Proc. 13th Int. Conf. on Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions
JPS Conf. Proc. **32**, 010036 (2020)
https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSCP.32.010036

High-Statistics Sub-Barrier Coulomb Excitation of ^{106,108,110}Sn

J. Park[1], A. Knyazev[1], E. Rickert[1], P. Golubev[1], J. Cederkäll[1,2], A. N. Andreyev[2,3], G. de Angelis[4], K. Arnswald[5], L. Barber[6], C. Berger[7], C. Berner[7], T. Berry[8], M. J. G. Borge[2,9], A. Boukhari[2,10], D. Cox[11], J. Cubiss[3] D. M. Cullen[6], J. Díaz Ovejas[9], C. Fahlander[1], L. P. Gaffney[2], A. Gawlik[2,12], R. Gernhäuser[7], A. Görgen[13], T. Habermann[14], C. Henrich[14], A. Illana[15], J. Iwanicki[12], T. W. Johansen[13], J. Konki[2], T. Kröll[14], B. S. Nara Singh[16], G. Rainovski[17], C. Raison[3], P. Reiter[5], D. Rosiak[5], S. Saha[18], M. Saxena[12], M. Schilling[14], M. Seidlitz[14], J. Snäll[1], C. Stahl[14], M. Stryjczyk[19], O. Tengblad[9], G. M. Tveten[13], J. J. Valiente-Dobón[15], P. Van Duppen[19], S. Viñals[9], N. Warr[5], A. Welker[2], L. Werner[7], H. De Witte[19] and R. Zidarova[17]

[1]*Department of Physics, Lund University, S-22100 Lund, Sweden*
[2]*CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland*
[3]*Department of Physics, University of York, York YO10 5DD, United Kingdom*
[4]*INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, Viale dell'Università, I-2 35020 Legnaro, Italy*
[5]*Institut für Kernphysik, Universität zu Köln, D-50937 Köln, Germany*
[6]*Schuster Laboratory, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom*
[7]*Physik Department, Technische Universität München, D-85748 Garching, Germany*
[8]*Department of Physics, University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey, GU2 7XH, United Kingdom*
[9]*Instituto de Estructura de la Materia, CSIC, E-28006 Madrid, Spain*
[10]*Centre de Sciences Nucléaires et de Sciences de la Matière, 91400 Orsay, France*
[11]*Department of Physics, University of Jyvaskyla, FI-40014 Jyväskylä, Finland*
[12]*Heavy Ion Laboratory, University of Warsaw, PL-02-093 Warsaw, Poland*
[13]*Department of Physics, University of Oslo, N-0316 Oslo, Norway*
[14]*Institut für Kernphysik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, D-64289 Darmstadt, Germany*
[15]*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Milano, I-20133 Milano, Italy*
[16]*School of Computing, Engineering, and Physical Sciences, University of the West of Scotland, Paisley, PA1 2BE, United Kingdom*
[17]*Faculty of Physics, Sofia University, 1164 Sofia, Bulgaria*
[18]*GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, D-64291 Darmstadt, Germany*
[19]*Instituut voor Kern- en Stralingsfysica, KU Leuven, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium*

E-mail: joochun.park@nuclear.lu.se

(Received July 17, 2019)

A Coulomb excitation campaign on ^{106,108,110}Sn at 4.4-4.5 MeV/u was launched at the HIE-ISOLDE facility at CERN. Larger excitation cross sections and γ-ray statistics were achieved compared to previous experiments at ~2.8 MeV/u. More precise $B(E2; 0^+_1 \rightarrow 2^+_1)$ values, lifetimes of states via the Doppler shift attenuation method, and new $B(E2; 0^+_1 \rightarrow 2^+_x)$, $B(E2; 2^+_1 \rightarrow 4^+_1)$ and $Q(2^+_1)$ values from the new Miniball data will be obtained and applied to test modern nuclear structure theories.

KEYWORDS: shell model, nuclear collectivity, Coulomb excitation

1. Introduction

In nuclear structure, the doubly magic nucleus ^{100}Sn is a key test case of the robustness of the traditional shells far away from stability. The single-particle description of ^{100}Sn and nuclei with similar N and Z may be weakened by collective behavior, driven by proton-neutron interactions and exhibited through core excitations and nuclear deformation. Many experiments to determine nuclear collectivity in even-mass Sn isotopes through measurements of reduced electromagnetic transition probabilities, $B(E2)$, have been performed [1–6]. In order to achieve a higher experimental precision on the $B(E2)$ values to better evaluate different modern theories addressing this phenomenon, as discussed in Ref. [7] for instance, a series of safe Coulomb excitation (CE) experiments was carried out in a new campaign at CERN-ISOLDE.

2. Experiment method

Three unstable Sn isotopes ^{106,108,110}Sn were produced in separate experiments, where a 1.4-GeV proton beam from the CERN PS Booster induced spallation reactions on a lanthanum carbide target. Sn isotopes were selectively ionized with the Resonance Ionization Laser Ion Source (RILIS). and were post-accelerated at the HIE-ISOLDE [8] facility to 4.4-4.5 MeV/u before impinging on a ^{206}Pb target with a thickness of ~4 mg/cm^2. At these beam energies, contributions to the excitation cross section from nuclear reactions which are subject to large systematic uncertainties, are eliminated.

The γ rays emitted from the excited states of Sn isotopes were detected with Miniball [9], an array of segmented high-purity germanium detectors. Doppler correction of γ rays emitted in flight from beam nuclei was performed by measuring the particles' scattering angles with a CD-shaped double-sided silicon strip detector that is segmented in sectors and rings. Forward scattering angles of nuclei in the range of 20°-60° in the lab frame were covered by the CD detector, as shown in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1. Top left: energies detected in the CD detector as a function of the lab scattering angle θ, for a beam nucleus ^{110}Sn and the knocked-out target nucleus ^{206}Pb. Top right, bottom left and bottom right: Doppler-corrected γ-ray energy spectra for the $0_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ excitations of ^{110}Sn, ^{108}Sn and ^{106}Sn, respectively. The $\gamma\gamma$ coincidence projection spectra, gated on the $2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$ transitions, are shown in the insets. In all three Sn isotopes, the $4_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$ γ rays were observed for the first time in Coulomb excitation. Approximately 50% of the γ-ray data is shown for ^{110}Sn, where the rest is pending a refined data sorting.



Fig. 2. Left: comparison of experimental (blue) and simulation (red) forward-emitted γ-ray energy spectra from the ^{110}Sn beam, where the target nucleus ^{206}Pb was detected in the same quadrant of the CD detector as Miniball. This spectrum was well reproduced in the simulation when assuming a 0.75-ps lifetime of the 2_1^+ state. Right: the same spectra, but with a simulated lifetime of 1.25 ps.

3. Preliminary results and outlook

By using a higher-Z target with higher beam energies, the CE cross sections were significantly enhanced compared to past CE experiments at REX-ISOLDE involving the same tin isotopes on a ^{58}Ni target [10, 11]. The γ-ray spectra from this experimental campaign at HIE-ISOLDE are shown in Fig. 1, along with a CD detector energy matrix for beam/target particle identification and Doppler correction. The gain in statistics is expected to improve the precision on $B(E2; 0_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)$ values significantly. Furthermore, the CE to the 4_1^+ states in all three Sn isotopes was observed for the first time based on $\gamma\gamma$ coincidence projection spectra. This enables an opportunity to determine $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 4_1^+)$ for the first time in ^{106,108,110}Sn. Evidence of γ rays from non-yrast states was also found, so that additional $B(E2; 0_1^+ \rightarrow 2_x^+)$ values may be extracted from the data.

In addition, a lifetime estimate of the 2_1^+ state in ^{110}Sn was performed via the Doppler shift attenuation method (DSAM). Using Geant4, the experimental setup, reaction kinematics and γ-ray emission/detection were simulated. By varying the hypothetical lifetime of the 2_1^+ state in ^{110}Sn, simulated γ-ray spectra from both the partially and fully stopped nuclei were then compared with the experimental spectrum. As shown in Fig. 2, a good agreement was found for $\tau = 0.75$ ps. Efforts to determine the final lifetime and proper uncertainties will be taken. Lifetime measurements of other CE γ rays will be attempted using the same DSAM, and compared to the values reported in Ref. [12].

By combining the CE results with previous experiments using the ^{58}Ni target, $Q(2_1^+)$ will be investigated for ^{108,110}Sn and plotted against their $B(E2)$ values for comparisons with shell model theories. Further analysis of the data and simulations are underway.

References

[1] A. Banu et al., Phys. Rev. C **72**, 061305 (2005).
[2] C. Vaman et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 162501 (2007).
[3] A. Jungclaus et al., Phys. Lett. B **695**, 110 (2011).
[4] G. Guastalla et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 172501 (2013).
[5] P. Doornenbal et al., Phys. Rev. C **90**, 061302(R) (2014).
[6] J. M. Allmond et al., Phys. Rev. C **92**, 041303(R) (2015).
[7] T. Togashi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 062501 (2018).
[8] M. J. G. Borge, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B **376**, 408 (2016).
[9] N. Warr et al., Eur. Phys. J. A **49**, 40 (2013).
[10] J. Cederkäll et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 172501 (2007).
[11] A. Ekström et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 012502 (2008).
[12] M. Siciliano et al., arXiv:1905.10313v2.