

Moving black holes: Energy extraction, absorption cross section, and the ring of fire

Vitor Cardoso^{1,2} and Rodrigo Vicente¹

¹*Centro de Astrofísica e Gravitação—CENTRA, Departamento de Física, Instituto Superior Técnico—IST, Universidade de Lisboa—UL, Avenida Rovisco Pais 1, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal*

²*Theoretical Physics Department, CERN 1 Esplanade des Particules, Geneva 23 CH-1211, Switzerland*



(Received 19 June 2019; published 1 October 2019)

We consider the interaction between a plane wave and a (countermoving) black hole. We show that energy is transferred from the black hole to the wave, giving rise to a *negative* absorption cross section. Moving black holes absorb radiation *and* deposit energy in external radiation. Due to this effect, a black hole of mass M moving at relativistic speeds in a cold medium will appear to be surrounded by a bright “ring” of diameter $3\sqrt{3} GM/c^2$ and thickness $\sim GM/c^2$.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.100.084001

I. INTRODUCTION

The response of a black hole (BH) to an incoming wave has been studied for decades, in the frame where the BH is at rest [1–13]. Such interaction is crucial to understand how BHs react to their environment, what types of signatures are imprinted by strong-field regions, and their possible observational effects. It was shown that nonspinning BHs absorb low-frequency plane waves. For a BH of mass M , the low-frequency absorption cross section of scalars is equal to the horizon area, $\sigma = 16\pi (GM/c^2)^2$. High-frequency plane waves, on the other hand, are absorbed with a cross section $\sigma = 27\pi (GM/c^2)^2$ [6,8,14]. Although spinning BHs also absorb plane waves, they can amplify certain, low-frequency, angular modes through superradiance [15–17] (which also acts on charged BHs [18]). Superradiance extracts energy away from such BH and provides important signatures of possible fundamental ultraviolet fields in nature [17,19–21].

A significant fraction of BHs are found in binaries, such as those seen by the LIGO/Virgo observatories [22]. In addition, most BHs are moving at high speeds relative to our own frame. Thus, an understanding of the interaction between waves and moving BHs is a necessary ingredient to explore the enormous potential of such sources [23,24].

It was recently pointed out that BH binaries could amplify incoming radiation through a gravitational slingshot mechanism for light [23]. The argument requires only *one* BH moving with velocity v , and a photon reflected at

an angle of 180° by the strong-field region (such orbits do exist [6]). Then, a trivial change of frames and consequent blueshift yields

$$E_f^{\text{peak}} = \frac{1 + v/c}{1 - v/c} E_i, \quad (1)$$

for the energy gain by the photon during the process. This is also the blueshift by photons reflecting off a mirror moving with velocity v . In addition, effective field theory methods were recently used to suggest that BH binaries could amplify radiation through superradiance [24]. Again, the argument seems to imply that a single moving BH is able to amplify incoming radiation.

The purpose of the present work is to study the scattering of a plane wave off a moving BH. Clearly, such study involves “only” a Lorentz transformation of the well-known results for BHs at rest. Our purpose is to generalize to BH physics the classical problem of scattering off a moving mirror or a sphere, addressed by Sommerfeld and others [25,26]. Our results are surprisingly simple but nontrivial, interesting, and—as far as we are aware—new.

II. AMPLIFICATION IN THE WEAK-FIELD REGIME

Consider a BH of mass M and a high-frequency photon described by null geodesics in the BH spacetime, with a large impact parameter $b \gg M$ and moving in the $-z$ direction. The photon’s incoming energy is E_i in the frame where the BH is moving in the $+z$ direction with velocity v . A boost in the $+z$ direction brings us to the BH frame, and blueshifts the wave to $E_1 = \sqrt{(1 + v/c)/(1 - v/c)} E_i$. In this frame, the photon is deflected by the Einstein angle $\alpha = 4 GM/(bc^2)$. Now boost back to the $-z$ direction,

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article’s title, journal citation, and DOI.

where due to relativistic aberration the angle with the z -axis is $\alpha' \sim \alpha\sqrt{(1+v/c)/(1-v/c)}$, and the frequency is now $E_f = E_i/(\gamma(1+v\cos\alpha'/c))$. One finds the weak-field energy amplification for such photons

$$E_f^{\text{weak}} = \left(1 + \frac{8G^2M^2v}{b^2c^4(c-v)}\right) E_i. \quad (2)$$

If a plane wave is passing through, one can see that the $1/r$ nature of the gravitational potential causes the total extracted energy to diverge; this phenomenon is akin to the divergence of the scattering cross section of the Coulomb potential [27]. For a body of size R_{\min} moving in a plane wave of density ρ and extent R_{\max} , we find the total energy loss per second

$$dE/dt = -\frac{16\pi G^2 M^2 v^2 \rho}{c^2(c-v)} \log(R_{\max}/R_{\min}). \quad (3)$$

III. AMPLIFICATION IN THE STRONG-FIELD REGIME

The energy *loss* of radiation when a body travels through a copropagating stream is *smaller* than the energy *gain* when counterpropagating. This effect gets more pronounced at relativistic speeds and in the strong-field regime. We therefore focus exclusively on amplification by countermoving waves. The strong-field regime gives rise to large deflections in the photon's trajectory, and consequent large energy amplification, with a peak value described by Eq. (1). Henceforth, for simplicity, we use units with $c = G = 1$. Two procedures can be followed to compute the energy amplification by moving BHs. One is to work in a fixed background and perform appropriate Lorentz boosts of the scattered particles, as we did above. We will use this procedure when dealing with wave amplification. Here, as a further check on the results, to compute rigorously the energy amplification at all impact parameters, we study null geodesics in the spacetime of a moving BH. In isotropic coordinates the Schwarzschild metric is given by

$$ds^2 = -\frac{(1-A)^2}{(1+A)^2} dt^2 + (1+A)^4(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2), \quad (4)$$

where $A = M/(2\rho)$ and $\rho^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$. Here, the standard Schwarzschild radial coordinate is related with ρ via $r = \rho(1+A)^2$. Perform a boost along the z direction by letting

$$\hat{t} = \gamma(t + vz), \quad \hat{z} = \gamma(z + vt), \quad \hat{y} = y, \quad \hat{x} = x. \quad (5)$$

This yields the metric describing a BH moving with velocity v and Lorentz factor $\gamma^2 = 1/(1-v^2)$.




FIG. 1. Energy gain of a (high-frequency) photon scattered off a moving BH. The photon has initial energy E_i , impact parameter b , and scatters off a BH moving with velocity v in the opposite direction. The final energy is E_f . The peak of each curves agrees, to numerical precision, with Eq. (1). For impact parameter $b < 3\sqrt{3}M$, the photon is absorbed by the BH.

It is now a simple question to study the scattering of a plane wave of null particles: follow initially countermoving null geodesics of impact parameter b [i.e., null geodesics with $\hat{y}(\hat{t}=0) = b$ and $\hat{x} = \hat{y} = 0$ at large distances] and monitor their energy $E = v^\mu p_\mu$, where p is the four-momentum associated with the geodesic and $v^\mu = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ (at large distances) the four-velocity of the observer.

Our results are shown in Fig. 1 for different velocities v . There is a minimum impact parameter $b = 3\sqrt{3}M$, below which the photon simply falls onto the BH. As we increase the impact parameter starting from this value, the energy gain peaks very rapidly at a value precisely (to within numerical precision) described by Eq. (1): These are photons which are reflected back by the geometry. There are in fact a multitude of impact parameters for which photons are reflected back: For

$$b/M = b_1/M = 5.356 \pm 0.003, \quad (6)$$

$$b/M = b_2/M = 5.199 \pm 0.002, \quad (7)$$

the photon circles the BH exactly half an orbit (with a distance of minimum approach of $r/M = 3.521 \pm 0.001$) and one-and-a-half orbits (with a distance of minimum approach of $r/M = 3.001 \pm 0.001$), respectively; for impact parameters closer to the critical value a larger number of orbits around the BH are possible. At large impact parameters, our numerical results are well described by the weak-field result (2).

IV. THE HIGH-FREQUENCY ABSORPTION CROSS SECTION

In a scattering experiment, where a plane wave hits a moving BH head-on, one can define an absorption cross section

$$\sigma^{\text{abs}} = \frac{E_{\text{in}} - E_{\text{out}}}{E_{\text{in}}/A_{\text{in}}}, \quad (8)$$

where E_{in} is the total energy in the plane wave, E_{out} is the total energy in the outgoing wave after interaction with the BH, and A_{in} is the surface area that the incident plane occupies. As we showed, due to the long-range character of gravity, the absorption cross section above diverges [27]. We define instead a finite quantity σ_{20}^{abs} computed by sending a constant flux wave centered at the BH, but with finite transverse size of radius $R = 20M$ (at large distances). This quantity is shown in Table I for null particles.

The cross section σ_{20}^{abs} is to a good approximation equal to its geometric optics counterpart $\sigma = 27\pi M^2$ for BHs at rest. It starts decreasing when the BH moves for the reasons discussed previously: Photons with impact parameter $b = b_1$ are given energy. Our results seem to be well described by

$$\frac{\sigma_{20}^{\text{abs}}}{\pi M^2} \sim 27 - a_1 v - a_2 \frac{v(1+v)}{(1-v)}, \quad (9)$$

with $a_1 = 28.8$, $a_2 = 29.1$, which reproduces the numerical points between $v = [0, 0.99]$ to within 1% accuracy. The coefficients a_1 , a_2 grow when the incident surface radius R grows (for example, a calculation of σ_{10}^{abs} , for $R = 10$, leads to $a_1 = 18.0$, $a_2 = 20.0$; such cross section also becomes negative at large v).

From the previous discussion, it could also be anticipated that the absorption cross section becomes negative at large enough velocities. Given that the only scale in the traverse directions is that of the BH, M , photons with an impact parameter $b \sim b_1$ within a width $\sim M$ will also be amplified. On the other hand, all photons with impact parameter smaller than $3\sqrt{3}M$ are absorbed. Thus, the cross

TABLE I. Absorption cross section for a BH moving with velocity v onto a constant flux wave. The incoming wave has a finite spatial extent in the direction transversal to the motion, forming a cylinder of radius $R = 20M$. Notice that the absorption cross section becomes negative at large velocities, indicating that the BH transfers energy to the scattered waves.

v	$\sigma_{20}^{\text{abs}}/(\pi M^2)$	v	$\sigma_{20}^{\text{abs}}/(\pi M^2)$
0.00	27.0	0.30	2.1
0.01	26.4	0.50	-31.1
0.02	25.8	0.80	-205.6
0.10	20.6	0.90	-496.8

absorption section is expected to be of order $\sim 27\pi M^2 - 2\pi \times 3\sqrt{3}M^2((1+v)/(1-v) - 1)$. For large velocities, this (order-of-magnitude) argument predicts a negative cross section $\sim -10\pi M^2(1+v)/(1-v)$, in rough agreement with the numerical fit (9).

V. THE ABSORPTION CROSS SECTION OF MOVING BHs

Consider now the extension of the previous results to arbitrary low-frequency waves, where geometric optics no longer provides an adequate description of the scattering phenomenon. Let us focus on a minimally coupled scalar field theory described by the action

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\nu \phi (\nabla^\mu \phi)^*. \quad (10)$$

For most situations of interest, the scalar field is but a small perturbation and can be studied in a fixed spacetime geometry—the so-called test field approximation. A well-studied problem concerns the scattering on a Schwarzschild geometry describing a BH at rest. In this setup, consider the ansatz

$$\phi_{\omega_1}(t, r, \theta, \varphi) = \sum_{l,m} K_l^m e^{-i\omega_1 t} Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi) \frac{\psi_{\omega_1}(r)}{r}, \quad (11)$$

where K_l^m are complex coefficients, Y_l^m the spherical harmonics, and the radial function ψ_{ω_1} also carries an (l, m) dependence. Define $f \equiv 1 - 2M/r$ and a tortoise coordinate r_* satisfying $dr/dr_* = f$. The massless Klein-Gordon equation yields

$$\frac{d^2}{dr_*^2} \psi_{\omega_1} + \left[\omega_1^2 - f \left(\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} + \frac{2M}{r^3} \right) \right] \psi_{\omega_1} = 0. \quad (12)$$

This equation admits the asymptotic solution

$$\psi_{\omega_1}(r \rightarrow +\infty) \sim I e^{-i\omega_1 r_*} + R e^{+i\omega_1 r_*}, \quad (13)$$

with I and R the complex-valued amplitudes of the incident and reflected spherical waves, respectively. The transmitted spherical wave at the BH horizon can be written as

$$\psi_{\omega_1}(r \rightarrow 2M) \sim T e^{-i\omega_1 r_*}. \quad (14)$$

The quantities (R/I) and (T/I) appearing in the above solution are complex functions of l and $\omega_1 M$, but, for simplicity, we omit this in our notation.

It is well known that a (distorted) plane wave can be written as a partial wave expansion, which asymptotically as $r \rightarrow \infty$ reads [1,28]

$$e^{-i\omega_1(t+z_*)} \simeq -\sum_{l,m} \frac{e^{-i\omega_1(t+r_*)}}{2i\omega_1 r} \sqrt{4\pi(2l+1)} Y_l^0(\theta, \varphi) \\ + \text{outgoing wave}, \quad (15)$$

where $z_* \equiv r_* \cos \theta$.

Choosing $K_l^m \equiv -\delta_0^m \sqrt{4\pi(2l+1)}/(2i\omega_1 I)$, one can rewrite the asymptotic behavior of ϕ_{ω_1} as

$$\phi_{\omega_1}(r \rightarrow +\infty) \sim e^{-i\omega_1(t+z_*)} + \sum_l \frac{\mathcal{R}}{r} Y_l^0 e^{-i\omega_1(t-r_*)}, \quad (16)$$

with \mathcal{R} a complex function of R/I . Thus, with this choice of K_l^m , Eq. (11) describes the scattering of scalar plane waves (propagating along the $-z$ direction) by a BH at rest.

The stress-energy tensor of a massless scalar field is

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi^* \partial_\nu \phi + \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi^*) - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \partial^\alpha \phi^* \partial_\alpha \phi. \quad (17)$$

Thus, the net energy \mathcal{E} (measured by a stationary observer at infinity) entering a spherical surface S_r of radius $r \rightarrow +\infty$, per unit of time, is

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E} = \int_{S_r} d\Omega r^2 T_{tr}. \quad (18)$$

This yields

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E} = \sum_l \pi(2l+1) \left(1 - \left| \frac{R_l(\omega_1 M)}{I_l(\omega_1 M)} \right|^2 \right). \quad (19)$$

One can define the (energy) absorption cross section

$$\sigma^{\text{abs}} \equiv \frac{\partial_t \mathcal{E}}{(\omega_1)^2}, \quad (20)$$

where we have used that the energy density current of the incident plane waves is $(\omega_1)^2$. Numerical evaluation of the last expression shows that, for a BH at rest, the absorption cross section is $\sigma^{\text{abs}} \simeq 27\pi M^2$, a well-known result [6,14].

Focus now on the problem of a scalar plane wave scattering off a Schwarzschild BH moving with velocity v along the $+z$ direction. For simplicity, let us consider that the wave is propagating along the $-z$ direction. The Lorentz transformation of a plane wave is a (Doppler shifted) plane wave; by the principle of covariance, applying a (global) Lorentz boost of velocity v along the $-z$ direction to the solution (11), which describes the scattering of a plane wave of frequency ω_1 by a BH at rest, one gets a solution of the equations of motion describing the scattering of a plane wave of frequency $\omega = \omega_1 \sqrt{1-v}/\sqrt{1+v}$ by a BH moving with velocity v . In mathematical terms: By applying the Lorentz boost (5) to Eq. (11), we get a solution of the Klein-Gordon equation, which, in spherical coordinates centered at the BH, $\hat{x} = \hat{r} \sin \hat{\theta} \cos \hat{\varphi}$, $\hat{y} = \hat{r} \sin \hat{\theta} \sin \hat{\varphi}$, $\hat{z} - v\hat{t} = \hat{r} \cos \hat{\theta}$, has the asymptotic behavior

$$\phi_{\omega_1}(\hat{r} \rightarrow +\infty) \sim e^{-i\omega(\hat{t}+\hat{z}_*)} + \sum_{l,m} \frac{\mathcal{R}}{r} Y_l^m e^{-i\omega_1(t-r_*)}, \quad (21)$$

with

$$t = \frac{\hat{t}}{\gamma} - \gamma v \cos \hat{\theta} \hat{r}, \quad (r, r_*) = \sqrt{\xi}(\hat{r}, \hat{r}_*), \\ \cos \theta = \frac{\gamma \cos \hat{\theta}}{\sqrt{\xi}}, \quad \hat{z}_* \equiv v\hat{t} + \hat{r}_* \cos \hat{\theta}, \quad (22)$$

where

$$\hat{r}_* \equiv \hat{r} + \frac{2M}{\sqrt{\xi}(1-v)} \log \left(\frac{\hat{r} \sqrt{\xi}}{2M} - 1 \right), \\ \xi \equiv 1 + (\gamma^2 - 1) \cos^2 \hat{\theta}. \quad (23)$$

Thus, this solution describes the scattering of a (distorted) plane wave of frequency ω by a moving BH.

The asymptotic solution (21) can also be written as

$$\phi_{\omega_1} \sim \sum_l \frac{i}{2\omega_1} \frac{2l+1}{\hat{r} \sqrt{\xi}} e^{-i\omega_1(\frac{\hat{t}}{\gamma} - \gamma v \cos \hat{\theta} \hat{r})} \\ \times P_l \left(\frac{\gamma \cos \hat{\theta}}{\sqrt{\xi}} \right) \left(e^{-i\omega_1 \hat{r}_* \sqrt{\xi}} + \frac{R_l(\omega_1 M)}{I_l(\omega_1 M)} e^{i\omega_1 \hat{r}_* \sqrt{\xi}} \right), \quad (24)$$

with P_l the Legendre polynomial of the first kind. After a laborious calculation, and using Ref. [29] for angular integrations, one finds

$$\sigma^{\text{abs}} = \sum_l \frac{\pi(2l+1)^2}{\omega^2 \gamma v} P_l \left(\frac{1}{v} \right) Q_l \left(\frac{1}{v} \right) \left(1 - \left| \frac{R_l(\omega_1 M)}{I_l(\omega_1 M)} \right|^2 \right) \\ + \sum_{l' < l} \frac{2\pi}{\omega^2 \gamma v} (2l'+1)(2l+1) P_{l'} \left(\frac{1}{v} \right) Q_l \left(\frac{1}{v} \right) \\ \times \left((-1)^{1+l'+l} + \text{Re} \left[\frac{R_{l'}^*(\omega_1 M) R_l(\omega_1 M)}{I_{l'}^*(\omega_1 M) I_l(\omega_1 M)} \right] \right), \quad (25)$$

where Q_l is the Legendre polynomial of the second kind, and we have used that the particle-number current of the incident plane waves is ω . There is angular mode mixing in l due to the fact that the boosted spherical harmonics lose their orthogonality properties. Note that

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{v} P_{l'} \left(\frac{1}{v} \right) Q_l \left(\frac{1}{v} \right) = \frac{\delta_{l'}^l}{2l+1},$$

which shows that one recovers known results when $v \rightarrow 0$.

Numerical evaluation of Eq. (25) shows qualitatively the same behavior obtained with geometric optics. In particular, our results indicate that the second sum of Eq. (25) diverges logarithmically with l . Using the interpretation $b \simeq l/\omega_1$, which is valid for large $l \gg 1$, this can be restated




FIG. 2. Numerical values of the quantity $\frac{d\sigma}{db}$ obtained through (null geodesic) geometric optics, and wave scattering with $\omega M = 17$. The two methods are in clear agreement for $b \gg M$. Note that the numerical values of the $v = 0$ curves are extremely small and compatible with zero, up to numerical errors.

as a divergence in the impact parameter b ; a well-known consequence of the long-range character of gravity. For a quantitative comparison, we consider high-frequency ($\omega M \gg 1$) plane waves, and truncate the sums in (25) at $l = \omega_1 R$, describing an incident beam with maximum




FIG. 3. Appearance of a BH moving in a bath of cold (and countermoving) radiation. The BH is moving along the z -axis towards us at a speed $v = 0.9$. The colors denote energy flux intensity on a screen placed a short distance away from the BH. The peak energy flux is 10 times larger than that of the environment. The bright ring has width $\sim M$ for all boost velocities v . For very large v even a randomly moving gas of photons will leave a similar observational imprint, since countermoving photons will be redshifted away.

impact parameter R (see Sec. IV). We computed the (finite) quantity $d\sigma^{\text{abs}}/db$ for $b \gg M$, which for waves is approximated by

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\text{abs}}}{db}(l\omega_1) \sim \omega_1[\sigma^{\text{abs}}(l+1) - \sigma^{\text{abs}}(l)]. \quad (26)$$

As shown in Fig. 2, the numerical values obtained for this quantity by the two approaches are in very good agreement for $b \gg M$, as one expects.

VI. APPEARANCE OF A MOVING BLACK HOLE

The large amplification for strongly deflected photons implies that a rapidly moving BH looks peculiar. Downstream photons are deflected and blueshifted upstream. Thus a rapidly moving BH in a cold gas of radiation will be surrounded by a bright ring of thickness $\sim M$. A possible image of a moving BH is shown in Fig. 3. For a stellar-mass BH moving at velocities $v \sim 0.9996$ through the Universe, the ambient microwave cosmic background will produce a kilometer-sized ring (locally ~ 5000 times hotter and brighter than the CMB) in the visible spectrum.

VII. DISCUSSION

The scattering of massless waves is a fundamental process in physics. We showed that the universal nature of gravity, together with the $1/r$ behavior of Newton's law causes moving BHs to amplify plane waves, with a divergent cross section. This is the only known example of a negative absorption cross section of neutral fields. We also showed that even a narrow beam of light can extract energy from a rapidly (counter-) moving BH. These results apply to any massless wave in the high-frequency regime. For BHs at rest, the absorption cross section of low-frequency electromagnetic or gravitational waves vanishes, which may imply that amplification happens sooner at low frequencies, for higher spins. This remains to be understood. These results may have little practical application, since BHs are not expected to be traveling through our Universe at relativistic speeds: Mergers of BHs or neutron stars lead at best to “kicks” in the remnant of $v \lesssim 10^{-2}$ [30–33] for astrophysical setups (even the high-energy merger of two BHs leads “only” to kicks of $v \lesssim 0.05$ [34]). For these velocities, the effects dealt with here are only important when the BH moves in very extended media. Nevertheless, our results show how nontrivial strong gravity effects can be.

On the other hand, the mechanism for energy extraction could be relevant in the context of fundamental light fields, with confined low-energy excitations [23]. A BH binary in this setup could slow down and transfer some of its energy to the fundamental field, giving rise to potentially

observable effects. However, since energy is being transferred to radiation of higher frequency, the process stalls eventually, since sufficiently energetic excitations are unbound.

The overall result of energy transfer to external radiation echoes that of the inverse-Compton scattering for fast-moving electrons in a radiation field [35,36]. In this latter process, a nearly isotropic radiation field is seen as extremely anisotropic to the individual ultrarelativistic electrons. Relativistic aberration causes the ambient photons to approach nearly head-on; Thomson scattering of this highly anisotropic radiation reduces the electron's kinetic energy and converts it into inverse-Compton radiation by upscattering radio photons into optical or x-ray photons. The process we discussed here, involving BHs, is special: BHs are natural absorbers, but the universal—and strong, close to the horizon—pull of gravity can turn them also into overall amplifiers.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are indebted to Sam Dolan and José Natário for useful feedback and comments. V. C. acknowledges financial support provided under the European Union's H2020 European Research Council Consolidator Grant “Matter and strong-field gravity: New frontiers in Einstein's theory” Grant Agreement No. MaGRaTh–646597. R. V. was supported by the FCT Ph.D. Scholarship No. SFRH/BD/128834/2017. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant Agreement No. 690904. We acknowledge financial support provided by FCT/Portugal through Grant No. PTDC/MAT-APL/30043/2017. The authors would like to acknowledge networking support by the GWverse COST Action CA16104, “Black holes, gravitational waves and fundamental physics.”

-
- [1] R. A. Matzner, *J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.)* **9**, 163 (1968).
 - [2] A. A. Starobinski and S. M. Churilov, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **65**, 3 (1973) [*Sov. Phys. JETP* **38**, 1 (1973)].
 - [3] S. A. Teukolsky and W. H. Press, *Astrophys. J.* **193**, 443 (1974).
 - [4] W. G. Unruh, *Phys. Rev. D* **14**, 3251 (1976).
 - [5] N. G. Sanchez, *Phys. Rev. D* **18**, 1030 (1978).
 - [6] S. Chandrasekhar, *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1983).
 - [7] K. Glampedakis and N. Andersson, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **18**, 1939 (2001).
 - [8] C. F. B. Macedo, L. C. S. Leite, E. S. Oliveira, S. R. Dolan, and L. C. B. Crispino, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 064033 (2013).
 - [9] L. C. B. Crispino, S. R. Dolan, and E. S. Oliveira, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 231103 (2009).
 - [10] L. C. S. Leite, L. C. B. Crispino, E. S. De Oliveira, C. F. B. Macedo, and S. R. Dolan, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **25**, 1641024 (2016).
 - [11] L. C. S. Leite, S. R. Dolan, and L. C. B. Crispino, *Phys. Lett. B* **774**, 130 (2017).
 - [12] L. C. S. Leite, S. Dolan, C. B. Crispino, and Luís, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 024046 (2018).
 - [13] C. L. Benone, L. C. S. Leite, C. B. Crispino, Luís, and S. R. Dolan, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **27**, 1843012 (2018).
 - [14] S. R. Das, G. W. Gibbons, and S. D. Mathur, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 417 (1997).
 - [15] Y. B. Zel'dovich, *Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **14**, 270 (1971) [*JETP Lett.* **14**, 180 (1971)].
 - [16] Y. B. Zel'dovich, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **62**, 2076 (1972) [*Sov. Phys. JETP* **35**, 1085 (1972)].
 - [17] R. Brito, V. Cardoso, and P. Pani, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **906**, 1 (2015).
 - [18] C. L. Benone and L. C. B. Crispino, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 044009 (2019).
 - [19] A. Arvanitaki, M. Baryakhtar, S. Dimopoulos, S. Dubovsky, and R. Lasenby, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 043001 (2017).
 - [20] R. Brito, S. Ghosh, E. Barausse, E. Berti, V. Cardoso, I. Dvorkin, A. Klein, and P. Pani, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 131101 (2017).
 - [21] T. Ikeda, R. Brito, and V. Cardoso, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 081101 (2019).
 - [22] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations), *Phys. Rev. X* **9**, 031040 (2019).
 - [23] L. Bernard, V. Cardoso, T. Ikeda, and M. Zilhão, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 044002 (2019).
 - [24] L. K. Wong, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 044051 (2019).
 - [25] A. Sommerfeld, *Optics; Lectures on Theoretical Physics, IV* (Academic Press, New York, 1964).
 - [26] R. C. Restrick, *Radio Sci.* **3**, 1144 (1968).
 - [27] E. Merzbacher, *Quantum Mechanics* (John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1998).
 - [28] L. Landau and E. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics: Non-Relativistic Theory*, Course of Theoretical Physics (Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 1981).
 - [29] *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*, 8th ed., edited by D. Zwillinger, V. Moll, I. Gradshteyn, and I. Ryzhik (Academic Press, Boston, 2014), pp. 776–865.
 - [30] M. Campanelli, C. O. Lousto, Y. Zlochower, and D. Merritt, *Astrophys. J.* **659**, L5 (2007).
 - [31] B. Bruegmann, J. A. Gonzalez, M. Hannam, S. Husa, and U. Sperhake, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 124047 (2008).
 - [32] J. A. Gonzalez, M. D. Hannam, U. Sperhake, B. Bruegmann, and S. Husa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 231101 (2007).
 - [33] M. Campanelli, C. O. Lousto, Y. Zlochower, and D. Merritt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 231102 (2007).
 - [34] U. Sperhake, E. Berti, V. Cardoso, F. Pretorius, and N. Yunes, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 024037 (2011).
 - [35] We thank Sam Dolan for pointing out this parallel to us.
 - [36] https://eud.gsfc.nasa.gov/Volker.Beckmann/school/download/Longair_Radiation3.pdf.