⁴¹ P. d'Argent,⁴⁸ A. Dashkina, 83 J. E. Davies, 62 A. Davis, 62 O. De Aguiar Francisco, 62 K. De Bruyn, 79 S. De Capua, 62 M. De Cian, 49 E. De Lucia, 23 J. M. De Miranda,¹ L. De Paula,² M. De Serio,^{1[9,i](#page-14-7)} D. De Simone,⁵⁰ P. De Simone,²³ F. De Vellis,¹⁵ J. A. de Vries, 80 C. T. Dean, 67 F. Debernardis, 19,1 D. Decamp, 8 V. Dedu, 10 L. Del Buono, 13 B. Delaney, 55 H.-P. Dembinski, 15 A. Dendek,³⁴ V. Denysenko,⁵⁰ D. Derkach,⁸² O. Deschamps,⁹ F. Desse,¹¹ F. Dettori,^{27[,j](#page-14-8)} B. Dey,⁷⁷ A. Di Cicco,²³ P. Di Nezza,²³ S. Didenko, ⁸³ L. Dieste Maronas, ⁴⁶ H. Dijkstra, ⁴⁸ V. Dobishuk, ⁵² C. Dong, ³ A. M. Donohoe, ¹⁸ F. Dordei, ²⁷ A. C. dos Reis,¹ L. Douglas,⁵⁹ A. Dovbnya,⁵¹ A. G. Downes,⁸ M. W. Dudek,³⁵ L. Dufour,⁴⁸ V. Duk,⁷⁸ P. Durante,⁴⁸ J. M. Durham, ⁶⁷ D. Dutta, ⁶² A. Dziurda, ³⁵ A. Dzyuba, ³⁸ S. Easo, ⁵⁷ U. Egede, ⁶⁹ V. Egorychev, ⁴¹ S. Eidelman, ^{43[,k](#page-14-9)} S. Eisenhardt,⁵⁸ S. Ek-In,⁴⁹ L. Eklund,⁸⁶ S. Ely,⁶⁸ A. Ene,³⁷ E. Epple,⁶⁷ S. Escher,¹⁴ J. Eschle,⁵⁰ S. Esen,⁵⁰ T. Evans,⁴⁸ L. N. Falcao,¹ Y. Fan,⁶ B. Fang,⁷³ S. Farry,⁶⁰ D. Fazzini,^{2[6,e](#page-14-3)} M. Féo,⁴⁸ A. Fernandez Prieto,⁴⁶ A. D. Fernez,⁶⁶ F. Ferrari,^{20[,f](#page-14-4)} L. Ferreira Lopes,⁴⁹ F. Ferreira Rodrigues,² S. Ferreres Sole,³² M. Ferrillo,⁵⁰ M. Ferro-Luzzi,⁴⁸ S. Filippov,³⁹ R. A. Fini,¹⁹ M. Fiorini,^{2[1,d](#page-14-2)} M. Firlej,³⁴ K. M. Fischer,⁶³ D. S. Fitzgerald,⁸⁷ C. Fitzpatrick,⁶² T. Fiutowski,³⁴ A. Fkiaras,⁴⁸ F. Fleuret,¹² M. Fontana,¹³ F. Fontanelli,^{24[,h](#page-14-6)} R. Forty,⁴⁸ D. Foulds-Holt,⁵⁵ V. Franco Lima,⁶⁰ M. Franco Sevilla,⁶⁶ M. Frank,⁴⁸ E. Franzoso,²¹ G. Frau,¹⁷ C. Frei,⁴⁸ D. A. Friday,⁵⁹ J. Fu,⁶ Q. Fuehring,¹⁵ E. Gabriel,³² G. Galati,^{1[9,i](#page-14-7)} A. Gallas Torreira,⁴⁶ D. Galli,^{20[,f](#page-14-4)} S. Gambetta,^{58,48} Y. Gan,³ M. Gandelman,² P. Gandini,²⁵ Y. Gao,⁵ M. Garau,²⁷ L. M. Garcia Martin,⁵⁶ P. Garcia Moreno,⁴⁵ J. García Pardiñas,^{26[,e](#page-14-3)} B. Garcia Plana,⁴⁶ F. A. Garcia Rosales,¹² L. Garrido,⁴⁵ C. Gaspar,⁴⁸ R. E. Geertsema,³² D. Gerick,¹⁷ L. L. Gerken,¹⁵ E. Gersabeck,⁶² M. Gersabeck,⁶² T. Gershon,⁵⁶ D. Gerstel,¹⁰ L. Giambastiani,²⁸ V. Gibson,⁵⁵ H. K. Giemza,³⁶ A. L. Gilman,⁶³ M. Giovannetti,^{2[3,g](#page-14-5)} A. Gioventù,⁴⁶ P. Gironella Gironell,⁴⁵ C. Giugliano,^{2[1,d](#page-14-2)} K. Gizdov,⁵⁸ E. L. Gkougkousis,⁴⁸ V. V. Gligorov,¹³ C. Göbel,⁷⁰ E. Golobardes,⁸⁵ D. Golubkov,⁴¹ A. Golutvin,^{61,83} A. Gomes,^{[1,l](#page-14-10)} S. Gomez Fernandez,⁴⁵ F. Goncalves Abrantes,⁶³ M. Goncerz,³⁵ G. Gong,³ P. Gorbounov,⁴¹ I. V. Gorelov,⁴⁰ C. Gotti,²⁶ E. Govorkova,⁴⁸ J. P. Grabowski,¹⁷ T. Grammatico,¹³ L. A. Granado Cardoso,⁴⁸ E. Graugés,⁴⁵ E. Graverini,⁴⁹ G. Graziani,²² A. Grecu,³⁷ L. M. Greeven,³² N. A. Grieser,⁴ L. Grillo,⁶² S. Gromov,⁸³ B. R. Gruberg Cazon,⁶³ C. Gu,³ M. Guarise,²¹ M. Guittiere,¹¹ P. A. Günther,¹⁷ E. Gushchin,³⁹ A. Guth,¹⁴ Y. Guz,⁴⁴ T. Gys,⁴⁸ T. Hadavizadeh,⁶⁹ G. Haefeli,⁴⁹ C. Haen,⁴⁸ J. Haimberger,⁴⁸ T. Halewood-leagas,⁶⁰ P.M. Hamilton,⁶⁶ J. P. Hammerich,⁶⁰ Q. Han,⁷ X. Han,¹⁷ T. H. Hancock,⁶³ E. B. Hansen,⁶² S. Hansmann-Menzemer,¹⁷ N. Harnew,⁶³ T. Harrison,⁶⁰ C. Hasse,⁴⁸ M. Hatch,⁴⁸ J. He,^{6[,m](#page-14-11)} M. Hecker,⁶¹ K. Heijhoff,³² K. Heinicke,¹⁵ R. D. L. Henderson,^{69,56} A. M. Hennequin,⁴⁸ K. Hennessy,⁶⁰ L. Henry,⁴⁸ J. Heuel,¹⁴ A. Hicheur,² D. Hill,⁴⁹ M. Hilton,⁶² S. E. Hollitt,¹⁵ R. Hou,⁷ Y. Hou,⁸ J. Hu,¹⁷ J. Hu,⁷² W. Hu,⁷ X. Hu,³ W. Huang, 6 X. Huang, 73 W. Hulsbergen, 32 R. J. Hunter, 56 M. Hushchyn, 82 D. Hutchcroft, 60 D. Hynds, 32 P. Ibis, 15 M. Idzik, 34 D. Ilin,³⁸ P. Ilten,⁶⁵ A. Inglessi,³⁸ A. Ishteev,⁸³ K. Ivshin,³⁸ R. Jacobsson,⁴⁸ H. Jage,¹⁴ S. Jakobsen,⁴⁸ E. Jans,³² B. K. Jashal,⁴⁷ A. Jawahery,⁶⁶ V. Jevtic,¹⁵ X. Jiang,⁴ M. John,⁶³ D. Johnson,⁶⁴ C. R. Jones,⁵⁵ T. P. Jones,⁵⁶ B. Jost,⁴⁸ N. Jurik,⁴⁸ S. H. Kalavan Kadavath,³⁴ S. Kandybei,⁵¹ Y. Kang,³ M. Karacson,⁴⁸ M. Karpov,⁸² J. W. Kautz,⁶⁵ F. Keizer,⁴⁸ D. M. Keller,⁶⁸ M. Kenzie,⁵⁶ T. Ketel,³³ B. Khanji,¹⁵ A. Kharisova,⁸⁴ S. Kholodenko,⁴⁴ T. Kirn,¹⁴ V. S. Kirsebom,⁴⁹ O. Kitouni,⁶⁴ S. Klaver,³² N. Kleijne,²⁹ K. Klimaszewski,³⁶ M. R. Kmiec,³⁶ S. Koliiev,⁵² A. Kondybayeva,⁸³ A. Konoplyannikov,⁴¹ P. Kopciewicz,³⁴ R. Kopecna,¹⁷ P. Koppenburg,³² M. Korolev,⁴⁰ I. Kostiuk,^{32,52} O. Kot,⁵² S. Kotriakhova,^{21,38} P. Kravchenko,³⁸ L. Kravchuk,³⁹ R. D. Krawczyk,⁴⁸ M. Kreps,⁵⁶ F. Kress,⁶¹ S. Kretzschmar,¹⁴ P. Krokovny,^{4[3,k](#page-14-9)} W. Krupa,³⁴ W. Krzemien,³⁶ J. Kubat,¹⁷ M. Kucharczyk,³⁵ V. Kudryavtsev,^{4[3,k](#page-14-9)} H. S. Kuindersma,^{32,33} G. J. Kunde,⁶⁷ T. Kvaratskheliya,⁴¹ D. Lacarrere,⁴⁸ G. Lafferty,⁶² A. Lai,²⁷ A. Lampis,²⁷ D. Lancierini,⁵⁰ J. J. Lane,⁶² R. Lane,⁵⁴ G. Lanfranchi,²³ C. Langenbruch, 14 J. Langer, 15 O. Lantwin, 83 T. Latham, 56 F. Lazzari, 29,n 29,n 29,n R. Le Gac, 10 S. H. Lee, 87 R. Lefèvre, 9 A. Leflat, 40 S. Legotin,⁸³ O. Leroy,¹⁰ T. Lesiak,³⁵ B. Leverington,¹⁷ H. Li,⁷² P. Li,¹⁷ S. Li,⁷ Y. Li,⁴ Y. Li,⁴ Z. Li,⁶⁸ X. Liang,⁶⁸ T. Lin,⁶¹ R. Lindner,⁴⁸ V. Lisovskyi,¹⁵ R. Litvinov,²⁷ G. Liu,⁷² H. Liu,⁶ Q. Liu,⁶ S. Liu,⁴ A. Lobo Salvia,⁴⁵ A. Loi,²⁷ J. Lomba Castro,⁴⁶ I. Longstaff,⁵⁹ J. H. Lopes,² S. López Soliño,⁴⁶ G. H. Lovell,⁵⁵ Y. Lu,⁴ C. Lucarelli,^{2[2,b](#page-14-0)} D. Lucchesi,^{28[,o](#page-14-13)} S. Luchuk,³⁹ M. Lucio Martinez,³² V. Lukashenko,^{32,52} Y. Luo,³ A. Lupato,⁶² E. Luppi,^{2[1,d](#page-14-2)} O. Lupton,⁵⁶ A. Lusiani,^{2[9,p](#page-14-14)} X. Lyu,⁶ L. Ma,⁴ R. Ma,⁶ S. Maccolini,^{20[,f](#page-14-4)} F. Machefert,¹¹ F. Maciuc,³⁷ V. Macko,⁴⁹ P. Mackowiak,¹⁵ S. Maddrell-Mander,⁵⁴ O. Madejczyk,³⁴ L. R. Madhan Mohan,⁵⁴ O. Maev,³⁸ A. Maevskiy,⁸² M. W. Majewski,³⁴ J. J. Malczewski,³⁵ S. Malde,⁶³ B. Malecki,⁴⁸ A. Malinin,⁸¹ T. Maltsev,^{4[3,k](#page-14-9)} H. Malygina,¹⁷ G. Manca,^{2[7,j](#page-14-8)} G. Mancinelli,¹⁰ D. Manuzzi,^{20[,f](#page-14-4)} D. Marangotto,^{25[,q](#page-14-15)} J. Maratas, ^{[9,r](#page-14-16)} J. F. Marchand, ⁸ U. Marconi, 20 S. Mariani, ^{22[,b](#page-14-0)} C. Marin Benito, ⁴⁸ M. Marinangeli, ⁴⁹ J. Marks, ¹⁷ A. M. Marshall,⁵⁴ P. J. Marshall,⁶⁰ G. Martelli,⁷⁸ G. Martellotti,³⁰ L. Martinazzoli,^{4[8,e](#page-14-3)} M. Martinelli,^{26[,e](#page-14-3)}

D. Martinez Santos,⁴⁶ F. Martinez Vidal,⁴⁷ A. Massafferri,¹ M. Materok,¹⁴ R. Matev,⁴⁸ A. Mathad,⁵⁰ V. Matiunin,⁴¹ C. Matteuzzi,²⁶ K. R. Mattioli,⁸⁷ A. Mauri,³² E. Maurice,¹² J. Mauricio,⁴⁵ M. Mazurek,⁴⁸ M. McCann,⁶¹ L. Mcconnell,¹⁸ T. H. Mcgrath,⁶² N. T. Mchugh,⁵⁹ A. McNab,⁶² R. McNulty,¹⁸ J. V. Mead,⁶⁰ B. Meadows,⁶⁵ G. Meier,¹⁵ D. Melnychuk,³⁶ S. Meloni,^{26[,e](#page-14-3)} M. Merk,^{32,80} A. Merli,^{2[5,q](#page-14-15)} L. Meyer Garcia,² M. Mikhasenko,^{75[,s](#page-14-17)} D. A. Milanes,⁷⁴ E. Millard,⁵⁶ M. Milovanovic,⁴⁸ M.-N. Minard,⁸ A. Minotti,^{2[6,e](#page-14-3)} L. Minzoni,^{21[,d](#page-14-2)} S. E. Mitchell,⁵⁸ B. Mitreska,⁶² D. S. Mitzel,¹⁵ A. Mödden, ¹⁵ R. A. Mohammed, ⁶³ R. D. Moise, ⁶¹ S. Mokhnenko, ⁸² T. Mombächer, ⁴⁶ I. A. Monroy, ⁷⁴ S. Monteil, ⁹ M. Morandin,²⁸ G. Morello,²³ M. J. Morello,^{2[9,p](#page-14-14)} J. Moron,³⁴ A. B. Morris,⁷⁵ A. G. Morris,⁵⁶ R. Mountain,⁶⁸ H. Mu,³ F. Muheim,^{58,48} M. Mulder,⁷⁹ D. Müller,⁴⁸ K. Müller,⁵⁰ C. H. Murphy,⁶³ D. Murray,⁶² R. Murta,⁶¹ P. Muzzetto,²⁷ P. Naik,⁵⁴ T. Nakada, ⁴⁹ R. Nandakumar, ⁵⁷ T. Nanut, ⁴⁸ I. Nasteva, 2² M. Needham, ⁵⁸ N. Neri, ^{2[5,q](#page-14-15)} S. Neubert, ⁷⁵ N. Neufeld, ⁴⁸ R. Newcombe, ⁶¹ E. M. Niel, ¹¹ S. Nieswand, ¹⁴ N. Nikitin, ⁴⁰ N. S. Nolte, ⁶⁴ C. Normand, ⁸ C. Nunez, ⁸⁷ A. Oblakowska-Mucha,³⁴ V. Obraztsov,⁴⁴ T. Oeser,¹⁴ D. P. O'Hanlon,⁵⁴ S. Okamura,²¹ R. Oldeman,^{2[7,j](#page-14-8)} F. Oliva,⁵⁸ M. E. Olivares,⁶⁸ C. J. G. Onderwater,⁷⁹ R. H. O'Neil,⁵⁸ J. M. Otalora Goicochea,² T. Ovsiannikova,⁴¹ P. Owen,⁵⁰ A. Oyanguren,⁴⁷ K. O. Padeken,⁷⁵ B. Pagare,⁵⁶ P. R. Pais,⁴⁸ T. Pajero,⁶³ A. Palano,¹⁹ M. Palutan,²³ Y. Pan,⁶² G. Panshin,⁸⁴ A. Papanestis,⁵⁷ M. Pappagallo,^{1[9,i](#page-14-7)} L. L. Pappalardo,^{2[1,d](#page-14-2)} C. Pappenheimer,⁶⁵ W. Parker,⁶⁶ C. Parkes,⁶² B. Passalacqua,²¹ G. Passaleva,²² A. Pastore,¹⁹ M. Patel,⁶¹ C. Patrignani,^{2[0,f](#page-14-4)} C. J. Pawley,⁸⁰ A. Pearce,^{48,57} A. Pellegrino,³² M. Pepe Altarelli,⁴⁸ S. Perazzini,²⁰ D. Pereima,⁴¹ A. Pereiro Castro,⁴⁶ P. Perret,⁹ M. Petric,^{59,48} K. Petridis,⁵⁴ A. Petrolini,^{2[4,h](#page-14-6)} A. Petrov,⁸¹ S. Petrucci,⁵⁸ M. Petruzzo,²⁵ T. T. H. Pham,⁶⁸ A. Philippov,⁴² R. Piandani,⁶ L. Pica,^{29[,p](#page-14-14)} M. Piccini,⁷⁸ B. Pietrzyk,⁸ G. Pietrzyk,⁴⁹ M. Pili,⁶³ D. Pinci,³⁰ F. Pisani,⁴⁸ M. Pizzichemi,^{26,4[8,e](#page-14-3)} Resmi P. K,¹⁰ V. Placinta,³⁷ J. Plews,⁵³ M. Plo Casasus,⁴⁶ F. Polci,¹³ M. Poli Lener,²³ M. Poliakova,⁶⁸ A. Poluektov,¹⁰ N. Polukhina,^{8[3,t](#page-14-18)} I. Polyakov,⁶⁸ E. Polycarpo,² S. Ponce,⁴⁸ D. Popov,^{6,48} S. Popov,⁴² S. Poslavskii,⁴⁴ K. Prasanth,³⁵ L. Promberger,⁴⁸ C. Prouve,⁴⁶ V. Pugatch,⁵² V. Puill,¹¹ G. Punzi,^{29[,u](#page-14-19)} H. Qi,³ W. Qian,⁶ N. Qin,³ R. Quagliani,⁴⁹ N. V. Raab,¹⁸ R. I. Rabadan Trejo,⁶ B. Rachwal,³⁴ J. H. Rademacker,⁵⁴ M. Rama,²⁹ M. Ramos Pernas,⁵⁶ M. S. Rangel,² F. Ratnikov,^{42,82} G. Raven,³³ M. Reboud,⁸ F. Redi,⁴⁹ F. Reiss,⁶² C. Remon Alepuz,⁴⁷ Z. Ren,³ V. Renaudin,⁶³ R. Ribatti,²⁹ A. M. Ricci,²⁷ S. Ricciardi,⁵⁷ K. Rinnert,⁶⁰ P. Robbe,¹¹ G. Robertson,⁵⁸ A. B. Rodrigues,⁴⁹ E. Rodrigues,⁶⁰ J. A. Rodriguez Lopez,⁷⁴ E. R. R. Rodriguez Rodriguez,⁴⁶ A. Rollings,⁶³ P. Roloff,⁴⁸ V. Romanovskiy,⁴⁴ M. Romero Lamas,⁴⁶ A. Romero Vidal,⁴⁶ J. D. Roth,⁸⁷ M. Rotondo,²³ M. S. Rudolph,⁶⁸ T. Ruf,⁴⁸ R. A. Ruiz Fernandez,⁴⁶ J. Ruiz Vidal,⁴⁷ A. Ryzhikov,⁸² J. Ryzka,³⁴ J. J. Saborido Silva,⁴⁶ N. Sagidova,³⁸ N. Sahoo,⁵⁶ B. Saitta,^{2[7,j](#page-14-8)} M. Salomoni,⁴⁸ C. Sanchez Gras,³² R. Santacesaria,³⁰ C. Santamarina Rios,⁴⁶ M. Santimaria,²³ E. Santovetti, $3^{1,g}$ $3^{1,g}$ $3^{1,g}$ D. Saranin, 8^3 G. Sarpis, 14 M. Sarpis, 75 A. Sarti, 30 C. Satriano, 30,y A. Satta, 31 M. Saur, 15 D. Savrina, 41,40 H. Sazak,⁹ L. G. Scantlebury Smead,⁶³ A. Scarabotto,¹³ S. Schael,¹⁴ S. Scherl,⁶⁰ M. Schiller,⁵⁹ H. Schindler,⁴⁸ M. Schmelling,¹⁶ B. Schmidt,⁴⁸ S. Schmitt,¹⁴ O. Schneider,⁴⁹ A. Schopper,⁴⁸ M. Schubiger,³² S. Schulte,⁴⁹ M. H. Schune,¹¹ R. Schwemmer,⁴⁸ B. Sciascia,^{23,48} S. Sellam,⁴⁶ A. Semennikov,⁴¹ M. Senghi Soares,³³ A. Sergi,^{2[4,h](#page-14-6)} N. Serra,⁵⁰ L. Sestini,²⁸ A. Seuthe,¹⁵ Y. Shang,⁵ D. M. Shangase,⁸⁷ M. Shapkin,⁴⁴ I. Shchemerov,⁸³ L. Shchutska,⁴⁹ T. Shears,⁶⁰ L. Shekhtman,^{43[,k](#page-14-9)} Z. Shen,⁵ S. Sheng,⁴ V. Shevchenko,⁸¹ E. B. Shields,^{26[,e](#page-14-3)} Y. Shimizu,¹¹ E. Shmanin,⁸³ J. D. Shupperd,⁶⁸ B. G. Siddi,²¹ R. Silva Coutinho,⁵⁰ G. Simi,²⁸ S. Simone,^{19[,i](#page-14-7)} N. Skidmore,⁶² T. Skwarnicki,⁶⁸ M. W. Slater,⁵³ I. Slazyk,^{2[1,d](#page-14-2)} J. C. Smallwood, 63 J. G. Smeaton, 55 A. Smetkina, 41 E. Smith, 50 M. Smith, 61 A. Snoch, 32 L. Soares Lavra, 9 M. D. Sokoloff, 65 F. J. P. Soler,⁵⁹ A. Solovev,³⁸ I. Solovyev,³⁸ F. L. Souza De Almeida,² B. Souza De Paula,² B. Spaan,¹⁵ E. Spadaro Norella,^{25[,q](#page-14-15)} P. Spradlin,⁵⁹ F. Stagni,⁴⁸ M. Stahl,⁶⁵ S. Stahl,⁴⁸ S. Stanislaus,⁶³ O. Steinkamp,^{50,83} O. Stenyakin,⁴⁴ H. Stevens,¹⁵ S. Stone,^{68,48,*} D. Strekalina,⁸³ F. Suljik,⁶³ J. Sun,²⁷ L. Sun,⁷³ Y. Sun,⁶⁶ P. Svihra,⁶² P. N. Swallow,⁵³ K. Swientek,³⁴ A. Szabelski,³⁶ T. Szumlak,³⁴ M. Szymanski,⁴⁸ S. Taneja,⁶² A. R. Tanner,⁵⁴ M. D. Tat,⁶³ A. Terentev,⁸³ F. Teubert,⁴⁸ E. Thomas,⁴⁸ D. J. D. Thompson,⁵³ K. A. Thomson,⁶⁰ H. Tilquin,⁶¹ V. Tisserand,⁹ S. T'Jampens,⁸ M. Tobin,⁴ L. Tomassetti, $2^{1, d}$ X. Tong, 5 D. Torres Machado, 1 D. Y. Tou, 13 E. Trifonova, 8^3 S. M. Trilov, 5^4 C. Trippl, 4^9 G. Tuci, 6 A. Tully,⁴⁹ N. Tuning,^{32,48} A. Ukleja,^{36,48} D. J. Unverzagt,¹⁷ E. Ursov,⁸³ A. Usachov,³² A. Ustyuzhanin,^{42,82} U. Uwer,¹⁷ A. Vagner, ⁸⁴ V. Vagnoni, ²⁰ A. Valassi, ⁴⁸ G. Valenti, ²⁰ N. Valls Canudas, ⁸⁵ M. van Beuzekom, ³² M. Van Dijk, ⁴⁹ H. Van Hecke,⁶⁷ E. van Herwijnen,⁸³ M. van Veghel,⁷⁹ R. Vazquez Gomez,⁴⁵ P. Vazquez Regueiro,⁴⁶ C. Vázquez Sierra,⁴⁸ S. Vecchi,²¹ J. J. Velthuis,⁵⁴ M. Veltri,^{22[,w](#page-14-21)} A. Venkateswaran,⁶⁸ M. Veronesi,³² M. Vesterinen,⁵⁶ D. Vieira,⁶⁵ M. Vieites Diaz,⁴⁹ H. Viemann,⁷⁶ X. Vilasis-Cardona,⁸⁵ E. Vilella Figueras,⁶⁰ A. Villa,²⁰ P. Vincent,¹³ F. C. Volle,¹¹ D. Vom Bruch,¹⁰ A. Vorobyev,³⁸ V. Vorobyev,^{4[3,k](#page-14-9)} N. Voropaev,³⁸ K. Vos,⁸⁰ R. Waldi,¹⁷ J. Walsh,²⁹ C. Wang,¹⁷ J. Wang,⁵ J. Wang,⁴ J. Wang,³ J. Wang,⁷³ M. Wang,³ R. Wang,⁵⁴ Y. Wang,⁷ Z. Wang,⁵⁰ Z. Wang,³ Z. Wang,⁶ J. A. Ward,^{56,69} N. K. Watson,⁵³ S. G. Weber,¹³ D. Websdale,⁶¹ C. Weisser,⁶⁴ B. D. C. Westhenry,⁵⁴ D. J. White,⁶² M. Whitehead,⁵⁴

A. R. Wiederhold,⁵⁶ D. Wiedner,¹⁵ G. Wilkinson,⁶³ M. Wilkinson,⁶⁸ I. Williams,⁵⁵ M. Williams,⁶⁴ M. R. J. Williams,⁵⁸ F. F. Wilson,⁵⁷ W. Wislicki,³⁶ M. Witek,³⁵ L. Witola,¹⁷ G. Wormser,¹¹ S. A. Wotton,⁵⁵ H. Wu,⁶⁸ K. Wyllie,⁴⁸ Z. Xiang,⁶ D. Xiao,⁷ Y. Xie,⁷ A. Xu,⁵ J. Xu,⁶ L. Xu,³ M. Xu,⁷ Q. Xu,⁶ Z. Xu,⁹ Z. Xu,⁶ D. Yang,³ S. Yang,⁶ Y. Yang,⁶ Z. Yang,⁵ Z. Yang,⁶⁶ Y. Yao,⁶⁸ L. E. Yeomans,⁶⁰ H. Yin,⁷ J. Yu,⁷¹ X. Yuan,⁶⁸ O. Yushchenko,⁴⁴ E. Zaffaroni,⁴⁹ M. Zavertyaev,^{1[6,t](#page-14-18)} M. Zdybal, 35 O. Zenaiev, 48 M. Zeng, 3 D. Zhang, 7 L. Zhang, 3 S. Zhang, 71 S. Zhang, 5 Y. Zhang, 5 Y. Zhang, 63 A. Zharkova, 83 A. Zhelezov,¹⁷ Y. Zheng,⁶ T. Zhou,⁵ X. Zhou,⁶ Y. Zhou,⁶ V. Zhovkovska,¹¹ X. Zhu,³ X. Zhu,⁷ Z. Zhu,⁶ V. Zhukov,^{14,40} J. B. Zonneveld,⁵⁸ Q. Zou,⁴ S. Zucchelli,^{20[,f](#page-14-4)} D. Zuliani,²⁸ and G. Zunica⁶²

(LHCb Collaboration)

 1 Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas (CBPF), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

 2 Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

³ Center for High Energy Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China $\frac{4I_{\text{Institute Of High}}}{4I_{\text{Institute Of High}}}\frac{1}{2}$

⁵Institute Of High Energy Physics (IHEP), Beijing, China⁵
⁵School of Physics State Kay Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Pekino

School of Physics State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China
⁶University of Chinage Assetsum of Sciences, Beijing, China

 6 University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

⁷Institute of Particle Physics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, Hubei, China

⁸Univ. Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, IN2P3-LAPP, Annecy, France

⁹Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France
¹⁰Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS/IN2P3, CPPM, Marseille, France

¹¹Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, Orsay, France
¹²Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France
¹³LPNHE, Sorbonne Université, Paris Di

¹⁷Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany
¹⁸School of Physics, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland
¹⁹INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy
²⁰INFN Sezione di Bologna,

²¹INFN Sezione di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy
²²INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

²³INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy
²⁴INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy
²⁵INFN Sezione di Milano, Milano, Italy

²⁶INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy 27 INFN Sezione di Cagliari, Monserrato, Italy

²⁸Universita degli Studi di Padova, Universita e INFN, Padova, Padova, Italy ²⁹INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy ³⁰INFN Sezione di Roma La Sapienza, Roma, Italy ³¹INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy

³²Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, Netherlands
³³Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands
³⁴AGH—University of Science and Technol

³⁵Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków, Poland
³⁶National Center for Nuclear Research (NCBJ), Warsaw, Poland
³⁷Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclea

³⁸Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute NRC Kurchatov Institute (PNPI NRC KI), Gatchina, Russia
³⁹Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences (INR RAS), Moscow, Russia

⁴⁰Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University (SINP MSU), Moscow, Russia
⁴¹Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics NRC Kurchatov Institute (ITEP NRC KI), Moscow, Russia
⁴²Yandex School of Data An

⁴⁸European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland
⁴⁹Institute of Physics, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland
⁵⁰Physik-Institut, Universität Zürich, Zürich, ⁵⁷STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
⁵⁸School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom
⁵⁹School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow,

 $\begin{array}{c} 600 \text{diver Lodge Laboratory}, \text{ University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom}\\ 61 \text{Imperial College London}, \text{London, United Kingdom}\\ 62 \text{Department of Physics and Astronomy}, \text{University of Manchester}, \text{Manchester, Mandela, United Kingdom}\\ 63 \text{Department of Physics}, \text{University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom}\\ 64 \text{Massachusetts Institute of Technology}, \text{Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA}\\ 65 \text{University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA}\\ 66 \text{University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA}\\ 67 \text{Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), Los Alamos, New Mexico, USA}\\ 68 \text{Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York, USA}\\ \end{array}$

⁶⁹School of Physics and Astronomy, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia (associated with Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom)

⁷⁰Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
(associated with Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil)

 17^1 Physics and Micro Electronic College, Hunan University, Changsha City, China

(associated with Institute of Particle Physics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, Hubei, China)
⁷²Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Nuclear Science, Guangdong-Hong Kong Joint Laboratory of Quantum Matter,

Institute of Quantum Matter, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China

(associated with Center for High Energy Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China)
⁷³School of Physics and Technology, Wuhan University, Wuhan, China
(associated with Center for High Energy Physics, Tsinghua Universi

⁷⁴Departamento de Fisica, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogota, Colombia
(associated with LPNHE, Sorbonne Université, Paris Diderot Sorbonne Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France)

⁷⁵Universität Bonn—Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen und Kernphysik, Bonn, Germany

(associated with Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany)
⁷⁶Institut für Physik, Universität Rostock, Rostock, Germany

(associated with Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany) ⁷⁷Eotvos Lorand University, Budapest, Hungary

(associated with European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland)
⁷⁸INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy

(associated with INFN Sezione di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy)
⁷⁹Van Swinderen Institute, University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands

(associated with Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, Netherlands) 80 Universiteit Maastricht, Maastricht, Netherlands

(associated with Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, Netherlands)
⁸¹National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia

(associated with Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics NRC Kurchatov Institute (ITEP NRC KI), Moscow, Russia)
⁸²National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia
(associated with Yandex

 83 National University of Science and Technology "MISIS", Moscow, Russia

(associated with Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics NRC Kurchatov Institute (ITEP NRC KI), Moscow, Russia)
⁸⁴National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk, Russia

(associated with Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics NRC Kurchatov Institute (ITEP NRC KI), Moscow, Russia)
⁸⁵DS4DS, La Salle, Universitat Ramon Llull, Barcelona, Spain

(associated with ICCUB, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain)
⁸⁶Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

(associated with School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom) ⁸⁷University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

(associated with Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York, USA)

- ^{[a](#page-9-14)} Also at Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy.<[b](#page-9-15)r>^b Also at Università di Modena a Baggio Emi
- ^bAlso at Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy.
- ^cAlso at Università [d](#page-9-17)i Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy.
^dAlso et Università di Milano Biacceo, Mila
- ^a Also at Univ[e](#page-9-18)rsità di Milano Bicocca, Milano, Italy.
^{e Also} et Università di Pologna, Pologna, Italy.
- e Also at Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy.
- ^fAlso at Università di Roma Tor Ver[g](#page-9-18)ata, Roma, Italy.
- ^gAlso at Università di Genova, Genova, Italy.
- ^hAlso at Università di Bari, Bari, [I](#page-10-1)taly.
- ^IAlso at Università di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy.
- [j](#page-10-1) Also at Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia.
- [k](#page-10-2) Also at Universidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro (UFTM), Uberaba-MG, Brazil.
- ¹A[l](#page-10-3)so at Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, UCAS, Hangzhou, China.
- ^{[m](#page-10-4)}Also at U[n](#page-10-5)iversità di Siena, Siena, Italy.
- ⁿAls[o](#page-10-6) at Università di Padova, Padova, Italy.
- Also at Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, Italy.
- <su[p](#page-10-1)>p</sup>Also at Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy.
⁹Also et MSU – Iligan Instituto of Technology (MSU III)
- ^qAlso at MSU—Iligan Institute of Technology (MSU-IIT), Iligan, Philippines.
- ^rAlso at Excellence Cluster ORIGINS, Munich, Germany.
- [s](#page-11-1) Also at P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Russian Academy of Science (LPI RAS), Moscow, Russia.
- <sup>[t](#page-11-2)</s[u](#page-11-3)p>Also at Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy. $\frac{u}{v}$ Also et Università della Pesiliente. Pe
- ^uAlso at Uni[v](#page-11-4)ersità della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy.
- ^vAlso at Università di Urbino, Urbino, Italy.