



CMS-TOP-12-007

CERN-PH-EP/2013-234
2014/02/17

Measurement of the $t\bar{t}$ production cross section in the dilepton channel in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV

The CMS Collaboration^{*}

Abstract

The top-antitop quark ($t\bar{t}$) production cross section is measured in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC, using a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 5.3 fb^{-1} . The measurement is performed by analysing events with a pair of electrons or muons, or one electron and one muon, and at least two jets, one of which is identified as originating from hadronisation of a bottom quark. The measured cross section is $239 \pm 2 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 11 \text{ (syst.)} \pm 6 \text{ (lum.) pb}$, for an assumed top-quark mass of 172.5 GeV, in agreement with the prediction of the standard model.

Published in the Journal of High Energy Physics as doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2014)024.

1 Introduction

A precise measurement of the $t\bar{t}$ production cross section can be used to test the theory of quantum chromodynamics (QCD) at next-to-next-to-leading-order (NNLO) level. It can be also used in global fits of the parton distribution functions (PDF) at NNLO, and allows an estimation of $\alpha_s(M_Z)$ as described in [1, 2]. Furthermore, top-quark production is an important source of background in many searches for physics beyond the standard model (SM). A large sample of top-quark events has been collected at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), and studies of top-quark production have been conducted in various decay channels as well as searches for deviations from the SM predictions [3–9].

This paper presents a measurement of the $t\bar{t}$ production cross section, $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$, based on the dilepton channel (e^+e^- , $\mu^+\mu^-$, and $e^\pm\mu^\mp$) in a data sample of proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 5.3 fb^{-1} recorded by the Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS) experiment. In the SM, top quarks are predominantly produced in $t\bar{t}$ pairs via the strong interaction and decay almost exclusively to a W boson and a bottom quark. We measure the $t\bar{t}$ production cross section selecting final states that contain two leptons of opposite electric charge, momentum imbalance associated to the neutrinos from the W boson decays, and two jets of particles resulting from the hadronisation of two b quarks.

2 The CMS detector and simulation

The CMS detector [10] has a superconducting solenoid occupying the central region that provides an axial magnetic field of 3.8 T. The silicon pixel and the strip tracker cover $0 < \phi < 2\pi$ in azimuth and $|\eta| < 2.5$ in pseudorapidity, where η is defined as $\eta = -\ln[\tan(\theta/2)]$, with θ being the polar angle measured with respect to the anticlockwise-beam direction. The lead-tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter and the brass/scintillator hadron calorimeter are located inside the solenoid. Muons are measured in gas-ionisation detectors embedded in the steel flux return yoke outside the solenoid. The detector is nearly hermetic, thereby providing reliable measurement of momentum imbalance in the plane transverse to the beams. A two-tier trigger system selects the most interesting pp collisions for offline analysis.

Several MC event generators are used to simulate signal and background events: MADGRAPH (v. 5.1.4.8) [11], POWHEG (r1380) [12] and PYTHIA (v. 6.424) [13], depending on the process considered. The MADGRAPH generator with spin correlations is used to model $t\bar{t}$ events with a top-quark mass of 172.5 GeV and combined with PYTHIA to simulate parton showering, hadronisation, and the underlying event. The MADGRAPH generator is also used to simulate the W+jets and Drell-Yan (DY) processes. Single-top-quark events are simulated using POWHEG. Inclusive production of the WZ and ZZ diboson final states is simulated with PYTHIA. Production of WW fully leptonic final states is simulated with MADGRAPH. Decays of τ leptons are handled with TAUOLA (v. 2.75) [14]. The contributions from WW, WZ and ZZ (referred to as “VV”) and single-top-quark production are taken from MC simulations with appropriate next-to-leading order (NLO) cross sections. All other backgrounds are estimated from control samples extracted from collision data.

The $t\bar{t}$ production cross section amounts to $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 252.9^{+6.4}_{-8.6}$ (scale) ± 11.7 (PDF + α_s) pb as calculated with the TOP++ program [15] at NNLO in perturbative QCD, including soft-gluon resummation at next-to-next-to-leading-log order [16], and assuming a top-quark mass $m_t = 172.5$ GeV. The first uncertainty comes from the independent variation of the factorisation and renormalisation scales, μ_F and μ_R , while the second one is associated to variations in the PDF and α_s following the PDF4LHC prescriptions [17]. Expected signal yields in figures and tables

are normalised to that value unless otherwise stated.

The simulated samples include additional interactions per bunch crossing (pileup), with the distribution matching that observed in data.

3 Event selection

Event selection is similar to that used for the measurement of the $t\bar{t}$ dilepton cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 7\text{ TeV}$ [4]. At trigger level, events are required to have two electrons, two muons, or one electron and one muon, where one of these leptons has transverse momentum $p_T > 17\text{ GeV}$ and the other has $p_T > 8\text{ GeV}$. Events are then selected with two oppositely charged leptons reconstructed with the CMS particle-flow (PF) algorithm [18], both with $p_T > 20\text{ GeV}$ and $|\eta| < 2.5$ for electrons and $|\eta| < 2.1$ for muons. In events with more than one pair of leptons passing these selections, the pair of opposite-sign leptons with the largest value of total transverse momentum is selected. Events with τ leptons contribute to the measurement only if they decay to electrons or muons that satisfy the selection requirements. The efficiency for dilepton triggers is measured in data through triggers based on transverse momentum imbalance. The trigger efficiency is approximately 90% to 93% for the three final states. Using the measured dilepton trigger efficiency in data, the corresponding efficiencies in the simulation are corrected by p_T and η multiplicative data-to-simulation scale factors (SFs), which have an average value of 0.96 and uncertainties in the range 1 to 2%.

Charged-lepton candidates from W-boson decays are usually isolated from other particles in the event. For each electron or muon candidate, a cone of $\Delta R < 0.3$ is constructed around the track direction at the event vertex, where ΔR is defined as $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2}$, and $\Delta\eta$ and $\Delta\phi$ are the differences in pseudorapidity and azimuthal angle between any energy deposit and the axis of the lepton track. The scalar sum of the p_T of all particles reconstructed with the PF algorithm, consistent with the chosen primary vertex and contained within the cone, is calculated, excluding the contribution from the lepton candidate itself. The relative isolation discriminant, I_{rel} , is defined as the ratio of this sum to the p_T of the lepton candidate. The neutral component is corrected for pileup based on the average energy density deposited by neutral particles in the event: an average transverse energy due to pileup is determined event by event and is subtracted from the transverse energy in the isolation cone. A lepton candidate is rejected if $I_{\text{rel}} > 0.15$. The efficiency of the lepton selection is measured using a “tag-and-probe” method in dilepton events enriched in Z-boson candidates, as described in [4, 19]. The measured values for the combined identification and isolation efficiencies are typically of 96% for muons and 90% for electrons. Based on a comparison of lepton selection efficiencies in data and simulation, the event yield in simulation is corrected by p_T -and η -dependent SFs, which have an average value of 0.99 and uncertainties in the range 1 to 2% to provide consistency with data. Considering also the dilepton trigger, the combined factors have an average value of 0.96 and uncertainties around 2% for the three $t\bar{t}$ final states.

Dilepton candidate events with an invariant mass $M_{\ell\ell} < 20\text{ GeV}$ ($\ell = e$ or μ) are removed to suppress backgrounds from heavy-flavour resonances, as well as contributions from low-mass DY processes. Events with dilepton invariant masses within $\pm 15\text{ GeV}$ of the Z mass are also rejected in the same-flavour channels.

Jets are reconstructed from the PF particle candidates using the anti- k_T clustering algorithm [20] with a distance parameter of 0.5. The jet energy is corrected for pileup in a manner similar to the correction of the energy inside the lepton isolation cone. Jet energy corrections are also applied as a function of the jet p_T and η [21]. Events are required to have at least two reconstructed jets

with $p_T > 30 \text{ GeV}$ and $|\eta| < 2.5$.

The missing transverse energy, \cancel{E}_T , is defined as the magnitude of the momentum imbalance, which is the negative sum of the momenta of all reconstructed particles in the plane transverse to the beams. A value of $\cancel{E}_T > 40 \text{ GeV}$ is required in the e^+e^- and $\mu^+\mu^-$ channels while no \cancel{E}_T requirement is imposed for the $e^\pm\mu^\mp$ mode, as there is very little contamination from DY events in this channel.

Since $t\bar{t}$ events contain jets from hadronisation of b quarks, requiring their presence can reduce background from events without b quarks. Jets are identified as b jets using the combined secondary vertex algorithm (CSV) [22]. The operating point chosen for CSV corresponds to an identification efficiency of about 85% and a misidentification (mistag) probability of about 10% [23] for light-flavour jets (u, d, s and gluons). The selection requires the presence of at least one b jet in the event.

Figure 1 shows the p_T distributions of the highest- p_T lepton and jet after jet multiplicity selection, for all three final states combined. In this and the following figures the signal yields refer to an assumed top-quark mass of 172.5 GeV. The hatched regions correspond to the total statistical uncertainties in the predicted event yields. The ratio of the data to the sum of simulations and data-based predictions for the signal and backgrounds is shown in the bottom panels. A detailed description of the different background estimates is given in section 4. The multiplicities of selected jets and b jets are shown in figure 2 for the $e^\pm\mu^\mp$ channel, which is expected to have less background contamination. A similar level of agreement is obtained with the e^+e^- and $\mu^+\mu^-$ channels.




Figure 1: The p_T distributions of the highest- p_T lepton (left) and jet (right) after the jet multiplicity selection, for all three final states. The expected distributions for $t\bar{t}$ signal and individual backgrounds are shown after data-based corrections are applied; the last bin contains the overflow events. The hatched bands correspond to the total statistical uncertainty in the event yields for the sum of the $t\bar{t}$ and background predictions. The ratios of data to the sum of the expected yields are given at the bottom.




Figure 2: Jet multiplicity (left) in events passing the dilepton criteria, and (right) b-jet multiplicity in events passing the full event selections but before the b-jet requirement, for the $e^\pm\mu^\mp$ channel. In the right figure, the hatched bands show the total statistical and b-jet systematic uncertainties in the event yields for the sum of the $t\bar{t}$ and background predictions. The hatched bands in the left figure show only the total statistical uncertainty on the predicted event yields. The ratios of data to the sum of the expected yields are given at the bottom.

4 Background determination

Backgrounds in this analysis arise from single-top-quark, DY and VV events, in which at least two prompt leptons are produced from Z or W decays. Other background sources, such as $t\bar{t}$ or W+jets events with decays into lepton+jets and where at least one jet is incorrectly reconstructed as a lepton (which mainly happens for electrons) or a lepton from the decay of bottom or charm hadrons (which mainly happens for muons), are grouped into the non-W/Z lepton category. Background yields from single-top-quark and VV events are estimated from simulation, while all other backgrounds are estimated from data.

The DY background is estimated using the “ $R_{\text{out/in}}$ ” method [3, 4, 24] in which the events outside of the Z mass window are obtained by normalising the event yield from simulation to the observed number of events inside the Z mass window. The data-to-simulation scale factor is found to be 1.3 ± 0.4 for the $e^\pm\mu^\mp$ channel. This value is compatible with 1.5 ± 0.5 , which is estimated using a template fit as described in [4]. For the e^+e^- and $\mu^+\mu^-$ channels the factors are found to be 1.7 ± 0.5 and 1.6 ± 0.5 , respectively.

Non-prompt leptons can arise from decays of mesons or heavy-flavour quarks, jet misidentification, photon conversions, or finite resolution detector effects whereas prompt leptons usually originate from decays of W or Z bosons and are isolated and well identified. Backgrounds with non-prompt leptons are estimated [25] from a control sample of collision data in which leptons are selected with relaxed identification and isolation requirements defining the loose lepton candidate, while the set of signal selection cuts described in section 3 defines the tight lepton candidate. The prompt and non-prompt lepton ratios are defined as the ratio of the number of tight candidates to the number of loose ones as measured from samples enriched in leptonic decays of Z bosons or in QCD dijet events, respectively. These ratios, parametrized as a function of p_T and η of the lepton, are then used to weight the events in the loose-loose dilepton sample, to obtain the estimated contribution from the non-prompt lepton background in the

signal region. The systematic uncertainty comes from the jet p_T spectrum in dijet events and amounts, together with the statistical one, to 40% of the estimated yield.

5 Sources of systematic uncertainty

Simulated events are scaled according to the lepton efficiency correction factors, which are typically close to one, measured using control samples in data, leading to a 1 to 2% uncertainty in the $t\bar{t}$ selection efficiency.

The impact of uncertainty in the jet energy scale (JES) and jet energy resolution (JER) are estimated from the change observed in the number of selected MC $t\bar{t}$ events after varying the jet momenta within the JES uncertainties [21], and in the case of JER by an η -dependent correction with an average of $\pm 10\%$. For the e^+e^- and $\mu^+\mu^-$ channels these uncertainties are also propagated to \cancel{E}_T resulting in a larger uncertainty than for the $e^\pm\mu^\mp$ channel.

The uncertainties on the b jet scale factors in $t\bar{t}$ signal events are approximately 2% for b jets and 10% for mistagged jets [22, 23], depending on the p_T of the jets. They are propagated to the $t\bar{t}$ selection efficiency in simulated events.

The uncertainty assigned to the pileup simulation amounts to 0.8%, as obtained by varying the inelastic cross section by 5%. The uncertainty in the integrated luminosity is 2.6% [26].

The systematic effects related to the missing higher-order diagrams in MADGRAPH are estimated with two different methods. The uncertainty in the signal acceptance is determined by varying the renormalisation and factorisation scales simultaneously up and down by a factor of two using MADGRAPH, and the uncertainty is taken as the maximum difference after the final event selection. The effect on the calculated $t\bar{t}$ production cross section is 2.3%, which is the value used in the analysis for this uncertainty. This estimate is cross-checked by comparing the predictions of the leading-order and NLO generators MADGRAPH and POWHEG, where both use PYTHIA for hadronisation and extra radiation. The systematic uncertainty is found to be 2.2%, comparable with the above estimate.

The matching between the matrix elements (ME) and the parton shower (PS) evolution is done by applying the MLM prescription [27]. Changing the thresholds that control the matching of partons from the matrix element with those from PS by factors of 0.5 and 2.0 for one of the parameters (minimum k_T measure between partons) and 0.75 and 1.5 for the other (jet matching threshold for the k_T -MLM scheme) compared to the default thresholds, produces a 1.6% variation in the $t\bar{t}$ event selection efficiency.

The uncertainty arising from the hadronisation model affects mainly the JES and the fragmentation of b jets. As the b-jet efficiencies and mistagging rates are taken from data, no additional uncertainty is expected from this source. The uncertainty in the JES already contains a contribution from the uncertainty in the hadronisation. The hadronisation uncertainty is also determined by comparing samples of events generated with POWHEG where the hadronisation is modelled with PYTHIA or HERWIG, and the effect on the calculated $t\bar{t}$ cross section is 1.4%, which is well within the JES uncertainty.

Uncertainties in the selected number of single-top-quark and VV events are calculated following the same prescription as for the signal yield. In addition, an uncertainty in the cross sections for single-top-quark and VV backgrounds, taken from measurements and estimated to be approximately 20% [28–36], is added in quadrature.

Table 1 summarizes the magnitude of the systematic uncertainties on the $t\bar{t}$ production cross

section from the different sources.

Table 1: Summary of the individual contributions to the systematic uncertainty on the $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$ measurement. The uncertainties are given in pb. The statistical uncertainty on the result is given for comparison.

Source	e^+e^-	$\mu^+\mu^-$	$e^\pm\mu^\mp$
Trigger efficiencies	4.1	3.0	3.6
Lepton efficiencies	5.8	5.6	4.0
Lepton energy scale	0.6	0.3	0.2
Jet energy scale	10.3	10.8	5.2
Jet energy resolution	3.2	4.0	3.0
b-jet tagging	1.9	1.9	1.7
Pileup	1.7	1.5	2.0
Scale (μ_F and μ_R)	5.7	5.5	5.6
Matching partons to showers	3.9	3.8	3.8
Single top quark	2.6	2.4	2.3
VV	0.7	0.7	0.5
Drell–Yan	10.8	10.3	1.5
Non-W/Z leptons	0.9	3.2	1.9
Total systematic	18.6	18.6	11.4
Integrated luminosity	6.4	6.1	6.2
Statistical	5.2	4.5	2.6

6 Results

The $t\bar{t}$ production cross section is measured by counting events after applying the selection criteria described in section 3. Table 2 shows the total number of events observed in data and the number of signal and background events expected from simulation or estimates from data. Table 3 lists the mean acceptance (which contains contributions from $W \rightarrow \tau\nu_\tau$, with leptonic τ decays) multiplied by the selection efficiency and the branching fraction in the dilepton final state, and the measured cross section for each of the three final states, e^+e^- , $\mu^+\mu^-$, and $e^\pm\mu^\mp$, which give compatible results. The e^+e^- and $\mu^+\mu^-$ channels have two additional sources of uncertainty, arising from the DY background estimation and from the propagation of the JES to the \cancel{E}_T estimation, which limit the precision of the measurement of $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$ in those final states.

A combination of the three final states using the BLUE method [37] yields a measured cross section of $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 239.0 \pm 2.1 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 11.3 \text{ (syst.)} \pm 6.2 \text{ (lum.)} \text{ pb}$ for a top-quark mass of 172.5 GeV. In the combination, the systematic uncertainties are 100% correlated across channels, except those associated to the lepton efficiencies, which have a correlation coefficient of 0.64 for e^+e^- with $e^\pm\mu^\mp$ and 0.55 for $\mu^+\mu^-$ with $e^\pm\mu^\mp$. Finally, the uncertainties associated with the data-based estimates and the statistical uncertainties are taken as uncorrelated.

In this analysis the dependence of the acceptance on the top-quark mass is found to be quadratic within the present uncertainty of the top-quark mass [38]. The cross-section dependence in the range 160–185 GeV can be parametrized as

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}}/\sigma_{t\bar{t}}(m_t = 172.5) = 1.00 - 0.009 \times (m_t - 172.5) - 0.000168 \times (m_t - 172.5)^2 \quad (1)$$

where m_t is given in GeV. Assuming a top-quark mass value of 173.2 GeV [38], a cross section value $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 237.5 \pm 13.1 \text{ pb}$ is obtained.

Figure 3 shows the distributions of $M_{\ell\ell}$, \cancel{E}_T and the difference of the azimuthal angle between the two selected leptons ($\Delta\phi_{\ell\ell}$) and their ratios to expectations for the $e^\pm\mu^\mp$ channel, which dominates the combination.




Figure 3: Distributions of (upper left) the dilepton invariant-mass, (upper right) the \cancel{E}_T , and (lower) the difference of the azimuthal angle between the two selected leptons, after the b-jet multiplicity selection and for the $e^\pm\mu^\mp$ channel. For the first two plots the last bin contains the overflow events. The expected distributions for $t\bar{t}$ signal, in this case, are normalised to the measured $t\bar{t}$ cross section. The hatched bands correspond to the total uncertainty in the predicted event yields for the sum of the $t\bar{t}$ and background predictions. The ratios of data to the sum of the expected yields are given at the bottom.

7 Summary

A measurement of the $t\bar{t}$ production cross section in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8\text{ TeV}$ is presented for events containing a lepton pair (e^+e^- , $\mu^+\mu^-$, $e^\pm\mu^\mp$), at least two jets with at least one tagged as b jet, and a large imbalance in transverse momentum in the final state. The measurement is obtained through an event-counting analysis based on a data sample

corresponding to 5.3 fb^{-1} . The result obtained by combining the three final states is $\sigma_{\bar{t}\bar{t}} = 239 \pm 2 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 11 \text{ (syst.)} \pm 6 \text{ (lum.) pb}$, in agreement with the prediction of the standard model for a top-quark mass of 172.5 GeV .

Table 2: Number of dilepton events after applying the event selection and requiring at least one b jet. The results are given for the individual sources of background, $t\bar{t}$ signal with a top-quark mass of 172.5 GeV and $\sigma_{\bar{t}\bar{t}} = 252.9 \text{ pb}$, and data. The uncertainties correspond to the statistical and systematic components added in quadrature.

Source	Number of events		
	e^+e^-	$\mu^+\mu^-$	$e^\pm\mu^\mp$
Drell-Yan	386 ± 116	492 ± 148	194 ± 58
Non-W/Z leptons	25 ± 10	114 ± 46	185 ± 72
Single top quark	127 ± 28	157 ± 34	413 ± 88
VV	30 ± 8	39 ± 10	94 ± 21
Total background	569 ± 120	802 ± 159	886 ± 130
$t\bar{t}$ dilepton signal	2728 ± 182	3630 ± 250	9624 ± 504
Data	3204	4180	9982

Table 3: The total efficiencies ϵ_{total} , i.e. the products of event acceptance, selection efficiency and branching fraction for the respective $t\bar{t}$ final states, as estimated from simulation for a top-quark mass of 172.5 GeV , and the measured $t\bar{t}$ production cross sections, where the uncertainties are from statistical, systematic and integrated luminosity components, respectively.

	e^+e^-	$\mu^+\mu^-$	$e^\pm\mu^\mp$
$\epsilon_{\text{total}} (\%)$	0.203 ± 0.012	0.270 ± 0.017	0.717 ± 0.033
$\sigma_{\bar{t}\bar{t}} (\text{pb})$	$244.3 \pm 5.2 \pm 18.6 \pm 6.4$	$235.3 \pm 4.5 \pm 18.6 \pm 6.1$	$239.0 \pm 2.6 \pm 11.4 \pm 6.2$

Acknowledgments

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centres and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); MoER, SF0690030s09 and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); OTKA and NIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); NRF and WCU (Republic of Korea); LAS (Lithuania); CINVESTAV, CONACYT, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS and RFBR (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI and CPAN (Spain); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); NSC (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie programme and the European Research Council and EPLANET (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the A. P. Sloan

Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of Czech Republic; the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the Compagnia di San Paolo (Torino); the HOMING PLUS programme of Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced by EU, Regional Development Fund; and the Thalis and Aristeia programmes cofinanced by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF.

References

- [1] CMS Collaboration, “Determination of the top-quark pole mass and strong coupling constant from the $t\bar{t}$ production cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, (2013). arXiv:1307.1907.
- [2] M. Czakon, M. L. Mangano, A. Mitov and J. Rojo , “Constraints on the gluon PDF from top quark pair production at hadron colliders”, *JHEP* **07** (2013) 167, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2013)167, arXiv:1303.7215.
- [3] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the $t\bar{t}$ production cross section and the top quark mass in the dilepton channel in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, *JHEP* **07** (2011) 049, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2011)049, arXiv:1105.5661.
- [4] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the $t\bar{t}$ cross section in the dilepton channel in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, *JHEP* **11** (2012) 067, doi:10.1007/jhep11(2012)067, arXiv:1208.2671.
- [5] ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurement of the cross section for top-quark pair production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector using final states with two high-pt leptons”, *JHEP* **05** (2012) 059, doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2012)059, arXiv:1202.4892.
- [6] CMS Collaboration, “Measurements of $t\bar{t}$ spin correlations and top-quark polarization using dilepton final states in pp collisions at 7 TeV”, (2013). arXiv:1311.3924.
- [7] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the charge asymmetry in top-quark pair production in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **709** (2012) 28, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.01.078, arXiv:1112.5100.
- [8] ATLAS Collaboration, “Search for new phenomena in $t\bar{t}$ events with large missing transverse momentum”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012) 041805, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.041805, arXiv:1109.4725.
- [9] ATLAS Collaboration, “Search for anomalous production of prompt like-sign muon pairs and constraints on physics beyond the Standard Model”, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2012) 032004, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.85.032004, arXiv:1201.1091.
- [10] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC”, *JINST* **3** (2008) S08004, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004.
- [11] J. Alwall et al., “MadGraph 5: going beyond”, *JHEP* **06** (2011) 128, doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2011)128, arXiv:1106.0522.

- [12] S. Frixione, P. Nason, and C. Oleari, “Matching NLO QCD computations with parton shower simulations: the POWHEG method”, *JHEP* **11** (2007) 070, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/11/070, arXiv:0709.2092.
- [13] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, “PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual”, *JHEP* **05** (2006) 026, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/026, arXiv:hep-ph/0603175.
- [14] N. Davidson et al., “Universal Interface of TAUOLA Technical and Physics Documentation”, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **183** (2010) 821, doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2011.12.009, arXiv:1002.0543.
- [15] M. Czakon and A. Mitov, “Top++, a program for the calculation of the top-pair cross-section at hadron colliders”, (2013). arXiv:1112.5675.
- [16] M. Czakon, P. Fiedler and A. Mitov, “The total top quark production cross-section at hadron colliders through $O(\alpha_S^4)$ ”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013) 252004, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.252004, arXiv:1303.6254.
- [17] M. Botje et al., “The PDF4LHC Working Group Interim Recommendations”, (2011). arXiv:1101.0538.
- [18] CMS Collaboration, “Commissioning of the Particle-Flow Reconstruction in Minimum-Bias and Jet Events from pp Collisions at 7 TeV”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-PFT-10-002, (2010).
- [19] CMS Collaboration, “Measurements of Inclusive W and Z cross sections in pp Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, *JHEP* **01** (2011) 080, doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2011)080, arXiv:1012.2466.
- [20] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, “The anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm”, *JHEP* **04** (2008) 063, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/063, arXiv:0802.1189.
- [21] CMS Collaboration, “Determination of Jet Energy Calibration and Transverse Momentum Resolution in CMS”, *JINST* **6** (2011) P11002, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/6/11/P11002, arXiv:1107.4277.
- [22] CMS Collaboration, “Identification of b-quark jets with the CMS experiment”, *J. Instrum.* **8** (2013) 04013, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/8/04/P04013, arXiv:1211.4462.
- [23] CMS Collaboration, “Results on b-tagging identification in 8 TeV pp collisions”, CMS Performance Note CMS-DP-2013-005, (2013).
- [24] CMS Collaboration, “First measurement of the cross section for top-quark pair production in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **695** (2011) 424, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2010.11.058, arXiv:1010.5994.
- [25] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of Higgs boson production and properties in the WW decay channel with leptonic final states”, (2013). arXiv:1312.1129. Submitted to JHEP.
- [26] CMS Collaboration, “CMS Luminosity Based on Pixel Cluster Counting - Summer 2013 Update”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-13-001, (2013).
- [27] M. L. Mangano, M. Moretti, F. Piccinini and M. Treccani , “Matching matrix elements and shower evolution for top-quark production in hadronic collisions”, *JHEP* **01** (2007) 013, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/01/013.

- [28] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the W^+W^- and ZZ production cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **721** (2013) 190,
[doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.03.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.03.027), arXiv:1301.4698.
- [29] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the W^+W^- cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and limits on anomalous $WW\gamma$ and WWZ couplings”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) 2610,
[doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2610-8](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2610-8), arXiv:1306.1126.
- [30] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of WW Production and Search for the Higgs Boson in pp Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **699** (2011) 25,
[doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2011.03.056](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.03.056), arXiv:1102.5429.
- [31] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the sum of WW and WZ production with W+dijet events in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) 2283,
[doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2283-3](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2283-3), arXiv:1210.7544.
- [32] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the ZZ production cross section and search for anomalous couplings in $2\ell 2\ell'$ final states in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, *JHEP* **01** (2013) 063,
[doi:10.1007/JHEP01\(2013\)063](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2013)063), arXiv:1211.4890.
- [33] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the single-top-quark t-channel cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, *JHEP* **12** (2012) 035, [doi:10.1007/JHEP12\(2012\)035](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2012)035), arXiv:1209.4533.
- [34] ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurement of the WW cross section in $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV pp collisions with the ATLAS detector and limits on anomalous gauge couplings”, *Phys. Lett. B* **712** (2012) 289, [doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.05.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.05.003), arXiv:1203.6232.
- [35] ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurement of the $W^\pm Z$ production cross section and limits on anomalous triple gauge couplings in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector”, *Phys. Lett. B* **709** (2012) 341,
[doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.02.053](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.02.053), arXiv:1111.5570.
- [36] ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurement of the ZZ Production Cross Section and Limits on Anomalous Neutral Triple Gauge Couplings in Proton-Proton Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS Detector”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012) 041804,
[doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.041804](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.041804), arXiv:1110.5016.
- [37] L. Lyons, D. Gibaut, and P. Clifford, “How to combine correlated estimates of a single physical quantity”, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **270** (1988) 110,
[doi:10.1016/0168-9002\(88\)90018-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-9002(88)90018-6).
- [38] Particle Data Group, J. Beringer et al., “The Review of Particle Physics”, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 010001, [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.010001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.010001).

A The CMS Collaboration

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

S. Chatrchyan, V. Khachatryan, A.M. Sirunyan, A. Tumasyan

Institut für Hochenergiephysik der OeAW, Wien, Austria

W. Adam, T. Bergauer, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, C. Fabjan¹, M. Friedl, R. Frühwirth¹, V.M. Ghete, C. Hartl, N. Hörmann, J. Hrubec, M. Jeitler¹, W. Kiesenhofer, V. Knünz, M. Krammer¹, I. Krätschmer, D. Liko, I. Mikulec, D. Rabady², B. Rahbaran, H. Rohringer, R. Schöfbeck, J. Strauss, A. Taurok, W. Treberer-Treberspurg, W. Waltenberger, C.-E. Wulz¹

National Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Belarus

V. Mossolov, N. Shumeiko, J. Suarez Gonzalez

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

S. Alderweireldt, M. Bansal, S. Bansal, T. Cornelis, E.A. De Wolf, X. Janssen, A. Knutsson, S. Luyckx, L. Mucibello, S. Ochesanu, B. Roland, R. Rougny, H. Van Haevermaet, P. Van Mechelen, N. Van Remortel, A. Van Spilbeeck

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

F. Blekman, S. Blyweert, J. D'Hondt, N. Heracleous, A. Kalogeropoulos, J. Keaveney, T.J. Kim, S. Lowette, M. Maes, A. Olbrechts, D. Strom, S. Tavernier, W. Van Doninck, P. Van Mulders, G.P. Van Onsem, I. Villella

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

C. Caillol, B. Clerbaux, G. De Lentdecker, L. Favart, A.P.R. Gay, A. Léonard, P.E. Marage, A. Mohammadi, L. Perniè, T. Reis, T. Seva, L. Thomas, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, J. Wang

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

V. Adler, K. Beernaert, L. Benucci, A. Cimmino, S. Costantini, S. Dildick, G. Garcia, B. Klein, J. Lellouch, J. Mccartin, A.A. Ocampo Rios, D. Ryckbosch, S. Salva Diblen, M. Sigamani, N. Strobbe, F. Thyssen, M. Tytgat, S. Walsh, E. Yazgan, N. Zaganidis

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

S. Basegmez, C. Beluffi³, G. Bruno, R. Castello, A. Caudron, L. Ceard, G.G. Da Silveira, C. Delaere, T. du Pree, D. Favart, L. Forthomme, A. Giannanco⁴, J. Hollar, P. Jez, M. Komm, V. Lemaitre, J. Liao, O. Militaru, C. Nuttens, D. Pagano, A. Pin, K. Piotrzkowski, A. Popov⁵, L. Quertenmont, M. Selvaggi, M. Vidal Marono, J.M. Vizan Garcia

Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium

N. Belyi, T. Caebergs, E. Daubie, G.H. Hammad

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

G.A. Alves, M. Correa Martins Junior, T. Martins, M.E. Pol, M.H.G. Souza

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W.L. Aldá Júnior, W. Carvalho, J. Chinellato⁶, A. Custódio, E.M. Da Costa, D. De Jesus Damiao, C. De Oliveira Martins, S. Fonseca De Souza, H. Malbouisson, M. Malek, D. Matos Figueiredo, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, W.L. Prado Da Silva, J. Santaolalla, A. Santoro, A. Sznajder, E.J. Tonelli Manganote⁶, A. Vilela Pereira

Universidade Estadual Paulista ^a, Universidade Federal do ABC ^b, São Paulo, Brazil

C.A. Bernardes^b, F.A. Dias^{a,7}, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei^a, E.M. Gregores^b, P.G. Mercadante^b, S.F. Novaes^a, Sandra S. Padula^a

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, BulgariaV. Genchev², P. Iaydjiev², A. Marinov, S. Piperov, M. Rodozov, G. Sultanov, M. Vutova**University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria**

A. Dimitrov, I. Glushkov, R. Hadjiiska, V. Kozhuharov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, ChinaJ.G. Bian, G.M. Chen, H.S. Chen, M. Chen, R. Du, C.H. Jiang, D. Liang, S. Liang, X. Meng, R. Plestina⁸, J. Tao, X. Wang, Z. Wang**State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China**

C. Asawatangtrakuldee, Y. Ban, Y. Guo, Q. Li, W. Li, S. Liu, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, D. Wang, L. Zhang, W. Zou

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

C. Avila, C.A. Carrillo Montoya, L.F. Chaparro Sierra, C. Florez, J.P. Gomez, B. Gomez Moreno, J.C. Sanabria

Technical University of Split, Split, Croatia

N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, D. Polic, I. Puljak

University of Split, Split, Croatia

Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

V. Brigljevic, K. Kadija, J. Luetic, D. Mekterovic, S. Morovic, L. Tirkvica

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

A. Attikis, G. Mavromanolakis, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

M. Finger, M. Finger Jr.

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, EgyptA.A. Abdelalim⁹, Y. Assran¹⁰, S. Elgammal⁹, A. Ellithi Kamel¹¹, M.A. Mahmoud¹², A. Radi^{13,14}**National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia**

M. Kadastik, M. Müntel, M. Murumaa, M. Raidal, L. Rebane, A. Tiko

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

P. Eerola, G. Fedi, M. Voutilainen

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

J. Hätkönen, V. Karimäki, R. Kinnunen, M.J. Kortelainen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, P. Luukka, T. Mäenpää, T. Peltola, E. Tuominen, J. Tuominiemi, E. Tuovinen, L. Wendland

Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

T. Tuuva

DSM/IRFU, CEA/Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

M. Besancon, F. Couderc, M. Dejardin, D. Denegri, B. Fabbro, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, E. Locci, J. Malcles, A. Nayak, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, M. Titov

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France

S. Baffioni, F. Beaudette, P. Busson, C. Charlot, N. Daci, T. Dahms, M. Dalchenko, L. Dobrzynski, A. Florent, R. Granier de Cassagnac, P. Miné, C. Mironov, I.N. Naranjo, M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, P. Paganini, D. Sabes, R. Salerno, Y. Sirois, C. Veelken, Y. Yilmaz, A. Zabi

Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France

J.-L. Agram¹⁵, J. Andrea, D. Bloch, J.-M. Brom, E.C. Chabert, C. Collard, E. Conte¹⁵, F. Drouhin¹⁵, J.-C. Fontaine¹⁵, D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, C. Goetzmann, P. Juillet, A.-C. Le Bihan, P. Van Hove

Centre de Calcul de l’Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France

S. Gadrat

Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France

S. Beauceron, N. Beaupere, G. Boudoul, S. Brochet, J. Chasserat, R. Chierici, D. Contardo², P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, J. Fan, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, B. Ille, T. Kurca, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, S. Perries, J.D. Ruiz Alvarez, L. Sgandurra, V. Sordini, M. Vander Donckt, P. Verdier, S. Viret, H. Xiao

Institute of High Energy Physics and Informatization, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

Z. Tsamalaidze¹⁶

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

C. Autermann, S. Beranek, M. Bontenackels, B. Calpas, M. Edelhoff, L. Feld, O. Hindrichs, K. Klein, A. Ostapchuk, A. Perieanu, F. Raupach, J. Sammet, S. Schael, D. Sprenger, H. Weber, B. Wittmer, V. Zhukov⁵

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

M. Ata, J. Caudron, E. Dietz-Laursonn, D. Duchardt, M. Erdmann, R. Fischer, A. Güth, T. Hebbeker, C. Heidemann, K. Hoepfner, D. Klingebiel, S. Knutzen, P. Kreuzer, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, M. Olschewski, K. Padeken, P. Papacz, H. Reithler, S.A. Schmitz, L. Sonnenschein, D. Teyssier, S. Thüer, M. Weber

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

V. Cherepanov, Y. Erdogan, G. Flügge, H. Geenen, M. Geisler, W. Haj Ahmad, F. Hoehle, B. Kargoll, T. Kress, Y. Kuessel, J. Lingemann², A. Nowack, I.M. Nugent, L. Perchalla, O. Pooth, A. Stahl

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

I. Asin, N. Bartosik, J. Behr, W. Behrenhoff, U. Behrens, A.J. Bell, M. Bergholz¹⁷, A. Bethani, K. Borras, A. Burgmeier, A. Cakir, L. Calligaris, A. Campbell, S. Choudhury, F. Costanza, C. Diez Pardos, S. Dooling, T. Dorland, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, T. Eichhorn, G. Flucke, A. Geiser, A. Grebenyuk, P. Gunnellini, S. Habib, J. Hauk, G. Hellwig, M. Hempel, D. Horton, H. Jung, M. Kasemann, P. Katsas, J. Kieseler, C. Kleinwort, M. Krämer, D. Krücker, W. Lange, J. Leonard, K. Lipka, W. Lohmann¹⁷, B. Lutz, R. Mankel, I. Marfin, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, A.B. Meyer, J. Mnich, A. Mussgiller, S. Naumann-Emme, O. Novgorodova, F. Nowak, H. Perrey, A. Petrukhin, D. Pitzl, R. Placakyte, A. Raspereza, P.M. Ribeiro Cipriano, C. Riedl, E. Ron, M.Ö. Sahin, J. Salfeld-Nebgen, P. Saxena, R. Schmidt¹⁷, T. Schoerner-Sadenius, M. Schröder, M. Stein, A.D.R. Vargas Trevino, R. Walsh, C. Wissing

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

M. Aldaya Martin, V. Blobel, H. Enderle, J. Erfle, E. Garutti, K. Goebel, M. Görner, M. Gosselink, J. Haller, R.S. Höing, H. Kirschenmann, R. Klanner, R. Kogler, J. Lange, T. Lapsien, T. Lenz, I. Marchesini, J. Ott, T. Peiffer, N. Pietsch, D. Rathjens, C. Sander, H. Schettler, P. Schleper, E. Schlieckau, A. Schmidt, M. Seidel, J. Sibille¹⁸, V. Sola, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, D. Troendle, E. Usai, L. Vanelderen

Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany

C. Barth, C. Baus, J. Berger, C. Böser, E. Butz, T. Chwalek, W. De Boer, A. Descroix, A. Dierlamm, M. Feindt, M. Guthoff², F. Hartmann², T. Hauth², H. Held, K.H. Hoffmann, U. Husemann, I. Katkov⁵, A. Kornmayer², E. Kuznetsova, P. Lobelle Pardo, D. Martschei, M.U. Mozer, Th. Müller, M. Niegel, A. Nürnberg, O. Oberst, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, F. Ratnikov, S. Röcker, F.-P. Schilling, G. Schott, H.J. Simonis, F.M. Stober, R. Ulrich, J. Wagner-Kuhr, S. Wayand, T. Weiler, R. Wolf, M. Zeise

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

G. Anagnostou, G. Daskalakis, T. Geralis, S. Kesisoglou, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, A. Markou, C. Markou, E. Ntomari, A. Psallidas, I. Topsis-giotis

University of Athens, Athens, Greece

L. Gouskos, A. Panagiotou, N. Saoulidou, E. Stiliaris

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

X. Aslanoglou, I. Evangelou, G. Flouris, C. Foudas, J. Jones, P. Kokkas, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, E. Paradas

Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

G. Bencze, C. Hajdu, P. Hidas, D. Horvath¹⁹, F. Sikler, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztregombi²⁰, A.J. Zsigmond

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Molnar, J. Palinkas, Z. Szillasi

University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

J. Karancsi, P. Raics, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, India

S.K. Swain

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, N. Dhingra, R. Gupta, M. Kaur, M.Z. Mehta, M. Mittal, N. Nishu, A. Sharma, J.B. Singh

University of Delhi, Delhi, India

Ashok Kumar, Arun Kumar, S. Ahuja, A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, A. Kumar, S. Malhotra, M. Naimuddin, K. Ranjan, V. Sharma, R.K. Shrivpuri

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India

S. Banerjee, S. Bhattacharya, K. Chatterjee, S. Dutta, B. Gomber, Sa. Jain, Sh. Jain, R. Khurana, A. Modak, S. Mukherjee, D. Roy, S. Sarkar, M. Sharan, A.P. Singh

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India

A. Abdulsalam, D. Dutta, S. Kailas, V. Kumar, A.K. Mohanty², L.M. Pant, P. Shukla, A. Topkar

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research - EHEP, Mumbai, India

T. Aziz, R.M. Chatterjee, S. Ganguly, S. Ghosh, M. Guchait²¹, A. Gurtu²², G. Kole, S. Kumar, M. Maity²³, G. Majumder, K. Mazumdar, G.B. Mohanty, B. Parida, K. Sudhakar, N. Wickramage²⁴

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research - HEGR, Mumbai, India

S. Banerjee, S. Dugad

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

H. Arfaei, H. Bakhshiansohi, H. Behnamian, S.M. Etesami²⁵, A. Fahim²⁶, A. Jafari, M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, M. Naseri, S. Pakhtinat Mehdiabadi, B. Safarzadeh²⁷, M. Zeinali

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

M. Grunewald

INFN Sezione di Bari ^a, Università di Bari ^b, Politecnico di Bari ^c, Bari, Italy

M. Abbrescia^{a,b}, L. Barbone^{a,b}, C. Calabria^{a,b}, S.S. Chhibra^{a,b}, A. Colaleo^a, D. Creanza^{a,c}, N. De Filippis^{a,c}, M. De Palma^{a,b}, L. Fiore^a, G. Iaselli^{a,c}, G. Maggi^{a,c}, M. Maggi^a, B. Marangelli^{a,b}, S. My^{a,c}, S. Nuzzo^{a,b}, N. Pacifico^a, A. Pompili^{a,b}, G. Pugliese^{a,c}, R. Radogna^{a,b}, G. Selvaggi^{a,b}, L. Silvestris^a, G. Singh^{a,b}, R. Venditti^{a,b}, P. Verwilligen^a, G. Zito^a

INFN Sezione di Bologna ^a, Università di Bologna ^b, Bologna, Italy

G. Abbiendi^a, A.C. Benvenuti^a, D. Bonacorsi^{a,b}, S. Braibant-Giacomelli^{a,b}, L. Brigliadori^{a,b}, R. Campanini^{a,b}, P. Capiluppi^{a,b}, A. Castro^{a,b}, F.R. Cavallo^a, G. Codispoti^{a,b}, M. Cuffiani^{a,b}, G.M. Dallavalle^a, F. Fabbri^a, A. Fanfani^{a,b}, D. Fasanella^{a,b}, P. Giacomelli^a, C. Grandi^a, L. Guiducci^{a,b}, S. Marcellini^a, G. Masetti^a, M. Meneghelli^{a,b}, A. Montanari^a, F.L. Navarria^{a,b}, F. Odorici^a, A. Perrotta^a, F. Primavera^{a,b}, A.M. Rossi^{a,b}, T. Rovelli^{a,b}, G.P. Siroli^{a,b}, N. Tosi^{a,b}, R. Travaglini^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Catania ^a, Università di Catania ^b, CSFNSM ^c, Catania, Italy

S. Albergo^{a,b}, G. Cappello^a, M. Chiorboli^{a,b}, S. Costa^{a,b}, F. Giordano^{a,c,2}, R. Potenza^{a,b}, A. Tricomi^{a,b}, C. Tuve^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Firenze ^a, Università di Firenze ^b, Firenze, Italy

G. Barbagli^a, V. Ciulli^{a,b}, C. Civinini^a, R. D'Alessandro^{a,b}, E. Focardi^{a,b}, E. Gallo^a, S. Gonzi^{a,b}, V. Gori^{a,b}, P. Lenzi^{a,b}, M. Meschini^a, S. Paoletti^a, G. Sguazzoni^a, A. Tropiano^{a,b}

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

L. Benussi, S. Bianco, F. Fabbri, D. Piccolo

INFN Sezione di Genova ^a, Università di Genova ^b, Genova, Italy

P. Fabbricatore^a, R. Ferretti^{a,b}, F. Ferro^a, M. Lo Vetere^{a,b}, R. Musenich^a, E. Robutti^a, S. Tosi^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca ^a, Università di Milano-Bicocca ^b, Milano, Italy

A. Benaglia^a, M.E. Dinardo^{a,b}, S. Fiorendi^{a,b,2}, S. Gennai^a, R. Gerosa, A. Ghezzi^{a,b}, P. Govoni^{a,b}, M.T. Lucchini^{a,b,2}, S. Malvezzi^a, R.A. Manzoni^{a,b,2}, A. Martelli^{a,b,2}, B. Marzocchi, D. Menasce^a, L. Moroni^a, M. Paganoni^{a,b}, D. Pedrini^a, S. Ragazzi^{a,b}, N. Redaelli^a, T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Napoli ^a, Università di Napoli 'Federico II' ^b, Università della Basilicata (Potenza) ^c, Università G. Marconi (Roma) ^d, Napoli, Italy

S. Buontempo^a, N. Cavallo^{a,c}, F. Fabozzi^{a,c}, A.O.M. Iorio^{a,b}, L. Lista^a, S. Meola^{a,d,2}, M. Merola^a, P. Paolucci^{a,2}

INFN Sezione di Padova ^a, Università di Padova ^b, Università di Trento (Trento) ^c, Padova, Italy

P. Azzi^a, N. Bacchetta^a, D. Bisello^{a,b}, A. Branca^{a,b}, R. Carlin^{a,b}, P. Checchia^a, T. Dorigo^a, M. Galanti^{a,b,2}, F. Gasparini^{a,b}, U. Gasparini^{a,b}, P. Giubilato^{a,b}, F. Gonella^a, A. Gozzelino^a, K. Kanishchev^{a,c}, S. Lacaprara^a, I. Lazzizzera^{a,c}, M. Margoni^{a,b}, A.T. Meneguzzo^{a,b}, F. Montecassiano^a, M. Passaseo^a, J. Pazzini^{a,b}, N. Pozzobon^{a,b}, P. Ronchese^{a,b}, F. Simonetto^{a,b}, E. Torassa^a, M. Tosi^{a,b}, P. Zotto^{a,b}, A. Zucchetta^{a,b}, G. Zumerle^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Pavia ^a, Università di Pavia ^b, Pavia, Italy

M. Gabusi^{a,b}, S.P. Ratti^{a,b}, C. Riccardi^{a,b}, P. Vitulo^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Perugia ^a, Università di Perugia ^b, Perugia, Italy

M. Biasini^{a,b}, G.M. Bilei^a, L. Fanò^{a,b}, P. Lariccia^{a,b}, G. Mantovani^{a,b}, M. Menichelli^a, F. Romeo^{a,b}, A. Saha^a, A. Santocchia^{a,b}, A. Spiezia^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Pisa ^a, Università di Pisa ^b, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa ^c, Pisa, Italy

K. Androsov^{a,28}, P. Azzurri^a, G. Bagliesi^a, J. Bernardini^a, T. Boccali^a, G. Broccolo^{a,c}, R. Castaldi^a, M.A. Ciocci^{a,28}, R. Dell'Orso^a, F. Fiori^{a,c}, L. Foà^{a,c}, A. Giassi^a, M.T. Grippo^{a,28}, A. Kraan^a, F. Ligabue^{a,c}, T. Lomtadze^a, L. Martini^{a,b}, A. Messineo^{a,b}, C.S. Moon^{a,29}, F. Palla^a, A. Rizzi^{a,b}, A. Savoy-Navarro^{a,30}, A.T. Serban^a, P. Spagnolo^a, P. Squillaciotti^{a,28}, R. Tenchini^a, G. Tonelli^{a,b}, A. Venturi^a, P.G. Verdini^a, C. Vernieri^{a,c}

INFN Sezione di Roma ^a, Università di Roma ^b, Roma, Italy

L. Barone^{a,b}, F. Cavallari^a, D. Del Re^{a,b}, M. Diemoz^a, M. Grassi^{a,b}, C. Jorda^a, E. Longo^{a,b}, F. Margaroli^{a,b}, P. Meridiani^a, F. Micheli^{a,b}, S. Nourbakhsh^{a,b}, G. Organtini^{a,b}, R. Paramatti^a, S. Rahatlou^{a,b}, C. Rovelli^a, L. Soffi^{a,b}, P. Traczyk^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Torino ^a, Università di Torino ^b, Università del Piemonte Orientale (Novara) ^c, Torino, Italy

N. Amapane^{a,b}, R. Arcidiacono^{a,c}, S. Argiro^{a,b}, M. Arneodo^{a,c}, R. Bellan^{a,b}, C. Biino^a, N. Cartiglia^a, S. Casasso^{a,b}, M. Costa^{a,b}, A. Degano^{a,b}, N. Demaria^a, C. Mariotti^a, S. Maselli^a, E. Migliore^{a,b}, V. Monaco^{a,b}, M. Musich^a, M.M. Obertino^{a,c}, G. Ortona^{a,b}, L. Pacher^{a,b}, N. Pastrone^a, M. Pelliccioni^{a,2}, A. Potenza^{a,b}, A. Romero^{a,b}, M. Ruspa^{a,c}, R. Sacchi^{a,b}, A. Solano^{a,b}, A. Staiano^a, U. Tamponi^a

INFN Sezione di Trieste ^a, Università di Trieste ^b, Trieste, Italy

S. Belforte^a, V. Candelise^{a,b}, M. Casarsa^a, F. Cossutti^a, G. Della Ricca^{a,b}, B. Gobbo^a, C. La Licata^{a,b}, M. Marone^{a,b}, D. Montanino^{a,b}, A. Penzo^a, A. Schizzi^{a,b}, T. Umer^{a,b}, A. Zanetti^a

Kangwon National University, Chunchon, Korea

S. Chang, T.Y. Kim, S.K. Nam

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, J.E. Kim, M.S. Kim, D.J. Kong, S. Lee, Y.D. Oh, H. Park, D.C. Son

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea

J.Y. Kim, Zero J. Kim, S. Song

Korea University, Seoul, Korea

S. Choi, D. Gyun, B. Hong, M. Jo, H. Kim, Y. Kim, K.S. Lee, S.K. Park, Y. Roh

University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea

M. Choi, J.H. Kim, C. Park, I.C. Park, S. Park, G. Ryu

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea

Y. Choi, Y.K. Choi, J. Goh, E. Kwon, B. Lee, J. Lee, H. Seo, I. Yu

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

A. Juodagalvis

University of Malaya Jabatan Fizik, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

J.R. Komaragiri

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, MexicoH. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, I. Heredia-de La Cruz³¹, R. Lopez-Fernandez, J. Martinez-Ortega, A. Sanchez-Hernandez, L.M. Villasenor-Cendejas**Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico**

S. Carrillo Moreno, F. Vazquez Valencia

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

H.A. Salazar Ibarguen

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico

E. Casimiro Linares, A. Morelos Pineda

University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

D. Kofcheck

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

P.H. Butler, R. Doesburg, S. Reucroft

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

M. Ahmad, M.I. Asghar, J. Butt, H.R. Hoorani, S. Khalid, W.A. Khan, T. Khurshid, S. Qazi, M.A. Shah, M. Shoib

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, PolandH. Bialkowska, M. Bluj³², B. Boimska, T. Frueboes, M. Górska, M. Kazana, K. Nawrocki, K. Romanowska-Rybinska, M. Szleper, G. Wrochna, P. Zalewski**Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland**

G. Brona, K. Bunkowski, M. Cwiok, W. Dominik, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski, M. Misiura, W. Wolszczak

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, PortugalP. Bargassa, C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva, P. Faccioli, P.G. Ferreira Parracho, M. Gallinaro, F. Nguyen, J. Rodrigues Antunes, J. Seixas², J. Varela, P. Vischia**Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia**S. Afanasiev, P. Bunin, I. Golutvin, I. Gorbunov, A. Kamenev, V. Karjavin, V. Konoplyanikov, G. Kozlov, A. Lanev, A. Malakhov, V. Matveev³³, P. Moisenz, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, S. Shmatov, N. Skatchkov, V. Smirnov, A. Zarubin**Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia**

V. Golovtsov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim, P. Levchenko, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, I. Smirnov, V. Sulimov, L. Uvarov, S. Vavilov, A. Vorobyev, An. Vorobyev

Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia

Yu. Andreev, A. Dermenev, S. Glinenko, N. Golubev, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, A. Pashenkov, D. Tlisov, A. Toropin

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia

V. Epshteyn, V. Gavrilov, N. Lychkovskaya, V. Popov, G. Safronov, S. Semenov, A. Spiridonov, V. Stolin, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin

P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia

V. Andreev, M. Azarkin, I. Dremin, M. Kirakosyan, A. Leonidov, G. Mesyats, S.V. Rusakov, A. Vinogradov

Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

A. Belyaev, E. Boos, V. Bunichev, M. Dubinin⁷, L. Dudko, A. Ershov, V. Klyukhin, O. Kodolova, I. Loktin, S. Obraztsov, M. Perfilov, V. Savrin, N. Tsirova

State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia

I. Azhgirey, I. Bayshev, S. Bitioukov, V. Kachanov, A. Kalinin, D. Konstantinov, V. Krychkine, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, A. Sobol, L. Tourtchanovitch, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia

P. Adzic³⁴, M. Djordjevic, M. Ekmedzic, J. Milosevic

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

M. Aguilar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz Maestre, C. Battilana, E. Calvo, M. Cerrada, M. Chamizo Llatas², N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, D. Domínguez Vázquez, C. Fernandez Bedoya, J.P. Fernández Ramos, A. Ferrando, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, P. Garcia-Abia, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, G. Merino, E. Navarro De Martino, J. Puerta Pelayo, A. Quintario Olmeda, I. Redondo, L. Romero, M.S. Soares, C. Willmott

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

C. Albajar, J.F. de Trocóniz, M. Missiroli

Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain

H. Brun, J. Cuevas, J. Fernandez Menendez, S. Folgueras, I. Gonzalez Caballero, L. Lloret Iglesias

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, S.H. Chuang, J. Duarte Campderros, M. Fernandez, G. Gomez, J. Gonzalez Sanchez, A. Graziano, A. Lopez Virto, J. Marco, R. Marco, C. Martinez Rivero, F. Matorras, F.J. Munoz Sanchez, J. Piedra Gomez, T. Rodrigo, A.Y. Rodríguez-Marrero, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Scodellaro, I. Vila, R. Vilar Cortabitarte

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

D. Abbaneo, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, M. Bachtis, P. Baillon, A.H. Ball, D. Barney, J. Bendavid, L. Benhabib, J.F. Benitez, C. Bernet⁸, G. Bianchi, P. Bloch, A. Bocci, A. Bonato, O. Bondu, C. Botta, H. Breuker, T. Camporesi, G. Cerminara, T. Christiansen, J.A. Coarasa Perez, S. Colafranceschi³⁵, M. D'Alfonso, D. d'Enterria, A. Dabrowski, A. David, F. De Guio, A. De Roeck, S. De Visscher, S. Di Guida, M. Dobson, N. Dupont-Sagorin, A. Elliott-Peisert, J. Eugster, G. Franzoni, W. Funk, M. Giffels, D. Gigi, K. Gill, M. Girone, M. Giunta, F. Glege, R. Gomez-Reino Garrido, S. Gowdy, R. Guida, J. Hammer, M. Hansen, P. Harris, V. Innocente, P. Janot, E. Karavakis, K. Kousouris, K. Krajczar, P. Lecoq, C. Lourenço, N. Magini, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli, L. Masetti, F. Meijers, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, F. Moortgat, M. Mulders, P. Musella, L. Orsini, E. Palencia Cortezon, E. Perez, L. Perrozzi, A. Petrilli, G. Petrucciani, A. Pfeiffer,

M. Pierini, M. Pimiä, D. Piparo, M. Plagge, A. Racz, W. Reece, G. Rolandi³⁶, M. Rovere, H. Sakulin, F. Santanastasio, C. Schäfer, C. Schwick, S. Sekmen, A. Sharma, P. Siegrist, P. Silva, M. Simon, P. Sphicas³⁷, J. Steggemann, B. Stieger, M. Stoye, A. Tsirou, G.I. Veres²⁰, J.R. Vlimant, H.K. Wöhri, W.D. Zeuner

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

W. Bertl, K. Deiters, W. Erdmann, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, S. König, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, D. Renker, T. Rohe

Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

F. Bachmair, L. Bäni, L. Bianchini, P. Bortignon, M.A. Buchmann, B. Casal, N. Chanon, A. Deisher, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà, M. Dünser, P. Eller, C. Grab, D. Hits, W. Lustermann, B. Mangano, A.C. Marini, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, D. Meister, N. Mohr, C. Nägeli³⁸, P. Nef, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, F. Pandolfi, L. Pape, F. Pauss, M. Peruzzi, M. Quittnat, F.J. Ronga, M. Rossini, A. Starodumov³⁹, M. Takahashi, L. Tauscher[†], K. Theofilatos, D. Treille, R. Wallny, H.A. Weber

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

C. Amsler⁴⁰, V. Chiochia, A. De Cosa, C. Favaro, A. Hinzmann, T. Hreus, M. Ivova Rikova, B. Kilminster, B. Millan Mejias, J. Ngadiuba, P. Robmann, H. Snoek, S. Taroni, M. Verzetti, Y. Yang

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

M. Cardaci, K.H. Chen, C. Ferro, C.M. Kuo, S.W. Li, W. Lin, Y.J. Lu, R. Volpe, S.S. Yu

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

P. Bartalini, P. Chang, Y.H. Chang, Y.W. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, P.H. Chen, C. Dietz, U. Grundler, W.-S. Hou, Y. Hsiung, K.Y. Kao, Y.J. Lei, Y.F. Liu, R.-S. Lu, D. Majumder, E. Petrakou, X. Shi, J.G. Shiu, Y.M. Tzeng, M. Wang, R. Wilken

Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

B. Asavapibhop, N. Suwonjandee

Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey

A. Adiguzel, M.N. Bakirci⁴¹, S. Cerci⁴², C. Dozen, I. Dumanoglu, E. Eskut, S. Girgis, G. Gokbulut, E. Gurpinar, I. Hos, E.E. Kangal, A. Kayis Topaksu, G. Onengut⁴³, K. Ozdemir, S. Ozturk⁴¹, A. Polatoz, K. Sogut⁴⁴, D. Sunar Cerci⁴², B. Tali⁴², H. Topakli⁴¹, M. Vergili

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

I.V. Akin, T. Aliev, B. Bilin, S. Bilmis, M. Deniz, H. Gamsizkan, A.M. Guler, G. Karapinar⁴⁵, K. Ocalan, A. Ozpineci, M. Serin, R. Sever, U.E. Surat, M. Yalvac, M. Zeyrek

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

E. Gülmez, B. Isildak⁴⁶, M. Kaya⁴⁷, O. Kaya⁴⁷, S. Ozkorucuklu⁴⁸

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

H. Bahtiyar⁴⁹, E. Barlas, K. Cankocak, Y.O. Günaydin⁵⁰, F.I. Vardarli, M. Yücel

National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine

L. Levchuk, P. Sorokin

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

J.J. Brooke, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, R. Frazier, J. Goldstein, M. Grimes, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, J. Jacob, L. Kreczko, C. Lucas, Z. Meng, D.M. Newbold⁵¹, S. Paramesvaran, A. Poll, S. Senkin, V.J. Smith, T. Williams

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev⁵², C. Brew, R.M. Brown, D.J.A. Cockerill, J.A. Coughlan, K. Harder, S. Harper, J. Ilic, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, A. Thea, I.R. Tomalin, W.J. Womersley, S.D. Worm

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

M. Baber, R. Bainbridge, O. Buchmuller, D. Burton, D. Colling, N. Cripps, M. Cutajar, P. Dauncey, G. Davies, M. Della Negra, W. Ferguson, J. Fulcher, D. Futyan, A. Gilbert, A. Guneratne Bryer, G. Hall, Z. Hatherell, J. Hays, G. Iles, M. Jarvis, G. Karapostoli, M. Kenzie, R. Lane, R. Lucas⁵¹, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, J. Marrouche, B. Mathias, R. Nandi, J. Nash, A. Nikitenko³⁹, J. Pela, M. Pesaresi, K. Petridis, M. Pioppi⁵³, D.M. Raymond, S. Rogerson, A. Rose, C. Seez, P. Sharp[†], A. Sparrow, A. Tapper, M. Vazquez Acosta, T. Virdee, S. Wakefield, N. Wardle

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, D. Leggat, D. Leslie, W. Martin, I.D. Reid, P. Symonds, L. Teodorescu, M. Turner

Baylor University, Waco, USA

J. Dittmann, K. Hatakeyama, A. Kasmi, H. Liu, T. Scarborough

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA

O. Charaf, S.I. Cooper, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio

Boston University, Boston, USA

A. Avetisyan, T. Bose, C. Fantasia, A. Heister, P. Lawson, D. Lazic, J. Rohlf, D. Sperka, J. St. John, L. Sulak

Brown University, Providence, USA

J. Alimena, S. Bhattacharya, G. Christopher, D. Cutts, Z. Demiragli, A. Ferapontov, A. Garabedian, U. Heintz, S. Jabeen, G. Kukartsev, E. Laird, G. Landsberg, M. Luk, M. Narain, M. Segala, T. Sinthuprasith, T. Speer, J. Swanson

University of California, Davis, Davis, USA

R. Breedon, G. Breto, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, S. Chauhan, M. Chertok, J. Conway, R. Conway, P.T. Cox, R. Erbacher, M. Gardner, W. Ko, A. Kopecky, R. Lander, T. Miceli, D. Pellett, J. Pilot, F. Ricci-Tam, B. Rutherford, M. Searle, S. Shalhout, J. Smith, M. Squires, M. Tripathi, S. Wilbur, R. Yohay

University of California, Los Angeles, USA

V. Andreev, D. Cline, R. Cousins, S. Erhan, P. Everaerts, C. Farrell, M. Felcini, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, C. Jarvis, G. Rakness, P. Schlein[†], E. Takasugi, V. Valuev, M. Weber

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA

J. Babb, R. Clare, J. Ellison, J.W. Gary, G. Hanson, J. Heilman, P. Jandir, F. Lacroix, H. Liu, O.R. Long, A. Luthra, M. Malberti, H. Nguyen, A. Shrinivas, J. Sturdy, S. Sumowidagdo, S. Wimpenny

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA

W. Andrews, J.G. Branson, G.B. Cerati, S. Cittolin, R.T. D'Agnolo, D. Evans, A. Holzner, R. Kelley, D. Kovalskyi, M. Lebourgeois, J. Letts, I. Macneill, S. Padhi, C. Palmer, M. Pieri, M. Sani, V. Sharma, S. Simon, E. Sudano, M. Tadel, Y. Tu, A. Vartak, S. Wasserbaech⁵⁴, F. Würthwein, A. Yagil, J. Yoo

University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, USA

D. Barge, C. Campagnari, T. Danielson, K. Flowers, P. Geffert, C. George, F. Golf, J. Incandela,
 C. Justus, R. Magaña Villalba, N. Mccoll, V. Pavlunin, J. Richman, R. Rossin, D. Stuart, W. To,
 C. West

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA

A. Apresyan, A. Bornheim, J. Bunn, Y. Chen, E. Di Marco, J. Duarte, D. Kcira, A. Mott,
 H.B. Newman, C. Pena, C. Rogan, M. Spiropulu, V. Timciuc, R. Wilkinson, S. Xie, R.Y. Zhu

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA

V. Azzolini, A. Calamba, R. Carroll, T. Ferguson, Y. Iiyama, D.W. Jang, M. Paulini, J. Russ,
 H. Vogel, I. Vorobiev

University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, USA

J.P. Cumalat, B.R. Drell, W.T. Ford, A. Gaz, E. Luiggi Lopez, U. Nauenberg, J.G. Smith,
 K. Stenson, K.A. Ulmer, S.R. Wagner

Cornell University, Ithaca, USA

J. Alexander, A. Chatterjee, N. Eggert, L.K. Gibbons, W. Hopkins, A. Khukhunaishvili, B. Kreis,
 N. Mirman, G. Nicolas Kaufman, J.R. Patterson, A. Ryd, E. Salvati, W. Sun, W.D. Teo, J. Thom,
 J. Thompson, J. Tucker, Y. Weng, L. Winstrom, P. Wittich

Fairfield University, Fairfield, USA

D. Winn

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA

S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, J. Anderson, G. Apolinari, L.A.T. Bauerick, A. Beretvas, J. Berryhill,
 P.C. Bhat, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, V. Chetluru, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, S. Cihangir,
 V.D. Elvira, I. Fisk, J. Freeman, Y. Gao, E. Gottschalk, L. Gray, D. Green, S. Grünendahl,
 O. Gutsche, D. Hare, R.M. Harris, J. Hirschauer, B. Hooberman, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson,
 U. Joshi, K. Kaadze, B. Klima, S. Kwan, J. Linacre, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, J. Lykken,
 K. Maeshima, J.M. Marraffino, V.I. Martinez Outschoorn, S. Maruyama, D. Mason, P. McBride,
 K. Mishra, S. Mrenna, Y. Musienko³³, S. Nahn, C. Newman-Holmes, V. O'Dell, O. Prokofyev,
 N. Ratnikova, E. Sexton-Kennedy, S. Sharma, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk,
 N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, R. Vidal, A. Whitbeck, J. Whitmore, W. Wu, F. Yang,
 J.C. Yun

University of Florida, Gainesville, USA

D. Acosta, P. Avery, D. Bourilkov, T. Cheng, S. Das, M. De Gruttola, G.P. Di Giovanni,
 D. Dobur, R.D. Field, M. Fisher, Y. Fu, I.K. Furic, J. Hugon, B. Kim, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov,
 A. Kropivnitskaya, T. Kypreos, J.F. Low, K. Matchev, P. Milenovic⁵⁵, G. Mitselmakher, L. Muniz,
 A. Rinkevicius, L. Shchutska, N. Skhirtladze, M. Snowball, J. Yelton, M. Zakaria

Florida International University, Miami, USA

V. Gaultney, S. Hewamanage, S. Linn, P. Markowitz, G. Martinez, J.L. Rodriguez

Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA

T. Adams, A. Askew, J. Bochenek, J. Chen, B. Diamond, J. Haas, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian,
 K.F. Johnson, H. Prosper, V. Veeraraghavan, M. Weinberg

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA

M.M. Baarmann, B. Dorney, M. Hohlmann, H. Kalakhety, F. Yumiceva

University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA

M.R. Adams, L. Apanasevich, V.E. Bazterra, R.R. Betts, I. Bucinskaite, R. Cavanaugh,

O. Evdokimov, L. Gauthier, C.E. Gerber, D.J. Hofman, S. Khalatyan, P. Kurt, D.H. Moon, C. O'Brien, C. Silkworth, P. Turner, N. Varelas

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA

U. Akgun, E.A. Albayrak⁴⁹, B. Bilki⁵⁶, W. Clarida, K. Dilsiz, F. Duru, M. Haytmyradov, J.-P. Merlo, H. Mermerkaya⁵⁷, A. Mestvirishvili, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, H. Ogul, Y. Onel, F. Ozok⁴⁹, S. Sen, P. Tan, E. Tiras, J. Wetzel, T. Yetkin⁵⁸, K. Yi

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA

B.A. Barnett, B. Blumenfeld, S. Bolognesi, D. Fehling, A.V. Gritsan, P. Maksimovic, C. Martin, M. Swartz

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA

P. Baringer, A. Bean, G. Benelli, R.P. Kenny III, M. Murray, D. Noonan, S. Sanders, J. Sekaric, R. Stringer, Q. Wang, J.S. Wood

Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA

A.F. Barfuss, I. Chakaberia, A. Ivanov, S. Khalil, M. Makouski, Y. Maravin, L.K. Saini, S. Shrestha, I. Svintradze

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA

J. Gronberg, D. Lange, F. Rebassoo, D. Wright

University of Maryland, College Park, USA

A. Baden, B. Calvert, S.C. Eno, J.A. Gomez, N.J. Hadley, R.G. Kellogg, T. Kolberg, Y. Lu, M. Marionneau, A.C. Mignerey, K. Pedro, A. Skuja, J. Temple, M.B. Tonjes, S.C. Tonwar

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA

A. Apyan, R. Barbieri, G. Bauer, W. Busza, I.A. Cali, M. Chan, L. Di Matteo, V. Dutta, G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, D. Gulhan, M. Klute, Y.S. Lai, Y.-J. Lee, A. Levin, P.D. Luckey, T. Ma, C. Paus, D. Ralph, C. Roland, G. Roland, G.S.F. Stephans, F. Stöckli, K. Sumorok, D. Velicanu, J. Veverka, B. Wyslouch, M. Yang, A.S. Yoon, M. Zanetti, V. Zhukova

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA

B. Dahmes, A. De Benedetti, A. Gude, S.C. Kao, K. Klapoetke, Y. Kubota, J. Mans, N. Pastika, R. Rusack, A. Singovsky, N. Tambe, J. Turkewitz

University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA

J.G. Acosta, L.M. Cremaldi, R. Kroeger, S. Oliveros, L. Perera, R. Rahmat, D.A. Sanders, D. Summers

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA

E. Avdeeva, K. Bloom, S. Bose, D.R. Claes, A. Dominguez, R. Gonzalez Suarez, J. Keller, D. Knowlton, I. Kravchenko, J. Lazo-Flores, S. Malik, F. Meier, G.R. Snow

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA

J. Dolen, A. Godshalk, I. Iashvili, S. Jain, A. Kharchilava, A. Kumar, S. Rappoccio

Northeastern University, Boston, USA

G. Alverson, E. Barberis, D. Baumgartel, M. Chasco, J. Haley, A. Massironi, D. Nash, T. Orimoto, D. Trocino, D. Wood, J. Zhang

Northwestern University, Evanston, USA

A. Anastassov, K.A. Hahn, A. Kubik, L. Lusito, N. Mucia, N. Odell, B. Pollack, A. Pozdnyakov, M. Schmitt, S. Stoynev, K. Sung, M. Velasco, S. Won

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA

D. Berry, A. Brinkerhoff, K.M. Chan, A. Drozdetskiy, M. Hildreth, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, N. Kellams, J. Kolb, K. Lannon, W. Luo, S. Lynch, N. Marinelli, D.M. Morse, T. Pearson, M. Planer, R. Ruchti, J. Slaunwhite, N. Valls, M. Wayne, M. Wolf, A. Woodard

The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA

L. Antonelli, B. Bylsma, L.S. Durkin, S. Flowers, C. Hill, R. Hughes, K. Kotov, T.Y. Ling, D. Puigh, M. Rodenburg, G. Smith, C. Vuosalo, B.L. Winer, H. Wolfe, H.W. Wulsin

Princeton University, Princeton, USA

E. Berry, P. Elmer, V. Halyo, P. Hebda, J. Hegeman, A. Hunt, P. Jindal, S.A. Koay, P. Lujan, D. Marlow, T. Medvedeva, M. Mooney, J. Olsen, P. Piroué, X. Quan, A. Raval, H. Saka, D. Stickland, C. Tully, J.S. Werner, S.C. Zenz, A. Zuranski

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA

E. Brownson, A. Lopez, H. Mendez, J.E. Ramirez Vargas

Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA

E. Alagoz, D. Benedetti, G. Bolla, D. Bortoletto, M. De Mattia, A. Everett, Z. Hu, M. Jha, M. Jones, K. Jung, M. Kress, N. Leonardo, D. Lopes Pegna, V. Maroussov, P. Merkel, D.H. Miller, N. Neumeister, B.C. Radburn-Smith, I. Shipsey, D. Silvers, A. Svyatkovskiy, F. Wang, W. Xie, L. Xu, H.D. Yoo, J. Zablocki, Y. Zheng

Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, USA

N. Parashar

Rice University, Houston, USA

A. Adair, B. Akgun, K.M. Ecklund, F.J.M. Geurts, W. Li, B. Michlin, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Roberts, J. Zabel

University of Rochester, Rochester, USA

B. Betchart, A. Bodek, R. Covarelli, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, Y. Eshaq, T. Ferbel, A. Garcia-Bellido, P. Goldenzweig, J. Han, A. Harel, D.C. Miner, G. Petrillo, D. Vishnevskiy, M. Zielinski

The Rockefeller University, New York, USA

A. Bhatti, R. Ciesielski, L. Demortier, K. Goulianatos, G. Lungu, S. Malik, C. Mesropian

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA

S. Arora, A. Barker, J.P. Chou, C. Contreras-Campana, E. Contreras-Campana, D. Duggan, D. Ferencek, Y. Gershtein, R. Gray, E. Halkiadakis, D. Hidas, A. Lath, S. Panwalkar, M. Park, R. Patel, V. Rekovic, J. Robles, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, C. Seitz, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S. Thomas, P. Thomassen, M. Walker

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA

K. Rose, S. Spanier, Z.C. Yang, A. York

Texas A&M University, College Station, USA

O. Bouhali⁵⁹, R. Eusebi, W. Flanagan, J. Gilmore, T. Kamon⁶⁰, V. Khotilovich, V. Krutelyov, R. Montalvo, I. Osipenkov, Y. Pakhotin, A. Perloff, J. Roe, A. Safonov, T. Sakuma, I. Suarez, A. Tatarinov, D. Toback

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA

N. Akchurin, C. Cowden, J. Damgov, C. Dragoiu, P.R. Dudero, J. Faulkner, K. Kovitanggoon, S. Kunori, S.W. Lee, T. Libeiro, I. Volobouev

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA

E. Appelt, A.G. Delannoy, S. Greene, A. Gurrola, W. Johns, C. Maguire, Y. Mao, A. Melo, M. Sharma, P. Sheldon, B. Snook, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA

M.W. Arenton, S. Boutle, B. Cox, B. Francis, J. Goodell, R. Hirosky, A. Ledovskoy, C. Lin, C. Neu, J. Wood

Wayne State University, Detroit, USA

S. Gollapinni, R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, C. Kottachchi Kankamamge Don, P. Lamichhane

University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA

D.A. Belknap, L. Borrello, D. Carlsmith, M. Cepeda, S. Dasu, S. Duric, E. Friis, M. Grothe, R. Hall-Wilton, M. Herndon, A. Hervé, P. Klabbers, J. Klukas, A. Lanaro, A. Levine, R. Loveless, A. Mohapatra, I. Ojalvo, T. Perry, G.A. Pierro, G. Polese, I. Ross, A. Sakharov, T. Sarangi, A. Savin, W.H. Smith

†: Deceased

- 1: Also at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria
- 2: Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland
- 3: Also at Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France
- 4: Also at National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia
- 5: Also at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
- 6: Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil
- 7: Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA
- 8: Also at Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France
- 9: Also at Zewail City of Science and Technology, Zewail, Egypt
- 10: Also at Suez Canal University, Suez, Egypt
- 11: Also at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt
- 12: Also at Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt
- 13: Also at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt
- 14: Now at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt
- 15: Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France
- 16: Also at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
- 17: Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany
- 18: Also at The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA
- 19: Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
- 20: Also at Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary
- 21: Also at Tata Institute of Fundamental Research - HECR, Mumbai, India
- 22: Now at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
- 23: Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India
- 24: Also at University of Ruhuna, Matara, Sri Lanka
- 25: Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran
- 26: Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran
- 27: Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran
- 28: Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy
- 29: Also at Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) - IN2P3, Paris, France
- 30: Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA

-
- 31: Also at Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolas de Hidalgo, Morelia, Mexico
 - 32: Also at National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland
 - 33: Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia
 - 34: Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
 - 35: Also at Facoltà Ingegneria, Università di Roma, Roma, Italy
 - 36: Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy
 - 37: Also at University of Athens, Athens, Greece
 - 38: Also at Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland
 - 39: Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
 - 40: Also at Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Bern, Switzerland
 - 41: Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey
 - 42: Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey
 - 43: Also at Cag University, Mersin, Turkey
 - 44: Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey
 - 45: Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey
 - 46: Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey
 - 47: Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey
 - 48: Also at Istanbul University, Faculty of Science, Istanbul, Turkey
 - 49: Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey
 - 50: Also at Kahramanmaraş Sütçü İmam University, Kahramanmaraş, Turkey
 - 51: Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
 - 52: Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom
 - 53: Also at INFN Sezione di Perugia; Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy
 - 54: Also at Utah Valley University, Orem, USA
 - 55: Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia
 - 56: Also at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, USA
 - 57: Also at Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey
 - 58: Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey
 - 59: Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar
 - 60: Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea