Transverse M om entum Distributions for H eavy Quark Pairs

Edm ond L.Berger^{a, b} and Ruibin Meng^a

^aH igh Energy Physics D ivision A rgonne National Laboratory, A rgonne, IL 60439, USA ^bCERN, Geneva, Switzerland

A bstract

We study the transverse momentum distribution for a pair of heavy quarks produced in hadron-hadron interactions. Predictions for the large transverse momentum region are based on exact order 3_s QCD perturbation theory. For the small transverse momentum region, we use techniques for all orders resummation of leading logarithmic contributions associated with initial state soft gluon radiation. The combination provides the transverse momentum distribution of heavy quark pairs for all transverse momenta. Explicit results are presented for bb pair production at the Ferm ilab Tevatron collider and for co pair production at xed target energies.

1 Introduction

The distribution in the transverse m on entum q_2 of a heavy quark pair, QQ, produced in hadron-hadron interactions is of interest for elucidating the underlying quantum chrom odynamics (QCD), and its understanding is in portant in studies of B B mixing and CP violation at hadronic facilities. Unlike the case of pairs of heavy quarks produced in e⁺ e annihilation, QQ pairs created in hadron hadron collisions are often not in a back-to-back con guration (even in a plane transverse to the beam direction). The net transverse momentum of the pair measures the in balance between the transverse momenta of the Q and the Q. In this paper, we exam ine the quantitative description in QCD perturbation theory of the expected in balance. Predictions for the region of large q_2 are based on exact order $\frac{3}{8}$ QCD perturbation theory. For the regions of sm all and modest q_2 , we employ an all orders resum mation of leading logarithm ic contributions associated with the emission of soft gluons from the intial-state partons that participate in the hard scattering process. This calculation addresses a practical question for heavy quark tagging at hadron facilities: if a Q is tagged with a given transverse momentum , what distribution in transverse momentum should one expect to observe for the associated Q?

We consider the process hadron + hadron ! Q + Q + X. In the simplest parton model description, the underlying hard scattering process is parton + parton ! Q + Q. At this level of approximation, no brem sstrahlung gluons are radiated from initial-state or nal-state partons. If one neglects intrinsic transverse momentum of initial state partons, q_2 is zero. Therefore, in the simplest parton model description, Q and Q pairs would be produced back-to-back in the transverse plane. However, gluon brem sstrahlung is important in QCD and in general generates non-zero q_2 .

The single particle inclusive di erential cross section for heavy quark production has been studied in detail at next-to-leading order in QCD.We cite in particular the calculation of the complete rst order corrections to the dominant QCD production channels [1, 2] and [3, 4, 5] and comparisons with data on inclusive b-quark production from the UA1[6] and CDF collaborations[7]. We mention also the recent work on resummation of leading logarithm ic contributions for the one particle inclusive cross section [8]. In the single particle inclusive approach, the kinematical variables of the heavy quark's (or antiquark's) partner and of the nal state light partons are integrated over with the attendant limitation that it is not possible to exam ine quark-antiquark correlations have been studied at leading order[9] and at next-to-leading order[10, 11]. O ne may expect that next-to-leading order QCD should provide reliable expectations for the distribution in $q_{\rm f}$ at large $q_{\rm f}$. At sm all $q_{\rm f}$, the relatively large m ass m_Q of the heavy quark Q justi es perturbation theory, but the presence of the two disparate scales, m_Q and $q_{\rm f}$, requires care.

Perturbative Q C D has been used for a successful description of transverse m on entum distributions in m assive lepton-pair production, the D rell-Y an process[12]. There one studies the reaction hadron + hadron ! $e^{+} + e^{-} + X$, where the electron-positron pair is detected and its transverse m on entum q_{2} is m easured[13,14,15,16]. In portant for the quantitative description of the transverse m on entum distribution at m odest values of q_{2} is the resum m attached scattering process quark + antiquark ! $e^{+} + e^{-} + X$. W e follow closely the analogy with the D rell-Y an case for the reaction hadron + hadron ! Q + Q + X and concentrate on the transverse m on entum distribution of the Q q quark pair. Heavy quark pair production is, however, m ore involved than m assive lepton-pair production. New com plications arise from soft gluon emission from the nal-state heavy quarks, e ects that are absent in the D rell-Y an reaction. W e will argue that the resum m ation technique for dealing with initial gluon radiation should still be applicable in our case. Soft gluon emission from nal state heavy quarks has been studied in [17].

For the process hadron + hadron ! Q + Q + X, we choose to study the cross section di erential in the variables M² and q_2^2 ; M is the invariant m ass of the QQ pair. K nowing M and q_2 , we can judge how far the QQ system is away from the back-to-back con guration in the transverse plane. To be m ore speci c, when M is near the m ass threshold 2m of the QQ pair, the m on enta of the Q and Q are close to zero in the center of m ass fram e of the QQ system . Only a sm all am ount of q_2 then su ces to put the Q and Q in a con guration that is non-back-to-back in the transverse plane in the laboratory system of reference. On the other hand, at large M, the Q and Q have large relative m on entum in the center of m ass fram e of the pair. At large M, large q_2 would be needed to produce a QQ pair that is not-back-to-back in the transverse plane in the laboratory system .

At large and moderate values of q_2^2 = 0 (M²), the QQ pair production cross section can be computed perturbatively as

$$\frac{d}{dM^{2}dq_{2}^{2}} = {}^{3}_{s}(a_{1} + a_{2} + a_{3} + a_{3} + a_{3}); \qquad (1)$$

At any xed order of $_{s}$ and $q_{2}^{2} \notin 0$, the cross section is well behaved after the hard scattering cross section has been properly de ned. At low $q_{2}^{2} \notin 0$, however, the convergence of the perturbative series deteriorates. For small $q_{2}^{2} \notin 0$, the dominant contributions (i.e. the leading logarithm ic contributions) to Eq. (1) have the form

$$\frac{d}{dM^{2}dq_{2}^{2}} = \frac{\frac{2}{s}}{q_{2}^{2}} b_{1-s}\ln(\frac{M^{2}}{q_{2}^{2}}) + b_{2-s}\ln^{3}(\frac{M^{2}}{q_{2}^{2}}) + \frac{1}{s}$$
(2)

The convergence of the theory is therefore governed by ${}_{s} \ln^{2} (M^{2}=q_{2}^{2})$ instead of ${}_{s}$. The logarithm s arise through emission of soft and collinear gluons. At su ciently low q_{2}^{2} , ${}_{s} \ln^{2} (M^{2}=q_{2}^{2})$ is large even when ${}_{s}$ is small and any xed order calculation breaks down. In order to obtain a reliable prediction, one must resum the leading contributions to all orders in ${}_{s}$.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2 we present the perturbative calculation of the q_r^2 distribution using exact order $\frac{3}{s}$ QCD matrix elements. We describe how to obtain the asymptotic expression at q_r^2 ! 0 from the exact order $\frac{3}{s}$ matrix elements. In Sec. 3 we discuss the formalism for resumming the initial soft and collinear gluon contributions. We match the resummed result in the low $-q_r^2$ region to the exact 0 ($\frac{3}{s}$) result in the high $-q_r^2$ region. Results and examples of the q_r^2 distribution for speci c hadronic reactions are given in Sec. 4.

2 Perturbative Calculation

We begin our discussion with the hadronic reaction in which a QQ pair is produced:

$$p(K_1) + p(K_2) ! Q(p_1) + Q(p_2) + X$$
 (3)

In this expression, p and p denote the proton and antiproton respectively. The quantity X stands for all the nalparticle states that we sum over so that the above process is sem inclusive with respect to the outgoing nalparticles. W e use capital letters for the m om enta of the proton and antiproton to distinguish them from those of the quarks, antiquarks and gluons. The corresponding partonic subprocess can be written as

$$p_i(k_1) + p_j(k_2) ! Q(p_1) + Q(p_2) + X;$$
 (4)

where $p_{i,j}$ are the initial partons from the proton and the antiproton. The relationship between the momenta of the hadrons and partons is

$$k_1 = {}_1K_1; \quad k_2 = {}_2K_2$$
 (5)

The four-momentum of the system made up of the QQ pair is

$$q = p_1 + p_2$$
 (6)

W e also de ne several useful invariants for our calculation:

$$S = (K_{1} + K_{2})^{2}$$

$$s = (k_{1} + k_{2})^{2} = {}_{1 2}S$$

$$M^{2} = q^{2} = (p_{1} + p_{2})^{2}$$

$$x_{1} = \frac{M^{2}}{2q K}; \quad x_{2} = \frac{M^{2}}{2q K}$$

$$Y = \frac{1}{2}\ln(q K = q K) \quad y + \frac{1}{2}\ln(1 = 2)$$

$$q_{2}^{2} = \frac{2q Kq K}{K_{1} K} \quad M^{2} = \frac{2q kq k}{k_{1} k} M^{2}$$
(7)

We remark that $p = \overline{S}$ is the collision energy of the proton and antiproton; M is the invariant m ass of the heavy quark QQ pair; q_2^2 is the square of the transverse m on entum of the pair, equal to the square of the vector sum of the individual transverse m on enta of the Q and Q; Y is the rapidity of the QQ pair in the center of m ass fram e of the proton and antiproton; and y is the rapidity of the QQ pair in the center of m ass fram e of the interacting initial partons.

The di erential cross section for reaction (3), is expressed as a convolution of partonic cross sections and parton distribution functions:

$$\frac{d^{2}_{pp! QQX}}{dM^{2}dq_{2}^{2}dY} = \frac{X \overset{Z_{1}}{\underset{i_{j}=q_{H}q_{I}}{M}} \overset{Z_{1}}{\underset{i_{n}}{M}} \overset{Z_{1}}{\underset{i_{n}}{d}} \overset{Z_{1}}{\underset{i_{n}}{d} } \overset{Z_{1}}{\underset{i_{n}}{d}} \overset{Z_{1}}{\underset{i_{n}}{d}} \overset{Z_{1}}{\underset{i_{n}}{d}} \overset{Z_{1}}{\underset{i_{n}}{d} } \overset{Z_{1}}{\underset{i_{n}}{d}$$

Here $_{m in} = (M^2 + q_2^2 + q_2)^2 = S$, and $d^2 \wedge_{ij! QQX} = dM^2 dq_2^2 dy$ is the xed-order reduced partonic cross section obtained by rst calculating Feynm an diagram s up to a given xedorder in QCD perturbation theory and then in plementing a renormalization scheme to remove any ultraviolet divergences. The soft divergences at q_2 ! 0 are cancelled between the virtual diagram s and the brem sstrahlung diagram s. The collinear divergences (m ass singularities) at q_2 ! 0 are absorbed into the de nition of the parton distribution functions. Therefore the xed-order reduced partonic cross section is well behaved for any value of q_2^2 , in particular $q_2^2 = 0$. (Note that the apparent divergence at $q_2^2 = 0$ in Eq. (2) is cancelled by a -function that arises from virtual diagram s.) An advantage of calculating the order $\frac{3}{5}$ di erential cross section in term $s \circ f q_2^2$ is that q_2^2 can be used as a cuto variable for both the infrared and collinear divergences in the brem sstrahlung diagram calculations. This means that at any nite value of q_2^2 , the order $\frac{3}{5}$ di erential cross section can be calculated by evaluating the brem sstrahlung diagram s without explicit concern about soft gluon cancellation and factorization of the collinear singularity.

We now consider QQ pair production in QCD perturbation theory. It proceeds by the following two reactions in the Born approximation (order $\frac{2}{s}$):

$$q(k_1) + q(k_2) ! Q(p_1) + Q(p_2)$$

$$g(k_1) + g(k_2) ! Q(p_1) + Q(p_2)$$
(9)

Sym bols inside the parentheses denote the momentum assignments for the partons. The Feynman graphs that contribute to the Born amplitude are shown in Fig. 1.

The magnitude squared of the Born amplitude, averaged over initial colors and spins and summed over nalcolors and spins, can be written as[18]

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}} \quad \overline{\mathbf{j}}_{qq! \ QQ}^{2} = \frac{g_{s}^{4}}{N} C_{F} A_{QED}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}} \quad \overline{\mathbf{j}}_{gq! \ QQ}^{2} = \frac{g_{s}^{4}}{N^{2} \ 1} C_{F} \quad C_{A} \frac{t_{1}u_{1}}{s} B_{QED} \qquad (10)$$

w ith

$$A_{QED} = \frac{t_1^2 + u_1^2}{s} + \frac{2m^2}{s}$$
$$B_{QED} = \frac{t_1}{u_1} + \frac{u_1}{t_1} + \frac{4m^2s}{t_1u_1}(1 - \frac{m^2s}{t_1u_1});$$

7

(11)

 $t_{\!\!1}$ and u_1 are de ned as

$$t_1 = (k_2 \ \underline{p})^2 \ m^2; \ u_1 = (k_1 \ \underline{p})^2 \ m^2:$$
 (12)

It is easy to verify that

$$\frac{d_{qq_{R}g_{1}}^{(0)}}{dM^{2}dq_{2}^{2}dy} = (1 \frac{x_{1}}{1}) (1 \frac{x_{2}}{2}) (q_{1}^{2}) \frac{(0)_{qq_{R}g}(M^{2})}{M^{2}};$$
(13)

The total partonic cross sections are[19]

w ith

$$= {}^{q} \frac{1}{1 - 4m^{2} + M^{2}}; \quad x = \frac{1}{1 + 1}:$$
(16)

The C_A and C_F are the C asim ir invariants for the adjoint and the fundam ental representation of SU (N). For the particular case of SU (3),

N = 3; C_A = N; C_F =
$$\frac{N^2}{2N}$$
: (17)

O beerve that the di erential cross section Eq. (13) is proportional to (q_r^2) , because in the Born approximation the heavy quark pairs are produced with $q_r^2 = 0$. The total partonic cross sections $\binom{(0)}{qq}$ (M²) and $\binom{(0)}{qq}$ (M²) are inversely proportional to M². This dependence can

be understood because the lowest order graphs either have only s-channel poles or ferm ion exchange lines. Most of the heavy quark pairs are therefore expected to be produced near threshold, $M^2 = 4m^2$.

At order $\frac{3}{s}$ the contributing partonic subprocesses include gluon brem sstrahlung diagram s and (anti)quark-gluon scattering diagram s:

$$q(k_{1}) + q(k_{2}) ! g(k_{3}) + Q(p_{1}) + Q(p_{2})$$

$$g(k_{1}) + g(k_{2}) ! g(k_{3}) + Q(p_{1}) + Q(p_{2})$$

$$g(k_{1}) + q(q)(k_{2}) ! q(q)(k_{3}) + Q(p_{1}) + Q(p_{2})$$
(18)

plus virtual correction diagram s[20] to the lowest order processes Eq.(9). In Fig. 2 we show some examples of the gluon brem sstrahlung diagram s that contribute at order $\frac{3}{5}$.

In the order $\frac{3}{8}$ processes (18), q_2^2 is no longer constrained to be zero; a spectrum of values of q_2^2 will be produced. The q_2^2 ! 0 lim it means that the parton with momentum k_3 in the processes (18), is either soft and/or collinear to one of the initial or nal state partons. We note that in the qq channel there are diagram s with a gluon emitted from an initial quark or antiquark line; these look diagram matically exactly the same as corresponding graphs in the D rell-Y an reaction. In addition there are diagram s with a gluon emitted from a nal heavy quark or antiquark line; these are absent in the D rell-Y an reaction. The initial gluon emission diagram s can be soft and/or collinear divergent at q_2^2 ! 0. The nalgluon emission diagram s can be soft divergence at q_2^2 ! 0 because the nal state quarks are massive. The same estatements can be made for the gluon gluon initiated subprocesses which are usually the dominant processes for QQ pair production. The quark-gluon scattering diagram s have only a collinear divergence from light quark emission. The square of the matrix element for the order $\frac{3}{8}$ processes Eq. (18) has been published[21]. We will make use of those results in our calculation.

To calculate the partonic di erential cross section, d $^{(1)}_{ij! QQk}$ =dM $^2dq_2^2dy$, at order 3_s we must integrate over variables which are independent of M 2 , q_2^2 and y for the gluon brem sstrahlung and quark-gluon scattering processes. We choose the QQ center of m ass fram e in which

$$q = (p_1 + p_2) = (M; 0; 0; 0)$$

$$p_1 = (M = 2; !_0 \sin_1 \sin_2; !_0 \sin_1 \cos_2; !_0 \cos_1)$$

$$p_2 = (M = 2; !_0 \sin_1 \sin_2; !_0 \sin_1 \cos_2; !_0 \cos_1)$$

w ith

$$!_{0} = \frac{M}{2}^{q} \frac{1}{1 - 4m^{2} = M^{2}}$$
(20)

W e obtain

$$\frac{d}{dM} \frac{d}{dq_{p}^{2} dq_{p}^{2} dq_{p}^{2}} (\text{pert}) = \frac{1}{128^{-4} \text{ sM}^{-2}} \frac{x_{1}}{1} \frac{x_{2}}{1} (1 - \frac{x_{1}}{1})(1 - \frac{x_{2}}{2}) \frac{q_{p}^{2} = M^{-2}}{1 + q_{p}^{2} = M^{-2}}$$

$$\frac{Z}{d} \frac{d^{3}p_{1}}{2p_{1}^{0}} (q - p_{1})^{2} m^{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} q_{1}^{2} q_{2} q_{k}^{2}$$
(21)

The integral $^{R}d^{3}p_{1}$ can be expressed explicitly as

$${}^{Z} \frac{d^{3}p_{1}}{2p_{1}^{0}} (q p)^{2} m^{2} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{j_{1}^{2}, QQk}^{2} = \frac{1}{8} \frac{q}{1 - 4m^{2} - M^{2}} \frac{d^{2}}{d^{2}} d_{1} \sin \frac{d^{2}}{d^{2}} d_{2} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{j_{1}^{2}, QQk}^{2};$$
(22)

and $\overline{\mathbf{M}} \stackrel{2}{\mathbf{j}}_{j! \ QQk}$ is square of the matrix element for the order $^{3}_{s}$ processes (18), averaged over spin and color.

It is possible to perform the angular integrals analytically. However, the squared matrix elements for the QQ production process are lengthy and the integrals are di cult, making

the calculation form idable. Fortunately, for any nite value of q_2^2 the order $\frac{3}{s}$ di erential cross section Eq. (21) is free from any soft and collinear divergences so that the angular integrals Eq. (22) can be dealt with numerically. Then the di erential cross section for the proton antiproton reaction Eq. (3) can be calculated by convoluting the partonic cross section Eq. (21) with the parton distribution functions according to Eq. (8).

A quantity of interest and importance is the average of the square of the transverse m om entum at a xed invariant m ass M. It can be calculated as[22]

$$< q_{2}^{2} (M) > \frac{d_{pp! QQX}}{dM^{2}} = \frac{Z}{dq_{2}^{2}} q_{2}^{2} \frac{d^{2}_{pp! QQX}}{dM^{2} dq_{2}^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{X}{i_{j}j = q_{RJS}} \frac{Z}{M^{2} = S} \frac{Z}{d_{1}} \frac{Z}{M^{2} = (1S)} \frac{Z}{d_{2}} f_{i=p}(1;)f_{j=p}(2;) \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{(SM^{2})^{2} = (4S)}{dq_{2}^{2}} q_{2}^{2} \frac{d^{2} \frac{(1)}{i_{j}! QQK}}{dM^{2} dq_{2}^{2}};$$
(23)

where

$$\frac{d_{pp! QQX}}{dM^{2}} = \frac{X \overset{Z_{1}}{\longrightarrow} \overset{Z_{1}}{\longrightarrow}$$

Symbol K denotes the fam iliar K -factor, not necessarily a constant in this case. The transverse momentum averaged over all values of invariant mass can also be calculated in the same way.

A srem arked in the Introduction, the convergence of the perturbation series deteriorates in the region q_2^2 M². For predictions of in proved reliability in that dom ain, one must try to sum leading contributions from all orders in _s. We will use the procedure for resum ming contributions from initial state soft and collinear gluons developed by Collins and Soper. To begin, we must rst extract the leading contributions in the region of small q_2^2 M² from the $\frac{3}{s}$ cross section. This is done by calculating the angular integrals in Eq. (22) analytically. Fortunately, in the lim it $q_2^2 = M^2$! 0 the squared matrix element simplifies substantially and the angular integrals can be calculated analytically without too much di culty[3].

We take the limit q_2^2 ! 0 of the exact expressions for the square of the order $\frac{3}{5}$ m atrix elements and do the integral under the same limit. The calculation is accomplished in two steps. First, we calculate the soft gluon contributions by setting the gluon momentum k_3 ! 0 everywhere in the matrix elements except in the denominators that are singular as k_3 ! 0. The soft gluon matrix elements have also been derived in the literature (see Eqns.(5.1-5) in Ref.[3] and Eqns.(2.24-26) in Ref.[4]). In the limit k_3 ! 0, the 2 ! 3 kinematics can also be approximated by 2 ! 2 kinematics, which we can implement electively by replacing the function in Eq. (21) by

$$(1 \quad \frac{x_1}{1})(1 \quad \frac{x_2}{2}) \quad \frac{q_2^2 = M^2}{1 + q_2^2 = M^2} =) \quad \ln(\frac{M^2}{q_2^2}) \quad (1 \quad \frac{x_1}{1}) \quad (1 \quad \frac{x_2}{2}):$$
(25)

The singularities in the denom inator can be replaced by

$$(1 \quad \frac{x_1}{1})(1 \quad \frac{x_2}{2}) =) \quad \frac{q_2^2}{M^2}$$
: (26)

Second, the hard collinear contributions can be calculated if we replace the rst function in Eq. (21) by

$$(1 \quad \frac{x_1}{1})(1 \quad \frac{x_2}{2}) \quad \frac{q_2^2}{1 + q_2^2 = Q^2} =) \quad \frac{(1 \quad x_{\underline{2}} = 1)}{(1 \quad x_{\underline{2}} = 2)_+} + \frac{(1 \quad x_{\underline{2}} = 2)}{(1 \quad x_{\underline{2}} = 1)_+}$$
(27)

The \+ " prescription in the above equation, de ned as

$$\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{f(x)}{(1-x)} dx = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{f(x) - f(1)}{1-x} dx;$$
(28)

ensures that there is no double counting in the phase space region where the soft and collinear divergences overlap. Both replacements, Eq. (25) and Eq. (27), imply signi cant simpli – cation for our calculation. Their origin has been well demonstrated, e.g. in Eqns.(2.11-13) of R ef. [23]. After we perform the angular integrals and convolution with the parton distribution functions we obtain the asymptotic expression for the dimential cross section for process (3) in the form

$$\frac{d^{3}_{pp! QQX}}{dM^{2} dq_{p}^{2} dY} (asym) = \frac{X_{ij} (0)}{ij} (M^{2}) \frac{1}{S} - \frac{s(M^{2})}{2} \frac{1}{q_{p}^{2}} (A_{ij}^{(1)} \ln(\frac{M^{2}}{q_{p}^{2}}) + B_{ij}^{(1)} f_{i=p}(x_{1}) f_{j=p}(x_{2}) + f_{i=p}(x_{1}) + f_$$

The symbol denotes a convolution of the parton distribution function f and A ltarelli-Parisi splitting function P , de ned by

$$f P(x) = \int_{x}^{Z_{1}} f(y) P \frac{x}{y} \frac{dy}{y} :$$
(30)

The functions A $_{ij}^{(1)}$ come purely from initial state soft and collinear gluon radiation:

$$A_{qq}^{(1)} = 2C_F$$
; $A_{gg}^{(1)} = 2C_A$; $A_{q(q)g}^{(1)} = 0$ (31)

The functions $B_{ij}^{(1)}$ can be split into two parts, in terms of initial and nal state gluon radiation:

$$B_{ij}^{(1)} = B_{ij;I}^{(1)} + B_{ij;F}^{(1)}$$
(32)

w ith

$$B_{qq;I}^{(1)} = 3C_F; B_{qq;F}^{(1)} = 2C_F + 2C_F \frac{\ln x}{(1 - 2m^2 - M^2)}$$

$$B_{gg;I}^{(1)} = 2_{0}; \quad B_{gg;F}^{(1)} = 2C_{F} + 2C_{F} \frac{\ln x}{m} (1 - 2m^{2} - M^{2})$$
$$B_{q(q)g;I}^{(1)} = 0; \quad B_{q(q)g;F}^{(1)} = 0$$
(33)

Quantities x and have been de ned in Eq. (16). Our coe cient $_0$ of the -function is normalized to

$$_{0} = \frac{11N \quad 2N_{f}}{6} :$$
(34)

To verify our calculation we have checked that the $1 = {}^{2}$, 1 = pole terms generated by dimensional regularization from the terms not proportional to the Altarelli-Parisi splittingfunctions in the expression Eq. (29) cancel the infrared pole terms from virtual diagramcalculations[3, 4].

The expressions for the initial state gluon radiation terms for the qq channel, $A_{qq}^{(1)}$ and $B_{qq,I}^{(1)}$, are exactly the same as those in the D rell-Y an reaction. For initial state gluon radiation in the gg channel, our expressions $A_{gq}^{(1)}$ and $B_{gg}^{(1)}$ also agree with those found by C atani, D 'Em ilio, and Trentadue[25] and by K au m an [23] for production of a color singlet state from gluon-gluon fusion. The agreement in both cases follows the expectation that the initial state soft gluon radiation does not depend on the type of hard process under consideration[26, 27]. It indicates that the e ects of initial state gluon radiation can be resummed to all orders of $_{s}$ for QQ pair production, as in the cases of the D rell-Y an reaction[13, 14] or H iggs production through gluon fusion [24, 25, 23]. In the next section we will attempt the resumment of the initial soft and collinear gluon contributions using the form alism developed for the D rell-Y an process[28, 29].

The expressions for nalstate soft gluon radiation, $B_{qqF}^{(1)}$ and $B_{gqF}^{(1)}$, are the same for the qq and gg channels. This can be understood since the nalstate soft gluon radiation

occurs from the nal state (anti)heavy quark lines in both the qq and gg channels. Soft gluon em ission from nal state heavy quarks has been studied in R ef. [17].

3 Resummation

The technique for resumm ing contributions from initial state soft and collinear gluons was developed by C ollins and Soper[29], and it has been applied to massive lepton-pair production [14], single vector boson production [30, 31], H iggs boson production [24, 25, 23], and ZZ-pair production [32]. In our case, the appropriate expression analogous to that of C ollins and Soper is

$$\frac{d^{3}}{dM^{2} dq_{2}^{2} dY} \text{ (resum)} = \frac{X}{i; j = q R_{R} g} \frac{{}^{(0)}}{ij} (M^{2}) \frac{1}{2S} \frac{Z^{1}}{0} db b J_{0} (bq_{2}) W_{ij} (M; b); \quad (35)$$

where $J_0(x)$ is the zeroth order Bessel function. The function $W_{ij}(M;b)$ sum s all the logarithm ic term s of the form ${n \atop s} \ln^m (M^2b^2)$ with 1 m 2n in the impact parameter b space. The all orders structure of W is given by the functional form

$$W_{ij}(M;b) = \exp \left(\begin{array}{c} C_{2}^{2}M^{2} \\ C_{1}^{2}=b^{2} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} C_{1}^{2}M^{2} \\ C_{1}^{2}=b^{2} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}$$

The parameters C_1 , C_2 and C_3 are somewhat arbitrary. They are associated with the choices of renormalization and factorization scales in a xed order perturbative calculation. We use the standard choices

$$C_1 = C_3 = 2e^{E} = b_0; \quad C_2 = 1;$$
 (37)

where $_{\rm E}$ is Euler's constant. The symbol denotes a convolution, de ned in Eq. (30). In the lim it $q_{\rm e} = M$! 0, the parton m om entum fractions are

$$x_1 = e^{Y} \frac{M^2}{S}; \quad x_2 = e^{Y} \frac{M^2}{S}; \quad (38)$$

The functions A, B, and C (x) may be expanded in a perturbation series in $_{\rm s}$:

С

$$A_{ij}(s) = \frac{x^{i}}{n=1} A_{ij}^{(n)} \frac{s}{2}^{n};$$

$$B_{ij}(s) = \frac{x^{i}}{n=1} B_{ij}^{(n)} \frac{s}{2}^{n};$$

$$i_{j}(x;s) = i_{j} (1 - x) + \frac{x^{i}}{n=1} C_{ij}^{(n)} \frac{s}{2}^{n};$$

(39)

The reason for the bar over the j in the expression for $C_{ij}(x; s)$ is that the avors of i and j m ust be the same in the case of qq.

We work to ist order in the expansions of A and B which corresponds to summing the ist two powers of $\ln(M^2=q_2^2)$ at every order in $_s$, i.e., the double-leading logarithm approximation. The $A_{ij}^{(n)}$'s and $B_{ij}^{(n)}$'s depend in plicitly on the choices of $C_{1,2,3}$. The simplest form s result from the choices in Eq. (37). The coe cients $A_{ij}^{(1)}$ and $B_{ij}^{(1)}$ in Eq. (39) can be obtained by formally expanding Eq. (35) in a series in $_s$ and then comparing with the asymptotic perturbative calculation from our previous section. For initial state gluon radiation, the expressions for $A_{ij}^{(1)}$ in Eq. (39) are the $A_{ij}^{(1)}$ of Eq. (31), and the $B_{ij}^{(1)}$ are the $B_{ij,\bar{i}}^{(1)}$ in Eq. (33). For C, we make the simplifying choice $C_{ij}^{(0)} = _{ij}$ (1 is since we are working in perturbation theory to the instinon-trivial order in $_s$ for large q_r . (Note that $a_{ij}^{(0)}$ in Eq. (35) is proportional to $\frac{2}{s}$.) Our neglect of $C_{ij}^{(1)}$ will only a ect the normalization at $q_r = 0$ to 0 ($\frac{3}{s}$) and the distribution for $q_r \in 0$ to 0 ($\frac{4}{s}$). These statements in ply that our calculation includes resummation of the double-leading logarithms to all orders in $_{\rm s}$, but the total integrated cross section is accurate only to second order in $_{\rm s}$.

W e comment that the validity of the resummation formalism [29] was demonstrated for the D rell-Y an reaction and for W, Z production where there is no nal state gluon radiation. W e are making the reasonable assumption here that the same formalism is valid for dealing with the e ects of initial state soft and collinear gluon radiation in the case of heavy quark pair production. R esummation of soft gluon emission from the nal state heavy quarks has been studied in R ef. [17].

The gluon resummation formula, Eq. (35), provides the cross section in the region of small q₂; for the high-q₂ region we use the exact O ($\frac{3}{s}$) perturbative calculation. We will join the results for the low q₂ and high q₂ regions using a matching procedure employed previously [24, 23].

$$\frac{d}{dM^{2} dq_{2}^{2} dy} \text{ (m atch)} = \frac{d}{dM^{2} dq_{2}^{2} dy} \text{ (pert)}$$

$$+ f(q_{2} = M) \frac{d}{dM^{2} dq_{2}^{2} dy} \text{ (resum)} \frac{d}{dM^{2} dq_{2}^{2} dy} \text{ (asym)} \text{ (:40)}$$

The function

$$f(q_2 = M) = \frac{1}{1 + (3q_2 = M)^4}$$
(41)

serves to switch smoothly from the matched formula to the perturbative formula [23]. For details of the matching procedure we refer to papers by A mold and K au man and subsequent publications.

Before presenting num erical evaluations, we end this section with a few remarks. As discussed by Parisi and Petronzio[28], the resummed expression Eq. (36) is ill-de ned when $1 = _{QCD}$ because connement sets in and $_s$ blows up. Procedures have been proposed in the literature[28, 29, 14] to deal with this di culty and parameterize non-perturbative

e ects. In this paper we follow the method used by Collins and Soper[29] and by Davies and collaborators[14]. We replace W (b) in Eq. (36) by

W (b) ! W (b)
$$e^{S_{np}(b)}$$
; (42)

$$b = \frac{b}{1 + b^2 = b_{max}^2} :$$
(43)

Large values of b are thereby cut-o at som e $b_{m ax}$; exp($S_{np}(b)$) param eterizes the large-b dependence due to nonperturbative physics. In principle, exp($S_{np}(b)$) can be measured, but in practice one can approximate the function with a simple G aussian param etrization,

$$S_{np}(b) = b^2 [g_1 + g_2 \ln (b_{nax}M = 2)]$$
: (44)

A coording to D avies and collaborators[14]

$$g_1 = 0:15G \text{ eV}^2; g_2 = 0:4G \text{ eV}^2; b_{n ax} = (2G \text{ eV})^1:$$
 (45)

The values of g_1 and g_2 are obtained by tting massive lepton-pair production data at P = 27 and 62 G eV. There is no strong reason to believe that the contribution from non-perturbative intrinsic transverse m on entum should be identical for subprocesses initiated by gluon-gluon scattering, as in our case, and quark-antiquark scattering, as in massive lepton-pair production. W hen substantial samples of data become available on cc and bb production, it should be possible to re ne the choices made here. Particularly informative in this respect will be data on the azim uthalangle () dependence. The extent to which the quark and antiquark are produced with near is particularly sensitive to the net transverse m om entum in parted to the quark-antiquark pair[10, 11].

4 Results and Discussion

In this section we present and discuss som e phenom enological applications of our analysis. We use HMRS parton distribution functions[33] and the one-bop corrected formula for the running coupling constant $_{s}()$ with $_{4} = 190 \text{ MeV}$. The factorization scale and the renorm alization scale are chosen to be the same as the invariant mass of the heavy quark QQ pair, i.e. = M, unless stated otherwise.

In Fig. 3 we show the lowest order result for the distribution in invariant m ass of a bb pair produced in a pp collision at the Ferm ilab collider energy P = 1.8 TeV. The bottom quark m ass is chosen as $m_{\rm b} = 4.75$ GeV. The distribution peaks at a value of M a few GeV above the bb pair m ass threshold of $2m_{\rm b}$. It then decreases quickly as M increases. This in plies that m ost bbs and/or bbs are produced at the Ferm ilab collider with sm allm om entum in the bb center of m ass fram e. Next-to-leading order QCD contributions change the overall norm alization of this curve, but m ore in portant for the sake of our present discussion, they should not change the shape of the lowest order curve except very near threshold or far above threshold[34].

In Fig. 4, the average quantity $\langle q_2^2 (M) \rangle > K$ is plotted as a function of the pair invariant m ass M; the factor K was discussed in Sec. 2. The quantity $\langle q_2^2 (M) \rangle > K$ is proportional to the square of the average transverse m on entum of the bb pair. Its value is about 80 G eV² near threshold and rises linearly with M in the range shown in the gure. At the xed rapidity value Y = 0, the function has the same shape and magnitude as in Fig. 4, understandable because the bb pairs are produced centrally. (An approximately linear rise with M of the average transverse m on entum in the region = M²=S 0:05 would be expected from simple dimensional arguments. The growth of the square in Fig. 4 is less rapid than quadratic.)

An important inference may be drawn from Figs. 3 and 4. We may estimate from Fig. 3 that the average invariant mass $\langle M \rangle = 15 \text{ GeV}$. G lancing at Fig. 4 we notice that near and above the pair mass threshold $\langle q_2^2 (M) \rangle K$ is larger than 80 GeV². Using K 2:4(see ref. [1, 4]), we deduce that bb pairs produced at the Ferm ilab collider are expected to have

an average transverse m on entum about 5 G eV. A swill be discussed below, after integrating over all values of M, we nd that the square of the average transverse m on entum $\langle q_2^2 \rangle$ is 36.0 G eV² in the purely perturbative order $_{\rm s}^3$ approximation. Taking the square-root, we deduce $\langle q_2 \rangle_{\rm rm s}$ 6 G eV. This value is comparable to, and slightly larger than, the typical m on entum of an individual b or b in the bb center of m ass fram e. Correspondingly, a signi cant fraction (about a quarter to half depending upon how one de nes back-to-back con guration) of bb pairs at the Ferm ilab collider are expected to be produced in a con quration that is not back-to-back in the transverse plane.

The di erential cross section d =dM dq₂ is presented in Fig. 5 for three xed values of m ass, M = 15, 25 and 50 G eV. The dashed curves are from our xed order $\frac{3}{s}$ perturbative calculation. They are most applicable in the region q₂ ' 0 (M) where there is essentially only one hard scale in the problem. The xed order $\frac{3}{s}$ results become inapplicable if q₂ << 0 (M) where, as discussed earlier, the e ects of soft gluon contributions must be incorporated in order to obtain a more reliable result. The dot-dashed lines show the asymptotic results, Eq. (29), obtained from the xed-order $\frac{3}{s}$ results in the limit q₂ ! 0. At small q₂ the asymptotic results agree with the perturbative results, as expected. At larger q₂, the asymptotic results manifest unphysical characteristics that can be traced to the fact that the functions A $^{(1)}_{ij}$ and B $^{(1)}_{ij}$ have opposite signs (c.f. Eqns. (31) and (33)). A ccordingly, we take the asymptotic results at face value only at small q₂. The cross sections obtained from resummation of the e ects of initial soft gluon radiation are shown by the dotted lines.

The resummed results are not expected to follow the asymptotic results because only the initial-state soft gluon radiation is included in our resummed formalism. The resummed and the purely perturbative order $\frac{3}{s}$ curves nearly coincide for q_2 about 5 G eV and greater, as might be expected since them assofthe bottom quark is the relevant physical scale at small q_2 . Owing to the elects of resummation, the shapes of the two curves diler signilicantly for q_2 less than 5 G eV.

The solid lines in Fig. 5 present our nalmatched results, obtained from Eq. (40). The matched results agree with the resummed results in the region of small q_2 and with the perturbative results at large q_2 . These three gures demonstrate how the nal resummed and matched results di er from the perturbative results in the small q_2 region. They also show that the simple matching procedure seems to work adequately in our case[35].

To preclude confusion, we stress that $d = dM dq_{p}$ is presented in Fig. 5; thus, the vanishing of the resummed curves as q_{p} goes to zero has a kinematic origin. The divergence apparent in Eq. (2) is not present in the resummed calculation.

In Fig. 6(a), the perturbative, asymptotic, and the resummed results from Fig. 5(a) are replotted as $d = dM = dq_2^2$ versus q_2^2 . This gure illustrates the behavior of the cross section at small q_2 in a dimensional way, without the phase space factor of q_2 that is present in $d = dM = dq_2$ shown in Fig. 5(a). The same results are plotted again in Fig. 6(b) but with the switching function Eq. (41) included as a multiplicative factor in the asymptotic and resummed results. A comparison of Fig. 6(a) and 6(b) demonstrates the elects of the switching function included in the matching form ula Eq. (40). At small q_2 , the switching function is close to 1 and does not modify the asymptotic and resummed results. At large $q_2^2 = 50 \text{ GeV}^2$, the switching function suppresses the asymptotic and resummed results by almost a factor of 10.

In Fig. 7 our nal results are shown for the distribution in the square of the transverse momentum of the bb pair, $d = dq_2^2$. Here we have integrated over M. As remarked at the start of this section, for consistency, all curves, including the purely perturbative order $\frac{3}{s}$ curve (dashed line), are computed with the one-loop evolved form for s

For $q_2 \notin 0$, the di erences between the matched (solid curve) and xed-order $\frac{3}{s}$ (dashed) results in Fig. 7 are form ally of order $\frac{4}{s}$ and higher (except that our integrated cross section is valid only to 0 ($\frac{2}{s}$), as explained in Sec. 2). These di erences will a ect, am ong

other observables, the predicted average transverse m on entum of the bb pair. C on putations of the integrals over all q_2 of the product of q_2^2 times $d = dq_2^2$ are straightforward for both the solid and dashed curves in Fig.7 since this product is nite and wellbehaved as q_2 approaches zero in both cases. The integral of $d = dq_2^2$ itself is straightforward for the m atched case, where there is no divergence as q_2 approaches zero, but is m ore involved in the purely $\frac{3}{s}$ case. In the $\frac{3}{s}$ case, full account m ust be taken of virtual diagram s that contribute at $q_2^2 = 0$ [19,1,3,4]. Carrying out the computations, we obtain $< q_2^2 > = 36.0 \text{ GeV}^2$ in the purely perturbative order $\frac{3}{s}$ approximation and $< q_2^2 > = 66.7 \text{ GeV}^2$ for our m atched case. We have checked that use of the two-boop evolved form for s changes the purely perturbative order $\frac{3}{s}$ value of $< q_2^2 >$ by less than 1 GeV^2 .

Using the numbers in the paragraph above, we note that $\langle q_2^2 \rangle$ is increased by 30.7 G eV² as a result of soft-gluon resummation and matching. Taking square-roots, we nd $\langle q_2 \rangle_{rm\,s}$ 8.2G eV in the matched case, to be compared to 6 G eV in the purely perturbative order $\frac{3}{8}$ case. It may seem remarkable that an additional 2 G eV is associated with soft-gluon resummation. It would be useful to be able to compare this predicted increase with that expected for $\langle q_2^2 \rangle$ in massive lepton pair production (the D rell-Yan process) at Tevatron energies, at massive lepton pair masses in the vicinity of 10 to 20 G eV, comparable to those for bb pair production. However, to our knowledge, no calculations have been published for the resummed q_2 distribution for the D rell-Yan process at such masses at Tevatron energies. Calculations at lower energies[29, 14] and/or higher masses[30, 31] may not be a useful guide since the distribution should broaden with energy at xed mass. W e remark, however, that the q_2 distribution should be signi cantly broader for bb pair production because the coe cients in the resummation expression, Eq. (36), are much larger: A $\frac{(1)}{q_1} = 2C_A$ vs. A $\frac{(1)}{q_1} = 2C_F$.

It would be interesting to compare the solid line in Fig. 7 with data. We comment

that our result is for production of a pair of b and b quarks, not a pair of B and B m esons. To com pare with m easurements of the transverse m on entum of a pair of B and B m esons, one must include e ects associated with fragmentation of the b and b quarks, and try to estimate e ects associated with nal state gluon radiation. In a M onte C arlo simulation of the single b or b inclusive spectrum, K uebel and collaborators showed that the e ects of nal-state and initial state gluon radiation tended to compensate in some instances, with nal-state radiation tending to soften the spectrum and initial-state radiation broadening the distribution[10]. To obtain the b quark inclusive cross section, the UA1 collaboration[6] and the CDF collaboration[36] use a M onte C arlo procedure to take into account the fragmentation e ects of b quarks into observed B m esons or single leptons before they com pared their data with theoretical predictions. A sim ilar procedure is required before com parison can be m ade of data with our theoretical prediction of the pair transverse m on entum distribution.

In Fig. 8, we show a distribution in the square of the transverse momentum for production of a pair of charm quarks, or pair production in xed-target proton beam experiment with $E_{beam} = 800 \text{ GeV}$. In the case of charm, the average transverse momentum is so small that non-perturbative physics may dominate in the region of smallq? . We will not dwell here on applications of the resummation method to charm pair production. In another paper, we plan to present further phenomenological applications for bb pair production.

In this paper, we have focussed on the distribution in transverse momentum of a pair of heavy quarks. In carrying out our calculation, we integrated over (angular) variables in the Q Q rest frame. Correspondingly, certain limitations must be accepted. In the context of our calculation, we are not able to describe the fully dimensional distribution in the momenta of the Q and Q separately, notably the azim uthal angle () dependence in the transverse plane nor correlations in rapidity. The limitation on the description of rapidity correlations appears insigning canting these dimensional distribution in the momentum the other hand, the azim uthal angle dependence is sensitive to the net transverse momentum in parted to the QQ pair [11, 10], and it would be valuable to develop our approach further in order to exam ine the in uence of soft-gluon resummation on the distribution.

A calculation of the fully exclusive parton cross section for QQ pair production at order $\frac{3}{s}$ has been published, along with examples of distributions at collider and xed-target energies [11]. As in the calculation reported here, those results include the lowest order cross section for production of a Q Q pair, the order $\frac{3}{s}$ virtual corrections to the low est order cross section, and the order $\frac{3}{s}$ cross section for production of a Q Q pair along with a light parton. That calculation does not include the e ects of soft gluon resumm ation presented in this paper. On the other hand, included in Ref.[11] is an exploration of certain other e ects that go beyond the pure $\frac{3}{s}$ QCD calculation. The parton shower M onte Carlo program HERW IG [37] was used to simulate the elects on the distribution of nite intrinsic transverse m om entum of the initial partons. Substantial broadening of the distribution was observed, tantam ount to that one would expect if the incident partons carried an intrinsic transverse momentum of about 1.7 GeV. While such a large intrinsic contribution was questioned in R ef.[11] as perhaps an unreliable artifact of the parton shower algorithm, the notable in uence of the added transverse m om entum on the distribution underscores the in portance of the type of study carried out in the present paper. We recall that our soft gluon resumm ation introduces substantial additional $< q_2 > . A s a step in the direction of a$ full investigation of the in uence of soft-gluon resummation on the distribution, it might be possible to incorporate the matched distributions shown in Figs. 7 and 8 into a modied order $\frac{3}{s}$ event generator.

In sum m ary, we have studied the distribution in the transverse m om entum of a pair of heavy quarks produced in hadronic reactions. For large q_2 , the order $\frac{3}{s}$ perturbative result should be applicable. In the region of sm all q_2 , we argued that resum m ation techniques developed in the study of the D rell-Y an reaction should apply for initial state soft gluon radiation. Use of the resum m ation m ethod, plus a m atching of results in the sm all and large

 q_2 regions, perm its an improved prediction of the full q_2 spectrum. Numerical results for the region of sm all q_2 region were presented for bb pair production at the Ferm ilab collider and for cc pair production in xed target experiments.

5 A cknow ledgm ents

W e are pleased to acknow ledge useful discussions with S.Catani, J.Collins, R.Kauman, P. Nason, W.L.van Neerven, J.Smith, B.Webber, and C-P.Yuan. This work was supported in part by the U.S.Department of Energy, Division of High Energy Physics, Contract W – 31-109-ENG -38.

R eferences

- [1] P.Nason, S.Dawson and R K. Ellis, Nucl. Phys <u>B 327</u>, 49 (1989).
- [2] G. Altarelli, M. Diemoz, G. Martinelli, and P. Nason, Nucl. Phys<u>B308</u>, 724 (1988); E. L. Berger, in Physics in Collison 9, Jerusalem, June 1989, edited by J. Grunhaus (Editions Frontieres, France, 1990), 101–117; E. L. Berger, R. Meng, and J.-W. Qiu, in Proc: X X V I International Conference on H igh Energy Physics, Dallas, August, 1992, edited by J. R. Sanford (AIP Conference Proceedings No. 272, AIP, New York, 1993), vol.1, p. 853.
- [3] W. Beenakker, H. Kuijf, W. L. van Neerven and J. Smith, PhysRev. <u>D40</u>, 54 (1989).
- [4] W. Beenakker, W. L. van Neerven, R. Meng, G. Schuler and J. Smith, Nucl. Phys. <u>B351</u>, 507 (1991).
- [5] R.Meng, W.L.van Neerven, G.Schuler and J.Sm ith, Nucl. Phys. <u>B339</u>, 325 (1990).
- [6] UA1 collaboration, C. A lba jar et al., Phys. Lett. <u>B213</u>, 4105 (1988); Z. Phys. <u>C48</u>, 1 (1990); Phys. Lett. <u>B256</u>, 121 (1991).
- [7] CDF collaboration, F. Abe et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>68</u>, 3403 (1992); Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>69</u>, 3704 (1992); FERM ILAB-PUB-93/091-E (April1993), submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.; FERM ILAB-PUB-93/106-E (M ay 1993), submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.; FERM ILAB-PUB-93/131-E (June 1993), submitted to Phys. Rev. D; FERM ILAB-PUB-93/145-E (June 1993), submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.
- [8] E.Laenen, J.Sm ith and W.L.van Neerven, Nucl. Phys. <u>B369</u>, 543 (1992).
- [9] E.L.Berger Phys. Rev. <u>D 37</u>, 1810 (1988).
- [10] D.Kuebel, M.Pundurs, C.P.Yuan, E.L.Berger, and F.E.Paige, Phys.Rev.<u>D43</u>, 767 (1991).

- [11] M. L. Mangano, P. Nason, and G. Ridol, Nucl. Phys. <u>B373</u>, 295 (1992); INFN-PISA Report IFUP-TH-37/92, to be published in Nucl. Phys.; and CERN report CERN-TH .6921/93.
- [12] S.D. D rell and T.M. Yan, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>25</u>, 316 (1970); Ann. Phys. (NY) <u>66</u>, 578 (1971).
- [13] J.C. Collins and D.E. Soper, Phys. Rev. <u>D16</u>, 2219 (1977); Nucl. Phys. <u>B193</u>, 381 (1981).
- [14] C.Davies, W.J.Stirling, Nucl. Phys. <u>B244</u>, 337 (1984); C.Davies, B.W ebber and W.
 J.Stirling, Nucl. Phys. <u>B256</u>, 413 (1985).
- [15] NA 10 collab., S.Falciano et al., Z.Phys. <u>C 31</u>, 513 (1986); M.G uanziroli, et al., Z.Phys. <u>C 37</u>, 545 (1988).
- [16] E-615 collab., J.S.Conway et al., Phys. Rev. <u>D 39</u>, 92 (1989); S. Palestini et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>55</u>, 2649 (1985).
- [17] G.Marchesini and B.R.Webber, Nucl. Phys. <u>B330</u>, 261 (1990).
- [18] M.G. Luck, J.F. Owens and E. Reya, Phys. Rev. <u>D</u>15, 2324 (1978); B.L. Combridge, Nucl. Phys. <u>B</u>151, 429 (1979).
- [19] P.Nason, S.Dawson and K.Ellis, Nucl. Phys. <u>B303</u>, 607 (1988).
- [20] At order 3_s the virtual corrections are also proportional to (q_1^2) and therefore do not contribute to the cross section at any nite $q_2^2 \notin 0$.
- [21] R.K. Ellis and J.C. Sexton, Nucl. Phys. <u>B269</u>, 445 (1986); J.G union and Z.K unszt, Phys. Lett. <u>B178</u>, 296 (1986).
- [22] G.Altarelli, G. Parisi and R. Petronzio, Phys. Lett. <u>B76</u>, 351 (1978).

- [23] R.Kau man, Phys. Rev. <u>D 44</u>, 1415 (1991).
- [24] I. Hinchli e and S.F. Novaes, Phys. Rev. <u>D 38</u>, 3475 (1988).
- [25] S.Catani, E.D 'Em ilio, and L.Trentadue, Phys. Lett. <u>B211</u>, 335 (1988).
- [26] Yu.L.Dokshitzer, D.I.Dyakonov and S.I.Troyan, Phys. Reports <u>58</u>, 269 (1980).
- [27] A more detailed discussion on this point can be found e.g. below Eq. (2.9) in Ref. [8] and in ref. [26].
- [28] G. Parisi and R. Petronzio, Nucl. Phys. <u>B154</u>, 427 (1979).
- [29] J.C.Collins and D.E.Soper, Nucl. Phys. <u>B197</u>, 446 (1982); <u>B250</u>, 199 (1985).
- [30] G.Altarelli, R.K. Ellis, M.Greco, and G.Martinelli, Nucl. Phys. <u>B246</u>, 12 (1984).
- [31] P.B.A mold and R.P.K au man, Nucl. Phys. <u>B349</u>, 381 (1991).
- [32] T.Han, R.M eng and J.Ohnemus, Nucl. Phys. <u>B384</u>, 59 (1992).
- [33] P.N.Harriman, A.D.Martin, W.J.Stirling and R.G.Roberts, Phys. Rev. <u>D42</u>, 798 (1990).
- [34] We have checked that the contribution to d =dM 2 from the processes (18), after a $q_2 > 5$ GeV cut is applied, agrees with the shape of the lowest order result in the M 2 range shown in the gure.
- [35] It has been pointed out by Catani et al. that m atching does not always work; c.f. S. Catani, L. Trentadue, G. Turnock, and B.R. W ebber, CERN report CERN-TH 6640/92, to be published in Nucl.Phys.
- [36] CDF collab., F. Abe et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>64</u>, 142 (1990).
- [37] G.Marchesiniand B.R.Webber, NuclPhys<u>B310</u>, 461 (1988).

Figure Captions

- Fig. 1. Feynm an diagram sat order $\frac{2}{s}$.
- Fig. 2. Examples of order $\frac{3}{5}$ Feynm and iagram swith a gluon emitted from an initial quark or gluon line or from nal heavy quark line.
- Fig. 3. bb pair invariant m ass distribution com puted from low est-order QCD processes.
- Fig. 4. A verage of the square of the transverse momentum of a bb pair, multiplied by the factor K , as a function of the pair invariant mass M . This curve is obtained from the purely perturbative order $\frac{3}{5}$ calculation.
- Fig. 5. bb pair transverse m om entum distributions for three values of invariant m ass, M = 15;25, and 50 G eV.W e show our xed order $\frac{3}{s}$ perturbative results as dashed lines, and our initial-state soft gluon resummed results as dotted lines. The asymptotic results are represented as dot-dashed lines. The nalmatched results are shown as solid lines.
- Fig. 6. (a) The perturbative, asymptotic, and resummed results from Fig. 5(a) are plotted as $d = dM = dq_2^2$ versus q_2^2 . (b) The same results are plotted again but with the switching function Eq. (41) included as a multiplicative factor in the asymptotic and resummed results.
- Fig. 7. D istribution in the square of the bb pair transverse m om entum . O ur nalm atched results are shown by the solid line. For sm allq² we integrate the leading log resummed result, Eq.(35), over M . The pure O (³/_s) result is represented as the dashed curve, the initial-state soft gluon resummed result as a dotted line, and the asymptotic result as a dot-dashed line.
- Fig. 8. Distribution in the square of the cc pair transverse momentum for proton-proton

collision at $p_{\overline{S}} = 38.7 \text{ GeV}$ (corresponding to xed target beam energy 800 GeV). Curves are labelled as in Fig. 7.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig2-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig2-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-3.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig2-3.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-4.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig2-4.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-5.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-6.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-7.png" is available in "png" format from: