PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Measurement of q_T -weighted TSAs in 2015 COMPASS Drell–Yan data

To cite this article: Jan Matoušek and COMPASS Collaboration 2017 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **938** 012012

View the [article online](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/938/1/012012) for updates and enhancements.

Related content

- [Global extraction of partonic transverse](http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/938/1/012041) [momentum distributions from semi](http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/938/1/012041)[inclusive deep inelastic scattering and](http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/938/1/012041) [Drell-Yan data](http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/938/1/012041) Filippo Delcarro, Alessandro Bacchetta, Cristian Pisano et al.
- [Future Drell-Yan measurements in](http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/295/1/012163) [COMPASS](http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/295/1/012163) Catarina Quintans and the COMPASS Collaboration
- [Opportunities with Drell-Yan Scattering at](http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/295/1/012011) **[Fermilab](http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/295/1/012011) Paul E Reimer and the Fermilab SeaQuest** Collaboration -

Measurement of q_T -weighted TSAs in 2015 COMPASS Drell–Yan data

Jan Matoušek, on Behalf of the COMPASS Collaboration

Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 180 00 Prague, Czech Republic and Trieste Section of INFN, 341 27 Trieste, Italy

E-mail: jan.matousek@cern.ch

Abstract. In the polarised Drell–Yan experiment at the COMPASS facility at CERN the beam of negatively-charged pions with $190 \,\text{GeV}/c$ momentum and intensity about 10^8 pions/s interacted with transversely polarised NH³ target. Muon pairs produced in Drell–Yan process (DY) were detected. Recently, the first ever Transverse Spin Asymmetries (TSAs) measurement in DY has been presented by COMPASS. A complementary analysis of the TSAs weighted by powers of the dimuon transverse momentum q_T are presented. In the Transverse Momentum Dependent (TMD) PDF formalism, the q_T -weighted TSAs can be written in terms of products of the TMD PDFs of two colliding hadrons, unlike the conventional TSAs, which are their convolutions over quarks transverse momenta. The results are compared in a straightforward way with the weighted Sivers asymmetry in the SIDIS process, released by COMPASS in 2016.

1. Introduction

The hadron structure can be described at leading twist by eight Transverse Momentum Dependent (TMD) Parton Distribution Functions (PDFs), which depend on the fraction x of the hadron momentum carried by the parton and the transverse component of the parton momentum $k_{\rm T}^2$. They have been probed in Semi-Inclusive Deep Inelastic Scattering (SIDIS), where the cross-section contains convolutions of the TMD PDFs and fragmentation functions [1].

Comparison of SIDIS with the Drell–Yan process (DY), giving access to convolutions of TMD PDFs of the two colliding hadrons [2], can provide a test of the PDFs universality. In fact, two PDFs (the Sivers and Boer–Mulders functions) are predicted to bear opposite signs w[hen](#page-4-0) extracted from SIDIS and DY [3]. Recently, COMPASS has done a pioneering measurement of the Transverse Spin Asymmetries (T[SA](#page-4-1)s) in DY [4]. A complementary analysis of the same data, using the formalism of transverse momentum q_T weighted TSAs [5, 6], is presented.

The convolutions of TMDs [ar](#page-4-2)e usually solved assuming a certain functional form of their dependence on $k_{\rm T}^2$ (e.g. Gaussian). In SIDIS, it ca[n b](#page-4-3)e avoided using the TSAs weighted with powers of the outgoing hadron transverse momentum P_T [7, 8, 9]. [Pre](#page-4-4)[lim](#page-4-5)inary P_T -weighted TSAs from HERMES [10] were used to estimate the q_T -weighted Sivers asymmetry expected in DY experiments [5]. Similarly, in Sec. 3 we use the recent COMPASS measurement of the P_T/z -weighted Sivers asymmetry [11] to get a projection, wh[ich](#page-4-6) [w](#page-4-7)[e c](#page-4-8)ompare with our DY results.

2. Transverse mo[m](#page-4-4)entum weighted [asy](#page-2-0)mmetries in Drell–Yan process

We study the Drell–Yan reaction [wit](#page-4-9)h $190 \,\text{GeV}/c$ pion beam and NH₃ target with the H nuclei transversely polarised $\pi^-p^{\uparrow} \to \mu^- \mu^+ X$. At Leading Order (LO), the reaction proceeds via

annihilation of a quark-antiquark pair into a virtual photon with momentum q , which decays into the dimuon. The LO cross-section contains five orthogonal modulations in ϕ and $\phi_{\rm S}$ – the azimuthal angles of the muon momentum in the Collins–Soper frame and of the target spin vector S_T in the target rest frame with z-axis along the beam momentum and x-axis along dimuon transverse momentum q_T , respectively [\[12\]](#page-4-10). The structure functions $F_{U,T}^X$ can be written as convolutions of TMD PDFs over the intrinsic transverse momenta of the two colliding partons $k_{\pi T}$ and k_{NT} [\[2\]](#page-4-1). When the structure functions are integrated over q_T with properly chosen weights, the convolutions can be disentangled^{[1](#page-2-1)}:

$$
\int d^2 \mathbf{q_T} F_U^1 = \frac{1}{3} \sum_q e_q^2 \left[f_{1,\pi}^{\bar{q}}(x_\pi) f_{1,N}^q(x_N) + f_{1,\pi}^q(x_\pi) f_{1,N}^{\bar{q}}(x_N) \right] \tag{1}
$$

$$
\int d^2 q_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{q_{\mathbf{T}}}{M_p} F_{\mathbf{T}}^{\sin \phi_{\mathbf{S}}} = -\frac{2}{3} \sum_q e_q^2 \left[f_{1,\pi}^{\bar{q}}(x_\pi) \, f_{1\mathbf{T},p}^{\perp(1)q}(x_N) + (q \leftrightarrow \bar{q}) \right],\tag{2}
$$

$$
\int d^2q_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{q_{\mathbf{T}}^3}{2M_{\pi}M_{\mathbf{p}}^2} F_{\mathbf{T}}^{\sin(2\phi+\phi_{\mathbf{S}})} = -\frac{2}{3} \sum_{q} e_q^2 \left[h_{1,\pi}^{\perp(1)\bar{q}}(x_{\pi}) h_{1\mathbf{T},\mathbf{p}}^{\perp(2)q}(x_N) + (q \leftrightarrow \bar{q}) \right],\tag{3}
$$

$$
\int d^2 q_T \frac{q_T}{M_\pi} F_T^{\sin(2\phi - \phi_S)} = -\frac{2}{3} \sum_q e_q^2 \left[h_{1,\pi}^{\perp(1)\bar{q}}(x_\pi) h_{1,p}^q(x_N) + (q \leftrightarrow \bar{q}) \right],\tag{4}
$$

where the sums run over quarks and antiquarks q; e_q are fractional electric charges; $M_{\pi,p}$ are the pion and proton masses; and $f^{(n)}$ or $h^{(n)}$ are the n-th $k_T²$ -moments of the TMD PDFs, $f^{(n)}(x) = \int d^2 \mathbf{k_T} \left[k_T^2/(2M^2) \right]^n f(x, k_T^2)$. We measure the q_T-weighted TSAs, defined as

$$
A_{\rm T}^{\sin\Phi W_{\Phi}} = \frac{\int d^2 \mathbf{q}_{\rm T} W_{\Phi} F_{\rm T}^{\sin\Phi}}{\int d^2 \mathbf{q}_{\rm T} F_{\rm U}^1}, \qquad \Phi = \phi_{\rm S}, 2\phi + \phi_{\rm S}, 2\phi - \phi_{\rm S}
$$
(5)

where W_{Φ} denotes the weights. The weighted TSAs are obtained by fit of the so-called modified double ratio $R(\Phi) \propto A_{\rm T}^{\sin \Phi W_{\Phi}} \sin \Phi$. The ratio is constructed from event counts and sums of event weights coming from two oppositely-polarised target cells and from two sub-periods divided by polarisation reversal to cancel the acceptance $a(\Phi)$. It is calculated using eight bins in Φ and one or three bins in four kinematic variables. As in the standard TSA analysis [\[4\]](#page-4-3) we use the dilution factor to correct the asymmetries for the target composition, so the TSAs refer to proton.

The data have been collected in 2015 in nine data-taking periods (each having two subperiods). The event sample is almost the same as in the TSA analysis [\[4\]](#page-4-3). The same invariant mass range $M \in [4.3, 8.5]$ GeV/ c^2 is used. The sharp cuts on q_T are replaced by cut on individual muon transverse momenta $l_T < 7 \,\text{GeV}/c$. About 39000 dimuons pass the event selection. We estimate the background to be at the level of up to 4% [\[4\]](#page-4-3). Several possible systematic effects have been investigated. The major contribution comes from the effect of variation of the datataking conditions within a given period, estimated by measurement of false asymmetries. They are calculated from events with sub-periods or target cells of origin changed in such a way that the physics asymmetries cancel. The combined systematic uncertainty is about 0.7 times the statistical one. In addition, there are normalisation uncertainties of about 5 % from the polarisation measurement and dilution factor calculation. The results are shown on Fig. [1,](#page-3-0) the q_T distribution on Fig. [2.](#page-4-11)

3. Transverse momentum weighted Sivers asymmetry in SIDIS and Drell–Yan

To get a projection for the expected q_T -weighted Sivers asymmetry in DY, we use the corresponding P_T/z -weighted asymmetry in SIDIS μ p^{$\uparrow \rightarrow \mu' hX$}, measured by COMPASS for

¹ We use the same Sivers function sign as in Ref. [\[11,](#page-4-9) [13\]](#page-4-12) and opposite to Ref. [\[1,](#page-4-0) [2\]](#page-4-1) and the Trento convention [\[14\]](#page-4-13).

Figure 1. The q_T -weighted TSAs. The systematic uncertainty is denoted by blue bands. Normalisation uncertainties of about 5% (from polarisation and dilution factor) are not shown.

positive and negative hadrons h with $z > 0.2$ [\[11\]](#page-4-9). It can be written as [\[9,](#page-4-8) [11\]](#page-4-9):

$$
A_{\text{UT},\text{T}}^{\sin(\phi_{\text{h}}-\phi_{\text{S}})\frac{P_{\text{T}}}{zM}}(x,z,Q^2) = \frac{\int d^2 P_{\text{T}} \frac{P_{\text{T}}}{zM} F_{\text{UT},\text{T}}^{\sin(\phi_{\text{h}}-\phi_{\text{S}})}}{\int d^2 P_{\text{T}} F_{\text{UU},\text{T}}^1} = 2 \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 f_{1\text{T},p}^{\perp(1)q}(x,Q^2) D_{1,q}^h(z,Q^2)}{\sum_q e_q^2 f_{1,p}^q(x,Q^2) D_{1,q}^h(z,Q^2)},\tag{6}
$$

where we use the standard SIDIS variables, F indicate the SIDIS structure functions [\[1\]](#page-4-0), and $D_{1,q}^h(z)$ is the fragmentation function of q into hadron h. In writing explicitly the asymmetry, we only consider u, d, and s quarks and the corresponding antiquarks q within the proton; we assume vanishing Sivers function of sea quarks; and, like in Ref. [\[13\]](#page-4-12), we integrate the fragmentation functions over the available range in z.

We take the unpolarised PDFs from the CTEQ 5D global fit [\[15\]](#page-4-14), implemented in the LHAPDF library [\[16\]](#page-4-15) and the charged hadron fragmentation functions from the DSS 07 LO global fit [\[17\]](#page-4-16). As in [\[13\]](#page-4-12), we use the collinear evolution of the PDFs and FFs, taken at the mean Q^2 at each x (plotted on Fig. [3\)](#page-4-17), as x and Q^2 are correlated. We parametrise the first $k_{\rm T}^2$ -moment of the Sivers function as $xf_{1\rm T}^{\perp(1)q}(x) = a_q x^{b_q} (1-x)^{c_q}$. The asymmetries for positive and negative hadrons in bins of x are simultaneously fitted (Fig. [4\)](#page-4-18).

To get the projection for the q_T -weighted asymmetry in DY, we use Eq. $(2, 5)$ $(2, 5)$ $(2, 5)$, we assume the change-of-sign prediction [\[3\]](#page-4-2) and valence quark dominance. The asymmetry simplifies to $A_{\rm T}^{\sin\phi_{\rm S}q_{\rm T}/M_{\rm p}}$ $\frac{\sin\phi_{\rm S}q_{\rm T}/M_{\rm p}}{\rm T}(x_N,Q^2)\approx 2f_{\rm 1T,p}^{\perp(1)\rm u}$ $\frac{d^2 L(1)u}{dt^2}$ $(x_N, Q^2)/f^u_{1,p}(x_N, Q^2)$. We identify the x_N with the Bjorken x from SIDIS and we use the same unpolarised PDF, taken at the mean Q^2 of the DY events used in the analysis (Fig. [3\)](#page-4-17). No evolution of $f_{1\text{T}_P}^{\perp(1)u}$ $1T_{,p}^{1(1)u}$ between the SIDIS and DY kinematics is considered. The result, compared with the measured asymmetries (Sec. [2\)](#page-1-0), is shown on Fig. [6.](#page-4-19) A projection for combined analysis of 2015 and 2018 data is shown as well, assuming the statistics in 2018 to be 1.5 times larger than in 2015. The 1σ error-bands account only for the uncertainty of the fit and the statistical errors of the experimental data. Variation of PDF and FF sets has been found to lead to differences of about 0.02.

Figure 2. Distribution of q_T in the selected Drell–Yan events.

Figure 3. Mean Q^2 of the events in the Drell–Yan analysis and SIDIS analysis [\[11\]](#page-4-9).

Figure 4. The weighted Sivers asym. in SIDIS [\[11\]](#page-4-9), fitted. Statistical errors only.

Figure 5. The Sivers PDF first $k_{\rm T}^2$ -moment as a function of x and $Q^2(x)$. The 1 σ errorbands account only for the uncertainty of the fit and the statistical errors of the data.

Figure 6. Weighted Sivers asymmetry in Drell–Yan from 2015 data and the projection from corresponding asymmetry in SIDIS. Only statistical errors are shown.

Figure 7. A projection for combined analysis of 2015 and 2018 data. The statistics in 2018 is assumed 1.5 times larger than in 2015. Only statistical errors are shown.

Acknowledgments

The author kindly acknowledges the support from Votruba–Blokhintsev programme and Ministry of Education, Youth and Science of Czech Republic grant LM2015058.

References

- [1] Bacchetta A et al. 2007 J. High Energy Phys. 02 093 (Preprint [arXiv:hep-ph/0611265\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0611265)
- [2] Arnold S, Metz A and Schlegel M 2009 Phys. Rev. D79 034005 (Preprint [arXiv:0809.2262 \[hep-ph\]\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/0809.2262)
- [3] Collins J C 2002 Phys. Lett. B536 43–48 (Preprint [arXiv:hep-ph/0204004\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0204004)
- [4] Aghasyan M et al. (COMPASS) 2017 Phys. Rev. Lett. 119 112002 (Preprint [arXiv:1704.00488 \[hep-ex\]\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/1704.00488)
- [5] Efremov A V et al. 2005 Phys. Lett. **B612** 233–244 (*Preprint* [arXiv:hep-ph/0412353\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0412353)
- [6] Sissakian A et al. 2006 Eur. Phys. J. C46 147–150 (Preprint [arXiv:hep-ph/0512095\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0512095)
- [7] Kotzinian A M and Mulders P J 1996 Phys. Rev. D54 1229–1232 (Preprint [arXiv:hep-ph/9511420\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9511420)
- [8] Kotzinian A M and Mulders P J 1997 Phys. Lett. B406 373–380 (Preprint [arXiv:hep-ph/9701330\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9701330)
- [9] Boer D and Mulders P J 1998 Phys. Rev. D57 5780–5786 (Preprint [arXiv:hep-ph/9711485\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9711485)
- [10] Gregor I M (HERMES) 2005 Acta Phys. Polon. B36 209–215
- [11] Bradamante F (COMPASS) 2017 Proceedings of the 22nd International Spin Symposium, Urbana-Champaign, USA, 25–30 September 2016 (Preprint [arXiv:1702.00621 \[hep-ex\]\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/1702.00621)
- [12] Gautheron F et al. (COMPASS) 2010 COMPASS-II Proposal CERN-SPSC-2010-014, SPSC-P-340 (Geneva: CERN) URL <http://cds.cern.ch/record/1265628>
- [13] Martin A, Bradamante F and Barone V 2017 Phys. Rev. D95 094024 (Preprint [arXiv:1701.08283 \[hep-ph\]\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/1701.08283)
- [14] Bacchetta A et al. 2004 Phys. Rev. D70 117504 (Preprint [arXiv:hep-ph/0410050\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0410050)
- [15] Lai H L et al. (CTEQ) 2000 Eur. Phys. J. C12 375–392 (Preprint [arXiv:hep-ph/9903282\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9903282)
- [16] Buckley A et al. 2015 Eur. Phys. J. **C75** 132 (Preprint [arXiv:1412.7420 \[hep-ph\]\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.7420)
- [17] de Florian D, Sassot R and Stratmann M 2007 Phys. Rev. D75 114010 (Preprint [arXiv:hep-ph/0703242\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0703242)