

Fiber Bragg Grating (FBG) sensors as flatness and mechanical stretching sensors

D. Abbaneo^r, M. Abbas^r, M. Abbrescia^b, A.A. Abdelalimⁱ, M. Abi Aklⁿ, O. Aboamer^h, D. Acosta^p, A. Ahmad^l, W. Ahmedⁱ, W. Ahmed^l, A. Aleksandrov^{ac}, R. Alyⁱ, P. Altieri^b, C. Asawatangtrakuldee^c, P. Aspell^r, Y. Assran^h, I. Awan^l, S. Bally^r, Y. Ban^c, S. Banerjee^u, V. Barashko^p, P. Barria^e, G. Bencze^g, N. Beni^k, L. Benussi^o, V. Bhopatkar^x, S. Bianco^o, J. Bos^r, O. Bouhaliⁿ, A. Braghieri^{aa}, S. Braibant^d, S. Buontempo^z, C. Calabria^b, M. Caponero^o, C. Caputo^b, F. Cassese^z, A. Castanedaⁿ, S. Cauwenbergh^s, F.R. Cavallo^d, A. Celik^l, M. Choi^{ag}, S. Choi^{ae}, J. Christiansen^r, A. Cimmino^s, S. Colafranceschi^r, A. Colaleo^b, A. Conde Garcia^f, S. Czellar^k, M.M. Dabrowski^f, G. De Lentdecker^e, R. De Oliveira^f, G. de Robertis^b, S. Dildick^{js}, B. Dorney^r, W. Elmetenaweeⁱ, G. Endroczi^g, F. Errico^b, A. Fenyvesi^k, S. Ferry^r, I. Furic^p, P. Giacomelli^d, J. Gilmore^j, V. Golovtsov^q, L. Guiducci^d, F. Guilloux^{ab}, A. Gutierrez^m, R.M. Hadjiiska^{ac}, A. Hassanⁱ, J. Hauser^w, K. Hoepfner^a, M. Hohlmann^x, H. Hooraniⁱ, P. Iaydjiev^{ac}, Y.G. Jeng^{ag}, T. Kamon^j, P. Karchin^m, A. Korytov^p, S. Krutelyov^l, A. Kumar^l, H. Kim^{ag}, J. Lee^{ag}, T. Lenzi^e, L. Litov^{ad}, F. Loddo^b, A. Madorsky^p, T. Maerschalk^e, M. Maggi^b, A. Magnani^{aa}, P.K. Mal^f, K. Mandal^f, A. Marchioro^r, A. Marinov^r, R. Masod^h, N. Majumdar^u, J.A. Merlin^{rah}, G. Mitselmakher^p, A.K. Mohanty^y, S. Mohamed^h, A. Mohapatra^x, J. Molnar^k, S. Muhammad^{to}, S. Mukhopadhyay^u, M. Naimuddin^l, S. Nuzzo^b, E. Oliveri^r, L.M. Pant^y, P. Paolucci^z, I. Park^{ag}, G. Passeggio^z, L. Passamonti^o, B. Pavlov^{ad}, B. Philipps^a, D. Piccolo^o, D. Pierluigi^o, H. Postema^r, A. Puig Baranac^r, A. Radi^h, R. Radogna^b, G. Raffone^o, A. Ranieri^b, G. Rashevski^{ac}, C. Riccardi^{aa}, M. Rodozov^{ac}, A. Rodrigues^f, L. Ropelewski^f, S. RoyChowdhury^u, A. Russo^o, G. Ryu^{ag}, M.S. Ryu^{ag}, A. Safonov^j, S. Salva^s, G. Saviano^o, A. Sharma^b, A. Sharma^r, R. Sharma^l, A.H. Shah^l, M. Shopova^{ac}, J. Sturdy^m, G. Sultanov^{ac}, S.K. Swain^f, Z. Szillasi^k, J. Talvitie^v, A. Tatarinov^j, T. Tuuva^v, M. Tytgat^s, I. Vai^{aa}, M. Van Stenis^r, R. Venditti^b, E. Verhagen^e, P. Verwilligen^b, P. Vitulo^{aa}, S. Volkov^q, A. Vorobyev^q, D. Wang^c, M. Wang^c, U. Yang^{af}, Y. Yang^e, R. Yonamine^e, N. Zaganidis^s, F. Zenoni^e, A. Zhang^x

^aRWTH Aachen University, III Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

^bINFN Bari and University of Bari, Bari, Italy

^cPeking University, Beijing, China

^dINFN Bologna and University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

^eUniversite Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium

^fNational Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar

^gInstitute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary

^hAcademy of Scientific Research and Technology - Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, ASRT-ENHEP, Cairo, Egypt

ⁱHelwan University & CTP, Cairo, Egypt

^jTexas A&M University, College Station, U.S.A.

^kInstitute for Nuclear Research of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences (ATOMKI), Debrecen, Hungary

^lUniversity of Delhi, Delhi, India

^mWayne State University, Detroit, U.S.A.

ⁿTexas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar

^oLaboratori Nazionali di Frascati - INFN, Frascati, Italy

^pUniversity of Florida, Gainesville, U.S.A.

^qPetersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, Russia

^rCERN, Geneva, Switzerland

^sGhent University, Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Ghent, Belgium

^tNational Center for Physics, Quaid-i-Azam University Campus, Islamabad, Pakistan

^uSaha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India

^vLappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

^wUniversity of California, Los Angeles, U.S.A.

^xFlorida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, U.S.A.

^yBhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India

^zINFN Napoli, Napoli, Italy

^{aa}INFN Pavia and University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy

^{ab}IRFU CEA-Saclay, Saclay, France

^{ac}Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, Bulgaria

^{ad}Sofia University, Sofia, Bulgaria

^{ae}Korea University, Seoul, Korea

^{af}Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

^{ag}University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea

^{ah}Institut Pluridisciplinaire - Hubert Curien (IPHC), Strasbourg, France

Abstract

A novel approach which uses Fibre Bragg Grating (FBG) sensors has been utilised to assess and monitor the flatness of Gaseous Electron Multipliers (GEM) foils. The setup layout and preliminary results are presented.

Keywords: FBG sensors, Triple-GEM detector, Mechanical stretching, foils planarity, gas detectors

PACS: 29.40.Cs, 29.40.Gx

1. FBG sensors as a strain measurement

To upgrade the Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS) muon system 144 GEM chambers will be installed in the high pseudorapidity region of CMS during Long Shutdown 2 (LS2) of the Large Hadron Collider [1]. The GEMs can provide extra leverage on precision studies of standard model physics, as well as open up a window to explore exotic signatures with muons in the high eta region [2]. The GEM chambers will be located close to the beam pipe where a high flux of low Pt muons is expected. The GEM chambers can easily handle this rate due to their high rate capability of 100 MHz/cm². The large active area of each GE1/1 (GEM Endcap) chamber, approximately 0.4 m² [3], consists of a triple-GEM foil stack. These foils need to be stretched simultaneously in order to secure the planarity and consequent uniform performance of the GE1/1 chamber [4]. The GE1/1 detector technology used for CMS is described in detail in these same conference proceedings (Elba 2015) by Gilles De Lentdecker with title "Status Report of the Upgrade of the CMS muon system with triple-GEM detectors". The FBG sensors act as low cost precision spatial and temperature sensing tools and they are commonly used for strain measurements [5] [6] [7]. In this work FBG sensors are used to measure the planarity and mechanical tension of the GEM foils in the GE1/1 chambers. A FBG is a type of distributed Bragg reflector, constructed in a short segment of optical fiber that reflects particular wavelengths of light and transmits all others. The sensitivity of FBG in terms of strain, defined as relative elongation w.r.t. the initial position is of the order of 0.1 micron. This is achieved by creating a periodic variation in the refractive index of the fiber core, which generates a wavelength-specific dielectric mirror. Therefore it can be used as a strain measurement tool since variation of the FBG translates into different light frequency response. In order to validate the mechanical stretching technique a network of FBG sensors is affixed on the triple-GEM stack. Each sensor is glued on the GEM foil using a very thin layer of epoxy glue. The test is performed by modifying the stretching conditions of the GEM foils stack with real time monitoring and recording of the FBG sensors data. The test starts with the chamber normally assembled with the GEM stack mechanically stretched to the nominal tensile load. After some time while steady in the starting condition, the mechanical stretching of the GEMs is released and kept in such condition for several hours. Finally the GEMs are stretched again up to the nominal tensile load. The trends of the FBG sensors are shown in figure 1(Left). The steep variations of the strain evident in figure 1(Left) correspond to the actions of un-screwing and screwing the mechanical stretchers during the test. The initial stretch value is assumed as reference condition with strain = 0. When stretchers are un-screwed the strain goes to the lower value, different strain values apply to different foils as they fold quasi-free and assume unequal conditions. After the stretchers are screwed back, the strain value is similar for all foils, showing that they all experience similar stretching, about the original value of the reference condition. Thus it can be inferred that at the predetermined tensile load all foils reach a similar stretched level although they started from different values. From the plot it can be seen that all the sensors of the network react at the same moment. These results allow us to validate the mechanical stretching assembly technique

for GE1/1 chambers. Further tests are ongoing to confirm other important parameters such as the optimal tensile load to be applied to the GEMs and the maximum planarity obtainable for the GEMs without applying a load beyond the Young's region for GEM foils.




Figure 1: (Left) Three regions corresponding to the mechanical stretched, loose and again stretched triple GEM foils stack respectively. (Right) The correlation of the strains measured in two different foils of the stack

In figure 1(Right), the mutual comparison of two GEM foils (the bottom and the middle ones) shows the almost perfect correlation between the two strain measured demonstrating that all the foils realize almost the same strain during the assembly. This shows that the adopted stretching technique is validated at nominal tensile stress.

2. Conclusion

By using the FBG sensors we successfully demonstrated that the mechanical stretching technique adopted to assemble the GE1/1 chambers is reliable and secures the correct tensioning of the three foils. By applying the correct tension across the GEM stack a uniform gap spacing can be obtained, which is extremely important to get the required performance of the detector. Several tests are ongoing by using the same FBG sensors to optimize the tensile load in order to avoid damage and guarantee planarity of the GEM foils.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the support of FRS-FNRS (Belgium), FWO-Flanders (Belgium), BSF-MES (Bulgaria), BMBF (Germany), DAE (India), DST (India), INFN (Italy), NRF (Korea), QNRF (Qatar), and DOE (USA).

References

References

- [1] D. Abbaneo et al., Performance of a Large-Area GEM Detector Prototype for the Upgrade of the CMS Muon Endcap System, arXiv:1412.0228v2, 8 Dec 2014.
- [2] D. Abbaneo et al., Upgrade of the CMS muon system with triple-GEM detectors, JINST 9 (2014) C10036, <http://iopscience.iop.org/1748-0221/9/10/C10036/>.
- [3] A. Colaleo et al., CMS TECHNICAL DESIGN REPORT FOR THE MUON ENDCAP GEM UPGRADE, CERN-LHCC-2015-012. CMS-TDR-013, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2021453>.
- [4] D. Abbaneo et al., Status of the Triple-GEM project for the upgrade of the CMS Muon System, DOI: 10.1088/1748-0221/8/12/C12031.
- [5] L. Benussi et al., The Omega-like: A novel device using FBG sensors to position vertex detectors with micrometric precision, Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl. 172.
- [6] M. Caponero et al., Use of fiber optic technology for relative humidity monitoring in RPC detectors, Published in PoS RPC2012 (2012) 073.
- [7] L. Benussi et al., A Novel Temperature Monitoring Sensor for Gas-Based Detectors in Large HEP Experiments, doi "http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.phpro.2012.02.400" .

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: luigi.benussi@lnf.infn.it (L. Benussi), saleh.muhammad@cern.ch (S. Muhammad)