POLARIZATION OF A AND A IN DEEP-INELASTIC SCATTERING AT COMPASS

M.G.Sapozhnikov on behalf of the COMPASS Collaboration

of a laboratory of Particle Physics and Controllect Physics-Control Physics-Control Physics-Control Physics-Co E -mail: sapozh $@sumse.jinr.ru$

Abstract

 and production in deepinelastic scattering of GeV - ^c polarized muons on a polarized ⁶LiD target is under study in the COMPASS experiment. Preliminary results from data collected during 2002 beam period are presented.

The study of polarization of $\Lambda(\Lambda)$ hyperons in the deep-inelastic scattering (DTD) can provide an information on the fundamental properties of the nucleon such as polarization of the strange quarks in the nucleon \mathbf{I} and oers a possibility to determine the mechanism of mechanism \mathbf{I} of spin transfer from polarized quark to a polarized baryon 

The polarized nucleon intrinsic strangeness model predicts negative longitudinal polarization of the target produced in the target fragmentation region region $\{x, h\}$, $\{x, h\}$ assumption of the model is the negative polarization of the strange quarks and antiquarks in the nucleon This assumption was inspired by the results of EMC \sim the results of \sim experiments on inclusive deepinelastic scattering which gave indication that the ss- pairs in the nucleon are negatively polarized with respect to the nucleon spin

$$
\Delta s \equiv \int_{0}^{1} dx [s_{\uparrow}(x) - s_{\downarrow}(x) + \bar{s}_{\uparrow}(x) - \bar{s}_{\downarrow}(x)] = -0.10 \pm 0.02. \tag{1}
$$

 \mathcal{L} strange quarks are negatively polarized though within large uncertainties s $-$ - \sim \sim 0.07 . Indication of negative polarization of strange quarks in proton was obtained also in the lattice QCD s -  s -

The polarized strangeness model $\vert \tau \vert$ was successfully applied to explain the large violation tion of the OZI rule in the annihilation of stopped antiprotons and its strong dependence on the spin of the initial state for review see The predictions of the model were confirmed in different processes of proton-proton, antiproton-proton interactions and lepton DIS Specically the negative longitudinal polarization of the hyperons at xF predicted in the neutrino DIS experiments in the neutrino DIS experiments in the neutrino DIS experiments in t

However the question about polarization of the nucleon strange quarks is by no means solved Recently the HERMES collaboration \mathcal{C} collaboration \mathcal{C} positive strange quarks polarization $\Delta s = 0.03 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.01$ found after analysis of the semi-inclusive DIS channels for the discussion of the HERMES result see  

The meson cloud model  predicts that in the target fragmentation region the polarization of Λ should be anticorrelated with the target polarization. Therefore, it is expected that $P_{\Lambda} \sim 0$ for production on unpolarized target.

The measurement of the longitudinal Λ polarization in the current fragmentation region $x_F > 0$ is traditionally connected with investigation of spin transfer from quark to hadron  According to the naive quark model NQM the spin of is carried by the s quark and the spin transfer from the u and d quarks to Λ is equal to zero. It means that the longitudinal polarization of Λ produced in fragmentation of the u and d quarks is $P_\Lambda \sim 0$.

Another scheme of the spin transfer was suggested in  Using SUf symmetry and experimental data for the spin-dependent quark distributions in the proton, it was found that the contributions of u and d quarks in the Λ spin are negative and substantial, on the level of 20% for each light quark. Therefore, one might expect that in this model the fragmentation of the dominant u quark will lead to Π

However, a large part (up to $30-40\%$) of the Λ observed in DIS is from decays of heavy hyperons, such as \vartriangle^* , \vartriangle (1589) and Ξ , significantly changing the pattern of the spin transfer. The consequences of different spin transfer schemes and influence of the indirect production was investigated in for the conditions similar to the COMPASS experi ment. The calculations were done for the positive muon energy $E=200 \text{ GeV}$, polarization of the muon beam $P_B = -0.80$, Q > 4 (GeV/c), $x_F > 0$ and $z > 0.2$, where z is the quark energy fraction carried by the Λ hyperon. Longitudinal polarization of Λ turns out α is the NQM model and P α and α is the spintral model and α . The spintral model is the spintral model in α of $|z|$. For the Λ the corresponding predictions are $I_A = -0.14$ for the NQM model and P Λ . The spintransfer mechanism of the spintral mechanism of $\vert \equiv \vert$.

Alternative scheme of the spin transfer appears in the framework of $SU(6)$ based quark model to the to the use of the to the use the use to the use Δ - positive polarization of the use α and d quarks in the Λ is expected at large x. Due to this fact the spin transfer to Λ show as a control be as a large as a straightforware model present model predicts also have the straightforwar rarge ratio between cross sections of Λ and Λ production. $R = \Lambda / \Lambda \simeq 1$ at $z \simeq 0.5 = 0.5$.

However the possibility to study real spin transfer from the quark to baryon at the energies of the current experiments was questioned in It turns out that even at the COMPASS energy of 160 GeV most A, even in the $x_F > 0$ region, are produced from the diquark fragmentation It is predicted that in the COMPASS kinematics the longitudinal polarization is P - - depending on the fragmentation model More clear $\mathfrak s$ ituation is with the Π production, however up to now the statistics of Π produced in the $-$ DIS experiments was marginal

The experimental situation with measurements of and longitudinal polarization - $P_{\Lambda}(P_{\overline{\Lambda}})$ in DIS is summarized in the Table 1.

One can see that in the target fragmentation region the Λ polarization is indeed negative. The spin transfer for current fragmentation region seems small, however the ϵ aperimental data are quite scarce, especially for Λ .

We have studied A and A production by polarized μ^+ of 100 GeV/c on a polarized [LiD] target of the COMPASS spectrometer constructed in the framework of CERN experiment NA58. A detailed description of the COMPASS experimental setup is in the talk of F.Bradamante at this Conference. For this analysis we use data collected during the 2002 run. Total amount of the acquired data is about 260 TB. The analysis comprises about $1.7 \cdot 10^8$ DIS events, which corresponds to 60% of the total 2002 statistics.

The V events $(V = \Lambda, \Lambda$ and Λ_s) were selected by requiring the outgoing muon tracks together with two hadron tracks of opposite charge The primary vertex should be

Reaction	$\langle E_b \rangle$						
Exp.	(GeV)	$\langle x_F \rangle$	$N_{\rm A}$	P_{Λ}	$N_{\bar{\Lambda}}$	$\langle x_F \rangle$	$P_{\bar{\Lambda}}$
$\bar{\nu_u}Ne$	40	-0.47	469	-0.56 ± 0.13			
WA59[24]		> 0	66	-0.11 ± 0.45			
μN	470	0.15	750	1.2 ± 0.5	650	0.15	-0.26 ± 0.6
E665 [25]		0.44		0.32 ± 0.7		0.44	-1.1 ± 0.8
$\nu_\mu N$	43.8	-0.36	5608	-0.21 ± 0.04	248	-0.2	0.23 ± 0.20
NOMAD $\lfloor 18 \rfloor$		0.21	2479	-0.09 ± 0.06	401	0.18	-0.23 ± 0.15
eN	27.5	0.30	10568	$S_{\Lambda} =$	1687		
HERMES $\lceil 26 \rceil$				0.06 ± 0.09			

Table 1. Summary of experimental measurements of $\Lambda(\Lambda)$ foligitudinal polarization in $-$ DIS. Sign of polarization is given with respect to virtual photon momentum. The results of HERMES collaboration were presented in terms of the spin transfer $S_\Lambda = \frac{1}{P_b D},$ where P_b is the beam polarization and D is the depolarization factor.

inside the target. The polarized 6 LiD target consists of two oppositively polarized 60 cm long cells. The data presented here are averaged over the target polarization.

The secondary vertex must be downstream of the both target cells. The angle between vector of V^+ momentum and vector between primary and V^+ vertices should be $\theta_{col} < 0.01$ rad. Cut on transverse momentum of the decay products with respect to the direction of V particle, $p_t > z$ MeV/c was applied to reject e^+e^- pairs from the γ conversion seen as the band at the bottom of the Armenteros plot shown in Fig.1.

Figure 1: The Armenteros plot: p_t is the transverse momentum of the V^0 decay products with respect to the direction of V^0 momentum, $\alpha = \frac{p_L^1 - p_L^1}{p_L^1 + p_L^2}$, where p_L is the longitudinal momentum of the V^0 decay particle.

The typical elliptical bands from the K_s , Λ and Λ decays are seen in Fig.1. Both Λ and it signals stand out clearly. The large number of produced it is a specific feature of the COMPASS experiment

The standard DIS cut on $Q^+ > 1$ (GeV/c) and $0.2 \leq y \leq 0.8$ have been used. After background subtraction the experimental sample contains about 10800 A and 5900 $\bar{\Lambda}$.

The kinematical characteristics of produced Λ , Λ and Λ_s^s are shown in Fig.2 and Fig.3. The x_F and Q^2 experimental distributions (crosses) are compared to Monte-Carlo $(hatched histograms)$ in Fig. 2. One can see that we are able to access mainly current \mathbf{r} regiments \mathbf{r} region \mathbf{r} as following \mathbf{r} is \mathbf{r} is \mathbf{r} is \mathbf{r} is \mathbf{r} is the Bjorner scaling variable ^x it is -x 

Figure 2: x_F (left column) and Q^* (right column) distributions for K_s^* (upper row), Λ Imiddle row J and 'n Trower row J. The experimental data points are shown together with results of Monte-Carlo simulations (histograms).

The momenta of V^0 particles and of their decay products are shown on Fig.3. The mean A momentum is 12 GeV/c, while decay pion momentum is 2 GeV/c. Both figures shows reasonable agreement between the experimental data and the MonteCarlo simulations

 Λ (Λ) hyperon polarization can be measured via the angular asymmetry of positive particle in $\Lambda \to p \pi^-$ ($\Lambda \to p \pi^+$) decays. Angular distribution of the positive particle in Λ (Λ) rest frame is

$$
\frac{dN}{d\Omega} = \frac{N_{tot}}{4\pi} (1 + (-)\alpha \vec{P}\vec{n})
$$
\n(2)

where N_{tot} is total number of events, $\alpha = 0.642 \pm 0.013$ is the decay asymmetry parameter, \vec{P} is the polarization vector and \vec{n} is the unit vector in the direction of proton (for A decays)

Figure 3: The momentum spectra for strange particles and their decay products are shown: K_s , π and π in upper row; Λ , proton and π in middle row; and Λ , p and π in lower row. The experimental data points are shown together with results of Monte-Carlo simulations (histograms).

or π^+ (for A). The coordinate system is defined using directions of virtual photon (e_γ) and target nucleon (e_T) in the Λ rest frame:

 $n_{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{e}_{\gamma},$
 $n_{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{e}_{\gamma} \times \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{T}} / |\mathbf{e}_{\gamma} \times \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{T}}|,$

 $n_z = n_x \times n_y$.
The analysis was performed slicing each angular distribution in 10 bins and fitting the invariant mass distribution of the V^0 decay products to determine the number of V^+ events in each bin. Fig. 4 shows measured angular distributions for K_s^-, Λ and $\Lambda^$ decays corrected for the acceptance The acceptance was determined by the Monte Carlo \sin ulation of unpolarized α α are ays.

One could see that all angular distributions for $K_{\tilde s}$ decays are hat as expected. It means that no significant bias is introduced by the apparatus and by the analysis procedure.

The invariant mass distributions of $K_{\tilde{s}}$, A and A are shown in the first column of Fig.4 for a part of analyzed events The level of the background events is not negligible and the procedure of the bin-by-bin fitting for determination of the angular distributions is essential for correct rejection of the background events

The experimental cos v_i distributions are nat. To ineally that the Λ and Λ polarizations,

Figure 4: The cos θ_x (second column) cos θ_y (third column) and cos θ_z (forth column) distributions for K_{s}^{+} (upper row), A (middle row) and A (lower row). The first column shows invariant mass distributions for $K_{\tilde{s}}$, A and A, respectively.

averaged over the full kinematical range of COMPASS, are small.

Work on the determination of the polarizations and their systematic errors is continuing. The results from our 2002 data presented here demonstrate the good potential of \cup ONIT ASS for measurements of Λ and Λ polarizations in DTS.

References

- |1| J.Ellis et al, Phys.Lett. **B353**, 319 (1995); Nucl.Phys. $\mathbf{A673}$, 256 (2000).
- $|2|$ M. Burkardt, R. L. Jaffe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 2537 (1993).
- [3] M. Anselmino et al. Phys. Lett. $\bf{B509}$, 246 (2001).
- [4] M.Anselmino, M.Boglione, F.Murgia, Phys. Lett. **B481**, 253 (2000).
- $[5]$ A. M. Kotzinian, A. Bravar, D. von Harrach, Eur. Phys. J. C2, 329 (1998).
- [6] C. Boros, L. Zuo-Tang, Phys. Rev. $\overline{D57}$, 4491 (1998).
- [7] J. J. Yang, B. Q. Ma, I. Schmidt, Phys. Lett. $\bf{B477}$, 107 (2000); B. Q. Ma, I. Schmidt, J. Soffer, J. J. Yang, Phys. Lett. **B488**, 254 (2000).
- $|8|$ J. Ellis, D. Kharzeev, A.M. Kotzinian, Z. Physik C69, 467 (1996).
- [9] J. Ellis, A.M. Kotzinian, D.V. Naumov, Eur. Phys. J. $C25$, 603 (2002).
- \vert 10 The EMC Collaboration, J.Ashman et al, Phys.Lett. **B206**, 364 (1988); Nucl.Phys. $\mathbf{B328}$, 1 (1989).
- \vert 11 The SMC Collaboration. B.Adeva et al, Phys.Lett. **B412**, 414 (1997); D.Adams et al., Phys. Rev. $\bf{D56}$, 5330 (1997).
- $\lfloor 12 \rfloor$ The E143 Collaboration. K. Abe et al. Phys. Rev. D58, 112003 (1998).
- \vert 13 The E155 Collaboration. P.L.Anthony et al. Phys.Lett, **B458**, 529 (1999).
- [14] G.T.Garvey et al, Phys.Rev. $C48$, 761 (1993).
- [15] S.J.Dong et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. $75, 2096$ (1995).
- [16] M.Fukugita et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. $75, 2092$ (1995).
- VPNomokonov MGSapozhnikov Physics of Elementary Particles and Atomic Nu clei, 34, 184 (2003), hep-ph/0204259, 2002.
- [18] P. Astier et al., Nucl. Phys. **B588**, 3 (2000); P. Astier et al., Nucl. Phys. $B605$, 3 (2001).
- The Herman and Herman and Herman et al herman et al herman et al heperiodical collaboration and the second coll
- [20] A.M.Kotzinian, Phys.Lett. $\bf{B552}$, 172 (2003) $-$
- [21] E.Leader, D.Stamenov, Phys.Rev. $\bf{D67}$, 037503 (2003)
- $|22|$ W.Melnitchouk and A.W.Thomas, Z.Phys. $\mathbf{A353}$ 311 (1996).
- $|23|$ B. Q. Ma, I. Schmidt, J.-J. Yang, Phys. Lett. $B574$, 35 (2003).
- [24] S. Willocq et al, Z.Phys. $C53$, 207 (1992).
- [25] M. R. Adams et al. Eur. Phys. J. $C17$, 263 (2000).
- $|26|$ A. Airapetian et al, Phys. Rev. **B64**, 112005 (2001) ; S. Belostotski, IXth Workshop on High-Energy Spin Physics, Dubna, Russia, Aug 2 - 7, 2001.