

# Observation of the Semileptonic Decays of $B_s$ and $\Lambda_b$ Hadrons at LEP

The ALEPH Collaboration

## Abstract

In 450,000 hadronic Z decays recorded with the ALEPH detector at LEP, the yields of  $D_s^- \ell^+$  and  $\Lambda_c^+ \ell^-$  combinations have been measured.  $16.0 \pm 4.3$   $D_s^- \ell^+$  combinations were observed in the  $D_s^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^-$  channel and  $17.0 \pm 4.5$  combinations were observed in the  $D_s^- \rightarrow K^{*0} K^-$  channel.  $21.0 \pm 5.0$   $\Lambda_c^+ \ell^-$  combinations were observed, with the  $\Lambda_c^+$  reconstructed in the decay mode  $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+$ . These events provide evidence for the decays  $B_s \rightarrow D_s^- X \ell^+ \nu$  and  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ X \ell^- \bar{\nu}$ . Assuming that the  $B_s$  and  $\Lambda_b$  semileptonic decays are dominantly three-body, these observed yields, after background subtraction, translate into the following product branching ratios:

$$Br(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s) \cdot Br(B_s \rightarrow D_s^- X \ell^+ \nu) = 0.040 \pm 0.011 \begin{matrix} +0.010 \\ -0.012 \end{matrix}$$

$$Br(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b) \cdot Br(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ X \ell^- \bar{\nu}) = 0.030 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.009 .$$

(Submitted to Physics Letters B)

---

\*See the following pages for the list of authors.



# The ALEPH Collaboration

D. Buskulic, D. Decamp, C. Goy, J.-P. Lees, M.-N. Minard, B. Mours

*Laboratoire de Physique des Particules (LAPP), IN<sup>2</sup>P<sup>3</sup>-CNRS, 74019 Annecy-le-Vieux Cedex, France*

R. Alemany, F. Ariztizabal, P. Comas, J.M. Crespo, M. Delfino, E. Fernandez, V. Gaitan, Ll. Garrido, A. Pacheco, A. Pascual

*Institut de Fisica d'Altes Energies, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain<sup>8</sup>*

D. Creanza, M. de Palma, A. Farilla, G. Iaselli, G. Maggi, M. Maggi, S. Natali, S. Nuzzo, M. Quattromini, A. Ranieri, G. Raso, F. Romano, F. Ruggieri, G. Selvaggi, L. Silvestris, P. Tempesta, G. Zito

*INFN Sezione di Bari e Dipartimento di Fisica dell' Università, 70126 Bari, Italy*

Y. Gao, H. Hu,<sup>21</sup> D. Huang, X. Huang, J. Lin, J. Lou, C. Qiao,<sup>21</sup> T. Wang, Y. Xie, D. Xu, R. Xu, J. Zhang, W. Zhao

*Institute of High-Energy Physics, Academia Sinica, Beijing, The People's Republic of China<sup>9</sup>*

W.B. Atwood,<sup>2</sup> L.A.T. Bauerdick,<sup>25</sup> E. Blucher, G. Bonvicini, F. Bossi, J. Boudreau, T.H. Burnett,<sup>3</sup> H. Drevermann, R.W. Forty, R. Hagelberg, J. Harvey, S. Haywood, J. Hilgart, R. Jacobsen, B. Jost, J. Knobloch, E. Lançon, I. Lehraus, T. Lohse, A. Lusiani, M. Martinez, P. Mato, T. Mattison, H. Meinhard, S. Menary,<sup>26</sup> T. Meyer, A. Minten, R. Miquel, H.-G. Moser, J. Nash, P. Palazzi, J.A. Perlas, F. Ranjard, G. Redlinger, L. Rolandi,<sup>27</sup> A. Roth,<sup>28</sup> J. Rothberg,<sup>3</sup> T. Ruan,<sup>21,31</sup> M. Saich, D. Schlatter, M. Schmelling, F. Sefkow, W. Tejessy, H. Wachsmuth, W. Wiedenmann, T. Wildish, W. Witzeling, J. Wotschack

*European Laboratory for Particle Physics (CERN), 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland*

Z. Ajaltouni, F. Badaud, M. Bardadin-Otwinowska, A.M. Bencheikh, R. El Fellous, A. Falvard, P. Gay, C. Guicheney, P. Henrard, J. Jousset, B. Michel, J-C. Montret, D. Pallin, P. Perret, B. Pietrzyk, J. Proriot, F. Prulhière, G. Stimpfl

*Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire, Université Blaise Pascal, IN<sup>2</sup>P<sup>3</sup>-CNRS, Clermont-Ferrand, 63177 Aubière, France*

T. Fearnley, J.D. Hansen, J.R. Hansen, P.H. Hansen, R. Møllerud, B.S. Nilsson

*Niels Bohr Institute, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark<sup>10</sup>*

I. Efthymiopoulos, A. Kyriakis, E. Simopoulou, A. Vayaki,<sup>1</sup> K. Zachariadou

*Nuclear Research Center Demokritos (NRCD), Athens, Greece*

J. Badier, A. Blondel, G. Bonneaud, J.C. Brient, G. Fouque, A. Gamess, S. Orteu, A. Rosowsky, A. Rougé, M. Rumpf, R. Tanaka, H. Videau

*Laboratoire de Physique Nucléaire et des Hautes Energies, Ecole Polytechnique, IN<sup>2</sup>P<sup>3</sup>-CNRS, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France*

D.J. Candlin, M.I. Parsons, E. Veitch

*Department of Physics, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, United Kingdom<sup>11</sup>*

L. Moneta, G. Parrini

*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Firenze, INFN Sezione di Firenze, 50125 Firenze, Italy*

M. Corden, C. Georgiopoulos, M. Ikeda, J. Lannutti, D. Levinthal,<sup>16</sup> M. Mermikides<sup>1</sup>, L. Sawyer, S. Wasserbaech

*Supercomputer Computations Research Institute and Dept. of Physics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306, USA<sup>13,14,15</sup>*

A. Antonelli, R. Baldini, G. Bencivenni, G. Bologna,<sup>5</sup> P. Campana, G. Capon, F. Cerutti, V. Chiarella, B. D’Ettorre-Piazzoli,<sup>30</sup> G. Felici, P. Laurelli, G. Mannocchi,<sup>6</sup> F. Murtas, G.P. Murtas, L. Passalacqua, M. Pepe-Altarelli, P. Picchi<sup>5</sup>

*Laboratori Nazionali dell’INFN (LNF-INFN), 00044 Frascati, Italy*

B. Alton, O. Boyle, P. Colrain, I. ten Have, J.G. Lynch, W. Maitland, W.T. Morton, C. Raine, J.M. Scarr, K. Smith, A.S. Thompson, R.M. Turnbull

*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, United Kingdom<sup>11</sup>*

B. Brandl, O. Braun, R. Geiges, C. Geweniger, P. Hanke, V. Hepp, E.E. Kluge, Y. Maumary, A. Putzer, B. Rensch, A. Stahl, K. Tittel, M. Wunsch

*Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Universität Heidelberg, 6900 Heidelberg, Fed. Rep. of Germany<sup>17</sup>*

A.T. Belk, R. Beuselinck, D.M. Binnie, W. Cameron, M. Cattaneo, D.J. Colling, P.J. Dornan,<sup>1</sup> S. Dugeay, A.M. Greene, J.F. Hassard, N.M. Lieske, S.J. Patton, D.G. Payne, M.J. Phillips, J.K. Sedgbeer, I.R. Tomalin, A.G. Wright

*Department of Physics, Imperial College, London SW7 2BZ, United Kingdom<sup>11</sup>*

P. Girtler, D. Kuhn, G. Rudolph

*Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Innsbruck, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria<sup>19</sup>*

C.K. Bowdery, T.J. Brodbeck, A.J. Finch, F. Foster, G. Hughes, D. Jackson, N.R. Keemer, M. Nuttall, A. Patel, T. Sloan, S.W. Snow, E.P. Whelan

*Department of Physics, University of Lancaster, Lancaster LA1 4YB, United Kingdom<sup>11</sup>*

T. Barczewski, K. Kleinknecht, J. Raab, B. Renk, S. Roehn, H.-G. Sander, H. Schmidt, F. Steeg, S.M. Walther, B. Wolf

*Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, 6500 Mainz, Fed. Rep. of Germany<sup>17</sup>*

J.-J. Aubert, C. Benchouk, V. Bernard, A. Bonissent, J. Carr, P. Coyle, J. Drinkard, F. Etienne, S. Papalexou, P. Payre, Z. Qian, D. Rousseau, P. Schwemling, M. Talby

*Centre de Physique des Particules, Faculté des Sciences de Luminy, IN<sup>2</sup>P<sup>3</sup>-CNRS, 13288 Marseille, France*

S. Adlung, C. Bauer, W. Blum,<sup>1</sup> D. Brown, G. Cowan, B. Dehning, H. Dietl, F. Dydak,<sup>24</sup> M. Fernandez-Bosman, M. Frank, A.W. Halley, J. Lauber, G. Lütjens, G. Lutz, W. Männer, R. Richter, J. Schröder, A.S. Schwarz, R. Settles, H. Seywerd, U. Stierlin, U. Stiegler, R. St. Denis, M. Takashima,<sup>4</sup> J. Thomas,<sup>4</sup> G. Wolf

*Max-Planck-Institut für Physik und Astrophysik, Werner-Heisenberg-Institut für Physik, 8000 München, Fed. Rep. of Germany<sup>17</sup>*

V. Bertin, J. Boucrot, O. Callot, X. Chen, A. Cordier, M. Davier, J.-F. Grivaz, Ph. Heusse, P. Janot, D.W. Kim,<sup>20</sup> F. Le Diberder, J. Lefrançois,<sup>1</sup> A.-M. Lutz, M.-H. Schune, J.-J. Veillet, I. Videau, Z. Zhang, F. Zomer

*Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire, Université de Paris-Sud, IN<sup>2</sup>P<sup>3</sup>-CNRS, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France*

D. Abbaneo, S.R. Amendolia, G. Bagliesi, G. Batignani, L. Bosisio, U. Bottigli, C. Bradaschia, M. Carpinelli, M.A. Ciocci, R. Dell'Orso, I. Ferrante, F. Fidecaro,<sup>1</sup> L. Foà, E. Focardi, F. Forti, A. Giassi, M.A. Giorgi, F. Ligabue, E.B. Mannelli, P.S. Marrocchesi, A. Messineo, F. Palla, G. Rizzo, G. Sanguinetti, J. Steinberger, R. Tenchini, G. Tonelli, G. Triggiani, C. Vannini, A. Venturi, P.G. Verdini, J. Walsh

*Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università, INFN Sezione di Pisa, e Scuola Normale Superiore, 56010 Pisa, Italy*

J.M. Carter, M.G. Green, P.V. March, Ll.M. Mir, T. Medcalf, I.S. Quazi, J.A. Strong, L.R. West

*Department of Physics, Royal Holloway & Bedford New College, University of London, Surrey TW20 OEX, United Kingdom<sup>11</sup>*

D.R. Botterill, R.W. Clift, T.R. Edgecock, M. Edwards, S.M. Fisher, T.J. Jones, P.R. Norton, D.P. Salmon, J.C. Thompson

*Particle Physics Dept., Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon OX11 0QX, United Kingdom<sup>11</sup>*

B. Bloch-Devaux, P. Colas, W. Kozanecki, M.C. Lemaire, E. Locci, S. Loucatos, E. Monnier, P. Perez, F. Perrier, J. Rander, J.-F. Renardy, A. Roussarie, J.-P. Schuller, J. Schwindling, D. Si Mohand, B. Vallage

*Service de Physique des Particules, DAPNIA, CE-Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France<sup>18</sup>*

R.P. Johnson, A.M. Litke, G. Taylor, J. Wear

*Institute for Particle Physics, University of California at Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA<sup>34</sup>*

J.G. Ashman, W. Babbage, C.N. Booth, C. Buttar, R.E. Carney, S. Cartwright, F. Combley, F. Hatfield, P. Reeves, L.F. Thompson

*Department of Physics, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S3 7RH, United Kingdom<sup>11</sup>*

E. Barberio, S. Brandt, C. Grupen, L. Mirabito,<sup>29</sup> U. Schäfer

*Fachbereich Physik, Universität Siegen, 5900 Siegen, Fed. Rep. of Germany<sup>17</sup>*

G. Ganis,<sup>33</sup> G. Giannini, B. Gobbo, F. Ragusa<sup>23</sup>

*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Trieste e INFN Sezione di Trieste, 34127 Trieste, Italy*

L. Bellantoni, D. Cinabro,<sup>32</sup> J.S. Conway, D.F. Cowen,<sup>22</sup> Z. Feng, D.P.S. Ferguson, Y.S. Gao, J. Grahl, J.L. Harton, R.C. Jared,<sup>7</sup> B.W. LeClaire, C. Lishka, Y.B. Pan, J.R. Pater, J-F. Puztaszeri, Y. Saadi, V. Sharma, M. Schmitt, Z.H. Shi, Y.H. Tang, A.M. Walsh, F.V. Weber, M.H. Whitney, Sau Lan Wu, X. Wu, G. Zobernig

*Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA<sup>12</sup>*

---

‡Deceased.

<sup>1</sup>Now at CERN, PPE Division, 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland.

<sup>2</sup>Permanent address: SLAC, Stanford, CA 94309, USA

<sup>3</sup>Permanent address: University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA.

<sup>4</sup>Now at SSCL, Dallas, TX, U.S.A.

<sup>5</sup>Also Istituto di Fisica Generale, Università di Torino, Torino, Italy.

<sup>6</sup>Also Istituto di Cosmo-Geofisica del C.N.R., Torino, Italy.

<sup>7</sup>Permanent address: LBL, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA.

<sup>8</sup>Supported by CICYT, Spain.

<sup>9</sup>Supported by the National Science Foundation of China.

<sup>10</sup>Supported by the Danish Natural Science Research Council.

<sup>11</sup>Supported by the UK Science and Engineering Research Council.

<sup>12</sup>Supported by the US Department of Energy, contract DE-AC02-76ER00881.

<sup>13</sup>Supported by the US Department of Energy, contract DE-FG05-87ER40319.

<sup>14</sup>Supported by the NSF, contract PHY-8451274.

<sup>15</sup>Supported by the US Department of Energy, contract DE-FC05-85ER250000.

<sup>16</sup>Supported by SLOAN fellowship, contract BR 2703.

<sup>17</sup>Supported by the Bundesministerium für Forschung und Technologie, Fed. Rep. of Germany.

<sup>18</sup>Supported by the Direction des Sciences de la Matière, C.E.A.

<sup>19</sup>Supported by Fonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung, Austria.

<sup>20</sup>Supported by the Korean Science and Engineering Foundation and Ministry of Education.

<sup>21</sup>Supported by the World Laboratory.

<sup>22</sup>Now at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA.

<sup>23</sup>Now at Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, Milano, Italy.

<sup>24</sup>Also at CERN, PPE Division, 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland.

<sup>25</sup>Now at DESY, Hamburg, Germany.

<sup>26</sup>Now at University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA.

<sup>27</sup>Also at Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy.

<sup>28</sup>Now at Lufthansa, Hamburg, Germany.

<sup>29</sup>Now at Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, 69622 Villeurbanne, France.

<sup>30</sup>Also at Università di Napoli, Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Napoli, Italy.

<sup>31</sup>On leave of absence from IHEP, Beijing, The People's Republic of China.

<sup>32</sup>Now at Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, U.S.A.

<sup>33</sup>Supported by the Consorzio per lo Sviluppo dell'Area di Ricerca, Trieste, Italy.

<sup>34</sup>Supported by the US Department of Energy, grant DE-FG03-92ER40689.

# Introduction

In the recent past, significant progress has been made in the study of the spectroscopy and weak decay dynamics of the  $B^0$  and  $B^+$  mesons [1]. The experimental information on the production and decay of the  $B_s$  and the  $\Lambda_b$  hadrons, however, is relatively modest [2, 3, 4].  $Z$  decays provide an abundant source of a variety of  $b$  hadrons with a large boost, but to date only inclusive  $b$  samples have been used to measure  $B^0 - \bar{B}^0$  mixing and the inclusive  $b$  lifetime. It is of clear interest to isolate a sample of  $B_s$  and  $\Lambda_b$  decays as a first step towards measuring their production and decay properties.

Previous letters [4] presented evidence for  $b$  baryons in  $Z$  decays via correlation between a  $\Lambda$  and a high transverse momentum prompt lepton. In this letter, an observation of the semileptonic decays of the  $B_s$  and  $\Lambda_b$ <sup>1</sup> hadrons via a study of opposite sign  $D_s^- \ell^+$  and  $\Lambda_c^+ \ell^-$  combinations, respectively, is reported. This study is based on a sample of 450,000 hadronic  $Z$  decays recorded with the ALEPH detector at centre-of-mass energies near 91.2 GeV during the 1990 and 1991 running of LEP.

As a result of the hard fragmentation of  $b$  hadrons, the decay products of the semileptonic decays of  $b$  hadrons emerge with high momenta. Due to the large mass of the  $b$  hadrons, their semileptonic decays are characterized by the large invariant mass of the charm hadron-lepton system. These two features of the  $b$  decay are used to distinguish the  $D_s^- \ell^+$  and  $\Lambda_c^+ \ell^-$  combinations that result from the semileptonic decays of the  $B_s$  and  $\Lambda_b$ , respectively, from other possible sources of such combinations. Throughout this paper charge conjugate reactions are implied, and unless stated otherwise, “B” and “D” refer to any weakly decaying beauty and charm mesons, respectively. In this letter a reference to a lepton always implies an electron or a muon and all branching ratios quoted are summed over these two particles.

## The ALEPH Detector

The ALEPH detector has been described in detail elsewhere [7]. Charged tracks are measured over the range  $|\cos \theta| < 0.95$ , where  $\theta$  is the polar angle, by an inner cylindrical drift chamber (ITC) and a large cylindrical time projection chamber (TPC). These chambers are immersed in a magnetic field of 1.5 Tesla and together measure the momentum of charged particles with a resolution of  $\delta p/p = 0.0008p(\text{GeV})^{-1} \oplus 0.003$  [7, 8]. During the 1991 data-taking period, a double-sided silicon vertex detector, consisting of 96 silicon wafers arranged in two concentric cylinders with 6.4 and 11.5 cm average radii, was

---

<sup>1</sup>The  $\Lambda_b$  is expected to be the lightest [5, 6] and most copiously produced  $b$  baryon. The  $\Sigma_b$  is expected to be heavier than the  $\Lambda_b$  by more than a pion mass; consequently it is expected to decay to the  $\Lambda_b$ . This study is also sensitive to semileptonic decays of the  $\Xi_b$  and  $\Omega_b$  involving a  $\Lambda_c^+$  in the final state. However, since the  $\Xi_b$  and  $\Omega_b$  contain one or more strange quark, their production rates compared to that of the  $\Lambda_b$  are expected to be suppressed.

commissioned. It covers a solid angle  $|\cos\theta| < 0.76$  and provides two three-dimensional measurements of charged tracks with a resolution of approximately  $15\mu m$ . The TPC provides up to 330 measurements of the specific ionization ( $dE/dx$ ) of each charged track. For electrons in hadronic events, the  $dE/dx$  resolution is 4.6% for 330 ionization samples. The electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL), which surrounds the TPC and is inside the coil of the superconducting solenoid, is used to measure electromagnetic energy and, together with the TPC, to identify electrons. The hadron calorimeter (HCAL) is composed of the iron of the magnet return yoke interleaved with 23 layers of streamer tubes, and is surrounded by the muon chambers, an additional two layers of streamer tubes that cover the same angular range as the HCAL. The muon chambers are read out by cathode strips both parallel and perpendicular to the tubes. Therefore each layer provides a three-dimensional coordinate for charged tracks which penetrate the 7.5 interaction lengths of material between the interaction point and the muon chambers.

The selection of hadronic events is based on charged tracks and has been described elsewhere [9]. Leptons are identified in the ALEPH detector by matching a charged track measured in the TPC and ITC with either an energy deposit consistent with an electron in the ECAL, or a pattern of hits in the HCAL and muon chambers consistent with being from a muon. In addition, the specific ionization of the electron candidate in the TPC is required to be within 2.5 standard deviations of the hypothesis provided at least 50 ionization samples are available. For momenta greater than 3 GeV, the electron identification efficiency is about 72% and the hadron misidentification probability varies with momentum from 0.8% to 0.1%. Muons with momenta greater than 3 GeV have an average identification efficiency of 78% and the hadron fake probability is typically 0.5%. The details of lepton identification have been discussed in previous publications [10, 11].

## The Decay $B_s \rightarrow D_s^- X \ell^+ \nu$

While the semileptonic decay  $B_s \rightarrow D_s^- X \ell^+ \nu$  leads to a  $D_s^- \ell^+$  combination on the same side of an event, there are other processes which can also result in  $D_s^- \ell^+$  combinations. The four sources of  $D_s^- \ell^+$  combinations in  $Z$  decays are listed below:

$$B_s \rightarrow D_s^- X \ell^+ \nu \tag{1}$$

$$\overline{B} \rightarrow D_s^- DX, \quad D \rightarrow X \ell^+ \nu \tag{2}$$

$$B \rightarrow D_s^- \text{“}K\text{”} W^{*+}, \quad W^{*+} \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu \tag{3}$$

$$\text{Accidental Correlations.} \tag{4}$$

The first three processes are illustrated in Fig. 1. The first process represents the signal of interest while processes (2)–(4) constitute the background in this analysis; their production rates are discussed in the following paragraphs.






Figure 1: Processes leading to  $D_s^- \ell^+$  combinations.

The features of  $D_s$  production in the decay of  $B^-, \bar{B}^0$  mesons have been studied [12, 13, 14, 15] near the  $\Upsilon(4S)$  resonance. The inclusive rate  $B \rightarrow D_s X$  is  $11.1 \pm 2.6\%$ .<sup>2</sup> The  $D_s$  production rate in  $B^-, \bar{B}^0$  decay is consistent with the theoretical expectation [17, 18, 19, 20] that all  $D_s$  are produced during the hadronization of  $W^- \rightarrow \bar{c}s$ . Attributing the entire  $D_s$  meson production rate in  $B^-, \bar{B}^0$  decay to two-body or multi-body double-charm decay gives a product branching ratio for the process  $(\bar{B} \rightarrow D_s^- \text{“}D\text{”} X)(\text{“}D\text{”} \rightarrow X \ell^+ \nu)$  of  $2.2 \pm 0.5\%$ .<sup>3</sup>

To date, there exists no evidence for the process  $B \rightarrow D_s^- \text{“}K\text{”} W^{*+}$ . While such a process may occur, its rate is expected to be suppressed due to the required  $s\bar{s}$  production from the sea. The semileptonic decays of  $B^-, \bar{B}^0$  mesons have been measured [21, 22] and are dominated by three-body decays. These measurements imply that the four-body semileptonic decay of  $B$  mesons is less than 7.8% at 95% confidence level. Assuming an  $s\bar{s}$  production probability of 0.14 constrains the rate for the process  $B \rightarrow D_s^- \text{“}K\text{”} \ell^+ \nu$  to be less than 1.1% at 95% confidence level. A less model-dependent 90% confidence level upper limit of  $Br(B \rightarrow D_s^- \text{“}K\text{”} W^{*+}) \leq 0.32 \cdot Br(B \rightarrow D_s X)$  has been set by a study [23] of  $D_s^- \ell^-$  correlations at the  $\Upsilon(4S)$  where the signature of a negative lepton from a  $\bar{B}^0(B^-)$  ensures that the  $D_s^-$  parent was a  $B^0(B^+)$ . Assuming that the rate for the virtual  $W^{*+} \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu$  is <sup>4</sup> 40%, a 90% confidence level upper limit on the rate for the process  $B \rightarrow D_s^- \text{“}K\text{”} \ell^+ \nu$  of 1.4% is obtained.

The fourth process represents the possibility that a  $D_s^-$  produced in the decay of  $b$  hadron or directly from hadronization of a charm quark pairs up with a misidentified hadron or non-prompt lepton. The contribution of the accidental correlations can be estimated from the measured hadron misidentification probability, the non-prompt lepton rate and the observed inclusive yield of  $D_s^-$ . Since these probabilities are very small, this contribution to the  $D_s^- \ell^+$  combinations is expected to be small and will be quantified later in this letter.

In order to differentiate among these sources of  $D_s^- \ell^+$  combinations and isolate a sample of semileptonic  $B_s$  decays, advantage is taken of certain kinematic features of processes (1)–(3). In analogy with the  $B^-, \bar{B}^0$  system, the semileptonic decays of the  $B_s$  are expected to be dominated by three-body decays. In the three-body semileptonic decay  $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)-} \ell^+ \nu$ , the  $D_s^-$  and the lepton emerge with high momentum, and the reconstructed invariant mass of the  $D_s^- \ell^+$  system is large, on average, since only a neutrino and sometimes a low-energy photon (from  $D_s^{*-}$ ) are missing. In contrast, process (2) is characterized by a much softer lepton momentum since it originates from the semileptonic  $D$  decay, and in addition the  $D_s^- \ell^+$  invariant mass from this process is relatively smaller. Such features are also true for the process  $B \rightarrow D_s^- \text{“}K\text{”} \ell^+ \nu$  which is a four-body decay.

<sup>2</sup>Here and throughout this paper  $Br(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = 2.7 \pm 0.7$  [16] has been used.

<sup>3</sup>“ $D$ ” here refers to an admixture of  $D^0$  and  $D^+$  and the appropriate fraction of these species is obtained from the observed occurrence of these mesons in two-body double-charm decays.

<sup>4</sup>This is calculated assuming that  $W^{*+} \rightarrow c\bar{s}$  is completely suppressed due to limited phase-space.

In this analysis,  $D_s^-$  were identified in the channels  $D_s^- \rightarrow \phi\pi^-$  and  $D_s^- \rightarrow K^{*0}K^-$ . The  $\phi$  and the  $K^{*0}$ , identified by their decays  $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$  and  $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ , were required to have momentum greater than 5.0 GeV in order to decrease the combinatorial background. Whenever TPC dE/dx information was available, the measured specific ionization of the kaon and the pion candidates were required to be within two standard deviations of the hypothesis. The resulting  $K^+K^-$  and  $K^+\pi^-$  invariant-mass spectra are shown in Fig. 2(a) and Fig. 2(b), respectively. In total,  $6022 \pm 321$   $\phi$  and  $55858 \pm 880$   $K^{*0}$  signal candidates were observed in the data sample, with masses and widths consistent with expectation from detector simulation and the natural widths of these resonances.

To reconstruct  $D_s^-$  candidates in the  $\phi\pi^-$  channel, all  $K^+K^-$  candidates within  $\pm 0.009$  GeV of the nominal  $\phi$  mass were taken as  $\phi$  candidates and were combined with all  $\pi^-$  candidates with momentum greater than 3.0 GeV. Similarly,  $D_s^-$  candidates were reconstructed in the  $K^{*0}K^-$  channel by pairing all  $K^+\pi^-$  candidates within  $\pm 0.05$  GeV of the  $K^{*0}$  mass with charged tracks, under the kaon hypothesis, with momentum greater than 3.0 GeV. The specific ionization of these kaon (“bachelor” kaon) candidates was always required to be available and within two standard deviations of the kaon hypothesis. This requirement rejects 60% of true pions in the momentum range of interest. In both decay modes, the three tracks forming the  $D_s^-$  were fitted to a common vertex in three dimensions. In the  $K^{*0}K^-$  channel, which suffers from large combinatorial background, the fitted vertex was required to be in front of the average fill-by-fill beam spot. In these decay modes of the  $D_s^-$ , the  $\phi$  ( $K^{*0}$ ) has zero helicity in the  $D_s^-$  rest frame; hence the angular distribution  $\theta_K$  of the  $K^+$  in the  $\phi$  ( $K^{*0}$ ) rest frame, with respect to the  $\pi^-$  ( $K^-$ ), is of the form  $\cos^2 \theta_K$ . In contrast, the combinatorial background has a flat distribution in  $|\cos \theta_K|$ . To suppress the combinatorial background, the  $D_s^-$  candidates were required to have  $|\cos \theta_K|$  greater than 0.4. Fig. 2(c) and (d) show the invariant-mass distributions of all  $\phi\pi^-$  and  $K^{*0}K^-$  candidates, respectively, with momenta greater than 8.0 GeV. A fit to these distributions with a Gaussian representing the signal and a second-order polynomial parameterizing the combinatorial background yielded  $240 \pm 38$  reconstructed  $D_s^-$  in the  $\phi\pi^-$  mode and  $170 \pm 45$  in the  $K^{*0}K^-$  mode.

These  $D_s^-$  candidates were then paired with electron and muon candidates with momenta greater than 3.0 GeV. The opening angle between the lepton and  $D_s^-$  candidates was required to be less than  $45^\circ$  to ensure that they came from the decay of the same b hadron. Furthermore, to be sensitive to semileptonic decay of  $B_s$  meson and minimize the contribution of processes (2) and (3) as well as the combinatorial background, the  $D_s^- \ell^+$  invariant-mass was required to be greater than 3.0 GeV. This requirement eliminates 62% of the contribution from process (2) and 53% from process (3), while retaining 92% of  $D_s^- \ell^+$  combinations from semileptonic decays of the  $B_s$ . To further reduce the combinatorial background in the  $K^{*0}K^-$  channel for the 1991 data sample, for which the vertex detector was operational, the fitted  $D_s^-$  vertex was required to be displaced by 0.08 cm transverse to the beam direction with respect to the average fill-by-fill beam spot.

The  $\phi\pi^-$  and  $K^{*0}K^-$  invariant-mass distributions for the  $D_s^- \ell^+$  combinations after




Figure 2: (a) The invariant-mass spectrum of  $K^+K^-$  combinations with momenta greater than 5.0 GeV. (b) The invariant-mass spectrum of  $K^+\pi^-$  candidates with momenta greater than 5.0 GeV. (c) The invariant-mass spectrum of  $\phi\pi^-$  combinations with momenta greater than 8.0 GeV and cuts described in the text. (d) The invariant-mass spectrum of  $K^{*0}K^-$  combinations with momenta greater than 8.0 GeV and cuts described in the text.

the requirements mentioned above are shown in Fig. 3(a) and Fig. 4(a). A clear signal at the  $D_s^-$  is evident in each case. These mass distributions were fitted with a Gaussian at the  $D_s^-$  mass and a flat background. The fitted  $D_s^-$  mass of  $1.966 \pm 0.003$  and r.m.s. width of  $0.008 \pm 0.001$  GeV for the  $\phi\pi^-$  mode and  $1.972 \pm 0.003$  and  $0.0078 \pm 0.001$  GeV for the  $K^{*0}K^-$  mode are in agreement with the expectation based on a Monte Carlo simulation of process (1). In the  $\phi\pi^-$  mode  $16.0 \pm 4.3$   $D_s^- \ell^+$  combinations were observed, and  $17.0 \pm 4.5$  combinations were observed in the  $K^{*0}K^-$  mode. From the known hadron-lepton misidentification probabilities, the contribution of the accidental combinations in the  $D_s^- \ell^+$  sample was estimated to be  $0.53 \pm 0.30$  and  $0.45 \pm 0.25$  for the  $\phi\pi^-$  and  $K^{*0}K^-$  modes, respectively. Figs. 3(b) and 4(b) show the mass distributions of  $\phi\pi^-$  and  $K^{*0}K^-$  candidates, respectively, forming the (wrong-sign)  $D_s^- \ell^-$  combinations. No enhancement is observed at the  $D_s^-$  mass, consistent with the low level of estimated accidental background. The background subtracted excess of  $D_s^- \ell^+$  is  $15.5 \pm 4.3$  in the  $\phi\pi^-$  mode and  $16.5 \pm 4.5$  in the  $K^{*0}K^-$  mode.

To establish that the observed  $D_s^- \ell^+$  combinations come from the semileptonic decay of the  $B_s$ , the contributions from background processes (2) and (3) were estimated. In the  $\phi\pi^-$  channel, the detection efficiency for the  $D_s^- \ell^+$  combinations, passing the requirements enumerated earlier in the text, from process (2) is  $1.52 \pm 0.15\%$ , and for process (3), using a simulation of the decay  $B \rightarrow D_s^- \text{“}K\text{”} \ell^+ \nu$ , is  $2.84 \pm 0.39\%$ . The background from process (2) is well known and expected; consequently its contribution of  $1.8 \pm 0.6$  to the observed  $D_s^- \ell^+$  combinations was subtracted. The contribution of process (3) could be as large as  $2.0 \pm 0.6$  based on the 90% confidence level upper limit of  $\text{Br}(B \rightarrow D_s^- \text{“}K\text{”} W^{*+}) \leq 0.32 \cdot \text{Br}(B \rightarrow D_s^- X)$  cited earlier in the text. Since the existence of this process is, at present, hypothetical, the possible contribution from this process was considered as a systematic error on the measurement of the  $\text{Br}(B_s \rightarrow D_s^- X \ell^+ \nu)$ . The resulting number of  $D_s^- \ell^+$  combinations from the process  $B_s \rightarrow D_s^- X \ell^+ \nu$  in this channel is  $13.7 \pm 4.4^{+0.0}_{-2.0}$ .

In the  $D_s^- \rightarrow K^{*0}K^-$  channel, the estimated contribution of process (2) is  $1.6 \pm 0.6$  and the 90% confidence level upper limit for process (3) is  $1.8 \pm 0.6$   $D_s^- \ell^+$  combinations. In addition, a possible background contribution to the  $D_s^- \ell^+$  combinations for this channel comes from the decay  $B \rightarrow D^- X \ell^+ \nu$  followed by  $D^- \rightarrow K^{*0}\pi^-$ . Misinterpretation of the “bachelor” pion as a kaon produces a sharp enhancement (reflection)<sup>5</sup> near the  $D_s^-$  mass when the pion carries a large fraction of the  $D_s^-$  momentum. In general the “reflected” mass is quite broad, but about 37% of the  $D^- \rightarrow K^{*0}\pi^-$  produced in the semileptonic decays of b hadrons can contribute to an enhancement near ( $\pm 0.024$  GeV) the  $D_s^-$  mass. As previously stated, requiring the dE/dx of the “bachelor” kaon to be within two standard deviations of the kaon hypothesis eliminates 60% of the remaining  $D^-$  background.

The  $\pi$ -K dE/dx separation was also used to obtain an estimate of the  $D^- \ell^+$  contamination from data. The invariant-mass distribution of all  $K^{*0}K^-$  combinations where

---

<sup>5</sup>The reflection is symmetric. If the kaon from the decay  $D_s^- \rightarrow K^{*0}K^-$  were misinterpreted as a pion, a similar enhancement would occur near the  $D^-$  mass.




Figure 3: The invariant-mass spectrum of the  $\phi\pi^-$  combinations is shown in (a) for the “right sign”  $D_s^-\ell^+$  and (b) the “wrong sign”  $D_s^-\ell^-$  combinations. The mass range near the nominal  $D^-$  mass was excluded from the fit.




Figure 4: The invariant-mass spectrum of the  $K^{*0}K^-$  combinations is shown in (a) for the “right sign”  $D_s^- \ell^+$  and the (b) “wrong sign”  $D_s^- \ell^-$  combinations. The mass range near the nominal  $D^-$  mass was excluded from the fit.

the specific ionization of the  $K^-$  candidate was consistent with the pion hypothesis but inconsistent (at two standard deviations) with the kaon hypothesis was examined. No enhancement was observed at the  $D_s^-$  mass. A fit to this distribution yielded  $2.2 \pm 3.0$  combinations, which translates into a  $D^- \ell^+$  contribution to the observed  $D_s^- \ell^+$  sample of  $1.8 \pm 2.4$ , which was subtracted. An estimate of this background contribution from Monte Carlo simulation was  $1.0 \pm 0.8$ , consistent with the estimate from data. Hence, in this channel, the number of  $D_s^- \ell^+$  combinations originating from the process  $B_s \rightarrow D_s^- X \ell^+ \nu$  is  $13.1 \pm 5.5 \pm_{-1.8}^0$ .

Assuming that the semileptonic decay of the  $B_s$  is dominated by the three-body decays  $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)-} \ell^+ \nu$ , reconstruction efficiencies of  $6.71 \pm 0.55\%$  and  $5.4 \pm 0.54 \pm 0.6\%$  were estimated for the  $\phi\pi^-$  and the  $K^{*0}K^-$  channels, respectively, using the JETSET 7.2 Monte Carlo program [24] and ALEPH detector simulation. In the  $K^{*0}K^-$  channel, the error on the reconstruction efficiency of 0.6% corresponds to a  ${}_{-20}^{+50}\%$  variation in the  $B_s$  lifetime from the average b lifetime. With these efficiencies and the measured [9, 25] rate for the process  $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ , the observed yields translate into product branching ratios of  $Br(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s) \cdot Br(B_s \rightarrow D_s^- X \ell^+ \nu) = 0.038 \pm 0.0120 \pm 0.010 \pm_{-0.005}^{+0.000}$  for the  $\phi\pi^-$  channel and  $Br(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s) \cdot Br(B_s \rightarrow D_s^- X \ell^+ \nu) = 0.047 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.013 \pm_{-0.006}^{+0.000}$  for the  $K^{*0}K^-$  channel. In each case, the first error is statistical, the second is the systematic error largely due to the poor knowledge of  $D_s^-$  branching ratios and the third error reflects the possible overestimation due to the process  $B \rightarrow D_s^- "K" \ell^+ \nu$ . The weighted average of these two measurements, taking into account the common systematic errors, is  $Br(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s) \cdot Br(B_s \rightarrow D_s^- X \ell^+ \nu) = 0.040 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.010 \pm_{-0.006}^{+0.000}$ .

## The Decay $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ X \ell^- \bar{\nu}$

Previous letters have [4] reported evidence for semileptonic decay of b baryons via an inclusive study of the  $\Lambda \ell^-$  correlations in Z decays. In those analysis only the  $\Lambda$  from the decay of the charm baryon and the lepton from the b-baryon decay were reconstructed. In the following analysis a more direct study of the  $\Lambda_b$  semileptonic decay with a completely reconstructed  $\Lambda_c^+$  is reported.

The five sources of  $\Lambda_c^+ \ell^-$  combinations in Z decays are :

$$\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ X \ell^- \bar{\nu} \quad (5)$$

$$B \rightarrow \Lambda_c^- X \ell^+ \nu \quad (6)$$

$$B \rightarrow \Lambda_c^- D_s^+ X, D_s^+ \rightarrow X \ell^+ \nu \quad (7)$$

$$\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ D_s^-, D_s^- \rightarrow X \ell^- \bar{\nu} \quad (8)$$

$$\text{Accidental Correlations.} \quad (9)$$

Unlike process (5), which is the signal of interest in this analysis,  $\Lambda_c^+ \ell^-$  combinations from processes (6)-(8) are characterized by relatively low invariant masses, largely (for



processes (6) and (7)) as a consequence of the baryon-number conservation in the final state and also (for process (8)) due to missing energy in the cascade decay of the  $D_s^+$ . Furthermore, the leptons from processes (6)-(8) have a soft momentum spectrum, either due to phase-space suppression or due to their origin in the cascade decay  $b \rightarrow c \rightarrow l$ .

No experimental evidence exists for processes (6) and (7). The ARGUS collaboration has searched for the process  $\bar{B} \rightarrow pe^-X$  and placed an upper limit of  $\text{Br}(\bar{B} \rightarrow pe^-X) \leq 0.16\%$  at 90% confidence level [26]. Assuming that at least half of the  $\Lambda_c^+$  decays produce a proton in the final state, this implies that the process  $\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ X \ell^- \bar{\nu}$  occurs with a rate less than 0.32% at 90% confidence level. The rates for the processes (7) and (8) are estimated to be less than 0.1% and 1.2%, respectively, using the measured rates [28, 16] for  $B \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ X$  and  $D_s^+ \rightarrow X \ell^+ \nu$ .

The  $\Lambda_c^+$  candidates were identified in this analysis via the decay  $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$ . This is the most prolific observed decay mode of the  $\Lambda_c^+$  but offers very few favourable kinematic features. To suppress the combinatorial background, the proton, the kaon and the pion candidates, with the appropriate charge combination, were required to have momenta greater than 4, 2 and 1 GeV, respectively. The specific ionization in the TPC of the proton candidate was required to be inconsistent (2 standard deviations) with that expected for a pion of similar momentum. This requirement removes approximately 96% of all pion tracks from consideration as a proton while retaining 50% of all protons. The kaon candidate was required to have its specific ionization within 3 standard deviations of the expectation for a kaon when  $dE/dx$  information was available for the track. The three tracks were fitted to a common vertex in three dimensions, which was required to be in front of the average fill-by-fill beam spot.

Surviving  $\Lambda_c^+$  candidates with momenta greater than 8.0 GeV were then paired with identified leptons, with momenta greater than 3 GeV, within a  $45^\circ$  cone of the  $\Lambda_c^+$  direction. The momentum sum of the  $\Lambda_c^+ \ell$  system was required to be greater than 20 GeV and the invariant mass of the pair was required to exceed 3.5 GeV. These requirements remove approximately 90% of all surviving combinatorial background and practically eliminate the  $\Lambda_c^+ \ell^-$  contributions from processes (6)-(8). From a JETSET 7.2 based simulation, less than 1.2  $\Lambda_c^+ \ell^-$  combinations were expected from processes (6)-(8) in the data sample.

The invariant-mass distribution of the  $pK^-\pi^+$  candidates for the surviving  $\Lambda_c^+ \ell^-$  combinations is displayed in Figure 5(a). A fit to this mass distribution using a Gaussian to parameterize the signal and a flat background yielded  $21.0 \pm 5.0$  events. The contribution of the accidental combinations to this sample was estimated to be  $0.4 \pm 0.3$ . The observed  $\Lambda_c^+$  mass of  $2.283 \pm 0.002$  and resolution of  $0.008 \pm 0.001$  GeV are consistent with the expectation. The  $pK^-\pi^+$  invariant-mass distribution for the ‘‘wrong-sign’’  $\Lambda_c^+ \ell^+$  combinations is shown in Figure 5(b).

From a simulation of the three-body decay  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \ell^- \bar{\nu}$  using the JETSET prescription, a reconstruction efficiency for this process of  $8.0 \pm 1.0 \pm 1.0\%$  was obtained. The second error on the efficiency estimate reflects the possible consequences of  $\Lambda_b$  polarization [27] and the uncertainty in the modeling of this process. Using the measured partial width




Figure 5: The invariant-mass spectrum of the  $pK^-\pi^+$  combinations is shown in (a) for the “right sign”  $\Lambda_c^+\ell^-$  and (b) the “wrong sign”  $\Lambda_c^+\ell^+$  combinations.

of the process  $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ , the measured rate [28]  $\text{Br}(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+) = 4.3 \pm 1.1\%$  and the efficiency quoted above, a product branching ratio of  $\text{Br}(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b) \cdot \text{Br}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ X \ell \bar{\nu}) = 0.030 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.009$  was obtained. The first error is statistical and the second error largely reflects the error on the measurement of the rate for the process  $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$  and model dependence in the estimation of the reconstruction efficiency. This result is consistent with the previous measurements [4] assuming that the  $\Lambda_b$  is the dominantly produced b baryon at the  $Z$  resonance.

## Conclusion

In 450,000 hadronic  $Z$  decays recorded with the ALEPH detector at LEP, the yields of  $D_s^-\ell^+$  and  $\Lambda_c^+\ell^-$  combinations have been measured. These events provide evidence for the decays  $B_s \rightarrow D_s^- X \ell^+ \nu$  and  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ X \ell^- \bar{\nu}$ .

$16.0 \pm 4.3$   $D_s^-\ell^+$  combinations in the  $D_s^- \rightarrow \phi\pi^-$  channel and  $17.0 \pm 4.5$  combinations in the  $D_s^- \rightarrow K^{*0}K^-$  channel were observed. The probability that these  $D_s^-\ell^+$  combinations are explained by non- $B_s$  background is less than 0.01%. The product branching ratio for semileptonic  $B_s$  decay is :

$$\text{Br}(\bar{b} \rightarrow B_s) \cdot \text{Br}(B_s \rightarrow D_s^- X \ell^+ \nu) = 0.040 \pm 0.011 \begin{matrix} +0.010 \\ -0.012 \end{matrix}.$$

Similar results using the  $D_s^- \rightarrow \phi\pi^-$  and  $K^{*0}K^-$  decay modes were presented by the DELPHI collaboration at the 1992 LaThuile and Moriond Spring meetings [29, 30]. These measurements at LEP represent clear evidence for the existence of the  $B_s$  meson and provide the first measurement of its semileptonic decay rate.

$21.0 \pm 5.0$   $\Lambda_c^+\ell^-$  combinations were observed in the  $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$  channel. This is the first observation of semileptonic  $\Lambda_b$  decay with exclusively reconstructed charm baryons. The product branching ratio for semileptonic  $\Lambda_b$  decay is :

$$\text{Br}(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b) \cdot \text{Br}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ X \ell^- \bar{\nu}) = 0.030 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.009.$$

## Acknowledgements

We thank our colleagues at the SL Division. Thanks are also due to the many engineering and technical personnel at CERN and at the home institutes for their contributions toward the performance of ALEPH. Those of us not from member states thank CERN for its hospitality.

## References

- [1] For a review, see for example, K. Berkelman and S. Stone, *Decays of B Mesons*, CLNS 91-1044, 1991. To be published in Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science.
- [2] The observed rate of like sign lepton pairs in  $p\bar{p}$  and  $e^+e^-$  collisions is circumstantial evidence for the existence of  $B_s$  meson. For a review and original references, see, for example, H. Schroder,  *$B\bar{B}$  Mixing*, DESY 91-139, 1991. To be published in "B Decays", edited by S. Stone. Published by World Scientific, Singapore.
- [3] M. Basile *et al.*, Lett. Nuovo Cimento **31** (1981) 97.  
D. Drijard *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **108** (1982) 361.  
M. Basile *et al.*, Nuovo Cimento A **68** (1982) 289.  
M.W. Arenton *et al.*, Nuclear Physics B **274** (1986) 707.  
G. Bari *et al.*, Preprint DFUB-91/5 (1991), Submitted to Nuovo Cimento A.  
C. Albajar *et al.* (UA1 Collab.), CERN-PPE-91-202 (1991), To be published in Phys. Lett. B.
- [4] D. Decamp, *et al.* (ALEPH Collab.), CERN-PPE-91-229 (1991), to be published in Phys. Lett. B.  
A similar study has been reported by P.D. Acton, *et al.* (OPAL Collab.), CERN-PPE-92-34 (1992), to be published in Phys. Lett. B.
- [5] A. Martin and J.-M. Richard, Phys. Lett. B **185** (1987) 426.
- [6] W. Kwong and J. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D **44** (1991) 212.
- [7] D. Decamp *et al.* (ALEPH Collab.), Nucl. Inst. and Meth. **A294** (1990) 121.
- [8] W.B. Atwood, *et al.*, Nucl. Inst. and Meth. **A2306** (1991) 446.
- [9] D. Decamp, *et al.* (ALEPH Collab.), Z. Phys. C **53** (1992) 1.
- [10] D. Decamp, *et al.* (ALEPH Collab.), Phys. Lett. B **244** (1990) 551.
- [11] D. Decamp, *et al.* (ALEPH Collab.), Phys. Lett. B **263** (1991) 325.
- [12] P. Haas, *et al.* (CLEO Collab.), Phys. Rev. Lett. **56** (1986) 2781.
- [13] H. Albrecht, *et al.* (ARGUS Collab.), Phys. Lett. B **187** (1987) 425.
- [14] D. Bortoletto, *et al.* (CLEO Collab.), Phys. Rev. Lett. **64** (1990) 2117.
- [15] H. Albrecht, *et al.* (ARGUS Collab.), DESY 91-121, 1991. To be published in Phys. Lett. B
- [16] Particle Data Group, Phys. Lett. B **239** (1990) 1.

- [17] M. Suzuki, Phys. Lett. B **142** (1984) 207 and M. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. D **31** (1985) 1158.
- [18] F. Hussain and M.D. Scadron, Phys. Rev. D **30** (1984) 1492.
- [19] M. Bauer, B. Stech and M. Wirbel, Z. Phys. C **34** (1987) 103.
- [20] H. Grotch and K. Schilcher, Phys. Rev. D **37** (1989) 137.
- [21] R. Fulton *et al.* (CLEO Collab.), Phys. Rev. D **43** (1991) 651.
- [22] K. Reim (ARGUS Collab.), Proceedings of the XXVII Rencontres de Moriond, QCD and High Energy Interactions, March 25, 1992. Edited by J. Tran Thanh Van. To be published by Editions Frontieres, France.
- [23] V. Sharma, *D<sub>s</sub> Production in B decays*, Ph.D Thesis. Syracuse University (1989).
- [24] T. Sjöstrand and M. Bengtsson, Comp. Phys. Com. **46**, (1987) 43.
- [25] B. Adeva, *et al.* (L3 Collab.), Phys. Lett. B **261** (1991) 177.
- [26] H. Albrecht *et al.*, DESY 90-088, To be published in Phys. Lett. B.
- [27] T. Mannel and G.A. Shuler, DESY 91-095, 1991.
- [28] G. Crawford *et al.*, CLNS 91/1066 and the references therein. To be published in Phys. Rev. D.
- [29] W. Venus, Proceedings of the Les Rencontres de Physique De La Vallée D'Aoste, March 11, 1992. Edited by M. Greco. To be published by Editions Frontieres, France.
- [30] J. Kroll, Proceedings of the XXVII Rencontres de Moriond, Electroweak Interactions, March 15, 1992. Edited by J. Tran Thanh Van. To be published by Editions Frontieres, France.