# EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH (CERN)





# A deep neural network for simultaneous estimation of b jet energy and resolution

The CMS Collaboration\*

# Abstract

We describe a method to obtain point and dispersion estimates for the energies of jets arising from b quarks produced in proton-proton collisions at an energy of  $\sqrt{s}$  = 13 TeV at the CERN LHC. The algorithm is trained on a large sample of simulated b jets and validated on data recorded by the CMS detector in 2017 corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 41 fb<sup>-1</sup>. A multivariate regression algorithm based on a deep feed-forward neural network employs jet composition and shape information, and the properties of reconstructed secondary vertices associated with the jet. The results of the algorithm are used to improve the sensitivity of analyses that make use of b jets in the final state, such as the observation of Higgs boson decay to bb.

"Published in Computing and Software for Big Science as doi:10.1007/s41781-020-00041-z."

© 2022 CERN for the benefit of the CMS Collaboration. CC-BY-4.0 license

<sup>\*</sup>See Appendix A for the list of collaboration members

# 1 Introduction

Following the discovery of the 125 GeV Higgs boson reported by the ATLAS and CMS Collaborations at the CERN LHC in 2012 [1–3], a rich research program was established to probe this new particle. The program includes the measurement of all production and decay modes that are accessible at the LHC. The decay of the Higgs boson into a pair of vector bosons was established with a statistical significance higher than five standard deviations individually for photon, Z and W pairs using data collected at the LHC from 2011 to 2013 at center-of-mass energies of  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  and 8 TeV [4–9]. A few years later, the combination of CMS data sets collected at 8 and 13 TeV was used to report the observation of Higgs boson decay to a pair of  $\tau$  leptons [10], followed by the observation of the associated production of a Higgs boson with a top quark-antiquark pair (tt) [11, 12].

Higgs boson decay to a b quark-antiquark pair ( $b\overline{b}$ ) was only recently announced by the CMS [13] and ATLAS [14] Collaborations, despite it being the dominant decay mode. This is because of the challenges associated with separating the signal from the large background of  $b\overline{b}$  produced by quantum chromodynamics (QCD) processes. Good resolution of the reconstructed invariant mass of Higgs boson candidates is necessary to have a more favorable signal-to-background ratio. This is achieved in CMS by the method described in this paper, based on a deep neural network (DNN) that estimates the energy of jets originating from b quarks (b jets). Similar algorithms, using neural networks, were previously used by the CDF Collaboration at the Tevatron [15, 16], and BDT-based energy regressions were used earlier by the CMS Collaboration to estimate the energy of b jets [17].

The approach described in this paper is to use a regression algorithm that is implemented in a feed-forward neural network with six hidden layers trained on a very large data set, consisting of Monte Carlo (MC) simulated b jets. The algorithm has a considerably larger modeling capability than those used previously. This approach was made possible by leveraging recent advances in hardware accelerators, such as graphics processing units (GPU), and in modern packages for automatic differentiation to handle the otherwise expensive computations involved in this task. A minimization of a loss function that combines a Huber [18] and two quantile [19] loss terms, enables simultaneous training of point and dispersion estimators of the regression target without making any assumptions about the functional form of its distribution. The point estimator is used as a correction of the measured b jet energy while dispersion estimators are used to build a jet-by-jet resolution estimate. The CMS collaboration had previously developed a BDT-based approach to estimate the energy and per-object resolution [20-22]. This can be achieved by training separate regressions to obtain energy and per-object resolution estimators, or by means of a semiparametric regression [20, 21]. For a semiparametric regression, the training relies on the knowledge of the analytical shape of the target distribution. The novel characteristic of the algorithm described in this paper is the simultaneous training of the point and dispersion estimators without reference to an ansatz distribution for the regression target. This method is validated on data collected by the CMS detector in 2017.

In the following, Section 2 and Section 3 describe the CMS detector and the data sets used for this work. The regression problem and the inputs are described in Section 4. In Section 5 the loss function is introduced, while the DNN architecture and its training are summarized in Section 6. Finally, the results are presented in Section 7, followed by the summary in Section 8.

# 2 The CMS detector

The central feature of the CMS detector is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL), and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter (HCAL), each composed of a barrel and two endcap sections. Forward calorimeters extend the pseudorapidity ( $\eta$ ) coverage provided by the barrel and endcap detectors. Muons are detected in gas-ionization chambers embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. A detailed description of the apparatus, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in Ref. [23].

The particle-flow (PF) algorithm [24] used by CMS aims to reconstruct and identify each individual particle in an event, with an optimized combination of information from the various elements of the CMS detector. Photon energies are obtained from ECAL data. The candidate vertex with the largest value of summed physics-object  $p_T^2$  is taken to be the primary proton-proton (pp) interaction vertex. The energy of each electron in the event is determined from a combination of the electron momentum at the primary interaction vertex, as determined by the tracker, the energy of the corresponding ECAL cluster, and the energy sum of all bremsstrahlung photons spatially compatible with having originated from the electron. The momentum of each muon is obtained via the curvature of the corresponding track. The energy of each charged hadron is determined from a combination of momentum measured in the tracker and the matching ECAL and HCAL energy deposits, corrected for zero-suppression effects and for the response function of the calorimeters to hadronic showers. Finally, for a neutral hadron the energy is obtained from the corresponding HCAL corrected energies. The anti- $k_{\rm T}$  algorithm [25, 26] with a distance parameter of 0.4 is applied offline to the full set of PF candidates in order to cluster them into jets. The jet momentum is determined by the vectorial sum of all particle momenta in the jet. The jet energy resolution typically amounts to 15–20% at 30 GeV, 10% at 100 GeV, and 5% at 1 TeV [27].

Additional pp interactions within the same or nearby bunch crossings (pileup) can contribute unrelated particles to the jet. To mitigate the effects of pileup, charged particles with tracks originating from pileup vertices are discarded before jet reconstruction. Then, the residual contamination from neutral particles and charged particles without reconstructed tracks is estimated for each event and subtracted from the jet energy. Jet energy corrections are derived from simulation to bring the measured average response for jets in line with particle-level jets. Neutrinos are not included in the clustering of particle-level jets. In-situ measurements of the transverse momentum balance in dijet, photon+jet, Z+jet, and multijet events are used to account for residual differences between the jet energy scales in data and simulation [28]. We refer to this correction algorithm as the baseline algorithm.

# 3 Data sets

The DNN was trained on 100 million b jets from a simulated sample of t $\overline{t}$  events produced in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV, generated at next-to-leading-order (NLO) accuracy in perturbative QCD (pQCD) with the POWHEG v2 program [29]. Predictions of the model were then tested on simulated events with b jets coming from a variety of physical processes in order to validate performance in all relevant kinematic regions. To this end, b jets from the decay of Higgs bosons produced in association with a Z boson,  $Z(\rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-)H(\rightarrow b\overline{b})$ , where  $\ell$  is an electron or a muon, were generated with the MADGRAPH5\_AMC@NLO generator [30] at NLO pQCD accuracy. Additionally, b jets from the decay of Higgs boson pairs produced either from gluon

fusion or in the decay of a new, spin 0 resonance, with one Higgs boson decaying to a b quarkantiquark pair and the other to a pair of photons,  $H(\rightarrow b\overline{b})H(\rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ , were generated with MADGRAPH5\_aMC@NLO at leading-order accuracy in pQCD.

Two definitions of jets are used in this study: "generator-level jets", clustered from stable particles produced by the MC generator that include the contribution from the neutrino's momentum, and "reconstructed jets", clustered from reconstructed particle-flow candidates. The reconstructed b jets were matched to generated b jets to avoid contamination by light flavoured jets. For each reconstructed jet the corresponding generator-level jet is found by spatial matching in the  $\eta - \phi$  plane by requiring the distance  $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2}$  (where  $\phi$  is the azimuthal angle in radians) to be  $\Delta R < 0.4$ . The reconstructed b jets were then selected by applying a minimum threshold for transverse momentum ( $p_T^{reco} > 15 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $p_T^{gen} > 15 \text{ GeV}$ ) and by requiring the pseudorapidity of the central axis of the reconstructed jet to be within the tracker acceptance ( $|\eta| < 2.4$ ).

Finally, to validate the regression model on data, the output of the DNN for simulated b jets was compared to that obtained for b jets recorded by the CMS detector. The events used for this validation were recorded in 2017 with triggers [31] that require the presence of at least one lepton. This data set, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 41 fb<sup>-1</sup>, was further enriched in Z bosons produced in association with b jets. The corresponding simulated events come from a sample of Z bosons and up to two additional partons generated with MADGRAPH5\_AMC@NLO at NLO accuracy in pQCD.

For all simulated events, PYTHIA 8.2 [32] with the CP5 tune [33] is used for parton showering and hadronization. The CMS detector response is simulated by the GEANT4 [34] package, and simulated pileup interactions are added to the hard-scattering process to match the distribution of pileup interactions observed in data, for which the observed mean number of interactions per bunch crossing is 32.

# 4 Energy regression and input features

In comparison to jets arising from light-flavor quarks or gluons, jets arising from b quarks have special characteristics that call for dedicated energy corrections. In particular, b jets contain b hadrons that can often decay to a final state with a charged lepton and a neutrino. The neutrinos, which only interact via the weak force, escape detection, leading to an underestimate of the b jet energy, with a corresponding degradation of energy resolution. As described in Section 2, the jet energy is reconstructed by clustering its constituents within a given distance parameter. Compared to jets originating from light-flavor quarks and gluons, b jets, because of their higher mass, tend to spread radially over a wider area in the  $\eta$ - $\phi$  plane. This often leads to leakage of energy outside of the jet clustering region, further impacting the jet energy response and resolution.

The b jets used for the DNN training come from a sample of simulated top quark events. The top quark decays before hadronising with a branching fraction close to unity into a b jet and a W boson. At LHC energies, it provides a source of b jets that spans a large transverse momentum ( $p_{\rm T}$ ) spectrum and covers the full  $\eta$  acceptance of the detector. The  $p_{\rm T}^{\rm reco}$  value is corrected with the baseline algorithm as described in Section 2. Figure 1 (left) shows the distribution of  $p_{\rm T}^{\rm reco}$ , for the selected b jets.

The regression target, y, used in this study is defined as the ratio of the transverse momentum of the generator-level jet,  $p_T^{gen}$ , to that of the reconstructed jet,  $p_T^{reco}$ , applying the baseline



Figure 1: (left) The  $p_T^{\text{reco}}$  distribution for reconstructed b jets in an MC t $\bar{t}$  sample. (right) Distribution of the regression target for the MC t $\bar{t}$  training sample.

jet energy corrections. Using this definition rather than using  $p_T^{\text{gen}}$  directly has the effect of greatly reducing the variance of the target while producing a numerical value of order 1. The distribution of the target for b jets from an MC simulated t $\bar{t}$  sample is shown in Fig. 1 (right). To improve the convergence of the training of the DNN, the target is further standardized by subtracting its median value and dividing it by its standard deviation.

The DNN training inputs provide information about the kinematics, shape and composition of reconstructed jets. The inputs consist of the following features:

- jet kinematics: jet  $p_T$ ,  $\eta$ , mass, and transverse mass, defined as  $\sqrt{E^2 p_z^2}$ ;
- information about pileup interactions: the median energy density in the event, ρ, corresponding to the amount of transverse momentum per unit area that is due to overlapping collisions [35];
- information about semileptonic decays of b hadrons when an electron or muon candidate is clustered within a jet: the transverse component of lepton momentum perpendicular to the jet axis, the distance  $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2}$ , and a categorical variable that encodes information about the lepton candidate's flavour;
- information about the secondary vertex, selected as the highest  $p_T$  displaced vertex linked to the jet: number of tracks associated to the vertex, transverse momentum and mass (computed assigning the pion mass to all reconstructed tracks forming the secondary vertex); the distance between the collision vertex and the secondary vertex computed in three dimensional space with its associated uncertainty [36, 37];
- jet composition: largest  $p_T$  value of any charged hadron candidates, fractions of energy carried by jet constituents; namely charged hadrons, neutral hadrons, muons, and an electromagnetic component coming from electrons and photons. These fractions are computed for the whole jet, and separately in five rings of  $\Delta R$  around the jet axis ( $\Delta R = 0-0.05, 0.05-0.1, 0.1-0.2, 0.2-0.3, 0.3-0.4$ );
- multiplicity of PF candidates clustered to form the jet;

information about jet energy sharing among the jet constituents computed as

$$\frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i} p_{T,i}^2}}{\sum_{i} p_{T,i}},\tag{1}$$

where *i* runs over all jet constituents.

This results in a total of 41 input features. No additional preprocessing is performed, apart from the input normalization provided by batch normalization [38] at the input layer of the DNN.

# 5 Loss function

A possible approach to such a regression problem is to develop separate dedicated regressions to obtain energy and per-object resolution estimators. If the target distribution can be parametrized analytically, one can use a semiparametric regression to obtain estimates of the function parameters. This method has been used by the CMS collaboration to estimate the energy and resolution of electron and photon candidates [20, 21]. Whereas for the photon and electron candidates the energy response can be parametrized by an analytically integrable function, this is less straightforward for b jets, making such an approach to the problem more expensive computationally. An alternative approach is to simultaneously obtain point and dispersion estimates of the b jet energy by defining a loss function that is completely agnostic to the target distribution. The correction to be applied to the reconstructed b jet energy can be obtained as the estimated mean, while the per-jet b jet energy resolution can be estimated as half the difference of the 75 and 25% quantiles. Therefore, the regression loss function should provide the mean estimator ( $\hat{y}$ ) and the 25 and 75% quantiles of the target distribution.

The Huber loss function is employed to learn the mean of the target distribution via a minimization process. It is preferable to the mean squared error because of its reduced sensitivity to the tails of the target distribution. It is defined as:

$$H_{\delta}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}z^2, & \text{if } |z| < \delta;\\ \delta |z| - \frac{1}{2}\delta^2, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(2)

where  $z = y - \hat{y}$ , and  $\delta$  is set to 1 in our case. To estimate the 25 and 75% quantiles of the target distribution, the quantile loss function is used:

$$\rho_{\tau}(z) = \begin{cases} \tau z, & \text{if } z > 0; \\ (\tau - 1)z, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(3)

where  $\tau = 0.25 (0.75)$  corresponds to the 25 (75)% quantile.

The complete loss function can then be written as:

$$\log(\hat{y}, \hat{y}_{25\%}, \hat{y}_{75\%}) = E_{(x,y) \sim p(x,y)}[H_1(y - \hat{y}(x)) + \rho_{0.25}(y - \hat{y}_{25\%}(x)) + \rho_{0.75}(y - \hat{y}_{75\%}(x))], \quad (4)$$

where  $E_{(x,y)\sim p(x,y)}$  denotes the expectation value when sampling (x, y) on the distribution p(x, y), x denotes the set of input features, and p(x, y) is the joint distribution of the input features and the target variables y in the training sample. The symbols  $\hat{y}(x)$ ,  $\hat{y}_{25\%}(x)$  and  $\hat{y}_{75\%}(x)$  denote the DNN outputs:  $\hat{y}(x)$  is the mean estimator,  $\hat{y}_{25\%}(x)$  and  $\hat{y}_{75\%}(x)$  are the 25 and 75% quantile estimators, respectively.

# 6 Neural network architecture

The model used for this study is a feed-forward, fully connected DNN with 6 hidden layers, 41 input features and 3 outputs: the energy correction and the 25 and 75% quantiles. As mentioned above, a batch normalization layer is applied at the DNN input.

Each hidden layer of the DNN is built from the following components:

- Dense layer: defined as a linear combination of all outputs from the previous layer.
- Batch normalization layer: to transform the inputs to zero-mean and unit-variance.
- Dropout unit: an operation that zeroes a fixed fraction of randomly chosen nodes during the training, used as a regularization handle. The dropout rate is one of the optimized hyperparameters of the DNN.
- Activation unit: we chose the "Leaky" Rectified Linear Unit (LReLU) [39]:

$$LReLU(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \ge 0; \\ \beta x, & \text{if } x < 0, \end{cases}$$
(5)

with  $\beta = 0.2$ .

A small slope  $\beta$  = 0.2 was chosen for the LReLU to allow for a nonvanishing gradient over the domain of the function [39]. The output layer has a linear activation function. The DNN is implemented using the KERAS package [40] with TENSORFLOW backend [41]. Back-propagation is done using stochastic gradient descent with the Adam optimizer [42].

# 6.1 Hyperparameter optimization

To optimize the performance of the DNN, three hyperparameters are considered: the depth of the network architecture, the dropout rate, and the gradient descent learning rate. They were tuned using the cross-validation algorithm [43]. The mean validation loss was used as the figure of merit for the optimization over a five-fold splitting of the training sample. The network has been trained on a single NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1080 Ti GPU.

Random sampling was used to select 50 of 120 grid points in hyperparameter space, where the grid is defined by the following:

- dropout rate:  $do \in [0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4]$ ,
- learning rate:  $lr \in [10^{-2}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-5}, 10^{-6}]$  and
- number of hidden layers: varied between 3 and 8.

The number of nodes in the last 3 hidden layers of the DNN was set to [512, 256, 128] respectively, while the number of nodes of the remaining layers was set to 1024. A number of configurations were found to provide comparable performance. Of these, the network with the smallest number of trainable parameters was chosen. The parameters and their values are: do = 0.1, lr = 0.001, and 6 hidden layers with [1024, 1024, 1024, 512, 256, 128] nodes. This architecture has a total of about 2.8 million trainable parameters.

# 6.2 Training set $p_{\rm T}$ composition

The number of events as a function of the b jet  $p_T$  spectrum in the training sample spans six orders of magnitude, as shown in Fig. 1 (left). This means that, during the training, the DNN is exposed to many more jets with low  $p_T$ . In situations like this, one might expect worse performance for high- $p_T$  jets. To check if this is an issue, emphasis was given to the high  $p_T$ 

part of the sample. About 95% of the jets with  $p_T$  below 400 GeV were removed in order to reproduce the same exponential shape observed above 400 GeV. We found that the DNN trained on this subsample of events showed no improvement for high  $p_T$  jets but did have up to 0.5% degradation of the relative jet energy resolution. For this reason, the final DNN is trained on the full sample.

# 7 Results

The performance of the b jet regression was evaluated by comparing the b jet energy resolution and scale (defined as the most probable value of the  $p_T^{\text{gen}}/p_T^{\text{reco}}$  distribution), before and after the energy correction, on a test sample that is statistically independent from those used for training and validation. Different physics processes were included in the test set in order to evaluate the performance of the algorithm on b jets with different kinematics. The processes employed in the test sample are:

- tī: top quark-antiquark pair production (independent of the training data set),
- $Z(\rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-)H(\rightarrow b\overline{b})$ : associated production of a Higgs boson with a Z boson, where the Z boson decays to a pair of same-flavor, opposite-charge electrons or muons, and the Higgs boson decays to  $b\overline{b}$ ,
- H(→ bb)H(→ γγ): double Higgs boson produced via gluon fusion with one Higgs boson decaying to bb, and the other to a pair of photons, assuming both standard model (SM) and beyond SM kinematics. In the latter case, the double Higgs signal originates from the decay of a spin-0 resonance with a mass of 500 or 700 GeV.

Figure 2 shows the 25, 40, 50, and 75% quantiles of the target distribution before and after applying the DNN b jet energy corrections, as a function of jet  $p_T$ ,  $\eta$ , and  $\rho$ . The results are obtained for b jets from the t $\bar{t}$  test sample. The 40% quantile has been found to be a good approximation of the most probable value of the target distribution. In addition, the 40% quantile validates the performance on a quantile not used in the training. It can be seen that after DNN corrections, the distribution becomes narrower, and its median and 40% quantile exhibit smaller dependence on jet  $p_T$ ,  $\eta$ , and the median event energy density  $\rho$ .



Figure 2: The 25, 40, 50, and 75% quantiles are shown for the b jet energy scale  $p_T^{\text{gen}}/p_T^{\text{reco}}$  distribution before (blue dashdot) and after (red solid) applying the regression correction as a function of jet  $p_T$  (left),  $\eta$  (center), and  $\rho$  (right). The  $\eta$  and  $\rho$  distributions are shown for jets with  $p_T \in [70, 100]$  GeV.

The jet energy resolution, s, is estimated as half the difference between the 75% ( $q_{75}$ ) and 25% ( $q_{25}$ ) quantiles of the target distribution. To quantify the resolution improvement, we compared

the relative jet energy resolution,  $\bar{s}$ , defined as:

$$\bar{\mathbf{s}} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{s}}{q_{40}} = \frac{q_{75} - q_{25}}{2} \frac{1}{q_{40}},\tag{6}$$

where the resolution s is divided by  $q_{40}$ , the most probable value estimated as the 40% quantile of the target distribution. The relative improvement on  $\overline{s}$  for b jets for various physics processes is between 12 and 15%, as can be seen from Table 1. Figure 3 shows the value of  $\overline{s}$  obtained for b jets from the tt test sample as a function of the generator-level  $p_{\rm T}^{\rm gen}$  (left),  $\eta$  (center), and  $\rho$  (right). The lower panels in Fig. 3 show the relative improvements resulting from the DNN energy correction. The observed behavior agrees with the expectation that the regression correction should optimize the jet energy resolution, while the baseline corrections aim for a flat response as a function of the jet generator-level  $p_T^{\text{gen}}$  and  $\eta$ . For all physics processes considered, the per-jet relative resolution improvement is around 12–18% for  $p_{\rm T}$  < 100 GeV, falling to around 5–9% for  $p_{\rm T}$  > 200 GeV. This improvement translates into an improvement in sensitivity of the analyses that make use of b jets in the final state. The improvement in the b jet energy resolution brought by the regression is similar for b jets with and without associated leptons. This demonstrates that the algorithm is able to correct not only for the undetected neutrinos in semileptonic decays of b hadrons, but also for effects that may only be present in hadronic decays. In addition, the regression was shown to improve the response of light jets by about 3%.



Figure 3: Relative jet energy resolution,  $\bar{s}$ , as a function of generator-level jet  $p_T^{\text{gen}}$  (left),  $\eta$  (center), and  $\rho$  (right) for b jets from t $\bar{t}$  MC events. The average  $p_T$  of these b jets is 80 GeV. The  $\eta$  and  $\rho$  distributions are shown for jets with  $p_T \in [70, 100]$  GeV. The blue stars and red squares represent  $\bar{s}$  before and after the DNN correction, respectively. The relative difference  $\Delta \bar{s} / \bar{s}_{\text{baseline}}$  between the  $\bar{s}$  values before and after DNN corrections is shown in the lower panels.

Table 1: Relative differences  $\Delta \bar{s} / \bar{s}_{baseline}$  between the  $\bar{s}$  values obtained before and after applying the DNN energy correction for b jets produced in the different physics processes indicated.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1
MC sample	Improvement
tī	12.2%
$Z( ightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) H( ightarrow b\overline{b})$	12.8%
$\mathrm{H}( ightarrow \mathrm{b}\overline{\mathrm{b}})\mathrm{H}( ightarrow \gamma\gamma)\mathrm{SM}$	13.1%
$H(\rightarrow b\overline{b})H(\rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ resonant 500 GeV	14.5%
$H(\rightarrow b\overline{b})H(\rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ resonant 700 GeV	13.1%

Knowledge of jet energy resolution on a jet-by-jet basis can be exploited in analyses searching for resonant production of b jet pairs to increase their sensitivity. We have checked the correlation between the jet resolution s and the value of the per-jet resolution estimator,  $\hat{s}$ , provided

by the DNN:

$$\hat{\mathbf{s}} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\hat{y}_{75\%} - \hat{y}_{25\%}). \tag{7}$$

To do this, the sample of b jets was split into several equally populated bins in  $\hat{s}$ . In each bin, the value of s is computed as half the difference between the  $q_{75}$  and  $q_{25}$  quantiles of the target distribution, and compared to the average resolution estimator  $\langle \hat{s} \rangle$ . Figure 4 shows the correlation between s and the  $\langle \hat{s} \rangle$  values for the inclusive  $p_T$  spectrum and for several bins in  $p_T$ . A linear dependence with slope near unity confirms that the per-jet energy resolution estimator  $\hat{s}$  correctly represents the jet resolution. We observe that deviations of the slope from unity from the linear behavior are roughly compatible within 20% of the  $\hat{s}$  value.



Figure 4: Correlation between jet energy resolution s and the average jet energy resolution estimator  $\langle \hat{s} \rangle$  for b jets from t $\bar{t}$  MC events. The blue circles correspond to the inclusive  $p_T$  spectrum, while the blue band represents 20% up and down variations of the fitted  $\langle \hat{s} \rangle$  trend for the inclusive  $p_T$  spectrum. The red stars correspond to jets with  $p_T \in [30, 50]$  GeV, orange diamonds to  $p_T \in [50, 70]$  GeV, and green crosses to  $p_T \in [110, 120]$  GeV.

While the improvements described above are quoted at the single jet level, many physics analyses use the invariant mass of the two b jet system as a discriminating variable for signal extraction. The improvement in the resolution of the dijet invariant mass is generally bigger than that for a single jet because the energy corrections effectively equalize the energy scale of the two jets, while also improving the jet resolution. To estimate the dijet resolution improvement events with two leptons and two jets were selected from the  $Z(\rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-)H(\rightarrow bb)$  sample: jets were required to have  $p_{\rm T}$  larger than 20 GeV, absolute value of  $\eta$  below 2.4, and be compatible with the hadronisation of b quarks, referred to as "b-tagged" [37] jets in the following. The selection criteria for the b-tagged jets correspond to a 70% b jet tagging efficiency with a 1% misidentification rate for light-flavor or gluon jets. Leptons were required to have a  $p_{\rm T}$  larger than 20 GeV, while the lepton pairs were required to be compatible with the decay of a Z boson, requiring their invariant mass to be within 20 GeV of the mass of the Z boson. The Z boson was required to have a transverse momentum larger than 150 GeV. An improvement of about 20% in the dijet invariant mass resolution in the  $Z(\rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) H(\rightarrow b\overline{b})$  sample can be observed in Fig. 5. A Bukin function [44] was used to fit the core of the distribution in Fig. 5. The fit is performed in the range [75, 165] GeV for the baseline and [81,160] GeV for the DNN corrected distribution.



Figure 5: Dijet invariant mass distributions for simulated samples of  $Z(\rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-)H(\rightarrow b\overline{b})$  events, where two jets and two leptons were selected. Distributions are shown before (dotted blue) and after (solid red) applying the b jet energy corrections. A Bukin function [44] was used to fit the distribution. The fitted mean and width of the core of each distribution are displayed in the figure.

In addition, a dedicated study was performed to test how well the algorithm performance can be transferred from Monte Carlo simulations to the domain of pp collision data. A set of Z boson candidates decaying to a pair of charged leptons was extracted from pp collisions recorded by the CMS experiment in 2017. A standard set of requirements [28, 45] was applied to select events with electron or muon pairs compatible with having originated from the decay of a Z boson. Events were further required to have at least one b-tagged jet. The jet with the largest  $p_T$  was required to have  $|\eta| < 2$ , while the  $p_T$  of the dilepton system was required to be larger than 100 GeV. The  $p_T$  balance between the Z boson and the b-tagged jet candidate was enforced by requiring that extra jets have a  $p_T$  less than 30% of the Z  $p_T$  to suppress events with additional hadronic activity. Events satisfying these requirements were used to evaluate the agreement between data and MC simulations. In addition, the resolution of the jets was measured by extrapolating to zero additional hadronic activity following the methodology described in Ref. [28].

Figure 6 shows the ratio between the  $p_T$  of the leading jet and that of the dilepton system for events in which the  $p_T$  of the subleading jet is less than 15 GeV. The left and right panels show the distributions obtained before and after applying the DNN-based corrections, respectively. It can be seen that the effect of the corrections is to reduce the width of the distribution. Using the method detailed in Ref. [28], the double ratio of the relative jet resolution  $\bar{s}$  measured in data and in simulated events was found to be  $1.1 \pm 0.1$  before and after applying the DNN-based corrections. This validates that the resolution improvement achieved in simulated events is successfully transferred to the data domain.

# 8 Summary

We have described an algorithm that makes it possible to obtain point and dispersion estimates of the energy of jets arising from b quarks in proton-proton collisions. We trained a deep, feedforward neural network, with inputs based on jet composition and shape information, and on properties of the associated reconstructed secondary vertex for a sample of simulated b



Figure 6: Distribution of the ratio between the transverse momentum of the leading b-tagged jet and that of the dilepton system from the decay of the Z boson. Distributions are shown before (left) and after (right) applying the b jet energy corrections. The  $\bar{s}$  values of the core distributions are included in the figures. The black points and histogram show the distributions for data and simulated events, respectively.

jets arising from the decays of top quark-antiquark pairs. The neural network simultaneously finds robust mean, 25 and 75% quantile estimators for the energy of a b jet. The mean estimator is based on the Huber loss function and is used as an energy correction, while the 25 and 75% quantile estimators are used to build a jet-by-jet resolution estimator, defined as half the difference between these quantiles.

The DNN-based algorithm leverages the information contained in a large training data set consisting of nearly 100 million simulated b jets, and improves the resolution of the b jet energy by 12–15% relative to that which is found after baseline corrections. An improvement of about 20% is observed in the resolution of the invariant mass of b jet pairs resulting from the decay of a Higgs boson produced in association with a Z boson. The resolution estimator is further shown to predict the resolution of b jets with an accuracy of 20% over a  $p_T$  range between 30 and 350 GeV. Events containing a dilepton decay of a Z boson produced in association with a b jet are used to validate the performance of the algorithm on proton-proton collision data recorded with the CMS detector. The jet energy resolution improvement observed in data is consistent with that found in simulation.

The results described here are being used by the CMS Collaboration in several physics analyses targeting final states containing b jets, including the observation of the Higgs boson decay to  $b\overline{b}$  [13].

# Acknowledgments

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); MoER, ERC IUT, PUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); NKFIA (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS, RFBR, and NRC KI (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI, CPAN, PCTI, and FEDER (Spain); MOSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR, and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie program and the European Research Council and Horizon 2020 Grant, contract Nos. 675440, 752730, and 765710 (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the A.P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the F.R.S.-FNRS and FWO (Belgium) under the "Excellence of Science - EOS" - be.h project n. 30820817; the Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission, No. Z181100004218003; the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) under Germany's Excellence Strategy - EXC 2121 "Quantum Universe" - 390833306; the Lendület ("Momentum") Program and the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the New National Excellence Program ÚNKP, the NKFIA research grants 123842, 123959, 124845, 124850, 125105, 128713, 128786, and 129058 (Hungary); the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the HOMING PLUS program of the Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced from European Union, Regional Development Fund, the Mobility Plus program of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education, the National Science Center (Poland), contracts Harmonia 2014/14/M/ST2/00428, Opus 2014/13/B/ST2/02543, 2014/15/B/ST2/03998, and 2015/19/B/ST2/02861, Sonata-bis 2012/07/E/ST2/01406; the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; the Ministry of Science and Education, grant no. 14.W03.31.0026 (Russia); the Programa Estatal de Fomento de la Investigación Científica y Técnica de Excelencia María de Maeztu, grant MDM-2015-0509 and the Programa Severo Ochoa del Principado de Asturias; the Thalis and Aristeia programs cofinanced by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; the Rachadapisek Sompot Fund for Postdoctoral Fellowship, Chulalongkorn University and the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project (Thailand); the Nvidia Corporation; the Welch Foundation, contract C-1845; and the Weston Havens Foundation (USA).

# References

- [1] ATLAS Collaboration, "Observation of a new particle in the search for the standard model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC", *Phys. Lett. B* **716** (2012) 1, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.020, arXiv:1207.7214.
- [2] CMS Collaboration, "Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC", *Phys. Lett. B* **716** (2012) 30,

doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.021,arXiv:1207.7235.

- [3] CMS Collaboration, "A new boson with a mass of 125 GeV observed with the CMS experiment at the Large Hadron Collider", Science 338 (2012) 1569, doi:10.1126/science.1230816.
- [4] ATLAS Collaboration, "Measurements of Higgs boson production and couplings in the four-lepton channel in pp collisions at center-of-mass energies of 7 and 8 TeV with the ATLAS detector", *Phys. Rev. D* 91 (2015) 012006, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.012006, arXiv:1408.5191.
- [5] ATLAS Collaboration, "Observation and measurement of Higgs boson decays to WW\* with the ATLAS detector", *Phys. Rev. D* 92 (2015) 012006, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.012006, arXiv:1412.2641.
- [6] ATLAS Collaboration, "Measurement of Higgs boson production in the diphoton decay channel in pp collisions at center-of-mass energies of 7 and 8 TeV with the ATLAS detector", *Phys. Rev. D* 90 (2014) 112015, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.112015, arXiv:1408.7084.
- [7] CMS Collaboration, "Measurement of the properties of a Higgs boson in the four-lepton final state", *Phys. Rev. D* 89 (2014) 092007, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.092007, arXiv:1312.5353.
- [8] CMS Collaboration, "Measurement of Higgs boson production and properties in the WW decay channel with leptonic final states", *JHEP* 01 (2014) 096, doi:10.1007/JHEP01 (2014) 096, arXiv:1312.1129.
- [9] CMS Collaboration, "Observation of the diphoton decay of the Higgs boson and measurement of its properties", Eur. Phys. J. C 74 (2014) 3076, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-3076-z, arXiv:1407.0558.
- [10] CMS Collaboration, "Observation of the Higgs boson decay to a pair of τ leptons with the CMS detector", *Phys. Lett. B* 779 (2018) 283, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2018.02.004, arXiv:1708.00373.
- [11] ATLAS Collaboration, "Observation of Higgs boson production in association with a top quark pair at the LHC with the ATLAS detector", *Phys. Lett. B* 784 (2018) 173, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2018.07.035, arXiv:1806.00425.
- [12] CMS Collaboration, "Observation of ttH production", Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 231801, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.231801, arXiv:1804.02610.
- [13] CMS Collaboration, "Observation of Higgs boson decay to bottom quarks", Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 121801, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.121801, arXiv:1808.08242.
- [14] ATLAS Collaboration, "Observation of H → bb decays and VH production with the ATLAS detector", Phys. Lett. B 786 (2018) 59, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2018.09.013, arXiv:1808.08238.
- [15] T. Aaltonen et al., "Improved b jet energy correction for  $H \rightarrow b\overline{b}$  searches at CDF", arXiv:1107.3026.

- [16] CDF Collaboration, "Search for the standard model Higgs boson decaying to a bb pair in events with one charged lepton and large missing transverse energy using the full CDF data set", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (2012) 111804, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.111804, arXiv:1207.1703.
- [17] CMS Collaboration, "Search for the standard model Higgs boson produced through vector boson fusion and decaying to bb", *Phys. Rev. D* 92 (2015) 032008, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.032008, arXiv:1506.01010.
- [18] P. J. Huber, "Robust estimation of a location parameter", *Ann. Math. Statist.* **35** (1994) 731, doi:10.1214/aoms/1177703732.
- [19] R. W. Koenker and G. Bassett, "Regression quantiles", Econometrica 46 (1978) 33.
- [20] CMS Collaboration, "Performance of photon reconstruction and identification with the CMS Detector in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV", *JINST* **10** (2015) P08010, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/10/08/P08010, arXiv:1502.02702.
- [21] CMS Collaboration, "Performance of electron reconstruction and selection with the CMS detector in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ ", *JINST* **10** (2015) P06005, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/10/06/P06005, arXiv:1502.02701.
- [22] CMS Collaboration, "Performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV using the CMS detector", *JINST* **14** (2019), no. 07, P07004, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/14/07/P07004, arXiv:1903.06078.
- [23] CMS Collaboration, "The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC", JINST 3 (2008) S08004, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004.
- [24] CMS Collaboration, "Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the CMS detector", JINST 12 (2017) P10003, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/10/P10003, arXiv:1706.04965.
- [25] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, "The anti-k<sub>T</sub> jet clustering algorithm", JHEP 04 (2008) 063, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/063, arXiv:0802.1189.
- [26] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, "FastJet user Manual", Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 1896, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-1896-2, arXiv:1111.6097.
- [27] CMS Collaboration, "Jet energy scale and resolution in the CMS experiment in pp collisions at 8 TeV", JINST 12 (2017) P02014, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/02/P02014, arXiv:1607.03663.
- [28] CMS Collaboration, "Determination of jet energy calibration and transverse momentum resolution in CMS", JINST 6 (2011) P11002, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/6/11/P11002, arXiv:1107.4277.
- [29] J. M. Campbell, R. K. Ellis, P. Nason, and E. Re, "Top-Pair production and decay at NLO matched with parton showers", JHEP 04 (2015) 114, doi:10.1007/JHEP04 (2015) 114, arXiv:1412.1828.
- [30] J. Alwall et al., "The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations", JHEP 07 (2014) 079, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2014)079, arXiv:1405.0301.

- [31] CMS Collaboration, "The CMS trigger system", JINST 12 (2017) P01020, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/01/P01020, arXiv:1609.02366.
- [32] T. Sjöstrand et al., "An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2", *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **191** (2015) 159, doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024, arXiv:1410.3012.
- [33] CMS Collaboration, "Event generator tunes obtained from underlying event and multiparton scattering measurements", *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 155, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-3988-x, arXiv:1512.00815.
- [34] GEANT4 Collaboration, "GEANT4—a simulation toolkit", Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 506 (2003) 250, doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8.
- [35] M. Cacciari and G. P. Salam, "Pileup subtraction using jet areas", *Phys. Lett. B* 659 (2008) 119, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2007.09.077, arXiv:0707.1378.
- [36] CMS Collaboration, "Description and performance of track and primary-vertex reconstruction with the CMS tracker", JINST 9 (2014) P10009, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/9/10/P10009, arXiv:1405.6569.
- [37] CMS Collaboration, "Identification of heavy-flavour jets with the CMS detector in pp collisions at 13 TeV", JINST 13 (2018) P05011, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/13/05/P05011, arXiv:1712.07158.
- [38] S. Ioffe and C. Szegedy, "Batch normalization: accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift", in *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, volume 37, p. 448. 2015. arXiv:1502.03167.
- [39] A. L. Maas et al., "Rectifier nonlinearities improve neural network acoustic models", (2013).
- [40] F. Chollet et al., "Keras", 2015. Software available from keras.io. https://keras.io.
- [41] M. Abadi et al., "TensorFlow: Large-scale machine learning on heterogeneous systems", 2015. Software available from tensorflow.org. http://tensorflow.org/.
- [42] D. P. Kingma and J. Ba, "Adam: A method for stochastic optimization", (2014). arXiv:1412.6980.
- [43] T. Hastie, R. Tibshirani, and J. Friedman, "The Elements of Statistical Learning". Springer-Verlag New York, 2nd edition, 2009. doi:10.1007/978-0-387-84858-7.
- [44] A. D. Bukin, "Fitting function for asymmetric peaks", (2007). arXiv:0711.4449.
- [45] CMS Collaboration, "Performance of the CMS missing transverse momentum reconstruction in pp data at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV", JINST 10 (2015) P02006, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/10/02/P02006, arXiv:1411.0511.

# A The CMS Collaboration

**Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia** A.M. Sirunyan<sup>†</sup>, A. Tumasyan

# Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Wien, Austria

W. Adam, F. Ambrogi, T. Bergauer, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, A. Escalante Del Valle, M. Flechl, R. Frühwirth<sup>1</sup>, M. Jeitler<sup>1</sup>, N. Krammer, I. Krätschmer, D. Liko, T. Madlener, I. Mikulec, N. Rad, J. Schieck<sup>1</sup>, R. Schöfbeck, M. Spanring, D. Spitzbart, W. Waltenberger, C.-E. Wulz<sup>1</sup>, M. Zarucki

## Institute for Nuclear Problems, Minsk, Belarus

V. Drugakov, V. Mossolov, J. Suarez Gonzalez

## Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

M.R. Darwish, E.A. De Wolf, D. Di Croce, X. Janssen, A. Lelek, M. Pieters, H. Rejeb Sfar, H. Van Haevermaet, P. Van Mechelen, S. Van Putte, N. Van Remortel

# Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

F. Blekman, E.S. Bols, S.S. Chhibra, J. D'Hondt, J. De Clercq, D. Lontkovskyi, S. Lowette, I. Marchesini, S. Moortgat, Q. Python, K. Skovpen, S. Tavernier, W. Van Doninck, P. Van Mulders

# Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

D. Beghin, B. Bilin, B. Clerbaux, G. De Lentdecker, H. Delannoy, B. Dorney, L. Favart, A. Grebenyuk, A.K. Kalsi, A. Popov, N. Postiau, E. Starling, L. Thomas, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, D. Vannerom

## Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

T. Cornelis, D. Dobur, I. Khvastunov<sup>2</sup>, M. Niedziela, C. Roskas, M. Tytgat, W. Verbeke, B. Vermassen, M. Vit

## Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

O. Bondu, G. Bruno, C. Caputo, P. David, C. Delaere, M. Delcourt, A. Giammanco, V. Lemaitre, J. Prisciandaro, A. Saggio, M. Vidal Marono, P. Vischia, J. Zobec

## Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

F.L. Alves, G.A. Alves, G. Correia Silva, C. Hensel, A. Moraes, P. Rebello Teles

## Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

E. Belchior Batista Das Chagas, W. Carvalho, J. Chinellato<sup>3</sup>, E. Coelho, E.M. Da Costa, G.G. Da Silveira<sup>4</sup>, D. De Jesus Damiao, C. De Oliveira Martins, S. Fonseca De Souza, L.M. Huertas Guativa, H. Malbouisson, J. Martins<sup>5</sup>, D. Matos Figueiredo, M. Medina Jaime<sup>6</sup>, M. Melo De Almeida, C. Mora Herrera, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, W.L. Prado Da Silva, L.J. Sanchez Rosas, A. Santoro, A. Sznajder, M. Thiel, E.J. Tonelli Manganote<sup>3</sup>, F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo, A. Vilela Pereira

# Universidade Estadual Paulista<sup>*a*</sup>, Universidade Federal do ABC<sup>*b*</sup>, São Paulo, Brazil

C.A. Bernardes<sup>*a*</sup>, L. Calligaris<sup>*a*</sup>, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei<sup>*a*</sup>, E.M. Gregores<sup>*b*</sup>, D.S. Lemos, P.G. Mercadante<sup>*b*</sup>, S.F. Novaes<sup>*a*</sup>, SandraS. Padula<sup>*a*</sup>

# Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Aleksandrov, G. Antchev, R. Hadjiiska, P. Iaydjiev, M. Misheva, M. Rodozov, M. Shopova, G. Sultanov

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

M. Bonchev, A. Dimitrov, T. Ivanov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov

**Beihang University, Beijing, China** W. Fang<sup>7</sup>, X. Gao<sup>7</sup>, L. Yuan

**Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China** M. Ahmad, Z. Hu, Y. Wang

**Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China** G.M. Chen, H.S. Chen, M. Chen, C.H. Jiang, D. Leggat, H. Liao, Z. Liu, A. Spiezia, J. Tao, E. Yazgan, H. Zhang, S. Zhang<sup>8</sup>, J. Zhao

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China A. Agapitos, Y. Ban, G. Chen, A. Levin, J. Li, L. Li, Q. Li, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, D. Wang, Q. Wang

**Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China** M. Xiao

**Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia** C. Avila, A. Cabrera, C. Florez, C.F. González Hernández, M.A. Segura Delgado

**Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia** J. Mejia Guisao, J.D. Ruiz Alvarez, C.A. Salazar González, N. Vanegas Arbelaez

University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia D. Giljanović, N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, I. Puljak, T. Sculac

**University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia** Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

**Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia** V. Brigljevic, D. Ferencek, K. Kadija, B. Mesic, M. Roguljic, A. Starodumov<sup>9</sup>, T. Susa

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

M.W. Ather, A. Attikis, E. Erodotou, A. Ioannou, M. Kolosova, S. Konstantinou, G. Mavromanolakis, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis, H. Rykaczewski, D. Tsiakkouri

**Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic** M. Finger<sup>10</sup>, M. Finger Jr.<sup>10</sup>, A. Kveton, J. Tomsa

**Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador** E. Ayala

**Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador** E. Carrera Jarrin

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt H. Abdalla<sup>11</sup>, S. Elgammal<sup>12</sup>

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia S. Bhowmik, A. Carvalho Antunes De Oliveira, R.K. Dewanjee, K. Ehataht, M. Kadastik, M. Raidal, C. Veelken

**Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland** P. Eerola, L. Forthomme, H. Kirschenmann, K. Osterberg, M. Voutilainen

### Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

F. Garcia, J. Havukainen, J.K. Heikkilä, V. Karimäki, M.S. Kim, R. Kinnunen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Laurila, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, P. Luukka, T. Mäenpää, H. Siikonen, E. Tuominen, J. Tuominiemi

# **Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland** T. Tuuva

#### IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

M. Besancon, F. Couderc, M. Dejardin, D. Denegri, B. Fabbro, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, C. Leloup, B. Lenzi, E. Locci, J. Malcles, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, M.Ö. Sahin, A. Savoy-Navarro<sup>13</sup>, M. Titov, G.B. Yu

# Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris

S. Ahuja, C. Amendola, F. Beaudette, P. Busson, C. Charlot, B. Diab, G. Falmagne, R. Granier de Cassagnac, I. Kucher, A. Lobanov, C. Martin Perez, M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, P. Paganini, J. Rembser, R. Salerno, J.B. Sauvan, Y. Sirois, A. Zabi, A. Zghiche

# Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France

J.-L. Agram<sup>14</sup>, J. Andrea, D. Bloch, G. Bourgatte, J.-M. Brom, E.C. Chabert, C. Collard, E. Conte<sup>14</sup>, J.-C. Fontaine<sup>14</sup>, D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, M. Jansová, A.-C. Le Bihan, N. Tonon, P. Van Hove

Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France

S. Gadrat

# Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France

S. Beauceron, C. Bernet, G. Boudoul, C. Camen, A. Carle, N. Chanon, R. Chierici, D. Contardo, P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, B. Ille, Sa. Jain, F. Lagarde, I.B. Laktineh, H. Lattaud, A. Lesauvage, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, S. Perries, V. Sordini, L. Torterotot, G. Touquet, M. Vander Donckt, S. Viret

# Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia

A. Khvedelidze<sup>10</sup>

Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

Z. Tsamalaidze<sup>10</sup>

# RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

C. Autermann, L. Feld, K. Klein, M. Lipinski, D. Meuser, A. Pauls, M. Preuten, M.P. Rauch, J. Schulz, M. Teroerde, B. Wittmer

#### RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

M. Erdmann, B. Fischer, S. Ghosh, T. Hebbeker, K. Hoepfner, H. Keller, L. Mastrolorenzo, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, P. Millet, G. Mocellin, S. Mondal, S. Mukherjee, D. Noll, A. Novak, T. Pook, A. Pozdnyakov, T. Quast, M. Radziej, Y. Rath, H. Reithler, J. Roemer, A. Schmidt, S.C. Schuler, A. Sharma, S. Wiedenbeck, S. Zaleski

# RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

G. Flügge, W. Haj Ahmad<sup>15</sup>, O. Hlushchenko, T. Kress, T. Müller, A. Nowack, C. Pistone, O. Pooth, D. Roy, H. Sert, A. Stahl<sup>16</sup>

### Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

M. Aldaya Martin, P. Asmuss, I. Babounikau, H. Bakhshiansohi, K. Beernaert, O. Behnke, A. Bermúdez Martínez, D. Bertsche, A.A. Bin Anuar, K. Borras<sup>17</sup>, V. Botta, A. Campbell, A. Cardini, P. Connor, S. Consuegra Rodríguez, C. Contreras-Campana, V. Danilov, A. De Wit, M.M. Defranchis, C. Diez Pardos, D. Domínguez Damiani, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, T. Eichhorn, A. Elwood, E. Eren, E. Gallo<sup>18</sup>, A. Geiser, A. Grohsjean, M. Guthoff, M. Haranko, A. Harb, A. Jafari, N.Z. Jomhari, H. Jung, A. Kasem<sup>17</sup>, M. Kasemann, H. Kaveh, J. Keaveney, C. Kleinwort, J. Knolle, D. Krücker, W. Lange, T. Lenz, J. Lidrych, K. Lipka, W. Lohmann<sup>19</sup>, R. Mankel, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, A.B. Meyer, M. Meyer, M. Missiroli, J. Mnich, A. Mussgiller, V. Myronenko, D. Pérez Adán, S.K. Pflitsch, D. Pitzl, A. Raspereza, A. Saibel, M. Savitskyi, V. Scheurer, P. Schütze, C. Schwanenberger, R. Shevchenko, A. Singh, H. Tholen, O. Turkot, A. Vagnerini, M. Van De Klundert, R. Walsh, Y. Wen, K. Wichmann, C. Wissing, O. Zenaiev, R. Zlebcik

## University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

R. Aggleton, S. Bein, L. Benato, A. Benecke, V. Blobel, T. Dreyer, A. Ebrahimi, F. Feindt, A. Fröhlich, C. Garbers, E. Garutti, D. Gonzalez, P. Gunnellini, J. Haller, A. Hinzmann, A. Karavdina, G. Kasieczka, R. Klanner, R. Kogler, N. Kovalchuk, S. Kurz, V. Kutzner, J. Lange, T. Lange, A. Malara, J. Multhaup, C.E.N. Niemeyer, A. Perieanu, A. Reimers, O. Rieger, C. Scharf, P. Schleper, S. Schumann, J. Schwandt, J. Sonneveld, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, F.M. Stober, B. Vormwald, I. Zoi

### Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany

M. Akbiyik, C. Barth, M. Baselga, S. Baur, T. Berger, E. Butz, R. Caspart, T. Chwalek, W. De Boer, A. Dierlamm, K. El Morabit, N. Faltermann, M. Giffels, P. Goldenzweig, A. Gottmann, M.A. Harrendorf, F. Hartmann<sup>16</sup>, U. Husemann, S. Kudella, S. Mitra, M.U. Mozer, D. Müller, Th. Müller, M. Musich, A. Nürnberg, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, M. Schröder, I. Shvetsov, H.J. Simonis, R. Ulrich, M. Wassmer, M. Weber, C. Wöhrmann, R. Wolf

# Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

G. Anagnostou, P. Asenov, G. Daskalakis, T. Geralis, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, G. Paspalaki

#### National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

M. Diamantopoulou, G. Karathanasis, P. Kontaxakis, A. Manousakis-katsikakis, A. Panagiotou, I. Papavergou, N. Saoulidou, A. Stakia, K. Theofilatos, K. Vellidis, E. Vourliotis

## National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece

G. Bakas, K. Kousouris, I. Papakrivopoulos, G. Tsipolitis

### University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

I. Evangelou, C. Foudas, P. Gianneios, P. Katsoulis, P. Kokkas, S. Mallios, K. Manitara, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, J. Strologas, F.A. Triantis, D. Tsitsonis

# MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

M. Bartók<sup>20</sup>, R. Chudasama, M. Csanad, P. Major, K. Mandal, A. Mehta, M.I. Nagy, G. Pasztor, O. Surányi, G.I. Veres

# Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

G. Bencze, C. Hajdu, D. Horvath<sup>21</sup>, F. Sikler, T.Á. Vámi, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztergombi<sup>†</sup>

# **Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary** N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Karancsi<sup>20</sup>, J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi

### **Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary** P. Raics, D. Teyssier, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

**Eszterhazy Karoly University, Karoly Robert Campus, Gyongyos, Hungary** T. Csorgo, W.J. Metzger, F. Nemes, T. Novak

# Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India

S. Choudhury, J.R. Komaragiri, P.C. Tiwari

National Institute of Science Education and Research, HBNI, Bhubaneswar, India

S. Bahinipati<sup>23</sup>, C. Kar, G. Kole, P. Mal, V.K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu, A. Nayak<sup>24</sup>, D.K. Sahoo<sup>23</sup>, S.K. Swain

# Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

S. Bansal, S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, S. Chauhan, R. Chawla, N. Dhingra, R. Gupta, A. Kaur, M. Kaur, S. Kaur, P. Kumari, M. Lohan, M. Meena, K. Sandeep, S. Sharma, J.B. Singh, A.K. Virdi

# University of Delhi, Delhi, India

A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, R.B. Garg, M. Gola, S. Keshri, Ashok Kumar, M. Naimuddin, P. Priyanka, K. Ranjan, Aashaq Shah, R. Sharma

# Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India

R. Bhardwaj<sup>25</sup>, M. Bharti<sup>25</sup>, R. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharya, U. Bhawandeep<sup>25</sup>, D. Bhowmik, S. Dutta, S. Ghosh, B. Gomber<sup>26</sup>, M. Maity<sup>27</sup>, K. Mondal, S. Nandan, A. Purohit, P.K. Rout, G. Saha, S. Sarkar, T. Sarkar<sup>27</sup>, M. Sharan, B. Singh<sup>25</sup>, S. Thakur<sup>25</sup>

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India P.K. Behera, P. Kalbhor, A. Muhammad, P.R. Pujahari, A. Sharma, A.K. Sikdar

# Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India

D. Dutta, V. Jha, V. Kumar, D.K. Mishra, P.K. Netrakanti, L.M. Pant, P. Shukla

# Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India

T. Aziz, M.A. Bhat, S. Dugad, G.B. Mohanty, N. Sur, RavindraKumar Verma

# Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India

S. Banerjee, S. Bhattacharya, S. Chatterjee, P. Das, M. Guchait, S. Karmakar, S. Kumar, G. Majumder, K. Mazumdar, N. Sahoo, S. Sawant

# Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India

S. Dube, B. Kansal, A. Kapoor, K. Kothekar, S. Pandey, A. Rane, A. Rastogi, S. Sharma

# Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

S. Chenarani<sup>28</sup>, E. Eskandari Tadavani, S.M. Etesami<sup>28</sup>, M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, M. Naseri, F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi

**University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland** M. Felcini, M. Grunewald

# INFN Sezione di Bari<sup>*a*</sup>, Università di Bari<sup>*b*</sup>, Politecnico di Bari<sup>*c*</sup>, Bari, Italy

M. Abbrescia<sup>*a,b*</sup>, R. Aly<sup>*a,b,29*</sup>, C. Calabria<sup>*a,b*</sup>, A. Colaleo<sup>*a*</sup>, D. Creanza<sup>*a,c*</sup>, L. Cristella<sup>*a,b*</sup>, N. De Filippis<sup>*a,c*</sup>, M. De Palma<sup>*a,b*</sup>, A. Di Florio<sup>*a,b*</sup>, W. Elmetenawee<sup>*a,b*</sup>, L. Fiore<sup>*a*</sup>, A. Gelmi<sup>*a,b*</sup>, G. Iaselli<sup>*a,c*</sup>, M. Ince<sup>*a,b*</sup>, S. Lezki<sup>*a,b*</sup>, G. Maggi<sup>*a,c*</sup>, M. Maggi<sup>*a*</sup>, J.A. Merlin, G. Miniello<sup>*a,b*</sup>, S. My<sup>*a,b*</sup>, S. Nuzzo<sup>*a,b*</sup>, A. Pompili<sup>*a,b*</sup>, G. Pugliese<sup>*a,c*</sup>, R. Radogna<sup>*a*</sup>, A. Ranieri<sup>*a*</sup>, G. Selvaggi<sup>*a,b*</sup>, L. Silvestris<sup>*a*</sup>, F.M. Simone<sup>*a,b*</sup>, R. Venditti<sup>*a*</sup>, P. Verwilligen<sup>*a*</sup>

# INFN Sezione di Bologna<sup>*a*</sup>, Università di Bologna<sup>*b*</sup>, Bologna, Italy

G. Abbiendi<sup>a</sup>, C. Battilana<sup>a,b</sup>, D. Bonacorsi<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Borgonovi<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Braibant-Giacomelli<sup>a,b</sup>,
R. Campanini<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Capiluppi<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Castro<sup>a,b</sup>, F.R. Cavallo<sup>a</sup>, C. Ciocca<sup>a</sup>, G. Codispoti<sup>a,b</sup>,
M. Cuffiani<sup>a,b</sup>, G.M. Dallavalle<sup>a</sup>, F. Fabbri<sup>a</sup>, A. Fanfani<sup>a,b</sup>, E. Fontanesi<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Giacomelli<sup>a</sup>,
C. Grandi<sup>a</sup>, L. Guiducci<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Iemmi<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Lo Meo<sup>a,30</sup>, S. Marcellini<sup>a</sup>, G. Masetti<sup>a</sup>,
F.L. Navarria<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Perrotta<sup>a</sup>, F. Primavera<sup>a,b</sup>, A.M. Rossi<sup>a,b</sup>, T. Rovelli<sup>a,b</sup>, G.P. Siroli<sup>a,b</sup>, N. Tosi<sup>a</sup>

# INFN Sezione di Catania<sup>*a*</sup>, Università di Catania<sup>*b*</sup>, Catania, Italy

S. Albergo<sup>*a,b,31*</sup>, S. Costa<sup>*a,b*</sup>, A. Di Mattia<sup>*a*</sup>, R. Potenza<sup>*a,b*</sup>, A. Tricomi<sup>*a,b,31*</sup>, C. Tuve<sup>*a,b*</sup>

# INFN Sezione di Firenze <sup>*a*</sup>, Università di Firenze <sup>*b*</sup>, Firenze, Italy

G. Barbagli<sup>*a*</sup>, A. Cassese, R. Ceccarelli, V. Ciulli<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, C. Civinini<sup>*a*</sup>, R. D'Alessandro<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, F. Fiori<sup>*a*,*c*</sup>, E. Focardi<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, G. Latino<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, P. Lenzi<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, M. Meschini<sup>*a*</sup>, S. Paoletti<sup>*a*</sup>, G. Sguazzoni<sup>*a*</sup>, L. Viliani<sup>*a*</sup>

# INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

L. Benussi, S. Bianco, D. Piccolo

# INFN Sezione di Genova<sup>*a*</sup>, Università di Genova<sup>*b*</sup>, Genova, Italy

M. Bozzo<sup>*a,b*</sup>, F. Ferro<sup>*a*</sup>, R. Mulargia<sup>*a,b*</sup>, E. Robutti<sup>*a*</sup>, S. Tosi<sup>*a,b*</sup>

# INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca<sup>*a*</sup>, Università di Milano-Bicocca<sup>*b*</sup>, Milano, Italy

A. Benaglia<sup>a</sup>, A. Beschi<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Brivio<sup>a,b</sup>, V. Ciriolo<sup>a,b,16</sup>, M.E. Dinardo<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Dini<sup>a</sup>, S. Gennai<sup>a</sup>,
A. Ghezzi<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Govoni<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Guzzi<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Malberti<sup>a</sup>, S. Malvezzi<sup>a</sup>, D. Menasce<sup>a</sup>, F. Monti<sup>a,b</sup>,
L. Moroni<sup>a</sup>, M. Paganoni<sup>a,b</sup>, D. Pedrini<sup>a</sup>, S. Ragazzi<sup>a,b</sup>, T. Tabarelli de Fatis<sup>a,b</sup>, D. Zuolo<sup>a,b</sup>

# INFN Sezione di Napoli<sup>*a*</sup>, Università di Napoli'Federico II'<sup>*b*</sup>, Napoli, Italy, Università della Basilicata<sup>*c*</sup>, Potenza, Italy, Università G. Marconi<sup>*d*</sup>, Roma, Italy

S. Buontempo<sup>*a*</sup>, N. Cavallo<sup>*a,c*</sup>, A. De Iorio<sup>*a,b*</sup>, A. Di Crescenzo<sup>*a,b*</sup>, F. Fabozzi<sup>*a,c*</sup>, F. Fienga<sup>*a*</sup>, G. Galati<sup>*a*</sup>, A.O.M. Iorio<sup>*a,b*</sup>, L. Lista<sup>*a,b*</sup>, S. Meola<sup>*a,d*,16</sup>, P. Paolucci<sup>*a*,16</sup>, B. Rossi<sup>*a*</sup>, C. Sciacca<sup>*a,b*</sup>, E. Voevodina<sup>*a,b*</sup>

# INFN Sezione di Padova <sup>*a*</sup>, Università di Padova <sup>*b*</sup>, Padova, Italy, Università di Trento <sup>*c*</sup>, Trento, Italy

P. Azzi<sup>*a*</sup>, N. Bacchetta<sup>*a*</sup>, D. Bisello<sup>*a,b*</sup>, A. Boletti<sup>*a,b*</sup>, A. Bragagnolo<sup>*a,b*</sup>, R. Carlin<sup>*a,b*</sup>, P. Checchia<sup>*a*</sup>, P. De Castro Manzano<sup>*a*</sup>, T. Dorigo<sup>*a*</sup>, U. Dosselli<sup>*a*</sup>, F. Gasparini<sup>*a,b*</sup>, U. Gasparini<sup>*a,b*</sup>, A. Gozzelino<sup>*a*</sup>, S.Y. Hoh<sup>*a,b*</sup>, P. Lujan<sup>*a*</sup>, M. Margoni<sup>*a,b*</sup>, A.T. Meneguzzo<sup>*a,b*</sup>, J. Pazzini<sup>*a,b*</sup>, M. Presilla<sup>*b*</sup>, P. Ronchese<sup>*a,b*</sup>, R. Rossin<sup>*a,b*</sup>, F. Simonetto<sup>*a,b*</sup>, A. Tiko<sup>*a*</sup>, M. Tosi<sup>*a,b*</sup>, M. Zanetti<sup>*a,b*</sup>, P. Zotto<sup>*a,b*</sup>, G. Zumerle<sup>*a,b*</sup>

# INFN Sezione di Pavia<sup>*a*</sup>, Università di Pavia<sup>*b*</sup>, Pavia, Italy

A. Braghieri<sup>*a*</sup>, D. Fiorina<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, P. Montagna<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, S.P. Ratti<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, V. Ře<sup>*a*</sup>, M. Ressegotti<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, C. Riccardi<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, P. Salvini<sup>*a*</sup>, I. Vai<sup>*a*</sup>, P. Vitulo<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>

# INFN Sezione di Perugia<sup>*a*</sup>, Università di Perugia<sup>*b*</sup>, Perugia, Italy

M. Biasini<sup>*a,b*</sup>, G.M. Bilei<sup>*a*</sup>, D. Ciangottini<sup>*a,b*</sup>, L. Fanò<sup>*a,b*</sup>, P. Lariccia<sup>*a,b*</sup>, R. Leonardi<sup>*a,b*</sup>, E. Manoni<sup>*a*</sup>, G. Mantovani<sup>*a,b*</sup>, V. Mariani<sup>*a,b*</sup>, M. Menichelli<sup>*a*</sup>, A. Rossi<sup>*a,b*</sup>, A. Santocchia<sup>*a,b*</sup>, D. Spiga<sup>*a*</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Pisa** <sup>*a*</sup>, **Università di Pisa** <sup>*b*</sup>, **Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa** <sup>*c*</sup>, **Pisa**, **Italy** K. Androsov<sup>*a*</sup>, P. Azzurri<sup>*a*</sup>, G. Bagliesi<sup>*a*</sup>, V. Bertacchi<sup>*a*,*c*</sup>, L. Bianchini<sup>*a*</sup>, T. Boccali<sup>*a*</sup>, R. Castaldi<sup>*a*</sup>, M.A. Ciocci<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, R. Dell'Orso<sup>*a*</sup>, S. Donato<sup>*a*</sup>, G. Fedi<sup>*a*</sup>, L. Giannini<sup>*a*,*c*</sup>, A. Giassi<sup>*a*</sup>, M.T. Grippo<sup>*a*</sup>, F. Ligabue<sup>*a*,*c*</sup>, E. Manca<sup>*a*,*c*</sup>, G. Mandorli<sup>*a*,*c*</sup>, A. Messineo<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, F. Palla<sup>*a*</sup>, A. Rizzi<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, G. Rolandi<sup>32</sup>, S. Roy Chowdhury, A. Scribano<sup>*a*</sup>, P. Spagnolo<sup>*a*</sup>, R. Tenchini<sup>*a*</sup>, G. Tonelli<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, N. Turini, A. Venturi<sup>*a*</sup>, P.G. Verdini<sup>*a*</sup>

# INFN Sezione di Roma<sup>*a*</sup>, Sapienza Università di Roma<sup>*b*</sup>, Rome, Italy

F. Cavallari<sup>*a*</sup>, M. Cipriani<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, D. Del Re<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, E. Di Marco<sup>*a*</sup>, M. Diemoz<sup>*a*</sup>, E. Longo<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, P. Meridiani<sup>*a*</sup>, G. Organtini<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, F. Pandolfi<sup>*a*</sup>, R. Paramatti<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, C. Quaranta<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, S. Rahatlou<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, C. Rovelli<sup>*a*</sup>, F. Santanastasio<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, L. Soffi<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>

# INFN Sezione di Torino <sup>*a*</sup>, Università di Torino <sup>*b*</sup>, Torino, Italy, Università del Piemonte Orientale <sup>*c*</sup>, Novara, Italy

N. Amapane<sup>*a,b*</sup>, R. Arcidiacono<sup>*a,c*</sup>, S. Argiro<sup>*a,b*</sup>, M. Arneodo<sup>*a,c*</sup>, N. Bartosik<sup>*a*</sup>, R. Bellan<sup>*a,b*</sup>, A. Bellora, C. Biino<sup>*a*</sup>, A. Cappati<sup>*a,b*</sup>, N. Cartiglia<sup>*a*</sup>, S. Cometti<sup>*a*</sup>, M. Costa<sup>*a,b*</sup>, R. Covarelli<sup>*a,b*</sup>, N. Demaria<sup>*a*</sup>, B. Kiani<sup>*a,b*</sup>, F. Legger, C. Mariotti<sup>*a*</sup>, S. Maselli<sup>*a*</sup>, E. Migliore<sup>*a,b*</sup>, V. Monaco<sup>*a,b*</sup>, E. Monteil<sup>*a,b*</sup>, M. Monteno<sup>*a*</sup>, M.M. Obertino<sup>*a,b*</sup>, G. Ortona<sup>*a,b*</sup>, L. Pacher<sup>*a,b*</sup>, N. Pastrone<sup>*a*</sup>, M. Pelliccioni<sup>*a*</sup>, G.L. Pinna Angioni<sup>*a,b*</sup>, A. Romero<sup>*a,b*</sup>, M. Ruspa<sup>*a,c*</sup>, R. Salvatico<sup>*a,b*</sup>, V. Sola<sup>*a*</sup>, A. Solano<sup>*a,b*</sup>, D. Soldi<sup>*a,b*</sup>, A. Staiano<sup>*a*</sup>, D. Trocino<sup>*a,b*</sup>

# INFN Sezione di Trieste <sup>*a*</sup>, Università di Trieste <sup>*b*</sup>, Trieste, Italy

S. Belforte<sup>*a*</sup>, V. Candelise<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, M. Casarsa<sup>*a*</sup>, F. Cossutti<sup>*a*</sup>, A. Da Rold<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, G. Della Ricca<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, F. Vazzoler<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>, A. Zanetti<sup>*a*</sup>

# Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

B. Kim, D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, J. Lee, S.W. Lee, C.S. Moon, Y.D. Oh, S.I. Pak, S. Sekmen, D.C. Son, Y.C. Yang

# Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea

H. Kim, D.H. Moon, G. Oh

Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea B. Francois, T.J. Kim, J. Park

Korea University, Seoul, Korea S. Cho, S. Choi, Y. Go, S. Ha, B. Hong, K. Lee, K.S. Lee, J. Lim, J. Park, S.K. Park, Y. Roh, J. Yoo

### **Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics** J. Goh

Sejong University, Seoul, Korea H.S. Kim

### Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

J. Almond, J.H. Bhyun, J. Choi, S. Jeon, J. Kim, J.S. Kim, H. Lee, K. Lee, S. Lee, K. Nam, M. Oh, S.B. Oh, B.C. Radburn-Smith, U.K. Yang, H.D. Yoo, I. Yoon

**University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea** D. Jeon, J.H. Kim, J.S.H. Lee, I.C. Park, I.J Watson

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea Y. Choi, C. Hwang, Y. Jeong, J. Lee, Y. Lee, I. Yu

**Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia** V. Veckalns<sup>33</sup>

**Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania** V. Dudenas, A. Juodagalvis, A. Rinkevicius, G. Tamulaitis, J. Vaitkus

**National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia** Z.A. Ibrahim, F. Mohamad Idris<sup>34</sup>, W.A.T. Wan Abdullah, M.N. Yusli, Z. Zolkapli

**Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico** J.F. Benitez, A. Castaneda Hernandez, J.A. Murillo Quijada, L. Valencia Palomo

**Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico** H. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, I. Heredia-De La Cruz<sup>35</sup>, R. Lopez-Fernandez, A. Sanchez-Hernandez

**Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico** S. Carrillo Moreno, C. Oropeza Barrera, M. Ramirez-Garcia, F. Vazquez Valencia

**Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico** J. Eysermans, I. Pedraza, H.A. Salazar Ibarguen, C. Uribe Estrada

**Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico** A. Morelos Pineda

**University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro** J. Mijuskovic<sup>2</sup>, N. Raicevic

**University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand** D. Krofcheck

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand S. Bheesette, P.H. Butler

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan A. Ahmad, M. Ahmad, Q. Hassan, H.R. Hoorani, W.A. Khan, M.A. Shah, M. Shoaib, M. Waqas

AGH University of Science and Technology Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Krakow, Poland V. Avati, L. Grzanka, M. Malawski

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland H. Bialkowska, M. Bluj, B. Boimska, M. Górski, M. Kazana, M. Szleper, P. Zalewski

**Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland** K. Bunkowski, A. Byszuk<sup>36</sup>, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski, M. Olszewski, M. Walczak

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal M. Araujo, P. Bargassa, D. Bastos, A. Di Francesco, P. Faccioli, B. Galinhas, M. Gallinaro, J. Hollar, N. Leonardo, T. Niknejad, J. Seixas, K. Shchelina, G. Strong, O. Toldaiev, J. Varela

# Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

S. Afanasiev, P. Bunin, M. Gavrilenko, I. Golutvin, I. Gorbunov, A. Kamenev, V. Karjavine, A. Lanev, A. Malakhov, V. Matveev<sup>37,38</sup>, P. Moisenz, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, M. Savina, S. Shmatov, S. Shulha, N. Skatchkov, V. Smirnov, N. Voytishin, A. Zarubin

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia

L. Chtchipounov, V. Golovtcov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim<sup>39</sup>, E. Kuznetsova<sup>40</sup>, P. Levchenko, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, I. Smirnov, D. Sosnov, V. Sulimov, L. Uvarov, A. Vorobyev

# Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia

Yu. Andreev, A. Dermenev, S. Gninenko, N. Golubev, A. Karneyeu, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, A. Pashenkov, D. Tlisov, A. Toropin

# Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics named by A.I. Alikhanov of NRC 'Kurchatov Institute', Moscow, Russia

V. Epshteyn, V. Gavrilov, N. Lychkovskaya, A. Nikitenko<sup>41</sup>, V. Popov, I. Pozdnyakov, G. Safronov, A. Spiridonov, A. Stepennov, M. Toms, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin

# **Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow, Russia** T. Aushev

# National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia

M. Chadeeva<sup>42</sup>, P. Parygin, D. Philippov, E. Popova, V. Rusinov

### P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia

V. Andreev, M. Azarkin, I. Dremin, M. Kirakosyan, A. Terkulov

# Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

A. Baskakov, A. Belyaev, E. Boos, M. Dubinin<sup>43</sup>, L. Dudko, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, V. Klyukhin, O. Kodolova, I. Lokhtin, S. Obraztsov, S. Petrushanko, V. Savrin

### Novosibirsk State University (NSU), Novosibirsk, Russia

A. Barnyakov<sup>44</sup>, V. Blinov<sup>44</sup>, T. Dimova<sup>44</sup>, L. Kardapoltsev<sup>44</sup>, Y. Skovpen<sup>44</sup>

# Institute for High Energy Physics of National Research Centre 'Kurchatov Institute', Protvino, Russia

I. Azhgirey, I. Bayshev, S. Bitioukov, V. Kachanov, D. Konstantinov, P. Mandrik, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, S. Slabospitskii, A. Sobol, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov

National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk, Russia A. Babaev, A. Iuzhakov, V. Okhotnikov

# **Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia** V. Borchsh, V. Ivanchenko, E. Tcherniaev

**University of Belgrade: Faculty of Physics and VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences** P. Adzic<sup>45</sup>, P. Cirkovic, M. Dordevic, P. Milenovic, J. Milosevic, M. Stojanovic

# Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

M. Aguilar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz Maestre, A. Álvarez Fernández, I. Bachiller, M. Barrio Luna, CristinaF. Bedoya, J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, C.A. Carrillo Montoya, M. Cepeda, M. Cerrada, N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, J.P. Fernández Ramos, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, D. Moran, Á. Navarro Tobar, A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo, J. Puerta Pelayo, I. Redondo, L. Romero, S. Sánchez Navas, M.S. Soares, A. Triossi, C. Willmott

#### Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

C. Albajar, J.F. de Trocóniz, R. Reyes-Almanza

# Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain

B. Alvarez Gonzalez, J. Cuevas, C. Erice, J. Fernandez Menendez, S. Folgueras, I. Gonzalez Caballero, J.R. González Fernández, E. Palencia Cortezon, V. Rodríguez Bouza, S. Sanchez Cruz

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, B. Chazin Quero, J. Duarte Campderros, M. Fernandez, P.J. Fernández Manteca, A. García Alonso, G. Gomez, C. Martinez Rivero, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, F. Matorras, J. Piedra Gomez, C. Prieels, T. Rodrigo, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Russo<sup>46</sup>, L. Scodellaro, I. Vila, J.M. Vizan Garcia

# University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka

K. Malagalage

# **University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka** W.G.D. Dharmaratna, N. Wickramage

## CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

D. Abbaneo, B. Akgun, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, J. Baechler, P. Baillon, A.H. Ball, D. Barney, J. Bendavid, M. Bianco, A. Bocci, P. Bortignon, E. Bossini, C. Botta, E. Brondolin, T. Camporesi, A. Caratelli, G. Cerminara, E. Chapon, G. Cucciati, D. d'Enterria, A. Dabrowski, N. Daci, V. Daponte, A. David, O. Davignon, A. De Roeck, M. Deile, M. Dobson, M. Dünser, N. Dupont, A. Elliott-Peisert, N. Emriskova, F. Fallavollita<sup>47</sup>, D. Fasanella, S. Fiorendi, G. Franzoni, J. Fulcher, W. Funk, S. Giani, D. Gigi, A. Gilbert, K. Gill, F. Glege, L. Gouskos, M. Gruchala, M. Guilbaud, D. Gulhan, J. Hegeman, C. Heidegger, Y. Iiyama, V. Innocente, T. James, P. Janot, O. Karacheban<sup>19</sup>, J. Kaspar, J. Kieseler, M. Krammer<sup>1</sup>, N. Kratochwil, C. Lange, P. Lecoq, C. Lourenço, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli, A. Massironi, F. Meijers, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, F. Moortgat, M. Mulders, J. Ngadiuba, J. Niedziela, S. Nourbakhsh, S. Orfanelli, L. Orsini, F. Pantaleo<sup>16</sup>, L. Pape, E. Perez, M. Peruzzi, A. Petrilli, G. Petrucciani, A. Pfeiffer, M. Pierini, F.M. Pitters, D. Rabady, A. Racz, M. Rieger, M. Rovere, H. Sakulin, J. Salfeld-Nebgen, C. Schäfer, C. Schwick, M. Selvaggi, A. Sharma, P. Silva, W. Snoeys, P. Sphicas<sup>48</sup>, J. Steggemann, S. Summers, V.R. Tavolaro, D. Treille, A. Tsirou, G.P. Van Onsem, A. Vartak, M. Verzetti, W.D. Zeuner

## Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

L. Caminada<sup>49</sup>, K. Deiters, W. Erdmann, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, T. Rohe, S.A. Wiederkehr

## ETH Zurich - Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland

M. Backhaus, P. Berger, N. Chernyavskaya, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà, C. Dorfer, T.A. Gómez Espinosa, C. Grab, D. Hits, W. Lustermann, R.A. Manzoni, M.T. Meinhard, F. Micheli, P. Musella, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, F. Pauss, G. Perrin, L. Perrozzi, S. Pigazzini, M.G. Ratti, M. Reichmann, C. Reissel, T. Reitenspiess, B. Ristic, D. Ruini, D.A. Sanz Becerra, M. Schönenberger, L. Shchutska, M.L. Vesterbacka Olsson, R. Wallny, D.H. Zhu

## Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

T.K. Aarrestad, C. Amsler<sup>50</sup>, D. Brzhechko, M.F. Canelli, A. De Cosa, R. Del Burgo, B. Kilminster, S. Leontsinis, V.M. Mikuni, I. Neutelings, G. Rauco, P. Robmann, K. Schweiger, C. Seitz, Y. Takahashi, S. Wertz, A. Zucchetta

# National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

T.H. Doan, C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, A. Roy, S.S. Yu

# National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

P. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, P.H. Chen, W.-S. Hou, Y.y. Li, R.-S. Lu, E. Paganis, A. Psallidas, A. Steen

**Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand** B. Asavapibhop, C. Asawatangtrakuldee, N. Srimanobhas, N. Suwonjandee

**Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey** A. Bat, F. Boran, A. Celik<sup>51</sup>, S. Cerci<sup>52</sup>, S. Damarseckin<sup>53</sup>, Z.S. Demiroglu, F. Dolek, C. Dozen<sup>54</sup>, I. Dumanoglu, G. Gokbulut, EmineGurpinar Guler<sup>55</sup>, Y. Guler, I. Hos<sup>56</sup>, C. Isik, E.E. Kangal<sup>57</sup>, O. Kara, A. Kayis Topaksu, U. Kiminsu, G. Onengut, K. Ozdemir<sup>58</sup>, S. Ozturk<sup>59</sup>, A.E. Simsek, D. Sunar Cerci<sup>52</sup>, U.G. Tok, S. Turkcapar, I.S. Zorbakir, C. Zorbilmez

**Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey** B. Isildak<sup>60</sup>, G. Karapinar<sup>61</sup>, M. Yalvac

# **Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey** I.O. Atakisi, E. Gülmez, M. Kaya<sup>62</sup>, O. Kaya<sup>63</sup>, Ö. Özçelik, S. Tekten, E.A. Yetkin<sup>64</sup>

**Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey** A. Cakir, K. Cankocak, Y. Komurcu, S. Sen<sup>65</sup>

**Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey** B. Kaynak, S. Ozkorucuklu

Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine

B. Grynyov

National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine L. Levchuk

# University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

E. Bhal, S. Bologna, J.J. Brooke, D. Burns<sup>66</sup>, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, J. Goldstein, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, L. Kreczko, B. Krikler, S. Paramesvaran, B. Penning, T. Sakuma, S. Seif El Nasr-Storey, V.J. Smith, J. Taylor, A. Titterton

## Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev<sup>67</sup>, C. Brew, R.M. Brown, D.J.A. Cockerill, J.A. Coughlan, K. Harder, S. Harper, J. Linacre, K. Manolopoulos, D.M. Newbold, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, T. Reis, T. Schuh, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, A. Thea, I.R. Tomalin, T. Williams, W.J. Womersley

# Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

R. Bainbridge, P. Bloch, J. Borg, S. Breeze, O. Buchmuller, A. Bundock, G.S. Chahal<sup>68</sup>, D. Colling, P. Dauncey, G. Davies, M. Della Negra, R. Di Maria, P. Everaerts, G. Hall, G. Iles, M. Komm, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, S. Malik, A. Martelli, V. Milosevic, A. Morton, J. Nash<sup>69</sup>, V. Palladino, M. Pesaresi, D.M. Raymond, A. Richards, A. Rose, E. Scott, C. Seez, A. Shtipliyski, M. Stoye, T. Strebler, A. Tapper, K. Uchida, T. Virdee<sup>16</sup>, N. Wardle, D. Winterbottom, A.G. Zecchinelli, S.C. Zenz

## Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, C.K. Mackay, I.D. Reid, L. Teodorescu, S. Zahid

# Baylor University, Waco, USA

K. Call, B. Caraway, J. Dittmann, K. Hatakeyama, C. Madrid, B. McMaster, N. Pastika, C. Smith

# Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA

R. Bartek, A. Dominguez, R. Uniyal, A.M. Vargas Hernandez

# **The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA** A. Buccilli, S.I. Cooper, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio, C. West

# Boston University, Boston, USA

A. Albert, D. Arcaro, Z. Demiragli, D. Gastler, C. Richardson, J. Rohlf, D. Sperka, I. Suarez, L. Sulak, D. Zou

### Brown University, Providence, USA

G. Benelli, B. Burkle, X. Coubez<sup>17</sup>, D. Cutts, Y.t. Duh, M. Hadley, U. Heintz, J.M. Hogan<sup>70</sup>, K.H.M. Kwok, E. Laird, G. Landsberg, K.T. Lau, J. Lee, M. Narain, S. Sagir<sup>71</sup>, R. Syarif, E. Usai, W.Y. Wong, D. Yu, W. Zhang

### University of California, Davis, Davis, USA

R. Band, C. Brainerd, R. Breedon, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, M. Chertok, J. Conway, R. Conway, P.T. Cox, R. Erbacher, C. Flores, G. Funk, F. Jensen, W. Ko, O. Kukral, R. Lander, M. Mulhearn, D. Pellett, J. Pilot, M. Shi, D. Taylor, K. Tos, M. Tripathi, Z. Wang, F. Zhang

# University of California, Los Angeles, USA

M. Bachtis, C. Bravo, R. Cousins, A. Dasgupta, A. Florent, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, N. Mccoll, W.A. Nash, S. Regnard, D. Saltzberg, C. Schnaible, B. Stone, V. Valuev

# University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA

K. Burt, Y. Chen, R. Clare, J.W. Gary, S.M.A. Ghiasi Shirazi, G. Hanson, G. Karapostoli, O.R. Long, M. Olmedo Negrete, M.I. Paneva, W. Si, L. Wang, S. Wimpenny, B.R. Yates, Y. Zhang

# University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA

J.G. Branson, P. Chang, S. Cittolin, S. Cooperstein, N. Deelen, M. Derdzinski, R. Gerosa, D. Gilbert, B. Hashemi, D. Klein, V. Krutelyov, J. Letts, M. Masciovecchio, S. May, S. Padhi, M. Pieri, V. Sharma, M. Tadel, F. Würthwein, A. Yagil, G. Zevi Della Porta

# University of California, Santa Barbara - Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, USA

N. Amin, R. Bhandari, C. Campagnari, M. Citron, V. Dutta, M. Franco Sevilla, J. Incandela, B. Marsh, H. Mei, A. Ovcharova, H. Qu, J. Richman, U. Sarica, D. Stuart, S. Wang

### California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA

D. Anderson, A. Bornheim, O. Cerri, I. Dutta, J.M. Lawhorn, N. Lu, J. Mao, H.B. Newman, T.Q. Nguyen, J. Pata, M. Spiropulu, J.R. Vlimant, S. Xie, Z. Zhang, R.Y. Zhu

#### Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA

M.B. Andrews, T. Ferguson, T. Mudholkar, M. Paulini, M. Sun, I. Vorobiev, M. Weinberg

### University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, USA

J.P. Cumalat, W.T. Ford, E. MacDonald, T. Mulholland, R. Patel, A. Perloff, K. Stenson, K.A. Ulmer, S.R. Wagner

#### Cornell University, Ithaca, USA

J. Alexander, Y. Cheng, J. Chu, A. Datta, A. Frankenthal, K. Mcdermott, J.R. Patterson, D. Quach, A. Ryd, S.M. Tan, Z. Tao, J. Thom, P. Wittich, M. Zientek

#### Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA

S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, M. Alyari, G. Apollinari, A. Apresyan, A. Apyan, S. Banerjee, L.A.T. Bauerdick, A. Beretvas, D. Berry, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, A. Canepa, G.B. Cerati, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, M. Cremonesi, J. Duarte, V.D. Elvira, J. Freeman, Z. Gecse, E. Gottschalk, L. Gray, D. Green, S. Grünendahl, O. Gutsche, AllisonReinsvold Hall, J. Hanlon, R.M. Harris, S. Hasegawa, R. Heller, J. Hirschauer, B. Jayatilaka, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, T. Klijnsma, B. Klima, M.J. Kortelainen, B. Kreis, S. Lammel, J. Lewis, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, M. Liu, T. Liu, J. Lykken, K. Maeshima, J.M. Marraffino, D. Mason, P. McBride, P. Merkel, S. Mrenna, S. Nahn, V. O'Dell, V. Papadimitriou, K. Pedro, C. Pena, G. Rakness, F. Ravera, L. Ristori, B. Schneider, E. Sexton-Kennedy, N. Smith, A. Soha, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, S. Stoynev, J. Strait, N. Strobbe, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, C. Vernieri, R. Vidal, M. Wang, H.A. Weber

### University of Florida, Gainesville, USA

D. Acosta, P. Avery, D. Bourilkov, A. Brinkerhoff, L. Cadamuro, V. Cherepanov, F. Errico, R.D. Field, S.V. Gleyzer, D. Guerrero, B.M. Joshi, M. Kim, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, K.H. Lo, K. Matchev, N. Menendez, G. Mitselmakher, D. Rosenzweig, K. Shi, J. Wang, S. Wang, X. Zuo

# Florida International University, Miami, USA

Y.R. Joshi

# Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA

T. Adams, A. Askew, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, K.F. Johnson, R. Khurana, T. Kolberg, G. Martinez, T. Perry, H. Prosper, C. Schiber, R. Yohay, J. Zhang

## Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA

M.M. Baarmand, M. Hohlmann, D. Noonan, M. Rahmani, M. Saunders, F. Yumiceva

# University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA

M.R. Adams, L. Apanasevich, R.R. Betts, R. Cavanaugh, X. Chen, S. Dittmer, O. Evdokimov, C.E. Gerber, D.A. Hangal, D.J. Hofman, C. Mills, T. Roy, M.B. Tonjes, N. Varelas, J. Viinikainen, H. Wang, X. Wang, Z. Wu

# The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA

M. Alhusseini, B. Bilki<sup>55</sup>, K. Dilsiz<sup>72</sup>, S. Durgut, R.P. Gandrajula, M. Haytmyradov, V. Khristenko, O.K. Köseyan, J.-P. Merlo, A. Mestvirishvili<sup>73</sup>, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, H. Ogul<sup>74</sup>, Y. Onel, F. Ozok<sup>75</sup>, A. Penzo, C. Snyder, E. Tiras, J. Wetzel

# Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA

B. Blumenfeld, A. Cocoros, N. Eminizer, A.V. Gritsan, W.T. Hung, S. Kyriacou, P. Maksimovic, J. Roskes, M. Swartz

# The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA

C. Baldenegro Barrera, P. Baringer, A. Bean, S. Boren, J. Bowen, A. Bylinkin, T. Isidori, S. Khalil, J. King, G. Krintiras, A. Kropivnitskaya, C. Lindsey, D. Majumder, W. Mcbrayer, N. Minafra, M. Murray, C. Rogan, C. Royon, S. Sanders, E. Schmitz, J.D. Tapia Takaki, Q. Wang, J. Williams, G. Wilson

## Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA

S. Duric, A. Ivanov, K. Kaadze, D. Kim, Y. Maravin, D.R. Mendis, T. Mitchell, A. Modak, A. Mohammadi

# Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA

F. Rebassoo, D. Wright

## University of Maryland, College Park, USA

A. Baden, O. Baron, A. Belloni, S.C. Eno, Y. Feng, N.J. Hadley, S. Jabeen, G.Y. Jeng, R.G. Kellogg, A.C. Mignerey, S. Nabili, F. Ricci-Tam, M. Seidel, Y.H. Shin, A. Skuja, S.C. Tonwar, K. Wong

## Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA

D. Abercrombie, B. Allen, A. Baty, R. Bi, S. Brandt, W. Busza, I.A. Cali, M. D'Alfonso, G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, P. Harris, D. Hsu, M. Hu, M. Klute, D. Kovalskyi, Y.-J. Lee, P.D. Luckey, B. Maier, A.C. Marini, C. Mcginn, C. Mironov, S. Narayanan, X. Niu, C. Paus, D. Rankin, C. Roland, G. Roland, Z. Shi, G.S.F. Stephans, K. Sumorok, K. Tatar, D. Velicanu, J. Wang, T.W. Wang, B. Wyslouch

### University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA

R.M. Chatterjee, A. Evans, S. Guts<sup>†</sup>, P. Hansen, J. Hiltbrand, Sh. Jain, Y. Kubota, Z. Lesko, J. Mans, M. Revering, R. Rusack, R. Saradhy, N. Schroeder, M.A. Wadud

### University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA

J.G. Acosta, S. Oliveros

### University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA

K. Bloom, S. Chauhan, D.R. Claes, C. Fangmeier, L. Finco, F. Golf, R. Kamalieddin, I. Kravchenko, J.E. Siado, G.R. Snow<sup>†</sup>, B. Stieger, W. Tabb

#### State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA

G. Agarwal, C. Harrington, I. Iashvili, A. Kharchilava, C. McLean, D. Nguyen, A. Parker, J. Pekkanen, S. Rappoccio, B. Roozbahani

## Northeastern University, Boston, USA

G. Alverson, E. Barberis, C. Freer, Y. Haddad, A. Hortiangtham, G. Madigan, B. Marzocchi, D.M. Morse, T. Orimoto, L. Skinnari, A. Tishelman-Charny, T. Wamorkar, B. Wang, A. Wisecarver, D. Wood

## Northwestern University, Evanston, USA

S. Bhattacharya, J. Bueghly, T. Gunter, K.A. Hahn, N. Odell, M.H. Schmitt, K. Sung, M. Trovato, M. Velasco

#### University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA

R. Bucci, N. Dev, R. Goldouzian, M. Hildreth, K. Hurtado Anampa, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, K. Lannon, W. Li, N. Loukas, N. Marinelli, I. Mcalister, F. Meng, Y. Musienko<sup>37</sup>, R. Ruchti, P. Siddireddy, G. Smith, S. Taroni, M. Wayne, A. Wightman, M. Wolf, A. Woodard

# The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA

J. Alimena, B. Bylsma, L.S. Durkin, B. Francis, C. Hill, W. Ji, A. Lefeld, T.Y. Ling, B.L. Winer

### Princeton University, Princeton, USA

G. Dezoort, P. Elmer, J. Hardenbrook, N. Haubrich, S. Higginbotham, A. Kalogeropoulos, S. Kwan, D. Lange, M.T. Lucchini, J. Luo, D. Marlow, K. Mei, I. Ojalvo, J. Olsen, C. Palmer, P. Piroué, D. Stickland, C. Tully

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA

S. Malik, S. Norberg

# Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA

A. Barker, V.E. Barnes, S. Das, L. Gutay, M. Jones, A.W. Jung, A. Khatiwada, B. Mahakud, D.H. Miller, G. Negro, N. Neumeister, C.C. Peng, S. Piperov, H. Qiu, J.F. Schulte, N. Trevisani, F. Wang, R. Xiao, W. Xie

## Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, USA

T. Cheng, J. Dolen, N. Parashar

#### **Rice University, Houston, USA**

U. Behrens, K.M. Ecklund, S. Freed, F.J.M. Geurts, M. Kilpatrick, Arun Kumar, W. Li, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Roberts, J. Rorie, W. Shi, A.G. Stahl Leiton, Z. Tu, A. Zhang

# University of Rochester, Rochester, USA

A. Bodek, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, J.L. Dulemba, C. Fallon, T. Ferbel, M. Galanti, A. Garcia-Bellido, O. Hindrichs, A. Khukhunaishvili, E. Ranken, R. Taus

## Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA

B. Chiarito, J.P. Chou, A. Gandrakota, Y. Gershtein, E. Halkiadakis, A. Hart, M. Heindl, E. Hughes, S. Kaplan, I. Laflotte, A. Lath, R. Montalvo, K. Nash, M. Osherson, H. Saka, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S. Thomas

### University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA

H. Acharya, A.G. Delannoy, S. Spanier

### Texas A&M University, College Station, USA

O. Bouhali<sup>76</sup>, M. Dalchenko, M. De Mattia, A. Delgado, S. Dildick, R. Eusebi, J. Gilmore, T. Huang, T. Kamon<sup>77</sup>, H. Kim, S. Luo, S. Malhotra, D. Marley, R. Mueller, D. Overton, L. Perniè, D. Rathjens, A. Safonov

## Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA

N. Akchurin, J. Damgov, F. De Guio, V. Hegde, S. Kunori, K. Lamichhane, S.W. Lee, T. Mengke, S. Muthumuni, T. Peltola, S. Undleeb, I. Volobouev, Z. Wang, A. Whitbeck

### Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA

S. Greene, A. Gurrola, R. Janjam, W. Johns, C. Maguire, A. Melo, H. Ni, K. Padeken, F. Romeo, P. Sheldon, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska, M. Verweij

## University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA

M.W. Arenton, P. Barria, B. Cox, G. Cummings, J. Hakala, R. Hirosky, M. Joyce, A. Ledovskoy, C. Neu, B. Tannenwald, Y. Wang, E. Wolfe, F. Xia

## Wayne State University, Detroit, USA

R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, N. Poudyal, J. Sturdy, P. Thapa

# University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, WI, USA

T. Bose, J. Buchanan, C. Caillol, D. Carlsmith, S. Dasu, I. De Bruyn, L. Dodd, C. Galloni, H. He, M. Herndon, A. Hervé, U. Hussain, A. Lanaro, A. Loeliger, K. Long, R. Loveless, J. Madhusudanan Sreekala, D. Pinna, T. Ruggles, A. Savin, V. Sharma, W.H. Smith, D. Teague, S. Trembath-reichert

- t: Deceased
- 1: Also at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria
- 2: Also at IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
- 3: Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil
- 4: Also at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil
- 5: Also at UFMS, Nova Andradina, Brazil
- 6: Also at Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil
- 7: Also at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium
- 8: Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

9: Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics named by A.I. Alikhanov of NRC 'Kurchatov Institute', Moscow, Russia

- 10: Also at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
- 11: Also at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt
- 12: Now at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt
- 13: Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA
- 14: Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France
- 15: Also at Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Erzincan, Turkey
- 16: Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland
- 17: Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

- 18: Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany
- 19: Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany
- 20: Also at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary, Debrecen, Hungary
- 21: Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
- 22: Also at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary, Budapest, Hungary
- 23: Also at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India, Bhubaneswar, India
- 24: Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India
- 25: Also at Shoolini University, Solan, India
- 26: Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India
- 27: Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India
- 28: Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran
- 29: Now at INFN Sezione di Bari<sup>*a*</sup>, Università di Bari<sup>*b*</sup>, Politecnico di Bari<sup>*c*</sup>, Bari, Italy
- 30: Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Bologna, Italy
- 31: Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy
- 32: Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy
- 33: Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia, Riga, Latvia
- 34: Also at Malaysian Nuclear Agency, MOSTI, Kajang, Malaysia
- 35: Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico
- 36: Also at Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Electronic Systems, Warsaw, Poland
- 37: Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia
- 38: Now at National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia
- 39: Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia
- 40: Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, USA
- 41: Also at Imperial College, London, United Kingdom
- 42: Also at P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia
- 43: Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA
- 44: Also at Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia
- 45: Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
- 46: Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy
- 47: Also at INFN Sezione di Pavia<sup>*a*</sup>, Università di Pavia<sup>*b*</sup>, Pavia, Italy, Pavia, Italy
- 48: Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- 49: Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland
- 50: Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria, Vienna, Austria
- 51: Also at Burdur Mehmet Akif Ersoy University, BURDUR, Turkey
- 52: Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey
- 53: Also at Şırnak University, Sirnak, Turkey
- 54: Also at Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, Beijing, China
- 55: Also at Beykent University, Istanbul, Turkey, Istanbul, Turkey
- 56: Also at Istanbul Aydin University, Application and Research Center for Advanced Studies
- (App. & Res. Cent. for Advanced Studies), Istanbul, Turkey
- 57: Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey
- 58: Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 59: Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey
- 60: Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 61: Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey

- 62: Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 63: Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey
- 64: Also at Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 65: Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey
- 66: Also at Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

67: Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom

- 68: Also at IPPP Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom
- 69: Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia
- 70: Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, Minneapolis, USA, St. Paul, USA
- 71: Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey
- 72: Also at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey
- 73: Also at Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia
- 74: Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey
- 75: Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey
- 76: Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar
- 77: Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea, Daegu, Korea