

The μ TPC Method: Improving the Position Resolution of Neutron Detectors Based on MPGDs

Dorothea Pfeiffer^{a,b*}, Filippo Resnati^{a,b†}, Jens Birch^c, Richard Hall-Wilton^{a,d},
Carina Höglund^{a,c}, Lars Hultman^d, George Iakovidis^{b,e}, Eraldo Oliveri^b,
Esko Oksanen^a, Leszek Ropelewski^b, Patrik Thuiner^{b,f}

^aEuropean Spallation Source (ESS AB)

P.O. Box 176, SE-22100 Lund, Sweden

^bCERN

CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

^cLinköping University

IFM SE-581 83 Linköping, Sweden

^dMid-Sweden University

SE-85170 Sundsvall, Sweden

^eBrookhaven National Laboratory

P.O. Box 5000, Upton, 11973-5000, USA

^fVienna University of Technology

1040 Vienna, Austria

E-mail: Dorothea.Pfeiffer@cern.ch, Filippo.Resnati@cern.ch

ABSTRACT: Due to the ^3He crisis, alternatives to the *standard* neutron detection techniques are becoming urgent. In addition, the instruments of the European Spallation Source (ESS) require advances in the state of the art of neutron detection. The instruments need detectors with excellent neutron detection efficiency, high rate capabilities and unprecedented spatial resolution. The Macromolecular Crystallography instrument (NMX) requires a position resolution in the order of 200 μm over a wide angular range of incoming neutrons. Solid converters in combination with Micro Pattern Gaseous Detectors (MPGDs) are proposed to meet the new requirements. Charged particles rising from the neutron capture have usually ranges larger than several millimetres in gas. This is apparently in contrast with the requirements for the position resolution. In this paper, we present an analysis technique, new in the field of neutron detection, based on the Time Projection Chamber (TPC) concept. Using a standard Single-GEM with the cathode coated with $^{10}\text{B}_4\text{C}$, we extract the neutron interaction point with a resolution of better than $\sigma = 200 \mu\text{m}$.

KEYWORDS: Neutron detection; Solid converters; $^{10}\text{B}_4\text{C}$; Gas detectors; Position resolution; TPC.

*Corresponding author.

†Corresponding author.

Contents


1. Introduction	1
2. Experimental setups	2
2.1 Triple-GEM detector setup	2
2.2 Single-GEM detector setup	2
2.3 Detector readout and DAQ	3
3. TPC analysis	4
4. Conclusions	8

1. Introduction

The European Spallation Source (ESS) [1] in Lund/Sweden is foreseen to be operational in 2019. It will become the world's most powerful thermal neutron source, with a significantly higher brightness than existing reactor sources like the Institut Laue-Langevin (ILL) [2] or other spallation sources like the Spallation Neutron Source (SNS) [3] and the Japan Proton Accelerator Research Complex (J-PARC) [4]. Currently 22 neutron scattering instruments are planned as the baseline suite for the facility [5]. Efficient thermal neutron detectors are a crucial component for each of the instruments [6]. The dominant detector technology at neutron scattering instruments so far has been gaseous ^3He detectors [7]. Due to the ^3He crisis [8, 9] however, an extensive international R&D program is currently under way in order to develop efficient and cost-effective detectors based on other isotopes [10, 11, 12]. The solid isotope ^{10}B has a significant neutron capture cross section and might thus be an alternative to ^3He as neutron converter. The charged particles created in a solid neutron converter have subsequently to be extracted and electrically detected. For large area detectors, the only realistic choices are gaseous detectors like gas proportional counters surrounded by thin films of ^{10}B -enriched boron carbide [13, 14, 15].

Some ESS instruments like the Macromolecular Diffractometer (NMX) [5] though do not necessarily require a large detector area. Whereas macromolecular crystallography instruments at reactor sources typically use neutron image plates [16] with ca 200 μm spatial resolution, spallation source instruments require time resolution that the image plates lack altogether. Scintillation based detectors [17, 18] are currently limited to ca 1 mm spatial resolution. For these instruments solid converters in combination with Micro Pattern Gaseous Detectors (MPGDs) [19] are a promising option to achieve the spatial resolution of the neutron image plate with time resolution, high-rate capabilities and a good neutron detection efficiency. The position resolution of a MPGD with a solid neutron converter depends on three factors: The average range and the direction of the charged particle in the gas, the diffusion of the charge and the pitch of the read-out strips. In the

case of a ^{10}B layer, a neutron is captured by the ^{10}B nucleus, resulting in an α particle and a ^7Li ion that are emitted back to back:



The α particle has an energy of $E_{kin} < 1.78 \text{ MeV}$ when it enters the active gas volume. At this kinetic energy the average range amounts to about 8 mm in Ar/CO_2 (70%/30%) at atmospheric pressure. A reconstruction of the position of the impinging neutron based on the centroid of the track results in an error of 50% of the projected track length. The μTPC concept has been developed by the ATLAS collaboration and is used in particle physics [20, 21]. Its application leads to a clear improvement in the reconstruction of the positions of muons detected with Micromegas. A position resolution of 100 μm independent of the angle of incidence is reached. By applying this type of analysis for the first time to neutron detectors, it is possible to reliably identify the beginning of all α and ^7Li ion tracks and thus to reconstruct the position of the incident neutron at the required resolution for the NMX instrument. This work consists of a description of the setup, the measurement and the data analysis that was performed in order to retrieve the full information on the position of the neutron conversion.

2. Experimental setups

The detectors used for the measurements were one standard 10 x 10 cm Triple-GEM [22] and one 10 x 10 cm Single-GEM detector.

2.1 Triple-GEM detector setup

The Triple-GEM setup is sketched in figure 1. A solid layer of $^{10}\text{B}_4\text{C}$ of 1 μm thickness sputtered onto a 25 μm thick aluminium foil [13, 23] was used as neutron converter. It covered only half of the active area of the detector, and was kept at the same potential as the standard detector cathode installed above. The drift length of the detector was 8 mm, long enough to fully contain the α particles and ^7Li ions from the $^{10}\text{B}(n, \alpha)^7\text{Li}$ and $^{10}\text{B}(n, \alpha\gamma)^7\text{Li}$ reactions. At room temperature and atmospheric pressure, the entire detector volume was constantly flushed with a flow of 3 l/h with Ar/CO_2 70/30 mixture finally released into the atmosphere. The high voltage was supplied via a resistor divider (see values in figure 1). The current through the divider was set to 565 μA in order to obtain an effective gain of about 60. A low gain is sufficient due to the large amount of energy deposited by the ions of interest in the gas. The drift field of 700 V/cm was chosen to avoid electron attachment to electronegative impurities and the subsequent loss of primary ionization electrons, while keeping the drift velocity smaller than 2.0 $\text{cm}/\mu\text{s}$ [24].

2.2 Single-GEM detector setup

A 300 μm thick aluminium sheet coated with 1 μm of $^{10}\text{B}_4\text{C}$ [13] was used as neutron converter and detector cathode of the Single-GEM. As shown in figure 6a, copper tape of 50 μm thickness was attached to the $^{10}\text{B}_4\text{C}$ layer to stop the α particles and ^7Li ions from leaving the $^{10}\text{B}_4\text{C}$ and






Figure 1: Schematic representation of the setup for a Triple-GEM detector configuration.

entering the gas, thus providing a sharp edge to determine the position resolution. For the measurements with the Single-GEM a gain of 40 was used in combination with a drift gap of 8 mm and a drift field of 1 kV/cm. At this drift field the drift speed of electrons amounts to $3.5 \text{ cm}/\mu\text{s}$ in Ar/CO₂ (70%/30%) [24]. Sufficiently low drift velocities assure the ability to distinguish the inclination of the α particle and ${}^7\text{Li}$ ion tracks, allowing their three-dimensional reconstruction.



(a) Spectrum simulated with Geant4



(b) Spectrum measured with Triple-GEM

Figure 2: Energy deposited in the drift volume by the charged particles from the ${}^{10}\text{B}_4\text{C}$ neutron capture.

2.3 Detector readout and DAQ

The readout board was a cartesian x/y strip readout [25] with 256 strips and $400 \mu\text{m}$ pitch in x and y direction in both setups. Four APV-25 [26] hybrid chips per readout were used to pre-amplify

the signals. The waveforms were digitized with the Scalable Readout System (SRS) [27] and acquired with the ALICE DAQ system DATE [28] and the Automatic MONitoring Environment (AMORE) [29] software. Thermal neutrons were obtained by moderating fast neutrons from a 370 MBq $^{241}\text{AmBe}$ source with a 5 cm thick polyethylene block. GEANT4 [30, 31] simulations show that the $^{241}\text{AmBe}$ source produces isotropically about 2.3×10^4 n/s. After the moderation, about 100 thermal neutrons per second reach the 10 x 10 cm active area of the detector. Given the low conversion efficiency of the 1 μm thick B_4C layer, the detection rate was less than 5 Hz. Figure 2 shows the simulated and measured spectra of the energy released in the gas volume by the charged particles created during the $^{10}\text{B}_4\text{C}$ neutron capture. Within the energy resolution of the Triple-GEM detector, the simulated spectrum could be reproduced.

3. TPC analysis

The typical signals due to a neutron conversion are shown in figure 3. The figure shows the raw waveforms from the x view on different strips, digitized every 25 ns as measured with the Triple-GEM setup. The signals on different strips are not synchronous because different drift depths require different drift times.




Figure 3: Typical time structure of the neutron conversion signal in different strips with the 25 ns time bins on the x-axis, and the charge in arbitrary units on the y-axis.

During the drift in electric fields, electrons diffuse as a result of multiple collisions with the




Figure 4: Charged particle track in the drift volume of the Triple-GEM detector.

gas molecules, and the initially localized charge cloud spreads out. The diffusion depends on the gas mixture, the pressure and the electrical field. At normal temperature and pressure (NTP), the σ of the transversal and longitudinal diffusion for both detectors amounted to around $130 \mu\text{m}$ over the 8 mm drift [32].

An ionizing particle crossing a portion of the active volume creates electrons and ions that move in opposite directions separated by the electric field. The speed of the α particles and ${}^7\text{Li}$ ions is much larger than the electron drift velocity, therefore the primary charge can be assumed to be released instantaneously along their path. The electron cloud moves rigidly at a constant speed due to the uniform electric field. Neglecting the small amount of diffusion, the electron cloud preserves its original track shape along the drift. The x and y view of one typical track as measured with the Triple-GEM setup is depicted in figure 4. Knowing the instant when the primary charge is released (t_0), one can extract the drift time and consequently the position along the drift of the ionizing event. In the present configuration this information is not accessible. Nevertheless, the electrons from the end of the track will always induce the signal before the electrons from the beginning of the track. In fact, since the converter is on the cathode, the beginning of the track has always the largest drift time. This concept forms the base of the Time Projection Chamber (TPC) [33], which allows the identification of the beginning of the track even without knowing t_0 .

The track in the Triple-GEM detector in figure 4 and the track in the Single-GEM detector in figure 5 have a comparable inclination. But one notices a larger time difference between the start and the end of the track in the Triple-GEM detector, due to the lower drift speed. Changing the drift speed via the drift field or the gas mixture in the detector can thus modulate the desired time difference.

Figure 6a shows the cathode with copper grid that was used for the Single-GEM measurement. The copper grid was added to stop the α particles and ${}^7\text{Li}$ ions from escaping from the converter into the gas. This makes it possible to measure position resolution with a highly divergent source.




Figure 5: Charged particle track in the drift volume of the Single-GEM detector.


As a result, sharp boundaries between the regions with and without recorded hits are visible in the plot of the hit distribution. To determine the improvement in position resolution due to the μ TPC analysis, regions with a large step in the hit distribution as displayed in figure 6b were studied. Due to the substantial gamma flux of the $^{241}\text{AmBe}$ source, a certain amount of gamma background was present during the measurements as indicated by the hits in areas covered with copper tape. To exclude the gamma background, which deposits a lot less charge than α particles and ^7Li ions, an amplitude threshold was applied to the data. Subsequently a simple constant fraction discriminator was used to determine the time at which the signal in each strip reached 50 % of the maximum amplitude. The strip with the highest threshold crossing time was then chosen as the position of the start of the track.

Figure 7 shows as an example the analysis of the position resolution in *region y5* in figure 6b. The standard deviation σ of the position resolution is extracted from the fit of the complementary error function to the data. The reconstructed position obtained with the μ TPC concept is shown in red, the centre-of-mass approach in blue. The σ of the position resolution amounts to $150\ \mu\text{m}$ for the μ TPC concept and $909\ \mu\text{m}$ for the centre-of-mass approach. The results of all analysed regions can be found in table 1. The σ of the position resolution is everywhere better than $270\ \mu\text{m}$ for the Single-GEM measurements and the μ TPC technique. The exact value depends on the flatness and straightness of the applied tape. In regions with straight and flat tape, the σ is $200\ \mu\text{m}$ or smaller. $200\ \mu\text{m}$ or half the size of the strip pitch seems to be a limitation for this fit method. As expected, if the σ gets too small with respect to the readout pitch, the fit cannot converge as the sharp drop-off occurs in < 1 strip.

The strips of the cartesian x/y readout have a $400\ \mu\text{m}$ pitch with $80\ \mu\text{m}$ strip width in y, and $340\ \mu\text{m}$ strip width in x. Since the x strips are underneath the y strips and electrically separated from them by $50\ \mu\text{m}$ of Kapton, the different strip sizes have been chosen to have a more equal charge sharing between y and x. But as the data analysis shows, the y strips on the top get about



(a) $^{10}\text{B}_4\text{C}$ coated cathode



(b) Hit distribution with analysed positions

Figure 6: $^{10}\text{B}_4\text{C}$ coated cathode with copper tape and resulting hit distribution of the measurements with the Single-GEM.

60% of the charge, whereas the x strips get around 40%. Due to the larger amount of charge, the results for the y coordinate are in general better than for the x coordinate. This is valid for both the μTPC technique and the centre-of-mass approach. During the Triple-GEM measurements half of the detector area was covered by a $25\ \mu\text{m}$ thick aluminium foil with a solid layer of $^{10}\text{B}_4\text{C}$. The edge of the aluminium foil was not very smooth and not well aligned with the strips of the readout board. The σ of the obtained position resolution amounted therefore to $311\ \mu\text{m}$.




Figure 7: Single-GEM position y_5 : Distribution of the reconstructed y coordinate using a center-of-mass-based technique (in blue) and the TPC analysis (in red).

4. Conclusions

The μ TPC concept is shown for the first time with thermal neutrons using $^{10}\text{B}_4\text{C}$ as neutron converter in a Single-GEM and Triple-GEM detector configuration. By using a simple laboratory setup with a radioactive neutron source and copper tape on the cathode to mask the neutron converter and give a sharp edge, it is possible to extract the position resolution. These proof-of-principle measurements demonstrate that the resulting position resolution is improved by a factor of ca 5 using a μ TPC technique compared to a more traditional centre-of-mass based method. The obtained resolutions are with $< 200 \mu\text{m}$ better than half of the readout strip pitch. The μ TPC concept thus fulfils the required position resolution for NMX. It also presents a perspective for time-resolved neutron detectors with spatial resolutions far below 1 mm by applying this technique. Measurements under better conditions such as a higher flux of thermal neutrons and a lower gamma background are foreseen to further evaluate the potential of the μ TPC technique.

Position	start-of-track		center-of-mass	
	σ [μm]	+/- error [μm]	σ [μm]	+/- error [μm]
Triple-GEM	311	61	1161	111
Single-GEM x1	< 200*	-	1350	67
Single-GEM x2	< 200*	-	1246	71
Single-GEM x3	238	17	1113	69
Single-GEM x4	< 200*	-	1213	62
Single-GEM x5	268	24	1498	67
Single-GEM x6	< 200*	-	1263	66
Single-GEM y1	< 200*	-	593	59
Single-GEM y2	< 200*	-	942	55
Single-GEM y3	146	26	587	49
Single-GEM y4	116	69	786	56
Single-GEM y5	150	31	909	64
Single-GEM y6	< 200*	-	841	59

Table 1: Fit results for the Triple-GEM and the 12 Single-GEM regions of interest depicted in figure 6b.

* Limit of fit reached.

References

- [1] *European Spallation Source ESS AB*, <http://europeanspallationsource.se/>.
- [2] *Institut Laue-Langevin*, <http://www.ill.eu/>.
- [3] *Spallation Neutron Source*, <http://neutrons.ornl.gov/facilities/SNS/>
- [4] *J-PARC*, <http://j-parc.jp/index-e.html>.
- [5] S. Peggs et al, *ESS Technical Design Report*, ESS-2013-0001 (2013).
- [6] O. Kirstein et al, *Neutron Position Sensitive Detectors for the ESS*, Proceedings from the 23rd International Workshop on Vertex Detectors, 15-19 September 2014, Macha Lake, The Czech Republic, PoS(Vertex2014)029.
- [7] A.-J. Dianoux, G. Lander (ed), *Neutron Data Booklet*, 2nd edition, ILL (2003).
- [8] A. Cho, *Helium-3 shortage could put freeze on low-temperature research*, Science 326 (2009) 778-779.
- [9] R.T. Kouzes, *The end of ^3He as we know it for national security*, IEEE NSS conference record N39-1, Seoul (2013).
- [10] *International Collaboration for the Development of Neutron Detectors*, <http://icnd.org/>.
- [11] K. Zeitelhack, *Search for alternative techniques to helium-3 based detectors for neutron scattering applications*, Neutron News 23 (2012) 10-13.
- [12] B. Guerard, R. Hall-Wilton, F. Murtas, *Prospects in MPGDs development for neutron detection, Summary based on presentations during RD51 Academia-Industry Matching Event*, CERN October 14-15, 2013, RD51-NOTE-2014-003.
- [13] C. Höglund et al, *B_4C thin films for neutron detection*, Journal of Applied Physics 111 (2012) 104908.

- [14] K. Andersen et al, *^{10}B multi-grid proportional gas counters for large area thermal neutron detectors*, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 720 (2013) 116-121.
- [15] T. Bigault et al, *^{10}B multi-grid proportional gas counters for large area thermal neutron detectors*, Neutron News 23 (2012) 20-25.
- [16] N. Niimura et al, *An imaging plate neutron detector*, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 349 (1994) 521-525.
- [17] T. Hosoya et al, *Development of a new detector and DAQ systems for iBIX*, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 600 (2009) 217-219.
- [18] L. Coates et al, *The macromolecular neutron diffractometer (MaNDi) at the Spallation Neutron Source, Oak Ridge: enhanced optics design, high-resolution neutron detectors and simulated diffraction*, Journal of Applied Crystallography 43 (2010) 570-577.
- [19] M. Titov and L. Ropelewski, *Micro-pattern gaseous detector technologies and RD51 collaboration*, Modern Physics Letters A 28 (2013) 1340022.
- [20] G. Iakovidis, *The Micromegas project for the ATLAS upgrade*, Journal of Instrumentation 8 (2013) C12007.
- [21] G. Iakovidis and T. Alexopoulos, *Research and Development in Micromegas Detector for the ATLAS Upgrade*, CERN-THESIS-2014-148, presented 13 Oct 2014.
- [22] F. Sauli, *GEM: A new concept for electron amplification in gas detectors*, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 386 (1997) 531.
- [23] C. Höglund et al, *Stability of $^{10}\text{B}_4\text{C}$ films under neutron irradiation*, subm. Journal of Radiation Physics and Chemistry (2014).
- [24] A. Peisert and F. Sauli, *Drift and Diffusion of Electrons in Gases: A Compilation*, CERN yellow report CERN84-08 (1984).
- [25] S. Bachmann et al., *High rate X-ray imaging using multi-GEM detectors with a novel readout design*, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 478 (2002) 104.
- [26] M. French et al, *Design and Results from the APV25, a Deep Submicron CMOS Front-End Chip for the CMS Tracker*, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 466 (2001) 359-365.
- [27] S. Martoiu et al, *Development of the scalable readout system for micro-pattern gas detectors and other applications*, Journal of Instrumentation 8 (2013) C03015.
- [28] F. Carena et al, *The ALICE data acquisition system*, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 741 (2014) 130-162.
- [29] F. Roukoutakis and B. von Haller, *Commissioning of the ALICE-LHC online data quality monitoring framework*, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 603 (2009) 446-450.
- [30] S. Agostinelli et al, *GEANT4: A Simulation toolkit*, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 506 (2003) 250-303.
- [31] T. Kittelmann et al., *Geant4 based simulations for novel neutron detector development*, J.Phys.Conf.Ser. 513 (2014) 022017.
- [32] F. Sauli, *Gaseous Radiation Detectors. Fundamentals and Applications*, Cambridge University Press (2014) 125-126.
- [33] J.N. Marx and D. Nygren, *The Time Projection Chamber*, Physics Today 10 (1978) 46-53.