



# Search for new phenomena in monophoton final states in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV

The CMS Collaboration\*

## Abstract

Results are presented from a search for new physics in final states containing a photon and missing transverse momentum. The data correspond to an integrated luminosity of  $19.6 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  collected in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV with the CMS experiment at the CERN LHC. No deviation from the standard model predictions is observed for these final states. New, improved limits are set on dark matter production and on parameters of models with large extra dimensions. In particular, the first limits on branon production at a hadron collider are found and significantly extend previous limits from LEP. A cross section upper limit of  $14.0 \text{ fb}$  is set at the 95% confidence level for events with a monophoton final state with photon transverse momentum greater than  $145 \text{ GeV}$  and missing transverse momentum greater than  $140 \text{ GeV}$ .

*Submitted to Physics Letters B*



## 1 Introduction

The production of events containing photons ( $\gamma$ ) with large transverse momentum and having large missing transverse momentum at the CERN LHC is sensitive to physics beyond the standard model (SM). In this Letter we investigate three possible extensions of the SM [1]: a model incorporating pair production of dark matter (DM) particles, and two models with extra spatial dimensions.

At the CERN LHC, DM particles ( $\chi$ ) can be produced in the process  $q\bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma\chi\bar{\chi}$ , where the photon is radiated by one of the incoming quarks. With a photon in the final state, we gain sensitivity to the production of invisible particles. The SM-DM interaction is assumed to be mediated by a virtual particle (“mediator”) with a mass  $M$  much heavier than the fermionic DM particle mass ( $M_\chi$ ). Various processes are contracted into an effective field theory (EFT) [2–5] with a contact interaction scale  $\Lambda$ , given by  $\Lambda^{-2} = g_\chi g_q M^{-2}$ , where  $g_\chi$  and  $g_q$  are the mediator couplings to  $\chi$  and to quarks, respectively. This prescription provides a connection from searches at the LHC to direct searches sensitive to  $\chi$ -nucleon scattering.

The ADD model [6, 7] of large extra dimensions is postulated to have  $n$  extra compactified spatial dimensions at a characteristic scale  $R$  that reflects an effective Planck scale  $M_D$  through  $M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \approx M_D^{n+2} R^n$ , where  $M_{\text{Pl}}$  is the Planck scale. If  $M_D$  is of the same order as the electroweak scale ( $M_{\text{EW}} \sim 10^2 \text{ GeV}$ ), the large value of  $M_{\text{Pl}}$  can be interpreted as being a consequence of large-volume ( $\sim R^n$ ) suppression from extra dimensional space. This model predicts a sizable cross section for the process  $q\bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma G$ , where  $G$  is a graviton that escapes detection, and motivates the search for events with a single  $\gamma$  and missing transverse momentum.

In the Branon model [8–11], it is assumed that the brane fluctuates in the extra dimensions, in contrast to the ADD model, where the SM particles are confined to a rigid brane in three spatial dimensions. In this alternative scheme, the brane tension scale  $f$  is expected to be much smaller than other relevant scales such as  $M_D$ . The particles associated with such fluctuations are scalar particles called branons. Branons are stable and massive scalar particles of mass  $M_B$ , and are natural candidates for dark matter [12]. They can be pair-produced in association with SM particles at the LHC, giving rise to  $\gamma$ +missing transverse momentum final states [13].

The primary background to the  $\gamma$ +missing transverse momentum signal is the irreducible SM background from  $Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$  production. Other backgrounds include  $W\gamma \rightarrow \ell\nu\gamma$  (where  $\ell$  is a charged lepton),  $W \rightarrow \ell\nu$ ,  $\gamma$ +jet, QCD multijet,  $Z\gamma \rightarrow \ell\ell\gamma$ , and diphoton events, as well as backgrounds from beam halo.

## 2 The CMS detector

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the superconducting solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL), and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter (HCAL), each composed of a barrel ( $|\eta| < 1.479$ ) and two endcap ( $1.479 < |\eta| < 3.0$ ) sections, where  $\eta$  is the pseudorapidity. Muons are measured in gas-ionization detectors embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. Extensive forward calorimetry complements the coverage provided by the barrel and endcap detectors. The energy resolution for photons with transverse momentum  $\geq 60 \text{ GeV}$  varies between 1.1% and 2.6% over the solid angle of the ECAL barrel, and from 2.2% to 5.0% in the endcaps [14]. The timing measurement of the ECAL has a resolution better than 200 ps for energy deposits larger than 10 GeV [14]. In the  $\eta$ - $\phi$  plane, and for  $|\eta| < 1.48$ , the HCAL cells map

onto  $5 \times 5$  arrays of ECAL crystals to form calorimeter towers projecting radially outward from the nominal interaction point. A more detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in Ref [15].

### 3 Event selection

In the following, it is convenient to refer to the missing transverse momentum vector,  $\vec{E}_T$ , defined as the projection on the plane perpendicular to the beams of the negative vector sum of the momenta of all reconstructed particles in an event. Its magnitude is referred to as  $E_T$ .

Events are selected from a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of  $19.6 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ . Triggers requiring at least one photon or a photon along with large  $E_T$  in the event are used in this search. For the selected signal region of photon transverse energy  $E_T^\gamma > 145 \text{ GeV}$ , photon pseudorapidity  $|\eta^\gamma| < 1.44$ , and  $E_T > 140 \text{ GeV}$ , these triggers are  $\approx 96\%$  efficient for  $E_T^\gamma$  in the 145–160 GeV range, and fully efficient for  $E_T^\gamma > 160 \text{ GeV}$ . Events are required to have at least one primary vertex reconstructed within a longitudinal distance of  $|z| < 24 \text{ cm}$  of the center of the detector and at a distance  $< 2 \text{ cm}$  from the z-axis. The primary vertex associated with the hard scattering is chosen to be the vertex with the highest sum in  $p_T^2$  of its associated tracks, where  $p_T$  is the transverse momentum. Candidate electromagnetic (EM) showers are restricted to the barrel region of the ECAL, where their purity is highest. Photon candidates [16] are selected by requiring the ratio of the energy deposited in the closest HCAL tower to that of the EM showers in the ECAL to be less than 0.05 and the spatial distribution of energy from the EM shower to be consistent with that expected for a photon. In order to reject hadronic activity, photon candidates are required to be isolated using the sum of the transverse energy of additional particles within a cone of  $\Delta R < 0.3$  centered on the shower axis, where  $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2}$ , reconstructed using a particle-flow (PF) algorithm [17, 18]. Upper thresholds are placed on the sum of the transverse energy (in GeV) of additional photons of less than  $(0.7 + 0.005E_T^\gamma)$ , of neutral hadrons of less than  $(1.0 + 0.04E_T^\gamma)$ , and of charged hadrons of less than (1.5), which includes the charged hadron contribution calculated from the other interaction vertices in the event (pileup), arising from the uncertainty in assigning the photon candidate to a particular vertex. The effect of pileup on the isolation variables is mitigated using the scheme presented in [19].

The crystal containing the highest energy within the cluster of the photon candidate is required to have a time of deposition within  $\pm 3 \text{ ns}$  of particles arriving from the collision. This selection suppresses contributions from noncollision backgrounds. To reduce contamination from beam halo, the ECAL crystals (excluding those associated with the photon candidate) are examined for evidence of the passage of a minimum-ionizing particle roughly parallel to the beam axis (beam halo tag). If sufficient energy is found deposited along such a trajectory, the event is rejected. Highly ionizing particles traversing the sensitive volume of the readout photodiodes can give rise to spurious signals within the EM shower [20]. These EM showers are eliminated by requiring consistency among the timings of energy depositions in all crystals within the shower. Photon candidates are rejected if they are likely to be electrons, as inferred from characteristic patterns of hits in the pixel detector, called “pixel seeds”, that are matched to candidate EM showers [21].

Jets are reconstructed with the anti- $k_T$  algorithm [22] using a radius parameter of  $R = 0.5$ . Jets that are identified as arising from pileup are rejected [23]. In order to reduce QCD multijet backgrounds, events are rejected if there is more than one jet with  $p_T > 30 \text{ GeV}$  at  $\Delta R > 0.5$

relative to the photon. Events with isolated leptons (electron or muon) with  $p_T > 10 \text{ GeV}$  and  $\Delta R > 0.5$  relative to the photon, are also rejected to suppress  $W\gamma \rightarrow \ell\nu\gamma$  and  $W \rightarrow \ell\nu$  backgrounds. Lepton isolation is computed using the sum of transverse energies of tracks, ECAL, and HCAL depositions within a surrounding cone of  $\Delta R < 0.3$ . For electron isolation, each contributing component of transverse energy (tracker, ECAL, and HCAL) is required to be less than 20% of the electron  $p_T$ , while for muons only the tracker component is considered and is required to be less than 10% of the muon  $p_T$ .

The candidate events are required to have  $E_T > 140 \text{ GeV}$ . A topological requirement of  $\Delta\phi(\vec{E}_T, \gamma) > 2 \text{ rad}$  is applied to suppress the contribution from the  $\gamma$ +jet background.

A major source of background comes from events with mismeasured  $E_T$  due to finite detector resolution, mainly associated with jets. In order to reduce the contribution from events with mismeasured  $E_T$ , a  $\chi^2$  function is constructed and minimized:

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=\text{objects}} \left( \frac{(p_T^{\text{reco}})_i - (\tilde{p}_T)_i}{(\sigma_{p_T})_i} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\tilde{E}_x}{\sigma_{\tilde{E}_x}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\tilde{E}_y}{\sigma_{\tilde{E}_y}} \right)^2. \quad (1)$$

In the above equation,  $(p_T^{\text{reco}})_i$  are the transverse momenta of the reconstructed particles, i.e., photon and jets. The  $(\sigma_{p_T})_i$  are the expected momentum resolutions of the reconstructed particles, and the  $(\tilde{p}_T)_i$  are the free parameters allowed to vary in order to minimize the function. The resolution parametrization associated with the  $E_T$  are obtained from Ref [24]. Lastly,  $\tilde{E}_x$  and  $\tilde{E}_y$  can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_{x,y} &= E_{x,y}^{\text{reco}} + \sum_{i=\text{objects}} (p_{x,y}^{\text{reco}})_i - (\tilde{p}_{x,y})_i \\ &= - \sum_{i=\text{objects}} (\tilde{p}_{x,y})_i \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

In events with no genuine  $E_T$ , the mismeasured quantities will be more readily re-distributed back into the particle momenta, which will result in a low  $\chi^2$  value. On the other hand, in events with genuine  $E_T$  from undetected particles, minimization of the  $\chi^2$  function will be more difficult and generally will result in larger  $\chi^2$  values. To reduce the contribution of events with mismeasured  $E_T$ , the probability value obtained from the  $\chi^2$  minimization is required to be smaller than  $10^{-6}$  and the  $\tilde{E}_T = \sqrt{\tilde{E}_x^2 + \tilde{E}_y^2}$ , i.e., in which the original reconstructed particle momenta are replaced with those obtained with the  $\chi^2$  minimization, is required to be greater than 120 GeV. These requirements are optimized using the significance estimator  $S/\sqrt{S+B}$  and remove 80% (35%) of  $\gamma$ +jet (QCD multijet) events, while keeping 99.5% of signal events.

After applying all selection criteria, 630 candidate events remain in the sample.

## 4 Background estimation

Backgrounds from  $Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ ,  $W\gamma \rightarrow \ell\nu\gamma$ ,  $\gamma$ +jet,  $Z\gamma \rightarrow \ell\ell\gamma$ , and diphoton production are estimated from simulated samples processed through the full GEANT4-based simulation of the CMS detector [25, 26], trigger emulation, and the same event reconstruction programs as used for data. The  $Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$  and  $W\gamma \rightarrow \ell\nu\gamma$  samples are generated with MADGRAPH [27], and the cross section is corrected to include next-to-leading-order (NLO) effects through an  $E_T^\gamma$  dependent correction factor calculated with MCFM [28]. The central values of the NLO cross section

and the prediction for the photon  $E_T$  spectrum are calculated following the prescriptions of the PDF4LHC Working Group [29–31]. This prescription is also used to calculate the systematic uncertainties due to the parton distribution functions (PDF), and the strong coupling  $\alpha_s$  and its dependence on the factorization scale and renormalization scale. These systematic uncertainties are found to be 9% and 15% for  $Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$  and  $W\gamma \rightarrow \ell\nu\gamma$ , respectively. A strong correlation in the uncertainties of the two channels is propagated to the final result. The  $\gamma$ +jet,  $Z\gamma \rightarrow \ell\ell\gamma$ , and diphoton samples are obtained using the PYTHIA 6.426 generator [32] at leading order (LO), with the CTEQ6L1 [33] PDF. The  $\gamma$ +jet cross section is corrected to include NLO effects.

The backgrounds estimated from simulations are scaled by a factor  $F$  to correct for observed differences in efficiency between data and simulation. This overall correction factor receives contributions from four sources as follows: the photon reconstruction efficiency ratio, estimated to be  $0.97 \pm 0.02$  using  $Z \rightarrow ee$  decays; the ratio of probabilities for satisfying a crystal timing requirement, estimated to be  $0.99 \pm 0.03$  from a sample of electron data; the lepton veto efficiency ratio, estimated to be  $0.99 \pm 0.02$  using  $W \rightarrow e\nu$  decays; and the jet veto efficiency ratio, estimated to be  $0.99 \pm 0.05$  using  $W \rightarrow e\nu$  decays, and confirmed using  $Z\gamma \rightarrow ee\gamma$  data samples. The total correction factor obtained by combining these contributions is  $F = 0.94 \pm 0.06$ .

The total uncertainty in the backgrounds estimated through simulation includes contributions from the theoretical cross section, data-simulation factor  $F$ , pileup modeling, and the accuracy of energy calibration and resolution for photons [14], jets [34], and  $\cancel{E}_T$  [35]. The estimated contribution from the  $Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$  and from  $W\gamma \rightarrow \ell\nu\gamma$  processes to the background are, respectively,  $345 \pm 43$  and  $103 \pm 21$  events, where the dominant uncertainty is from the theoretical cross section calculations. To gain confidence in the estimates from simulation, control regions, which are dominated by these backgrounds and have negligible contributions from a signal, are defined in the data. As a crosscheck, the total contribution from  $Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$  is estimated in data using a sample of  $Z\gamma \rightarrow \ell\ell\gamma$  candidates, where the leptons from the decay of the  $Z$  boson are removed and considered as  $\cancel{E}_T$  [36]. This provides an estimate of  $341 \pm 50$  events, where the uncertainty is dominated by the size of the sample. A control region dominated by the  $W\gamma$  process is also studied by using the signal selection but inverting the lepton veto i.e., the final state is required to contain a reconstructed charged lepton. After this selection, 104 events are observed and  $126 \pm 23$  are expected.

Electrons misidentified as photons arise mainly from highly off-shell  $W$  boson ( $W^* \rightarrow e\nu$ ) events. This background is estimated from data. The efficiency  $\epsilon_{\text{pix}}$  of matching electron showers in the calorimeter to pixel seeds is estimated using a tag-and-probe technique [37] on  $Z \rightarrow ee$  events in data, verified with simulated events. The estimated efficiency is  $\epsilon_{\text{pix}} = 0.984 \pm 0.002$  for electrons with  $E_T > 100$  GeV. A control sample of  $W^* \rightarrow e\nu$  events is also obtained from data through use of all the standard candidate selections, with the exception of the pixel seed, which is inverted. The number of events in this sample is scaled by the value of  $(1 - \epsilon_{\text{pix}})/\epsilon_{\text{pix}}$  resulting in an estimated contribution of  $60 \pm 6$   $W^* \rightarrow e\nu$  events in the signal region.

The contamination from jets misidentified as photons is estimated in data using a control sample with  $\cancel{E}_T < 30$  GeV, dominated by QCD events. This sample is used to measure the ratio of the number of objects that pass photon identification criteria to the number that fail at least one of the isolation requirements. The control sample also contains objects from QCD direct photon production that must be removed from the numerator of the ratio. This contribution is estimated by fitting the shower shape distribution with template distributions. For true photons, a template for the shower width is formed using simulated  $\gamma$ +jets events. For jets

misidentified as photons, the template is formed using a separate control sample, where the objects are required to fail charged hadron isolation. This corrected ratio is used to scale a set of data events that pass the denominator selection of the fake ratio and all other candidate requirements, providing an estimated background contribution of  $45 \pm 14$  jet events.

Noncollision backgrounds are estimated from data by examining the shower width of the EM cluster and the time-of-arrival of the signal in the crystal containing the largest deposition of energy. Templates for anomalous signals, cosmic ray muons, and beam halo events are obtained by inverting the shower shape and beam halo tag requirements, and are fitted to the timing distribution of the candidate sample. The only nonnegligible residual contribution to the candidate sample is found to arise from the beam halo, with an estimated  $25 \pm 6$  events.

## 5 Results

Table 1 shows the estimated number of events and associated uncertainty from each background process along with the total number of events observed in the data, for the entire data set, which corresponds to  $19.6 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ . The number of events observed in data agrees with the expectation from SM background. The photon  $E_T$  and  $\cancel{E}_T$  distributions for the selected candidates and estimated backgrounds are shown in Fig. 1. The spectra expected from the ADD model for  $M_D = 2 \text{ TeV}$  and  $n = 3$  is also shown for comparison. Limits are set for the DM, ADD, and branon models using the  $E_T^\gamma$  spectrum.

Table 1: Summary of estimated backgrounds and observed total number of candidates. Backgrounds listed as ‘‘Others’’ include the small contributions from  $W \rightarrow \mu\nu$ ,  $Z\gamma \rightarrow \ell\ell\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ , and  $\gamma$ +jet. Uncertainties include both statistical and systematic contributions, and the total systematic uncertainty includes the effect of correlations in the individual estimates.

Process	Estimate
$Z(\rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}) + \gamma$	$345 \pm 43$
$W(\rightarrow \ell\nu) + \gamma$	$103 \pm 21$
$W \rightarrow e\nu$	$60 \pm 6$
jet $\rightarrow \gamma$ MisID	$45 \pm 14$
Beam halo	$25 \pm 6$
Others	$36 \pm 3$
Total background	$614 \pm 63$
Data	630

Table 2: Observed (expected) 95% CL and 90% CL upper limits on  $\sigma A$  as a function of the cut on the  $E_T^\gamma$  for the photon and  $\cancel{E}_T$  final state. The  $\cancel{E}_T$  threshold is fixed at 140 GeV. In addition to 95% CL upper limits, 90% limits are also shown to allow direct comparison with results from astrophysics DM searches.

$E_T^\gamma$ Threshold [GeV]	$\sigma A$ [fb] (95% CL)	$\sigma A$ [fb] (90% CL)
145	14.0 (13.0)	11.9 (10.9)
160	11.0 (10.5)	9.29 (8.84)
190	5.40 (6.38)	4.45 (5.37)
250	2.94 (3.24)	2.43 (2.70)
400	0.87 (1.02)	0.71 (0.83)
700	0.22 (0.32)	0.16 (0.25)




Figure 1: The photon  $E_T$  and  $\cancel{E}_T$  distributions for the candidate sample, compared with estimated contributions from SM backgrounds, and the predictions from the ADD model for  $M_D = 2$  TeV and  $n = 3$ . The horizontal bar on each data point indicates the width of the bin. The background uncertainty includes statistical and systematic components. The bottom panel shows the ratio of data and SM background predictions.




Figure 2: Upper limits at 95% confidence level (CL) on the product of cross section and acceptance as a function of the  $E_T^\gamma$  threshold for the photon and  $\cancel{E}_T$  final state.

The product of the acceptance and the efficiency ( $A\epsilon$ ) is estimated by calculating  $A\epsilon_{MC}$  from the simulation, and multiplying it by the  $F$  to account for the difference in efficiency between simulation and data. For DM production, the simulated samples are produced using MADGRAPH [38], and requiring  $E_T^\gamma > 130$  GeV and  $|\eta^\gamma| < 1.5$ . The estimated value of  $A\epsilon_{MC}$  for  $M_\chi$  in the range 1–1000 GeV varies over the range 41.6–44.4% for vector and 41.4–44.1% for axial-vector couplings, respectively. The  $E_T^\gamma$  spectra for ADD simulated events are generated using PYTHIA 8 [39], requiring  $E_T^\gamma > 130$  GeV. The  $A\epsilon_{MC}$  for the ADD model varies over the range 33.4–37.4% in the parameter space spanned by  $n = 3$ –6 and  $M_D = 1$ –3 TeV. The spectra for simulated branon events are generated using MADGRAPH [38], requiring  $E_T^\gamma > 130$  GeV. The value of  $A\epsilon_{MC}$  for branon production varies over the range 41.3–48.9% in the parameter space



spanned by the range of branon masses  $M_B = 100\text{--}3500\text{ GeV}$  and brane tensions  $f = 100\text{--}1000\text{ GeV}$ . The total systematic uncertainty in  $A\epsilon_{\text{MC}}$  from modeling of pileup and from the energy calibration and resolution for photons, jets, and  $\cancel{E}_T$  is  $\pm 2.1\%$ .

Upper limits on the signal cross section are calculated using the CL<sub>s</sub> method [40, 41]. In the fit to the observed spectra, systematic uncertainties are represented by nuisance parameters with log-normal prior probability density functions. The changes in shape of the observed spectra that result from varying the photon energy scale and the theoretical differential cross section within their respective uncertainties are treated using a morphing technique [42]. The observed and expected upper limits on the product of cross section and acceptance ( $\sigma A$ ), plotted as a function of the  $E_T^\gamma$  threshold, are shown in Fig. 2 and listed in Table 2. Results shown can be generally applied to any new physics that leads to the photon and  $\cancel{E}_T$  final state.

Table 3: Dark Matter production cross sections as a function of the DM mass, assuming a vector interaction: theoretical DM production cross sections, where the generated photon transverse momentum is greater than 130 GeV and the contact interaction scale  $\Lambda$  is 10 TeV; observed (expected) 90% CL upper limits on the DM production cross section  $\sigma$ ; 90% CL lower limits on the contact interaction scale  $\Lambda$ ; and 90% CL upper limits on the  $\chi$ -nucleon cross section.

Mass [GeV]	$\sigma_{\text{theo}}$ [fb]	$\sigma$ [fb]	$\Lambda$ [GeV]	$\sigma_{\chi\text{-nucleon}}$ [cm <sup>2</sup> ]
1	$2.5 \times 10^{-4}$	7.8 (10.6)	750 (694)	$8.2 \times 10^{-40}$ ( $1.1 \times 10^{-39}$ )
10	$2.5 \times 10^{-4}$	8.0 (10.5)	745 (696)	$2.6 \times 10^{-39}$ ( $3.5 \times 10^{-39}$ )
100	$2.4 \times 10^{-4}$	8.0 (11.2)	742 (684)	$3.2 \times 10^{-39}$ ( $4.4 \times 10^{-39}$ )
200	$2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	7.6 (9.9)	729 (684)	$3.4 \times 10^{-39}$ ( $4.4 \times 10^{-39}$ )
300	$1.8 \times 10^{-4}$	6.9 (9.4)	714 (660)	$3.7 \times 10^{-39}$ ( $5.1 \times 10^{-39}$ )
500	$1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	5.2 (7.8)	666 (602)	$4.9 \times 10^{-39}$ ( $7.4 \times 10^{-39}$ )
1000	$1.5 \times 10^{-5}$	4.9 (7.2)	422 (382)	$3.1 \times 10^{-38}$ ( $4.6 \times 10^{-38}$ )

Table 4: Dark Matter production cross sections as a function of the DM mass, assuming an axial-vector interaction: theoretical DM production cross sections, where the generated photon transverse momentum is greater than 130 GeV and the contact interaction scale  $\Lambda$  is 10 TeV; observed (expected) 90% CL upper limits on the DM production cross section  $\sigma$ ; 90% CL lower limits on the contact interaction scale  $\Lambda$ ; and 90% CL upper limits on the  $\chi$ -nucleon cross section.

Mass [GeV]	$\sigma_{\text{theo}}$ [fb]	$\sigma$ [fb]	$\Lambda$ [GeV]	$\sigma_{\chi\text{-nucleon}}$ [cm <sup>2</sup> ]
1	$2.4 \times 10^{-4}$	7.9 (10.5)	746 (694)	$3.1 \times 10^{-41}$ ( $4.1 \times 10^{-41}$ )
10	$2.5 \times 10^{-4}$	7.9 (11.0)	748 (688)	$9.6 \times 10^{-41}$ ( $1.3 \times 10^{-40}$ )
100	$2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	8.2 (10.7)	718 (671)	$1.3 \times 10^{-40}$ ( $1.7 \times 10^{-40}$ )
200	$1.6 \times 10^{-4}$	6.7 (9.5)	702 (643)	$1.5 \times 10^{-40}$ ( $2.0 \times 10^{-40}$ )
300	$1.1 \times 10^{-4}$	5.8 (8.5)	663 (604)	$1.8 \times 10^{-40}$ ( $2.6 \times 10^{-40}$ )
500	$4.9 \times 10^{-5}$	5.5 (8.1)	544 (495)	$4.0 \times 10^{-40}$ ( $5.9 \times 10^{-40}$ )
1000	$4.2 \times 10^{-6}$	5.3 (7.7)	298 (272)	$4.5 \times 10^{-39}$ ( $6.5 \times 10^{-39}$ )

Tables 3 and 4 summarize the 90% CL upper limits on the production cross sections of the DM particles  $\chi\bar{\chi}$ , as a function of  $M_\chi$ . In general, the effective operator could be a mixture of vector and axial terms; for explicitness, the limiting cases of pure vector and pure axial vector operators have been chosen, corresponding to spin-independent and spin-dependent interactions, respectively. The upper limits on the DM production cross sections are converted into the corresponding lower limits on the contact interaction scale  $\Lambda$ , also listed in Tables 3 and 4. The  $\Lambda$  values are translated into upper limits on the  $\chi$ -nucleon cross sections, calculated within the EFT framework. These results are displayed in Fig. 3 as a function of  $M_\chi$ . Superimposed are the




Figure 3: The 90% CL upper limits on the  $\chi$ -nucleon cross section as a function of the DM particle mass  $M_\chi$  for spin-independent couplings (left) and spin-dependent couplings (right). Results from the current search are shown as “CMS Monophoton, 8 TeV”. Shown are the limits from CMS using monojet [36] and monolepton [43] signatures (where  $\xi$  is the interference parameter addressing potentially different couplings to up and down-type quarks and values of  $\xi = \pm 1$  maximize the effects of interference). Also shown are the limits from several published direct detection experiments [44–53]. The solid and hatched yellow contours show the 68% and 95% CL contours respectively for a possible signal from CDMS [54]




Figure 4: Observed limits on the DM mediator mass divided by coupling,  $M/\sqrt{g_\chi g_q}$ , as a function of the mediator mass  $M$ , assuming vector interactions, for DM particle masses of 50 GeV and 500 GeV. The width,  $\Gamma$ , of the mediator is varied between  $M/8\pi$  and  $M/3$ . The dashed lines show contours of constant coupling.

results published by other experiments [44–53]. The results presented are valid for mediator masses larger than a few TeV, assuming  $g_\chi = g_q = 1$ .

The validity of the EFT framework at the energy scale probed by the LHC has been recently explored in detail [2, 3, 5, 61–63]. These studies show that for the EFT to be perturbative,  $\sqrt{g_\chi g_q}$

Table 5: Observed and expected 95% CL upper limits on ADD model parameters  $M_D$ , the effective Planck scale, as a function of  $n$ , the number of extra dimensions.

$n$	Obs. Limit [TeV]	Exp. Limit [TeV]
3	2.30	1.99
4	2.20	1.99
5	2.04	1.99
6	2.00	1.99




Figure 5: Lower limits on the effective Planck scale,  $M_D$ , as a function of the number of extra dimensions in the ADD model, together with results from similar searches at the Tevatron [55, 56] and LEP [57–60].

is required to be less than  $4\pi$ , a condition that is not valid over the entire phase space probed at LHC energies. Therefore, to interpret the data in a meaningful way where the EFT does not hold, following [3] we consider a simplified model predicting DM production via an  $s$ -channel vectorial mediator. These limits are shown in Fig. 4. The mass of the mediator is varied for two fixed values of the mass of the DM particle: 50 GeV and 500 GeV, and the width of the mediator is varied from  $M/8\pi$  to  $M/3$ . The contours for fixed values of  $\sqrt{g_\chi g_q}$  are also shown for comparison. The results for a mediator with a mass of a few TeV are similar to those obtained from the EFT approach, while the limits are weaker at lower values of  $M$ .

Table 6: Observed and expected 95% CL upper limits on the brane tension  $f$  as a function of the branon mass  $M_B$ .

	$M_B$ [GeV]									
	100	500	1000	1500	2000	2500	2800	3000	3200	3500
Observed $f$ [GeV]	410	380	320	240	170	97	59	48	36	20
Expected $f$ [GeV]	400	370	310	240	170	97	59	48	36	20

Upper limits at 95% CL are also placed on the production cross section of the ADD and branon models, and translated into exclusions on the parameter space of the models. For the ADD model, the limits on  $M_D$  for several values of  $n$ , the number of extra dimensions, are summarized in Table 5. These limits, along with existing ADD limits from the Tevatron [55, 56] and LEP [57–60], are shown in Fig. 5 as a function of  $M_D$ . Our results extend significantly the experimental limits on the ADD model in the single-photon channel [65, 66], and set limits of  $M_D > 2.30$ – $2.00$  TeV for  $n = 3$ – $6$ , at 95% CL.




Figure 6: The 95% CL upper limits on the branon cross sections as a function of the branon mass  $M_B$ . Also shown are the theoretical cross sections in the branon model for the brane tension scale  $f = 100, 200, 300$ , and  $400$  GeV (left). Limits on  $f$  as a function of  $M_B$ , compared to results from similar searches at LEP [64] (right).

Limits on  $f$  for branons are summarized in Table 6. For massless branons, the brane tension  $f$  is found to be greater than  $410$  GeV at 95% CL. These limits along with the existing limits from LEP [64], are shown in Fig. 6. Branon masses  $M_B < 3.5$  TeV are excluded at 95% CL for low brane tension ( $20$  GeV). These bounds are the most stringent published to date.

## 6 Summary

Proton-proton collision events containing a photon and missing transverse momentum have been investigated to search for new phenomena. In the  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV data set corresponding to  $19.6 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  of integrated luminosity no deviations from the standard model predictions are observed. Bounds are placed on models predicting monophoton events; specifically, 95% confidence level upper limits for the cross section times acceptance for the selected final state are set and vary from  $14.0 \text{ fb}$  for  $E_T^\gamma > 145 \text{ GeV}$  to  $0.22 \text{ fb}$  for  $E_T^\gamma > 700 \text{ GeV}$ . Constraints are set on  $\chi$  production and translated into upper limits on vector and axial-vector contributions to the  $\chi$ -nucleon scattering cross section. For  $M_\chi = 10 \text{ GeV}$ , the  $\chi$ -nucleon cross section is constrained to be  $2.6 \times 10^{-39} \text{ cm}^2$  ( $9.6 \times 10^{-41} \text{ cm}^2$ ) for a spin-independent (spin-dependent) interaction at 90% confidence level. In addition the most stringent limits to date are obtained on the effective Planck scale in the ADD model with large spatial extra dimensions and on the brane tension scale in the branon model.

## Acknowledgments

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMWFW and FWF (Austria); FNRS and

FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); MoER, ERC IUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); OTKA and NIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); NRF and WCU (Republic of Korea); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); CINVESTAV, CONACYT, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS and RFBR (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI and CPAN (Spain); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU and SFFR (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie programme and the European Research Council and EPLANET (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the A. P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the HOMING PLUS programme of Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced from European Union, Regional Development Fund; the Compagnia di San Paolo (Torino); the Consorzio per la Fisica (Trieste); MIUR project 20108T4XTM (Italy); the Thalys and Aristeia programmes cofinanced by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; and the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund.

## References

- [1] R. Gaitskell, "Direct Detection of Dark Matter", *Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science* **54** (2004) 315, doi:10.1146/annurev.nucl.54.070103.181244.
- [2] Y. Bai, P. J. Fox, and R. Harnik, "The Tevatron at the frontier of dark matter direct detection", *JHEP* **12** (2010) 048, doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2010)048, arXiv:1005.3797v2.
- [3] P. J. Fox, R. Harnik, J. Kopp, and Y. Tsai, "Missing energy signatures of dark matter at the LHC", *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 056011, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.85.056011, arXiv:1109.4398.
- [4] J. Goodman et al., "Constraints on light Majorana dark matter from colliders", *Phys. Lett. B* **695** (2011) 185, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2010.11.009, arXiv:1005.1286.
- [5] J. Goodman et al., "Constraints on dark matter from colliders", *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 116010, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.82.116010, arXiv:1008.1783.
- [6] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G. R. Dvali, "The hierarchy problem and new dimensions at a millimeter", *Phys. Lett. B* **429** (1998) 263, doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00466-3, arXiv:hep-ph/9803315.
- [7] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G. R. Dvali, "Phenomenology, astrophysics and cosmology of theories with submillimeter dimensions and TeV scale quantum gravity", *Phys. Rev. D* **59** (1999) 086004, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.59.086004, arXiv:hep-ph/9807344.

- [8] R. Sundrum, "Effective field theory for a three-brane universe", *Phys. Rev. D* **59** (1999) 085009, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.59.085009, arXiv:hep-ph/9805471.
- [9] A. Dobado and A. L. Maroto, "The dynamics of the Goldstone bosons on the brane", *Nucl. Phys. B* **592** (2001) 203, doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00574-5, arXiv:hep-ph/0007100.
- [10] J. A. R. Cembranos, A. Dobado, and A. L. Maroto, "Brane skyrmions and wrapped states", *Phys. Rev. D* **65** (2002) 026005, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.65.026005, arXiv:hep-ph/0106322.
- [11] J. A. R. Cembranos, R. L. Delgado, and A. Dobado, "Brane worlds at the LHC: branons and KK gravitons", *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013) 075021, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.88.075021, arXiv:1306.4900.
- [12] J. A. R. Cembranos, A. Dobado, and A. L. Maroto, "Cosmological and astrophysical limits on brane fluctuations", *Phys. Rev. D* **68** (2003) 103505, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.68.103505, arXiv:hep-ph/0307062.
- [13] J. A. R. Cembranos, A. Dobado, and A. L. Maroto, "Branon search in hadronic colliders", *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 096001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.70.096001, arXiv:hep-ph/0405286.
- [14] CMS Collaboration, "Energy calibration and resolution of the CMS electromagnetic calorimeter in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV", *JINST* **8** (2013) P09009, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/8/09/P09009, arXiv:1306.2016.
- [15] CMS Collaboration, "The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC", *JINST* **3** (2008) S08004, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004.
- [16] CMS Collaboration, "Isolated Photon Reconstruction and Identification at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV", CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-EGM-10-006, 2011.
- [17] CMS Collaboration, "Particle-Flow Event Reconstruction in CMS and Performance for Jets, Taus, and MET", CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-PFT-09-001, 2009.
- [18] CMS Collaboration, "Commissioning of the Particle-flow Event Reconstruction with the first LHC collisions recorded in the CMS detector", CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-PFT-10-001, 2010.
- [19] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, "The catchment area of jets", *JHEP* **04** (2008) 005, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/005, arXiv:0802.1188.
- [20] CMS Collaboration, D. A. Petyt, "Mitigation of anomalous APD signals in the CMS electromagnetic calorimeter", in *XVth International Conference on Calorimetry in High Energy Physics (CALOR2012)*. Santa Fe, USA, June, 2012. [J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 404 (2012) 012043]. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/404/1/012043.
- [21] CMS Collaboration, "Electron Reconstruction and Identification at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV", CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-EGM-10-004, 2010.
- [22] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, "The anti- $k_t$  jet clustering algorithm", *JHEP* **04** (2008) 063, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/063, arXiv:0802.1189.

- [23] CMS Collaboration, “Pileup Jet Identification”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-JME-13-005, 2013.
- [24] CMS Collaboration, “MET performance in 8 TeV data”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-JME-12-002, 2013.
- [25] GEANT4 Collaboration, “GEANT4—a simulation toolkit”, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250, doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8.
- [26] J. Allison et al., “Geant4 developments and applications”, *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* **53** (2006) 270, doi:10.1109/TNS.2006.869826.
- [27] J. Alwall et al., “The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations”, *JHEP* **07** (2014) 079, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2014)079, arXiv:1405.0301.
- [28] J. Campbell, R. Ellis, and C. Williams, “MCFM v6.1: A Monte Carlo for FeMtobarn processes at Hadron Colliders”, 2011, <http://mcfm.fnal.gov/mcfm.pdf>.
- [29] S. Alekhin et al., “The PDF4LHC Working Group Interim Report”, (2011). arXiv:1101.0536.
- [30] M. Botje et al., “The PDF4LHC Working Group Interim Recommendations”, (2011). arXiv:1101.0538.
- [31] NNPDF Collaboration, “Impact of heavy quark masses on parton distributions and LHC phenomenology”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **849** (2011) 296, doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2011.03.021, arXiv:1101.1300.
- [32] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Z. Skands, “PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual”, *JHEP* **05** (2006) 26, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/026, arXiv:hep-ph/0603175.
- [33] J. Pumplin et al., “New generation of parton distributions with uncertainties from global QCD analysis”, *JHEP* **07** (2002) 012, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2002/07/012, arXiv:hep-ph/0201195.
- [34] CMS Collaboration, “Determination of jet energy calibration and transverse momentum resolution in CMS”, *JINST* **6** (2011) P11002, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/6/11/P11002, arXiv:1107.4277.
- [35] CMS Collaboration, “Missing transverse energy performance of the CMS detector”, *J. Instrum.* **6** (2011) P09001, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/6/09/P09001.
- [36] CMS Collaboration, “Search for dark matter, extra dimensions, and unparticles in monojet events in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV”, (2014). arXiv:1408.3583. Submitted to Eur. Phys. J. C.
- [37] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the inclusive W and Z production cross sections in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV with the CMS experiment”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2011) 132, doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2011)132.
- [38] J. Alwall et al., “MadGraph 5: going beyond”, *JHEP* **06** (2011) 128, doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2011)128, arXiv:1106.0522.

- [39] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, “A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1”, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **178** (2008) 852, doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2008.01.036, arXiv:0710.3820.
- [40] A. L. Read, “Presentation of search results: the  $CL_s$  technique”, *J. Phys. G* **28** (2002) 2693, doi:10.1088/0954-3899/28/10/313.
- [41] T. Junk, “Confidence level computation for combining searches with small statistics”, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **434** (1999) 435, doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(99)00498-2, arXiv:hep-ex/9902006.
- [42] J. S. Conway, “Nuisance Parameters in Likelihoods for Multisource Spectra”, in *Proceedings of PHYSTAT 2011 Workshop on Statistical Issues Related to Discovery Claims in Search Experiments and Unfolding*, H. B. Propser and L. Lyons, eds., p. 115. CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, January 2011, 2011. doi:10.5170/CERN-2011-006.
- [43] CMS Collaboration, “Search for physics beyond the standard model in final states with a lepton and missing transverse energy in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV”, (2014). arXiv:1408.2745. Submitted to *Phys. Rev. D*.
- [44] XENON100 Collaboration, “Dark Matter Results from 100 Live Days of XENON100 Data”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** (2011) 131302, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.131302, arXiv:1104.2549v3.
- [45] CDMS Collaboration, “Results from a Low-Energy Analysis of the CDMS II Germanium Data”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** (2011) 131302, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.131302, arXiv:1011.2482v3.
- [46] CDMS II Collaboration, “Dark Matter Search Results from the CDMS II Experiment”, *Science* **327** (2010) 1619, doi:10.1126/science.1186112.
- [47] CoGeNT Collaboration, “Results from a Search for Light-Mass Dark Matter with a  $p$ -Type Point Contact Germanium Detector”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** (2011) 131301, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.131301, arXiv:1002.4703.
- [48] SIMPLE Collaboration, “Final Analysis and Results of the Phase II SIMPLE Dark Matter Search”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012) 201302, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.201302, arXiv:1106.3014.
- [49] COUPP Collaboration, “Improved Limits on Spin-Dependent WIMP-Proton Interactions from a Two Liter  $\text{CF}_3\text{I}$  Bubble Chamber”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** (2011) 021303, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.021303, arXiv:1008.3518.
- [50] IceCube Collaboration, “Multiyear search for dark matter annihilations in the Sun with the AMANDA II and IceCube detectors”, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 042002, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.85.042002, arXiv:1112.1840.
- [51] Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, “An indirect search for weakly interacting massive particles in the sun using 3109.6 days of upward-going muons in Super-Kamiokande”, *Astrophys. J.* **742** (2011) 78, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/742/2/78, arXiv:1108.3384.
- [52] LUX Collaboration, “First results from the LUX dark matter experiment at the Sanford Underground Research Facility”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) 091303, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.091303, arXiv:1310.8214.



- [53] SuperCDMS Soudan Collaboration, “Search for Low-Mass Weakly Interacting Massive Particles Using Voltage-Assisted Calorimetric Ionization Detection in the SuperCDMS Experiment”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) 041302, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.041302, arXiv:1309.3259.
- [54] CDMS Collaboration, “Silicon Detector Dark Matter Results from the Final Exposure of CDMS II”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 251301, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.251301, arXiv:1304.4279.
- [55] CDF Collaboration, “Search for large extra dimensions in final states containing one photon or jet and large missing transverse energy produced in  $p\bar{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$  TeV”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** (2008) 181602, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.181602, arXiv:0807.3132.
- [56] D0 Collaboration, “Search for Large Extra Dimensions via Single Photon plus Missing Energy Final States at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$  TeV”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** (2008) 011601, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.011601, arXiv:0803.2137.
- [57] DELPHI Collaboration, “Photon events with missing energy in  $e^+ e^-$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 130$  GeV to 209 GeV”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **38** (2005) 395, doi:10.1140/epjc/s2004-02051-8, arXiv:hep-ex/0406019.
- [58] L3 Collaboration, “Single- and multi-photon events with missing energy in  $e^+ e^-$  collisions at LEP”, *Phys. Lett. B* **587** (2004) 16, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2004.01.010, arXiv:hep-ex/0402002.
- [59] OPAL Collaboration, “Photonic events with missing energy in  $e^+ e^-$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 189$  GeV”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **18** (2000) 253, doi:10.1007/s100520000522, arXiv:hep-ex/0005002.
- [60] ALEPH Collaboration, “Single photon and multiphoton production in  $e^+ e^-$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$  up to 209 GeV”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **28** (2003) 1, doi:10.1140/epjc/s2002-01129-7.
- [61] H. An, X. Ji, and L.-T. Wang, “Light dark matter and  $Z'$  dark force at colliders”, *JHEP* **07** (2012) 182, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2012)182, arXiv:1202.2894.
- [62] A. Friedland, M. L. Graesser, I. M. Shoemaker, and L. Vecchi, “Probing nonstandard standard model backgrounds with LHC monojets”, *Phys. Lett. B* **714** (2012) 267, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.06.078, arXiv:1111.5331.
- [63] O. Buchmueller, M. J. Dolan, and C. McCabe, “Beyond effective field theory for dark matter searches at the LHC”, *JHEP* **01** (2014) 025, doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2014)025, arXiv:1308.6799.
- [64] L3 Collaboration, “Search for branons at LEP”, *Phys. Lett. B* **597** (2004) 145, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2004.07.014, arXiv:hep-ex/0407017.
- [65] CMS Collaboration, “Search for Dark Matter and Large Extra Dimensions in  $pp$  Collisions Yielding a Photon and Missing Transverse Energy”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012) 261803, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.261803, arXiv:1204.0821.
- [66] ATLAS Collaboration, “Search for dark matter candidates and large extra dimensions in events with a photon and missing transverse momentum in  $pp$  collision data at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV with the ATLAS detector”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013) 011802, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.011802, arXiv:1209.4625.



## A The CMS Collaboration

### Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

V. Khachatryan, A.M. Sirunyan, A. Tumasyan

### Institut für Hochenergiephysik der OeAW, Wien, Austria

W. Adam, T. Bergauer, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, M. Friedl, R. Frühwirth<sup>1</sup>, V.M. Ghete, C. Hartl, N. Hörmann, J. Hrubec, M. Jeitler<sup>1</sup>, W. Kiesenhofer, V. Knünz, M. Krammer<sup>1</sup>, I. Krätschmer, D. Liko, I. Mikulec, D. Rabady<sup>2</sup>, B. Rahbaran, H. Rohringer, R. Schöfbeck, J. Strauss, W. Treberer-Treberspurg, W. Waltenberger, C.-E. Wulz<sup>1</sup>

### National Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Belarus

V. Mossolov, N. Shumeiko, J. Suarez Gonzalez

### Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

S. Alderweireldt, M. Bansal, S. Bansal, T. Cornelis, E.A. De Wolf, X. Janssen, A. Knutsson, J. Lauwers, S. Luyckx, S. Ochesanu, R. Rougny, M. Van De Klundert, H. Van Haeevermaet, P. Van Mechelen, N. Van Remortel, A. Van Spilbeeck

### Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

F. Blekman, S. Blyweert, J. D'Hondt, N. Daci, N. Heracleous, J. Keaveney, S. Lowette, M. Maes, A. Olbrechts, Q. Python, D. Strom, S. Tavernier, W. Van Doninck, P. Van Mulders, G.P. Van Onsem, I. Vilella

### Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

C. Caillol, B. Clerbaux, G. De Lentdecker, D. Dobur, L. Favart, A.P.R. Gay, A. Grebenyuk, A. Léonard, A. Mohammadi, L. Perniè<sup>2</sup>, T. Reis, T. Seva, L. Thomas, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, J. Wang, F. Zenoni

### Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

V. Adler, K. Beernaert, L. Benucci, A. Cimmino, S. Costantini, S. Crucy, S. Dildick, A. Fagot, G. Garcia, J. Mccartin, A.A. Ocampo Rios, D. Ryckbosch, S. Salva Diblen, M. Sigamani, N. Strobbe, F. Thyssen, M. Tytgat, E. Yazgan, N. Zaganidis

### Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

S. Basegmez, C. Beluffi<sup>3</sup>, G. Bruno, R. Castello, A. Caudron, L. Ceard, G.G. Da Silveira, C. Delaere, T. du Pree, D. Favart, L. Forthomme, A. Giammanco<sup>4</sup>, J. Hollar, A. Jafari, P. Jez, M. Komm, V. Lemaître, C. Nuttens, D. Pagano, L. Perrini, A. Pin, K. Piotrkowski, A. Popov<sup>5</sup>, L. Quertenmont, M. Selvaggi, M. Vidal Marono, J.M. Vizan Garcia

### Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium

N. Bely, T. Caebergs, E. Daubie, G.H. Hammad

### Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W.L. Aldá Júnior, G.A. Alves, L. Brito, M. Correa Martins Junior, T. Dos Reis Martins, C. Mora Herrera, M.E. Pol

### Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W. Carvalho, J. Chinellato<sup>6</sup>, A. Custódio, E.M. Da Costa, D. De Jesus Damiao, C. De Oliveira Martins, S. Fonseca De Souza, H. Malbouisson, D. Matos Figueiredo, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, W.L. Prado Da Silva, J. Santaolalla, A. Santoro, A. Sznajder, E.J. Tonelli Manganote<sup>6</sup>, A. Vilela Pereira

**Universidade Estadual Paulista <sup>a</sup>, Universidade Federal do ABC <sup>b</sup>, São Paulo, Brazil**

C.A. Bernardes<sup>b</sup>, S. Dogra<sup>a</sup>, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei<sup>a</sup>, E.M. Gregores<sup>b</sup>, P.G. Mercadante<sup>b</sup>, S.F. Novaes<sup>a</sup>, Sandra S. Padula<sup>a</sup>

**Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, Bulgaria**

A. Aleksandrov, V. Genchev<sup>2</sup>, P. Iaydjiev, A. Marinov, S. Piperov, M. Rodozov, G. Sultanov, M. Vutova

**University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria**

A. Dimitrov, I. Glushkov, R. Hadjiiska, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov

**Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China**

J.G. Bian, G.M. Chen, H.S. Chen, M. Chen, T. Cheng, R. Du, C.H. Jiang, R. Plestina<sup>7</sup>, F. Romeo, J. Tao, Z. Wang

**State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China**

C. Asawatangtrakuldee, Y. Ban, Q. Li, S. Liu, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, D. Wang, W. Zou

**Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia**

C. Avila, L.F. Chaparro Sierra, C. Florez, J.P. Gomez, B. Gomez Moreno, J.C. Sanabria

**University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia**

N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, D. Polic, I. Puljak

**University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia**

Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

**Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia**

V. Brigljevic, K. Kadija, J. Luetic, D. Mekterovic, L. Sudic

**University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus**

A. Attikis, G. Mavromanolakis, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis

**Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic**

M. Bodlak, M. Finger, M. Finger Jr.<sup>8</sup>

**Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt**

Y. Assran<sup>9</sup>, S. Elgammal<sup>10</sup>, M.A. Mahmoud<sup>11</sup>, A. Radi<sup>12,13</sup>

**National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia**

M. Kadastik, M. Murumaa, M. Raidal, A. Tiko

**Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland**

P. Eerola, G. Fedi, M. Voutilainen

**Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland**

J. Härkönen, V. Karimäki, R. Kinnunen, M.J. Kortelainen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, P. Luukka, T. Mäenpää, T. Peltola, E. Tuominen, J. Tuominiemi, E. Tuovinen, L. Wendland

**Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland**

J. Talvitie, T. Tuuva

**DSM/IRFU, CEA/Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France**

M. Besancon, F. Couderc, M. Dejardin, D. Denegri, B. Fabbro, J.L. Faure, C. Favaro, F. Ferri,

S. Ganjour, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, E. Locci, J. Malcles, J. Neveu, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, M. Titov

**Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France**

S. Baffioni, F. Beaudette, P. Busson, C. Charlot, T. Dahms, M. Dalchenko, L. Dobrzynski, N. Filipovic, A. Florent, R. Granier de Cassagnac, L. Mastrolorenzo, P. Miné, C. Mironov, I.N. Naranjo, M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, P. Paganini, S. Regnard, R. Salerno, J.B. Sauvan, Y. Sirois, C. Veelken, Y. Yilmaz, A. Zabi

**Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France**

J.-L. Agram<sup>14</sup>, J. Andrea, A. Aubin, D. Bloch, J.-M. Brom, E.C. Chabert, C. Collard, E. Conte<sup>14</sup>, J.-C. Fontaine<sup>14</sup>, D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, C. Goetzmann, A.-C. Le Bihan, P. Van Hove

**Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France**

S. Gadrat

**Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France**

S. Beauceron, N. Beaupere, G. Boudoul<sup>2</sup>, E. Bouvier, S. Brochet, C.A. Carrillo Montoya, J. Chasserat, R. Chierici, D. Contardo<sup>2</sup>, P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, J. Fan, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, B. Ille, T. Kurca, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, S. Perries, J.D. Ruiz Alvarez, D. Sabes, L. Sgandurra, V. Sordini, M. Vander Donckt, P. Verdier, S. Viret, H. Xiao

**E. Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Academy of Science, Tbilisi, Georgia**

L. Rurua

**RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany**

C. Autermann, S. Beranek, M. Bontenackels, M. Edelhoff, L. Feld, A. Heister, O. Hindrichs, K. Klein, A. Ostapchuk, F. Raupach, J. Sammet, S. Schael, H. Weber, B. Wittmer, V. Zhukov<sup>5</sup>

**RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany**

M. Ata, M. Brodski, E. Dietz-Laursonn, D. Duchardt, M. Erdmann, R. Fischer, A. Güth, T. Hebbeker, C. Heidemann, K. Hoepfner, D. Klingebiel, S. Knutzen, P. Kreuzer, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, P. Millet, M. Olschewski, K. Padeken, P. Papacz, H. Reithler, S.A. Schmitz, L. Sonnenschein, D. Teyssier, S. Thüer, M. Weber

**RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany**

V. Cherepanov, Y. Erdogan, G. Flügge, H. Geenen, M. Geisler, W. Haj Ahmad, F. Hoehle, B. Kargoll, T. Kress, Y. Kuessel, A. Künsken, J. Lingemann<sup>2</sup>, A. Nowack, I.M. Nugent, L. Perchalla, O. Pooth, A. Stahl

**Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany**

I. Asin, N. Bartosik, J. Behr, W. Behrenhoff, U. Behrens, A.J. Bell, M. Bergholz<sup>15</sup>, A. Bethani, K. Borras, A. Burgmeier, A. Cakir, L. Calligaris, A. Campbell, S. Choudhury, F. Costanza, C. Diez Pardos, G. Dolinska, S. Dooling, T. Dorland, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, T. Eichhorn, G. Flucke, J. Garay Garcia, A. Geiser, P. Gunnellini, J. Hauk, M. Hempel<sup>15</sup>, D. Horton, H. Jung, A. Kalogeropoulos, M. Kasemann, P. Katsas, J. Kieseler, C. Kleinwort, I. Korol, D. Krücker, W. Lange, J. Leonard, K. Lipka, A. Lobanov, W. Lohmann<sup>15</sup>, B. Lutz, R. Mankel, I. Marfin<sup>15</sup>, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, A.B. Meyer, G. Mittag, J. Mnich, A. Mussgiller, S. Naumann-Emme, A. Nayak, O. Novgorodova, E. Ntomari, H. Perrey, D. Pitzl, R. Placakyte, A. Raspereza, P.M. Ribeiro Cipriano, B. Roland, E. Ron, M.Ö. Sahin, J. Salfeld-Nebgen, P. Saxena, R. Schmidt<sup>15</sup>,

T. Schoerner-Sadenius, M. Schröder, C. Seitz, S. Spannagel, A.D.R. Vargas Trevino, R. Walsh, C. Wissing

**University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany**

M. Aldaya Martin, V. Blobel, M. Centis Vignali, A.R. Draeger, J. Erfle, E. Garutti, K. Goebel, M. Görner, J. Haller, M. Hoffmann, R.S. Höing, H. Kirschenmann, R. Klanner, R. Kogler, J. Lange, T. Lapsien, T. Lenz, I. Marchesini, J. Ott, T. Peiffer, A. Perieanu, N. Pietsch, J. Poehlsen, T. Poehlsen, D. Rathjens, C. Sander, H. Schettler, P. Schleper, E. Schlieckau, A. Schmidt, M. Seidel, V. Sola, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, D. Troendle, E. Usai, L. Vanelderren, A. Vanhoefer

**Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany**

C. Barth, C. Baus, J. Berger, C. Böser, E. Butz, T. Chwalek, W. De Boer, A. Descroix, A. Dierlamm, M. Feindt, F. Frensch, M. Giffels, A. Gilbert, F. Hartmann<sup>2</sup>, T. Hauth<sup>2</sup>, U. Husemann, I. Katkov<sup>5</sup>, A. Kornmayer<sup>2</sup>, E. Kuznetsova, P. Lobelle Pardo, M.U. Mozer, Th. Müller, A. Nürnberg, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, S. Röcker, H.J. Simonis, F.M. Stober, R. Ulrich, J. Wagner-Kuhr, S. Wayand, T. Weiler, R. Wolf

**Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece**

G. Anagnostou, G. Daskalakis, T. Geralis, V.A. Giakoumopoulou, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, A. Markou, C. Markou, A. Psallidas, I. Topsis-Giotis

**University of Athens, Athens, Greece**

A. Agapitos, S. Kesisoglou, A. Panagiotou, N. Saoulidou, E. Stiliaris

**University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece**

X. Aslanoglou, I. Evangelou, G. Flouris, C. Foudas, P. Kokkas, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, E. Paradas, J. Strologas

**Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary**

G. Bencze, C. Hajdu, P. Hidas, D. Horvath<sup>16</sup>, F. Sikler, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztergombi<sup>17</sup>, A.J. Zsigmond

**Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary**

N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Karancsi<sup>18</sup>, J. Molnar, J. Palinkas, Z. Szillasi

**University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary**

A. Makovec, P. Raics, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

**National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, India**

S.K. Swain

**Panjab University, Chandigarh, India**

S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, R. Gupta, U. Bhawandeep, A.K. Kalsi, M. Kaur, R. Kumar, M. Mittal, N. Nishu, J.B. Singh

**University of Delhi, Delhi, India**

Ashok Kumar, Arun Kumar, S. Ahuja, A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, A. Kumar, S. Malhotra, M. Naimuddin, K. Ranjan, V. Sharma

**Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India**

S. Banerjee, S. Bhattacharya, K. Chatterjee, S. Dutta, B. Gomber, Sa. Jain, Sh. Jain, R. Khurana, A. Modak, S. Mukherjee, D. Roy, S. Sarkar, M. Sharan

**Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India**

A. Abdulsalam, D. Dutta, S. Kailas, V. Kumar, A.K. Mohanty<sup>2</sup>, L.M. Pant, P. Shukla, A. Topkar

**Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India**

T. Aziz, S. Banerjee, S. Bhowmik<sup>19</sup>, R.M. Chatterjee, R.K. Dewanjee, S. Dugad, S. Ganguly, S. Ghosh, M. Guchait, A. Gurtu<sup>20</sup>, G. Kole, S. Kumar, M. Maity<sup>19</sup>, G. Majumder, K. Mazumdar, G.B. Mohanty, B. Parida, K. Sudhakar, N. Wickramage<sup>21</sup>

**Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran**

H. Bakhshiansohi, H. Behnamian, S.M. Etesami<sup>22</sup>, A. Fahim<sup>23</sup>, R. Goldouzian, M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, M. Naseri, S. Paktinat Mehdiabadi, F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi, B. Safarzadeh<sup>24</sup>, M. Zeinali

**University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland**

M. Felcini, M. Grunewald

**INFN Sezione di Bari <sup>a</sup>, Università di Bari <sup>b</sup>, Politecnico di Bari <sup>c</sup>, Bari, Italy**

M. Abbrescia<sup>a,b</sup>, C. Calabria<sup>a,b</sup>, S.S. Chhibra<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Colaleo<sup>a</sup>, D. Creanza<sup>a,c</sup>, N. De Filippis<sup>a,c</sup>, M. De Palma<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Fiore<sup>a</sup>, G. Iaselli<sup>a,c</sup>, G. Maggi<sup>a,c</sup>, M. Maggi<sup>a</sup>, S. My<sup>a,c</sup>, S. Nuzzo<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Pompili<sup>a,b</sup>, G. Pugliese<sup>a,c</sup>, R. Radogna<sup>a,b,2</sup>, G. Selvaggi<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Sharma, L. Silvestris<sup>a,2</sup>, R. Venditti<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Bologna <sup>a</sup>, Università di Bologna <sup>b</sup>, Bologna, Italy**

G. Abbiendi<sup>a</sup>, A.C. Benvenuti<sup>a</sup>, D. Bonacorsi<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Braibant-Giacomelli<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Brigliadori<sup>a,b</sup>, R. Campanini<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Capiluppi<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Castro<sup>a,b</sup>, F.R. Cavallo<sup>a</sup>, G. Codispoti<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Cuffiani<sup>a,b</sup>, G.M. Dallavalle<sup>a</sup>, F. Fabbri<sup>a</sup>, A. Fanfani<sup>a,b</sup>, D. Fasanella<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Giacomelli<sup>a</sup>, C. Grandi<sup>a</sup>, L. Guiducci<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Marcellini<sup>a</sup>, G. Masetti<sup>a</sup>, A. Montanari<sup>a</sup>, F.L. Navarria<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Perrotta<sup>a</sup>, F. Primavera<sup>a,b</sup>, A.M. Rossi<sup>a,b</sup>, T. Rovelli<sup>a,b</sup>, G.P. Siroli<sup>a,b</sup>, N. Tosi<sup>a,b</sup>, R. Travaglini<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Catania <sup>a</sup>, Università di Catania <sup>b</sup>, CSFNSM <sup>c</sup>, Catania, Italy**

S. Albergo<sup>a,b</sup>, G. Cappello<sup>a</sup>, M. Chiorboli<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Costa<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Giordano<sup>a,c,2</sup>, R. Potenza<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Tricomi<sup>a,b</sup>, C. Tuve<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Firenze <sup>a</sup>, Università di Firenze <sup>b</sup>, Firenze, Italy**

G. Barbagli<sup>a</sup>, V. Ciulli<sup>a,b</sup>, C. Civinini<sup>a</sup>, R. D'Alessandro<sup>a,b</sup>, E. Focardi<sup>a,b</sup>, E. Gallo<sup>a</sup>, S. Gonzi<sup>a,b</sup>, V. Gori<sup>a,b,2</sup>, P. Lenzi<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Meschini<sup>a</sup>, S. Paoletti<sup>a</sup>, G. Sguazzoni<sup>a</sup>, A. Tropiano<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy**

L. Benussi, S. Bianco, F. Fabbri, D. Piccolo

**INFN Sezione di Genova <sup>a</sup>, Università di Genova <sup>b</sup>, Genova, Italy**

R. Ferretti<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Ferro<sup>a</sup>, M. Lo Vetere<sup>a,b</sup>, E. Robutti<sup>a</sup>, S. Tosi<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca <sup>a</sup>, Università di Milano-Bicocca <sup>b</sup>, Milano, Italy**

M.E. Dinardo<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Fiorendi<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Gennai<sup>a,2</sup>, R. Gerosa<sup>a,b,2</sup>, A. Ghezzi<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Govoni<sup>a,b</sup>, M.T. Lucchini<sup>a,b,2</sup>, S. Malvezzi<sup>a</sup>, R.A. Manzoni<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Martelli<sup>a,b</sup>, B. Marzocchi<sup>a,b</sup>, D. Menasce<sup>a</sup>, L. Moroni<sup>a</sup>, M. Paganoni<sup>a,b</sup>, D. Pedrini<sup>a</sup>, S. Ragazzi<sup>a,b</sup>, N. Redaelli<sup>a</sup>, T. Tabarelli de Fatis<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Napoli <sup>a</sup>, Università di Napoli 'Federico II' <sup>b</sup>, Università della Basilicata (Potenza) <sup>c</sup>, Università G. Marconi (Roma) <sup>d</sup>, Napoli, Italy**

S. Buontempo<sup>a</sup>, N. Cavallo<sup>a,c</sup>, S. Di Guida<sup>a,d,2</sup>, F. Fabozzi<sup>a,c</sup>, A.O.M. Iorio<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Lista<sup>a</sup>, S. Meola<sup>a,d,2</sup>, M. Merola<sup>a</sup>, P. Paolucci<sup>a,2</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Padova <sup>a</sup>, Università di Padova <sup>b</sup>, Università di Trento (Trento) <sup>c</sup>, Padova, Italy**

P. Azzi<sup>a</sup>, N. Bacchetta<sup>a</sup>, D. Bisello<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Branca<sup>a,b</sup>, R. Carlin<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Checchia<sup>a</sup>, M. Dall'Osso<sup>a,b</sup>, T. Dorigo<sup>a</sup>, M. Galanti<sup>a,b</sup>, U. Gasparini<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Giubilato<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Gozzelino<sup>a</sup>, K. Kanishchev<sup>a,c</sup>, S. Lacaprara<sup>a</sup>, M. Margoni<sup>a,b</sup>, A.T. Meneguzzo<sup>a,b</sup>, J. Pazzini<sup>a,b</sup>, N. Pozzobon<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Ronchese<sup>a,b</sup>,

F. Simonetto<sup>a,b</sup>, E. Torassa<sup>a</sup>, M. Tosi<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Vanini<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Ventura<sup>a</sup>, P. Zotto<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Zucchetta<sup>a,b</sup>, G. Zumerle<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Pavia <sup>a</sup>, Università di Pavia <sup>b</sup>, Pavia, Italy**

M. Gabusi<sup>a,b</sup>, S.P. Ratti<sup>a,b</sup>, V. Re<sup>a</sup>, C. Riccardi<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Salvini<sup>a</sup>, P. Vitulo<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Perugia <sup>a</sup>, Università di Perugia <sup>b</sup>, Perugia, Italy**

M. Biasini<sup>a,b</sup>, G.M. Bilei<sup>a</sup>, D. Ciangottini<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Fanò<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Lariccia<sup>a,b</sup>, G. Mantovani<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Menichelli<sup>a</sup>, A. Saha<sup>a</sup>, A. Santocchia<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Spiezia<sup>a,b,2</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Pisa <sup>a</sup>, Università di Pisa <sup>b</sup>, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa <sup>c</sup>, Pisa, Italy**

K. Androsov<sup>a,25</sup>, P. Azzurri<sup>a</sup>, G. Bagliesi<sup>a</sup>, J. Bernardini<sup>a</sup>, T. Boccali<sup>a</sup>, G. Broccolo<sup>a,c</sup>, R. Castaldi<sup>a</sup>, M.A. Ciocci<sup>a,25</sup>, R. Dell'Orso<sup>a</sup>, S. Donato<sup>a,c</sup>, F. Fiori<sup>a,c</sup>, L. Foà<sup>a,c</sup>, A. Giassi<sup>a</sup>, M.T. Grippo<sup>a,25</sup>, F. Ligabue<sup>a,c</sup>, T. Lomtadze<sup>a</sup>, L. Martini<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Messineo<sup>a,b</sup>, C.S. Moon<sup>a,26</sup>, F. Palla<sup>a,2</sup>, A. Rizzi<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Savoy-Navarro<sup>a,27</sup>, A.T. Serban<sup>a</sup>, P. Spagnolo<sup>a</sup>, P. Squillacioti<sup>a,25</sup>, R. Tenchini<sup>a</sup>, G. Tonelli<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Venturi<sup>a</sup>, P.G. Verdini<sup>a</sup>, C. Vernieri<sup>a,c,2</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Roma <sup>a</sup>, Università di Roma <sup>b</sup>, Roma, Italy**

L. Barone<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Cavallari<sup>a</sup>, G. D'imperio<sup>a,b</sup>, D. Del Re<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Diemoz<sup>a</sup>, C. Jordà<sup>a</sup>, E. Longo<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Margaroli<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Meridiani<sup>a</sup>, F. Micheli<sup>a,b,2</sup>, S. Nourbakhsh<sup>a,b</sup>, G. Organtini<sup>a,b</sup>, R. Paramatti<sup>a</sup>, S. Rahatlou<sup>a,b</sup>, C. Rovelli<sup>a</sup>, F. Santanastasio<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Soffi<sup>a,b,2</sup>, P. Traczyk<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Torino <sup>a</sup>, Università di Torino <sup>b</sup>, Università del Piemonte Orientale (Novara) <sup>c</sup>, Torino, Italy**

N. Amapane<sup>a,b</sup>, R. Arcidiacono<sup>a,c</sup>, S. Argiro<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Arneodo<sup>a,c</sup>, R. Bellan<sup>a,b</sup>, C. Biino<sup>a</sup>, N. Cartiglia<sup>a</sup>, S. Casasso<sup>a,b,2</sup>, M. Costa<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Degano<sup>a,b</sup>, N. Demaria<sup>a</sup>, L. Finco<sup>a,b</sup>, C. Mariotti<sup>a</sup>, S. Maselli<sup>a</sup>, E. Migliore<sup>a,b</sup>, V. Monaco<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Musich<sup>a</sup>, M.M. Obertino<sup>a,c,2</sup>, G. Ortona<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Pacher<sup>a,b</sup>, N. Pastrone<sup>a</sup>, M. Pelliccioni<sup>a</sup>, G.L. Pinna Angioni<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Potenza<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Romero<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Ruspa<sup>a,c</sup>, R. Sacchi<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Solano<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Staiano<sup>a</sup>, U. Tamponi<sup>a</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Trieste <sup>a</sup>, Università di Trieste <sup>b</sup>, Trieste, Italy**

S. Belforte<sup>a</sup>, V. Candelise<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Casarsa<sup>a</sup>, F. Cossutti<sup>a</sup>, G. Della Ricca<sup>a,b</sup>, B. Gobbo<sup>a</sup>, C. La Licata<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Marone<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Schizzi<sup>a,b</sup>, T. Umer<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Zanetti<sup>a</sup>

**Kangwon National University, Chunchon, Korea**

S. Chang, A. Kropivnitskaya, S.K. Nam

**Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea**

D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, M.S. Kim, D.J. Kong, S. Lee, Y.D. Oh, H. Park, A. Sakharov, D.C. Son

**Chonbuk National University, Jeonju, Korea**

T.J. Kim

**Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea**

J.Y. Kim, S. Song

**Korea University, Seoul, Korea**

S. Choi, D. Gyun, B. Hong, M. Jo, H. Kim, Y. Kim, B. Lee, K.S. Lee, S.K. Park, Y. Roh

**University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea**

M. Choi, J.H. Kim, I.C. Park, G. Ryu, M.S. Ryu

**Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea**

Y. Choi, Y.K. Choi, J. Goh, D. Kim, E. Kwon, J. Lee, H. Seo, I. Yu



**Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania**

A. Juodagalvis

**National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia**

J.R. Komaragiri, M.A.B. Md Ali

**Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico**

E. Casimiro Linares, H. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, I. Heredia-de La Cruz<sup>28</sup>,  
A. Hernandez-Almada, R. Lopez-Fernandez, A. Sanchez-Hernandez

**Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico**

S. Carrillo Moreno, F. Vazquez Valencia

**Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico**

I. Pedraza, H.A. Salazar Ibarguen

**Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico**

A. Morelos Pineda

**University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand**

D. Krofcheck

**University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand**

P.H. Butler, S. Reucroft

**National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan**

A. Ahmad, M. Ahmad, Q. Hassan, H.R. Hoorani, W.A. Khan, T. Khurshid, M. Shoaib

**National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland**

H. Bialkowska, M. Bluj, B. Boimska, T. Frueboes, M. Górski, M. Kazana, K. Nawrocki,  
K. Romanowska-Rybinska, M. Szleper, P. Zalewski

**Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland**

G. Brona, K. Bunkowski, M. Cwiok, W. Dominik, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki,  
J. Krolikowski, M. Misiura, M. Olszewski, W. Wolszczak

**Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal**

P. Bargassa, C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva, P. Faccioli, P.G. Ferreira Parracho, M. Gallinaro, L. Lloret  
Iglesias, F. Nguyen, J. Rodrigues Antunes, J. Seixas, J. Varela, P. Vischia

**Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia**

S. Afanasiev, P. Bunin, I. Golutvin, V. Karjavin, V. Konoplyanikov, G. Kozlov, A. Lanev,  
A. Malakhov, V. Matveev<sup>29</sup>, P. Moiseenz, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, M. Savina, S. Shmatov,  
S. Shulha, N. Skatchkov, V. Smirnov, A. Zarubin

**Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia**

V. Golovtsov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim<sup>30</sup>, P. Levchenko, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, I. Smirnov, V. Sulimov,  
L. Uvarov, S. Vavilov, A. Vorobyev, An. Vorobyev

**Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia**

Yu. Andreev, A. Dermenev, S. Gninenko, N. Golubev, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, A. Pashenkov,  
D. Tlisov, A. Toropin

**Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia**

V. Epshteyn, V. Gavrilov, N. Lychkovskaya, V. Popov, I. Pozdnyakov, G. Safronov, S. Semenov,  
A. Spiridonov, V. Stolin, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin

**P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia**

V. Andreev, M. Azarkin, I. Dremin, M. Kirakosyan, A. Leonidov, G. Mesyats, S.V. Rusakov, A. Vinogradov

**Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia**

A. Belyaev, E. Boos, V. Bunichev, M. Dubinin<sup>31</sup>, L. Dudko, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, V. Klyukhin, O. Kodolova, I. Lokhtin, S. Obraztsov, V. Savrin, A. Snigirev

**State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia**

I. Azhgirey, I. Bayshev, S. Bitioukov, V. Kachanov, A. Kalinin, D. Konstantinov, V. Krychkin, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, A. Sobol, L. Tourtchanovitch, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov

**University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia**

P. Adzic<sup>32</sup>, M. Ekmedzic, J. Milosevic, V. Rekovic

**Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain**

J. Alcaraz Maestre, C. Battilana, E. Calvo, M. Cerrada, M. Chamizo Llatas, N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, D. Domínguez Vázquez, A. Escalante Del Valle, C. Fernandez Bedoya, J.P. Fernández Ramos, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, P. Garcia-Abia, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, E. Navarro De Martino, A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo, J. Puerta Pelayo, A. Quintario Olmeda, I. Redondo, L. Romero, M.S. Soares

**Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain**

C. Albajar, J.F. de Trocóniz, M. Missiroli, D. Moran

**Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain**

H. Brun, J. Cuevas, J. Fernandez Menendez, S. Folgueras, I. Gonzalez Caballero

**Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain**

J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, J. Duarte Campderros, M. Fernandez, G. Gomez, A. Graziano, A. Lopez Virto, J. Marco, R. Marco, C. Martinez Rivero, F. Matorras, F.J. Munoz Sanchez, J. Piedra Gomez, T. Rodrigo, A.Y. Rodríguez-Marrero, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Scodellaro, I. Vila, R. Vilar Cortabitarte

**CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland**

D. Abbaneo, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, M. Bachtis, P. Baillon, A.H. Ball, D. Barney, A. Benaglia, J. Bendavid, L. Benhabib, J.F. Benitez, C. Bernet<sup>7</sup>, P. Bloch, A. Bocci, A. Bonato, O. Bondu, C. Botta, H. Breuker, T. Camporesi, G. Cerminara, S. Colafranceschi<sup>33</sup>, M. D'Alfonso, D. d'Enterria, A. Dabrowski, A. David, F. De Guio, A. De Roeck, S. De Visscher, E. Di Marco, M. Dobson, M. Dordevic, N. Dupont-Sagorin, A. Elliott-Peisert, J. Eugster, G. Franzoni, W. Funk, D. Gigi, K. Gill, D. Giordano, M. Girone, F. Glege, R. Guida, S. Gundacker, M. Guthoff, J. Hammer, M. Hansen, P. Harris, J. Hegeman, V. Innocente, P. Janot, K. Kousouris, K. Krajczar, P. Lecoq, C. Lourenço, N. Magini, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli, J. Marrouche, L. Masetti, F. Meijers, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, F. Moortgat, S. Morovic, M. Mulders, P. Musella, L. Orsini, L. Pape, E. Perez, L. Perrozzi, A. Petrilli, G. Petrucciani, A. Pfeiffer, M. Pierini, M. Pimiä, D. Piparo, M. Plagge, A. Racz, G. Rolandi<sup>34</sup>, M. Rovere, H. Sakulin, C. Schäfer, C. Schwick, A. Sharma, P. Siegrist, P. Silva, M. Simon, P. Sphicas<sup>35</sup>, D. Spiga, J. Steggemann, B. Stieger, M. Stoye, Y. Takahashi, D. Treille, A. Tsiros, G.I. Veres<sup>17</sup>, N. Wardle, H.K. Wöhri, H. Wollny, W.D. Zeuner

**Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland**

W. Bertl, K. Deiters, W. Erdmann, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, D. Renker, T. Rohe

**Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland**

F. Bachmair, L. Bäni, L. Bianchini, M.A. Buchmann, B. Casal, N. Chanon, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà, M. Dünser, P. Eller, C. Grab, D. Hits, J. Hoss, W. Luster, B. Mangano, A.C. Marini, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, M. Masciovecchio, D. Meister, N. Mohr, C. Nägeli<sup>36</sup>, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, F. Pandolfi, F. Pauss, M. Peruzzi, M. Quittnat, L. Rebane, M. Rossini, A. Starodumov<sup>37</sup>, M. Takahashi, K. Theofilatos, R. Wallny, H.A. Weber

**Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland**

C. AMSLER<sup>38</sup>, M.F. Canelli, V. Chiochia, A. De Cosa, A. Hinzmann, T. Hreus, B. Kilminster, C. Lange, B. Millan Mejias, J. Ngadiuba, P. Robmann, F.J. Ronga, S. Taroni, M. Verzetti, Y. Yang

**National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan**

M. Cardaci, K.H. Chen, C. Ferro, C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, Y.J. Lu, R. Volpe, S.S. Yu

**National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan**

P. Chang, Y.H. Chang, Y.W. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, P.H. Chen, C. Dietz, U. Grundler, W.-S. Hou, K.Y. Kao, Y.F. Liu, R.-S. Lu, D. Majumder, E. Petrakou, Y.M. Tzeng, R. Wilken

**Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand**

B. Asavapibhop, G. Singh, N. Srimanobhas, N. Suwonjandee

**Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey**

A. Adiguzel, M.N. Bakirci<sup>39</sup>, S. Cerci<sup>40</sup>, C. Dozen, I. Dumanoglu, E. Eskut, S. Girgis, G. Gokbulut, E. Gurpinar, I. Hos, E.E. Kangal, A. Kayis Topaksu, G. Onengut<sup>41</sup>, K. Ozdemir, S. Ozturk<sup>39</sup>, A. Polatoz, D. Sunar Cerci<sup>40</sup>, B. Tali<sup>40</sup>, H. Topakli<sup>39</sup>, M. Vergili

**Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey**

I.V. Akin, B. Bilin, S. Bilmis, H. Gamsizkan<sup>42</sup>, B. Isildak<sup>43</sup>, G. Karapinar<sup>44</sup>, K. Ocalan<sup>45</sup>, S. Sekmen, U.E. Surat, M. Yalvac, M. Zeyrek

**Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey**

E.A. Albayrak<sup>46</sup>, E. Gülmez, M. Kaya<sup>47</sup>, O. Kaya<sup>48</sup>, T. Yetkin<sup>49</sup>

**Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey**

K. Cankocak, F.I. Vardarli

**National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine**

L. Levchuk, P. Sorokin

**University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom**

J.J. Brooke, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, J. Goldstein, M. Grimes, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, J. Jacob, L. Kreczko, C. Lucas, Z. Meng, D.M. Newbold<sup>50</sup>, S. Paramesvaran, A. Poll, T. Sakuma, S. Senkin, V.J. Smith, T. Williams

**Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom**

K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev<sup>51</sup>, C. Brew, R.M. Brown, D.J.A. Cockerill, J.A. Coughlan, K. Harder, S. Harper, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, A. Thea, I.R. Tomalin, W.J. Womersley, S.D. Worm

**Imperial College, London, United Kingdom**

M. Baber, R. Bainbridge, O. Buchmuller, D. Burton, D. Colling, N. Cripps, M. Cutajar, P. Dauncey, G. Davies, M. Della Negra, P. Dunne, W. Ferguson, J. Fulcher, D. Futyan, G. Hall,

G. Iles, M. Jarvis, G. Karapostoli, M. Kenzie, R. Lane, R. Lucas<sup>50</sup>, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, S. Malik, B. Mathias, J. Nash, A. Nikitenko<sup>37</sup>, J. Pela, M. Pesaresi, K. Petridis, D.M. Raymond, S. Rogerson, A. Rose, C. Seez, P. Sharp<sup>†</sup>, A. Tapper, M. Vazquez Acosta, T. Virdee, S.C. Zenz

**Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom**

J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, D. Leggat, D. Leslie, W. Martin, I.D. Reid, P. Symonds, L. Teodorescu, M. Turner

**Baylor University, Waco, USA**

J. Dittmann, K. Hatakeyama, A. Kasmi, H. Liu, T. Scarborough

**The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA**

O. Charaf, S.I. Cooper, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio

**Boston University, Boston, USA**

A. Avetisyan, T. Bose, C. Fantasia, P. Lawson, C. Richardson, J. Rohlf, J. St. John, L. Sulak

**Brown University, Providence, USA**

J. Alimena, E. Berry, S. Bhattacharya, G. Christopher, D. Cutts, Z. Demiragli, N. Dhingra, A. Ferapontov, A. Garabedian, U. Heintz, G. Kukartsev, E. Laird, G. Landsberg, M. Luk, M. Narain, M. Segala, T. Sinthuprasith, T. Speer, J. Swanson

**University of California, Davis, Davis, USA**

R. Breedon, G. Breto, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, S. Chauhan, M. Chertok, J. Conway, R. Conway, P.T. Cox, R. Erbacher, M. Gardner, W. Ko, R. Lander, T. Miceli, M. Mulhearn, D. Pellett, J. Pilot, F. Ricci-Tam, M. Searle, S. Shalhout, J. Smith, M. Squires, D. Stolp, M. Tripathi, S. Wilbur, R. Yohay

**University of California, Los Angeles, USA**

R. Cousins, P. Everaerts, C. Farrell, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, G. Rakness, E. Takasugi, V. Valuev, M. Weber

**University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA**

K. Burt, R. Clare, J. Ellison, J.W. Gary, G. Hanson, J. Heilman, M. Ivova Rikova, P. Jandir, E. Kennedy, F. Lacroix, O.R. Long, A. Luthra, M. Malberti, M. Olmedo Negrete, A. Shrinivas, S. Sumowidagdo, S. Wimpenny

**University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA**

J.G. Branson, G.B. Cerati, S. Cittolin, R.T. D'Agnolo, A. Holzner, R. Kelley, D. Klein, J. Letts, I. Macneill, D. Olivito, S. Padhi, C. Palmer, M. Pieri, M. Sani, V. Sharma, S. Simon, E. Sudano, M. Tadel, Y. Tu, A. Vartak, C. Welke, F. Würthwein, A. Yagil

**University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, USA**

D. Barge, J. Bradmiller-Feld, C. Campagnari, T. Danielson, A. Dishaw, V. Dutta, K. Flowers, M. Franco Sevilla, P. Geffert, C. George, F. Golf, L. Gouskos, J. Incandela, C. Justus, N. Mccoll, J. Richman, D. Stuart, W. To, C. West, J. Yoo

**California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA**

A. Apresyan, A. Bornheim, J. Bunn, Y. Chen, J. Duarte, A. Mott, H.B. Newman, C. Pena, C. Rogan, M. Spiropulu, V. Timciuc, J.R. Vlimant, R. Wilkinson, S. Xie, R.Y. Zhu

**Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA**

V. Azzolini, A. Calamba, B. Carlson, T. Ferguson, Y. Iiyama, M. Paulini, J. Russ, H. Vogel, I. Vorobiev

**University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, USA**

J.P. Cumalat, W.T. Ford, A. Gaz, M. Krohn, E. Luiggi Lopez, U. Nauenberg, J.G. Smith, K. Stenson, K.A. Ulmer, S.R. Wagner

**Cornell University, Ithaca, USA**

J. Alexander, A. Chatterjee, J. Chaves, J. Chu, S. Dittmer, N. Eggert, N. Mirman, G. Nicolas Kaufman, J.R. Patterson, A. Ryd, E. Salvati, L. Skinnari, W. Sun, W.D. Teo, J. Thom, J. Thompson, J. Tucker, Y. Weng, L. Winstrom, P. Wittich

**Fairfield University, Fairfield, USA**

D. Winn

**Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA**

S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, J. Anderson, G. Apollinari, L.A.T. Bauerdick, A. Beretvas, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat, G. Bolla, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, S. Cihangir, V.D. Elvira, I. Fisk, J. Freeman, Y. Gao, E. Gottschalk, L. Gray, D. Green, S. Grünendahl, O. Gutsche, J. Hanlon, D. Hare, R.M. Harris, J. Hirschauer, B. Hooberman, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, K. Kaadze, B. Klima, B. Kreis, S. Kwan, J. Linacre, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, T. Liu, J. Lykken, K. Maeshima, J.M. Marraffino, V.I. Martinez Outschoorn, S. Maruyama, D. Mason, P. McBride, P. Merkel, K. Mishra, S. Mrenna, Y. Musienko<sup>29</sup>, S. Nahn, C. Newman-Holmes, V. O'Dell, O. Prokofyev, E. Sexton-Kennedy, S. Sharma, A. Soha, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, R. Vidal, A. Whitbeck, J. Whitmore, F. Yang

**University of Florida, Gainesville, USA**

D. Acosta, P. Avery, P. Bortignon, D. Bourilkov, M. Carver, D. Curry, S. Das, M. De Gruttola, G.P. Di Giovanni, R.D. Field, M. Fisher, I.K. Furic, J. Hugon, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, T. Kypreos, J.F. Low, K. Matchev, P. Milenov<sup>52</sup>, G. Mitselmakher, L. Muniz, A. Rinkevicius, L. Shchutska, M. Snowball, D. Sperka, J. Yelton, M. Zakaria

**Florida International University, Miami, USA**

S. Hewamanage, S. Linn, P. Markowitz, G. Martinez, J.L. Rodriguez

**Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA**

T. Adams, A. Askew, J. Bochenek, B. Diamond, J. Haas, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, K.F. Johnson, H. Prosper, V. Veeraraghavan, M. Weinberg

**Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA**

M.M. Baarmand, M. Hohlmann, H. Kalakhety, F. Yumiceva

**University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA**

M.R. Adams, L. Apanasevich, V.E. Bazterra, D. Berry, R.R. Betts, I. Bucinskaite, R. Cavanaugh, O. Evdokimov, L. Gauthier, C.E. Gerber, D.J. Hofman, S. Khalatyan, P. Kurt, D.H. Moon, C. O'Brien, C. Silkworth, P. Turner, N. Varelas

**The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA**

B. Bilki<sup>53</sup>, W. Clarida, K. Dilsiz, F. Duru, M. Haytmyradov, J.-P. Merlo, H. Mermerkaya<sup>54</sup>, A. Mestvirishvili, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, H. Ogul, Y. Onel, F. Ozok<sup>46</sup>, A. Penzo, R. Rahmat, S. Sen, P. Tan, E. Tiras, J. Wetzel, K. Yi

**Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA**

B.A. Barnett, B. Blumenfeld, S. Bolognesi, D. Fehling, A.V. Gritsan, P. Maksimovic, C. Martin, M. Swartz

**The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA**

P. Baringer, A. Bean, G. Benelli, C. Bruner, R.P. Kenny III, M. Malek, M. Murray, D. Noonan, S. Sanders, J. Sekaric, R. Stringer, Q. Wang, J.S. Wood

**Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA**

I. Chakaberia, A. Ivanov, S. Khalil, M. Makouski, Y. Maravin, L.K. Saini, S. Shrestha, N. Skhirtladze, I. Svintradze

**Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA**

J. Gronberg, D. Lange, F. Rebassoo, D. Wright

**University of Maryland, College Park, USA**

A. Baden, A. Belloni, B. Calvert, S.C. Eno, J.A. Gomez, N.J. Hadley, R.G. Kellogg, T. Kolberg, Y. Lu, M. Marionneau, A.C. Mignerey, K. Pedro, A. Skuja, M.B. Tonjes, S.C. Tonwar

**Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA**

A. Apyan, R. Barbieri, G. Bauer, W. Busza, I.A. Cali, M. Chan, L. Di Matteo, G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, D. Gulhan, M. Klute, Y.S. Lai, Y.-J. Lee, A. Levin, P.D. Luckey, T. Ma, C. Paus, D. Ralph, C. Roland, G. Roland, G.S.F. Stephans, F. Stöckli, K. Sumorok, D. Velicanu, J. Veverka, B. Wyslouch, M. Yang, M. Zanetti, V. Zhukova

**University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA**

B. Dahmes, A. Gude, S.C. Kao, K. Klapoetke, Y. Kubota, J. Mans, N. Pastika, R. Rusack, A. Singovsky, N. Tambe, J. Turkewitz

**University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA**

J.G. Acosta, S. Oliveros

**University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA**

E. Avdeeva, K. Bloom, S. Bose, D.R. Claes, A. Dominguez, R. Gonzalez Suarez, J. Keller, D. Knowlton, I. Kravchenko, J. Lazo-Flores, S. Malik, F. Meier, F. Ratnikov, G.R. Snow, M. Zvada

**State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA**

J. Dolen, A. Godshalk, I. Iashvili, A. Kharchilava, A. Kumar, S. Rappoccio

**Northeastern University, Boston, USA**

G. Alverson, E. Barberis, D. Baumgartel, M. Chasco, J. Haley, A. Massironi, D.M. Morse, D. Nash, T. Orimoto, D. Trocino, R.-J. Wang, D. Wood, J. Zhang

**Northwestern University, Evanston, USA**

K.A. Hahn, A. Kubik, N. Mucia, N. Odell, B. Pollack, A. Pozdnyakov, M. Schmitt, S. Stoynev, K. Sung, M. Velasco, S. Won

**University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA**

A. Brinkerhoff, K.M. Chan, A. Drozdetskiy, M. Hildreth, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, N. Kellams, K. Lannon, W. Luo, S. Lynch, N. Marinelli, T. Pearson, M. Planer, R. Ruchti, N. Valls, M. Wayne, M. Wolf, A. Woodard

**The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA**

L. Antonelli, J. Brinson, B. Bylsma, L.S. Durkin, S. Flowers, A. Hart, C. Hill, R. Hughes, K. Kotov, T.Y. Ling, D. Puigh, M. Rodenburg, G. Smith, B.L. Winer, H. Wolfe, H.W. Wulsin

**Princeton University, Princeton, USA**

O. Driga, P. Elmer, J. Hardenbrook, P. Hebda, A. Hunt, S.A. Koay, P. Lujan, D. Marlow, T. Medvedeva, M. Mooney, J. Olsen, P. Piroué, X. Quan, H. Saka, D. Stickland<sup>2</sup>, C. Tully, J.S. Werner, A. Zuranski

**University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA**

E. Brownson, H. Mendez, J.E. Ramirez Vargas

**Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA**

V.E. Barnes, D. Benedetti, D. Bortoletto, M. De Mattia, L. Gutay, Z. Hu, M.K. Jha, M. Jones, K. Jung, M. Kress, N. Leonardo, D. Lopes Pegna, V. Maroussov, D.H. Miller, N. Neumeister, B.C. Radburn-Smith, X. Shi, I. Shipsey, D. Silvers, A. Svyatkovskiy, F. Wang, W. Xie, L. Xu, H.D. Yoo, J. Zablocki, Y. Zheng

**Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, USA**

N. Parashar, J. Stupak

**Rice University, Houston, USA**

A. Adair, B. Akgun, K.M. Ecklund, F.J.M. Geurts, W. Li, B. Michlin, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Roberts, J. Zabel

**University of Rochester, Rochester, USA**

B. Betchart, A. Bodek, R. Covarelli, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, Y. Eshaq, T. Ferbel, A. Garcia-Bellido, P. Goldenzweig, J. Han, A. Harel, A. Khukhunaishvili, S. Korjenevski, G. Petrillo, D. Vishnevskiy

**The Rockefeller University, New York, USA**

R. Ciesielski, L. Demortier, K. Goulios, G. Lungu, C. Mesropian

**Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA**

S. Arora, A. Barker, J.P. Chou, C. Contreras-Campana, E. Contreras-Campana, D. Duggan, D. Ferencek, Y. Gershtein, R. Gray, E. Halkiadakis, D. Hidas, S. Kaplan, A. Lath, S. Panwalkar, M. Park, R. Patel, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S. Thomas, P. Thomassen, M. Walker

**University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA**

K. Rose, S. Spanier, A. York

**Texas A&M University, College Station, USA**

O. Bouhali<sup>55</sup>, A. Castaneda Hernandez, R. Eusebi, W. Flanagan, J. Gilmore, T. Kamon<sup>56</sup>, V. Khotilovich, V. Krutelyov, R. Montalvo, I. Osipenkov, Y. Pakhotin, A. Perloff, J. Roe, A. Rose, A. Safonov, I. Suarez, A. Tatarinov

**Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA**

N. Akchurin, C. Cowden, J. Damgov, C. Dragoiu, P.R. Duderu, J. Faulkner, K. Kovitanggoon, S. Kunori, S.W. Lee, T. Libeiro, I. Volobouev

**Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA**

E. Appelt, A.G. Delannoy, S. Greene, A. Gurrola, W. Johns, C. Maguire, Y. Mao, A. Melo, M. Sharma, P. Sheldon, B. Snook, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska

**University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA**

M.W. Arenton, S. Boutle, B. Cox, B. Francis, J. Goodell, R. Hirosky, A. Ledovskoy, H. Li, C. Lin, C. Neu, J. Wood

**Wayne State University, Detroit, USA**

C. Clarke, R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, C. Kottachchi Kankanamge Don, P. Lamichhane, J. Sturdy

**University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA**

D.A. Belknap, D. Carlsmith, M. Cepeda, S. Dasu, L. Dodd, S. Duric, E. Friis, R. Hall-Wilton, M. Herndon, A. Hervé, P. Klabbbers, A. Lanaro, C. Lazaridis, A. Levine, R. Loveless,

A. Mohapatra, I. Ojalvo, T. Perry, G.A. Pierro, G. Polese, I. Ross, T. Sarangi, A. Savin, W.H. Smith, D. Taylor, P. Verwilligen, C. Vuosalo, N. Woods

†: Deceased

- 1: Also at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria
- 2: Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland
- 3: Also at Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France
- 4: Also at National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia
- 5: Also at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
- 6: Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil
- 7: Also at Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France
- 8: Also at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
- 9: Also at Suez University, Suez, Egypt
- 10: Also at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt
- 11: Also at Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt
- 12: Also at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt
- 13: Now at Sultan Qaboos University, Muscat, Oman
- 14: Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France
- 15: Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany
- 16: Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
- 17: Also at Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary
- 18: Also at University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary
- 19: Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India
- 20: Now at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
- 21: Also at University of Ruhuna, Matara, Sri Lanka
- 22: Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran
- 23: Also at University of Tehran, Department of Engineering Science, Tehran, Iran
- 24: Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran
- 25: Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy
- 26: Also at Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) - IN2P3, Paris, France
- 27: Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA
- 28: Also at Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolas de Hidalgo, Morelia, Mexico
- 29: Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia
- 30: Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia
- 31: Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA
- 32: Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
- 33: Also at Facoltà Ingegneria, Università di Roma, Roma, Italy
- 34: Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy
- 35: Also at University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- 36: Also at Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland
- 37: Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
- 38: Also at Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Bern, Switzerland
- 39: Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey
- 40: Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey
- 41: Also at Cag University, Mersin, Turkey
- 42: Also at Anadolu University, Eskisehir, Turkey
- 43: Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey



- 44: Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey
- 45: Also at Necmettin Erbakan University, Konya, Turkey
- 46: Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey
- 47: Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 48: Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey
- 49: Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 50: Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
- 51: Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom
- 52: Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia
- 53: Also at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, USA
- 54: Also at Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey
- 55: Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar
- 56: Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea